FILED JAN 30, 2015 DOCUMENT NO. 00634-15

	DOCUMENT NO. 00634 FPSC - COMMISSION C	
1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3	In the Matter of:	
4		DOCKET NO. UNDOCKETED
5	LIFELINE WORKING (
6		/
7		
8	PROCEEDINGS:	LIFELINE WORKING GROUP MEETING
9	DATE:	Wednesday, January 21, 2015
10 11	TIME:	Commenced at 10:32 a.m. Concluded at 11:30 a.m.
12	PLACE:	Florida Public Service Commission Room 105, Gerald L. Gunter Building
13		2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850
14 15	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter
16		(850) 413-6734
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
	I	

0	0	0	0	0	2

A P P E A R A N C E S

2 BY PHONE:

3	Jay Bradbury - Cox Communications
4	Leslie McLaughlin - Cox Communications Martin Corcoran - Cox Communications
5	Rhonda Thomas - T-Mobile Ann Morrison - FairPoint
6	Tim Loken – Windstream Jorge Chamizo – TracFone/SafeLink
7	Harvey Spears – CenturyLink Caryl Gilstrap – CenturyLink
8	Abby Matari – FLATEL/Zing Wireless Sam Bailey – i-wireless
9	
10	IN PERSON:
11	Debbie Nobles- NEFCOM Debbie Finley - NEFCOM
12	De O'Roark - Verizon Becki Edmonston - Verizon
13	Tracy Hatch - AT&T Maryrose Sirianni - AT&T Tom McCabe - TDS Sandy Khazraee - CenturyLink
14	
15	Lisa Steffens - OPC Catherine Beard - PSC
16	Curtis Williams - PSC Beth Salak - PSC
17	Adam Teitzman – PSC Leslie Ames – PSC
18	Bob Casey - PSC Mark Long - PSC
19	J.D. Johnson - DCF Leah McCarthy - DCF
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSI
l	II. Contraction of the second s

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 MR. CASEY: Okay. We can go ahead and get 3 I think everybody is here or on the phone. started. I'll take appearances in just a moment. 4 This is a meeting of the Lifeline Working 5 Group. One thing I'd like to let the people here 6 7 know is that the microphones on the table are live. Please make sure that no phones are near the mikes. 8 9 And if conversation needs to be taken offline, please either mute the mike or move away from the 10 11 table. Okay. We are not -- this is not going to 12 be on TV, not being recorded. We do have a court 13 reporter here. Are you disappointed? 14 MR. McCABE: I wouldn't have showered, if I had known. 15 MR. CASEY: We do have a court reporter here. 16 17 So let's get started by taking appearances here first at the Commission. We'll start to my left. 18 19 MS. SALAK: I'm Beth Salak. I'm with the Commission. 20 21 MS. BEARD: Catherine Beard with the 22 Commission. 23 MR. O'ROARK: De O'Roark with Verizon. 24 MS. EDMONSTON: Becky Edmonston with Verizon. 25 MS. BOLES: Linda Boles, court reporter.

000004 MS. KHAZRAEE: Sandy Khazraee, CenturyLink. 1 MR. McCABE: Tom McCabe, TDS Telecom. 2 3 MR. HATCH: Tracy Hatch, AT&T. MS. NOBLES: Debbie Nobles, NEFCOM. 4 5 MS. FINLEY: Debbie Finley, NEFCOM. MS. STEFFENS: Lisa Steffens, OPC. 6 7 MR. TEITZMAN: Adam Teitzman with the Commission. 8 9 MR. CASEY: And, of course, I'm Bob Casey. 10 Could we take appearances on the 11 telephone, please. 12 MR. CHAMIZO: Jorge Chamizo from TracFone 13 Wireless. 14 MS. MATARI: Abby Matari from Zing Wireless. 15 MR. BRADBURY: Jay Bradbury, Cox Communications. 16 17 MR. CORCORAN: Martin Corcoran, Cox Communications. 18 19 MS. GILSTRAP: Caryl Gilstrap, CenturyLink. 20 MS. MORRISON: Ann Morrison, Fairpoint. 21 MR. BAILEY: Sam Bailey, iWireless. 22 MR. LOKEN: Tim Loken, Windstream. 23 MR. CASEY: Anybody else? 24 Okay. I'm going to ask you to do me a 25 favor. For those people on the telephone, could

you please send me an email after the meeting to make sure we get you down in the -- for the court reporter and I get the spelling right? And my email address is Bcasey, that's B-C-A-S-E-Y, @psc.state.fl.us. I appreciate it. That'll help us out.

Okay. We might as well go right into the issues, if anybody doesn't have anything else, questions or anything.

Number one, the Florida Department of Children and Families Web Services Interface which verifies participation in Medicaid, Temporary Assistance for Needy Families, and Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program states that, "If an eligible telecommunications carrier can determine a subscriber's program-based eligibility for Lifeline by accessing one or more databases containing information regarding enrollment in qualifying assistance programs, the eligible telecommunications carrier must access such eligibility databases to determine whether the prospective subscriber qualifies for Lifeline based on participation in a qualifying assistance program."

To give you a little history on this, we were approached by the FCC about a year and a half ago, I think it was, which kind of surprised us. They asked

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

for a conference call. So we're on the conference call, ready to talk, and we said, well, we're here. What do you need? Well, your state has a qualifying Lifeline database for programs. We want to know why all your ETCs are not using it. It's federal law that they have to use it. And we were kind of stunned and surprised. We were just, well, you know, we'll find out, we'll ask.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So at the last work group meeting in December of 2013 we asked, and there were a number of explanations. So we're here to update it, you know. Is everybody on this database now with DCF? Because what's going to happen now when the FCC comes to us again and says how come all your ETCs are not on it, we're going to say, okay, these are the ones that are on it, these are the ones that are not on it. It's your rule. You go after them. So where can we start? Who wants to start?

MR. HATCH: Why does he look at me? MR. CASEY: You started off the last one. MR. HATCH: Yeah, I did. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Come on, Tracy. MR. CASEY: You were great. They didn't even know who it was. They knew I picked you.

MR. HATCH: The short, sweet answer is not

much has changed for us. We still have some serious fundamental problems with building a single state solution when this is really a national issue. And that's -- I know that some discussions have occurred on this with the FCC. I don't know what the -- where they are or where they're going or anything like that. I just don't know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So the answer is we are not -- we have not built to a portal is the answer to your question. As to whether we will is still an open question.

MS. SALAK: But you have not approached DCF at all?

MR. HATCH: No. No. For us, there isn't any real point unless we absolutely have to build to the portal. And until we have to do that, you know, there's no really -- no real reason to do that.

