

Kevin I.C. Donaldson Senior Attorney Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 (561) 304-5170 (561) 691-7135 (Facsimile) Kevin.Donaldson@fpl.com

October 11, 2018

-VIA ELECTRONIC FILING-

Carlotta Stauffer, Director Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 20180000-OT: Staff's Supplemental Data Request #5 (No. 1); Florida Power & Light Company's 2018 Ten Year Power Plant Site Plan

Dear Ms. Stauffer:

Please find enclosed for electronic filing a copy of Florida Power & Light Company's response to Staff's Supplemental Data Request #5, Question No. 1.

If there are any questions regarding this transmittal, please contact me at (561)304-5170.

Sincerely,

/s/ Kevin I.C. Donaldson Kevin I.C. Donaldson Fla. Bar No. 0833401

Enclosure

QUESTION:

In reviewing the History of Summer and Winter Peak Demands (Base Case), staff noted some discrepant reports among the TYSPs filed in different years.

Examples are:

```
17,960 MW (2010 TYSP, Schedule 3.1);
Reported 2002 Summer Peak Net Firm Demand
Reported 2002 Summer Peak Net Firm Demand
                                            17,866 MW (2011 TYSP, Schedule 3.1);
Reported 2002 Summer Peak Net Firm Demand
                                            17,851 MW (2012 TYSP, Schedule 3.1);
Reported 2005 Summer Peak Net Firm Demand
                                            20,971 MW (2010 TYSP, Schedule 3.1);
Reported 2005 Summer Peak Net Firm Demand
                                            20,871 MW (2011 TYSP, Schedule 3.1);
Reported 2005 Summer Peak Net Firm Demand
                                            20,858 MW (2012 TYSP, Schedule 3.1).
Reported 2009/10 Winter Peak Net Firm Demand 21,709 MW (2011 TYSP, Schedule 3.2);
Reported 2009/10 Winter Peak Net Firm Demand 22,730 MW (2012 TYSP, Schedule 3.2).
Reported 2014 Winter Peak Net Firm Demand
                                            16,142 MW (2015 TYSP, Schedule 3.2);
Reported 2014 Winter Peak Net Firm Demand
                                            16,083 MW (2016 TYSP, Schedule 3.2).
```

Please verify Schedules 3.1 and 3.2 the Company filed with the Commission previously and provided correct records.

RESPONSE:

2002 and 2005 Summer Peak Net Firm Demand – In FPL's 2011 Ten Year Site Plan (TYSP), FPL adopted a new methodology for reporting the values for its Demand-Side Management (DSM) programs, which impacted Schedules 3.1 and 3.2. This change in methodology affected the historic years' values, such as the two years cited in this Data Request and represents the first cited changes (*e.g.*, 17,960 MW to 17,866 MW). In June, FPL subsequently submitted a revision to the 2011 TYSP Schedules 3.1 and 3.2, which essentially reverted to the previously-used methodology. Please see Attachment No. 1, which includes the revisions and FPL's responses to Staff's 4th Set of Data Requests for the 2011 TYSP and in particular Data Request No. 2 describing this change in methodology. The revised values for the 2011 TYSP remained unchanged in the 2012 TYSP (as shown in this Data Request).

<u>2009/10 Winter Peak Net Firm Demand</u> – The 2011 TYSP had a formula error in this year that mistakenly reduced the Net Firm Demand by subtracting out Residential and C/I Conservation. This was corrected in the 2012 TYSP.

<u>2014 Winter Peak Net Firm Demand</u> – The 2015 TYSP's Residential Load Management Winter MW was mistakenly reported "at the meter" for this year. This was subsequently corrected to be "at the generator" in the 2016 TYSP, which decreased the Net Firm Demand.

Please see Attachment No. 2, which provides Schedules 3.1 and 3.2 of the 2018 TYSP. The Schedules' historic data reflects the revisions described above.



Plorida Pawier & Light Company, 219 S. Monroe Straot, Suite 810, Jallahassier, Fl. 32301

RECEIVED FPSC

11 JUN 10 PM 2: 45

Jessica Cano Principal Attorney Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 (561) 304-5226 (561) 691-7135 (Facsimile)

CLERK

June 10, 2011

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Ann Cole
Division of the Commission Clerk and
Administrative Services
Florida Public Service Commission
Betty Easley Conference Center
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Room 110
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 110000; Corrections to FPL's 2011 Ten Year Power Plant Site Plan

Dear Ms. Cole:

Please find enclosed an original and 25 copies of four replacement pages for FPL's 2011 Ten Year Power Plant Site Plan, originally filed on April 1, 2011, reflecting corrected information.

Specifically, pages 45, 46, 98, and 116 are being replaced. Corrections are included in red, bold font.

Please contact me if you have any questions regarding this filing.

Sincerely,

Supra A Warr

Jessica Cano

Enclosures

cc: Charles Murphy

DOCUMENT NUMBER DATE

04032 JUN 10 =

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

an FPL Group company

Schedule 3.1 History and Forecast of Summer Peak Demand (MW) (Historical)

(1)	(5)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(0)	(9)	(10)
Year	Total	Wholesale	Retail	Interruptible	Res. Load Management	Residential Conservation	C/I Load Management	C/I Conservation	Net Firm Demand
2001	16,764	169	18,585	0	042	697	460	401	17,423
2002	19,219	261	18,958	0	871	254	462	557	17,651
2003	19,668	253	19,415	0	492	708	677	554	18,200
2004	20,545	258	20.287	0	594	040	600	677	19,663
2005	22,351	264	22,097	0	962	695	000	613	20,650
2006	21,619	256	21,563	0	926	048	635	6-60	20,250
2007	21,952	261	21,701	0	952	082	V50	603	20,295
2008	21,050	161	20.679	0	906	1042	760	709	10,334
2000	22,351	249	22,102	0	9-8/1	1097	011	732	20,658
2010	22,255	419	21,037	0	990	1147	815	749	10.555

Historical Values (2001 - 2010):

Col. (2) - Col. (4) are actual values for historical Summer peaks. As such, they incorporate the effects of conservation (Col. 7 & Col. 9), and may incorporate the effects of load control if load control was operated on these peak days. Therefore, Col. (2) represents the actual Net Firm Damand.

Col. (5) - Col. (9) represent actual DSM capabilities starting from January 1988 and are annual (12-month) values except for 2010 values which are August values. Note that the values for FPL's former interruptate field are incorporated into Col. (8), which also includes Business On Call (BDC), Cit.C., and Commercial Industrial Demand Reduction (CDR), Historical Residential Load Management MWs reflect the site of new Recoursment and Vertification (w/peritcipant factors.)

Col. (10) represents a HYPOTHETICAL "Net Firm Demand" as if the load control values had definitely been exercised on the peak. Col. (10) is derived by the formula: Col. (10) = Col.(2) - Col.(6) - Col.(8).

