

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for approval of revised
underground residential distribution tariffs, by
Tampa Electric Company.

DOCKET NO. 20180086-EI
ORDER NO. PSC-2018-0319-TRF-EI
ISSUED: June 25, 2018

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

ART GRAHAM, Chairman
JULIE I. BROWN
DONALD J. POLMANN
GARY F. CLARK
ANDREW GILES FAY

ORDER GRANTING TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY'S REVISED UNDERGROUND
RESIDENTIAL DISTRIBUTION TARIFFS

BY THE COMMISSION:

On April 2, 2018, Tampa Electric Company (TECO or Utility) filed a petition for approval of its revised underground residential distribution (URD) tariffs. The tariffs and associated charges are shown in legislative format in Attachment A of this Order. TECO's current URD tariffs were approved in Order No. PSC-2017-0293-TRF-EI.¹

Rule 25-6.078, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), defines investor-owned utilities' (IOU) responsibilities for filing updated URD tariffs. TECO has filed the instant petition pursuant to Rule 25-6.078(3), F.A.C., which requires IOUs to seek our approval of updated URD tariff charges if the Utility's per-lot cost differentials between overhead and underground service based on current material and labor costs vary by more than 10 percent from the existing approved differentials. All IOUs are required to file supporting data and analyses for URD tariffs at least once every three years.

The URD tariffs provide standard charges for underground service in new residential subdivisions and represent the additional costs, if any, the utility incurs to provide underground service in place of overhead service. The cost of standard overhead construction is recovered through base rates from all ratepayers. In lieu of overhead construction, customers have the option of requesting underground facilities. Typically, the URD customer is the developer of the subdivision.

¹Order No PSC-2017-0293-TRF-EI, issued August 1, 2017, in Docket No. 20170073-EI, In re: Petition for approval of revised residential distribution tariffs, by Tampa Electric Company.

Commission staff issued one data request and in its response TECO provided certain corrections to the cost support. We have jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Sections 366.03, 366.04, 366.05, and 366.06, Florida Statutes.

Decision

TECO's URD charges are based on two standard model subdivisions: a 210-lot low density (LD) subdivision, and a 176-lot high density (HD) subdivision. While actual construction may differ from the model subdivisions, the model subdivisions are designed to reflect average overhead and underground subdivisions.

Costs for underground construction have historically been higher than for standard overhead construction and the additional cost is paid by the customer as a contribution-in-aid-of-construction (CIAC). However, as shown on proposed tariff sheet No. 5.510, TECO's proposed URD differential charges are \$0 for both model subdivisions. Therefore, the URD customer will not be assessed a CIAC charge for underground service in a new residential subdivision.

Table 1 presents a comparison between the currently approved and proposed URD differentials for the LD and HD subdivision. The charges shown are per-lot charges.

Table 1
Comparison of URD Differential per Lot

	Current Differential	Approved Differential
Low Density	\$247.69	\$0.00 ²
High Density	\$0.00	\$0.00

Source: Petition page 2; paragraphs 6 and 7

As shown above, the differential for the LD subdivision decreases to \$0 per lot and the approved differential for the HD subdivision remains at \$0. The decrease in the LD differential is primarily attributable to the overhead operational costs increasing at a higher rate than the underground operational costs. The calculations of the proposed URD charges include updated labor and material costs and updated operational costs. The costs are discussed below.

Updated Labor and Material Cost

The installation costs of underground and overhead facilities include the labor and material costs to provide primary, secondary, and service distribution lines as well as transformers. The costs of poles are specific to overhead service while the costs of trenching and backfilling are specific to underground service. TECO's current URD charges are based on 2016 labor and material costs and the proposed charges are based on 2017 costs. Table 2 compares the per-lot 2016 and 2017 underground and overhead labor and material costs (rounded to whole dollars) for the two subdivisions.

² The calculation is as follows: \$793 (rounded Table 1-2) - \$1,284 (Table 1-3) = -\$491; the proposed URD differential per lot is \$0 as the URD differential can not be less than zero.

**Table 2
Labor and Material Costs per Lot**

	2016 Costs	2017 Costs	Difference from 2016 – 2017
Low Density			
Underground labor/material costs	\$2,156	\$2,082	(\$74)
Overhead labor/material costs	\$1,379	\$1,289	(\$90)
Per lot differential	\$777	\$793	\$16
High Density			
Underground labor/material costs	\$1,640	\$1,596	(\$44)
Overhead labor/material costs	\$1,001	\$1,001	\$0
Per lot differential	\$639	\$595	(\$44)

Source: Petition Exhibit pages LD 1 and HD 1 and revised LD 1 filed on May 4, 2018.

The overhead labor and material cost for the LD model subdivision decreased at a higher rate than the underground labor and material cost, resulting in a minor increase in the differential (\$16). For the HD model subdivision, underground labor and material costs decreased, while overhead labor and material cost remained the same, resulting in a decrease in the differential (\$44).