MS. SALAK: So you don't have any cost estimates or anything like that?

MR. HATCH: As far as I know -- there may be some. They may have done some at some point. Because I know that after the last workshop, MaryRose told them to go pull that stuff because you had made -- there was a discussion about how to find it, and I think we relayed that information. Whether they pulled it or not or looked at it or did any financial assessment, I

do not know. But it's a much bigger problem than just 1 the financial and building the machine-to-machine 2 interface. Certainly we're capable. 3 MS. SALAK: But you said that you have had 4 discussions on the federal level? 5 MR. HATCH: As far as I know, that has been 6 7 discussed. I don't know what the contents of those discussions -- whether it was a specific discussion or 8 9 in the context of other things, I don't know. MS. SALAK: Can you find out and put it in 10 11 your comments? 12 MR. HATCH: Maybe, maybe not. The answer is 13 I don't know. It depends on what the nature of the discussions are. They may not tell me and they may not 14 want them divulged. 15 MS. SALAK: Can you file them confidentially? 16 17 MR. HATCH: Probably not. 18 MR. CASEY: I know at the last work group 19 meeting you mentioned that you had some problems with 20 the interpretation of it. Are you still having a 21 problem with the interpretation of the rule? 22 MR. HATCH: The answer to that is yes. And 23 the problem with the interpretation has to do with 24 looking at it with a view of is it mandatory or is it 25 optional, and that's kind of where we started the last

000008

time. We didn't think it was mandatory. You, of course, have informed us that it's mandatory and the FCC apparently believes that it's mandatory, but we'll see. We still hold that opinion, but it's not really worth going through. I mean, it's --

MR. BRADBURY: This is Jay Bradbury at Cox. I'll just follow Tracy since I was a participant last time also. As we learned last time, there actually is not a web portal access to this database. There is only an application programming interface machine-to-machine capability. That is extremely expensive and cost-prohibitive.

We also don't believe that the rules as they are written require us to have it. I've got -- we have a two-page set of talking points that we'd be willing to submit to the staff after the meeting that addresses that, but basically the rule, Parts A and Parts B, are "if" statements separated by an "or." We are compliant with Part B. That doesn't mean that we are not compliant with Part A.

The state provides 90 percent of all the enrollments that we do. The other 10 percent we do under Part B, we receive all the documentation from the subscriber. We're rule compliant.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

24

25

1

2

MR. CASEY: Okay. Jay, could you send me those talking points after the meeting? We'd like to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BRADBURY: I'd be happy to do so.

MR. CASEY: All right. And, again, we're just saying what the FCC told us. They slapped our hand saying why aren't your ETCs on this database, so.

MS. SALAK: May I ask, you said it was cost-prohibitive. Did you do a -- did you price it out?

MR. BRADBURY: We haven't done any specific pricing, but we know what we did in terms of the NLAD. We don't even use an API with the NLAD because it's cost-prohibitive. We use a batch process with them through their secure web portal. But just what we had to do to modify ourselves internally, even to do that approached a half a million dollars. Doing something just for the State of Florida --

MR. CORCORAN: Ain't gonna happen.

MR. BRADBURY: -- would probably cost us more than the reimbursement that we would receive for the next two years.

MS. SALAK: And it ain't gonna happen.

MR. CASEY: And it ain't gonna happen. We got that little comment. That must have been Marty.

Is that Marty?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CORCORAN: It is.

MR. CASEY: Yeah. Okay. You are now down on the court record here.

MS. KHAZRAEE: Sandy Khazraee with

CenturyLink. We are not participating. We do not plan to participate. We did have internal discussions after the last session when we found out it was machine to machine. I do not know whether we actually did a cost study, but I will find out and let you know after this meeting.

We basically have the same issues that the others do. We're in 37 states as an ILEC and an ETC, and it is not what we think of as a prudent use of our resources, the IT resources, the programming, the money to build 37 different interfaces, and especially given that the DCF portal doesn't even capture all of the Lifeline eligible population in Florida. It's only three of the programs granted, and probably the three with the highest percentage of subscribers, but it does not capture them all. So we at this point do not plan to participate in that.

MR. CASEY: Okay. Just for your information, we do keep track of how many people come in using

	000012
1	programs and what type of programs, and over 90 percent
2	of the ones that we come in are through DCF programs.
3	MS. SALAK: What percentage is it for
4	CenturyLink?
5	MS. KHAZRAEE: I would bet it's pretty close.
6	Yeah. We get some folks that come to us directly. We
7	get a few from OPC. We've had a few, you know, we've
8	had some through National School Lunch. But I'd say
9	probably 90 percent come through DCF, but it's not
10	100 percent.
11	MS. SALAK: How many do you think, how many
12	do you think apply directly with CenturyLink?
13	MS. KHAZRAEE: I do not know that percentage.
14	Caryl, I doubt you've got that percentage at the top of
15	your head either, do you?
16	MS. GILSTRAP: No. But I would agree it's
17	real close to the 90/10; 90 percent DCF, 10 percent
18	other.
19	MR. CASEY: How about other companies? Tom,
20	do you know?
21	MR. MCCABE: TDS Telecom, our position is no
22	different than the rest of them. We have not used the
23	portal and we don't intend to. I mean, we've got
24	limited resources. You know, we're involved in you
25	know, we're trying to acquire companies and things of

that nature and trying to deal with our IS (phonetic) 1 folks focusing on those issues that are a lot more 2 important to the company than, than this would be. 3 I thought at the last meeting a while back 4 though that DCF was going to be looking into an 5 Internet-based arrangement that we would be able to 6 7 access through that as opposed to a machine-tomachine arrangement, because I don't see us doing a 8 9 machine-to-machine arrangement. 10 MR. CASEY: JD, are you on the phone, by 11 chance? MS. JOHNSON: I'm sitting right here. 12 13 MR. CASEY: Oh, JD, I didn't see you over 14 there. I'm sorry. 15 Has anything --MS. JOHNSON: We had a discussion yesterday, 16 17 and we are looking into a web service based program. 18 So they're -- but they did advise that we try to do it 19 all as one group so we can keep the cost down for everybody. So they're investigating that now and will 20 21 get that back to us. 22 MR. CASEY: Very good. Very good. 23 Go ahead. 24 MS. NOBLES: This is Debbie Nobles, and we 25 did provide some cost estimates previously, and they

000013

were probably way understated because we actually don't have in-house resources that are even knowledgeable enough to determine what would be required to do this.

