BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of

DOCKET NO. 960451-WS

Application for rate increase in Duval, Nassau and St. Johns Counties by United Water Florida, Inc.

FIRST DAY - MORNING SESSION

VOLUME 1

Pages 1 through 91

CHAIRMAN JULIA L. JOHNSON

COMMISSIONER JOE GARCIA

Monday, January 27, 1997

Commenced at 10:00 a.m.

COMMISSIONER J. TERRY DEASON COMMISSIONER SUSAN F. CLARK

COMMISSIONER DIANE K. KIESLING

Prime Osborn Convention Center

14

HEARING

15

16

17

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

BEFORE:

PROCEEDINGS:

18

TIME:

PLACE:

REPORTED BY:

DATE: 19

20

21 22

23

24 25 Jacksonville, Florida JOY KELLY CSR, RPR

Chief, Bureau of Reporting ROWENA NASH

1000 Water Street

H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR Official Commission Reporters

WITNESSES - VOLUME 1 1 PAGE NO. NAME 2 3 PAUL HUDGINS 4 Direct Statement 5 ED HOLLAND 13 6 Direct Statement 7 JOHN COYLE 16 8 Direct Statement 9 JAMES WOOD 20 10 Direct Statement 11 FRANK DE HOF 31 12 Direct Statement 13 MARTIN MITTELACHER 34 14 Direct Statement 15 ROBERT H. LA BELLE 39 16 Direct Statement 17 RICHARD ENGEL 55 18 Direct Statement 19 SUZUKI RICHARDSON 60 20 Direct Statement 21 PAUL J. KEKEVIAN 61 22 Direct Statement 23 DON BROWN 65 24 Direct Statement 25

- 11		
L	ELIZABETH PALMER	
2	Direct Statement	74
3	CLAIRE BEE	
4	Direct Statement	83
5	ROBERT LABELLE	
6	Direct Statement	86
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
2(
2	1	
2	2	
2		
2	4	
2	5	

APPEARANCES:

2

3

6

7

8

10

15

17

18

19 |

20

JAMES L. ADE, and SCOTT G. SCHILDBERG, Martin, Ade, Birchfield & Mickler, P. A., Post Office Box 59, Jacksonville, Florida 32202, appearing on behalf of United Water Florida Inc. 5 |

HAROLD McLEAN, Associate Public Counsel, Office of Public Counsel, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

ROSANNE CAPELESS and BOBBIE L. REYES, 11 | Florida Public Service Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

16 | ALSO PRESENT:

MARSHALL WILLIS, Florida Public Service Commission, Division of Water and Wastewater, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

22

21

23

24

PROCEEDINGS

(Hearing convened at 1:00 p.m.)

10 |

13 |

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to call the hearing to order at this point in time. Counsel, could you read the notice?

MS. CAPELESS: Yes, ma'am. Pursuant to notice, this time and place has been designated for hearing in Docket 960451-WS, application of United Water Florida, Inc. for a rate increase in Duval, Nassau and St. Johns Counties.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Take appearances.

MR. ADE: James L. Ade of the firm of
Martin, Ade, Birchfield & Mickler representing United
Water Florida and with me is Mr. Scott G. Schildberg
of our firm.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And for the purpose of our witness knowing who is speaking, if you could, after you've announced yourself, could you stand and turn around and let them see who you represent and who you are.

Public Counsel?

MR. SHREVE: Harold McLean and Jack Shreve,
Office of Public Counsel, Claude Pepper Building,
Tallahassee, Florida, representing the customers, the
Citizens of the state of Florida, in opposition to the

rate increase.

1

2

3

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

MS. CAPELESS: Rosanne Capeless and Bobbie Reyes representing the Florida Public Service Commission Staff.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Julia Johnson. I'm the Chairperson for the Florida Public Service Commission, and with me we have our full Commission.

To my left, to my far left, is Commissioner Joe Garcia; next to him, Commissioner Susan Clark; to my right, Commissioner Terry Deason, and my far right Commissioner Diane Kiesling.

If you had an opportunity to -- when you came in there was a green packet that tells you a little bit more about the proceeding, the application for the rate increase, and it also tells you more about the Commissioners and the Commission. 17 |

The proceeding today will really be broken into two separate sessions. Our first will be the 19 customer testimony session. I will use that and we will use that as an opportunity to hear from the 21 customers that would like to testify. That 23 information will become an official part of our record. We will use that and can use that to base our final decision on.

To the extent that you don't want to actually provide testimony, there is a fold-out sheet on the green document that you can provide to the Commission or mail in to the Commission any written comments that you might like for us to consider, and we will make that a part of the correspondence side of the record.

There are several Staff members here to assist you if you have questions and you don't feel like testifying and coming up before the full Commission here but you have questions like you'd like for Staff members to entertain.

Let me introduce those Staff members to you, and as I call your name, if you could stand and let our customers know who you are. Our legal representatives have already introduced themselves. From the Division of Water and Wastewater we have Marshall Willis, Troy Rendell, Jeanne Clark, Eric Groom, Barry Davis, Jenny Lingo.

They're all from the Division of Water and Wastewater; accountants engineers, economists. And to the extent that you have a specific question, you could either bring that here or you could address any of those Staff members and they will be able to assist you. I would direct you mainly to the bureau chief,

Marshall Willis, and he can assist you with getting
with any of his Staff members that might be able to
assist you.

We also have Sandy Simmons. I think she's in the back there. Ruthe, our court reporter here up front, and Rowena Nash, another court reporter. And from the office of public information, the young lady that greeted you today was Melinda Pace. She can assist you if you'd like to sign up, and if you've decided that you'd like to testify, she has those yellow slips and she will forward that to Mr. Jack Shreve.

10 |

Let me give you a little background information on the case. For those of you who have your sheets, you can kind of follow along there, but let me just summarize what the case is all about. On July 30th, 1996 the Utility filed an application for interim and permanent rate increases pursuant to Florida Statutes.

The Utility's interim increase was designed to increase annual revenues by \$1,148,966, or 16.77% and annual wastewater revenues by \$1,073,950, or 7.87%. The Utility's permanent rate increase is designed to increase annual water revenues by 3.3 million, or 46%, and annual wastewater revenues of 5.1

million, or 33%.

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

24

25

On November 19th the Commission issued an order in this docket. The order suspended the Company's proposed permanent rights and approved interim increases for annual revenues of \$725,015 for an increase of 10.47% for water, and \$238,030 for an increase of 1.69 for wastewater. Those rates were issued subject to refund with interest.

We are here today to hear your comments with respect to the rate increases, and we're also here to hear any questions or comments that you might have with respect to the quality of service. That is an issue in this case. I believe it's one of our first issues. We look forward to hearing any testimony that you might have in that regard.

Now, as a part of this proceeding, in order to make this official, I have to swear each and every one of you in, but we'll do that as a group. It's a process that's necessary in order to ensure that our evidence is official and that it's a part of the 20 record. It's not meant to intimidate or to stop you 21 from testifying in any way. We'd like to hear from 22 you and hear your comments on the pending issues.

After I've sworn the witnesses in, Public Counsel will call you up one at a time. At that point in time if you could repeat again your name for the record and your address and also if you could spell your name, that would assist our court reporter.

At this point in time then, if those who would like to testify, if you could stand and raise your right hand.

(Witnesses collectively sworn)

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Public Counsel, you may
call your first witness.

MR. SHREVE: Thank you. Mr. Paul Hudgins.

PAUL HUDGINS

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

Good morning. My name is Paul Hudgins, 6331
Anvil Road, Jacksonville, 32277. I have a -
COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Excuse me, sir.

Could you repeat your name, because I couldn't hear

it?

WITNESS HUDGINS: Paul Hudgins,
H-U-D-G-I-N-S. In order to be as succinct as
possible, I have prepared a statement. May I read
that into the record? Is that acceptable?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes, sir, you may do

that, and if you could --

2

3

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

WITNESS HUDGINS: I have copies to give to --

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Very well.

WITNESS HUDGINS: This letter is addressed to the Director of the Division of Records and Reporting. As a 40-year customer of United Water of Florida and its two predecessors, I have received data concerning the proposed rate increases now before this body.

Upon review of this data I have a number of questions which I would like to address to both PSC and to the Company management. These concerns are a reflection of how these proposed changes may affect me 15 as an individual customer, as well as the impact on thousands of residential, commercial and institutional entities.

I have two different types of concerns. is outlining the data. My first concern is, the amount of the increase is excessive. The request for the combined water and sewer charges will provide a 40% increase, amounting to about \$8.4 million per year. (Pause)

Would it possible for the secretary or someone to read this letter?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: I'm sorry? You'd like for someone else to read this letter? WITNESS HUDGINS: Yes, please.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: We will put it in the record on the correspondence side and have this in our record, if you would like, or are you requesting something --

WITNESS HUDGINS: No, that's fine. I just wanted to get it into the records.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Mr. Shreve, is it okay that we put this in the correspondence side of the record?

MR. SHREVE: That would be fine, if 14 Mr. Hudgins would like that. Certainly.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: If you just want to make comments and highlight what you have in here, that's absolutely fine. You don't necessarily have to read the whole thing, but if there are points that you want to highlight that's absolutely fine, also.

WITNESS HUDGINS: Most of them are sort of the technical things. That's why I put it down in writing, because it's in tabular form, and it has to do with the rates themselves, and it's --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Do you want any specific responses? You said you have several

questions to ask, and obviously I was going through it as you were reading it. Is there any questions you want us to get back to you, to have Staff or the Company respond to you? Is that included in this? WITNESS HUDGINS: Yes. I have outlined 5 specific questions that I have. 6 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: All right. Then Staff 7 will get together with him and find an answer to his questions? MS. CAPELESS: Yes, sir, we certainly can. 10 WITNESS HUDGINS: Thank you. 11 12

MR. SHREVE: Thank you, Mr. Hudgins.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, sir. And, sir, we will have this as a part of the record, but if you want to get with Mr. Willis, he may be able to help you walk through any questions you'd like to extract and have Public Counsel or someone ask during this proceeding. Thank you very much, sir.

