FLOR	IDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
In ti	e Matter of : DOCKET NO. 970990-TL
Proposed tarif	
GTE Florida Inc	corporated to :
transfer a port Sarasota exchai	age into the :
Bradenton excha	inge. :
PROCEEDINGS:	MEARING
BEFORE:	COMMISSIONER SUSAN F. CLARK
	COMMISSIONER JOE GARCIA COMMISSIONER E. LEON JACOBS, JR.
DATE:	Friday, March 27, 1998
TIME:	Commenced at 9:30 a.m. Concluded at 6:15 p.m.
PLACE:	Former County Commission Chambers
	Terrace Building
	101 South Washington Boulevard Sarasota, Florida
REPORTED BY:	H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR Official Commission Reporter

### APPEARANCES:

Post Office Box 110, FLTC0007, Tampa, Florida 33601, appearing on behalf of GTB Florida Incorporated.

WILLIAM McGIMTY, D.V.M., 8325 Whiskey Pond
Lane, Sarasota, Florida 34240, appearing on behalf of
Sarasota Equine Association.

DETH KEATING, Florida Public Service

Commission, Division of Legal Services, 2540 Shumard

Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870,

appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff.

### ALSO PRESENT:

ROBBY CUNNINGHAM, FPSC

BRENDA HAWKINS, FPSC

ANN SHELFER, FPSC

NISCELLAMBOUS  ITEM  CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER  UTTMESSES	PAGE NO.
3 ITEM 4 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 5	
4 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 5	184
5	
	PAGE NO.
6 WITHBOR	PAGE NO.
- TOTAL INC REPORT TO THE PROPERTY OF THE P	PAGE NO.
7 20303	
8 CHARLES M. SCOBIE	
Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted Into the Record by Stipulation	8
Direct Statement Cross Examination By Ms. Keating	14 16
Cross Examination By Dr. McGinty	55
11 Redirect Examination By Ms. Caswell	88
12 DR. WILLIAM MCGINTY	
Direct Statement Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted	92 94
Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony Inserted	99
14 Cross Examination By Ms. Caswell	129
15	
CHARLES M. SCOBIE (recalled)  Further Cross Examination By Ms. Keating	153
17	
BRAD LINDBERG Direct Statement	164
10	
19	
20	
21	
23	
23	
24	
25	

5   2   6   d   7   3   6   d   8   7   3   6   6   10   11   5   11   12   6   14   15   16   17   18   19   20   21   22   1   22   1   1   1   1   1			
4 1 (a 5 2 6 d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d d		ID.	ADMTD.
5 2 6 7 3 (a 8 f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f f			
6 2 6 7 3 (0 8 8 5 8 10 8 10 8 11 5 11 12 6 14 11 15 11 14 115 11 18 119 120 121 122 1	Late-Filed) Florida Trend rticle	27	
8	Late-Filed) Cost analysis for ecision for remote	71	
10 a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a	Late-Filed) Least cost Iternative to serve Polo Club rom Sarasota exchange	91	
12 6 L 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Late-Filed) How customers re served on Fruitville Rd. nd south and southeast	118	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	nformal survey	162	162
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	akewood Ranch map	164	164
15 16 17 18 19 20 21			
16 17 18 19 20 21			
17 18 19 20 21			
18 19 20 21			
19 20 21 22			
20   21   22			
21			*:
2			
3			
4			

43	抗性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性性
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Mearing convened at 10:00 a.m.)
3	COMMISSIONER CLARK: We'll call the hearing
4	to order. Ms. Keating, will you please read the
5	notice?
6	MS. REATING: By notice issued
7	February 25th, 1998, this time and place have been set
8	for a hearing in Docket No. 970990-TL, the proposed
9	tariff filing by GTE Florida to transfer a portion of
10	the Sarasota exchange into the Bradenton exchange.
11	The purpose of this hearing is as set forth in the
12	notice.
13	COMMISSIONER CLARK: We'll take appearances.
14	Ms. Caswell?
15	MS. CASWELL: Kim Caswell for GTE Florida,
16	One Tampa City Center, Tampa Florida 33601.
17	DR. McGINTY: Bill McGinty. I guess I
18	represent Sarasota Equine Associates, 8325 Whiskey
	Pond Lane, Sarasota, Florida.
20	
	MS. KEATING: Beth Keating appearing for
21	Commission Staff, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard,
22	Tallahassee, Florida 32399.
23	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Normally at this point
24	I would launch into a full explanation of this hearing

anticipating some public testimony, but I don't see

anyone in the audience who has signed up or is likely to give public testimony; so I'm just going to 3 introduce everybody up here. This is Commissioner Jacobs. I'm Susan 5 Clark, and this is Commissioner Garcia, and I think 6

DR. MCGIMTY: I know I've spoken to you.

MS. CASWELL: Yes.

you know everyone else that is here.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And do you want to --

MS. HAWKINS: Brenda Hawkins.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Blanca --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: -- Robbie

Cunningham --

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MS. KEATING: Beth Keating.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And our court reporter is Ruthe Potami.

DR. McGIMTY: Hello there.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: What we're going to do is go ahead through the proceeding, the technical part of the proceeding. I understand that there's been agreement to stipulate Mr. Scobie's testimony and Dr. McGinty's testimony into the record and that Mr. Scobie is going to go first and then we'll hear from Dr. McGinty. Cross-examination has not been waived, right?

MS. CASWELL: Right.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And summaries have not been waived.

Let me ask one more question. Is there anything preliminarily that we have to take up at this point?

MS. KEATING: There is nothing else.

commissioner CLARK: Dr. McGinty, as I'm sure you've been told, this is our proceeding in this matter, and we have a court reporter here to transcribe the testimony; and we will be staying for another hearing at 6:00 tonight giving the public another chance to testify in this matter.

I'm not sure they will, but we will at least be here at 6:00 to convene the hearing. If no one is here, then we will adjourn shortly thereafter.

What I'd like to do is ask you and
Mr. Scobie to stand right now. I'll swear you both in
at the same time, and then we will begin with your
testimony, Mr. Scobie.

# (Witnesses sworn.)

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Scobie, if you'll come forward and give us a summary of your testimony. And then he will be subject to cross-examination by Dr. McGinty and Ms. Keating.

1		GTE FLORIDA INCORPORATED
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF CHARLES M. SCOBIE
3		DOCKET NO. 970990-TL
4		
5 🤋	64	
6	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.
7	A.	My name is Charles Michael Scobie. My business address is One
8		Tampa City Center, Tampa, Florida.
9	diac	
10	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
11	A.	I am employed by GTE Service Corporation (GTEFL) as Regional
12		Manager-Regulatory Affairs and Tariffs.
13		
14	Q.	WILL YOU BRIEFLY STATE YOUR EXPERIENCE WITH THE
15		COMPANY?
16	A.	I have been employed by GTE for over twenty seven years. For the
17		past nine and one half years I have been employed in the regulatory
18		and governmental affairs area of GTE Florida and GTE Service
19		Corporation. Prior to my present assignment, I held the positions of
20	Z konzesti n	South Area Regulatory Affairs Manager, Tariff Administrator and
21		Service Cost Coordinator in the same department. During my career
22		I have also held positions in Sales, Market Planning, and Forecasting
23		with GTE Florida and positions in Market Planning with GTE Service
24		Corporation.
25		

1	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET?
2	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to present GTEFL's position on the
3		issues in this docket. I will explain GTEFL's reasons for proposing to
4		transfer part of the Sarasota Exchange to the Bradenton Exchange and
5		address the complaint filed by Dr. McGinty relative to this proposal.
6		
7	Q.	WHAT WAS THE REASON FOR REQUESTING THE TRANSFER OF
8		THE AREA IN QUESTION?
9	A.	GTEFL was informed by the developer for the Lakewood Ranch
10		development that it would be developing a 5,500 acre master-planned
11		community consisting of residential, recreational and office park
12		development. The development would overlap parts of both the
13		northeastern part of the Sarasota Exchange and the southeastern part
14		of the Bradenton Exchange. Most of the development will be in what
15		is currently the Bradenton Exchange. GTEFL decided to serve the
16		complete development out of one exchange to avoid the situation of
17		close neighbors in the development having different calling scopes and
18		different local rates.
19		
20 ,	Q.	HOW LARGE IS THE PROPOSED TRANSFER AREA?
21	Α.	The proposed transfer area is generally described as approximately
22		eleven square miles east of Interstate 75 and south of University
23		Parkway, which is the northeast boundary of the Sarasota Exchange.
24		The area runs approximately two miles south of University Parkway and

approximately five to six miles east of the interstate.

1	Q.	HOW MANY CUSTOMERS ARE CURRENTLY IN THE TRANSFER
2		AREA?
3	Α.	As of the first of the year, there were 73 residential customers and 27
4_		business customers in affected area.
5		
6	Q.	HOW WILL CUSTOMERS BE AFFECTED IF THEY ARE MOVED
7		FROM THE SARASOTA EXCHANGE TO THE BRADENTON
8		EXCHANGE?
9	A.	Residence customers will see a reduction of \$.45 per month in their
10		basic monthly service charge and business customers will see a
11		reduction of \$1.20 per month in their basic service charge.
12		
13	Q.	WHAT OTHER CUSTOMER IMPACT WOULD THERE BE AS A
14		RESULT OF THE TRANSFER?
15	A.	There would be a change in the calling scope for the transferred
16		customers. Calls to the Venice Exchange, which are now flat-rated
17		EAS, would be toll and calls to the Englewood and North Port
18		Exchanges, which are now ECS, would also be toll. Also, calls to the
19		Palmetto Exchange, which are now ECS, would be flat-rated EAS.
20		
21	Q.	WHAT IS THE MAJOR ISSUE RAISED BY THE PETITIONER IN THIS
22		PROCEEDINGS?
23	A.	Dr. McGinty's main assertion in his protest of the area transfer was that
24		the financial expense was unreasonable since he would have to
25	fisher	change letterhead, office supplies and yellow page advertising due to

the required number change. This reason was rendered moot when it was explained to him that number changes would be required regardless of whether the area was transferred or not. The reason number changes would be required is because the switching technology currently serving the proposed transfer area is not capable of the advanced services requested by the developer of the project. The area would have to be transferred to a central office served by a switch with the advanced feature capabilities, thereby requiring number changes.

# Q. BEYOND THE EXPENSE ASSOCIATED WITH THE NUMBER CHANGE, IS THERE OTHER ISSUES RAISED BY DR. MCGINTY?

A. Dr. McGinty's other concern, which was not raised in his original protest, seems to be that the transfer will cause some flat-rated EAS as well as ECS calls to become toll. Dr. McGinty believes this change will inhibit his clients in contacting him.

# Q. GIVEN DR. MCGINTY'S CONCERNS, IS THERE AN ALTERNATIVE THAT WOULD LESSEN THE IMPACT ON HIS CLIENTS?

The best option would probably be for Dr. McGinty to subscribe to Local Remote Call Forwarding Service. This service would allow him to retain his current number in the Sarasota Exchange and calls coming to that number would be forwarded to his new number in the Bradenton Exchange. His existing clients in Venice, Englewood and Northport Exchanges would experience no difference in rates to call his practice.

200800										AND THE PARTY OF STREET	
20,000,000	STATE OF THE PARTY	100	diam'r.	-		AND COMMANDO			 		
Q	20,000,000,000		<b>1</b>						 F THIS	GED!	7CE2
924 ON 9	SELECTION OF THE PARTY OF THE P	1 - 1	707 5550			9 N . 1	_000 6	_2 91 9 5			
88. T	\$1000000000000000000000000000000000000		. ч ж		-						

Local Remote Call Forwarding has a monthly rate of \$16.00 and there is a usage sensitive charge of six cents per minute for the first minute and two cents per minute for additional minutes during the peak period of 7:00 AM to 7:00 PM, Monday through Friday, and three cents per minute for the first minute and one cent per minute for additional minutes during all other times. As an accommodation in this special situation, GTE proposed to provide this service to Dr. McGinty at no charge until the next directory publication date for this area, which is scheduled for September, 1998.

## Q. WHAT WAS DR. MCGINTY'S RESPONSE TO THIS PROPOSAL?

After a number of discussions with him about his options, he appeared to indicate that the options were not acceptable.

A.

# Q. IN LIGHT OF DR. MCGINTY'S PROTEST OF THIS REQUEST, WHAT SHOULD THE COMMISSION DO IN THIS CASE?

GTE had hoped--and still does hope--that this matter can be settled without the need for hearing. As noted above, GTE has tried to work with Mr. McGinty on possible solutions, but these efforts have not yet successfully concluded. Above all, GTE does not believe this protest should delay or halt the planned customer ballot with regard to the proposal transfer. GTE is ready to abide by the decision of the customers in the transfer area. If they vote to stay in the Sarasota Exchange, we will have to serve the development from two exchanges

1		and have to deal with the resulting customer confusion and complaints.
2		While we understand Dr. McGinty's position in this matter, this situation
3		would be similar to a governmental annexation that results from a vote
4		of affected citizens. It is inevitable that some people will be unhappy
5		but a vote is the best way to ensure the best solution for the greatest
6		amount of people.
7		
8	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
9	A.	Yes, it does.
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

5

6 7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

#### CHARLES M. SCOBIE

was called as a witness on behalf of GTE Florida Incorporated and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

### DIRECT STATEMENT

WITHESS SCOBIE: Lakewood Ranch is a 5,500-acre master planned community that encompasses parts of Sarasota and Bradenton exchange.

In filing a tariff to transfer a small part of the Sarasota exchange to the Bradenton exchange, GTEFL is responding to a developer's request to serve his development from one exchange and provide the latest in telecommunications services, such as ISDN.