Also, also filed in my comments, just like we were just saying that we do this in a number of other states where we do access DCF's or the comparable state service that, that oversees these programs, but we've had to -- we have never had to incur any costs to do that, and it has always been something that's been easily accessible, very user-friendly for us, makes it very easy for us to do this.

We have not made any plans to connect to DCF through their portal. We have talked about alternatives, because I think one of the, one of the things that also was discussed at the last workshop was whether the Public Service Commission, who does have access to the portal, could be used as some sort of an interface, at least for the smaller companies, where the costs would really be very considerable based on the number of customers that would even be qualifying for Lifeline.

As a go-around, kind of a workaround program for that we were thinking of setting up a computer within our business office. And if

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

000015

customers came in and they qualified for Lifeline under one of those programs, we would walk them through the steps of sitting down at the computer, logging into the Commission's website, and having everything done that way. We do have a lot of walk-in traffic, so this would probably take care of a number of our customers. Other folks that apply online obviously have access to a computer, and we would prefer to refer them to the Florida Public Service Commission's website and have them apply using the form -- I think it's 158 -- the online application if they qualify under one of those programs. And then that way we sort of meet the requirement without us actually having to spend the money to connect directly to DCF.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CASEY: So it's a very streamlined process on the PSC website.

MS. NOBLES: Yes, it looks like it is.

MR. CASEY: They can apply, and our computer actually does a query over at DCF to verify that that person is actually on the program they said they are. So they're automatically qualified if they come back.

MS. NOBLES: Yeah. And that's the way we were looking at it is if the customer has walked into the office, they don't have to perform really any extra

steps. They would have to fill in an application regardless. So sitting them down at the computer and having them do it there would be, would work just as well. Now we can do that, the size company we are.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CASEY: Would you mind if I shared the cost? Is that okay?

MS. NOBLES: Oh, no. Yeah, not at all. Not at all.

MR. CASEY: Okay. The comments that we received from NEFCOM would be \$36,290 to set it up initially; an additional \$3,500 needed for hardware.

MR. O'ROARK: De O'Roark with Verizon. We may be in a bit different position than the other companies because we are undertaking a similar project in another state and expect to connect to their portal next month. And so it may be that work done on that project could help us here in Florida. Like the others, we have not connected to the DCF portal. We're not connected today. We have reached out to the IT department at DCF and were told that they were swamped with the Affordable Care Act and that they would get back to us, and they haven't gotten back to us.

Obviously, as Jay Bradbury said, it's a machine-to-machine interface. You've got to get requirements from DCF so that you can build to the

portal, and we don't have those requirements. So we've kind of been stuck. But it's something that we're willing to look at and perhaps pursue, but we've got to get the information.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CASEY: JD, do you have any idea how long in the future it would be before you look at a web -or internet-based instead of machine-to-machine?

MS. JOHNSON: We had -- like, yesterday we had a conversation with IT, and they're trying to get us someone to figure out how long it's going to take because they are doing the Affordable Care Act and that's top priority right now. And so they are trying to figure out how we can get you guys in there quickly, but they are working on it. And I've been corresponding with your staff back and forth.

MR. O'ROARK: With Kevin?

MS. JOHNSON: Yes. Uh-huh.

MR. O'ROARK: Okay. Good.

MR. CASEY: Okay. Other companies like to comment on this on the phone maybe?

MR. BAILEY: Hi. This is Sam Bailey with iWireless. Similar to the Verizon guy there, we definitely would be interested in looking into it. I know we've reached out in the past and kind of gotten similar feedback. So we would, we would definitely be

	000018
1	eager to learn more about it.
2	MR. CASEY: Okay. We'll keep you updated on
3	it as it progresses, as DCF progresses.
4	MR. BAILEY: Okay.
5	MR. CASEY: JD, would you keep me informed?
6	MS. JOHNSON: Yes.
7	MR. CASEY: Or Beth informed.
8	MS. SALAK: Us informed.
9	MS. JOHNSON: Are you short timing it?
10	MR. CASEY: How could you tell? October this
11	year I'll be retired.
12	Okay. Any other comments?
13	Okay. Let's go on to number two then.
14	The National Lifeline Accountability Database, how
15	is it working? Are you having any problems with
16	it? Are you receiving complaints from consumers
17	that NLAD is providing incorrect information?
18	I just received three complaints on it
19	yesterday and today from consumers saying, hey,
20	they rejected me because they say I have multiple
21	phones. I only have one phone. And so we have to
22	send those complaints on.
23	And are you, are the companies taking
24	people off that are no longer eligible? Are you
25	taking them off NLAD within the 24-hour period
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

that's required? Do you know that, if you're doing that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. McCABE: I don't have an answer on that, but we've had the same issue with regard to customer complaints. Being told that they're duplicate, they get upset over that. They also don't understand why they have to provide any of their identity. They don't understand the whole process. And that's been the biggest issues that we've had, but it's duplicate.

MS. KHAZRAEE: Sandy Khazraee with CenturyLink. We're having issues with TPIV failures, we're having address duplicates, subscriber duplicate issues, and I actually have a person on the phone who can probably answer your question as far as CenturyLink.

Caryl, I know we've talked about it, but I'd rather you answer the question. So when we have a customer who's no longer either our customer or they are no longer our Lifeline discounted customer, that is a real-time process removing that from their, their account and having that update NLAD; correct? It's real-time.

MS. GILSTRAP: Correct. In fact, its automated process, we do use the API. So once the order processes, the account is de-enrolled from NLAD.

000020

MS. KHAZRAEE: But we do, we do get complaints, we have issues. And Caryl actually gave me some, some specific examples when we were preparing for this where -- okay. First of all, TPIV failures. So _ _ MR. CASEY: Could you, could you say what TP MS. KHAZRAEE: Third-party verification. MR. CASEY: Okay. Just for the record. MS. KHAZRAEE: Right. It's part of USAC verification. And I got educated on this yesterday. Caryl, correct me if I say anything wrong. It uses a LexisNexis database, which actually seems to be a credit check database. So we sometimes have Lifeline customers who are not in that database because they don't have credit, they've never probably tried to get credit, and so it doesn't find anything for those customers. We also have -- and it uses date of --

name, date of birth, and the last four of the Social Security number. That's specifically what it's checking against.

Usually the third party, the TPIV failures are because of duplicate subscribers. Duplicate address, we had one recently where it told us that

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

there was already a Lifeline discount at that location, so it was a customer that I think had come through the FPSC database. We had to send a letter to the customer and say we can't give you Lifeline. It says there's a duplicate address. They said, well, I'm the only -you know, no, there's not one here. So then they have to fill out the IEH, independent economic household, form.

