#### STATE OF FLORIDA

COMMISSIONERS: LILA A. JABER, CHAIRMAN J. TERRY DEASON BRAULIO L. BAEZ MICHAEL A. PALECKI RUDOLPH "RUDY" BRADLEY



DIVISION OF EXTERNAL AFFAIRS CHARLES H. HILL DIRECTOR (850) 413-6800

# **Public Service Commission**

July 11, 2002

#### VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Honorable Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW - Portals II, TW-A325 Washington, DC 20554

Re: CC Docket No. 01-338, Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers;

CC Docket No. 96-98, Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996;

CC Docket No. 98-147, Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Forwarded herewith are Comments of the Florida Public Service Commission in the above dockets with regard to the review of Section 251 unbundling obligations of incumbent local exchange carriers.

Should you have additional questions, you may contact Greg Fogleman, the primary staff person in this docket, at (850) 413-6574.

Sincerely,

/ s /

Cynthia B. Miller, Esquire Office of Federal and Legislative Liaison

CBM:tf

PSC Website: http://www.floridapsc.com Internet E-mail: contact@psc.state.fl.us

## Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)
Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers	) CC Docket No. 01-338
Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996	) CC Docket No. 96-98
Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability	) CC Docket No. 98-147

## REPLY COMMENTS OF THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION REGARDING THE REVIEW OF SECTION 251 UNBUNDLING OBLIGATIONS OF INCUMBENT LOCAL EXCHANGE CARRIERS

The Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) submits these reply comments in response to the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (FCC 01-361) released on December 20, 2001. In this Notice, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) seeks to identify more precisely how incumbent local exchange carriers (ILECs) should provide network access to requesting carriers on an unbundled basis, pursuant to sections 251(c)(3) and 251(d)(2) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act). Such a review of the FCC's rules is particularly timely in light of the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals recent remand of the unbundling rules.<sup>1</sup>

#### **D.C. Circuit Court Decision**

We believe that our initial comments are consistent with, and complementary to, the conclusions of the court and, more broadly, to the position of many state commissions and of NARUC. Specifically, the court found that to adopt uniform rules applicable nationwide to almost all elements

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit, *USTA v. FCC*, Argued: March 7, 2002, Decided: May 24, 2002.

without regard to the state of competitive impairment in any particular market is inconsistent with the

Act. We believe, however, (as stated in our initial comments and in those of the Ohio, Michigan, and

Texas Commissions) that it is the role of the FCC to establish the guidelines for removing or adding

UNEs to a national minimal list, in order to provide some level of consistency in the implementation

of the Telecommunications Act.

**Regional State Commission Workshop** 

The FPSC appreciates the complexity of UNE review and the uncertainty on the part of some

market participants that is engendered by decentralizing the decision-making process as it relates to a

core list of UNE availability. However, we strongly believe that markets vary significantly by regions

of the country and within certain market areas within those regions. For this reason, we believe that a

national approach is limited in its effectiveness to address specific market conditions. By providing

greater flexibility to the states to address specific market conditions, markets can be made more

responsive and effective. In order to provide some degree of comfort to market participants, the FPSC

believes that the state commissions, the industry, and the FCC should work together to establish a

general framework under which some degree of uniformity can be maintained while providing

necessary flexibility to states to address specific market conditions.

The FPSC suggests that the FCC, at a minimum, proceed to conduct a series of regional state

commission workshops to identify relevant geographic areas, market criteria, capacity or capability

criteria, and any other relevant factors that states may be suited to address. Such workshops could be

similar to those used to address performance standards issues in Chicago and Dallas. From those

workshops, the FCC could then establish a set of general rules and guidelines to aid states in

determining how and when to add or remove UNEs to the core national list. The FPSC is willing to

provide a venue for a southeastern states workshop if requested.

**States' Role** 

The FPSC maintains that state commissions are in a better position to implement both federal

unbundling standards and corresponding state law, and should thus retain the opportunity to require

unbundling beyond the national minimum list. The FPSC contends that should the FCC eliminate

certain unbundled network elements (UNEs) from the national minimum list, state commissions should

retain authority to require additional UNEs (including those removed from the FCC's national

minimum list), if warranted by the specific market conditions within a state, in compliance with the

requirements of section 251 of the Act.

As part of our existing responsibilities, the FPSC has recently established an Office of Market

Monitoring and Strategic Analysis. It is responsible for assessing markets and recommending solutions

if market failures are detected. We believe that this newly created office could assist the FCC in

establishing the appropriate levels of granularity for specific elements as well as for determining the

criteria for removal of specific elements from the national minimal list. Should rules be adopted in this

regard, we envision that this office would play a critical role in their implementation.

Conclusion

The FPSC believes that a state commission should continue to have authority to require more

stringent unbundling obligations, when necessary, in accordance with § 251(d)(3) of the

Telecommunications Act. The FCC is not well suited to determine the appropriate level of granularity

within a state to require unbundling and should only promulgate relatively broad rules that would allow

Florida Public Service Commission

CC Dockets 01-338, 96-98, and 98-147

Page 4

greater flexibility for state commissions to address these issues. Specifically, states are most familiar

with conditions within their borders, including the level of competition and the system of retail price

regulation that applies to the incumbent. In addition, states generally are able to evaluate factual

disputes through procedures that include discovery, sworn testimony, and cross-examination. To this

end, the FPSC supports the comments of the Ohio, Michigan, and Texas Commissions. In addition, the

FPSC believes there is merit to holding a Federal-State regional workshops on this important topic.