The decision was based on engineering, economics, and competitive responsiveness. The way we have proposed is the most cost-effective method of serving this area, and it will eliminate the possibility of having neighbors across the street from one another having different service rates and local calling scopes.

We are asking that the balloting in conformance with Commission policy go forward and let all the affected customers decide the issue. issue to be decided is, do existing customers want to transfer to the Bradenton exchange from the Sarasota

exchange with a corresponding reduction in local service rates and a change in local calling scope.

As for the impact on Dr. McGinty's practice if the change is made, I have attempted to provide Dr. McGinty with an alternative that would mitigate the impact on his practice. If this area transfer is made, Dr. McGinty's clients in Venice, Englewood, and North Port exchanges would have to call him on a toll basis rather than on an EAS or ECS basis like they do today.

The use of local remote call forwarding service would allow Dr. McGinty's clients to continue to reach his practice on the same basis they do today, for which he would pay \$16 per month for the local remote call forwarding access plus a local usage charge of 6 cents for the first minute and 2 cents for each additional minute.

Those usage rates are time of day sensitive, so off-peak rates are half of the peak rates, or 50% reduction for off-peak calls.

In summary, GTEFL believes the proposed transfer is the most cost-effective method to serve this large community development. The impact on Dr. McGinty is minimal if local remote call forwarding service is used, so the customer ballot should occur

1	to determine if the transfer will go forward.
2	That concludes my summary.
3	COUNTSSIONER CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Scobie.
4	He is available Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead,
5	Ms. Caswell.
6	MS. CASWELL: Oh, you can do it, too.
7	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Go ahead, Ms. Caswell.
8	MS. CASWELL: Mr. Scobie is available for
9	cross-examination. Thank you.
LO	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Dr. McGinty, do you
11	have any questions that you'd like to ask him?
12	DR. McGINTY: Yeah. I'm a little bit
13	nervous, so please bear with me.
4	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'll tell you what.
L <b>5</b>	How about if we let our attorney go first, and then
L6	we'll turn it over to you.
17	DR. MOGINTY: Okay.
L8	CROSS EXAMINATION
L9	BY MS. KRATING:
20	Q I'd like to first begin by referring you to
21	Page 2 of your direct in Lines 9 through 18. You
22	explain there why GTE proposed to transfer a portion
3	of the Sarasota exchange into the Bradenton exchange.
4	Could you explain, was the primary reason
139	

requested it?

The primary reason -- well, the developer came to GTE with this development, with this proposed development. When we evaluated that proposal we saw that the majority of the development will be in what is currently Bradenton exchange. So the majority of the total physical area is in the Bradenton exchange. In serving that area from Bradenton or --

commissioner GARCIA: Mr. Scobie, do you have a map or something we could see, or maybe could you point at that so we get a --

withes scobie: Well, yeah. What I have -what I brought is basically just the Lakewood Ranch
development itself.

It doesn't really put it in a total context, but I-75 runs north and south to the west of the area. University Parkway is the exchange boundary, the current exchange boundary between Bradenton to the north and Sarasota to the south.

The developer controls both a commercial development, an office park here south of the current boundary in the Sarasota exchange, as well as an office park north -- or commercial park north of the boundary currently in the Bradenton exchange, along with residential properties running between University

Parkway and State Road 70 to the north, approximately three and a half to four miles between those two areas.

The majority of this area is currently in the Bradenton exchange. We're talking about approximately 11 square miles that we have proposed to transfer, and a majority of that -- or some of that is still undeveloped territory out to the east, approximately a mile east and south of the Polo Club.

Q (By Ms. Keating) Could you again point out where the exchange line boundary is?

WITHESS SCOBIE: On this chart the exchange
line boundary is University Parkway running -- that is
the northern boundary of Sarasota exchange and --

commissioner GARCIA: Isn't that already causing the problems that you said you want to avoid? Are there people living across from each other that have different exchanges.

withess scobie: Well, they're -- at this point in time, no, they're not. What we have here is we have -- there are some services in the Polo Club that, as I understand it, they put a residential line in a barn, a horse barn or something, some location there for telephone service when they're out with their horses or at the Polo Club.

But, again, what I was talking about is if the balloting doesn't go forward or -- you know, what you would have is customers here -- let's say we arbitrarily -- we draw a line -- well, let me back up.

One of the problems we have, that we found we have since the time we came forward with this development, the development didn't stop. When the developer came to us the end of '96 and we developed the method we were going to serve the area and filed a tariff, I believe, in July '97, the developer was building houses.

There are houses already being built, residential; businesses going up. Builders have telephones in the development here already. Given the fact that the only way to serve, serve the area, was going to be from a remote switch that we were putting in and the facilities were coming out from the west, we had made -- the engineering planning group made the decision to serve this area from this remote switch that will provide the features, the enhanced features, the ISDN and these sorts of things.

So what we have already in the interim between when we filed this tariff in July, and now eight months later, you have customers that are already moved in this area having residential service

out of the Sarasota. So you have a cross-boundary situation that's occurred subsequently that we'll have to deal with also. The customers are currently in the area across University that have Sarasota service because there was no -- there was no serving way, because of this remoteness of the area, to serve this area from Bradenton exchange.

- (By Ms. Keating) Could you also point out Q where the county line is?
- County line is University Parkway. At this point of the exchange, the county line and the exchange line is the same.
- And where is Dr. McGinty's office located in reference to that map?

In reference to this map, Dr. McGinty is, as I understand it -- and I went down and rode the area a couple weeks ago -- I think Dr. McGinty is right down in the corner of the Polo Club area. Sarasota Equine is right down in this area, I do believe. (Indicating)

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Scobie, that's such a nice map that I thought you were going to try to sell us property at any moment.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: As I understand it, the developer's plans include only that area just, I

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

1 guess -- that's what; east of the Polo Club? 2 WITHESS SCOBIE: Now, this area is all 3 basically undeveloped, or just beginning development now. 5 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: You see where the circle "C" is there just by the Polo Club? 6 7 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right on Edgewater. 8 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Back to the left --9 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Now go to the left. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Straight across. 10 11 WITHESS SCOBIE: Certainly. Okay. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Am I to understand it 12 that the developer's plans are not calling for construction from that point into the Polo Club, or is 15 that -- is there a development plan for that point into the Polo Club area? 16 17 WITHESS SCOBIE: It's my understanding that the developer controls all of this territory. 18 19 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Okay. 20 WITHESS SCOBIE: Okay. But as far as development specific, I don't know that there's going 21 to be building or physical development planned for the 23 Polo Club. I'm not aware of any physical development 24 in the Polo Club.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: So your decision to

include all of that in your boundary revision, is that basically determined by your procedures or some requirement that you include all that area there, or were you just trying to get all the area the developer controls?

move out east -- and, again, the facilities
currently -- that we're currently serving in this area
were running from west to east along University
Boulevard out of the Sarasota Northside central
office. You go out -- there's really nothing today
out east and even south of this. This is a very
remote area going east and south.

In an attempt -- I think what you're asking or getting to is how about just leaving the Sarasota Polo Club and this area in Sarasota. The problem is there are not -- there's no way -- once we commit -- or once we are serving the rest of this area from Bradenton, feeding it from a remote switch, and all the physical outside plant homed back to that switch -- central office and -- remote switch in the Bradenton exchange, there's no facilities will be coming out. These facilities are then part of the ones that will be homing back to the remote switch in the Bradenton exchange.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: But they're now going to that address from the Bradenton exchange.

2

3

9

10

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

WITHESS SCOBIE: Well, they're -- as of today they're now going to that address from this remote switch in the Bradenton exchange today, but the numbers -- from a rating and billing purpose, the 907 number, the NXX, still resides for a rating and billing purpose in the Sarasota exchange.

The remote central office physically sits in the Bradenton exchange today. The service is homed back to that remote switch, but for rating and billing purposes, that NXX code, 907, is rated out of Sarasota exchange. Nothing is changed there.

- (By Ms. Keating) Could you clarify something for me? I thought you said earlier that you did have some customers in Sarasota County being served out of the Bradenton exchange. Did you say that?
- Not -- in this area? (Indicating) I'm sorry. What was the question?
- Do you have customers in the Bradenton exchange or the Sarasota exchange being served across a boundary, across --
  - A In this --
  - -- across a county line --

Are you talking about in this area here? (Indicating) 3 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I think that was what you just described when --WITHESS SCOBIE: Well, they're filled --5 they're physically served from a remote switch that resides in the Bradenton exchange, but for purposes of rating and billing, that NXX code sits or resides in a Sarasota central office still for rating purposes. And what would happen if the ballot occurs 10 and if the ballot is favorable, that NXX code, 907, 11 will then reside in this remote switch that physically resides in the Bradenton exchange, and for rating 13 purposes those customers will then be rated as if they 14 were out of the Bradenton exchange. 15 Well, going back to your testimony on 16 Q Page 3, and in Lines 3 through 4, you indicate there 17 that there were 73 residential customers and 27 18 19 business customers as of the first of the year. That's correct. 20 A But in your tariff filing you stated that 21 there were 26 resident and 29 business customers. 22

was made in June that's how many were there. In the

That's correct, and when that tariff filing

Would you --

23

last six months -- as I said, development didn't stop north of this road here, north of University Parkway.

The developer is building homes. There are builders in there with lines. There are residential customers going in there every day. So between June when we took the count for the tariff filing and the first of the year, January and February, we had that count that's in the testimony.

Q Okay. Well, of these customers that are in the proposed transfer area, do you know if most of them are permanent customers, or are they mostly seasonal customers?

a I don't know, but -- I don't know the answer to that exactly, but given the fact that the majority of the customers now are residing in single-family residences north of the Parkway and what has been related to me from the developer, those are going to be more year-round residences; but, again, I don't know for sure. I have not taken any kind of survey to know.

COMMISSIONER CLARE: It's not designed to be a resort community, is it, where people come down and --

WITHESS SCORIE: Not to my knowledge, and what the developer has said to the people -- our

people that have been working with them, that -they're saying these people will work in this office complex ever here; a lot of them -- some of them will. 3 I mean, it was designed as a master planned community. In fact, there was just an article in last 5 month's Florida Trend about this very community 6 talking about old-time neighborhoods in -- around the state of Flerida. And this is one that was kind of a 8 hybrid; it wasn't really an old-time neighborhood 9 development. But it was referenced in last month's --10 I believe it was last month's Florida Trend magazine 11 that these people, or at least some of them, will work 12 in these commercial areas around here south of the 13 Parkway: certainly not all of them, but that was my 14 understanding from the developer. 15 Looking now at Lines 9 through 11. 16 Q Page 37 17 Q 18 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Scobie, did you 19 provide that as a late-filed exhibit, that article? 20 WITHERS SCORIE: Yes, sir, yes, I did. 21 CONNISSIONER GARCIA: Maybe we can have the 22 Chair identify it. 23 CONNIGSIONER CLARK: Do you have it with 24

you, Mr. Scobie?

1 WITHESS SCODIE: I thought I did,
2 Commissioner Clark.

commissioner CLARK: Florida Trend article on planned communities. We'll label it Late-filed Exhibit 1.

withess scobie: I thought I had it. I'm not sure that I have it with me, but, yes, I will provide it.

(Late-Filed Exhibit 1 identified.)

commissioner CLARK: Dr. McGinty, what that means is at some point subsequent to this proceeding Mr. Scobie has to provide that to everyone who is a party in this proceeding. So you will get a copy of it, and you have an opportunity to object to it as a piece of evidence that we might consider.

Q (By Ms. Keating) Let me ask you this: In your opinion, if most of those customers were seasonal customers, do you believe that they would have the same community of interest or even overall interest in the community as a permanent subscriber would?

They may not. I don't know that they would.

They may or they may not. I'm not sure what their interests would be individually.

Q Looking now in Lines 9 through 11 of Page 3, you state --

conditioner GARCIA: Before you move off
the area of interest, can I ask you a quick question
about the -- where do current customers of your
system, current residents, go for their needs? Except
for that commercial area that you spoke of, where do
they go to do their shopping and things of that nature
and scope?

would assume they would have to go back west along
University Parkway, you know, back in this way
probably into north Sarasota County. I assume that
would be the closest.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask you about that. And that is, calling from the Bradenton exchange to the Sarasota exchange, that's flat rate?

WITHESS'SCOBIE: Yes, that is EAS, too.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

- Q (By Ms. Reating) Going now to Lines 9 --
- A Back to 9 through 11.
- Q You state there that both residential and business customers will realize a reduction in the local rates; is that correct?
  - A That's correct.
- Q Is it true that this reduction is due to the fact that these customers will have a smaller calling

scope and, therefore, they will be in a lower rate 2 group? Well, historically I would have said yes, 3 but given the current ways we're dealing with rate progressions or exchange rate reclassifications, that may not necessarily be the case going forward, as the 7 exchanges may grow and there won't be any changes or progressions of calling scope like there was in the 9 past. 10 COMMISSIONER CLARK: But the reason it's going down today is it goes down to a smaller rate group. 12 13 WITHESS SCOBIE: That's correct. That's 14 correct. (By Ms. Keating) In Lines 15 through 19, 15 the same page, you state that another impact to customers will be a change in calling scope. Isn't it 17 true that the Venice exchange, which currently has EAS 18 toll free will now become a toll route? 19 That's correct. 20 And Englewood and North Port exchange, which 21 are currently ECS routes, will also become long 22 distance calls; is that correct? 23

So would you agree that it's true that the

That's correct.

24

only benefit to these customers besides lower local calling rates is that they would gain EAS to Palmetto, instead of ECS, which they currently have; is that true?

A That's correct.

Q Returning now over to Page 4 of your testimony -- and I'm looking at Lines 13 through 16 -- there you talk about Dr. McGinty's concern that he will lose customers if this transfer is approved.

Since most of the changes to his calling scope will be to make what was once local or an ECS call, isn't it possible that some of his clients might actually choose another veterinarian to avoid long distance charges?