Schedule 3.1 History and Porecast of Summer Peak Demand (MW) (Projected)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(0)	(7)	(6)	(9)	(10)
August of Year	Total	Wholesa'e	Retail	Interruptible	Res. Load Managament	Residential Conservation	C// Load Management	C/I Conservation	Net Firm Demand
2011	21,679	383	21,295	0	1,005	79	658	39	19,697
2012	21,653	385	21,468	0	1,017	154	676	93	19,712
2013	22,155	343	21,812		1,023	244	898	154	19,837
2014	23,452	1,129	22,322	0	1,041	343	034	216	20,017
2015	24,172	1,136	23,037		1,044	442	952	272	21,462
2016	24,605	1,143	23,463	o o	1,047	536	071	318	21,734
2017	25,025	1,150	23,875	0	1,050	625	989	353	22,008
2018	25,266	1,157	24,109	0	1,053	711	1,007	378	22,117
2019	25,690	1,165	24,526	0	1,056	792	1,026	397	22,419
2020	26,193	1,172	25,022	0	1,060	637	1,042	412	22,823

Projected Values (2011 - 2020):

Col. (2) - Col. (4) represent FPL's forecasted peak w/o incremental conservation, cumulative load management, or incremental load management

Col. (5) - Cot. (9) represent cumulative bad management, and incremental conservation and load management. All values are projected August values. The 2011 values are based on IRP projections after the 2010 Summer peak and FPL's new OSM Goals for 2011. The projections for 2012 through 2020 are based on FPL's DSM Goals Res. Local Management and CPL boad Management include MW values of load management expability from Les County that can be initiated at FPL's request.

Col. (8) represents FPL's Business On Call, COR, CILC, and Curtaliable programs/rates.

Col. (10) represents a 'Net Firm Demand' which accounts for all of the incremental conservation and assumes at of the load control is implemented on the peak. Col. (10) is derived by using the formula: Col. (10) = Col. (2) - Col. (5) - Col. (6) - Col. (7) - Col. (8) - Col. (9)

Schedule 3.2 History and Forecast of Winter Peak Demand:Base Case (Historical)

(1)	(5)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(ô)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Year	Total	Firm Who/oxale	Retail	Interruptible	Res. Load Management	Residential Conservation	Cri Load Management	C/I Conservation	Net Firm Demand
2001	18,199	150	18,049	0	749	489	440	163	17,002
2002	17,597	145	17,452	0	768	590	457	196	16,373
2003	20,190	245	19,944	0	602	540	453	206	18,935
2004	14,752	211	14,541	0	813	567	634	227	13,405
2005	18,108	225	17.883	0	816	683	542	233	16,761
2006	19.683	225	19.458	0	823	600	650	2-10	
2007	16,815	223	16,592	0	040	620	677	249	18,311
2008	18,055	163	17,892	0	653	0.44	636	275	15,392
2003	20,081	207	19,874	0	681	865	676		16,551
2010	24,346	500	23,845	o	895	697	721	205	15,524

Historical Values (2001 - 2010):

Col. (2) - Col. (4) are actual values for historical Winter peaks. As such, they incorporate the effects of conservation (Col. 7 & Col. 9), and may incorporate the effects of load control if load control was operated on these peak days. Therefore, Col. (2) represents the actual Nat Firm Demand

Col. (5) - Cot. (9) for 2001 through 2010 represent scloud DSM capabilities starting from January 1988 and are annual (12 month) values for December 31st of the prior year.

Note that the values for FPL's former interruptible Rate are incorporated into Cot. (8), which stro includes Business On Cas (BOC), CILC, and Commercial Industrial Demand Reduction (COR). Historical freeligential Load Management (MVIs reflect the effect of new Measurement and Vertification Europeant Lactors.

Col. (10) represents a HYPOTHETICAL 'Net Firm Demand' as if the load control values had definitely bean exercised on the peak. Col. (10) is defined by the formula. Col. (10) = Col.(2) - Col.(6) - Col.(6)

Schedule 3.2 History and Forecast of Winter Peak Domand:Base Case (Projected)

(1)	(5)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(6)	(9)	(10)
January of Year	Total	Firm Whotesate	Retail	Interruptible	Res Load Management	Residential Conservation	C/I Lead Management	C/I Conservation	Not Firm Demand
2011	21,443	376	21,037	0	911	31	754	15	19,732
2012	21,491	378	21,113	0	922	63	769	47	19,689
2013	21,683	380	21,303	0	932	104	784	89	19,774
2014	22,584	1,015	21,669	0	956	158	817	134	20,518
2015	23,048	1,222	21,628	0	959	214	832	177	20,866
2016	23,302	1,220	22,073	0	261	287	846	215	21,014
2017	23,543	1,237	22,306	0	063	314	860	244	21,161
2018	23,794	1.245	22,550	0	956	350	874	266	21,331
2019	24,044	1,252	22,792	0	956	398	809	202	21,508
2020	24,305	1,260	23,045	0	970	431	002	293	21,709

Projected Values (2011 - 2020):

Col. (2) - Col (4) represent FPL's forecasted peak w/o incremental conservation, cumulative load management, or incremental load management.

Col. (5): Col. (9) represent cumulative load management, and incremental conservation and load management. All values are projected January values. The 2011 values are based on IRP projections after the 2010 Wanter peak and FPL's new DSM Goals for 2011. The projections for 2012 through 2002 are based on FPL's DSM Goals real. Load Management and CRL boad Management include MW values of load management capability from Lee County that can be billisted at FPL's request.

Col. (8) represents FPL's Business On Call, CDR, CILC, and Curtailable programairates

Col. (10) represents a 'Net Firm Dentand' which accounts for all of the incremental conservation and assumes at of the load coulof is implemented on the peek. Col. (10) is derived by using the formula: Col. (10) = Col. (2) - Col. (5) - Col. (6) - Col. (7) - Col. (8) - Col. (9) - Col. (