MR. SHREVE: Mr. Ed Holland.

20

17

18

19

13

21

22

23

24

ED HOLLAND

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS HOLLAND: Good morning. I'm Ed

Holland. My address is 2837 Oak Cove Lane -
CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Sir, I'm sorry. This is
a pretty poor sound system. You're going to have to
get a lot closer to the microphone and speak right
into it.

WITNESS HOLLAND: I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.

WITNESS HOLLAND: My name is Ed Holland. My address is 2837 Oak Cove Lane, 32277, Jacksonville, Florida.

I would like to ask you ladies and gentlemen one question. How much has your salary increased in the last 10 to 13 years? I'm quite certain none of us has had 67, 70 or 100% increase. My water bill and wastewater bill with this Company has exceeded 100% the last 10 to 13 years. I think this is extravagant.

Someone somewhere along the line is not doing proper planning with this company to cover their future expenses. It appears to me that every time something comes up, they want to go before the

Commission and ask for a higher rate increase.

I just wonder if these gentlemen are setting aside a certain amount of their income each year to offset these costs that they're encountered with, because a lot of the people in my area are retired people and they cannot afford such expensive increases. They are making excessive money off their wastewater for water that does not even go into the sewage. There are people in my neighborhood that cannot water their lawns because they can't afford to.

I think it is high time that this thing is curtailed and it's curtailed drastically. If they do get an increase, let them get what the retired people get, 2.6. I'm sorry, but, gentlemen, that's the way I feel about it, and I think someone in your Company should get out his pencil and start figuring closer the other way around the tree, because people cannot afford being raised every year; and I've had a rate increase every year I've been at my home. It's absolutely ridiculous.

We small people get tired of getting where we can save a dollar and here comes someone else going to take another dollar out of our pocket. We can't afford it no more.

Now, there is certain limits that the

Company can do to cover these expenses, but it strikes us when something comes up, you run to the Public Service Commission wanting a rate increase. I hate to sound repetitious, but this disturbs me, because I see what the outcome puts on people. And I thank you for your time.

22 |

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any questions? Is it Mr. Holland or Hollins?

WITNESS HOLLAND: Holland, H-O-L-L-A-N-D.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Do you have any comments
on the quality of water?

witness Holland: At times the quality has been good. At times the chlorine would be so strong you couldn't hardly drink it. To be honest with you. I have a deep well on my property, and I prefer drinking water from it than get it from these people at times.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Is it a lack of consistency, or is it -- does it vary up and down or is it just bad all the time or --

WITNESS HOLLAND: No, sir, it's periodic.

I'll give the devil his due. As a general rule, the quality is pretty fair, but there are times comes up that the chlorine is excessive.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any further questions?

(No response.) Thank you, Mr. Holland.

MR. SHREVE: Thank you, Mr. Holland.

Mr. Coyle.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

20

22

23

25

JOHN COYLE

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS COYLE: My name is John Coyle, C-O-Y-L-E. I represent myself as a homeowner. I represent, as president of the Homeowners association of Paloma Point, Jacksonville, 23 individual 13 homeowners. I'm on the board of directors for the Villages of San Jose, representing 400 homeowners. I have and will leave with you the schedule of homeowners for your review. I also have a graph showing the comparison of three additional water 16 17 companies in the area. 18

I will leave that for you for your review, and in general it shows the percentages of increase that's being requested compared to that that's already in effect in the adjacent areas. The current rate in some areas is 151% against 118%. These records are for your information for making the decision.

I have additional information. I'm somewhat

questioning it. It's relative to the dividends that are being paid various water companies, owners. There is one comment made -- and I'm not sure of it being correct -- that United Water Resources has merged with a company up north and has not had successful return on their investment. We believe there could be a cross-reference to their moneys being paid by us as rate users.

We are not in favor of the rate increase as proposed. As suggested at one point, because of the fixed income of most of the people in our area, we concur with the 026% cost of living index increase; no more. No high dividends for the owners of this equipment. I'm quite sure that other people will dwell on other portions of it. I'd like to leave these three items for your file and review.

There was a comment or a second portion regarding water quality. I believe that the system that's being served to our area has a high solid content in it, primarily calcium. It's impossible. That's the extent of my comments. The evidence is here for your review.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any questions?

MS. CAPELESS: Staff has a question.

Mr. Coyle, we understand that the customers of this

Utility, that you're being billed on a quarterly basis; is that right? WITNESS COYLE: Correct. That's their 3 MS. CAPELESS: We're wondering whether you standard. 4 would prefer to be billed on a monthly basis rather 5 than quarterly. Do you have a preference in that WITNESS COYLE: I don't believe at this regard? 8 point I can address that, but quarterly has been 9 acceptable in most industry today. 10 You have to remember that being in business, 11 which I was, the post office is demanding their 12 moneys. So I can see a savings by the water house to 13 go -- to remain with their quarters. I would favor 14 that, but I wouldn't favor a monthly. It would be too 16 expensive, simply too expensive. MS. CAPELESS: Thank you, sir. 17 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Coyle, we will 18 look at the documents you submitted, but for my own 19 edification right now, you said there a comparison of 20 21 three other water companies --22 WITNESS HOLLAND: Correct. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: -- and their rates. 23 could you tell me which those are? 24 25

1	WITNESS COYLE: St. Johns County and Clay
2	County.
3	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. Thank you.
4	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any other questions for
5	the witness?
6	MR. ADE: Yes. I did not get Mr. Coyle's
7	address. Could you give us your address, please?
8	WITNESS COYLE: My address and I'll give
9	you a card to support it is 4175 Paloma Point
10	Court, Jacksonville, Florida, 32217; and I'll give you
11	a card to reinforce it.
12	MR. ADE: Could you spell for me the first
13	name of the name of the street?
14	WITNESS COYLE: Paloma, P-A-L-O-M-A.
15	MR. ADE: Thank you, sir.
16	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any other questions? (No
17	response.) And, sir, if you would leave the
18	information there with our court reporter, we'll have
19	that filed in the correspondence side of the record.
20	WITNESS COYLE: Appreciate the opportunity.
21	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much, sir.
22	MR. SHREVE: Mr. James Wood.
23	
23	

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

JAMES WOOD

WITNESS WOOD: Good morning. Can you hear me okay?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes, sir.

witness wood: My name is James Wood. I reside at 4834 Marsh Hammock Drive West, Jacksonville, Florida, 32224. My neighborhood is comprised of 82 homes and is in an area which has been served by United Water of Florida since 1992. I am speaking for the homeowners association of our neighborhood.

In 1992 United Water, then Jacksonville
Suburban Utilities, took over the private utility
serving our neighborhood. During the course of the
transfer, they sought a rate increase to bring our
rates in line with the rates of their other customers.
Although we did not object vociferously to paying the
increased rates, we did request that any rate increase
be incremented.

The Florida Public Service Commission opted to approve the rate increase, and it was applied in three increments on October 15th, 1992, 1993 and 1994, the last increase synchronizing our rates with those

of all the other United Water of Florida customers.

It should be noted that in addition to desiring a uniform rate structure, United Water also justified their rate increase at that time by saying that the water plant and sewer treatment facility needed upgrading.

As of this date there is still no apparent significant improvement in our water service.

Pressure remains intermittently low and United Water Florida is slow to respond to problems and/or customer complaints.

Case in point: It took almost a month to get a water leak repaired in the water main side of the service connection at 4847 Marsh Hammock Drive East and 14372 Marsh Hammock Drive South despite almost daily calls to United Water of Florida. Then it took the better part of another month to get them to repair the street and areas they had excavated.

The water pressure at my meter was measured by United Water -- as measured by United Water of Florida fluctuates between less than 30 PSI and slightly more than 60 PSI. While our association is concerned about fluctuating pressures into our homes, we are deeply concerned about pressure fluctuations in fire hydrants in our neighborhood.

Now United Water of Florida comes forth with an exorbitant increase in their rates because, quote, its present rates do not provide sufficient revenues to permit it an opportunity to earn a fair share of return on its investment and facilities used and useful in furnishing water and wastewater service to the public, end quote. This despite their having taken in July of 1995 a 2.17% adjustment in water rates and a 2.32% plus one penny adjustment in wastewater rates. Then again in June of 1996 a 1.54% plus one cent adjustment in water rates and a 1.03% adjustment in wastewater rates. These were index and

pass-through adjustments.

A comparison of United Water Florida rates with those of the City of Jacksonville reveals that my last bill for the period 8/13/96 to 11/13/96 showing a usage of 2,700 cubic feet at a cost of \$123.46 plus tax would have cost me \$129.51 plus tax if provided by the City of Jacksonville, \$137.46 plus tax if provided by the City of Jacksonville Beach, and \$144.90 plus tax if provided by Normandy Village Utilities, as a private utility.

At the interim rate granted by the PSC in November, 1966 (sic), my bill would have been \$127.11 plus tax, and at the final rate requested by United

Water of Florida, the bill would have been \$166.76, making United Water of Florida number one on the list of most expensive utility providers of those compared.

An article in the Wall Street Journal of Wednesday, December the 4th, 1996 which compared sewer rates and water rates in 10 Florida cities, Fort Lauderdale, Gainesville, Jacksonville, Miami, Naples, Orlando, Panama City, Tallahassee, Tampa and West Palm Beach showed that the City of Jacksonville was second only to Tampa in the cost to the customer of water and sewer per thousand gallons, with Tampa being at \$4.91 per thousand and Jacksonville being at \$4.65 per thousand.