Documentation provided by TECO shows that material costs for both underground and overhead construction increased. Specifically, for the LD subdivision model, underground material cost increased by 3.63 percent and overhead material cost increased by one percent. TECO explained that the utility has seen more volatility in material costs as a result of hurricane restoration work in recent years. However, labor costs decreased by 8.55 percent for underground construction and by 12.61 percent for overhead construction resulting in the overall decrease in total labor/material costs shown in Table 2. TECO explained that labor costs mainly decreased because of a decrease in contract labor rates. The HD subdivision model has similar changes in material and labor costs.

Updated Operational Costs

Rule 25-6.078(4), F.A.C., provides that the differences in Net Present Value (NPV) of actual operational costs between overhead and underground systems, including average historical storm restoration costs over the life of the facilities, be included in the URD charge. Operational costs include operations and maintenance (O&M) costs and capital costs. The inclusion of the operational costs is intended to capture the longer term costs and benefits of undergrounding.

TECO used its actual historical O&M and capital expenses to calculate the operational cost difference for overhead and underground facilities. Table 3 below compares 2016 and 2017 NPV calculations of operational cost differentials (rounded to whole dollars) between overhead and underground systems on a per-lot basis.

Table 3
NPV of Operational Costs Differential per Lot

	2016 Calculation	2017 Calculation	Difference
Low Density			
Underground NPV – Operational Costs	\$1,025	\$1,247	\$222
Overhead NPV – Operational Costs	\$1,554	\$2,531	\$977
Per lot Differential	(\$529)	(\$1,284)	(\$755)
High Density			
Underground NPV – Operational Costs	\$484	\$590	\$106
Overhead NPV – Operational Costs	\$1,157	\$1,871	\$714
Per lot Differential	(\$673)	(\$1,281)	(\$608)

Table 3 shows that the NPV of operational costs for overhead service increased at a significantly higher rate than the NPV of operational costs for underground service. This has the effect of reducing the URD differentials shown on Table 1 to \$0. TECO explained that the inclusion of the 2017 overhead storm restoration costs as a result of Hurricane Irma contributed to the significant increase in the overhead operational costs.³ The operational costs are based on the three-year average of TECO’s operational costs for the years 2015 through 2017.

The methodology used by TECO in its 2017 filing for calculating the NPV of operational costs was approved in Order No. PSC-09-0784-TRF-EI.⁴ In response to a Commission staff data request, TECO stated that it used the same approved methodology in the instant docket. TECO’s NPV calculation uses a 35-year life for the facilities and a 6.81 percent discount rate. Operational costs may vary among IOUs as a result of differences in size of service territory, miles of coastline, regions subject to extreme winds, age of the distribution system, or construction standards.

Other Proposed Tariff Changes

In addition to the approved tariff changes discussed above, we hereby approve TECO’s proposal to revise its non-refundable deposits for estimates of CIAC for conversion of existing overhead distribution facilities to underground facilities. To develop the deposits, TECO adjusted its current deposit amounts by the Consumer Price Index (CPI) of 2.1 percent.⁵ We also approve TECO’s proposed modifications to the charges and credits for customers requesting new underground service laterals from overhead distribution systems and for the conversion of existing service laterals from overhead to underground based on current material and labor costs.

³ TECO provided similar comments in its slide presentation (page 16) at the workshop held on May 2-3, 2018, and in its responses to Commission staff’s First Data Request No. 36, and to Commission staff’s Third Data Request No. 4, in Docket No. 20170215-EU, In re: Review of electric utility hurricane preparedness and restoration actions.

⁴ Order No. PSC-09-0784-TRF-EI, issued November 19, 2009, in Docket No. 090164-EI, In re: Petition for approval of revised tariff sheets for underground residential distribution service, by Tampa Electric Company.

⁵ Table 24 of the CPI Detailed Report published by the United States Department of Labor Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Conclusion

Documentation provided by TECO supports the Utility's assertion that the per-lot cost differentials for the model LD and HD subdivisions is \$0. Based on our review of TECO's changes to its URD tariffs and associated charges, accompanying work papers, and responses to Commission staff's data requests, we find that TECO's URD tariffs and associated charges are reasonable. Therefore, we hereby approve TECO's new URD tariffs and associated charges as shown in Attachment A, effective the date of our vote, June 5, 2018.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Tampa Electric Company's revised Underground Residential Distribution tariffs are hereby approved, effective the date of our vote June 5, 2018. It is further

ORDERED that in the event that a protest is filed by a substantially affected person, the tariffs approved herein shall remain in effect with any revenues held subject to refund pending the resolution of the protest. It is further

ORDERED that this docket shall be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 25th day of June, 2018.



HONG WANG
Chief Deputy Commission Clerk
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
(850) 413-6770
www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.