Schedule 8 Planned And Prospective Generating Facility Additions And Changes 112/ (13) (14) Total Port UniCasis sy ** Uren Surara UN USA ACCUROUS/ CHANCES At the parents STEACHDOMY EP UR No 16 LS Copellectualenth AT FOS 122 LVM PL Copellectualenth AT FOS 122 LVM PL LVM PAR OA BIT EUS 120 RA 12 PAR ESSENCENTY CC (SO FOS FL FL (7 (177) (174) 24 1216 Unanger Fabrii Fabrii Unanger Unanger 730,475 310,430 310,430 610,564 1,366,660 0000 b AAH Employacody ET ROS NO VA PL Parallosacody ET ROS NO VA PL Visiolacody ET ROS 120 VA PL Visiolacody ET ROS 120 VA PL Cyclinistycod ET ROS NO VA PL Visiolacod ET ROS NO V 75,000 161,600 106,210 235,210 235,210 602,010 (131) (131) (131) (313) (313) (314) Corn Cortos Pot Every star Pot Every star Pot Every star Pot Every star Today Pots 402,010 2015 Changes And Bent with Martine Busines Total Experimentation 61 FOR 100 VAIR PL Chicken Unbown Experiment Cly Elizabre Basch 47 FOR 102 VAIR PL Chicken Chicken Dobbur More Code 48 Elizabre 19 US 10 10 Article Chicken 4 Chicken Article Chicken Article Chicken Article Chicken Article Chicken Chicken Article Article Article Chicken Article 310,420 315,420 640,864 123,775 850,660 769,601 (,368,640) Rovera Boharan Be Eucle (Openins)¹² Be Eucle (Openins)¹² Europy Port (Openins)¹² (57) 122 109 West Gourge Energy Contac Participation of FOS NO WA FL Crystholyseed ST FOS NO WA FL Crystholyseed ST FOS NO WA FL 01 01 374 2013 Changes Add done with Inscrive Revence Total 413 139 UR Its 14 Its 1,59 UR Its 7K Its CC 139 FO2 FL FL A 1,59 UR Its 7K Its 1,59 UR Its 75033 C Bi Locia (Uporter) (** Bi Locia (Uporter) (** Bi Locia (Uporter) (** Bi Hori Objector) (** Bi Locia (Uporter) (** Bi Locia (Uporter) (** Anti Bee liste 2 Bee liste 2 Jan 13 Bee liste 2 Bee liste 2 Bee liste 2 Bee liste 2 723,775 1,510 110 Today Pendaganan Pa Today Pendaganan P

⁽ii) the Wild fell Burness could be prevaion of the burder greates and methydroxy. The burner trail in vive counts of a greates a strong and mixed by Sec. At DAY and professional county greates a strong and the burner greates and greates and professional greates and greates an

Schedule 11.1

Existing FIRM and NON-FIRM Capacity and Energy by Primary Fuel Type
Actuals for the Year 2010

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
			Net (MW) O	apability		NEL	Fuel Mix
	Generation by Primary Fuel	Summer (MW)	Summer (%)	Winter (MW)	Winter (%)	GWh (I)	%
(1)	Coal	900	3.5%	902	3.3%	5,721	5.0%
(2)	Nuclear	2,939	11.4%	3,013	11.2%	22,850	20.0%
(3)	Residual	5,054	23.1%	6,004	22.3%	4,081	3.6%
(4)	Distitate	1,908	7.4%	2,087	7.7%	279	0.2%
(5)	Natural Gas	11,986	46.4%	12,750	47.3%	66,771	58.4%
(6)	Solar	35	0.1%	35	0.1%	69	0.1%
(7)	FPL Existing Units Total (6):	23,722	91.9%	24,797	91.9%	99,771	87.2%
(6)	Renewables (Purchases)- Firm	61,0	0.2%	112.0	0.4%	1,004	0.9%
	Renewables (Purchases)- Non-Firm	Not Applicable	316	Not Applicable	154	800	0.7%
10)	Renewable Total:	61,0	0.2%	112.0	0.4%	1,804	1.88%
11)	Purchases Other :	2,041.0	7.0%	2,074.0	7.7%	12,798	11.2%
12)	Total:	25,824.0	100.0%	26,983.0	100.0%	114,373	100.0%

Note

- (1) FPL Existing Units Total values on row (7), columns (2) and (4), match the System Film Generaling Capacity values found on Schadula 1 for Summer and Winter.
- (2) Not Energy for Load GWh values on row (12), column (6), matches Schedule 6.1 value for 2010.

Schedule 11.2

Existing NON-FIRM Self-Service Renewable Generation Facilities

Actuals for the Year 2010

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6) = 3+4-5
Type of Facility	Installed Capacity DC (MW)	Renewable Projected Annual Output (MWh)	Annual Energy Purchased from FPL (MWh)	Annual Energy Sold to FPL (MWb)	Projected Annual Energy Used by Customers (GWh)
(0 kW to 10 kW)	4.6	5,214.7	63,476.4	146.5	68.6
Customer-Owned PV (> 10 kW to 100 kW)	1,6	1,775.4	17,858.8	158.2	19.6
Customer-Owned PV (> 100 kW to 2 MW)	2.0	3,708.4	118,662,7	177.6	118,666.2
Total:	9.2	10,698.5	189,998.0	482.2	118,744.2

Notes:

- (1) There were approximately 1,054 customer-owned renewable generation (activities interconnected with FPL on December 31, 2010.
- (2) The Instalted Capacity value is the sum of the nameplate ratings (DC MW) for all of the customer-owned renewable generation facilities connected as of Dec. 31, 2010.
- (3) The Projected Annual Culput value is based on NREL's PV Watte 1 program and the Installed Copacity value in column (2), odusted for the date when each lacifie was installed and examples each facility operated as planned.
- adjusted for the date when each lacility was installed and assuming each facility operated as planned.

 (4) The Annual Energy Purchased from FPL is an actual value from FPL's matered data for 2010.
- (5) The Annual Energy Sold to FPL is an actual value from FPL's metered data for 2010.
- (6) The Projected Annual Energy Used by Customers is a projected value that equals:
 - (Renewable Projected Annual output + Annual Energy Purchased from FPL.) minus the Annual Energy Sold to FPL.

COMMISSIONERS: ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN LISA POLAK EDGAR RONALD A. BRISÉ EDUARDO E. BALBIS JULIE I. BROWN STATE OF FLORIDA

GENERAL COUNSEL RECEIVED - FPSC S. CURTIS KISER (850) 413-6199 11 JUN 17 PM 3: 35

COMMISSION

Hublic Service Commission

June 17, 2011

110000-07

Mr. John T. Butler, Esq. Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420 STAFF'S DATA REQUEST NO. 4

Re: Ten-Year Site Plan - Data Request Regarding Planned Solar Power Plants

Dear Mr. Butler:

By this letter, the Commission staff requests that Florida Power and Light Company provide responses to the following data requests.

On June 10, 2011, FPL filed Document No. 04032-11, which contains a letter with corrected information for Schedules 3.1 on page 45, Schedule 3.2 on page 46, Schedule 8 on page 98, and Schedule 11.2 on page 116, which were included in FPL's 2011 Ten-Year Site Plan. Attached is a chart showing the figures from the original Plan filed on April 1, 2011, along with the revised figures from the corrected schedules. Also included in the chart are figures representing the differences between the original and revised figures.