Respectfully submitted,

Cynthia B. Miller, Esquire Office of Federal and Legislative Liaison

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (850) 413-6082

DATED: July 11, 2002

# Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)
Review of the Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers	) CC Docket No. 01-338
Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions of the Telecommunications Act of 1996	) CC Docket No. 96-98
Deployment of Wireline Services Offering Advanced Telecommunications Capability	) CC Docket No. 98-147

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing comments will be mailed to the persons on the attached list.

/ s /

CYNTHIA B. MILLER
Office of Federal and Legislative Liaison

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (850) 413-6082

DATED: July 11, 2002

#### **Service List**

The Honorable Michael Powell, Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth St., SW, Rm. 8-B201 Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Michael J. Copps, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth St., SW, Rm. 8-A302 Washington, D.C. 20554

Qualex International The Portals, 445 - 12th Street SW Rm CY-B42 Washington, DC 20554

The Honorable Nanette G. Thompson, Chair Regulatory Commission of Alaska 1016 W. 6<sup>th</sup> Ave., Ste. 400 Anchorage, AK 99501

Peter A. Pescosolido Connecticut Dept. of Public Utility Control 10 Franklin Square New Britain, CT 06051

Jennifer A. Gilmore Indiana Utility Reg. Commission 302 W. Washington St., Ste. E306 Indianapolis, IN 46204

Joel B. Shifman, Esq. Maine Public Utilities Commission 242 State St., State House, Station 18 Augusta, Maine 04333-0018

The Honorable Bob Rowe Montana Public Service Commission 1701 Prospect Avenue/P.O. Box 202601 Helena, MT 59620-2601

Jeff Pursley Nebraska Public Service Commission 300 The Atrium, 1200 N. St./P.O. Box 94927 Lincoln, Nebraska 68508 The Honorable Kathleen Q. Abernathy, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth St., SW, Rm. 8-B115 Washington, D.C. 20554

The Honorable Kevin J. Martin, Commissioner Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth St., SW, Rm. 8-A204 Washington, D.C. 20554

Mary E. Newmeyer Federal/Congressional Affairs Alabama Public Service Commission 100 N. Union St., Ste. 800 Montgomery, AL 36104

Lori Kenyon, Common Carrier Specialist Regulatory Commission of Alaska 1016 W. 6<sup>th</sup> Ave., Ste. 400 Anchorage, AK 99501-1693

Earl Poucher, Legislative Analyst Office of Public Counsel 111 W. Madison St., Rm. 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Larry M. Stevens Iowa Utilities Board 350 Maple St. Des Moines, IA 50319

Nancy Zearfoss, Ph.D. Maryland Public Service Commission 6 Saint Paul St., 16<sup>th</sup> Floor Baltimore, MD 21202-6806

Mike H. Lee Montana Public Service Commission 1701 Prospect Ave./P.O. Box 202601 Helena, MT 59601-2601

Charles Bolle, Policy Adviser Nevada Public Utilities Commission 1150 E. William St. Carson City, NV 89701-3105 The Honorable Thomas J. Dunleavy New York Public Service Commission One Penn Plaza, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor New York, NY 10119

Philip F. McClelland Pennsylvania Office of Consumer Advocate 555 Walnut St., Forum Pl., 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Harrisburg, PA 17101-1923

Billy Jack Gregg West Virginia Consumer Advocate 700 Union Bldg. Charleston, WV 25301

John T. Nakahata Harris, Wiltshire & Grannis LLP 1200 Eighteenth St., NW, Ste. 1200 Washington, DC 20036

David L. Sieradzki Hogan & Hartson, LLP 555 Thirteenth St., NW Washington, DC 20004

Lori Cobos Public Utility Commission of Texas 1701 N. Congress Ave./P.O. Box 13326 Austin, TX 78711-3326

Margot Smiley Humphrey Holland & Knight 2099 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Ste. 100 Washington, DC 20006

Joseph DiBella Verizon 1515 N. Courthouse Rd., Ste. 500 Arlington, VA 22201-2909

Paul G. Afonso Massachusetts Dept. of Telecomm. & Energy One S. Station Boston, MA 02110

Doug Kitch 2110 Vickers Dr., Ste. 2106 Colorado Springs, CO 80918 Carl Johnson, Telecom Policy Analyst New York Public Service Commission 3 Empire State Plaza Albany, NY 12223-1350

Peter Bluhm, Director of Policy Research Vermont Public Service Board 112 State St., Drawer 20 Montpelier, VT 05620-2701

Barbara Meisenheimer Missouri Office of Public Counsel 301 W. High St., Ste. 250 Jefferson City, MO 65102

SBC Communications, Inc. 1401 Eye St., NW, Ste. 400 Washington, DC 20005

Lawrence E. Sarjeant United States Telecom Assoc. 1401 H St., NW, Ste. 600 Washington, DC 20005-2164

L. Marie Guillory National Telecommunications Coop. Assoc. 4121 Wilson Blvd., 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Arlington, VA 22203

Richard M. Sbaratta
BellSouth Corporation
675 W. Peachtree St., NE, Ste. 4300
Atlanta, GA 30375-0001

Sidley Austin Brown & Wood LLP 1501 K. St., NW Washington, DC 20005

Thomas G. Fisher, Jr. Hogan & Fisher, P.L.C. 3101 Ingersoll Ave. Des Moines, IA 50312-3918

Jonathan E. Nuechterlein Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering 2445 M St., NW Washington, DC 20036