KRM

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

The Commission's decision on this tariff is interim in nature and will become final, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed action files a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on July 16, 2018.

In the absence of such a petition, this Order shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.



~~NINTH-TENTH~~ REVISED SHEET
NO. 5.510
CANCELS ~~EIGHTH-NINTH~~
REVISED SHEET NO. 5.510

Continued from Sheet No. 5.500

3.6.5.1 Single Meter Commercial Service

Mobile Home Parks will be supplied single-meter commercial service only where park owner or operator supplies (furnishes) electrical service as a part of his rental and/or general service charge to tenants. Resale of electric energy through park owned meters will not be permitted (See 2.2.1)

3.6.5.2 Individual Company Metered Service

Mobile Home Parks will be supplied through company installed individual meters for individual tenants and other types of service required in park under the provisions required on 3.4.3 and 3.4.4 and the subparts appertaining thereto.

3.6.6 Miscellaneous Types of Electric Service

Certain other types of electric service are available from the company. Information on such services not specifically covered in this Tariff may be obtained at the nearest company office. Such special cases will be given individual consideration.

3.7 SCHEDULE OF STANDARD CHARGES AND NON-REFUNDABLE DEPOSITS FOR COST ESTIMATES FOR UNDERGROUND ELECTRIC DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS

3.7.1 Standard Charges

The Standard Charges listed here are Contributions In Aid of Construction (CIAC) which are referenced by other sections of these rules and regulations.

3.7.1.1 Residential Subdivision

Low Density Subdivisions per service lateral or dwelling unit...	\$247.690.00
High Density Subdivisions per service lateral or dwelling unit...	\$0.00

3.7.1.2 New Single-phase UG Service Laterals from Overhead Distribution Systems

Fixed Charge for 2/0 service lateral	\$71.5536
Fixed Charge for 4/0 service lateral	\$103.92106.53
Per trench foot charge for 2/0 service lateral	\$41.0610.02
Per trench foot charge for 4/0 service lateral	\$40.929.91
Credit for service pole if otherwise required for overhead service	\$642.53592.39

Continued to Sheet No. 5.515

ISSUED BY: ~~G. L. Gillette~~ N. G. Tower,
President

DATE EFFECTIVE: ~~July 13, 2017~~



~~FIFTEENTH-SIXTEENTH~~ REVISED
SHEET NO. 5.515
CANCELS ~~FOURTEENTH~~
~~FIFTEENTH~~ REVISED SHEET NO.
5.515

Continued from Sheet No. 5.510

3.7.1.3 Single-phase UG Service Laterals Converted from Existing Overhead Service Drops

Removal charge for overhead service with no service pole	\$112.75 <u>167.70</u>
Removal charge for overhead service with a service pole	\$550.19 <u>752.94</u>
Fixed Charge for 2/0 service lateral	\$71. 55 <u>36</u>
Fixed Charge for 4/0 service lateral	\$103.92 <u>106.53</u>
Per trench foot charge for 2/0 service lateral	\$11.06 <u>10.02</u>
Per trench foot charge for 4/0 service lateral	\$10.92 <u>9.91</u>
Credit for service pole if otherwise required for overhead service	\$642.53 <u>592.39</u>

Continued to Sheet No. 5.516

ISSUED BY: ~~G. L. Gillette~~N. G. Tower,
President

DATE EFFECTIVE: ~~July 13, 2017~~



~~NINTH-TENTH~~ REVISED SHEET
NO. 5.516
CANCELS ~~EIGHTH-NINTH~~
REVISED SHEET NO. 5.516

Continued from Sheet No. 5.515

3.7.2 Non-refundable Deposits for Estimates of CIAC for Conversion of Existing Overhead Distribution Facilities to Underground Facilities

Qualified applicants can request, upon payment of a non-refundable deposit as listed below, the conversion of overhead distribution facilities to underground in accordance with these Rules and Regulations for conversion areas of not less than one (1) city block in length along both sides of the main distribution system, or in the absence of city blocks, not less than five (5) contiguous building lots along both sides of the main distribution system, or in the absence of both, not the less than 600 pole-feet of the main distribution system, including all customers served along both sides of the main distribution system, and so as to result in a decrease in the number of non-lighting poles in the system.

Requests for conversions, except for individual residential service covered under Section 3.4.3.3, will be accompanied by a non-refundable amount as follows:

Density Class	Deposit Amount
Urban Commercial or Residential.....	\$9, 626-896 per mile*
Rural Commercial or Residential.....	\$5, 630-657 per mile*
High or Low Density Subdivision.....	\$ 46-47 per lot

* As measured along the existing overhead primary and secondary distribution system.

ISSUED BY: ~~G. L. Gillette~~ N. G. Tower,
President

DATE EFFECTIVE: ~~July 13, 2017~~