- Please verify that the figures in the attached chart are correct, including those representing the differences between the original and revised figures. If any figures are erroneous, please provide the correct value(s).
- Please explain or describe the reason(s) for the figures in Schedule 3.1 being revised. Please
 discuss each column of revised figures separately, including Residential Load Management,
 Residential Conservation, C/I Load Management, C/I Conservation, and Net Firm Demand.
- Please explain or describe the reason(s) for the figures in Schedule 3.2 being revised. Please
 discuss each column of revised figures separately, including Residential Load Management,
 C/I Load Management, and C/I Conservation.
- Please explain or describe the reason(s) for the figures in Schedule 8 being revised. Please discuss each revision separately, including the 2013 St. Lucie uprates and the total changes/additions with Inactive Reserve for 2013.

U4 18 / JUN 17 = PSC-COMMISSION CLERIS

Mr. John T. Butler, Esq. Page 2 June 17, 2011

 Please explain or describe the reason(s) for the number of customer-owned renewable generation facilities interconnected with FPL on December 31, 2010 being revised on Note 1 for Schedule 11.2.

Please file the original and five copies of the requested information by Friday, July 1, 2011, with Ms. Ann Cole, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0850. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6191 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Charles W. Murphy Senior Attorney

Office of the General Counsel

CWM/gdr

Attachment

cc: Kenneth A. Hoffman, VP - Regulatory Relations, FPL Division of Regulatory Analysis (Traci Matthews)

Office of Commission Clerk

Mr. John T. Butler, Esq. Page 3 June 17, 2011

Schedule 3.1 History and Forecast of Summer Poak Demand (MW)

storical	Res.	Load Mana	- Contraction	Re	s, Conserv	ation	C/IL	oad Manag	ement	C	Conserva	tion	Ne	Firm Dem	and
	Original	Revised	Change	Original	Revised	Change	Original	Revised	Change	Original	Revised	Change	Original	Revised	Change
2001	838	5 84	2 7	516	697	181	483	489		469	481	12	17436	17423	-13
2002	870	0 879	9 5	576	754	178	483	489	1	506	517	11	17868	17851	-15
2003	865	5 89	2 7	618	798	180	586	577	- 1	541	554	13	18217	18200	-17
2004	893	5 89	4	865	846	181	.686	588	1	566	577	- 11	19064	19063	-
2005	898	900	2 4	715	895	180	592	600		599	611	12	20871	20858	-13
2006	910	928	3. 18	770	948	178	607	635	28	634	640	6	20302	20256	-46
2007	94	952	2 11	808	982	174	676	716	40	672	683	- 11	20345	20295	-50
2008	966	3 968	5 -1	851	1042	181	734	760	26	897	706	9	19360	19334	-26
2009	976	99	1 5	902	1097	195	780	811	31	719	732	13	20595	20558	-37
2010	991	990	-1	982	1147	. 165	816	815	-1	747	749	2	18720	18555	-165

Schedule 3.2 History and Forecast of Winter Peak Demand (MW) Historical

noture.		d Managem evised Ch	ent ange		Manageme evised Ch			onservation evised Ch	ange
2001			- 11		· ·		196	183	-13
2002						- T	206	198	-10
2003						-	227	206	-21
2004	814	813	-1	535	534	-1	233	227	-6
2005							240	233	-7
2006	822	823	- 1	549	550	1	249	240	-9
2007	849	846	-3	579	577	-2	279	249	-30
2008							285	279	-6
2009	884	887	3	680	676	-4	291	285	-6
2010							303	291	-12

Schedule 8
Planned and Prospective Generaling Facility Additions and Changes

-			Firm	n Net Capa	bility		
		1 -5 -	Win (MW)			Sum (MW)	
	/ F	Original	Revised	Change	Original	Revised	Change
2013	St.Lucle Uprates	93	110	17	93	110	1

Other changes:
On Schedule 11.2, note 1 the number of customor-owned tonewable generation facilities interconnected with FPL on December 31, 2010 was changed from 1074 to 1064.



Florida Power & Light Company, P.O. Box 14000, Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420
Law Department

Jessica Cano
Principal Attorney
Florida Power & Light Company

RECEIVED-FPSC

700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-9420 11 JUL - 1 PM 2: 24

(561) 304-5226 (561) 691-7135 (Facsimile)

COMMISSION CLERK

July 1, 2011

VIA HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Ann Cole Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission Betty Easley Conference Center 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Room 110 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: Florida Power & Light Company's 2011 Ten Year Power Plant Site Plan

Dear Ms. Cole:

Enclosed are Florida Power & Light Company's responses to Staff's Fourth Set of Data Requests related to FPL's 2011 Ten Year Site Plan.

If you have any questions or concerns please feel free to call me.

Sincerely,

Jessica A. Cano

Enclosure

COM	
APA	
ECR	
GCL	
(RAD	8 (Phillip Ellis)
SSC	
ADM	
OPC	
CLK	
	2000

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04571 JUL-1=

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

an FPL Group company

> Fiorida Power & Light Company Docket No. 2011 Ten Year Site Plan - Staff's Data Request No. 4 Question No. 1 Page 1 of 1

Q. Please verify that the figures in the attached chart are correct, including those representing the differences between the original and revised figures. If any figures are erroneous, please provide the correct value(s).

A. In schedule 3.1, the revised number for Residential Load Management for 2008 should be 966 MW, for a difference of 0.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
04571 JUL-1=

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

> Florida Power & Light Company Docket No. 2011 Ten Year Site Plan - Staff's Data Request No. 4 Question No. 2 Page 1 of 1

Q. Please explain or describe the reason(s) for the figures in Schedule 3.1 being revised. Please discuss each column of revised figures separately, including Residential Load Management, Residential Conservation, C/I Load Management, C/I Conservation, and Net Firm Demand.

A. The values in Schedule 3.1 of the errata sheet have been revised to revert back to the prior methodology of reporting annual MW savings (i.e., from year-end to year-end.) The values originally provided in the Site Plan were based on a different methodology. This different methodology was to report values from actual peak month to actual peak month instead of from year-end to year-end. In the errata sheet, the annual MW values are year-end values for all years except for 2010 where the savings are as of August 2010. (The MW values for the remaining months of September through December 2010 are reflected in the projected 2011 incremental MW values.)

In addition, one other change was made for the Residential Conservation values as explained

Residential Load Management: The changes in this column are all due to a return to the prior year-end to year-end methodology as explained above.

Residential Conservation: The changes in this column are due, in part, to a return to the prior year-end to year-end methodology as explained above.

In addition, the residential conservation values in the original filing had an error in the starting point of the cumulative values from 1988 to 2000. The errata sheet corrects the starting point.

C/I Load Management: The changes in this column are all due to a return to the prior year-end to year-end methodology as explained above.

C/I Conservation: The changes in this column are all due to a return to the prior year-end to year-end methodology as explained above.

Net Firm Demand: The change in the net firm demand is a result of the changes in the prior columns for residential load management, residential conservation, C/I load management and C/I conservation.