MR. CASEY: To show that there's two households at the one address?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. KHAZRAEE: Or -- and I may be getting that wrong, Caryl. So sometimes we require them to fill out the IEH which either says I'm it and we don't have another one here and I'm swearing to that, or there's two independent and that one doesn't have anything to do with me. And then --

MS. GILSTRAP: That's correct.

MS. KHAZRAEE: And then we can update NLAD if it turns out that it requires an IEH.

Caryl also tells me that address duplication sometimes happens in apartment buildings even when our customer has provided their apartment number and we have submitted that. NLAD only recognizes the street address, does not recognize the individual unit, and we have

customers fail because it didn't pick up that it was a different apartment number.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Caryl, are there any others that just stand out at you that you'd like to mention?

MS. GILSTRAP: One of the things that I've noticed about the first issue that was brought up where customers are not removed in a timely manner from NLAD so it looks like they're a duplicate subscriber isn't so much that they, the company who originally had provided the Lifeline service didn't de-enroll them in time, it's that they're still stuck in the duplicate database. And once they hit a duplicate database at some point, you can't remove them. And USAC won't remove them until they've completed whatever their process is. And, unfortunately, we're going through another round of duplicate mailings because NLAD has allowed duplicates to be entered into the database.

MR. TEITZMAN: Do you get a confirmation --I'm sorry. Do you get a confirmation when you de-enroll someone, or is there no feedback?

MS. GILSTRAP: Yeah. It says successful. If you do it through API, there is no confirmation other than the file was accepted and the row was accepted. If you do it through manual processing, if you're just in the app and actually keying it in, you'll get a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

screen notice that says the de-enroll is successful.

MR. CASEY: So, Caryl -- this is Bob Casey -let me ask you one thing. If a person showed up on the duplicates database from June of last year and they got rid of both those companies but now they want to apply with a new one, they would still be rejected, right, because they're on the duplicates database?

MS. GILSTRAP: Yes. Exactly. If they haven't been moved out of the duplicates database, and quite a few customers are still in that duplicates database, there's nothing we can do about it. And we have complained and complained and complained.

Now just recently with the latest round of mailings they've told us that if you find any of the customers in this list are no longer with you on Lifeline, let us know and we will de-enroll them. So that just happened in January, so this is new. But since then, it's -- they've left them in the duplicates database.

MR. CASEY: And that's caused --

MS. GILSTRAP: But that could have caused some of those errors that we were seeing in those complaints.

24 MR. CASEY: I could see where it causes a lot 25 of problems.

000024

MS. GILSTRAP: Yes.

MR. CASEY: Okay. Other companies like to comment or OPC?

MS. SIRIANNI: Bob, this is MaryRose with AT&T. And just to kind of follow up with what others are saying, we basically feel like we're kind of stuck in the middle because we get the customers' complaints and, you know, we can't send them to NLAD for questions, you know. Our comment is maybe there needs to be some customer service that they can call there to find out, you know, like, what's the problem, because we really can't answer it at that point. So, I mean, that's something that maybe they could do that could help the customers -- on the customers' end that they would have some, you know, somewhere to go or to call. So we are getting complaints, and a lot of it has to do, you know, with the issues that they're talking about, but there needs to be somewhere where the customer can go to get answers.

MS. KHAZRAEE: And this is Sandy with CenturyLink. Caryl has also made that plea to us as well, that we do have some states where there is a state administrator who is in the middle, and it kind of takes us out of the middle. And they would love to see that in Florida. I don't know, you know, who that

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

would be, but, you know, I don't really think it should be us because, you know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GILSTRAP: This is Caryl. We see a lot of repeat add requests, Lifeline requests from the PCS [sic] file where we will see the customer come in, let's say, in October and request us to add Lifeline but they fail NLAD for either TPIV or duplicate subscriber, whatever, duplicate address. And then so we send them a letter saying I'm sorry we couldn't add Lifeline because of this error, but if you'll send us this information, then we will enroll you in Lifeline. They don't. They go back to the PCS, reapply, and then in January we get another request to add Lifeline. And it's just a vicious circle because the customer is not completing the additional information needed to get them into NLAD.

MR. CASEY: How has NLAD been working with the companies? Have they been responsive?

MS. GILSTRAP: NLAD just fails them. They don't really --

MR. CASEY: You don't call them up or anything?

MS. GILSTRAP: NLAD can't resolve the problem if the customer doesn't provide the proof needed. So if they don't complete the IEH worksheet and return it

to us, NLAD doesn't -- isn't going to accept them. And USAC isn't really willing to work with us on that, no, not at all. If the customer doesn't provide proof of identity, if they just go back to your portal and reapply and you send us a new file to add them, then we're just hitting a vicious circle of them failing NLAD. We tell them we need this additional information, they don't do it, they go back to you and reapply. And so we have no one to contact to say, hey, your customer has to fill this out or we're never going to be able to add them to the Lifeline program.

000026

MR. CASEY: De, did you have something?

MR. O'ROARK: I did. De O'Roark with Verizon. Actually overall we think the process is working reasonably well, but we are seeing the same problems that everybody else is with these false positives.

One thing I understand that NLAD is going to do is start giving us at least some of the reasons for the rejects. Right now you've just got a reject and you have to send a letter to the customer saying, sorry, you're rejected. We need proof. So -- and others probably have more detail. But my understanding is that at least they'll tell you, okay, there was a date of birth issue, had a

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

last four of the Social Security number issue, so that at least if you know that, when you send the letter you can be specific as to the information that you need and maybe avoid some of the vicious cycle problem. But, otherwise, it's pretty much the same remarks as the others.

MR. CASEY: Okay. Tom?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. McCABE: The initial complaint that we've seen more recently is providing the identity information because customers are concerned about identity theft, and that's become the bigger problem.

MR. CASEY: That's a balance they have to work out, identity theft or, you know, getting on Lifeline. That's required by law. You got to -- they got to make the choice.

Okay. Any other comments on the telephone maybe?