- A If he does nothing, yeah; but if he -- you know, if he does subscribe to the remote call forwarding, local remote call forwarding service, the customer's perception would not have changed at all as far as where he is or their rates that they would have to pay to call him. They would be unchanged.
- Q Isn't it also possible if this transfer is approved, that he will be listed in the Bradenton directory and not in the Sarasota directory, which could lead some new clients to think that he's too far and choose not in select him as their veterinarian?

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

A Well, today, though, Dr. McGinty is listed in the Englewood and the North Port and the Venice exchanges currently, and those aren't his home exchanges either. So he could keep those same listings with his remote call forwarding number, and really there'd be no difference in impact than there is today.

commissioner CLARK: Let me ask you about that. Is the reason he's in the North Port, Englewood and -- is he in Palmetto, too? Is the reason he's in there because it is -- what is it, EAS? Did he request to be published in those, or is it part of what you do because of the calling scope?

withess scobie: I'm not sure. When I checked his records I saw he had listings in those foreign directories. I had assumed that in the non-EAS books he went and he placed directory listings there with the directory companies.

commissioner CLARK: What do you mean by non-EAS books?

WITNESS SCORE: Well, let's say -- he's
the -- the number is in Sarasota exchange now. The
Sarasota numbers, as I understand, are in the
Bradenton book as an adjunct type listing because it's
EAS.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And that's what you 2 mean by EAS? WITHESS SCOBIE: Yes, yes; in an EAS book. 3 It's just a listing in that EAS exchange, usually in the back of the book. 5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Where is he listed in 7 the North Port book and in the Englewood book that you say he is listed in? 8 WITHESS SCOBIE: Well, right now he is 9 just -- based on the records that I reviewed back at 10 the end of last year, he is in the yellow pages -- he 11 has a yellow page listing in the North Port, 12 Englewood, Palmetto, and Myakka as well as Venice 13 14 directory, and he's in the white and yellow pages in the Sarasota/Bradenton directory because they are EAS 15 16 to each other. 17 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I see. Let me ask you this: When you get a business line, do you 18 automatically get into the yellow pages? 19 WITHESS SCOBIE: In your home directory. 20 COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. So he would 21 have had to request being in the other yellow pages? 22 WITHESS SCOBIE: That's my understanding; 23 24 yes, Commissioner.

25

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Thank you. And

you're saying if he is moved in the Bradenton exchange, he can make that same request to have his number in the yellow pages.

WITHESS SCOBIE: Well, again, utilizing the local remote call forwarding service, because he could request that just with a number that would be a Bradenton number, but that would just list a Bradenton number in Venice, and those would still be toll calls. But if he took advantage of the local remote call forwarding service, listed it in the Venice and the North Port and the Englewood exchanges, directories, it would be no different than the way it's being done today.

- (By Ms. Keating) Mr. Scobie, is Dr. McGinty -- if this transfer is approved, and Dr. McGinty wished to be listed in the Sarasota book, or he elected the local remote call forwarding, would either of those services be a charge to Dr. McGinty?
  - I'm sorry. Can you ask the question again?
- Suppose Dr. McGinty wanted to be listed in the Sarasota directory. Would that be an additional charge to Dr. McGinty?
- You mean as a local remote call forwarding customer?
  - Q Right.

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

	A No, it wouldn't. In fact, what it would be
7.100	is, the number we would list would be a Sarasota
	number. In fact, what we would list because I
	think his number is still on directory assistance from
	the conversion to the new number. We would utilize
	his existing number or his previous number that he had
100	listed and make that the local remote call forwarding
1.00	number for the Sarasota/Englewood/Venice and
1	North Port/Charlotte exchange.

- Q Well, I guess I'm a little confused, because on Page 5 of your testimony at Line 2 you indicate that local remote call forwarding has a monthly rate of \$16, and there's a usage sensitive charge of --
- A I'm sorry? Well, maybe I didn't understand the -- I thought you --
  - Q Wouldn't local remote --

- A I thought you meant -- the question was, would they charge him anything to list the service.
- Q Either way; the service or being listed in the directory.
- A I'm sorry. I interpreted the question, would there be any charge for the listing. If the question is, is there a charge for the service, certainly; yes, there is. There's a tariff charge for local remote call forwarding that is \$16 per month,

and it's usage sensitive based -- and since this is an incoming service, a one-way incoming service. There's a usage sensitive charge for the incoming calls received over that line. Or that number; it's not really a line.

commissioner CLARK: Mr. Scobie, you've indicated your willingness to do that, to provide that free of charge to him until the directories are printed?

WITHESS SCOBIE: That was correct. That's what we proposed back in December.

commissioner CLARE: When you do that, would you be able to give him information about the usage on it such as that he could make a determination as to how much it might cost him after the point you have offered to provide it to him for free?

withess scobie: Yeah. He will -- he would get the usage, I mean, on his bill. It shows calls and minutes, I believe, from our billing period.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. So he would get that information.

WITHESS SCOBIE: Yes.

Q (By Ms. Reating) But just to be clear, once that charge for local remote call forwarding is added onto his bill, that would be on top of his regular business rates rate?

Mell, it would be an addition. It's basically a different service, okay, and it's an additional service. So, yes, I guess the answer -- it would be in addition to his business rate.

- Q You're familiar with Dr. McGinty's testimony, right?
  - A Yes, I am.
- Q In Dr. McGinty's direct testimony on Page 5 he states that the boundary should remain where it is, which is along the county line. Would you comment on that?
- A Well, I think given the nature of -- that developments don't always follow municipal or county boundaries, I think in this case looking at what we tried to look at was where the majority of the development would be, the impact of having customers across the street -- if we have to draw an arbitrary line up here north of the county boundary to serve those customers, you'll have people across the street with different, you know, with different calling scopes, different calling rates, and this sort of thing.

Normally, yes, you like to have the county boundaries match up right with the exchanges. They

very rarely do. Let's put it --

commissioner GARCIA: Mr. Scobie, but if this area keeps growing, don't you think that boundary that you've drawn will be impractical in the long run?

WITHESS SCOBIE: Well --

commissioner GARCIA: People tend to understand where county boundaries are because they have to deal with it in other aspects of their life.

WITHESS SCOBIE: Right.

commissioner GARCIA: However, the line that you draw, once we draw it out there, don't you think it's going to be confusing to those people as this area expands and grows and there are people living on the other side of that boundary that you're going to draw?

guess that's possible. Again, looking at the developer involved here that controls pretty much all this area around here, again, what we would try to do probably if the development was going to grow and this developer gave us lead time, we would move -- depending on how we would serve it, we would move -- we would keep moving the boundary into Bradenton perhaps if it was the same development.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Are there any natural

boundaries around the development? You had indicated that there's virtually -- I guess it's very rural beyond the --

east is pretty much undeveloped at this point in time.

No, there really -- if you're talking about lakes or rivers or -- I mean, you have the interstate running down the west, but -- on the west side, but that doesn't really cover the whole -- there's already residential development east of the interstate in this area that's not part of this Schroeder Manatee ranch development.

commissioner CLARK: What is the road on the east side of the development?

WITHESS SCOBIE: This right here? (Indicating)

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes.

Road, and right now -- I'm trying to -- this part -there's part of it in here that's not even paved at
this point in time, and I think it picks up again as a
paved road coming off of State Road 70, but that's -basically I think it's a two-lane road right now
running north-south on the east side of the
development.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: What is out there;

been, I guess, one large parcel, and there are a couple of out-parcels in this thing, I guess, that aren't totally controlled by the developer; but it was part of the Shroeder Manatee Ranch. I assume it was all one ranch at one point in time. I don't know if it still is or not. But, yes, it's pretty much nothing out in the majority of it; ranch land.

Q (By Ms. Keating) Do you foresee any 911 problems for transferring what is mostly Sarasota residents into a predominantly Manatee County exchange?

A No. In fact, we've already discussed the area with our 911 coordinator, and this is no different than happens in many places around where you have county boundaries that don't match up exactly with exchange boundaries.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: What does happen?

WITHESS SCODIE: Well, the addresses of all
the residents are put into a street address guide.

It's a database that the county uses or the
municipality uses for dispatching 911. These
addresses will be identified as -- even though they're

over here and in a Sarasota exchange, they'd be
identified as a Manatee County 911 call, either
sheriff or whoever provides the fire service there.

So they would be routed, because they would be placed
in the appropriate street address guide, to route to
the appropriate 911.

It's done by street addresses. The street addresses are physically put into this guide that the 911 -- the county uses and others that provide the 911 service.

commissioner Jacobs: Let me make sure I'm clear on one point. Your decision is that regardless of what happens with the boundary change, you're going to be serving this new area from your remote, correct?

WITNESS SCOBIE: That's correct,
Commissioner.

regardless of what happened with the boundary change, all the numbers in this area, the existing numbers in the Sarasota exchange are going to have to change as well?

WITHESS SCORIE: They have already changed.

We were out of equipment -- today -- or three months

ago this area was served by a subscriber line carrier

unit, which is a pair gain device that serves remote

areas. We ran out of physical capacity in the one subscriber line carrier unit that was serving this area, but when the decision was -- when the developer came to us and the decision, the engineering decision, was made to serve from a remote, we basically said we will home everything back to this remote switch and 7 not install pair gain devices out there.

It wasn't an efficient or economic way to provide service to the area. And we were out of capacity in this subscriber line carrier, and when the decision made that it was going to be served from this remote switching unit, you know, the numbers would have to be changed anyway.

So we've already made the number changes. The number changes occurred back the 1st of March as Sarasota numbers. The NXX code that was going to be provided for this area is today a Sarasota NXX for rating and billing purposes. It just resides in this remote, but it's homed back to a Sarasota switch that provides these enhanced calling services like ISDN.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Who's using all these enhanced services?

WITHESS SCOBIE: COMMISSIONER GARCIA: commercial --

25

1

5

8

9

10

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

WITHESS SCOBIE: Well --

WITHESS SCOBIE: Well, I believe the residential customers in this area are going to be markets, because what we've seen -- and I don't know if they're all using ISDN, but they certainly are using multiple lines in the household. And I don't have a count of how many or if any yet are ISDN, but where you have multiple lines in the household. And today there are 51 residential customers, residences north of this -- in this area. They have 64 lines in

So I don't know that they're ISDN yet, but if they're using them for computers or whatever they're using, you know, Internet access or work at home or whatever, they are a prime market for ISD -- single-line ISDN.

those 54 -- in those 51 residential households.

Q (By Ms. Keating) Mr. Scobie, Dr. McGinty argues in his rebuttal that if you make this transfer, you're still going to have customers that may be neighbors that have different calling scopes and different rates. Could you address that?

A Well, if we make the transfer that's proposed, which would be all of this area -- well, it would be all of this area and the cross-boundary area

right now that we have that is being served with these sarasota numbers, this would all be --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Show me where the line is, Mr. Scobie.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No; the line that you want to draw. Where is it?

draw is to move -- well, it's actually -- it runs along section lines on the platted -- it would be running south off of University Parkway approximately a mile in and down and take in this area pretty much out to the interstate here. This area that's now in Sarasota will become Bradenton exchange. (Indicating)

development since the time we filed the tariff, and it's being served out of this remote switch with Sarasota numbers, they would also be impacted, but they're already physically in the Bradenton exchange. But we would have to ballot them, and they would be impacted and be served as if they were in the Bradenton exchange when the transfer was made.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And why are you so sure that the ballot would go your way? What's the

feeling you have that they're going to want that 2 ballot? 3 WITHESS SCOBIE: I'm not assured at all that it's going to go our way. What we're just saying is let the ballot go forward and we will abide by what happens. But, I mean, I don't have any assurance that the ballot is going to go our way, and as more time is 7 passed -- again, when we make these kinds of area transfers, we try to transfer vacant land, because you 9 don't have to ballot customers and you haven't 10 11 impacted customers. As more time passes and more people move in 12 this area, more businesses will move in this area. 13 And, yeah, I think there's more of a chance it won't pass the longer we let it go and it's gone and you've 15 got more people voting. I don't have any assurance it's going to pass. I just think --17 18 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And that --19 WITHESS SCOBIE: -- it should go forward and 20 the COMMISSIONER GARCIA: That's an interesting 21 22 point --23 -- customer

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: -- though.

believe that as more people move in, you probably have

less chance of winning the ballot.