> Florida Power & Light Company Docket No. 2011 Ten Year Site Plan - Staff's Data Request No. 4 Question No. 3 Page 1 of 1

Q. Please explain or describe the reason(s) for the figures in Schedule 3.2 being revised. Please discuss each column of revised figures separately, including Residential Load Management, C/I Load Management, and C/I Conservation.

A. The schedule 3.2 has been revised to revert back to the prior methodology of reporting year-end to year-end savings as explained above for Schedule 3.1. In addition, there was one other change as explained below for C/I Conservation.

Residential Load Management: The changes in this column are all due to a return to the prior year-end to year-end methodology as explained above.

C/I Load Management: The changes in this column are all due to a return to the prior year-end to year-end methodology as explained above.

C/I Conservation: The changes in this column are due, in part, to a return to the prior year-end to year-end methodology as explained above.

In addition, changes in this column are also due to correcting an error of entering the correct MW values in the wrong years. For example the 2001 value in the Site Plan of 196 MW should be the savings for the year 2002 as shown in the errata sheet. This correction affects all subsequent years.

> Florida Power & Light Company Docket No. 2011 Ten Year Site Plan - Staff's Data Request No. 4 Question No. 4 Page 1 of I

Q. Please explain or describe the reason(s) for the figures in Schedule 8 being revised. Please discuss each revision separately, including the 2013 St. Lucie uprates and the total changes/additions with Inactive Reserve for 2013.

A.

The value originally shown for the St. Lucie Unit #2 uprate did not correctly account for the interim incremental 17 MW from the EPU project. The 17 MW of capacity should have been included when the unit comes back in-service from its last EPU outage in 2013. The value shown in the Site Plan of 93 MW should have also included this 17 MW increase resulting in a total of 110 MW of increased capacity (as shown on the errata sheet).

> Florida Power & Light Company Docket No. 2011 Ten Year Site Plan - Staff's Data Request No. 4 Question No. 5 Page 1 of 1

Q

Please explain or describe the reason(s) for the number of customer-owned renewable generation facilities interconnected with FPL on December 31, 2010 being revised on Note 1 for Schedule 11.2.

A.

The value shown for "approximate number of customer-owned renewable generation facilities interconnected to FPL" changed because customers were being double counted. Two customers had installed two different renewable devices (both PV and wind) and were incorrectly being counted twice. There were another eight customers that had cancelled their accounts at their former locations and new customers had opened an account at that same location. Both the cancelled accounts, and the new accounts, were incorrectly being counted. Both problems have been corrected with the revised values.



> Kevin I.C. Donaldson Senior Attorney Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420 (561) 304-5170 (561) 691-7135 (Facsimile)

August 30, 2018

-VIA HAND DELIVERY-

Carlotta Stauffer, Director Division of Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re:

Docket No. 180000-EI

Florida Power & Light Company's 2018 Ten Year Power Plant Site Plan Errata

Dear Ms. Stauffer:

Please find enclosed for filing, Florida Power & Light Company's 2018-2027 Ten Year Power Plant Site Plan Errata reflecting corrected information for Table I.B.2 on page 20, Schedule 3.1 on page 41 and Schedule 3.2 on page 42. Corrections are included in red print. Please be advised that five (5) hard copies also will be provided to your office.

Please contact me should you have any questions or concerns.

Sincerely,

/s/ Kevin Donaldson Kevin I.C. Donaldson Fla. Bar No. 0833401

Enclosure

COMMISSION

RECEIVED-FPSC

Florida Power & Light Company

Table I.B.2: FPL's Firm Purchased Power Summer MW

Summary of FPL's Firm Capacity Purchases: Summer MW (for August of Year Shown)

Cogeneration Small Power	Contract	Contract	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
Production Facilities 2/	Start Date	End Date	2010	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2020	2021
Broward South	01/01/93	12/31/26	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	0
Broward South	01/01/95	12/31/26	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	0
Broward South	01/01/97	12/31/26	0.6	0.6	0,6	0.6	0,6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0
Indiantown Cogen L.P.	12/22/95	1st Qtr/2020	330	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	QF Purcha	ises Subtotal:	334	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	0
II. Purchases from Utilities												
	Contract Start Date	Contract End Date	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
Exelon Generation Company, LLC	05/01/18	09/30/18	200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OUC / FMPA	10/01/18	12/31/20	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
U	Itility Purcha	ses Subtotal:	200	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total of C	F and Utility	Purchases =	534	104	104	4	4	4	4	4	4	0
III. Other Burelians												
III. Other Purchases												
	Contract	Contract			-							
	Contract Start Date	Contract End Date	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
Palm Beach SWA - Extension ^{1/}		126 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
	Start Date	End Date	200			1000	A County	1	100000	1	30.00	2000
Palm Beach SWA - Additional	Start Date 01/01/12	End Date 04/01/34	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40
Palm Beach SWA - Additional Unspecified Purchase ³⁷	Start Date 01/01/12 01/01/16	End Date 04/01/34 04/01/34	40 70	40	40 70	40 70	40 70	40	40 70	40 70	40 70	40 70
Palm Beach SWA - Extension ^{1/} Palm Beach SWA - Additional Unspecified Purchase ^{3/} Unspecified Purchase ^{3/} Unspecified Purchase ^{3/} Unspecified Purchase ^{3/}	Start Date 01/01/12 01/01/16 05/01/19	End Date 04/01/34 04/01/34 12/31/19	40 70 0	40 70	40 70 0	40 70 0	40 70 0	40 70	40 70 0	40 70 0	40 70 0	40 70 0
Palm Beach SWA - Additional Unspecified Purchase ^{3/} Unspecified Purchase ^{3/} Unspecified Purchase ^{3/}	Start Date 01/01/12 01/01/16 05/01/19 05/01/20 05/01/27	End Date 04/01/34 04/01/34 12/31/19 12/31/20	40 70 0	40 70 0	40 70 0 55	40 70 0 0	40 70 0 0	40 70 0	40 70 0 0	40 70 0	40 70 0	40 70 0
Palm Beach SWA - Additional Unspecified Purchase ^{3/} Unspecified Purchase ^{3/} Unspecified Purchase ^{3/} O	Start Date 01/01/12 01/01/16 05/01/19 05/01/20 05/01/27 Other Purcha	End Date 04/01/34 04/01/34 12/31/19 12/31/20 10/31/27	40 70 0 0	40 70 0 0	40 70 0 55 0	40 70 0 0	40 70 0 0	40 70 0 0	40 70 0 0	40 70 0 0	40 70 0 0	40 70 0 0 262
Palm Beach SWA - Additional Unspecified Purchase ^{3/} Unspecified Purchase ^{3/} Unspecified Purchase ^{3/} O	Start Date 01/01/12 01/01/16 05/01/19 05/01/20 05/01/27 Other Purcha	End Date 04/01/34 04/01/34 12/31/19 12/31/20 10/31/27 ses Subtotal:	40 70 0 0 0 110	40 70 8 0 0	40 70 0 55 0 165	40 70 0 0 0 110	40 70 0 0 110	40 70 8 0 0 110	40 70 0 0 0	40 70 0 0 0	40 70 8 0 0 110	40 70 0 0 262 372

^{1/} When the second unit came into commercial service at the Palm Beach SWA, neither unit met the standards to be a small power producer, and it then became accounted for under "Other Purchases"

^{2/} The Indiantown Cogen L.P. PPA is projected to end, and the generating unit to be retired, in 1st Quarter 2019-2020.