Those rates requested by United Water of Florida would cost the consumer a whopping \$5.43, which clearly ranks them at the top of the list, surpassing Tampa and Jacksonville; and this doesn't even include the huge increase requested in water and sewer base facility charges. Is this exorbitant or what?

United Water Florida and its predecessors

claim their last formal rate hike was in 1992.

However, they have regularly taken the index and

pass-through adjustments authorized by the Florida

Public Service Commission and State Legislature. Now

they claim they aren't earning a fair return on their investment, and in talking with their management people, that repairs and upgrades are needed.

We question what they have done since 1992 when they claim they needed to increase our rates to upgrade our water treatment facility and wastewater treatment facility.

While we can't address specifically the quality of water coming into our homes, certainly our service hasn't improved significantly. When the service is interrupted for whatever reason and a customer calls in a problem, there's an apparent lack of concern and responsiveness on the part of United Water of Florida. They act as if the customer couldn't possibly know that there is no water coming out of their tap.

Although there's some people at United Water who appear responsive to customer needs and are concerned about complaints, all we have to judge them by are results; and at this point results are slow in coming.

We firmly believe that no business can succeed if it consistently losses money. However, not making as much as they would like seems to be poor justification for exorbitant rate increases. It

appears that the interim rate increase authorized by the Florida Public Service Commission in November of 1996 allows United Water of Florida the opportunity to earn the minimum of the range of its rate of return as described in Section 367.082, Florida Statutes.

If United Water is losing money, why don't they put the Utility up for sale? We suspect that the desire for more money rather than any planned upgrading is the driving force behind the requested rate increase. We believe that if United Water is granted any additional increases above the interim increase granted in November, 1996, it should be minimal and certainly not one that would put them at the top of the most expensive list.

Additionally, they should be required to respond to customer complaints in a timely fashion. There are approximately 10 residents in our neighborhood whose lawn sprinkler systems use water provided by United Water of Florida. A comparison of the utility bills for these residents against the bills of residents who do not use water from United Water Florida for their sprinklers reveals that the consumption of those with sprinkler bills -- or sprinkler systems is roughly twice that of those without them.

1

2 3

5

7

10

11

12

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

Based on the previously mentioned 2,700 cubic feet bill, this would mean that they would be charged wastewater quantity charges on an additional 1,300 cubic feet as there is a maximum of 4,000 --40,000 cubic feet, or an additional \$29.38 based on the interim rates approved by the Commission for gallonage that United Water Florida does not have to process. That equates to approximately \$293.80 from our neighborhood alone per quarter.

Since estimates on the number of homes serviced by United Water of Florida is 25,000 in the Jacksonville area, this seems to equate to \$734,500 13 per quarter, assuming that same ratio of using sprinklers as our neighborhood, that consumers are being charged for wastewater treatment that United Water Florida doesn't have to provide. This doesn't even include water that is used to wash, cars, boats and other vehicles. These numbers would seem to equate to \$2,938,000 clear profit per year on this one 18 item.

That concludes my prepared statement. I would like to say, since this letter has been written I have met with Mr. Sambamurthi and his staff, and the service in our neighborhood has improved slightly. However, it is not yet what we would like. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, sir. Any questions? 2 3 MS. CAPELESS: Yes. Mr. Wood, do you have an opinion as to whether the Utility should switch 4 from quarterly to monthly billing? 5 6 WITNESS WOOD: No, ma'am. It makes no difference to us. It's the same amount of money. 7 8 MS. CAPELESS: Thank you, sir. 9 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any questions? 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes, I have one question. Sir, at the beginning of your statement you 11 made some references and comparisons with rates with 12 City of Jacksonville and Jacksonville Beach. 13 14 WITNESS WOOD: That's correct. 15 COMMISSIONER DEASON: The current interim rate is lower than those rates that are currently 16 being charged by Jacksonville and --17 18 WITNESS WOOD: Yes. My bill would have been about approximately \$2.00 less with the interim rates 19 as compared to the City of Jacksonville Beach. 20 21 COMMISSIONER DEASON: But the requested increase, if it were granted in its entirety, would 22 put this Utility's rates higher than any --23 WITNESS WOOD: Higher than any I've been

able to find except for Palm Coast.

COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you, sir. 1 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any other questions? 2 MS. CAPELESS: One other quick question, 3 Mr. Wood, and that is, what subdivision or 5 neighborhood do you live in? WITNESS WOOD: The name of my subdivision is 6 the Hammocks of Oak Landing. It's located at San Pablo Road and Butler Boulevard, and we are on the tail end of the distribution system from United Water in that area. 10 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I'm sorry. I didn't 11 hear what you said. 12 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: The Hammocks of what? 13 WITNESS WOOD: The Hammocks of Oak Landing. 14 It's at the corner of San Pablo Road and Butler Boulevard, and we're on the end of the distribution 16 system of United Water in that area. 17 MS. CAPELESS: Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And, sir, I thought I 19 noticed that you gave a copy of your --20 WITNESS WOOD: Yes, ma'am, there were three 21 copies that I provided for you folks. 22 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Very well. We'll have 23 those on the correspondence side of our record. 24 WITNESS WOOD: Thank you. There also is a

letter, should be in the correspondence side, that I wrote to Mr. Doug Martin at the Public Service Commission back in December, and I requested in that letter that it be made a part of this record.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let me ask you, going back to the service problems, you said you met with the Company and Mr. Murphy, you stated --

WITNESS WOOD: Mr. Sambamurthi, yes. He's the vice-president and general manager, as I --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And you said there has been some improvement. Could you give me an idea of what the problems have been and what they've done to correct them and what you require to be done to meet your --

WITNESS WOOD: I have --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And also tell me if you've called the Public Service Commission on some of these complaints.

WITNESS WOOD: Yes. I talked to Mr. Doug
Martin at the Public Service Commission, and he sent
an inquiry to United Water of Florida.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: All right.

WITNESS WOOD: Generally speaking, the problem that we have had has been intermittently low water pressure. It seems to be a supply and demand

type problem; that is, during peak hours it's low. I just checked the pressure gauges this morning before I left and it was upwards of 60 PSI, which is more than adequate. However, at times it drops down to 30 PSI or lower.

They have been out and recorded the pressure at my water meter on the main side several times, and their figures are the same as mine. It fluctuates between 30 and 60.

To my knowledge, several valves have been replaced. The water service pressure has increased slightly. I think now it fluctuates between 40 and 60 PSI, and they have assured me that they're continuing to work on it; and as far as that problems goes, I personally am satisfied with the action they've taken.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Quality of water?

WITNESS WOOD: The quality of the water I

can't specifically address. I have a filter on my

inlet side and I change it about every 30 days. It

appears to be clogged with some sort of solid matter,

which I don't know what it is; but as far as odor and

taste, I have no problem with that.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any further questions?

(No response.) Thank you very much, Mr. Wood.

MR. SHREVE: Thank you, Mr. Wood. Mr. Frank 1 2 De Hof.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Before Mr. De Hof goes, could I have the copy of the statement that Mr. Wood submitted? Oh, here it is.

FRANK DE HOF

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS DE HOF: My name is Frank De Hof. I live at 8138 Fresca Street, Jacksonville, 32217. I'm 74 years old, and there's my wife and I and a disabled daughter living at my home. We live on a fairly tight budget, and what extra money we try to set aside, we 16 have to to take care of this daughter.

Obviously, since she's 40 and I'm quite a bit older, I'm not going to be here for all of her life, and I have to see that she's got money to take care of her after my wife and I are gone. This increase bites into any extra money that we might accumulate rather deeply.

It may be \$50.00 over a quarter doesn't sound like a whole lot to some people, but it sounds like a lot to me, so I would like to see them stop

3

6

7

8

10

11

12 |

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

this proposed increase. There must be some other 2 figure, as some of these people have figured out, that 3 would be more appropriate.

I also would like to see them go to a 5 monthly billing. The last bill that I had was dated December the 3rd. It was past due on December the 26th for \$144.00. That's a nasty bill to get right the day after Christmas.

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

It would be a lot easier as it was in the old days when I first came home from the war. Why, my wife and I had some little envelopes and we had so much went in for the rent, and that went into one envelope, and another envelope was for groceries, another for something else. And I don't have any envelope left to come up with another batch of money for these people.

So we got out yesterday after we saw the announcement in the paper and walked the neighborhood and made up a little petition and got about 100 people in our neighborhood to sign, all of whom voiced an objection to the amount of the increase rather vociferously.

With regards to the quality, we have a small water filter that goes on the sink, in the kitchen sink, and use it for water that we are going to make

for our ice tea and coffee and so forth, because it used to be when you opened up the top part of the refrigerator, the odor from the water that was used to make the ice cubes was very unpleasant.

Since we've put in this little, bitty filter, why, that has stopped. But it would seem to me that they certainly ought to be able to do something about improving the quality of the water. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any questions? Commissioners, any questions? (No response.)

Thank you very much. And we did get a copy of that petition and that will be put in the correspondence side of the record.

> WITNESS DE HOF: Okay. She has it. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes, sir, we do. MR. SHREVE: Mr. Martin Mittelacher.

MARTIN MITTELACHER

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

21

22

23

24

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS MITTELACHER: My name is Martin 6 Mittelacher. I live at 2450 Lorraine Court --COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Sir, could you repeat your last name? I didn't get it. Martin --

WITNESS MITTELACHER: Mittelacher; spelled M-I-T-T-E-L-A-C-H-E-R. I live at 2450 Lorraine Court South in the Ponte Vedra area. I'm a residential customer of United Water Florida.

