1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		FILED 2/14/2019 DOCUMENT NO. 00805-2019
4	In the Matter of:	FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK
5	in the matter of:	DOCKET NO. 20170147-WS
6	APPLICATION FOR STAFF-ASSISTED RATE	CASE IN
7	LEVY COUNTY BY FIMC HIDEAWAY, INC.	CASE IN
8		/
9		
10		
11	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 6
12	COMMISSIONERS	TIEN NO. U
13	PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN
14		COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN COMMISSIONER GARY F. CLARK
15		COMMISSIONER ANDREW GILES FAY
16	DATE:	Tuesday, February 5, 2019
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
18		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
19	REPORTED BY:	ANDREA KOMARIDIS
20		Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for
21		the State of Florida at Large
22	,	PREMIER REPORTING
23		114 W. 5TH AVENUE ALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA
24		(850) 894-0828
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Let's move on to the
3	last item on our agenda, Item No. 6.
4	MR. LEWIS: Good morning, Commissioners. I am
5	Clayton Lewis Clayton Lewis, representing staff.
6	Item 6 is staff's recommendation that the
7	Commission direct FIMC Hideaway, Inc., to
8	investigate changes to its water-treatment
9	operations to enable it to meet DEP secondary water
10	standards, specifically to resolve ongoing problems
11	meeting the standards for sulfates and total
12	dissolved solids.
13	Staff is additionally recommending that the
14	utility meet with its customers to discuss and
15	possibly come to an agreement on a remedy.
16	Representation from the utility is not present
17	today; however, the Office of Public Counsel is
18	here and may wish to speak on this matter. Staff
19	is available to answer your concerns.
20	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Mr. Kelly, welcome to the
21	front.
22	MR. KELLY: Morning, Mr. Chairman and
23	Commissioners. I I really just wanted to tell
24	you I do have two quick comments, but I wanted
25	to take this opportunity to introduce you to our

newest attorney. Mireille Fall-Fry joined us last
week. And since I had assigned this case to her
and she's been here less than a week, I didn't -- I
wasn't going to make her speak on it.

So -- but -- just real quickly, we agree with staff's recommendation. It -- we would ask for two considerations: Number one, that the meetings that staff referred to with the customers -- we would ask that there be a notice by the utility given to the Commission so that our office could know when the meetings are going to occur and have an opportunity to attend.

And the only other comment is that we would ask, instead of the docket being closed, that you continue to allow it to remain open, as you have over the past several months, until this issue is resolved, because it -- it's obviously -- was an issue when you voted on this case last year, and you left it open. And that would be our request; that you -- it remains open until this issue of the water quality is finally resolved.

- Thank you, Mr. Chair.
- 23 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you, Mr. Kelly.
- 24 All right. Commissioners, Item No. 6.
- 25 Commissioner Brown.

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1	COMMISSIONER BROWN: I I just have a
2	question regarding keeping the docket open until
3	the issue of water quality is resolved. Does
4	that are you suggesting that, Mr. Kelly, that
5	just the utility has an opportunity to meet with
6	the customers and provide them an estimate of cost
7	or are you suggesting that a remedy actually be
8	implemented thereafter?
9	MR. KELLY: Commissioner, that's a good point.
10	I I I think at least hold it open until we
11	figure out where we're going to go forward; after
12	the customer meetings and after the things that
13	staff delineated insofar as determining what
14	options would be available, what are the costs of
15	those options, whether the customers would be
16	willing and able to pay for those options. If
17	they're if they're too much, then we could
18	decide on a a different path to take, but at
19	least that far, we would ask for it to remain open.
20	And I I and I would concede that if it
21	was if it turned out to be a two- or three-year
22	opt excuse me an option would be take two or
23	three years to implement, then we could talk about
24	agreeing to close it at that time and wait and
25	and see what's going to happen.

1	COMMISSIONER BROWN: And I think, given what
2	you just stated, I think both of those are
3	reasonable requests.
4	But staff so, what kind of language would
5	you propose for the close-the-docket option, given
6	the discussion we just had?
7	MS. DuVAL: Well, Commissioner, I believe that
8	that's probably what staff was contemplating. For
9	purposes of this recommendation, we were just
10	looking at the issues that were teed up, and that's
11	why we phrased it the way that we did.
12	But if I were going to suggest any language, I
13	think it would just be to to suggest that the
14	docket remain open until such time that the the
15	quality issue has been vetted, possibly, and fully
16	addressed because there is the potential that,
17	based on the the information that we received
18	back from the utility, that that the Commission
19	could find that whatever solution whatever the
20	plausible solution is is is not in the best
21	interest of the customers, like like Mr. Kelly
22	said.
23	So, I believe maybe just some more-generic
24	terms, keep the docket open until the quality issue
25	has been vetted and addressed.