^{3/} These Unspecified Purchases are short-term purchases for the Summer of 2010, 2020 and 2027 that are included for resource planning purposes. No decision regarding such purchases is needed at this time.

Table I.B.2: FPL's Firm Purchased Power Summer MW

Summary of FPL's Firm Capacity Purchases: Summer MW (for August of Year Shown)

Cogeneration Small Power Production Facilities 21	Contract Start Date	Contract End Date	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
Broward South	01/01/93	12/31/26	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.4	0
Broward South	01/01/95	12/31/26	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	0
Broward South	01/01/97	12/31/26	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0,6	0.6	0.6	0
Indiantown Cogen L.P.	12/22/95	1st Qtr/2020	330	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	QF Purcha	ises Subtotal:	334	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	0
II. Purchases from Utilities	Contract Start Date	Contract End Date	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
Exelon Generation Company, LLC	05/01/18	09/30/18	200	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OUC / FMPA	10/01/18	12/31/20	0	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Jtility Purcha	ses Subtotal:	200	100	100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total of 0	QF and Utility	Purchases =	534	104	104	4	4	4	4	4	4	0
Total of 0	QF and Utility	Purchases =	534	104	104	4	4	4	4	4	4	0
	Contract Start Date	Purchases = Contract End Date	534 2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
III. Other Purchases	Contract	Contract		1 2 2 2 3 1								
	Contract Start Date	Contract End Date	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027
III. Other Purchases Palm Beach SWA - Extension ^{1/} Palm Beach SWA - Additional	Contract Start Date 01/01/12	Contract End Date 04/01/34	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025 40	2026	2027
III. Other Purchases Palm Beach SWA - Extension ^{1/}	Contract Start Date 01/01/12 01/01/16	Contract End Date 04/01/34 04/01/34	2018 40 70	2019 40 70	2020 40 70	2021 40 70	2022 40 70	2023 40 70	2024 40 70	2025 40 70	2026 40 70	2027 40 70
Palm Beach SWA - Extension ^{1/} Palm Beach SWA - Additional Unspecified Purchase ^{3/} Unspecified Purchase ^{3/}	Contract Start Date 01/01/12 01/01/16 05/01/20 05/01/27	Contract End Date 04/01/34 04/01/34 12/31/20	2018 40 70 0	2019 40 70 0	2020 40 70 55	2021 40 70 0	2022 40 70 0	2023 40 70 0	2024 40 70 0	2025 40 70 0	2026 40 70 0	2027 40 70 0
Palm Beach SWA - Extension ^{1/} Palm Beach SWA - Additional Unspecified Purchase ^{3/} Unspecified Purchase ^{3/}	Contract Start Date 01/01/12 01/01/16 05/01/20 05/01/27 Other Purcha	Contract End Date 04/01/34 04/01/34 12/31/20 10/31/27	2018 40 70 0	2019 40 70 0	2020 40 70 55 0	2021 40 70 0	2022 40 70 0	2023 40 70 0	2024 40 70 0	2025 40 70 0	2026 40 70 0	2027 40 70 0 262 372
Palm Beach SWA - Extension ^{1/} Palm Beach SWA - Additional Unspecified Purchase ^{3/} Unspecified Purchase ^{3/}	Contract Start Date 01/01/12 01/01/16 05/01/20 05/01/27 Other Purcha	Contract End Date 04/01/34 04/01/34 12/31/20 10/31/27 ses Subtotal:	2018 40 70 0 0	2019 40 70 0 0	2020 40 70 55 0 165	2021 40 70 0 0 110	2022 40 70 0 0 110	2023 40 70 0 0	2024 40 70 0 0 110	2025 40 70 0 0 110	2026 40 70 0 0 110	2027 40 70 0 262

^{1/} When the second unit came into commercial service at the Palm Beach SWA, neither unit met the standards to be a small power producer, and it then became accounted for under "Other Purchases"

^{2/} The Indiantown Cogen L.P. PPA is projected to end, and the generating unit to be retired, in 1st Quarter 2020.

^{3/} These Unspecified Purchases are short-term purchases for the Summer of 2020 and 2027 that are included for resource planning purposes. No decision regarding such purchases is needed at this time.

Page 4 of 7

Schedule 3.1
History of Summer Peak Demand (MW)

(1)	(2)	(3)	- (4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Year	Total	Wholesale	Retail	Interruptible	Res. Load Management	Residential Conservation	C/I Load Management	C/I Conservation	Net Firm Demand
2008	21,060	181	20,879	0	966	1,042	760	706	19,334
2009	22,351	249	22,102	0	981	1,097	811	732	20,558
2010	22,256	419	21,837	0	990	1,181	815	758	20,451
2011	21,619	427	21,192	0	1,000	1,281	821	781	19,798
2012	21,440	431	21,009	0	1,013	1,351	833	810	19,594
2013	21,576	396	21,180	0	1,025	1,417	833	839	19,718
2014	22,935	1,155	21,780	0	1,010	1,494	843	866	21,082
2015	22,959	1,303	21,656	0	878	1,523	826	873	21,255
2016	23,858	1,367	22,491	0	882	1,548	836	888	22,140
2017	23,373	1,393	21,980	0	910	1,560	825	903	21,639

Historical Values (2008 - 2017):

Col. (2) - Col. (4) are actual values for historical Summer peaks. As such, they incorporate the effects of conservation (Col. 7 & Col. 9), and may incorporate the effects of load control if load control was operated on these peak days. Therefore, Col. (2) represents the actual Net Firm Demand.

Col. (5) - Col. (9) represent actual DSM capabilities starting from January 1988 and are annual (12-month) values except for 2016-2017 values which are through August.

Col. (6) values for 2015 and 2016 primarily reflect a short-term hardware communications issue that is projected to be resolved by the end of 2017.

Col. (10) represents a hypothetical "Net Firm Demand" as if the load control values had definitely been exercised on the peak. Col. (10) is derived by the formula; Col. (10) = Col.(2) - Col.(6) - Col.(8).