MR. BAILEY: This is Sam with iWireless. The one thing that I would point out from the applications from the, the PSC would be, I mean, we need to have a valid address. We're seeing a lot of rejects from that. I don't know if we're getting a whole lot of complaints from it, but that would definitely be my recommendation is just to validate the address. So, you know, that could be -- even, even though NLAD still

000028 does that when we get the application, if we don't have 1 2 a completed address on that subscriber, I mean, it's going to get rejected. So -- and we have to reach back 3 out to the customer to go through that process again. 4 So my recommendation would be even to 5 validate the address through USPS.gov or something 6 7 like that to at least get us in -- you know, when we get the subscriber from you guys, that we're 8 9 able to have a valid address. 10 MS. SALAK: You were very specific. Those were ones coming from the PSC, meaning from our 11 12 website? MR. BAILEY: Yeah. 13 14 MS. SALAK: Or do you mean the ones that were routing through DCF? 15 16 MR. BAILEY: I thought it was from the --17 MR. CASEY: The ETCs --18 MR. BAILEY: -- website, but I'm honestly not 19 sure. MR. CASEY: The emails that we send ETCs 20 21 don't differentiate whether they come through the PSC 22 website or DCF. All we say is that they have been 23 approved and validated. 24 MR. BAILEY: Yeah. So my recommendation is 25 just to give us a valid address. I mean, if the, if

the information says homeless, we're not able -- I mean, we try to reach back out to the customer, if we can, but we're not able to validate anything else. I mean, we won't -- we'll have to reject that subscriber if we don't have a valid address. And I'm not sure that this is related to consumer complaints, but it's definitely -- I mean, we've had issues with it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CASEY: Okay. I appreciate it, Sam. Anybody else have comments or like to make a comment?

Okay. Let's go on to number three then. TDM to IP transition -- what will happen to Lifeline? Anybody know? Tracy?

MR. HATCH: I don't think anyone knows. We clearly do not know.

MR. CASEY: You don't know?

MR. HATCH: And we didn't know before but we really don't know now with the whole push now to essentially declare everything under Title 2. It just muddies up everything completely. Nobody knows what's going to happen to Lifeline.

MR. CASEY: Okay. I know in the trials, in the AT&T trials you had put in one of your filings that you're going to eventually ask to, ask for ETC status to be rescinded.

MR. HATCH: That's correct.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	000030
1	MR. CASEY: And by doing that, then you
2	wouldn't be providing Lifeline; right?
3	MR. HATCH: That would be correct. But that
4	would be just us going through a 214 process at the
5	FCC, not Lifeline in general.
6	MR. CASEY: Right. Well, I'm looking at
7	2020. I'm looking down the road, you know. When you
8	do a full IP transition, will people still be able to
9	have Lifeline?
10	MR. HATCH: We don't know.
11	MR. CASEY: You don't know.
12	MR. HATCH: We don't know whether
13	Lifeline will exist. If you regulate everything under
14	Title 2, it's a fair if you get that far and it's a
15	full Title 2 regulation, then nothing changes today.
16	All IP is still Title 2, it's still subject to it
17	becomes a telecom service and everything flows.
18	So either if you're assuming Title 2
19	then I don't think much is going to change. There may
20	be individual skirmishes between carriers about
21	should I be a Lifeline carrier or 214 and, you know,
22	relinquish perhaps? But, in general, that won't change
23	unless some major change happens at the FCC under
24	Title 2.
25	If it isn't Title 2, then it begs the
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

question what will happen, and, candidly, we don't know. Obviously, you know, our intent is to essentially not become -- not be an ETC, but that's not necessarily the

same as Lifeline all goes away.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CASEY: How about CenturyLink? You're going to do trials in Las Vegas?

MS. KHAZRAEE: But -- yes. But our trial is only a business trial.

MR. CASEY: Oh, okay.

MS. KHAZRAEE: And it's us and CLECs and business customers. So we actually don't have any residential, therefore, no Lifeline customers involved in that trial.

I think at this point, you know, Tracy is probably right, it's probably too early to speculate on exactly -- we don't think that, you know, we're going to say nobody could have Lifeline if we went to IP. I mean, we're going to have voice customers still, we feel sure. If you've got voice customers, you can have Lifeline customers. But, you know, I can't make any guarantee at this point that going to IP won't change anything. I just don't know.

MR. CASEY: We're just trying to look down the road.

000032 MS. KHAZRAEE: Yeah. I mean, but --1 MR. CASEY: You know, in the future is there 2 3 going to be Lifeline five years from now? MS. KHAZRAEE: So the short answer is we 4 don't see why we couldn't over IP, but it's not part of 5 our trial and we don't know what the future will hold. 6 7 MR. CASEY: Would anybody else like to comment on that, TDM to IP transition and whether there 8 9 will be Lifeline? MR. CORCORAN: Are you speaking -- this is 10 Martin. Are you speaking technically will it be 11 12 provided? Certainly we can do that today at Cox. MR. CASEY: Right. 13 14 MR. CORCORAN: I don't see that technically 15 being a problem. I'm just wondering, listening to the other ones, it sounds more like it could be more of a 16 17 regulatory battle. 18 MR. CASEY: A regulatory policy question. 19 MR. CORCORAN: Yeah. 20 MR. CASEY: Okay. 21 MR. MCCABE: I would find it hard to believe 22 that the FCC would eliminate Lifeline, because if they 23 don't put it under Title 2, essentially they wouldn't 24 be able to provide support to IP; therefore, Lifeline 25 wouldn't exist. So one would certainly assume that the

FCC is going to find some way to make a change to their procedures, I mean, just like they require, you know, universal service fees on IP, I would have to think. Otherwise, they'd just be gutting the whole program, which I find that hard to envision unless there's a

complete change in administration and folks up there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CASEY: Would anybody else like to comment, have any comments? Before we leave, Tracy, this isn't on the agenda for Lifeline, but I just thought about this.

In your filing with the IP transition you state that coin phones, payphones aren't going to be able to be provided. In other words, the CLECs are --

MR. HATCH: On an IP basis there aren't any IP payphones is, I think, your question.

MR. CASEY: Right. And what I was thinking is, well, are there going to be -- if you go all IP, will there be any coin telephone providers out there because you won't be able to supply them?

> MR. HATCH: The answer is I don't know. MR. CASEY: You don't know?

MR. HATCH: But I never thought there would be as many payphones out there as there were at one point. It boggled the mind as to how -- I mean, you

could find one on every tree and fencepost and five in every hotel lobby. You know, they were just everywhere, almost like slot machines in Las Vegas.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

MR. BRADBURY: Yeah. But try to find one now.

MR. HATCH: Yeah, exactly right. And so if there aren't any under IP, I'm not sure that most people would miss them because there just aren't any now hardly.

MR. CASEY: That's still a question to be answered.

MR. HATCH: That's a question to be answered. The question becomes is if somebody invents and builds an IP payphone, could they get an IP line and connect it to a network and do something with it? Almost certainly. It's probably not even a big technical challenge if somebody is just willing to invest in it, but that's the other question.

MR. CASEY: Okay. I appreciate that. That
was just something --

21 **MR. HATCH:** You know we are out of the 22 payphone business.