1	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. I appreciate
2	the recommendation.
3	And welcome, for appearing before us today,
4	too.
5	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
6	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Thank you, Commissioner
7	Brown.
8	Staff, I've got it's a little concerning
9	that the we still can't meet the secondary
10	standards. If if we go if we have the
11	customer meeting that we're talking about, with the
12	utility, OPC, and the customers being there and
13	I'm sitting here looking at the e-mail that came
14	from the customers saying that FIMC cannot afford
15	the equipment or the engineering required to meet
16	the standard.
17	Now, if they decide if the outcome of that
18	meeting is that it's cost-prohibitive now, do we
19	have because when they pass this statutorily,
20	when they pass that we shall look at secondary
21	standards, do we have the ability to not require
22	them to hit the secondary standard, if if the
23	customers don't want to pay that that cost
24	increase?
25	MS. DuVAL: Right. So, our quality-of-service

1	statute, like you said, only requires that the
2	Commission consider the finding by DEP that they're
3	not meeting those secondary standards.
4	So, given that language and the fact that the
5	DEP is the agency with the primary enforcement
6	authority over the standards, we believe it is
7	within the Commission's discretion, of course, as
8	to whether or not you all require that the utility
9	make those improvements to meet the standards.
10	It's in Subsection 2E of the quality of our
11	quality-of-service statute that says that the
12	Commission may require the utility to implement a
13	solution that's in the best interest of the
14	customers.
15	So, there is like I said before, there is
16	the potential that it may appear to the Commission
17	that whatever solution the you know, that the
18	utility and customers can come up with, whether
19	that be to to not do anything at all that may
20	be what is in the best interest of the customers.
21	I think we would just have to see when that comes
22	down the road.
23	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Mr. Hetrick?
24	MR. HETRICK: I think she stated the law
25	accurately; that the charge for the Commission is

1	to ultimately, at the end of the day, you have
2	the ability to enforce secondary standards, but the
3	statute if that is in disqualifies that with,
4	if that is in the best interest of the customers.
5	So, your the Commission's charge is to look
6	at the best interest of the customers and come up
7	with a solution that meets the best interest of the
8	customers after after you've looked at what the
9	various options are to meet secondary standards.
10	So, I I think you did a good point right
11	on on the statute.
12	MS. DuVAL: And I would also add, if I could,
13	I'm obviously not an expert on DEP's rules or
14	statutes, but I do believe that companies in these
15	situations sometimes have the ability to apply for
16	either an exemption or a variance from DEP's rules
17	regarding these standards as well.
18	So, that may be something else that the
19	utility hasn't explored yet that they could
20	potentially during this this period of time.
21	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Well, I'm not really
22	concerned about DEP's requirement. It's just,
23	statutorily, what our requirement is. And so
24	and I guess I'm asking the question: So, when
25	something comes to us after this meeting, that

we're not sitting around saying, I wish we would have known that before.

What -- what -- how many customers does -- how many customers do you need to say that they're not satisfied? Is it one customer? Is it half the customers?

MR. LEWIS: Well, normally, when we get reports of complaints, especially on the quality-of-service issue, we'll pass it on to DEP. But when we get such a substantial number all at once and everything, then we would properly investigate and -- and talk to the utility and find out what the issue is and see if there's a resolution in the works.

In this particular case, these instances have been documented and going on for a couple of decades now, and they have continuously not met this -- this secondary water standard for total -- for sulfates and totally dissolved solids.

It appears that DEP has been aware of this the whole time, and -- but due to the nature of the utility's size, the customer base -- I think it's just under 200 customers -- that they haven't pressed forward on this, but I can't speak for DEP and what they are -- what is their -- the

1 initiation of their actions on what they -- how 2. they go forward and present anything on to the 3 utility. 4 CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: I have a few more questions, 5 but my board is all lit up. Commissioner Polmann? 6 7 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, 8 Mr. Chairman. The last point you raised is a very interesting one that I think the Commission will 9 10 continue to wrestle with is, you know, whether it's 11 one customer or several or many. 12 We -- we -- we tried to address that in -- in 13 whether or not to develop a rule. And I think 14 we'll, unfortunately, continue to wrestle with 15 I don't have an answer to that and I suspect 16 that will continue to be a challenge for us on a 17 case-by-case basis. 18 But putting that aside for the moment, with 19 regard to this particular item and the issues in 20 keeping the docket open and so forth, as I read 21 this and, based on discussion that I had with 22 staff, what -- what I was contemplating was a need 23 for the Commission to receive back from the utility 24 some specific details at the end of six months as 25 to this specific statement here for FIMC to create

an estimate of costs and benefits of a plausible solution; rather than having the utility come back in six months and say, well, we don't know what to do because this has been going on for -- for a very long time, and we can't meet the standards without spending an extraordinary amount of money because that's not going to be useful to us, and then for us to say, well, that's unacceptable, and -- and for this to go around and around again and again.

So, I have -- I have asked staff to very deliberately request of the utility a calendar of events over the next six months -- and perhaps that's consistent with what Mr. Kelly is looking for -- which would be a month-by-month schedule of what are they going to do specifically because I --I don't anticipate that this is a particularly difficult concept of how to treat for these secondary-standard materials, but what are the procedures that we're going to follow to -- to determine what a solution is in terms of a -treatment options, and what are they going to do to engage the customers in an adequate fashion to lay out these plausible solutions and then to interact with the customers to determine, are the customers willing to pay so that they can report back to this

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	Commission in six months with a real plan?
2	Because if they come back and say, well, you
3	know, it's going to cost a lot of money, but we
4	don't know if the customers want to pay, then we're
5	still sitting there in six months where we are
6	today.
7	So, until we have real information from the
8	utility and from the customers as as to a
9	willingness to pay, we don't have anything. So, I
10	would I would like us to direct staff to be very
11	specific and and to have a very high expectation
12	of the utility to be serious about coming back here
13	with what it says is an estimate of costs and
14	benefits of a plausible solution and for staff to
15	define specifically what constitutes a plausible
16	solution and and for them to be very deliberate
17	with the utility as to getting detailed reports
18	back. And if we don't get that, we're we're
19	nowhere.
20	So, that's my point, and thank you,
21	Mr. Chairman.
22	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: All right. Commissioner
23	Fay.
24	COMMISSIONER FAY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
25	Just a a quick question for Mr. Kelly on

1	the notice comment. I I'm not sure that what
2	you're asking for is consistent with the current
3	staff recommendation, but it sounds like, based on
4	what Commissioner Polmann is saying, that if
5	there's communication by the parties with the
6	Commission staff, that this will be brought back
7	before us or at least the information will be
8	submitted to the Commission on August August
9	At that time, I would I would I'll let
10	staff correct me, but I believe, at that point, the
11	Office of Public Counsel would have the opportunity
12	to weigh in on that information as it's brought
13	before the Commission. Would that be sort would
14	that be sufficient to what you're requesting?
15	MR. KELLY: Commissioner, what we what I
16	was talking about we want to be aware of the
17	customer meetings. In other words, we wanted
18	the the utility to be required to tell you when
19	they're going to hold customer meetings so that we
20	could be informed, and we could attend those
21	customer meetings.
22	I I agree with you, once you get down the
23	road and and staff comes back to you with a
24	recommendation, or a summary of what's transpired
25	absolutely, we'll be involved, but I we just

1	specifically want to know when the utility is
2	planning to meet with the customers so that we
3	could also attend.
4	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. And that that
5	would be sort that would be unusual for a
6	circumstance like this, correct?
7	MR. KELLY: I I don't think so. I know, in
8	the past, we've the Commission has directed the
9	utility to hold meetings and inform our office
10	and and we've attended those meetings.
11	I specifically remember that about the
12	Summertree that some of the folks that have been
13	here a few years, certainly remember.
14	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Hmm.
15	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Summertree.
16	MR. KELLY: And and so, that that's all
17	we're asking.
18	COMMISSIONER FAY: Looks like you're bringing
19	up a happy case to compare this to, Mr. Kelly.
20	(Laughter.)
21	MR. KELLY: Well, hope it it's got a
22	happy ending, so
23	COMMISSIONER FAY: Yeah.
24	MR. KELLY: But that's all we're asking for.
25	We just want we don't want to find out, oh,

1	there was a customer hearing meeting, excuse me,
2	meeting, not a hearing customer meeting last
3	week with FIMC and the customers, and we didn't
4	know anything about it.
5	That that's that was really what I'm
6	getting at.
7	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Because that's I
8	think, if we're looking for resolution, I just
9	wouldn't want to do anything that would hinder the
10	parties' ability to have discussions the
11	customers and the company to have discussions to
12	try to find some resolution.
13	And so, I think what you're saying, as long as
14	you have the information of when they are meeting,
15	that's sufficient for you. They're not obligated
16	to work around schedules to so, you would be
17	able to be in attendance for these types of
18	meetings, correct?
19	MR. KELLY: Ye
20	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay.
21	MR. KELLY: Yes, sir.
22	COMMISSIONER FAY: Gotcha.
23	MR. KELLY: Yeah, we we we've done this
24	in the past where we've met with customers and the
25	utility really trying to all work together to to

1	a workable solution.
2	COMMISSIONER FAY: Because the everyone has
3	the same, common goal, so
4	MR. KELLY: Absolutely.
5	COMMISSIONER FAY: Okay. Gotcha.
6	Thank you, Mr. Chairman. That's all I had.
7	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Commissioner Clark.
8	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
9	I appreciate you articulated a lot of the
10	concerns that I had a couple of minutes ago. So,
11	I'll be very brief.
12	I just want to take off of the comment that
13	was just made. I don't see any based on my
14	reading of the material and and discussions with
15	staff, I see that this utility has somewhat I
16	don't think, taken this very seriously. It doesn't
17	appear to me that they've taken it very seriously,
18	based on their responses, hey, the issue has been
19	here for a long time; we know it's an issue, but
20	there is nothing we can do it about it. That's not
21	an acceptable answer, to me.
22	And I would even take this customer meeting to
23	a higher degree and and almost insist that we
24	have staff at that meeting that's there to listen
25	firsthand at the information that's being

1	presented. And and certainly, I have no problem
2	scheduling this as a as a customer hearing in
3	that regard, as well.
4	But I I would I would seriously like to
5	see us take this issue a little more seriously.
6	They have, like, 11, 12 years of secondary-quality
7	issues with DEP.
8	Those are that's kind of one of those
9	things where the squeaky wheel gets the grease
10	there. Unless there's a lot of customer complaints
11	regarding the secondary standards, there's it's
12	probably not going to be addressed. And I think
13	maybe the customers aren't quite as familiar with
14	exactly the next steps to take.
15	So, I would encourage us to to work with
16	staff to set up something and possibly be a part of
17	this customer hearing, Mr. Chairman.
18	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. In the
19	recommendation, it has this coming back before us
20	by August 6th. I take it that's our meeting in
21	August. I I like the idea and Mr. Hetrick made
22	the suggestion earlier when I met with him about
23	making sure that staff was included in this in
24	this hearing as well so we're not just hearing
25	everything second and thirdhand. I I think

1 that's a great recommendation.

2.

I guess my concern is -- and I agree with you,

Commissioner Clark, there -- there seems to be a

lack of urgency by the utility. And what happens

when this -- you know, come August 6th and they

come back around and they just say, what are we

supposed to do, it's too expensive, but yet, you've

still got customers complaining about it.

And that's why I asked the question, trying not to be facetious about, you know, what's enough customers complaining about it, you know. And I -- I'm sure that's one of those things that, come August 6th, we'll make that determination, but I just want to make sure that we have enough dialogue about this so we know -- you know, at least we're giving staff direction on what our concerns are and where we would like for this thing to end up.

I guess the question to staff is, what do we need to do in the motion as far as to direct you to make sure that staff is part of this hearing, moving forward, and is it something that's -- would staff set up this meeting? Will we wait for the utility to set up this meeting and staff just attend or how do you foresee this moving forward?

As we discussed, I believe a

MR. LEWIS:

possible time frame -- we envision that it would take the utility probably about a month or two to get appropriate bids and to formulate some type of presentation to the customers.

Seeing that we want to do -- maybe see an initial meeting, along the guidelines of what OPC stated, around the third month, and then follow-up meetings, based upon what -- however the customers and the utility proceeded to -- to further flesh out the options so that they could probably come to the conclusion within the -- the fourth or fifth month and -- and -- and provide that information to the Commission so the staff could have time to prepare and -- and present it.

That -- that's kind of like where we kind of left it because we didn't -- we don't know which way the -- the utility or the customers might decide to -- to comport themselves on -- on how to address this issue.

So, that's -- that's -- that's kind of where we stood there, as far as the time frame, but I think being notified of the customer meetings, and -- staff or supervisors can determine which of these meetings that staff should -- should attend. It'd probably be -- be informative of -- of -- of a

2.

1	notification so that everybody is aware of the
2	specific time frames.
3	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Well, I would definitely ask
4	for staff to keep us apprized of what's going on
5	because what I don't want to see happen is, come
6	August 6th, that the utility states that, we're
7	still looking for engineering bids and we're still
8	trying to put this together and we still haven't
9	met with with the with the customers yet.
10	MR. LEWIS: At that point, I would probably
11	just suggest that maybe the utility give a a
12	mid-point status report on where they are in the
13	process.
14	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Well, I I assume that you
15	guys are going to stay in contact with the customer
16	[sic]. I'm just saying for you to guys to get back
17	to us, maybe at an at an internal affairs and
18	just say
19	MR. LEWIS: Okay.
20	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: An update, where this thing
21	is so far and where you see it going. I mean,
22	because I just don't like I said, I don't want
23	to have this conversation six months from now and
24	nothing has happened.
25	Commissioner Brown.

1	COMMISSIONER BROWN: So, I would suggest, with
2	that with these this conversation that we've
3	had here is to direct the utility in our order to
4	have conduct the customer meeting and notify OPC
5	and include staff, within the next 60 to 90 days
6	from issuance of our order and then submit the
7	results back to the Commission within 30 days after
8	the meeting is held.
9	So, we have a tight time frame that's provided
10	within the order, even though the utility is
11	obviously, there's no representative here today,
12	but our order provides direction, clear direction,
13	so we have a path so, come August, you know, we'll
14	have at least an idea of of where we're going to
15	go.
16	MR. HETRICK: And Commissioner?
17	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Uh-huh.
18	MR. HETRICK: I think you would want
19	options submitted by what those cost estimates are
20	associated with the options is sort of what the
21	discussion I heard. And the Commission is part of
22	that. So, it's not general
23	COMMISSIONER BROWN: So, the so, the
24	meeting would consist of what Mr. Lewis said, is
25	have the utility discuss options with the customers

1	within 60 to 90 days from issuance of our order and
2	then provide the Commission back with the results.
3	MR. HETRICK: Yes, ma'am.
4	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Within 30 days.
5	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Was that a motion?
6	COMMISSIONER BROWN: I I hope our clerk is
7	clear on the motion.
8	MR. TEITZMAN: I got it.
9	COMMISSIONER BROWN: So, that is the motion.
10	Mr. Chairman.
11	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: It's been moved and
12	seconded.
13	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Oh, pardon me.
14	Mr. Chairman, if I would, though, also I
15	would move and that's just Issue 1, but I would
16	move also the issue of Issue 2 to keep the docket
17	open until such time as the quality issue has been
18	vetted.
19	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. It's been moved and
20	seconded. Any further discussion on that motion?
21	Executive director, do you have a question?
22	MR. BAEZ: (Shaking head negatively.)
23	CHAIRMAN GRAHAM: Okay. Seeing no other
24	questions or concerns, Mr. Kelly, is that
25	MR. KELLY: Yes, sir, that's

```
1
                                 Okay. All in favor, say
               CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:
 2
          aye.
 3
               (Chorus of ayes.)
 4
               CHAIRMAN GRAHAM:
                                  Any opposed?
 5
               By your action, you have approved the Brown
 6
          motion.
7
                            It looks like that -- that
               All right.
          concludes our agenda. We have a hearing coming up
8
 9
          in this room in 10 minutes.
                                         So, by that clock in
10
          the back, that's a quarter 'til 11.
11
               So, we're adjourned.
                                      Travel safe.
12
               (Agenda item concluded.)
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

-		
	1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
	2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
	3	COUNTY OF LEON)
	4	I, ANDREA KOMARIDIS, Court Reporter, do hereby
	5	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
	6	time and place herein stated.
	7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
	8	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
	9	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
	10	and that this transcript constitutes a true
	11	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
	12	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
	13	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
	14	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
	15	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
	16	financially interested in the action.
	17	DATED THIS 14th day of February, 2019.
	18	
	19	
	20	() ()
	21	Munic
	22	ANDREA KOMARIDIS NOTARY PUBLIC
	23	COMMISSION #GG060963 EXPIRES February 9, 2021
	24	
	25	