WITNESS SCOBIE: I don't know. I -- there's a possibility there.

commissioner GARCIA: Why? Wouldn't people want to take what's easiest and simple to understand? And basically your argument is that what we're doing here, or what you want us to do here, is something that's more understandable and easier for people and more convenient for people, correct?

well, let me think about that. I guess since now there isn't a number change involved, that -- you know, that it may not be as difficult a choice or decision. But I don't know. I'm not sure.

rationale you were following when you proposed this was this was a whole planned development, and you have concerns that there will be that corporate park and the parts of the development that are south of University that will not have the same calling scope as those north, right?

withess scobie: Well -- and yes, that's correct partially, but you also have those that are now north that are served by this remote with those same NXXs that will not have the same calling scope as

1	potentially somebody across the street from them here
2	if we have to if the ballot doesn't go forward, or
3	if the ballot doesn't pass.
4	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Where is it now?
5	Because you keep drawing a line in a horizontal
6	pattern right in the middle of the development.
7	WITHESS SCOBIE: I'm sorry. Where is what?
8	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: It's confusing. When
9	I asked you to draw the line, you drew it somewhere
10	off to the right side of the map, or towards the
11	WITHESS SCOBIE: Of what we're trying to
12	transfer, right?
13	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: east. Right.
14	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think the confusion
15	is
16	WITHESS SCOBIE: The cross-boundary
17	situation.
18	COMMISSIONER CLARK: that you have that
19	remote switch located in Bradenton, and all those
20	numbers even in the Sarasota exchange are being homed
21	in on there but you're rating them out of Sarasota.
22	WITHESS SCOBIE: Right, and they're actually
23	homed back to a Sarasota ex Sarasota central office
24	to provide

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: But you keep saying

"Potentially we're going to have people calling 1 cross-boundary," and then you draw a line somewhere --2 3 WITNESS SCOBIE: Well, today --COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Where are those --5 where are the -- potentially where are those people if we stay with the existing system? 6 7 WITHESS SCODIE: Right. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Which is directed, I 8 9 guess, on a political boundary, right, to some degree? WITHESS SCOBIE: To some degree. That's 10 where it's been established historically. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Why is it that you're 12 saying to us that -- and you're bouncing back and forth there in the middle of your map saying 14 potentially these guys on this side are going to be 15 calling these guys across the street. Where is that street of yours? 17 WITHESS SCOBIE: That street has -- or that 18 line hasn't been drawn yet if the ballot doesn't go 19 forward or if the ballot fails. 20 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: If the ballot fails, 21 where is that line? 22 WITNESS SCOBIE: We don't know yet. 23 will -- what we will -- what I assume will be done,

the engineering people will do, is go back and look

and find -- well, if this ballot fails, let's say, what we would do is have to say -- well, we're still going to serve it from this remote switching unit.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right.

here and transfer these people. These people then will be transferred to Sarasota exchange physically -- in the tariff, in the exchange maps. Won't change their service a bit because they currently have, quote, unquote, a Sarasota telephone number today, and are making Sarasota -- or their calling scope as if it was Sarasota and their calling scope and rates as if they were Sarasota exchange.

so what we would have to do is draw another boundary here and define what we can serve out -- and make it Sarasota exchange. We would go north of University and define that as Sarasota exchange.

commissioner Jacobs: And the objective here again is to keep folks in that one development in the same exchange?

witness scobie: That was the initial objective, yes, sir. I mean, and the development is going to be all the way up to State Road 70. And, again, there are already some customers up here off of State Road 70 served out of Bradenton exchange. So we

would -- what we -- I assume the engineering planning people would do, would find a spot talking to the developer on where there might be a break point, draw . 3 a line, and then the people in this part of the exchange -- or this part of the development, would stay Bradenton and this -- you know, and we'd move this part of the exchange into Sarasota. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Now show me your plan. 8 That's if it doesn't. Now show me your plan. 9 WITHESS SCOBIE: Okay. 10 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Draw it out --11 WITHESS SCOBIE: Our plan -- our plan was to 12 transfer the territory that was below University Parkway, okay; and it goes across along section lines, and they're not on this map, but basically encompasses this commercial development and the Sarasota Polo Club out basically to Lorraine Road, okay --17 18 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: What we have now. WITNESS SCOBIE: Right. And transfer that 19 area into Bradenton exchange. 20 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. 21 WITHESS SCOBIE: And then the whole 22 23 development, including the commercial, the

residential, and all the way up to State Road 70 will

be served out of Bradenton exchange.

24

1	Q (By Ms. Keating) The question I have now
2	goes back to one I tried to ask earlier. You keep
3	pointing to a section of the map that's above
4	University and referring to customers that are above
5	that line as customers served out of Sarasota.
6	A That's correct. They are today.
7	Q So you do have customers in the Bradenton
8	area that are being served out of the Sarasota
9	exchange?
10	A They're being served yes, out of this
11	area right here. (Indicating) That's the development
12	that's basically occurred since we filed this tariff
13	back in June, July.
14	g so why did that happen in the first place?
15	Why are customers in Bradenton being served out of
16	Sarasota exchange anyway?
17	A Again, when the decision was made back a
18	year ago approximately to serve all of this
19	development from one exchange
20	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: This was a decision
21	prior to balloting which you thought was
22	engineering-wise the best thing
23	WITHESS SCORIE: Yes, sir.
24	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: to do, so you began
25	to act as if this had passed.

WITHESS SCOBIE: That's correct. That's 2 correct. 3 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Because these are new customers who never had the choice. WITHESS SCOBIE: That's correct. But we 5 were going to -- we had decided -- you know, the 7 engineering decision was made to serve the whole area out of one exchange, okay. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Was this at the point 9 10 where your SLCS had exhausted? WITHESS SCOBIE: Well, it was at the point 11 where we were -- where the trend line was saying it 12 was going to exhaust in March of this year, and we 13 were trying to time it where -- and we, I assume, got 15 right there when we made the conversion, first week in March I think it was, and we were pretty much 16 exhausted from that subscriber line carrier serving 17 18 the area. COMMISSIONER CLARK: What you did was assume 19 that you were going to serve the whole community out 20 of one exchange. 21 22

That's correct.

23

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And so you located your switch there and you have homed people in the development to that switch, although depending on

where they're located -- or I guess all of them now go to the Sarasota switch.

withess scobie: All of them now in this area, that are in this area go to the Sarasota switch back through the remote, yes. They're homed back to a Sarasota switch. (Indicating)

commissioner CLARK: And what you'd like to do is take that remote switch and make it part of Bradenton, continue to have them home in on it, but they would all be Bradenton exchanges.

understand is going to happen -- I mean, there actually is more development. This developer controls territory north of State Road 70 and, you know, as that development rolls out, there was going to be a Bradenton central office built somewhere in this area that would serve all of that development north of State Road 70 down to this part of the -- the southern part of the development here below University Parkway.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask another question. Would it make any sense for that line where the Bradenton exchange comes down into Sarasota County to have it go around the Sarasota Polo Club, that it would come down Lorraine -- I know it's not at Lorraine, but let's come south on Lorraine, go west on

University and then right there come down. WITHESS SCOBIE: Right. And the only 2 problem with that -- and be assured I asked the 3 engineers that when Dr. McGinty filed his protest. I said, is there any way we can leave -- or we can carve out parts of this. Again, the problem is the remoteness, the relative remoteness, of this area. There's no other service back to Sarasota now. COMMISSIONER CLARK: So you removed --9 WITNESS SCODIE: I mean, this is all 10 nothing. There's no roads, there's no, you know cable 11 path or anything coming that way. COMMISSIONER CLARK: And he was served off 13 that -- what did you call it? WITHESS SCOBIE: Subscriber line carrier, 15 yes; that unit. 16 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And that's gone away, 17 you've done away with that? 18 WITHESS SCOBIE: Yes, ma'am. Well, it 19 basically homes back to the remote switch. 20 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I see. Thank you. 21 (By Ms. Keating) So you couldn't serve 22 that area from remote off of Bradenton? COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well, he's doing it 24

now; could do it now.

1	Q (By Ms. Reating) You couldn't continue to
2	do it?
3	A You mean off of Sarasota, a remote off of
4	Sarasota?
5	Q The remote that was is in Bradenton,
6	correct?
7	A This remote is physically in Bradenton
8	exchange today. Again, today it is homed back to a
9	central office in Sarasota for purposes of rating and
LO	billing.
11	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask it a
L2	different way. Would it be possible to continue that
L3	and that the Sarasota Polo Club and Dr. McGinty's
14	practice would simply be the couple of numbers that
L5	would continue to be rated out of Sarasota? Can that
16	be done?
17	WITHESS SCOBIE: (Pause)
18	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask a
L9	different are all the telephone lines being routed
20	to that remote switch all being homed back to
21	Sarasota, or do some go to Bradenton?
22	WITHESS SCOBIE: They all go to really,
23	they're all in a new NXX that was just opened for this
24	development.
- CU/96	

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

2002/94/97	
1	WITHESS SCOBIE: And, again, I think the
2	problem there may be is you can't carve out 50 or 60
3	or 40 numbers and make it Sarasota while the other
4	9,950 are Bradenton numbers is the problem there.
5	MS. KEATING: Thank you, Mr. Scobie. That's
6	all that Staff has.
7	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Dr. McGinty, would you
8	like to ask some questions?
9	DR. McGIMTY: Oh, yeah, I would now that I'm
10	relaxed. First off, I'm I don't know procedure.
11	CROSS EXAMINATION
12	BY DR. MOGINTY:
13	Q Am I in Lakewood Ranch? I didn't buy my
14	property from Lakewood Ranch.
15	A Dr. McGinty, I don't know that I know the
16	answer to that.
17	Q Well, I mean, I'm included in this project
18	that's being built, but, I mean, I've been there for
19	five or six years.
20	COMMISSIONER CLARK: You probably have to
21	talk to the developer.
22	DR. McGINTY: Well, I mean, they keep
23	telling me that I'm in Lakewood Ranch, and there's a
24	big difference between this area and this area, okay?
25	(Indicating) This was put in first because people at
Incarre 6	4. 전문

Shroeder Manatee Ranch like to play polo, okay. And that was there before any of this other was divided.

The zoning of the two areas are completely different. This area up here is all half-acre.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Hang on a minute.
You're testifying now instead of questioning.

DR. MCGINTY: Oh. I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And I just want to make sure Ms. Caswell is okay with that.

MS. KEATING: It's okay. Go ahead.

DR. McGINTY: I guess the point I'm making, or trying to make, haphazardly at best, is the reason I did -- this area is -- I didn't know I was part of Lakewood Ranch. And this area, this road right here, is a county line. The next road down here is Fruitville Road, which comes to approximately right about here. (Indicating)

What's going to happen when this is developed in here? Where are they going to go?

Because this is going to be developed. I mean, just as this is developed. I mean, what exchange are they going to have here? Because then you're going to have the same problem; instead of a having a nice, straight boundary, you're going to have people right here in Sarasota -- I mean --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well, you -- that's 1 what --3 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let him answer the 4 question --COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let him answer the question, because since we've got one, let's answer 7 it. DR. MCGINTY: Oh, okay. 8 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Where do they go? 9 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Scobie, what are 10 the plans if that develops down there? 11 WITHESS SCOBIE: Again, I haven't seen a 12 plan for -- in fact, I haven't seen a development --13 any development plan down here, so I don't -- you 14 know, I don't know specifically what's going to be in 15 the development or how large or what type of 17 development. But if there would be development down 18 here -- and, again, down there is approximately two miles. I think Fruitville Road is approximately 20 two miles south --21 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: This is Florida. 22 Let's assume that everything eventually will be concreted over and there's going to be development

there. What are you going to do with it, Mr. Scobie?

I know GTE is a visionary company, so it hopes for growth in all areas.

was that visionary a company, we wouldn't be here today. We would have transferred the vacant land back probably two, three or four years ago.

But, again -- and, again, we don't -- we certainly don't like to move boundaries when there are people -- when there are customers impacted, and we try our darndest not to move boundaries when customers are impacted.

developer and he wanted this sort of thing, we might be able to accommodate him, or we might accommodate him within this area. I don't know the answer to that. But, again, if it's vacant land, you know, we would look at it, one, what's the most cost-effective way for us to serve it; two, what the development plans are, what they're looking for and how we can best respond to it, and we try to do it.

Q (By Dr. McGinty) I mean, at that point you're getting pretty far down into Sarasota County for it to be in a Bradenton --

A I agree; yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Scobie, wouldn't

that be a factor? I mean, you would be very hesitant to transfer to another county exchange a geographic area that was deep into another county.

3

5

7

9

10

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

WITHESS SCOBIE: Yes, I would say that would be a policy we try to ascribe to, not to do that.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: You indicated earlier that probably the most likely scenario that you're going to want is building a CO out here somewhere.

explained to me by the engineering -- if the development continues -- and, again, this developer that controls land north of State Road 70, if that develops, there would be a central office somewhere in this area, probably north of State Road 70, serving this whole development.

that logic for a moment. In that event, what then happens if the property below Sarasota Polo Club is developed? Would you still maintain the Bradenton/Sarasota exchange lines or some dichotomy between Sarasota and Bradenton, or would there be something else develop there?

WITHESS SCOBIE: Again, I think one of the -- one of the policies from a regulatory input, we wouldn't want to move the boundary too far into Sarasota County.

**COMMISSIONER JACOBS:** So you would keep the exchange boundaries.

withese scobie: Well, again, that would be one input to the decision process that we -- again, what -- the engineering planning people would look at what's the most cost-effective. I mean, maybe there's a -- maybe there will be an easier way then to serve it from Sarasota Northside if the development -- if there's development here, there may be a south, you know, ingress and egress back here that will get us back into Sarasota easier.

There is no such way to do that right now other than coming out University Parkway. I mean, off of Fruitville Road -- if the development is from Fruitville Road north, yeah, there may be cable facilities out of Sarasota that can serve that area out of Sarasota exchange and not change the boundary.

commissioner Jacobs: But that decision sounds like it would not be greatly impacted if in the event you build a CO up here, you wouldn't be running facilities down from there.

withes scobie: Possible -- yeah, it probably wouldn't, but I don't know. You know, it'd depend on -- again, it depends on the engineering loop

links, how long a loop, how far we're talking about;
is it certainly closer to serve it from Sarasota

Northside than wherever this exchange -- this central
office is going to be up north of State Road 70. Tha
would be an input.

## DR. MCGIMTY: My turn again?

Q (By Dr. McGinty) In your testimony I see you said GTEFL decided to serve a complete development out of one exchange to avoid a situation of close neighbors in the development of having different calling scopes and different local rates.

In this particular Lakewood Ranch area, you've driven out there and you can see the difference between -- it's not really one neighborhood. When you look at this out here, north of University Parkway is quarter-acre lots and houses. South of there is the polo grounds, which is nothing but barns, and this commercial -- the corporate park, I don't think can ever be really highly developed just because of the nature of the land, if you look to see how much wetlands is there.

So wouldn't you think that if -- since the dividing line is there already and that it's not going to be, you know, somebody in one house calling another house -- there's very little communication between

those two. So I guess what I'm saying is, can you see how it doesn't look exactly like one neighborhood out there?