MR. CASEY: Oh, yeah.

24 MS. SIRIANNI: Or would there even be a 25 market for it?

MR. HATCH: Yeah. That's a whole different 1 2 issue, but yes. MR. CASEY: Okay. Number four, what's the 3 status of the ILEC request to the FCC to make provision 4 of Lifeline voluntary? 5 MR. HATCH: I think you know the answer to 6 7 that. I haven't read the order, but they basically said no is what I understand. They have done some 8 9 rearranging of how the ETCs are required to provide Lifeline and to whom, but as far as I know, the notion 10 11 of voluntary was rejected, so -- within our footprint. 12 MR. CASEY: Number five, let's talk about --13 did anybody else have questions? 14 Recertifications, which are due January 31st. How will the recertifications be 15 accomplished: In writing, phone, text message, 16 17 email, are you going to let USAC do it? 18 MS. KHAZRAEE: This is Sandy Khazraee with 19 CenturyLink. So in Florida we plan to do it this year the same way we did last year, which, as you know, is 20 21 where we burned the disk, Harvey brought it to you, you 22 took it to DCF. We had our little thing worked out 23 there. It worked really well; we got excellent results 24 from using that method. 25 With regard to the question about USAC, we

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

000036 did have one of our 37 states that we chose to have 1 USAC do the audit for last year sort of to check it 2 3 out, and it wasn't even a particularly large state of hours. They gave us the results on 4 December 20th, which really put us in a time crunch 5 trying to finish that. So we thought, you know, if 6 7 we'd have done all 37 states, we'd have been in a bind getting the results that late. I do not 8 9 believe we intend to use USAC this year for anything. I know we don't intend to use them in 10 11 Florida. I don't think we intend to use them 12 anywhere. MR. CASEY: Tom? 13 14 MR. McCABE: TDS will be doing it in writing 15 and we'll be doing it ourselves, like we have in the 16 past, and will not be using USAC. 17 MR. CASEY: AT&T? 18 MS. SIRIANNI: AT&T the same way as last 19 year, in writing. MR. CASEY: Which was? 20 21 MS. SIRIANNI: In writing. 22 MR. CASEY: In writing? 23 MS. SIRIANNI: Yes. 24 MR. CASEY: Okay. 25 MS. NOBLES: NEFCOM is also in writing. And
000037 we had heard horror stories about USAC doing the 1 recertification, so we have no intention of using USAC. 2 MR. CASEY: Okay. De? 3 MR. O'ROARK: I'm the outlier today. 4 De O'Roark with Verizon. We used USAC last year in 5 Florida. We'll use them again this year. 6 7 MR. CASEY: Did it work out pretty well? MR. O'ROARK: As far as I know. I didn't 8 9 even ask, frankly. I just got the answer. But I'm not 10 aware of major problems. MR. CASEY: How about people on the phone, 11 could you let us know? 12 13 MR. BRADBURY: This is Jay, this is Jay at 14 Cox. We used USAC in 2014. We have not yet made our decision for what we'll do in 2015. 15 16 MR. CASEY: Okay. 17 **UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:** This is Frontier 18 Communications, and we also used USAC and probably will 19 continue with that process. MS. SIRIANNI: One of the things -- this is 20 21 MaryRose with AT&T -- and I'm just going to bring this 22 up and maybe y'all know. One of the things that my 23 folks were telling me is that one of the reasons that 24 we haven't used USAC is that we were told by them that 25 they have to do all the markets the same. So like if

you have a state that has a specific request, that they couldn't do it. Like we have a state that's, I don't know, Missouri has some special requests that are different. So I guess unless we could have them do all of them, then we'll, you know, continue to do them ourselves so that there's not some they're doing and some they're not. But has anybody else ran into that?

000038

MS. NOBLES: We -- yeah. This is Debbie at NEFCOM, and we did run into the same thing.

MS. SIRIANNI: Okay.

MS. NOBLES: And I even tried to get a clarification from USAC. We have one study area that crosses into two states and we do have one state that has different requirements, and I thought it would just be applied to that particular study area, but the response I got back from USAC was it applies to all study areas. It's either all or nothing.

MS. GILSTRAP: And this is Caryl with CenturyLink. And I agree, we have the same problem. We have 37 states and quite a few of them that have unique state available programs that USAC would not recognize or recertify. So we chose not to use USAC as well.

MS. SIRIANNI: So, I mean, you know, from AT&T's perspective, if that's something that USAC

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

25

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

000039 would, you know, would talk about or work with us, I 1 mean, we probably would consider using them for the 2 3 recertification if they would do all of them. But to have to, you know, pick and choose, then we'll continue 4 to do it ourself. Because we do use them on some of 5 our wireless recertifications, at least in one wireless 6 7 territory I know of. MR. CASEY: Anybody else have comments? 8 MS. MORRISON: Fairpoint -- excuse me --9 Fairpoint has been doing recertification in writing and 10 we plan to continue that. 11 12 MR. CASEY: Okay. MR. LOKEN: Same for Windstream. 13 This is 14 Tim. MR. CHAMIZO: TracFone does all of ours 15 in-house as well. 16 17 MR. CASEY: You do a lot of text messaging, 18 don't you, TracFone? 19 MR. CHAMIZO: Text messaging, email, voice 20 blast, and direct mail. 21 MR. CASEY: Okay. Anybody else? 22 MR. BAILEY: Yeah, iWireless, Sam Bailey. 23 Yeah, we do the same thing. We bring it all in-house, 24 don't use USAC. 25 MR. CASEY: Okay. Anyone else? FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

One thing I would like to make a note of is that when you submit the Form 555s this year, the FCC opened a new docket to put it in, and that's Docket 14-171. I've noticed on the FCC website a lot of companies still put them in Docket 11-42, but they're asking the companies to put the Form 555s in Docket 14-171 now so they'll all be together.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And also as a courtesy, if you would, as you send them there, send us a copy, if you would.

Okay. Number 6, any other ideas to streamline the enrollment process for both the applicant and the ETC, make life easier for the applicant and the ETC? That's what we're here for.

MS. GILSTRAP: This is Caryl with CenturyLink, and I'd like to see that we have some kind of personal interface, someone we can reach out to, especially when we have those circling NLAD issues where the customer applies with the PSC or the OPC and fails NLAD and then goes back and reapplies with them again. Personal contact.

MR. CASEY: Are you talking about personal contact with USAC or anyone?

MS. GILSTRAP: No, with either the PSC or with OPC.