I respectfully ask the honorable members of the Florida Public Service Commission to deny the Utility's request for an increase in the residential rate of 40.8% for water and 33% for wastewater service for the following reasons: My wife and I are retired, advanced in age, live on a limited income, and need to save to avoid becoming welfare cases one of these days. We have no money to spare to improve the financial well-being of this Utility.

We were customers of Jacksonville Suburban Utility Corporation when it was bought up in 1995 by United Water Florida, or rather by its parent company in New Jersey, United Waterworks, Incorporated.

Regarding this transaction, the question in my mind is, the New Jersey business people had to take over this Utility. Were they compelled by a higher authority to make this deal? Not very likely. It obviously was their business decision to do so.

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20 |

21

22

23

24

Now they claim they are not making the kind of money they expected and, under the circumstances, they should not be allowed to force their captive customers to make up for what the Utility owners consider less than ideal returns from their speculative venture.

Looking into the documentation provided by the Utility, specifically the synopsis of the application for rate adjustment and the application itself, I am amazed at the extraordinary increase in 16 the rate bases or capital invested for water and wastewater operations from the base year 1995 to the test year 1997.

This rate base for wastewater operations, for example, goes up by more than 55% from \$38,655,631 in 1995 to \$60,077,777 projected for 1997. No explanation is given in the synopsis for this enormous increase in capital to be invested. Only in the Company's application document itself is there a brief 25 reference to such a thing as plant addition.

-

Now, plant addition to me, and perhaps others, means additional facilities for servicing new accounts. Utilities, including United Water Florida, collect availability charges from any new accounts to defray the cost of facility additions.

increase in capital to be invested for plant addition in 1997, and considering also United Water's claims that it will not make a decent profit in 1997, would it not be logical to expect the Utility to also increase these availability charges on new accounts in tune with this other enormous rate increase request? But now they are left untouched so that, for example, service additions for developers and owners of upscale new homes are, in effect, to be subsidized by economically less advantaged customers of the Utility.

In summary, I respectfully urge the honorable Commission members to deny the high rate increase requested by United Water Florida for the following reasons: Number one, they create an unfair and unreasonable extra burden on the economically disadvantaged.

Number two, they would reward poor business judgment on the part of the applicant and would unfairly penalize Utility customers for what the New

Jersey company considers less than ideal performance of its speculative venture.

3

6

11

12

13

14

16

18

21

22

23

24

25

Number three, United Water Florida intends to raise the rates for existing customers but fails to also increase availability charges on new accounts. This inequity should not be allowed. And, furthermore, not raising the availability charges means that United Water's need for added revenue is not as critical as it tries to demonstrate to the 8 honorable members of the Public Service Commission. 10

Thank you for your attention.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. Any questions?

MS. CAPELESS: Yes; the same question. We have the same question for you, Mr. Mittelacher, that we had for some of the other customers, which is, do you have a preference for the Company to switch from quarterly to monthly billings?

WITNESS MITTELACHER: No. No; negative.

19 MS. CAPELESS: Thank you. 20

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let me ask you about the water quality. How do you feel? Do you think you're getting quality? Do you think you're not?

WITNESS MITTELACHER: Well, I have no complaints as far as the operations are concerned. only problem I have is -- and I can't help it -- our house is 30 feet away from a separate lift station, and then on stagnant air situations, we get some odors that are not very pleasant.

Furthermore, there is a pretty heavy duty
motor attached to the pump, and it comes on sometimes
every 20 minutes and it can be heard in our major
bedroom in the evenings, et cetera. But at this point
I don't see where I would want to make -- take any
action regarding these matters.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any further questions?

MR. SHREVE: Mr. Mittelacher, Jack Shreve
here. I received a letter from you. With your
permission, I'll put it on the correspondence side of
the record, the letter that you had written earlier.

WITNESS MITTELACHER: Right.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much, sir.
MR. SHREVE: Mr. Robert LaBelle.

ROBERT H. Labelle

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

1

3

4

5

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS Labelle: Thank you for this opportunity. I have a copy of my statement that I will put in the file. It's lengthy. It contains facts, so please bear with me as I go through it. It's addressed to Ms. Blanca Bayo, which I think is the name of the person that was given in the 11 | literature.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. LaBelle, before you --

WITNESS Labelle: My name is Robert H. LaBelle, 2944 Madrid Avenue East, Jacksonville, 16 | Florida, 32217.

I own my own home, and for over 29 years have been a residential customer United Water Florida, previously known as Jacksonville Suburban Utilities and Southern Utilities.

This is the first time I have ever testified at a public hearing of any kind. I am not a activist. However, when I saw the magnitude of UWF's base rate increase request, I felt compelled to investigate the validity of UWF's petition.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 |

21

22 23

25

My concern was based not only on the magnitude of the request, but also on my dissatisfaction with the quality of UWF's product, water, and service as personally experienced by me and shared by my neighbors and others whom I know personally and are customers of UWF.

Upon asking other customers about the proposed rate increase, I discovered a high level of apathy. The belief most commonly expressed is that the rate increase is already a done deal and that the hearing and other processes are perfunctory; that the Florida PSC will grant the increase no matter what.

This apathy and attitude has been nurtured by the numerous times UWF was granted COLA increases, cost of living, routinely and automatically.

I hope that this apathy can be dispelled by the Commission giving my testimony very careful consideration, listening to the facts I will present, and concluding, as I have, that UWF is way off the mark in its effort to raise its base rates by over 40%, which would make UWF one of the more or most expensive water utilities in the state and among the more profitable private corporations, utility or 24 otherwise, in the country.

Before giving you the facts just referred

to, I must admit to my lack of knowledge as to what exactly will spark the Commission's interest in considering the right decision.

For example, I don't know if it matters to the Commission that none of the UWF customers I know will drink their tap water because of its foul taste. All of my neighbors whom I know personally either have felt it necessary to install a home water filtering system, or pay the added expense of buying bottled drinking water, or both.

Nor do I know if it matters to the

Commission that over the past several years UWF has

paid tens of thousands of dollars in fines and

attorneys' fees for violations of state and/or federal

regulations. One lawsuit in particular filed by the

Natural Resources Defense Counsel, Inc. and settled by

a consent decree cost UWF tens of thousands of dollars

alone, money which could have gone for capital

improvements or certainly, if avoided by prudent

management, would have been reduced -- would have

reduced operating costs.

Nor do I know if it matters to the

Commission that I have personally experienced two

major sewage backups into my home since 1985 caused by

UWF. I find it plausible that other customers may

have been similarly affected but that their experience may be hidden in the mounds of data provided for this rate increase petition or not reported at all.

Nor do I know if it matters to the Commission to ask and get answers to the question "Who is ultimately profiting from UWF's business?" It seems more than strange that since 1995 there have been at least ten corporate names involved in the ownership and/or management of UWF, and that this convoluted string of corporate musical chairs has the appearance of a corporate shell game designed to conceal something.

Lastly, and before I get into some numbers and facts relevant to UWF's rate increase filing. It is noteworthy that I visited UWF's main office on Millcoe Road twice and spent many hours going through the extensive data provided for public inspection.

While going through the data several times I hit dead-ends, because in some cases the information I needed was either contradictory or was in a combined format instead of broken out by category or separate plants and so forth. It occurred to me that surely the Commission would have spotted the same problems and requested from UWF clarification or more information.

I am not referring to small stuff. There was enough bad information that UWF would have had to publish almost a whole syllabus regarding what I perceived as deficiencies in UWF meeting the basic reporting requirements for a rate filing. It appears that customers wanting to get the full picture may have been deprived by UWF not satisfying the requirement of full disclosure. With that said, I will now present facts and analyses relevant to UWF's rate hike request.

19 |

UWF is requesting a water rate increase of 40.4% for their quarterly water charges for all residential meter sizes. This increase supposedly is linked to an inexplicable return on equity of 9.89%. The 40.4% rate hike will result in a typical household quarterly water and wastewater billing of about \$200.00.

That amount would be 60% higher than the rates currently charged to customers of the City of Jacksonville and be among the highest in the state, based on a recent article in the Florida Times Union comparing rates among major Florida cities.

It is worth noting that the City of

Jacksonville rather than raising rates is seeking ways
to reduce or hold rates by placing the Public Utility

Works Department under the Jacksonville Electric Authority. Moreover the City of Jacksonville, per a January 22nd, 1997 Florida Times Union article and per City utility officials, James Chansler, director, and John Jolly, scuttled a rate increase slated for this April because of a combination of cost-cutting moves and revenue growth.

1

2

5

8

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

They said, quote, "It is noteworthy that the City of Jacksonville's Public Utility Department is funded by customer revenues rather than City taxes." The lesson here is that if an agency of local government usually tagged for bureaucratic inefficiency can cut costs and hold the line on rates, why can't a private utility do it, too, and do it better?

Let's now look at the 9.89 return on equity, which appears to be the main driving force behind the magnitude of UWF's rate hike petition. It is befuddling as to how a monopoly, UWF, should be guaranteed a return on equity higher than the average Fortune 500 company which has to operate in highly competitive markets. UWF has no competition. We 22 customers have no place to go to get water to our 23 homes and wastewater out. The 9.89% figure is way out of line with what a monopolistic company should be

guaranteed.

A question which begs to be asked is, "Whose equity is it?" Frank McGuire in his testimony said that the rate of return of 9.89% is based on the consolidated capital structure of United Waterworks Inc., parent company of UWF. That statement is invalid in the competitive corporate world. The profitability, or rate of return on equity, is consistently based on the capital structure of the subsidiary, not the parent company.

In the case of UWF, its equity came primarily from the pockets of its customers. Over the years our dollars have gone into growing the capital base of UWF. Now we customers are being told that UWF wants more of our money so that UWF can grow its equity base more, then charge us higher rates because UWF has more of our money.