A I would agree that it's not one -- I mean, they're defining it as different neighborhoods. The development is going to have different neighborhood names, I guess. But unfortunately now with the development that has occurred since June of last year in this area, you know, wherever you draw the line there's already going to be customers, residential customers, up here that theoretically, you know, would be in a different exchange from a guy a quarter acre away or a half acre away.

Q Could you explain again?

A Well, because -- there's already residential customers up here, right, that are served from the Sarasota exchange, okay. And if the ballot doesn't occur or if the ballot fails, we're going to have to draw a line up here, and customers north of that line who may be across the street or in the next neighborhood over would have different calling scopes.

Q You keep pointing to this area up here, okay. And were they balloted originally when I made my -- I said I didn't want to do it?

Nobody has been balloted yet, sir.

Q No, not balloted. But, I mean, how I got here was somebody sent me a letter asking if I would --

- A Yes, yes. They all received letters. They were all notified when the Commission Staff ordered us to do it when the original tariff was --
- Q Because the thing that was talking to me, the thing that I said talked about this area down here and had no mention of anything up here. So I thought all the balloting and everything was down -- everybody down here. I mean, specifically I think it says that area south of University Parkway.

A Right, because that -- from a tariffed or a regulatory perspective, the boundary today and the tariff runs along University Parkway, and that -- and the tariff is what has to be changed and what we filed to change. Because of this development that basically has gone on over here that we have served from this same area, we've got a cross-boundary service situation that we will have to correct one way or the other, either through ballot --

countssioner GARCIA: Let's not confuse the issue because I want to come back to something. And he's making a very good point is that you've proceeded as if -- with those customers since they have no

1	knowledge of what it used to be, you've proceeded with
2	placing them all in the other LATA, or in the other
3	service area? They're in the Bradenton exchange?
4	WITHESS SCOBIE: They're physically located
5	from a tariff what it says in the tariff today,
6	they are these people above north of university
7	that are currently in place that are physically in the
8	Bradenton exchange, they're being served from the
9	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: From the Sarasota
10	exchange.
11	WITHESS SCOBIE: from the Sarasota
12	exchange.
13	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Where are they being
14	rated?
15	WITNESS SCOBIE: Sarasota exchange.
16	COMMISSIONER CLARK: So the only people
17	balloted for this proceeding are going to be south of
18	University?
19	WITHESS SCOBIE: No, ma'am; it will be
20	everyone who now has a Sarasota telephone number in
21	this area who has rating and local service rates and
22	calling scopes in Sarasota, in this geographic area.
23	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Dr. McGinty, is your
24	concern that originally you had understood it to be

25 only the people south of University would be balloted?

DR. McGINTY: It says the proposed transferred generally described is approximately 11 square miles east of Interstate 75 and south of University Parkway.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask the question

I think he may be getting at. Why are you serving

currently the ones north of University Park and rating
them out of Sarasota? Because they are part of the

Bradenton exchange?

withess scobie: That's correct. And, again, because of the remoteness of the area and the way it was being served before, there was no way to serve them from Bradenton. There was no way to get to a Bradenton exchange.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: From an engineering standpoint you had to consolidate them, right?

withess scobie: That's -- yes. There was no way to get -- I mean, this road doesn't go through. Lakewood Ranch Boulevard doesn't go through yet. That's not a road. There's no way to come down from Bradenton to even get to these people at this point in time.

commissioner clark: And you would have only -- prior to having any development up there, there would be no need to ballot them because nobody

was there.

2 3

1

WITHESS SCOBIE: That's correct.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And you would only have balloted down there. But now that you have people in there, you have to ballot them.

WITNESS SCOBIE: That's correct, because we have to move them into the Bradenton exchange with --I mean, they're already in the --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: But why do we --WITMESS SCOBIE: -- they're physically there --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: But we're going to use if we -- if this ballot fails, then University will be the cut-off, correct?

WITNESS SCOBIE: What will happen if No. the ballot fails, we will come back with another tariff filing to move some area above University into the Sarasota exchange.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: But we could in theory deny that and say, no, your boundary is going to be University Drive.

WITHESS SCOBIE: Well, then you will have Sarasota customers then north of University that need to be in the Sarasota exchange that --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well, they're Sarasota

customers because you made them such, correct? Not because of politics or anything, it's GTE made them Sarasota customers, but --3 WITHESS SCOBIE: Right. 5 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: -- they are in 6 Bradenton. WITHESS SCOBIE: Yes, right. We are served 7 them -- serving them this way, yes. 8 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: But we could, this 9 Commission could say to you, no, I want you to serve 10 them -- I mean, obviously you'd have to file with us 11 or whatever -- but you have to serve those people from the Bradenton exchange somehow, and then everyone 13 south of University will be served from the Sarasota exchange. We could do that. 15 16 WITHESS SCOBIE: I assume you could do that, 17 yes, sir. 18 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Do you have an 19 indication of the expense involved in that? What does that mean for --20 WITHESS SCODIE: You mean to serve those 21 22 people -- these people from Bradenton exchange? (Indicating) 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes. What does it mean 24

if we say you will maintain the exchange boundaries

where they are; regardless of how you're engineering them, that you will maintain those boundaries? And I would presume that means part of that remote switch that you have highlighted in orange there. Part of those numbers are going to go to Sarasota and part will go to Bradenton. Do you have an estimate of if you can do that and how much it costs?

withess scobie: Well, I don't have an estimate currently. Basically what you'd have is you'd have, you know, a lot of stranded capacity in this remote switching unit. You would have customers here -- customers somewhere you've got to change their number again, okay, because somebody will be Sarasota and somebody will be Bradenton, where today they're all Sarasota.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right.

WITHESS SCOBIE: But, no, I don't have a cost estimate yet of the existing --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Why would they have to change their number?

WITHESS SCOBIE: Because this number, this

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Across the -WITHESS SCOBIE: Well, it was designed to
serve the whole exchange, and you have customers here

and here with that new NXX, and if you're going to say part of them will go back or be Bradenton, those people will have to take a number change, or if you're going to serve this area --

"again". What do you mean by again? Has someone had to change their number?

WITHESS SCOBIE: Yes. These people changed their numbers within the last month, I guess at the 1st of the month.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I remember vaguely,
but why? Why is it that they changed their numbers?
WITNESS SCOBIE: Again, prior to the first
of March, this area was served from Sarasota Northside
out of a pair gain device, a subscriber line carrier
unit.

When the decision was made to serve the whole development or to serve this development out of this remote switch out of a digital switch that provides enhanced services and -- secondly, we were out of capacity in the subscriber line carrier at the 1st of the month. We'd say we will serve everybody out of this remote switch and that will cause them to take a number change to this new NXX that's going to serve the whole development.

know when it would have occurred, but it would appear to me that at some point in time you would have done an analysis to determine where the least cost was to serve this growth area, whether it was, indeed, from that remote or try to do something from Sarasota County. Do you know if anything like that was done?

have not seen the figures. I mean, that was the decision or how the -- I assume how the decision was made to place this remote switch there, yes. I mean, they looked at serving, you know, subscriber line carriers all over the place, subscriber line carrier units versus one remote switch, and there was -- I assume they looked at, you know, lower or the lowest or most efficient engineering cost involved.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I think it would be worthwhile to see that.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Tell me what it is you want. The cost information on the --

commissioner Jacobs: I need the cost analysis that was done at the time they put in the remote as to whether it would have been better to locate it -- or serve it from Sarasota County or Bradenton exchange.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Scobie, do you understand what we're looking for? WITHESS SCOBIE: I believe so. 3 COMMISSIONER CLARK: That will be identified as Late-filed Exhibit 2, cost analysis for decision to put in remote and serve out of Sarasota. 6 7 (Late-Filed Exhibit 2 identified.) (By Dr. McGinty) What happened to the 8 exchange I was, the 355 number? That came from north 9 Sarasota, and --10 Northside, yes, sir. 11 Q And that's gone? 12 Well, right today, I mean, it's still on 13 intercept, operator intercept, and it's intercepting 14 and sending them to your new number. 15 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask it a 16 different way. What are you going to do with those 17 exchange numbers? You will leave them dormant for a 18 19 while and --WITHESS SCOBIE: Yeah, until the next 20 directory, which I think is in October or September 21 out there in Sarasota. 22 COMMISSIONER CLARK: At some point, 23 though --24

25

WITHESS SCOBIE: They will stay on directory

assistance until that time, and then they will go back to be reassigned, Sarasota Northside. 3 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So there's no 355 numbers. There are specific 355 5 WITHESS SCOBIE: numbers that were assigned to these people here. 6 7 Those numbers are now --DR. MCGIMTY: 907. 8 9 WITHESS SCOBIE: -- going from operator intercept to 907. Those are basically tied up on 10 intercept today, and it's not all the 35 -- there's 11 still 355 numbers in Sarasota Northside that are in service. The whole--COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So that --14 15 WITHESS SCOBIE: -- 355 -16 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: -- is going to go back to another central office. 17 WITHESS SCOBIE: The specific individual 355 18 19 numbers that are -- that were assigned here, they will go back to be reassigned in the Sarasota Northside central office. 21 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Your question was 22 whether that NXX --23 24 WITNESS SCOBIE: It's not a --

COMMISSIONER CLARK:

近ており	[1988]
1	WITHESS SCOBIE: Yeah, it wasn't a whole
2	NXX.
3	COMMISSIONER CLARK: It's those that were
4	assigned
5	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right, right. It was
6	just the ones you took over from that from this new
7	office.
8	DR. McGINTY: I guess it's my question
9	again, huh?
10	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Do you have any
11	DR. McGINTY: Only one other.
12	Q (By Dr. McGinty) And I'm a little
13	confused is we had I'll speak in terms I know.
14	The 355 number, that disappeared because the lines
15	disappeared?
16	A No. The well, the number now is on
17	operator intercept. If you were to call your 355
18	number
19	Q Right, right.
20	A You would be intercepted and say "The number
21	is changed; it's 907
22	Q Right. Right. In other words, the physical
23	capability of bringing that 355 number into my office
24	down there, what has happened to that?
25	A That has gone away, because the physical

facilities that gets out to your office no longer goes
back to Sarasota Northside, the physical cable pair.

It now goes up to this remote switch that's serving
this physical --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let me try,

Mr. Scobie, and you tell me if I'm -- so this is a

question to you, and you tell me if I'm right or wrong

so that I don't end up testifying. What happened is

that your numbers were taken -- your numbers still -
that 355 is a number that's still being used out of

that -- out of another central office.

DR. McGINTY: Right.

placed on intercept, so when someone calls you they get the new information or your new number. Those numbers will eventually, when the new phone book comes out, will be given to customers out of that central office that they were coming from; and then the central office that you're working out of, or that remote station that you're working out of is assigning you a new NXX to deal with you from that office.

DR. McGINTY: Okay.

commissioner GARCIA: So your number still exists for you, but eventually it will be reassigned from that central office.

DR. McGINTY: Okay. My question was, I was being served out of Sarasota; why am I not being served out of Sarasota now?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well--

WITHESS SCOBIR: Why --

commissioner GARCIA: -- you are being served out of Sarasota or are you not -- he is still being served out Sarasota, is he not?

withese scobie: Yes, just a different central office in Sarasota. And the reason why is today there are no facilities, there are no physical cable facilities to get back from Sarasota Equine back to Sarasota Northside where your number resides in the central office.

- Q (By Dr. McGinty) What happened to it?
- A Those physical facilities now go to this remote switching unit here serving the whole Lakewood Ranch development.
  - So that the wires are not --

there. Here we go. Another question, Mr. Scobie.

There were wires. There were limited wires coming from the central office where the 355 was being served out of, and it was -- you know, you went into that technical discussion about pairs and pairing and all

of that wonderful stuff. But that's how they got those numbers to you, because there weren't that many 3 users, so it was economically affordable and technically feasible to take out lines to a small number of customers. 5 However, with this growth of customers, they require a larger office, which means that your -- the 7 pair that went out to you no longer could serve you, so they -- yes or no will be the answer to the 9 10 question. 11 WITHESS SCOBIE: I was going to say. trying to figure out where the question was coming --12 13 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And you put up a new central office, or a new remote office, which is now 14 distributing new NXX numbers, or new -- the 15 three-digit --16 17 WITHESS SCOBIE: For the 907 NXX. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Correct? 18 19 correct? 20 WITHESS SCOBIE: That's correct. 21 DR. McGIMTY: Well, shouldn't that have been 22 done after this? 23 WITHESS SCOBIE: No, because, again,

everything is still in the Sarasota exchange. There

was no change to your local calling rates --

•

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: But Mr. Scobie -WITMESS SCOBIE: -- or to your local -COMMISSIONER GARCIA: -- there is a point
there. Here we have an obvious political boundary. I
know that -- and we'll get into this when Mr. McGinty
testifies. But we clearly have a boundary, a county
boundary, which was being respected until this
developer came to you. And I understand the company's
willingness to work with the developer. It's a large
development. There's going to be a lot of people, and
you don't want people to have to call long distance to
their job which is basically across a street.

But this is a common thing we have across
the state. But nonetheless the question that
Mr. McGinty poses is a very rational one, is that you
did == the reason that remote station is there is
because you decided to put it there, and you decided
to serve it out of that remote station in Bradenton
that is sending back to Sarasota; but that was your
decision.

withess scores: That's correct, but, again, on the premise that the customers, affected customers, would have to be balloted. If the ballot fails, okay, that will still remain Sarasota, the 907 code. These customers will still be served from the Sarasota

exchange. There will be no impact to their local calling scope or to their monthly rates.

commissioner GARCIA: I want Mr. McGinty to understand something. So, again, yes or no at the end of this. Regardless of what we do with this boundary and how -- where -- how you decide to serve these customers, his 355 could not continue to serve his area.