000041

MR. CASEY: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GILSTRAP: So we can reach back out to that customer. Because, like I said, the customers are not replying to the letters that we're sending them and they're just going back and reapplying with the state agencies. So if we can have someone who will help us interact with that customer and say the only way you're going to get Lifeline is if you provide this additional information, if you complete the IEH form, if you do this, then we can resolve that problem and we don't have that continuing circling back, back into PSC, failing NLAD, back into PSC, failing NLAD, and never actually getting Lifeline.

MR. CASEY: Okay. I think that could be arranged.

MS. SALAK: How many, how many people are you talking about? How many complaints? Just a rough estimate.

MS. GILSTRAP: For example, I just looked at last week's file from the PSC, and we had five people who fell into this category from that. So every week if we have five people, that's 20 to 25 people a month that are running into this issue.

MR. CASEY: Because of failure from NLAD; right?

MS. GILSTRAP: It's failing NLAD and then 1 never supplying the additional information needed to 2 3 get into NLAD. And, like I said, USAC isn't going to resolve that problem for us. The customer has to 4 resolve that problem, except they're not. They're just 5 reapplying. 6 7 MS. SALAK: I thought that Sandy had mentioned earlier that the states that have 8 9 administrators have been able to help, or are they --10 MS. GILSTRAP: Yes, they have. MS. SALAK: And what are they doing for you? 11 12 MS. GILSTRAP: They will actually reach out 13 to the customer and say we need this form completed. If you, you know, complete this form, then we can 14 15 submit it, you can get into NLAD, and then Lifeline can be added to your account. 16 17 MR. CASEY: That's something we'll have to --18 MR. SPEARS: This is Harvey Spears. 19 Caryl, didn't you mention that you were communicating electronically? 20 21 MS. GILSTRAP: Yes, via email. 22 MR. SPEARS: Thank you. 23 MS. GILSTRAP: So I emailed that 24 administrator, she reaches out to the customer, and 25 then emails me back either the form or the information

000043 from the form. Either way is acceptable as long as I 1 can get the information into NLAD. 2 MR. CASEY: That's something we're going to 3 have to discuss here at the PSC. 4 MR. HATCH: Beth, your phone number is 5 850-413 --6 7 MS. SIRIANNI: I was going to say, don't you have Bob's number on speed dial? 8 9 MR. HATCH: Yeah, really. MR. CASEY: Bob's a short-timer, remember. 10 MS. SALAK: I'll give you Bob's number. 11 12 Sure. 13 MS. SIRIANNI: How short again? Remind us. 14 MR. CASEY: October, October 31st. 15 Halloween. MR. HATCH: Yeah. He'll be walking out the 16 17 door with Beth hanging on his leg. 18 MS. SALAK: You got it. 19 MS. SIRIANNI: We have Curtis's on speed 20 dial, too. 21 MR. CASEY: Again, that's something we'll 22 have to discuss here at the PSC because we don't -- our 23 resources are low, as you know. We've been getting 24 shorter and shorter, less people. We get an average of 25 2,500 applications a week through the electronic

000044 process. I know if CenturyLink has five, there's going 1 to be a lot more, and I don't know that we have the 2 personnel that can handle that. 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hey, Bob, you could, 4 in your next life, you could be a contract service. 5 MS. SALAK: There you go. 6 7 MR. HATCH: Sounds like a wonderful business opportunity. 8 9 MR. CASEY: Could be. Never know. 10 MS. SALAK: So the companies are going to pay him, is that --11 12 MR. CASEY: Yeah. There you go. MS. SALAK: 13 Okay. 14 MR. CASEY: One of my thoughts, you know, we 15 have this electronic application process here where we 16 do a dip into DCF. In the long-term I was thinking, 17 well, maybe we can do it into NLAD and do a dip in DCF 18 and then do a dip in NLAD for you, but there's no way 19 we could possibly do it because we don't have the 20 resources for the rejections. There's just no way, 21 unless the companies would like to, you know, finance 22 another 20 people for our office. 23 MS. GILSTRAP: Another thing that I noticed 24 about the applications that are out there from the PSC

and from OPC and even DCF, that they don't contain an

25

000045

IEH form. They also don't contain a statement or some kind of attestation that says by applying I give company X permission to transfer my Lifeline benefits to company X, which is a problem. Because if I get a file from the PSC and the customer is a duplicate subscriber, I do have the opportunity to do a benefit transfer, and whoever they have Lifeline with I would remove the benefit and give it to CenturyLink. But I can't do that because that would require me actually having to call the customer and get in contact with them, which will be difficult because most people are at work during the day, and then get their permission to do the benefit transfer. But if it were on the application itself as one of the attestations, then that would solve our problem. We would already have permission.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SALAK: As you're probably aware, we're going to be doing a Lifeline workshop on the rule this afternoon, so I'm hoping this will come up again.

MR. BRADBURY: Yeah. That's a very good point there. This is Jay Bradbury, Cox Communications. In point of fact, the Public Service Commission's applications are not compliant with the FCC's rules. I know the FCC has blessed them, but they're not compliant with the rules.

MR. CASEY: And could you say why? What are 1 2 we missing? MR. BRADBURY: Well, the things, several 3 things that she mentioned there are required but not on 4 5 the present, present form. The NLAD, the NLAD disclaimer is not on there. Probably what we could do 6 7 is, you know, look at a compliant form versus the PSC form and you could update your forms. 8 9 MS. SALAK: Certainly. Are you going to be involved in our Lifeline workshop this afternoon? 10 11 MR. BRADBURY: I will be, yes, ma'am. 12 MS. SALAK: Great. And then, of course, 13 there's a comment period, too, where we ask for what 14 language you would like to see. 15 MR. CASEY: We're going to request comments 16 from the companies as a post-meeting type thing, if you 17 could give us some written comments. 18 MR. BRADBURY: Sure. 19 MR. CASEY: And if you -- we picked a date of February 27th. Would everybody be okay with that? 20 21 That's a little over a month. 22 MS. SIRIANNI: Are you talking about --23 MS. SALAK: We're talking about this one. 24 MR. CASEY: For this meeting here, for the

work group. Yeah. Post work group meeting comments.