It does not work that way in a competitive world. It must not be allowed to work that way in a monopolistic world. I implore the Commission to view the rate hike request as it is, a way to reap excessive windfall profits at the expense of captive customers. The answer to the question "Whose equity is it?" is painfully apparent. Even though the balance sheet of UWF says it belongs to the Company,

the money came from the pockets of the captive customers. It is our money, our equity, that UWF 3 | wants more of.

4

5

7

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

But if the Commission agrees with Mr. McGuire's position of basing UWF's return on the capital structure of its parent company, then the Commission must follow that line of thinking through to its logical end, which is, as of December 31st, '95, per Schedule A-19, Page 1 Line 5, the total equity capital of UWF was \$65,244,106. From that amount one must deduct the long-term debt of UWF's 12 parent company.

Normally that amount would be 50% of total equity, which means that UWF's common equity would be about \$26.5 million as of 12/31/95. Even granting an excessive 9.89% return on revenue per year, well below UWF's request for 1996 increase of 5.2 and 8.5 million in '97 -- I'm sorry. Let me restate that.

Even granting an excessive 9.89% return on revenue per year -- even granting an excessive 9.89% return on UWFs' common equity as estimated, the math would produce 2.6 million of increased revenue per year, well below UWF's request for a 1996 increase of 5.2 million and 8.5 million in '97. UWF and Mr. McGuire cannot have it both ways.

If Mr. McGuire's testimony is accepted by the Commission as the basis for an increase, and the Commission agrees with an arbitrary 9.89% figure, then the common equity figure of 26.5 million must be used for the baseline, and UWF would gain 2.6 million in revenues annually. I urge the Commission to reject Mr. McGuire's rationalization of the 9.89% number. It

does not hold water.

I also implore the Commission to look at the long-term consequences to UWF's customers of agreeing to UWF's request for this excessive rate increase.

The projected operating revenue increase to UWF of 8.5 million in 1997 won't stop in 1997. Total revenue will continue to grow and grow, as will total equity and value of net plant service, which will be about \$165 million by year-end 1997.

bank on UWF coming back for another large increase in its base rates. UWF will once again say that they are not achieving a fair return based on total capital investment and/or equity, and the UWF customer will once again be the target for paying out more money, a vicious circle that appears to have no end.

The Commission can do its duty of reaching a decision that is fair to the Utility and to the

citizens you also represent, a decision that does not penalize the customers of UWF for being caught up in a monopolistic, increasingly expensive, financial squirrel cage.

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

18

20

22

Here are some alternatives which should be considered. Number one: Deny the rate increase request of UWF and require UWF to better manage its business, thereby enabling it to fund its capital improvements through normal revenues and by use of a capital reserve account, which I could not find. By better managing its business, I mean for UWF to get serious about cost cutting and cost containment, and I will give you a few specific examples in a minute. Better managing its business could also include floating a bond issue to fund specific capital 15 projects. It's done every day by other private corporations. Why not UWF? 17

Now here are a few specific examples where costs could be reduced or are presented in the data from UWF to appear greater than they may be in reality. Frank McGuire's testimony, Number 398 under 21 "Miscellaneous Equipment," the expense jumps from \$44,809 in '95 to \$817,509 in '97, an increase of 1,824% in just two years. This expense item cries out for investigation. I managed a multimillion-dollar

operation. Miscellaneous equipment means a new screwdriver or toilet seat. \$817,509 will buy enough 3 of those to supply all of Jacksonville.

4

5

12

14 |

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MFR, B-3, Page 116, Schedule G-23, "Post-Retirement Benefits," jumped from \$15,607 in '95 to \$539.00 -- \$663,000 (sic) in '97, an increase of 3,458%. If this expense is an ERISA, E-R-I-S-A, requirement, it could be a one-time expense to make up for a funding deficit that UWF's management failed to consider. If it is a one-time expense, it should be 11 | factored out of any permanent rate structure.

I will depart from my written comments for just a minute. I am puzzled by the term "post-retirement benefits". Benefits are either pre-retirement or retirement. To me, post-retirement means somebody has died. Why should they be funding benefits for people who are dead?

MFR, B-3 -- and again I'm back to my written comments -- MFR, B-3, Page 116, Schedules G-23, "Outside Services," jumped from \$321,110 in '95 to \$554,396 in '97, an increase of 67.4% in two years. This item needs more careful scrutiny.

MFR, B-3, Page 116, Schedule G-23, "Transportation," jumps from \$39,170 in '95 to 514,000 -- excuse me. I missed a number. It's 390,000 (sic) plus in '95 to 514,031 in '97, an increase of 51.6% in two years. This item also needs more careful scrutiny as to reasonableness, extravagance, or a short-term jump in an expense that does not belong in a permanent rate base.

I could list more examples, but my intention is to not pick every expense item apart; rather my intention is to alert the Commission that some or many of the expenses of UWF appear to be questionably expensive or one-time, and may reflect a track record of a business managed in a way that gives only lip service to being seriously cost conscious.

Number two: The Commission could grant a one-year increase in base rates for the purpose of funding only the capital needs to replace the Monterey Wastewater and Ponte Vedra plants. This option should only be considered if the plants must be replaced now and UWF cannot possibly raise the necessary capital through a bond issue dedicated for these projects.

Frankly, it is more likely than not that replacement could be delayed until a bond offering could be completed. All other capital requirements should be funded through normal revenue growth and cost-reduction/containment and good management.

Alternative three: Grant a modest rate

increase to achieve a return on equity of no more than 5% of UWF's common equity; that is to say, the total equity capital of UWF minus their pro rata portion of the long-term debt of the parent company. The 5% figure is the approximate rate of return to stockholders, owners, of private publicly traded utility corporations.

This rate increase would generate an additional \$1.3 million. Coupled with cost-reduction containment programs that UWF management should already have in place, UWF should be able to meet its present and future service responsibilities and earn a reasonable return.

Lastly, UWF has requested the implementation of a late charge of \$3.00 for accounts past due by 21 days. I request that the 21-day deadline be extended to 30 days, and here is why. On December 23rd I mailed my check for the previous quarter's water and wastewater usage. On January 3rd I received an urgent late notice from UWF. I called my bank on January 3rd and learned that my check had not cleared. Later that week my check cleared.

Upon receiving my monthly bank statement, I looked on the back of my canceled check to determine where it was for over two weeks. I don't know how it

got to Delaware, but that is where my check was deposited, in the Wilmington Trust Bank of Delaware on January the 6th. Then on January the 7th my check was transferred to the Core State Bank of Philadelphia, as a collection bank. I am unable to determine where my check when from there, but my account at UWF was finally credited.

As mentioned earlier, with at least 10 corporations involved in the ownership and/or management of this private utility since 1995, and customer payments traveling up and down the east coast of the country before being accredited to an account billed out of Jacksonville, a 21-day grace period is insufficient. It is no wonder that 40% of the customer payments are late, per the testimony of UWF.

In closing, I appreciate the opportunity to participate in this public hearing. This whole experience has been eye opening, but it also has left me with the nagging feeling that there's a whole lot more underneath the surface that cries for further investigation.

It bothers me a lot that I cannot find out who really owns UWF and who finally benefits from the gain in equity that has occurred and will continue to occur at an accelerated rate. I do not have the

10 |

11 |

12 |

resources to undertake such an investigation, but 2 maybe someone does and will investigate UWF in depth.

1

3

6

7

8

11

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

I have a copy of my statement made today and would like it to be entered into the official record of this hearing in its entirety. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. Any questions for the witness?

MS. CAPELESS: We have a few. Mr. LaBelle, do you know which one of United Water's Service areas you receive your service from?

WITNESS LABELLE: The San Jose plant -- I have always lived about four blocks from the San Jose plant.

MS. CAPELESS: Thank you. And do you have a preference for the Utility to switch from quarterly to monthly billing?

WITNESS Labelle: Yes, ma'am. I definitely do have a preference. If you switch to a monthly rate -- excuse me -- a monthly billing cycle, it will definitely increase the costs to the customer. You'll have to have people coming out and reading our meters once a month. The billing cycle alone, the cost of the accounting will accelerate the costs, and it will give UWF another reason to raise our rates. It will also increase the delinquency -- quantity of

delinquency payments.

2

3

4

5

6

21

22

MS. CAPELESS: Thank you, sir.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any further questions?

MR. SHILDBERG: No, thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well, Mr. Ade, I'd like for you to answer on a late-filed exhibit the questions that were asked in this document. There were several questions directly posed to the Company which you wanted explanations to. Could I ask you to submit a late-filed exhibit to those questions contained in this document?

13 MR. ADE: Yes, Commissioner. What we are going to propose at the conclusion of the customer testimony is that the Company file a late-filed exhibit responding to each of the customers who have made specific requests; and with that, of course, we would expect the Commission to allow the other parties to respond to that late-filed exhibit in writing also, all to be considered by Commission. That is a procedure we followed in previous rate cases.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And procedurally he had some questions in term of some of the accounting that was going on. Will someone from Staff sit down with him and explain how we deal with some of the

issues he brought up?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

23

MS. CAPELESS: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And, Mr. LaBelle, did you pass those written comments to our court reporter?

WITNESS LABELLE: Yes, I did.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much.

Those will be included in the correspondence side of our record. Appreciate your comments, sir.

MR. SHREVE: Mr. Richard Engel.

RICHARD ENGEL

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

15 WITNESS ENGEL: My name is Richard, Engel, 16 E-N-G-E-L. I'm a resident at 11048 Raley Creek Drive South, Jacksonville, 32225. I've only been a resident of Jacksonville for one year. I moved here from the eastern shore of Maryland. This is the first time in my entire life -- and I'm 51 years old -- that I've ever had to pay a water bill or a sewer bill, so I'm coming from that kind of a background.

I would echo everything that Mr. LaBelle has already said, but I have a few comments to make myself, perhaps more from the emotional side of this

issue.