WITHESS SCOBIE: That's correct.

commissioner GARCIA: And that's why you had to -- and I wanted to make sure we had that right, because someone who is sitting there -- and if I were Mr. McGinty, I would have thought, you know, what a fast one; they suddenly changed my number anyway, so my argument about changing stationary and everything is sort of false because they changed my number anyway. And the reason you changed it is it was technically impossible to serve out of that central office where the 355 was located out of these new -- this new growth of customers.

WITHESS SCOBIE: That's correct.

you a different question. When you took out the subscriber line, you took out the subscriber line carrier; is that correct?

WITHESS SCOBIE: No, ma'am, COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. It's still 2 3 there. WITHESS SCOBIE: The subscriber line carrier is still there, but, again, the feed coming out of the subscriber line carrier, or the tether back to a central office, is tethered back to this remote switch now rather than tethered back to the Sarasota Northside. That came out -- the facility that goes back to Sarasota Northside is no longer there. It's 10 11 now homed --COMMISSIONER CLARK: You actually took it 12 13 out. WITHESS SCOBIE: I understand they did. 14 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And what is the tether 15 between the remote office and Sarasota? WITHESS SCOBIE: It's, I assume, a 17 18 fiberoptic connection. 19 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And where does it go physically? Does it go back up to Bradenton? 20 WITHESS SCOBIE: I do not know. I believe 21 it goes -- no, it doesn't go back up to Bradenton. It 22 goes back to the Sarasota office, I think Sarasota 23 Main is where it's homed.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

that this Commission thinks -- first, let me ask you two things. First, let me ask you to file what is the least -- I want you to file something with us explaining what is the least cost alternative to serve the Sarasota Polo Club from the Sarasota -- keeping them in the Sarasota exchange.

Then I have another question. I'm going to walk over there so I can ask you the question so that we're not -- what if this Commission decides that the best way to keep -- because of future growth in all this area, we decide that the best division is the natural division -- well, the University Parkway division.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: It's the county division.

commissioner GARCIA: The county division, the political boundary that is understood by the residents of this area. What then would you do with this office that you have here? That's question one. And how would you serve the needs of this corporate park, which are clearly going to be complex, and it's a customer that you wish to serve?

WITHESS SCOBIE: Well, I don't know that I know the answer to that question. But one of the

1	things that was explained to me is that I assume we'd
2	have to bring a new way of serving, a new you know,
3	a new means to serve this area north of University
4	Parkway. This remote switch that can serve 10,000
5	lines would basically serve 100 lines in this area.
6	This switch would serve this area, okay. (Indicating)
7	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: But isn't
8	WITHESS SCOBIE: Because, again, because
9	this switch is tethered back to Sarasota Main
10	providing the enhanced features the developer
11	requested, okay. Just because it's located here, you
12	know, we can serve we'll serve Sarasota today. It
13	will just serve a lot less it will be an
14	underutilized switch, and we will have to bring in
15	facilities somewhere from Bradenton some way to get to
16	everything north of University Parkway. You'll
17	basically serve
18	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: How many people are
19	going to live in that area you prepared that switch
20	to serve how many people?
21	WITHESS SCOBIE: I believe the developer
22	said
23	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: It's in your
24	testimony.

WITHESS SCOBIE: -- business -- I think it

was 8,400 lines. 1 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: 8,400 lines. 2 3 WITHESS SCOBIE: Yes. That's my understanding. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And what was the division there between residential and business? 6 you remember? WITHESS SCOBIE: No, sir. I don't know that 8 I've seen that. I assume it would be a vast majority 9 would be residence. 10 11 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: This corporate park area is going to be a large user of those lines, 13 correct? WITHESS SCOBIE: It would be --14 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And I'm sure you're 15 running ISDN and other capacity out there because -probably more for the business community than actually 17 the residential community. 18 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think -- if I can 19 interrupt you -- we need to be careful to not talk at 20 the same time, so that we can --21 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I'm sorry. 22 running ISDN or other more advanced -- not necessarily 23 for those who will be living north of University

Drive, but -- is it University Drive?

3

7

8

10 11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

WITHESS SCOBIE: University Parkway.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Parkway. But mainly I would assume it's for the corporate park area.

WITHESS SCOBIE: Well, again, what was explained or provided to me to through our contact with the developer was that the people that were going to be living there, some of them would be working in the corporate park. Some of them would want single-line ISDN. They would have work at home type requirements and those type -- remote working type requirements.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I understand that, but what I'm saying to you is that the more advanced systems in your -- aren't designed necessarily for the home. I understand you may -- because this is a special community, but the corporate park would probably be a user of a large portion of those lines, correct?

WITHESS SCOBIE: As far as physical numbers, yeah, I would probably agree with that.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Dr. McGinty, do you have any more?

DR. McGIMTY: One last thing.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Dr. McGinty, you can ask as many as you want. We're not going to hurry

I mean, we're in Sarasota because of you. DR. McGIMTY: Yeah, I'm sorry. 2 3 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No. no; that's fine. That's fine. It's a beautiful day here. (By Dr. McGinty) You did say earlier that 5 this was not -- or I believe you asked (indicating) -whether it was a vacation type thing. What I said in my statements here, I said that there was a transient 8 population, and -- well, I can't form the question. I 10 quess it's testimony. 11 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Go ahead and testify, 12 and --DR. MCGIMTY: All I wanted to say is that 13 that area north of the University Parkway is true residential area. Sarasota Polo, the polo season 15 lasts four, five months tops, and then it's like a desert out there. 17 COMMISSIONER CLARK: What are the months? 18 DR. MCGINTY: They start coming in late 19 November to start getting the horses ready, just the grooms and stuff, and then they'll -- they stop 21 22 playing right about now. They're already headed north. So that was my concern about a ballot. 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And your concern is 24

that if you ballot at this time, that you will not, as

I understood your testimony, you will not get --2 people will probably not vote. 3 DR. MCGINTY: Well, yeah, because they're all scattered. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask you -- well, 5 I'll leave it until you --7 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Why don't we let 8 Ms. Caswell --COMMISSIONER CLARK: You originally filed 9 the tariff change in July, right? 10 11 WITHESS SCOBIE: I believe -- yes. COMMISSIONER CLARK: What would happen if we 12 chose to delay the balloting so that it was -- it 13 could take into account having the people that would be interested in this back down from wherever they 15 16 are? WITHESS SCOBIE: I guess potentially the 17 only thing -- we would be balloting more people as 18 19 more development occurs whenever that ballot takes place. 20 21 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. 22 WITHESS SCOBIE: That's the only --COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Which would be 23 affecting people because they came in with one concept

and now they're going to another.

1	WITHESS SCOBIE: Well, now customers coming
2	in there yeah. I'm not sure again what the
3	builders or developers are telling them, but, I mean,
4	they're getting a telephone number, a 907 telephone
5	number, as if it were Sarasota exchange today.
6	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Are they being told
7	that it may be changed to a Bradenton exchange at all?
8	WITNESS SCOBIE: I do not know the answer to
9	that
10	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Have you given any
11	more of that NXX number, that 907 exchange, or are you
12	holding it for that area?
13	WITHESS SCOBIE: No. It will be held only
14	for this area.
15	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well, so then we don't
16	have to worry about changing their number if we decide
17	to yeah, we do.
18	WITHESS SCOBIE: Unless you
19	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Yeah, we do.
20	COMMISSIONER CLARK: It will change their
21	calling scope.
22	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: It'll change their
23	calling scope, but it won't
24	WITHESS SCOBIE: If you're determined to
25	keep the boundary here, yes, these people somebody

will have to take a number change if we're not allowed to at least move these people into Sarasota exchange, given they're already in Sarasota exchange from a service and rating standpoint today.

commissioner CLARK: But when we are balloting them it won't carry with it the number change; it will carry with it the change of calling scope.

WITNESS SCOBIE: And monthly rate, yes, that's correct.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right.

Q (By Dr. McGinty) So if it is balloted, the people north of University Parkway are not going to have their number changed?

Were any people, let's say, that moved in there between June of last year and March when the number change occurred, they've already had their number changed to 907 from 355 or 351, whatever it was. There won't be a -- as far as the result of the balloting occurring won't change their number again, okay.

DR. McGIMTY: I don't have any more questions.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Commissioners, anything

24 25

20

21

22

23

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- 1	
1	else? Redirect?
2	MS. CASWELL: Yes. Thank you. I just have
3	a few questions.
4	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MS. CASWELL:
6	Q Mr. Scobie, what is the count today of
7	customers in the proposed transfer area, the customers
8	that would be balloted?
9	A Well, the latest count I had and it was
0	earlier this year; I don't have a count today but
1	the latest count we had, there were, I believe, 42
2	business customers and 73 residential customers.
3	Q And can you make a split as to how many are
4	north of the Parkway and how many are south of the
5	Parkway?
6	A Yeah. North of the Parkway there are 35
7	business customers and 51 residential customers.
8	South of the Parkway there are 7 business customers
	and 22 residential customers.
0	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: The 22 would largely
1	be located in the Sarasota Polo Club area?
2	WITHESS SCOBIE: I believe they're all in
3	the Sarasota Polo Club, in the barns or wherever they
4	have residential lines out there.
18	The state of the s

(By Ms. Caswell) Mr. Scobie, you talked a

little bit about Mr. McGinty's number change that's already occurred. I want to make sure I understand 3 something with regard to remote call forwarding. If he took the remote call forwarding service, could he still get to effectively keep that 355 number through the RCF service? 6 7 Yes. I believe -- given the timing of when the remote call forwarding was subscribed to -- I mean, once the new directory is converted, I think the 9 10 number will go back in for assignment in Sarasota Northside. But yes, that number could be used for the 11 remote call forwarding service. 12 13 Q Okay. COMMISSIONER CLARK: While you're looking 14 that over, Ms. Caswell, I forgot to ask something. 15 Does GTE provide mobile service down here? 16 WITHESS SCOBIE: You mean like a cellular 17 wireless service? 18 19 COMMISSIONER CLARK: 20 WITHESS SCOBIE: I believe GTE Wireless 21 does, yes. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Who else provides 22 wireless service down here? 23 WITHESS SCOBIE: Well, I believe AT&T, AT&T 24

Wireless, and I'm not sure how many PCS -- you know

PCS firms. There are probably a number of them licensed, and I don't know exactly. There may be two or three in the Sarasota area. 3 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Thanks. MS. CASWELL: That's all I have. Thank you. 5 6 COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. 7 Mr. McGinty, you are our next witness. MS. KEATING: Was there a third late-filed 9 exhibit? COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes. I'm sorry. Thank 10 you. Commissioner Garcia asked for a late-filed exhibit, and I had understood him to ask for the least 12 13 cost alternative to serve the Polo Club from the Sarasota exchange, and I took that to mean that that 15 which was above University Parkway would continue to serve from Bradenton, be out of the Bradenton 17 exchange? 18 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No. I thought just the Sarasota Polo Club. If we were to keep that, what 20 is the least cost alternative to just -- because I understand the developers need --21 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Correct. So that would 22 23 be -24 WITHESS SCOBIE: That's the way I understand

the request.

1	COMMISSIONER CLARK: So that would be what
2	is north of University would be in the Bradenton
3	exchange.
4	WITHESS SCOBIE: As well as this.
5	(Indicating) The Polo
6	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Isolate keeping
7	Mr. McGinty's area
8	WITHESS SCOBIE: Club right; what it
9	would cost to serve just this area out of Sarasota
10	Northside.
11	CONNISSIONER CLARK: Okay. So you
12	understand what is
13	WITHESS SCOBIE: I think so.
14	COMMISSIONER CLARK: requested. And that
15	will be Late-filed Exhibit 3 and, again, the title is
16	"Least cost alternative to serve Polo Club from
17	Sarasota exchange."
18	(Late-Filed Exhibit 3 identified.)
19	COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. We'll take
20	a break until noon.
21	(Brief recess.)
22	
23	
24	
25	

## DR. WILLIAM MCGINTY

was called as a witness on behalf of Sarasota Equine
Association and, having been duly sworn, testified as
follows:

## DIRECT STATEMENT

commissioner clark: We're back on the record. And, Dr. McGinty, we have stipulated the testimony into the record as though read for both Mr. Scobie and Dr. McGinty's direct and rebuttal, and I'd like to ask you Dr. McGinty, if you'd like to give a summary of your testimony, and you should keep in mind that we have all read it.

DR. MCGINTY: Yeah, I don't have my copy, though.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I can give you mine.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. McGinty, I think
you'd best be served by responding to some of the
issues perhaps that Mr. Scobie raised that you were
able to question him on, and then maybe give us a
statement of more or less what you had in your
testimony, because as Commissioner Clark said, we've
all read it.

DR. NCGINTY: You've read it and know what's going on.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: We know it by heart,

but why don't you sort of summarize for us the key points. You can stay there or you can go to the microphone. If you're more comfortable there, please go ahead and stay. 