Schedule 3.1 Forecast of Summer Peak Demand (MW)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
August of		Contract Contract	7.00	Lead or community	Res. Load	Residential	C/I Load	СЛ	Net Firm
Year	Total	Wholesale	Retail	Interruptible	Management*	Conservation	Management*	Conservation	Demand
2018	24,010	1,468	22,543	0	903	12	882	14	22,199
2019	24,456	1,440	23,016	0	917	24	924	28	22,564
2020	24,713	1,408	23,305	0	924	36	954	42	22,757
2021	24,904	1,218	23,686	0	931	48	970	57	22,897
2022	25,189	1,235	23,955	0	939	61	982	72	23,136
2023	25,546	1,248	24,299	0	946	74	993	87	23,445
2024	25,939	1,256	24,683	0	953	88	1,005	103	23,789
2025	26,259	1,211	25,047	0	960	101	1,017	120	24,060
2026	26,672	1,231	25,441	0	967	115	1,029	136	24,425
2027	27,076	1,255	25,821	0	975	129	1,040	152	24,780

Projected Values (2018 - 2027):

Col. (2) - Col. (4) represent FPL's forecasted peak and does not include incremental conservation, cumulative load management, or incremental load management.

Col. (5) - Col. (9) represent cumulative load management, and incremental conservation and load management. All values are projected August

Col. (8) represents FPL's Business On Call, CDR, CILC, and Curtailable programs/rates.

Col. (10) represents a 'Net Firm Demand" which accounts for all of the incremental conservation and assumes all of the load control is implemented on the peak. Col. (10) is derived by using the formula: Col. (10) = Col. (2) - Col. (5) - Col. (6) - Col. (7) - Col. (8) - Col. (9).

* Res. Load Management and C/I Load Management include MW values of load management from Lee County and FKEC whose loads FPL serves.

Page 5 of 7

Schedule 3.1 History of Summer Peak Demand (MW)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Year	Total	Wholesale	Retail	Interruptible	Res. Load Management	Residential Conservation	C/I Load Management	C/I Conservation	Net Firm Demand
2008	21,060	181	20,879	0	966	1,042	760	706	19,334
2009	22,351	249	22,102	0	981	1,097	811	732	20,558
2010	22,256	419	21,837	0	990	1,181	815	758	20,451
2011	21,619	427	21,192	0	1,000	1,281	821	781	19,798
2012	21,440	431	21,009	0	1,013	1,351	833	810	19,594
2013	21,576	396	21,180	0	1,025	1,417	833	839	19,718
2014	22,935	1,155	21,780	0	1,010	1,494	843	866	21,082
2015	22,959	1,303	21,656	0	878	1,523	826	873	21,255
2016	23,858	1,367	22,491	0	882	1,548	836	888	22,140
2017	23,373	1,393	21,980	0	910	1,560	825	903	21,639

Historical Values (2008 - 2017):

Col. (2) - Col. (4) are actual values for historical Summer peaks. As such, they incorporate the effects of conservation (Col. 7 & Col. 9), and may incorporate the effects of load control if load control was operated on these peak days. Therefore, Col. (2) represents the actual Net Firm Demand.

Col. (5) - Col. (9) represent actual DSM capabilities starting from January 1988 and are annual (12-month) values except for 2017 values which are through August.

Col. (6) values for 2015 and 2016 primarily reflect a short-term hardware communications issue that is projected to be resolved by the end of 2017.

Col. (10) represents a hypothetical "Net Firm Demand" as if the load control values had definitely been exercised on the peak. Col. (10) is derived by the formula: Col. (10) = Col.(2) - Col.(6) - Col.(8).

Schedule 3.1 Forecast of Summer Peak Demand (MW)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
August of					Res, Load	Residential	C/I Load	СЛ	Net Firm
Year	Total	Wholesale	Retail	Interruptible	Management*	Conservation	Management*	Conservation	Demand
2018	24,010	1,468	22,543	0	903	12	882	14	22,199
2019	24,456	1,440	23,016	0	917	24	924	28	22,564
2020	24,713	1,408	23,305	0	924	36	954	42	22,757
2021	24,904	1,218	23,686	0	931	48	970	57	22,897
2022	25,189	1,235	23,955	0	939	61	982	72	23,136
2023.	25,546	1,248	24,299	0	946	74	993	87	23,445
2024	25,939	1,256	24,683	0	953	88	1,005	103	23,789
2025	26,259	1,211	25,047	0	960	101	1,017	120	24,060
2026	26,672	1,231	25,441	0	967	115	1,029	136	24,425
2027	27,076	1,255	25,821	0	975	129	1,040	152	24,780

Projected Values (2018 - 2027):

Col. (2) - Col. (4) represent FPL's forecasted peak and does not include incremental conservation, cumulative load management, or incremental load management.

Col. (5) - Col. (9) represent cumulative load management, and incremental conservation and load management. All values are projected August values.

Col. (8) represents FPL's Business On Call, CDR, CILC, and Curtallable programs/rates.

Col. (10) represents a 'Net Firm Demand" which accounts for all of the incremental conservation and assumes all of the load control is implemented on the peak. Col. (10) is derived by using the formula; Col. (10) = Col. (2) - Col. (5) - Col. (6) - Col. (7) - Col. (8) - Col. (9).

* Res. Load Management and C/I Load Management include MW values of load management from Lee County and FKEC whose loads FPL serves.

Schedule 3.2 History of Winter Peak Demand (MW)

Page 6 of 7

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Year	Total	Firm Wholesale	Retail	Interruptible	Res. Load Management	Residential Conservation	C/I Load Management	C/I Conservation	Net Firm Demand
2008	18,055	163	17,892	0	868	644	636	279	16,551
2009	20,081	207	19,874	0	881	666	676	285	18,524
2010	24,346	500	23,846	0	895	687	721	291	22,730
2011	21,126	383	20,743	0	903	717	723	303	19,501
2012	17,934	382	17,552	0	856	755	722	314	16,356
2013	15,931	348	15,583	0	843	781	567	326	14,521
2014	17,500	890	16,610	0	828	805	590	337	16,083
2015	19,718	1,329	18,389	0	822	835	551	346	18,345
2016	16,941	1,087	15,854	0	742	858	570	352	15,629
2017	17,074	1,098	15,976	0	759	861	577	364	15,738

Historical Values (2008 - 2017):

Col. (2) - Col. (4) are actual values for historical Winter peaks. As such, they incorporate the effects of conservation (Col. 7 & Col. 9), and may incorporate the effects of load control if load control was operated on these peak days. Therefore, Col. (2) represents the actual Net Firm Demand. For year 2011, the actual winter peak occurred in December of 2010.

Col. (5) - Col. (9) for 2006 through 2015 represent actual DSM capabilities starting from January 1988 and are annual (12-month) values.

Col. (6) values for 2015 and 2016 primarily reflect a short-term hardware communications issue that is projected to be resolved by the end of 2017.