When I first learned of this proposed increase, I addressed a letter to the Public Service Commission on October the 25th, 1996. I did receive a card of response on November the 21st, 1996, but I also, at the direction of the information, sent copies of that letter to United Water Florida and to the attorneys.

I received no response from them whatsoever.

In my letter I opposed the outrageous increase for several reasons.

Number one, I'm already receiving an inferior product to my home. I cannot drink the water from my tap without filtering it. It is distasteful. It is also as hard as nails, leaving scum on tile and shower glass and vanities and surfaces and everything that is washed in the home, as well as my vehicles outside the home; and those things alone increase the cost of water, because I have to pay for filtering it or have to pay for softening it.

In light of my letter and no response, I went to the offices of United Water on November the 14th for the purpose of discussing with someone documentation about this request.

I was ushered into a rather plush board room

and was shown a cardboard box approximately one foot -- two feet long by one foot wide by one and a half feet tall, containing all kinds of paperwork, and I was flabbergasted; and I asked to speak with a human being who could tell me some of what was in that documentation.

And that's when Mr. Sambamurthi was ushered in, and I spent some time with him. He was very pleasant in explaining to me that United Water was a part of a 150-year-old company. He talked about their background and about their paying dividends for the past years in excess of 9%, and I suggested to him perhaps that some of that dividend revenue needed to be considered for the customer and not for the stockholders.

He did tell me that no rate increase has been requested since 1982, but that United Water had received their COLA increases over the years. But I received little satisfaction regarding the reason for the increase that is requested. There were no specific explanations other than funding was needed to improve facilities.

I looked at the notes from their 1995 annual report, which he did give to me, and I noticed there that in August of 1995 United Water of Florida did

secure a \$20 million tax exempt bonds issue through 2 the City of Jacksonville, Florida to fund capital improvements; and I wonder what that went for if they're now requesting this kind of funding. I noticed also that other United Water increases were substantially lower than -- requests were lower than this 40 plus%. 7 |

3

5

6

8

10

11 |

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

In New Jersey there was an 8.8 request of the Board of Public Utilities, and in New York, in New Rochelle, there was a 15.2%; and of course both of those are substantially lower than what is being requested here.

I personally think this is an outrageous increase. I believe it unjustified. I don't know of any other business that can ask for this kind of an increase and stay in business without some special explanations. I personally am a pastor, and that's what brought me to Jacksonville, my profession. I deal with people all the time. People are important, and I believe as a customer, that makes me important to United Water.

I feel, I believe, and I am convinced that such an increase is -- has not been substantiated and is unwarranted, and in my opinion is taking advantage of the 25,000 customers who are locked in who have no

recourse, who have no alternatives, who have no options but to bow to United Water and to thank them for a 40% increase. Thank you for listening. 3 4 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you, sir. Any questions for the witness? 5 6 MS. CAPELESS: Yes. Mr. Engel, would you prefer that the Utility switch to monthly billing? 7 8 WITNESS ENGEL: No, I would not, for the same reason Mr. LaBelle stated. 9 10 Ms. CAPELESS: Thank you. 11 MR. SHILDBERG: No questions from the Utility. 12 13 MR. SHREVE: Thank you, sir. 14 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. 15 MR. SHREVE: Suzuki Richardson. 16 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Were you sworn? 17 WITNESS RICHARSON: Pardon me? Yes. 18 19 20 21 22

23

SUZUKI RICHARDSON

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

13

17

18

20

22

23

25

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS RICHARSON: My first name is spelled, S-U-Z-U-K-I. My last name is Richardson. I live at 14339 Cedar Island Road. That's in the Isle of Palms subdivision off San Pablo Road. I've only been a customer of United Water for one year.

And to answer Mr. Garcia's questions, I'm extremely unhappy with the quality of the water. I've never had to request service from them except for them to come turn off my main due to a slab leak that I 14 had. But the water is very hard. It tears up my clothes and it does leave a film on everything, and my 15 drinking water has to be filtered.

To answer the PSC Staff questions, I also would not go for the once a month billing due to additional paperwork. However, I would like them to consider automatic payments being withdrawn out of ACH.

The reason I came today is because we are, as several other people have said, captive customers. We don't have a choice. If we're unhappy, we can't say, well, I'm not going to use you anymore, I'm going to go to another water company. We are stuck with United Water.

And our water does have to be filtered due to the quality, and that's the main reason that I'm here is that the quality of the water to me is not acceptable. It has to be filtered.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. Any questions for the witness? (No response.) You seem to have anticipated and answered all of our questions.

Commissioners? (No response.) Thank you very much.

WITNESS RICHARSON: Thank you.

MR. SHREVE: Thank you. Mr. Paul J. Kekevian.

PAUL J. KEKEVIAN

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS KEKEVIAN: Good morning. I want to congratulate the gentleman that spoke my name. Very few people get that one right. Anyway, it is Paul J. Kekevian. It's K-E-K-E-V-I-A-N. I reside with my wife at 2442 Lorraine Court North in Ponte Vedra Beach, Florida. We've been residents there now for some six years. And first let me address --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Even with the spelling of your name, I missed it. Could you repeat it for me real quickly?

WITNESS KEKEVIAN: It'S K-E-K-E-V-I-A-N, Kekevian. We are retired residents of Florida for these last six years.

3

4

5

7

10

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

First, addressing the quality of the water, I guess we were blessed having come from the great 8 11 state of New York, and the water there is fantastic. I mean, here we have to have a filter, we have to have 11 a water softener, and we have to put lemon in our water in order to even consider drinking it. We never 13 have it in mixed drinks. It ruins the booze. It 14 | really does.

Anyway, regarding the rate increase, I have written a letter to the Public Service Commission with a copy to the attorney back on November 13th. It was a short letter. I'd like to read it to you.

"I am writing to take complete objection to the requested adjustment of service rates, both the proposed interim rates and the proposed final rates being requested by UWF described in their 10/23/96 notice to customers in St. Johns County.

I discussed this huge increase with a gentleman from United Water recently, and he suggested I write to you. They are looking for a 36 to a 40% increase, which I consider ludicrous. The present inflation rate is under 3%. That was what my last raise was from social security. So that's about nine times the inflation rate.

My wife and I are both on retired living on a fixed income, and with everything else going up, we really don't need this huge increase at this time. I would expect your office, PSC, who are the watchdogs over this, to fight this unconscionable increase vehemently on behalf of the citizens.

Please keep me apprised of your developments."

12

13

19

21

22

25

Well, I got some responses in the form of a card. I'm going to try to be brief here, but all I can say is 40% is outlandish. I've worked for 40 years in the insurance business. I have yet to receive a 40% increase in salary at any one time. It would have been nice, but it never happened. And I believe strongly that the 11% interim increase is more than adequate.

As a matter of fact, as far as I'm concerned, with the way the water quality is I think it's time to consider going back to a rate reduction.

As I said, we're living on a fixed income.

It would be -- (pause) -- I'm trying to see when my next point is here.

2

3

4

5

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

My next point is, it's -- I believe strongly that the Public Service Commission, if they see fit any need to approve an increase, that it be kept at a reasonable, intelligent level, keeping in mind that a lot of citizens here in Florida are retirees in much the same situation I find myself in with fixed incomes. Nothing seems to be going up as far as salary is concerned. Everything else seems to be 10 going up as far as costs are concerned. 11

So please keep that in mind in your determinations. I appreciate what you folks are doing, and I just wonder whether maybe I should have bought the stock.

That's about all I have to say, and I thank you. And I totally disagree with going on a monthly basis. I think that defeats all good economic issues. And I'm here to answer questions.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you. Any questions?

MR. SCHILDBERG: No questions.

MR. SHREVE: Thank you very much, sir. Delores Christensen? (No response.) Don Brown. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Do you mean Don

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Bruno?

3

4

7

8

10

11

12

15

19

2 MR. SHREVE: Yes, sir.

DON BRUNO

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS BRUNO: My name is Don Bruno. I reside at 3919 Sierra Madre Drive, Jacksonville.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Repeat your last name for me real quick.

WITNESS BRUNO: Bruno, B-R-U-N-O. I have 13 lived in Jacksonville for 30 years in the same house, 14 which I own with just my wife and I, two people.

First of all, I have had over the years many problems. I get -- it's a two-pronged problem; the quality of the water and the lift station, which is behind my house about 30 feet from the end of my property.

20 Over the years, the odor from that lift station has been horrible. They have been called time and time again without result. I normally have to go to the environmental people in order to get a correction made. The most recent thing they've done, I take it, is cover the odor with another kind of

chemical which smells just as bad as the sewer odor.

That is not correcting a problem, in my estimation. I

think Glidden in town has done the same thing.

20 |

Talk about the quality of the water. The drinking water and water that we use for cooking has been filtered for years and it is not palatable and not drinkable.

The chlorine -- that odor that comes out of that water smells worse than the chlorine that I have to clean my pool with. In fact, there is no odor from my pool at all, which is kept clean; but the water coming out of the faucets has more of a chlorine odor than the pool does.

The other problem I've had over the years with this company was the result of a leak that I had in the pool. I asked them to consider relieving me of the sewer charge on that bill based on the loss of water, which is probably my fault. I realize that, but I don't like paying for sewer water out of my drinking water facility.

For instance, the bill I got this past

November -- no, October to the end of December -
\$31.00 for the water -- what they call water quality

charge. Or quantity, I'm sorry. That's a Freudian

slip. The other thing being an \$89.00 charge for

sewer quantity charge. Now, that doesn't make much sense to me, since I don't -- it's not really sewer water that I'm using, and I know it's because of the leak I have. And I asked them if they wouldn't adjust my account accordingly.