1		SARASOTA EQUINE ASSOCIATES
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM J. MCGINTY, DVM
3		DOCKET NO. 970990-TL
4		
5		
6	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS
4	Α.	My name is William James Bryan McGinty. My business
8		address is 8325 Whiskey Pond Lane, Sarasota, Florida.
9		
10	Q.	BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
11	<b>A.</b>	I am self-employed as a veterinarian, and a partner
12		of Sarasota Equine Associates (SEA). This is a
13		large animal veterinary practice, a majority of
14		which is mobile. Most of our work is done on the
15		farms and at stables.
16		
17	Q.	WILL YOU BRIEFLY STATE YOUR EXPERIENCE WITH SEA?
18	<b>A.</b>	I have been a partner since SEA was formed about
19		twelve years ago. I treat and take care of most
20		kinds of large animals, a majority of which are
21		horses. I travel quite a bit as most of our clients
22		can not bring the animals to us.
23	٥.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET?
24	Α.,	The purposs of my testimony is to present SEA's
25		position on the issues in this docket. I will

1 explain SEA's reasons for not wanting the transfer this part of the Sarasota Exchange to the Bradenton 3 Exchange. This transfer will create unreasonable expense to 5 SEA. In addition to the increased cost of telephone service to our already established clients in the Venice area, SEA will have a loss of revenue. Many calls 7 8 we receive are from pay phones at stables or near 9 pastures where horses are kept. These calls often 10 are for emergency care of the animals. If this becomes 11 a toll call, these people will call other veterinary 12 practices thinking that because it is a long distance 13 call, SEA must be further away, thus having a longer travel time. In actuality, we are no further away. 14 15 SEA specifically remained in Sarasota county so that 16 we could service both Bradenton and Venice areas. 17 We need the emergency calls from the Venice area, and 18 can ill afford to lose them simply from a misconception 19 that we are too far away to be of help in an emergency 20 situation. A large portion of our business is emergency 21 in nature. Furthermore, these emergency calls then 22 lead to future regular appointments, once we have 23 shown that we can meet emergency needs. 24 There are parts of our business that are seasonal. There 25 is a major horse showground in Venice where people bring

their horses down to Florida to show them during the winter. We have been the normal veterinarians on call 3 there for the past twelve years. This has occurred because of our accessibility. Every years there is a new group of owners who don't know the area and again, will assume the SEA is too far to be 6 7 of service. All suggestions GTEFL has offered will only add to 8 9 the cost of telephone service to Venice or make it more difficult for people to reach SEA. 10 11 I realize that with the new boundaries that calls 12 to the Palmetto area will not be a toll call, however 13 this is like robbing Peter to pay Paul. We are not 14 verace not mearly an acompromised by having Palmetto 15 a toll call as we would be if this transfer is approved. I do not believe that we, as a small business should 16 17 penalized for what future development may take place. 18 We have been in this location for over four years and 19 in moving here we felt that since we remained in 20 Sarasota County, we would not change our atatustus 21 a Sarasota telephone number. At the time we moved to 22 this location we even tried to keep our old telephone number. Even this change led to confusion of our 23 clients as seldom are there current telephone directories 24 25 kept in stables. The telephone is a very integral

1

part of our business and can ill afford any changes
that may make it more difficult for our clients
to contact us. Any nominal decreaseith monthly
telephone rates will not make up for a reduced
number of calls from the Venice area.

6

7

Q. SHOULD AFFECTED CUSTOMERS BE BALLOTED IN ORDER TO
DETERMINE IF THEY ARE IN FAVOR OF THE BOUNDARY CHANGE?

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

No. There is a large number of transient customers in the proposed area. All residents in the area are located in the Sarasota Polo Club. This Club is actively in operation only a few months of the year. These people are not local nor are they aware of any boundaries. They are not making calls to the Venice area for business purposes. Local boundaries have no affect on them. SEA is afraid that the other customers will agree to a change of boundaries simply because of indifference, not knowing that it will adversely affect those neighbors that do call the Venice area. As I have stated this is not a normal neighborhood, otherwise SEA would agree to a balloting. If the commission decides that a ballot is absolutely necessary, SEA needs to be able to contact all of the involved customers

	to be able to explain our reasons for not wanting
2	the transfer and how it may affect them in the future.
3 Q	WHAT DO YOU FEEL IS THE BEST CASE SECRETO?
4 A	The boundary should remain where it is, along the
5	county line. This is where it makes most sense.
6	It will not lead to confusion in the future. Those who
7	wish to have the amenities of Sarasota County will
8	live in Sarasota County, thoses who like Manatee, will
9	live in Manatee. It does not make sense, nor is it
10	fair for a small business, already in location to
11	have to change either for future growth nor because
12	it may be more economically feasible for a large company
18	to change the existing boundaries. Especially when
14	there is no other choice but to use GTEFL
15	
16 Q.	DOES THIS END YOUR TESTIMONY?
17	

April 1997

1	SARASUIA EQUINE ASSOCIATES
2	REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF WILLIAM MCGINTY
3	DOCKET NO. 970990-TL
4	
5.	
6 Q.	WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO GTEFL'S REASON FOR THIS
7	TRANSPER?
8 A.	The only residential development south of University
9	Parkway is the Sarasota Polo Club. This is part of
10	Lakewood Ranch in name only. It can not be considered
11	part of the neighborhood, it consists of polo barns,
12	and not houses on small plots of land. There is little
13	communication between the polo grounds and Lakewood
14	Ranch. If the area in Sarasota county remains a Sarasota
15	exchange, it will not affect anyone living either
16	north or south of University Parkway. It will be a local
17	call for both. If GTEFL is worried about close
18	neighbors having different calling scopes and
19	different local rates, they will have the same
20	problem with the area just south of their propsed
21	new line. This will be quite far into Sarasota county.
22	The plans that I have seeb for the area south of
23	University Parkway, is for commercial use, again,
24	this does not divide a residental neighborhood.
25	University Parkway is a four lane road with a median.

	프로그램 :
1	It is also the boundary between Sarasota and Manatee
2	counties. It also remains a good division for the
3	telephone company. To me it makes good sense to keep
4	that wplch is in Manatee in Manatee and that which
5	is in Sarasota, in Sarasota. Again, for us, we are
6	only concerned with our ability to make and recieve
7	local calas to the Venice area. Our business will
8	be compromised if we are unble to continue this.
9	
10 Q.	DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY CUSTOMERS WILL BE AFFECTED?
11	
12 A.	Including Norses? No I do not, but from drving around
13	most of the area is not developed and on ythe plans
14	that I have seen it will be commercaal. The 73 residential
15	customers have to be in the polo club.
16	
16 Q.	What is your response to GTEFL's options for your
18	situation?
19 A.	Alloptions would have added cost to Sarasota Equine.
20,	I am not as cocerned about tha added cost to make
21	a call as I am about the possible loss of client
22	calls to us because they may think we are too far away to be of
23	service. Had venice always been a toll call, I would
24	not be as concerned.
25 0.	Does this end your rebuttal?

A. Yes Can you tell I typed this myself?

commissioner CLARK: I take it to be your concern that -- one of the things you're concerned about is that if you don't maintain a Sarasota presence, you begin to look like you're long distance to people.

DR. MCGINTY: Right.

commissioner CLARK: And I take it from your testimony you don't get the same people coming back every time --

DR. McGINTY: Right.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- and it's important for your business for them to know that you are local.

DR. McGINTY: My practice, it's a large animal practice. Its office is situated in Sarasota polo, but the majority of my work is out on the roads; and we go from Fort Myers almost to Tampa, but in general we stay in Sarasota and Manatee County.

Approximately a third of it would be in the Venice area, a third of it in Sarasota, and a third of it in Manatee County. There's this change -- you know, the calls to Venice are going to change, to North Port are going to change and to Englewood are going to change, and that will affect me.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And I take it that's your primary concern.

DR. McGIMTY: That's my whole concern, okay. 1 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. And have you 2 looked at if you would respond to the offer by GTE 3 that they do that remote call forwarding and that in the future that you would use remote call forwarding to address your concern that people think you're long distance, or that you are not nearby? 7 8 DR. McGINTY: That would address -- you asked me whether that would address the situation or 10 not? COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right. 11 12 DR. McGIMTY: What number do I get? COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, as I understand 13 it, you could maintain the 3 --14 DR. McGIMTY: 355 number. 15 16 COMMISSIONER CLARK: The 355 number. DR. MCGIMTY: Well, see, I don't need the 17 355 number anymore, because now, because they've 18 changed to the 907. Now it's all -- everything is 19 907, and it is in the Sarasota area. 20 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right, but you could 21 in theory, so that people wouldn't have to make that 22 long distance call, they've -- I think Mr. Scobie stated, maybe not directly, but -- or maybe he did --

that you could keep the 355 so that your customers in

the Sarasota area would be able to call you at that number and it would be a local call for them. There would be a cost for you, if I'm not mistaken.

one business, okay. And horse show -- people that show these horses, they move things around all the time. I mean, you know, and that would be a problem with that. I certainly don't want to have one telephone number for the people down south and another telephone number for the people up north.

commissioner GARCIA: It would probably be a very similar number, because they could probably give you the same final four digits, so it would probably be a number --

DR. McGINTY: That would be even more confusing, I would think. I mean, I would. I mean, you know, I brought in papers here -- I don't know whether I need them or not; I mean, for example, a list of clients in the Venice area to show you that there's not a few of them; there's over 300.

**COMMISSIONER JACOBS:** That was going to be a question I had.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Did you bring more than one copy of it?

DR. McGINTY: (Shaking head.)

COMMISSIONER CLARK: No. All right. Let's, 1 nonetheless, mark it as an exhibit, and we'll take care of getting copies of it. We'll mark it as Exhibit No. 4, and --COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I don't think he wants 5 to let go of his client list anyway. 7 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. 8 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I certainly wouldn't. 9 COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. Let's not mark it as an exhibit then. We'll take a look at it. 10 11 DR. McGIMTY: Oh, sure. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Can you give us an 12 estimate about how many clients you do have that this 13 kind of change would affect? 14 DR. McGIMTY: Those are the -- those; those 15 in Venice, North Port, Englewood. And my question about the going forward to 17 18 the call forwarding or whatever it is, how is that 19 going to affect the -- for example, I'm not -- and I don't know. Maybe you could answer me better. You could answer it -- is right now Venice doesn't cost 21 22 anything, okay. Englewood, does that not cost me anything? 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Englewood is ECS, I 24

think, isn't it? So it does cost something.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Presently it's 1 2 costing. DR. McGIMTY: Okay. What happens if this 3 change goes in, then it's going to -- then even if I have a call forwarding thing, what is that going to 5 do? I think, I think basically if this thing goes through it's going to end up costing my practice -- it 8 is. 9 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think the real problem will be that Venice will no longer be flat rated and if they're with respect to Englewood and North Port, they are now ECS, so they do cost, but they would be toll charges now. They would be like 13 regular long distance calls. WITHESS SCOBIE: If they do not utilize the 15 remote call forwarding. 17 COMMISSIONER CLARK: It may be a good idea if, Mr. Scobie, you would come up to the microphone 18 and answer the question that Dr. McGinty asked. 19 20 DR. McGIMTY: Go ahead. WITMESS SCOBIE: Could you restate your 21 question? 22 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Your concern, Dr. McGinty, about your customers in Venice,

Englewood, and North Port, and with respect to the

Venice exchange, it is now what we call EAS, so there's no charge for that. When they call you at your present number, there's no charge. 3 Now, when customers in Englewood or North Port call Dr. McGinty today, what is the charge? 5 6 WITHESS SCOBIE: It is an ECS charge. If it 7 comes from a residential customer, it would be 25 cents today to the person originating that call from Englewood or North Port. 9 10 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And if it changes, it 11 will be a toll call. It isn't the 25 --12 WITHESS SCOBIE: If it changes and he just keeps his 907 number as a Bradenton number, yes, it 13 14 would be a toll call. 15 DR. MCGIMTY: But then at the end -- so what you're telling me is that in order for me to keep what 17 I have now, I have to pay \$16 a month plus be charged what is -- what is the cost when people call me from 18 there. Because what's happening then if you do that, 19 20 you're taking --21 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think the answer to 22 that is yes. WITHESS SCOBIE: I'm not sure what the 23 24 question was. I'm sorry.

25

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Ask it again and pause

so he can confirm that, and then you can indicate how that impacts you.

I think what he's saying is if he gets changed to the Bradenton exchange but he uses the remote call forwarding, when anyone in the Sarasota exchange -- I'm sorry, not the Sarasota -- yes, it would be -- the Sarasota exchange, Englewood, North Port or Venice call him, he will be charged a usage charge under the remote call forwarding.

withess scobie: That is correct. He would be charged a usage charge. The customers would not pay anything different than what they're paying today; his clients.

\$16 a month, and then when you got calls from Venice Sarasota, Englewood and North Port --

WITHESS SCOBIE: That's correct.

DR. McGINTY: I'm in Sarasota.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: You would get --

DR. McGINTY: I'd get charged for it.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Correct.

withess scobis: And the basis for that charge is your -- the network is reoriginating a second call. The first call is being made, let's say, from Sarasota -- or from Venice to the remote call

1	forwarding number, the 355 number, let's say, for
2	example.
3	Well, then the switch then reoriginates a
4	second call from that number to your physical location
5	there at Sarasota Equine to your 907 number.
6	DR. McGINTY: So you can understand why I
7	wouldn't want something like that.
8	WITHESS SCOBIE: I can understand that there
9	is an there would be an impact to you, yes, sir.
10	The magnitude of the impact is what we don't know, I
11	guess.
12	COMMISSIONER CLARK: But he has I don't
13	know who has the list of numbers. Is that a monthly
14	list?
15	DR. McGINTY: This I asked the lady that
16	runs my office to print out a listing of our clients
17	from Venice, North Port, any area south that would be
18	affected.
19	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask you a
20	question, Dr. McGinty. You obviously have a pager and
21	a mobile phone, too.
22	DR. McGIMTY: Oh, yes.
23	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Can you tell me if you
24	have explored using that for people to call you?
25	DR. McGINTY: Yes, I have. Without anybody

calling me my mobile phone was about \$600 a month, and 1 that's because it's -- because it's -- if I gave that, my mobile phone number, out to the public, I would 3 never be off of it, because people would have little questions to ask of me all the time. That mobile 5 phone that I use is for me to the office and me to my 6 7 partner only. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask it a 8 9 different way. Have you considered using a cellular phone as your -- people to call you in? Have you 10 explored that at all with a mobile phone carrier? 11 12 DR. McGIMTY: You mean have I thought about having people call a mobile phone to me to set up 13 14 appointments and stuff? COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right. 15 16 DR. McGIMTY: See, I don't set up appointments. That goes back to the office. 17 COMMISSIONER CLARK: What I'm suggesting is, 18 do you currently have people call you that it is long 19 distance? You say your practice goes from Tampa --20 DR. McGIMTY: Oh, yes, I have people who 21 22 call me long distance. 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And they use the Sarasota number to call you, right?