Col. (10) represents a hypothetical "Net Firm Demand" as if the load control values had definitely been exercised on the peak. Col. (10) is derived by the formula: Col. (10) = Col.(2) - Col.(6) - Col.(8).

Schedule 3.2 Forecast of Winter Peak Demand (MW)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
January of Year	Total	Firm Wholesale	Retail	Interruptible	Res, Load Management*	Residential Conservation	C/I Load Management*	C/I Conservation	Net Firm Demand
2018	19,604	1,208	18,396	0	760	3	609	9	18,222
2019	19,989	1,157	18,832	0	757	7	635	19	18,571
2020	20,182	1,074	19,108	0	763	11	659	30	18,720
2021	20,430	1,059	19,372	0	768	16	672	41	18,934
2022	20,489	850	19,640	0	774	20	677	52	18,966
2023	20,774	862	19,912	0	780	25	683	63	19,222
2024	21,067	873	20,193	0	786	30	688	75	19,486
2025	21,283	803	20,480	0	792	36	694	88	19,674
2026	21,579	803	20,776	0	798	41	699	100	19,940
2027	21,867	806	21,061	0	804	47	705	112	20,199

Projected Values (2018 - 2027):

Col. (2) - Col. (4) represent FPL's forecasted peak and does not include incremental conservation, cumulative load management, or incremental load management.

Col. (5) - Col. (9) represent cumulative load management, and incremental conservation and load management. All values are projected January values

Col. (8) represents FPL's Business On Call, CDR, CILC, and Curtallable programs/rates.

Col. (10) represents a 'Net Firm Demand" which accounts for all of the incremental conservation and assumes all of the load control is implemented on the peak. Col. (10) is derived by using the formula: Col. (10) = Col. (2) - Col. (5) - Col. (6) - Col. (7) - Col. (8) - Col. (9).

^{*} Res, Load Management and C/I Load Management include MW values of load management from Lee County and FKEC whose loads FPL serves.

Schedule 3.2 History of Winter Peak Demand (MW)

Page 7 of 7

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
_	Year	Total	Firm Wholesale	Retail	Interruptible	Res, Load Management	Residential Conservation	C/I Load Management	C/I Conservation	Net Firm Demand
	2008	18,055	163	17,892	0	868	644	636	279	16,551
	2009	20,081	207	19,874	0	881	666	676	285	18,524
	2010	24,346	500	23,846	0	895	687	721	291	22,730
	2011	21,126	383	20,743	0	903	717	723	303	19,501
	2012	17,934	382	17,552	0	856	755	722	314	16,356
	2013	15,931	348	15,583	0	843	781	567	326	14,521
	2014	17,500	890	16,610	0	828	805	590	337	16,083
	2015	19,718	1,329	18,389	0	822	835	551	346	18,345
	2016	16,941	1,087	15,854	0	742	858	570	352	15,629
	2017	17,074	1,098	15,976	0	759	861	577	364	15,738

Historical Values (2008 - 2017):

Col. (2) - Col. (4) are actual values for historical Winter peaks. As such, they incorporate the effects of conservation (Col. 7 & Col. 9), and may incorporate the effects of load control if load control was operated on these peak days. Therefore, Col. (2) represents the actual Net Firm Demand. For year 2011, the actual winter peak occurred in December of 2010.

Col. (5) - Col. (9) for 2006 through 2017 represent actual DSM capabilities starting from January 1988 and are annual (12-month) values.

Col. (6) values for 2015 and 2016 primarily reflect a short-term hardware communications issue that is projected to be resolved by the end of 2017.

Col. (10) represents a hypothetical "Net Firm Demand" as if the load control values had definitely been exercised on the peak. Col. (10) is derived by the formula: Col. (10) = Col.(2) - Col.(6) - Col.(8).

Schedule 3.2 Forecast of Winter Peak Demand (MW)

(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Total ·	Firm Wholesale	Retail	Interruptible	Res. Load Management*	Residential Conservation	C/I Load Management*	C/I Conservation	Net Firm Demand
19,604	1,208	18,396	0	760	3	609	9	18,222
19,989	1,157	18,832	0	757	7	635	19	18,571
20,182	1,074	19,108	0	763	11	659	30	18,720
20,430	1,059	19,372	0	768	16	672	41	18,934
20,489	850	19,640	0	774	20	677	52	18,966
20,774	862	19,912	0	780	25	683	63	19,222
21,067	873	20,193	0	786	30	688	75	19,486
21,283	803	20,480	0	792	36	694	88	19,674
21,579	803	20,776	0	798	41	699	100	19,940
21,867	806	21,061	O	804	47	705	112	20,199
	Total- 19,604 19,989 20,182 20,430 20,489 20,774 21,067 21,283 21,579	Firm Total Wholesale 19,604 1,208 19,989 1,157 20,182 1,074 20,430 1,059 20,489 850 20,774 862 21,067 873 21,283 803 21,579 803	Firm Total Wholesale Retail 19,604 1,208 18,396 19,989 1,157 18,832 20,182 1,074 19,108 20,430 1,059 19,372 20,489 850 19,640 20,774 862 19,912 21,067 873 20,193 21,283 803 20,480 21,579 803 20,776	Firm Total Wholesale Retail Interruptible 19,604 1,208 18,396 0 19,989 1,157 18,832 0 20,182 1,074 19,108 0 20,430 1,059 19,372 0 20,489 850 19,640 0 20,774 862 19,912 0 21,067 873 20,193 0 21,283 803 20,480 0 21,579 803 20,776 0	Firm Res. Load Total Wholesale Retail Interruptible Management* 19,604 1,208 18,396 0 760 19,989 1,157 18,832 0 757 20,182 1,074 19,108 0 763 20,430 1,059 19,372 0 768 20,489 850 19,640 0 774 20,774 862 19,912 0 780 21,067 873 20,193 0 786 21,283 803 20,480 0 792 21,579 803 20,776 0 798	Firm Res. Load Residential	Firm	Firm Res. Load Residential C/I Load C/I

Projected Values (2018 - 2027):

Col. (2) - Col. (4) represent FPL's forecasted peak and does not include incremental conservation, cumulative load management, or incremental load management.

Col. (5) - Col. (9) represent cumulative load management, and incremental conservation and load management. All values are projected January values.

Col. (8) represents FPL's Business On Call, CDR, CILC, and Curtailable programs/rates.

Col. (10) represents a 'Net Firm Demand" which accounts for all of the incremental conservation and assumes all of the load control is implemented on the peak. Col. (10) is derived by using the formula: Col. (10) = Col. (2) - Col. (5) - Col. (6) - Col. (7) - Col. (8) - Col. (9).

* Res. Load Management and C/I Load Management include MW values of load management from Lee County and FKEC whose loads FPL serves.