They know what my normal water usage is, and during that period of time when I had the problem with the pool, I got no satisfaction, not even sympathy from anyone that I talked to locally. They are not very customer oriented. I'll tell you that.

As far as an increase in the cost of operations -- I assume that's what they're looking for -- the system that they have bought from the previous owner -- I forgot exactly how long ago that was -- but the sewer system was bad then, and if they knew it then, they should have realized that it was bad and fixed it at the time they bought the property instead of waiting over the years to nitpick and get the money to fix it later on.

40% increase, I'm sure that's not what they really want. They will get 20% or so and they'll he very happy about it which, I think, is even too much at that.

A little tidbit on the side. I visited France a couple of years ago and had the opportunity

to go through the sewer system in France, which is a hell of a thing, I know, but I did. And that's been in operation for 400 years, and it's still operational, and I'm sure it's not that -- hasn't been fixed up as much as our sewer system that this local company has bought. I don't know how long ago it was, but I'm sure it's not that -- it hasn't been that bad. But their system is still functional and it's still operational and it costs them a hell of a lot less than it does ours.

Needless to say, I don't think they deserve 5%, much less 40%. I do agree with every gentleman and lady that spoke here prior to my discussion, and I have the same feeling about everything they did say, especially about the cost of the bills that we get from this company.

I own a house in south Ponte Vedra Beach also with the same company. The property owners there have done the same thing we're doing here. They have asked in a letter to United Water to reconsider what they're looking for and why they're looking for it.

There isn't much more I can add to what's already been said. I thank you very much ladies and gentlemen. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I have a question.

Mr. Brown, can I ask you about the leak in your pool? You evidently detected a leak in your pool and had it fixed?

witness brown: Yes, finally. It was an awful chore for the pool company to finally find the leak. It's been a terrible thing. It's been about two years, and you can imagine how high my bills have been that time. And I don't mind paying for the water, but the portion that they charge for sewage is outrageous, and I don't think they should be charging it.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Do you know what the difference was between your regular, normal bill when you didn't have a leak in the pool and what it was when you did?

witness Bruno: Yes, sure. I have -- I've got a stack of my bills here. I can tell you that.

Well, 1994 it was 125. Another one, '93 water bill, water quantity charge was nine, twenty-three and the sewer charge was twenty-seven, fifty-six. That's probably about the average.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: 1994?
WITNESS BRUNO: Yeah, and I'm going back

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Does it show the

to --

gallonage --

WITNESS BROWN: The leak I had was one that increased over the period of time, so it got worse in the last few years.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And do you have a subsequent bill that indicates --

WITNESS BROWN: Pardon?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: After you got it fixed -- can you tell me what your gallonage was for water right before you got it fixed and after you got it fixed? Do you have that?

WITNESS BRUNO: Yes. Well -- yes. I just had it fixed the end of this month, the end of December, rather. So the last quarter of December that bill was 169 -- the total bill was 169 and the water quantity charge was \$31.00.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Do I take it you haven't had a bill since the leak has been fixed?

Have you had a bill from the Company since your --
WITNESS BRUNO: No, I haven't.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any further questions?

WITNESS BRUNO: We're only two people,

ma'am. And come to think of it, that would be a lot

of sewage for two people to pay that much money -
COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask you a

question --

WITNESS BROWN: -- on a monthly basis.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Does your bill show a gallonage charge? I mean, does your bill show how many gallons you've used, or is it just a gallonage charge?

WITNESS BRUNO: No, it doesn't show a gallonage.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any further questions?

MS. CAPELESS: Mr. Bruno, which subdivision
do you live in? What's the name of your subdivision
or neighborhood?

WITNESS BRUNO: I really don't know if it has a name to it. I've been there 30 years. It's 32217 right behind -- on Sierra Madre Drive. You have the pump station or -- yeah. You have the pump station on Conga, Conga Street. I'd sure like to have something done about that.

MS. CAPELESS: Okay. Thank you. Do you have a preference for the company to go to monthly -WITNESS BRUNO: Anything but what we have.

MS. CAPELESS: Pardon me, sir?

WITNESS BRUNO: Anything but what we have, and I realize it's a monopoly.

Ms. CAPELESS: Do you have a preference for the Company to go to monthly billing instead of getting a bill on a quarterly basis? Would you rather 4 get one --5 WITNESS BRUNO: As one gentleman pointed out, if you want to be efficient, you sure as heck wouldn't go to a 30-day billing. 7 8 Ms. CAPELESS: Thank you, sir. 9 MR. SCHILDBERG: Mr. Bruno, Mr. Sambamurthi has expressed his interest in meeting --WITNESS BRUNO: Pardon? MR. SCHILDBERG: Mr. Sambamurthi, the vice-president and general manager --

WITNESS BROWN: Yes, sir.

15 MR. SCHILDBERG: -- the gentleman on my right has expressed his interest in talking to you at the next break. United Water Florida does have a policy about making adjustments for these type of leaks, and he would like to see the documentation that you have --

WITNESS BRUNO: Well, I appreciate that sir, but why couldn't your people tell me that two years ago when I called them several times and -- almost weekly and monthly. I do appreciate it. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much. Are

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

there any other witnesses? 2 MR. SHREVE: Yes. Commissioner, we have two of them, one short statement by a witness. We have two more witnesses, and then the Staff sent us a letter. They received a very short statement they wanted read into the record. 7 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Very well. 8 MR. SHREVE: Liz Palmer. 9 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And, ma'am, have you been sworn? 10 11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Pardon? 12 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Were you here when I swore in the witnesses? 13 14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No. 15 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And I think we have one more witness that needs to be sworn. Mr. Shreve, the 16 other witness I'm going to go ahead and swear Ms. --17 is it Palmer? 18 19 WITNESS PALMER: Yes. 20 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: And was there another 21 witness? 22 MR. SHREVE: Claire Bee. 23 CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Very well. If you could stand and raise your right hand. 25 (Witnesses collectively sworn.)

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: If you start by stating your name and spelling your last name and your address for the record.

ELIZABETH PALMER

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS PALMER: My name is Elizabeth Palmer, P-A-L-M-E-R. I live at 7063 Salamanca Avenue, S-A-L-A-M-A-N-C-A in San Jose, 32217.

My husband and I bought our home there four years ago, and during that time I have had a lot of water problems. But my background is as a nurse, and I'm not as old a nurse as my hair would indicate.

I've been a nurse 20 years this December.

When I was in nursing school I worked in the microbiology lab doing transfer of cultures and that sort of thing, and maintained an interest in infection control. And my primary, first concern with United Water has to do with an incident that occurred at my house that shows their lack of concern for public health, and it really was an outstanding incident, to me, in that example.

On a Friday afternoon I was at home and had

my washing machine running, and all of a sudden I realized it was trying to pump water, and there was no water coming in, so I turned off the washing machine and turned on the faucet in my laundry room sink, the cold water faucet. Well, when the water came back on, I was astounded because my laundry room sink had an inch deep sediment in it.

We had received a notice the week before that there was going to be a water cut-off the following week, and we were not to drink our water for approximately two days and we would receive a notice from Public Health when our water was cleared to drink; this late Friday afternoon. And I called United Water, and I can attest to their lack of service.

I couldn't find out anything, and finally someone said, well, we do have this cut-off coming next week and we just wanted to be sure it would work, that they could close the valve -- open the valve and close it again. And I said, well, it is Friday afternoon late. My neighbors and I have had no notification that you were going to do that, and if our water would be unsafe to drink after the cut-off next week, it is certainly unsafe to drink now.

I called Public Health. Everyone had gone

home except one person, and they helped me with this. It shows a total disregard for public health. And I didn't drink my water until after the following week when the cut-off occurred and Public Health cleared the water to drink. But no one in my neighborhood was advised of that, and I'm sure that they felt once their water cleared, it was safe to drink the water. And only because of my background as a nurse would I have that information.

Other times I've called United Water. Just this morning I called to find out about the hearing, and it took about five minutes sitting on the phone to find out when and where this hearing was. Certainly their people should know when and where the hearing was this morning.

I apologize for not having my card to know when and where it was, but the last two weeks my sister-in-law has been in CCU, and I'm the only nursing professional in a large family, and have been tied up with that.

In addition, I agree that we should not have more than quarterly billing, because it's not cost-effective. I also am concerned about this outrageous increase because I'm a widow as of August. I have two widows on one side of me and a divorcee on

the other side, and I understand from her that there are several single women on our street.

I'd like to ask a question, had I not been tied up the last two weeks I would have asked in advance.

Does United Water have a sewer charge for each toilet in the house? Does that affect your sewer rate? A neighbor told me they thought you had a charge based on the number of toilets in a home.

MR. SAMBAMURTHI: No, ma'am.

WITNESS PALMER: That's not correct? Good. It's based on water usage?

MR. SAMBAMURTHI: (Nodding head.)

WITNESS PALMER: A 40% increase will be a real hardship on, I know, two of us on the street, and I assume on -- for several other people on the street.

Another comment I'd like to make before I finish is that when we first moved into this house the sewers were torn up on Almars Drive for it seemed like over a year. We would walk -- excuse me. I'm sorry. I forget I speak very softly.

We -- my husband and I used to walk down
Almars Street from our street. It dissects our
street. And on these expensive waterfront homes the
sewer and the whole street was torn up for what seemed

to me like over a year from the time I moved there. I'm not sure how long the total time was that that street was torn up, but if I lived on Almars, I would have been here this morning complaining about that part of their service, because it's certainly inconvenienced the entire neighborhood.

Anyway, thank you for listening to me, and I definitely am concerned about the public health issue and the amount of the rate increase. Thank you.