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

000046

000047 MR. BRADBURY: Sure. 1 MR. HATCH: That's after direct and before 2 rebuttal. 3 MS. SALAK: Yes, it is. 4 MR. CASEY: That's a whole other story. 5 MR. HATCH: But it fits right in the window 6 7 where it might actually work for me. MR. CASEY: Right. And you can submit those 8 9 comments to me at my email address -- Bcasey 10 @psc.state.fl.us -- up until October 31st. 11 MS. SALAK: I'm just going to keep that one 12 open because you get so much stuff. 13 MS. KHAZRAEE: This is Sandy Khazraee with 14 CenturyLink. And one more pie in the sky idea for, for 15 Lifeline enrollment, and this is something that our folks have said is, you know, we ETCs shouldn't be 16 17 doing the enrollment at all anyway. It's kind of like 18 a customer who qualifies for food stamps and asks the 19 grocery store where they go to buy their groceries to 20 get the paperwork all together and say, oh, okay, you 21 qualified, here's your coupon for your food stamps. I 22 don't know where it would go. There's so many 23 different programs that, you know, people can qualify 24 through to get the Lifeline. And I'm not generally one 25 who's in favor of big government, but that's just a

1 thought.

2	MR. CASEY: We'd have, we'd have two choices:
3	Either hire a third party or increase the size of the
4	Office of Telecommunications, both of which I don't
5	think is going to happen.
6	MS. KHAZRAEE: So, anyway, just a
7	MS. SIRIANNI: Well, yeah. Go ahead.
8	MR. HATCH: Go ahead.
9	MS. SIRIANNI: No. Go ahead.
10	MR. HATCH: There's a lot of internal
11	discussion about this idea. It's not entirely new. In
12	fact, it's actually quite old.
13	MS. SALAK: Right.
14	MS. SIRIANNI: I think we brought it up a few
14 15	MS. SIRIANNI: I think we brought it up a few years ago.
15	years ago.
15 16	years ago. MS. SALAK: You did.
15 16 17	years ago. MS. SALAK: You did. MR. HATCH: And Sandy's right, the
15 16 17 18	years ago. MS. SALAK: You did. MR. HATCH: And Sandy's right, the carriers it just is a cumbersome process to put the
15 16 17 18 19	years ago. MS. SALAK: You did. MR. HATCH: And Sandy's right, the carriers it just is a cumbersome process to put the carriers in. I guess the basic thought would be when
15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>years ago. MS. SALAK: You did. MR. HATCH: And Sandy's right, the carriers it just is a cumbersome process to put the carriers in. I guess the basic thought would be when they qualify for the programs today, other than the</pre>
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	years ago. MS. SALAK: You did. MR. HATCH: And Sandy's right, the carriers it just is a cumbersome process to put the carriers in. I guess the basic thought would be when they qualify for the programs today, other than the people that walk through the door, they could be
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	years ago. MS. SALAK: You did. MR. HATCH: And Sandy's right, the carriers it just is a cumbersome process to put the carriers in. I guess the basic thought would be when they qualify for the programs today, other than the people that walk through the door, they could be accounted for, they're actually a fairly small number,

000049 determine eligibility. They could do the NLAD dip. And at that point DCF is a social agency, their function is to provide social benefits, that's what they do. You could add essentially a telephone component as an additional benefit that they could get on their little debit cards they've been issued. Then you're out of the ETC issue, you're out of the everything. Lifeline could go on without any kinds of special carrier requirements at all, and a person walks in the door, they can pay for their phone service. Some of it would be their money, some of it would be their benefit, but we wouldn't care at that point. MS. SIRIANNI: For that matter, it even, you know, takes away some of the third-party administrator, you know, that you were referring to that it, you know, is the responsibility of the agency. MR. CASEY: And I'm sure --MS. SIRIANNI: And I know it's pie in the sky, but, I mean --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CASEY: It's pie in the sky. I'm sure DCF has crunch on their budget, too.

MS. JOHNSON: Yes. Yes.

MS. SIRIANNI: Absolutely. I mean, ultimately when we talk about it, I mean, really when you think about Lifeline and the type of program it is,

000050 I mean, you know, it should belong with the rest of the 1 social type programs. But, I mean, ultimately will we 2 get there, you know? But I think we shouldn't stop 3 talking about it or thinking about it, I mean, if 4 there's ever a way, I mean. 5 MR. HATCH: I mean, at that point then the 6 7 carriers wouldn't be submitting for reimbursement from the feds. The feds could take the money direct to DCF. 8 9 Just on fraud alone you'd probably pay for the program. MR. CASEY: Any other comments? 10 MR. HATCH: You wouldn't have to audit 11 carriers, do any of that kind of stuff. 12 13 MR. CASEY: Okay. 14 MS. SIRIANNI: Bob's like that's after my life. 15 16 MR. CASEY: After I'm gone. 17 MR. HATCH: Anyway, that's a thought that's 18 gaining some traction. MR. CASEY: Okay. How about any other ideas 19 20 to streamline the process? None? Well, if -- go 21 ahead. 22 MR. BAILEY: Bob, this is Sam from iWireless. 23 The only other thing that I would add would be the, 24 just to have a good contact phone number, if that's 25 possible. That way we can try to reach out to the FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

customer because we don't always --1 **MR. CASEY:** A contact number for the PSC? 2 MR. BAILEY: The subscriber. 3 **MR. CASEY:** For the subscriber? 4 MR. BAILEY: Yeah. When we're getting the 5 applications from, you know, the PSC, we want to make 6 7 sure that we can reach back out to them if we need to. You know, we are seeing the similar things that, you 8 know, with the IEH forms where we're having to reach 9 10 back out to them. But I would definitely want to --I'm in agreement that we definitely need to make sure 11 that we're completely compliant on, you know, all of 12 13 the attestations that they need to go through as well. 14 MR. CASEY: Okay. Now --MR. BAILEY: But we can talk this afternoon. 15 16 MR. CASEY: When you get the email from the 17 PSC, it includes their telephone number; right? 18 MR. BAILEY: Not always. 19 MR. CASEY: Okay. 20 MR. BAILEY: Yeah. And, you know, what I --21 and I want to reiterate what I said earlier because I 22 think it probably fits more down here, just having a 23 valid address, you know, not putting homeless there or 24 something like that. Because we, you know, it takes an 25 extra step that we have to try to contact the customer,

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

000051

and, you know, nine times out of ten we're not able to get ahold of them. MR. CASEY: Okay. Anybody else have some ideas? If you don't have any right now, you can always include them in your comments when you send in your comments by February 27th. Any other things you'd like to talk about Lifeline? If not, we can adjourn. Thank you very much for coming. (Meeting concluded at 11:30 a.m.) FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	000053
1	STATE OF FLORIDA)
2	COUNTY OF LEON) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
6	
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
8	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
11	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	DATED THIS 29th day of January, 2015.
13	DATED THIS 29th day of Sandary, 2013.
14	
15	Ginda Boles
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
17	FPSC Official Hearings Reporter (850) 413-6734
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION