

E. LEON JACOBS, JR.,
CHAIRMAN

STATE OF FLORIDA



CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
(850) 413-6046

Public Service Commission

September 6, 2001

VIA AIRBORNE EXPRESS

Ms. Magalie Roman Salas
Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street SW, Room TWB-204
Washington, D.C. 20554

RE: *Ex parte* letter in Docket 00-199, In the Matter of 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review of Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers

Dear Ms. Salas:

Today, I sent the attached letters on the above-listed docket. As required by your rule 1.1206, enclosed are two copies.

Sincerely,

E. Leon Jacobs, Jr.
Chairman

ELJ:tf
Enclosure

E. LEON JACOBS, JR.,
CHAIRMAN

STATE OF FLORIDA



CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
(850) 413-6046

Public Service Commission

September 6, 2001

The Honorable Michael K. Powell
Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

RE: *Ex parte* letter in Docket 00-199, In the Matter of 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review of Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers

Dear Chairman Powell:

I applaud your prior decision to undertake a collaborative process between the states and the FCC for the last 18 months. I understand that the FCC is now considering backing off from the results of that process. I strongly urge the FCC to reconsider this position. The few new accounts proposed by states reflect the recent changes in technologies and regulatory requirements. These accounts cover universal service, new technologies deployment, and interconnection arrangements, and are needed for the states and the FCC to fulfill their regulatory oversight in monitoring the development of competitive markets. This process has been fruitful in substantially reducing reporting requirements. Perhaps as importantly, it has been fruitful in cementing a great partnership.

At this time, we believe the collaborative process proposes a reasonable platform of reduced reporting and information requirements. Please help me to understand why there is an apparent change that would undermine that excellent work.

Thank you for the opportunity to share my concerns.

Sincerely,

E. Leon Jacobs, Jr.
Chairman

ELJ:tf
Enclosure

E. LEON JACOBS, JR.,
CHAIRMAN

STATE OF FLORIDA



CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
(850) 413-6046

Public Service Commission

September 6, 2001

Honorable Gloria Tristani
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

RE: *Ex parte* letter in Docket 00-199, In the Matter of 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review of Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers

Dear Commissioner Tristani:

I applaud your prior decision to undertake a collaborative process between the states and the FCC for the last 18 months. I understand that the FCC is now considering backing off from the results of that process. I strongly urge the FCC to reconsider this position. The few new accounts proposed by states reflect the recent changes in technologies and regulatory requirements. These accounts cover universal service, new technologies deployment, and interconnection arrangements, and are needed for the states and the FCC to fulfill their regulatory oversight in monitoring the development of competitive markets. This process has been fruitful in substantially reducing reporting requirements. Perhaps as importantly, it has been fruitful in cementing a great partnership.

At this time, we believe the collaborative process proposes a reasonable platform of reduced reporting and information requirements. Please help me to understand why there is an apparent change that would undermine that excellent work.

Thank you for the opportunity to share my concerns.

Sincerely,

E. Leon Jacobs, Jr.
Chairman

ELJ:tf
Enclosure

E. LEON JACOBS, JR.,
CHAIRMAN

STATE OF FLORIDA



CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
(850) 413-6046

Public Service Commission

September 6, 2001

Honorable Kathleen Q. Abernathy
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

RE: *Ex parte* letter in Docket 00-199, In the Matter of 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review of Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers

Dear Commissioner Abernathy:

I applaud your prior decision to undertake a collaborative process between the states and the FCC for the last 18 months. I understand that the FCC is now considering backing off from the results of that process. I strongly urge the FCC to reconsider this position. The few new accounts proposed by states reflect the recent changes in technologies and regulatory requirements. These accounts cover universal service, new technologies deployment, and interconnection arrangements, and are needed for the states and the FCC to fulfill their regulatory oversight in monitoring the development of competitive markets. This process has been fruitful in substantially reducing reporting requirements. Perhaps as importantly, it has been fruitful in cementing a great partnership.

At this time, we believe the collaborative process proposes a reasonable platform of reduced reporting and information requirements. Please help me to understand why there is an apparent change that would undermine that excellent work.

Thank you for the opportunity to share my concerns.

Sincerely,

E. Leon Jacobs, Jr.
Chairman

ELJ:tf
Enclosure

E. LEON JACOBS, JR.,
CHAIRMAN

STATE OF FLORIDA



CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
(850) 413-6046

Public Service Commission

September 6, 2001

Honorable Michael J. Copps
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

RE: *Ex parte* letter in Docket 00-199, In the Matter of 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review of Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers

Dear Commissioner Copps:

I applaud your prior decision to undertake a collaborative process between the states and the FCC for the last 18 months. I understand that the FCC is now considering backing off from the results of that process. I strongly urge the FCC to reconsider this position. The few new accounts proposed by states reflect the recent changes in technologies and regulatory requirements. These accounts cover universal service, new technologies deployment, and interconnection arrangements, and are needed for the states and the FCC to fulfill their regulatory oversight in monitoring the development of competitive markets. This process has been fruitful in substantially reducing reporting requirements. Perhaps as importantly, it has been fruitful in cementing a great partnership.

At this time, we believe the collaborative process proposes a reasonable platform of reduced reporting and information requirements. Please help me to understand why there is an apparent change that would undermine that excellent work.

Thank you for the opportunity to share my concerns.

Sincerely,

E. Leon Jacobs, Jr.
Chairman

ELJ:tf
Enclosure

E. LEON JACOBS, JR.,
CHAIRMAN

STATE OF FLORIDA



CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
(850) 413-6046

Public Service Commission

September 6, 2001

Honorable Kevin J. Martin
Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 Twelfth Street, SW
Washington, DC 20554

VIA FACSIMILE

RE: *Ex parte* letter in Docket 00-199, In the Matter of 2000 Biennial Regulatory Review of Accounting Requirements and ARMIS Reporting Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers

Dear Commissioner Martin:

I applaud your prior decision to undertake a collaborative process between the states and the FCC for the last 18 months. I understand that the FCC is now considering backing off from the results of that process. I strongly urge the FCC to reconsider this position. The few new accounts proposed by states reflect the recent changes in technologies and regulatory requirements. These accounts cover universal service, new technologies deployment, and interconnection arrangements, and are needed for the states and the FCC to fulfill their regulatory oversight in monitoring the development of competitive markets. This process has been fruitful in substantially reducing reporting requirements. Perhaps as importantly, it has been fruitful in cementing a great partnership.

At this time, we believe the collaborative process proposes a reasonable platform of reduced reporting and information requirements. Please help me to understand why there is an apparent change that would undermine that excellent work.

Thank you for the opportunity to share my concerns.

Sincerely,

E. Leon Jacobs, Jr.
Chairman

ELJ:tf
Enclosure