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

21

23

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much. Any questions?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Ma'am, was your washing machine hurt by the -- is that why --

WITNESS PALMER: Thank you for asking that. Because we had just re-piped our house. Our house had been on a well, and we had two brand new water heaters, and I had to drain one of the water heaters, the one that serviced the laundry room. 18

However, my washing machine wasn't hurt because I cut it off immediately and didn't cut it on until the water was clear. I did run water in my bathtub because it was closer to the incoming water supply. Once I saw what had happened in the laundry room sink, which was the other end of the house, that bathtub was filled with this black, gritty mess that

came out. My laundry room was filled with it. The toilet off the laundry room was filled with it. Fortunately, the washing machine was not damaged.

But I had another incident after that that was similar. It was not as drastic, but it was similar, and we had not been alerted at all not to drink the water.

4

5

8

10

11

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Now maybe I missed it, but what happened when you called the water company on this issue?

WITNESS PALMER: Well, there wasn't anyone who could answer a question for me for a while, and 13 then they called me back and told me that they were 14 just opening the valve to be sure that they could carry off what they were going to do the following week. 16

It was late Friday afternoon, and of course no one was available in the usual places to help, the usual governmental agencies. I did get one person who happened to be late at Public Health, and someone called me from home from Public Health.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: But the Company said there was nothing they could do? Or, I mean, they --WITNESS PALMER: Pardon? COMMISSIONER GARCIA: What did the Company

just say to you when you had this problem? They just said they were testing something and --

witness palmer: Yeah, and I mean there was no concern about it. There was no concern about it all, and there was no concern about the health issue. To my way of thinking, they should have warned the neighbors. They should have alerted people that the water was unsafe to drink.

future -- and I guess this goes for the rest of the persons who are here -- when you do have a problem with the water company and you don't feel they're being responsive, there is a 1-800 number you can call, which is on this green sheet that you have, and you can -- after you've spoken with the Company, you can call us, and we've got a procedure whereby the Company -- we get into that procedure. And the Company has to respond to us within a certain time frame, and it's filed and logged, and it's important to have that.

WITNESS PALMER: Thank you. I appreciate it.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: But I also think it's important to point out that our phones are not answered after 5:00 on Friday either, so that was the

reason that you got no help in that one instance. Calling us would not have helped either.

WITNESS PALMER: There have been several occasions when the water -- something has happened to the water at 5:00 on Friday, and that was one of my points. 6

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well, thank you for that clarification, Commissioner Kiesling, but whether it be at 5:00 or whether it be the following Monday, if you feel you didn't get proper attention from the Company, all you need to do is give us a call and we handle it from there in terms of finding out what the Company did in terms of getting a formal response. 13

WITNESS PALMER: In the future I'll do that. During this time I've had seven deaths in the family or people close to my family, and being the only 16 health care person, it's been overwhelming to me. So 17 | I didn't do some of the things I would have done otherwise. Thank you. 19

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much, Ms. Palmer.

MR. SCHILDBERG: Excuse me.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Ms. Palmer, there may be a question.

MR. SCHILDBERG: Can you tell me when this

25

20

21

22

23

24

1

3

4

7

11

- 11	
1	event occurred?
2	WITNESS PALMER: No. I didn't have time to
3	go look up the dates. I can find out when.
4	MR. SCHILDBERG: Was it can you tell me
5	what year it occurred in?
6	WITNESS PALMER: Pardon?
7	MR. SCHILDBERG: Can you tell me what year
8	or month?
9	WITNESS PALMER: I would think that it was
10	within the last year. I'm not sure. I'll have to
11	was shortly
12	after we re-piped the house.
13	CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any further questions?
14	(No response.)
1	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Ms. Palmer, it was
1	certainly within the last two years; right?
1	witness palmer: Oh, yes.
	8 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Because you just moved
1	9 in
:	WITNESS PALMER: We moved in four years ago,
;	but we didn't re-pipe the house until within the last
	two years, yes.
	MR. SHREVE: Thank you. Claire Bee.
	24

CLAIRE BEE

3

appeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

4

DIRECT STATEMENT

5

WITNESS BEE: Good morning. My name is Claire Bee, and I want to thank you for listening to what I have to say.

7 8

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: I'm sorry. You're going to have to start over and talk right into the mike like I am.

10

11

12

13

15

WITNESS BEE: Okay. Hello. My name is Claire Bee, and I want to thank you for listening to what I have to say. My address is 4823 Marsh Hammock Drive East, and that's Jacksonville. I'm a resident user. I'm also the vice-president of the Hammocks of Oak Landing where Mr. Walt Wood spoke of previously. He's my neighbor. I don't want to reiterate any points this Mr. LaBelle had. He was very eloquent in speaking on a number of issues.

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As far as the quality of the water, I do filter my drinking water and, also, I find the water to be corrosive. Although I don't personally have current plumbing problems, my friend's had to replace all her plumbing in her house within 10 years of it being built, and she lives three doors away.

2 |

3

5

7

9

10

11

14

15

20

21

22

23

25

Coincidentally, she is also the resident in our neighborhood that had the massive leak which was then fixed -- I think it was about two or three months ago.

I prefer the quarterly billing system, because I know that it is more cost-effective. I understand currently that we do have the second highest rates of any city in Florida, and with the new rate increase, we would be the highest.

And, interestingly, I did note the article in the paper that stated that the JEA is expecting to be able to put a five-year cap on the rates to the City, which are fairly commensurate with the interim rates of the United Water at this point.

I'm also very aware from the growth that's going on in the city, and particularly in our area we're expecting the population to triple; and I'm just thinking that with these factors in mind, that United Water should be able to provide services at a more efficient cost to the consumer. And that's about all I have to say. Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Would you spell your 24 | last name, please?

WITNESS BEE: It's B-E-E.

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Thank you. CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any questions? MR. SHREVE: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Public Counsel, you stated that there was one witness who wanted --

MR. SHREVE: The Staff received a letter from a gentleman who is unable to attend the hearing because of disability and request that we -- it's a very short note and -- requested we read it into the record.

This is from Mr. Keith Moffett. "I wish to have this letter read into the record at the hearing, 13 Docket No. 960451-WS, on Monday, January 27th regarding proposed rate increases by United Water Florida Inc. I am disabled and cannot attend this meeting. The current water and sewer rates are substantially higher than the national average. Any increases should be justified only after United Water Florida, Inc. limits salary increases of its hourly salary and executive personnel.

If the Company finds -- if the Company is -finds there is need for more funds, then they should cut costs first before passing on rate increases to their customers or find additional ways to finance improvements, like assessments on new construction.

The level of service in the Fruit Cove and the northwest St. Johns County area has been marginal with water pumping station outages three or more times in the last year. Keith Moffett."

Mr. LaBelle has requested the opportunity to make one other very short comment:

witness Labelle: Do I need to restate my name and address and so forth?

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes.

ROBERT H. LABELLE

reappeared as a witness and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT STATEMENT

WITNESS LABELLE: My name is Robert H.

LaBelle. I live at 2944 Madrid Avenue East,

Jacksonville, Florida, 32217. I'm a resident customer of United Water.

The testimony that was previously given perked my interest. It has to do with filling water in a leaky pool. I had two incidents last year where my pool leaked. In the winter of 1996 I developed a leak in the pool. The water temperature was 56 degrees. I didn't feel like climbing in and finding it until it warmed up.

when I finally was able to find it, I had to put in 14,000 gallons of water. I wrote a letter to United Water and explained the situation. They gave me a credit, which was roughly equal to what I had to put in the pool.

Later that summer I developed another leak in the pool. It was during the middle of the summer. It happened while my wife and I were away for about a month. When I came back, I had to put another 14,000 gallons of water in the pool after I found the leak.

I called United Water and wrote them a letter to find out how much credit I would get. I was told that I would get no credit because my total consumption for that quarter was roughly equivalent to what my normal consumption had been. I asked them if that was a regulation or if that was company policy. I was told it was company policy.

I think that it's only fair that if I have to put water in my pool because of a leak, that United water give me credit no matter what my normal consumption rate is. I think there's no relationship whatsoever to my normal consumption rate and a leak in my pool.

I would ask the Commission to somehow require United Water to send out a representative to

1 verify the leak and the quantity of water that needs to go in, and give a credit for that amount. And that ends my comment. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Any questions? MR. SCHILDBERG: No. Thank you.

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you very much. Mr. LaBelle, if you have any direct questions as to how that issue might be handled -- I'm sure you'll be meeting with the Company -- but Staff is here to assist you also.

Are there any other witnesses?

MR. SHREVE: That's the last witness that's signed up.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Are there any other customers that are here that would like to testify but did not sign up today? (No response.)

Seeing none, first I'd like to thank you all for coming out. The testimony was very succinct, very organized, and I appreciated all of those comments. To the extent that you've provided the written comments, indeed, we will have those as a part of the correspondence side of the record, and all the comments will be duly noted. 23 |

Again, I want to thank you and compliment you all on a job well done. I think you've done a lot

to further our education as to the issues facing you 2 on this particular case.

With that, I think we will adjourn this portion of our customer hearing. We will reconvene the customer hearings tonight at 6:30, and again we will have customer hearings tomorrow night at 6:30. I'm going to adjourn this and --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: One question.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Yes, sir. You will have to come forward again and state your name and address.

WITNESS COYLE: My name is John Coyle, 4175 Paloma Point Court, Jacksonville. My question and comment: You make reference to an 800 number. In the modern vernacular, is that number monitored after closing hours with some recording? 15

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No. No -- in fact, I take that back. We may have a recording system, but it's not monitored until people come back later.

WITNESS COYLE: Thank you. Become more up to date, please.

CHAIRMAN JOHNSON: Thank you for your comment. With that, we will adjourn this portion of the hearing and reconvene at 1:00 for our technical portion of the hearing. Thank you very much.

25

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

18

19

20

21

22

(Transcript continues in sequence in Volume 2.)