Right.

DR. MCGINTY:

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Have you explored at all the notion of having your business number be a mobile cell number?

DR. McGINTY: I have not explored it, no, because I thought it would be cost prohibitive.

could be, but I also know that, for instance, where I live, in Tallahassee, they offer plans where you get weekends free that happen to be very good if you have teenage children. But I've also noticed that they have -- you can make a local call from Panama City, that if you choose that kind of area, it can be a local call. And I guess understanding the mobile nature of your business and the fact that it is a wide area, I was wondering if that is -- had you explored that.

DR. McGINTY: I have not deeply explored it,
no. I mean, the cost was one concern. The other
concern was, "Hello, this is Bill McGinty -ch-ch-ch-ch-ch."

COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's a good point.

DR. McGIMTY: You know, and it doesn't -nothing against GTE Mobile Net, but it, you know,
doesn't work all the time. But, no, I mean, I have
not explored it in any depth because I thought it

would be cost prohibitive. 1 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. I guess my 2 initial reaction would be that it would be cost 3 prohibitive, but I keep hearing these things that indicate that if that you kind of have a -- if you can identify where your calls are coming from and that 7 sort of thing --8 DR. MCGINTY: Uh-huh. COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- sometimes --9 DR. McGINTY: Oh, I have that, but I just 10 don't put it out to the practice. COMMISSIONER CLARK: But I mean that there 12 are situations, I think, where that kind of solution may be cost-effective. Mr. Scobie, GTE Mobile Net, that's separate 15 from you all, isn't it? WITHESS SCOBIE: Yes, it is. 17 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. I'm just 18 wondering if anyone -- if you have explored that at all as a way of addressing this issue for Dr. McGinty. 20 WITMESS SCOBIE: No, we did not. Again, we 21 believe that the local remote call forwarding was 22 certainly the most cost-effective, you know, method. 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: One question.

24

keep -- and really it's a matter of clarification. Dr. McGinty's present 355 number is on an intercept; is that correct? 3 WITHESS SCOBIE: That's correct. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: And if he were to 5 enlist the remote call forwarding service, that same NXX number he would keep and it would now go through the remote call forwarding service; is that correct? 9 WITHESS SCOBIE: Yes, he could do that, and the cost of the 355 number would be forwarded to the 10 destination he selected. It would be the 907-1147 --12 whatever. 13 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Even though he 14 presently has a 907 NXX number. 15 WITHESS SCOBIE: That's correct. Any call forwarding or remote call forwarding service has to be forwarded to another physical location and 17 18 number. 19 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Dr. McGinty, we're 20 technically on you testifying here, and I would like to ask another question. Mr. Scobie indicated where 21 your practice is located. Did you agree basically? DR. McGIMTY: Yes. Yes, I'm down in that 23 far, far right-hand corner. 24

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And that area currently

1	described as Sarasota Polo Club, is that roughly the
2	area the Polo Club occupies?
3	DR. McGINTY: Yes, it's about approximately
4	600 acres.
5	COMMISSIONER CLARK: 600 acres. And tell me
6	what is on it in the way of structures and I guess,
7	polo fields. What is there?
8	DR. McGINTY: There is which I would
9	assume is one of the businesses is here is a
10	there's a that's a clubhouse that's there. That
11	area right there is the main field, polo field's
12	10 acres. (Indicating)
13	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. One field is
14	10 acres?
15	DR. NOGINTY: Yes.
16	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'm not very conversant
17	in this sport.
18	DR. McGINTY: And all throughout here I
19	believe there are 48 lots ranging from 5 to 12 acres,
20	and there are I have it written down somewhere here
21	the number of barns that are on there. They are all
22	barns.
23	COMMISSIONER CLARK: They will always be
24	barns and never residences?
25	DR. MCGINTY: No. What they do is they

73	
1	build a it takes a bunch it takes about 12
2	horses to play polo. So they're big barns, and then
3	they have to have a groom or somebody to take care of
4	the horses. So they build a little apartment in
5	there, but they don't put a stove in because then it
6	would make it a residential thing.
7	COMMISSIONER CLARK: It's not intended that
8	that develop as a residential community.
9	DR. McGINTY: There are houses in there.
10	There's two houses in there.
11	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask it a
12	different way. Who controls the area called Sarasota
13	Polo Club?
14	DR. McGINTY: The Sarasota Polo Club.
15	COMMISSIONER CLARK: And they, I take it,
16	sell parcels.
17	DR. MeGINTY: Right.
18	COMMISSIONER CLARK: To people who are
19	interested in building barns and having being able
20	to play polo there.
21	DR. MeGINTY: Right.
22	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Would the Sarasota Polo
23	Club allow people to build single-family homes on
24	those parcels.

on the north side, because it's Sarasota County, and Sarasota County does not allow that small an area to be developed.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Tell me what's south of you  DR. MCSINTY: Okay.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Sarasota Polo Club DR. MCGINTY: No, no, no. University  Parkway. The area in contention this area right here is in Sarasota County. (Indicating)  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I understand, but tel me what's south of you.  DR. MCGINTY: What's south of this area?	1	zoned five minimum five acres as opposed to what's
Sarasota County does not allow that small an area to be developed.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Tell me what's south of you  DR. MCSINTY: Okay.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Sarasota Polo Club DR. MCGINTY: No, no, no. University  Parkway. The area in contention this area right here is in Sarasota County. (Indicating)  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I understand, but tell me what's south of you.  DR. MCGINTY: What's south of this area?  Undeveloped land for approximately another mile, then Fruitville Road.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Then what's on  Pruitville Road?  DR. MCGINTY: What's on Fruitville Road?  There's little developments going on. There's some ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned community, but houses.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or  DR. MCGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive		
COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Tell me what's south of you  DR. MeGINTY: Okay.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Sarasota Polo Club DR. MeGINTY: No, no, no. University  Parkway. The area in contention this area right here is in Sarasota County. (Indicating)  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I understand, but tel me what's south of you.  DR. MeGINTY: What's south of this area?  Undeveloped land for approximately another mile, then Fruitville Road.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Then what's on  Fruitville Road?  DR. MeGINTY: What's on Fruitville Road?  There's little developments going on. There's some ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned community, but houses.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or DR. MeGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	2	on the north side, because it's Sarasota County, and
COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Tell me what's south of you  DR. McGINTY: Okay.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Sarasota Polo Club DR. McGINTY: No, no, no. University  Parkway. The area in contention this area right here is in Sarasota County. (Indicating)  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I understand, but tel me what's south of you.  DR. McGINTY: What's south of this area?  Undeveloped land for approximately another mile, then Fruitville Road.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Then what's on  Fruitville Road?  DR. McGINTY: What's on Fruitville Road?  There's little developments going on. There's some ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned community, but houses.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or  DR. McGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	3	Sarasota County does not allow that small an area to
Of you  DR. MCGINTY: Okay.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Sarasota Polo Club DR. MCGINTY: No, no, no. University  Parkway. The area in contention this area right here is in Sarasota County. (Indicating)  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I understand, but tel me what's south of you.  DR. MCGINTY: What's south of this area?  Undeveloped land for approximately another mile, then Fruitville Road.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Then what's on  Fruitville Road?  DR. MCGINTY: What's on Fruitville Road?  There's little developments going on. There's some ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned community, but houses.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or DR. MCGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	4	be developed.
DR. MCGINTY: Okay.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Sarasota Polo Club DR. MCGINTY: No, no, no. University  Parkway. The area in contention this area right here is in Sarasota County. (Indicating)  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I understand, but tel me what's south of you.  DR. MCGINTY: What's south of this area?  Undeveloped land for approximately another mile, then Fruitville Road.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Then what's on  Fruitville Road?  DR. MCGINTY: What's on Fruitville Road?  There's little developments going on. There's some ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned community, but houses.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or DR. MCGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	5	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Tell me what's south
DR. MCGINTY: No, no, no. University  Parkway. The area in contention this area right here is in Sarasota County. (Indicating)  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I understand, but tel me what's south of you.  DR. MCGINTY: What's south of this area?  Undeveloped land for approximately another mile, then Fruitville Road.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Then what's on  Fruitville Road?  DR. MCGINTY: What's on Fruitville Road?  There's little developments going on. There's some ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned community, but houses.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or DR. MCGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	6	of you
DR. McGINTY: No, no, no. University  Parkway. The area in contention this area right here is in Sarasota County. (Indicating)  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I understand, but tel me what's south of you.  DR. McGINTY: What's south of this area?  Undeveloped land for approximately another mile, then Fruitville Road.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Then what's on  Fruitville Road?  DR. McGINTY: What's on Fruitville Road?  There's little developments going on. There's some ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned community, but houses.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or DR. McGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	7	DR. NeGINTY: Okay.
Parkway. The area in contention this area right here is in Sarasota County. (Indicating)  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I understand, but tel me what's south of you.  DR. MCGINTY: What's south of this area?  Undeveloped land for approximately another mile, then Fruitville Road.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Then what's on  Fruitville Road?  DR. MCGINTY: What's on Fruitville Road?  There's little developments going on. There's some ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned community, but houses.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or  DR. MCGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	8	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Sarasota Polo Club.
11 here is in Sarasota County. (Indicating)  12 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I understand, but tel  13 me what's south of you.  14 DR. McGINTY: What's south of this area?  15 Undeveloped land for approximately another mile, then  16 Fruitville Road.  17 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Then what's on  18 Fruitville Road?  19 DR. McGINTY: What's on Fruitville Road?  20 There's little developments going on. There's some  21 ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned  22 community, but houses.  23 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or  24 DR. McGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	9	DR. McGINTY: No, no, no. University
commissioner darcia: I understand, but tel me what's south of you.  DR. McGINTY: What's south of this area?  Undeveloped land for approximately another mile, then Fruitville Road.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Then what's on Fruitville Road?  DR. McGINTY: What's on Fruitville Road?  There's little developments going on. There's some ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned community, but houses.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or DR. McGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	10	Parkway. The area in contention this area right
me what's south of you.  DR. McGINTY: What's south of this area?  Undeveloped land for approximately another mile, then  Fruitville Road.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Then what's on  Fruitville Road?  DR. McGINTY: What's on Fruitville Road?  There's little developments going on. There's some  ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned  community, but houses.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or  DR. McGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	11	here is in Sarasota County. (Indicating)
DR. MOGINTY: What's south of this area?  Undeveloped land for approximately another mile, then  Fruitville Road.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Then what's on  Fruitville Road?  DR. MOGINTY: What's on Fruitville Road?  There's little developments going on. There's some  ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned  community, but houses.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or  24  DR. MOGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	12	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I understand, but tell
Undeveloped land for approximately another mile, then Fruitville Road.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Then what's on Fruitville Road?  DR. McGINTY: What's on Fruitville Road?  There's little developments going on. There's some ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned community, but houses.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or  DR. McGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	13	me what's south of you.
COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Then what's on  Pruitville Road?  DR. McGINTY: What's on Fruitville Road?  There's little developments going on. There's some  ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned  community, but houses.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or  DR. McGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	14	DR. McGINTY: What's south of this area?
COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Then what's on  Fruitville Road?  DR. McGIMTY: What's on Fruitville Road?  There's little developments going on. There's some  ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned  community, but houses.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or  DR. McGIMTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	15	Undeveloped land for approximately another mile, then
19 DR. McGINTY: What's on Fruitville Road? 20 There's little developments going on. There's some 21 ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned 22 community, but houses. 23 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or 24 DR. McGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	16	Fruitville Road.
DR. McGINTY: What's on Fruitville Road?  There's little developments going on. There's some  ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned  community, but houses.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or  DR. McGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	17	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Then what's on
There's little developments going on. There's some ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned community, but houses.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or  DR. NOGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	18	Fruitville Road?
ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned community, but houses.  COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or  DR. NOGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	19	DR. McGINTY: What's on Fruitville Road?
community, but houses.  commissioner GARCIA: Lot of houses, or  DR. NOGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	20	There's little developments going on. There's some
23 CONNISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or 24 DR. NGGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	21	ranches in there, small ranches, and not a planned
DR. McGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive	22	community, but houses.
	23	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Lot of houses, or
out Fruitville Road around 5:00, it's real busy. I	24	DR. MOGINTY: Yeah. I mean, if you drive
	25	out Fruitville Road around 5:00, it's real busy. I

mean, there's a lot of things that are going on. But in here, to own a piece of property in Sarasota Polo Club, unless you're a veterinarian, you've got to play polo. 5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's a requirement? DR. McGINTY: Yeah. 6 7 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. How do you fulfill that requirement? 8 9 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: You've got to have 12 10 horses. (Laughter) DR. McGINTY: You've got to have 12 horses. 11 I didn't say you had to be a good polo player. 12 COMMISSIONER CLARK: What do you do if they 13 quit playing? Kick them out? 14 DR. MCGINTY: I don't know. Actually we had 15 a guy that he was injured playing polo and he can't play anymore; and he had built a beautiful barn. 17 he still has his horses and he's -- you know, it's 18 likes a resort area for some -- I mean, you know, 19 20 they're coming down. 21 I mean, the owners of these pieces of property are here maybe three or four months out of 22 the year, and they don't even -- right now most of 23 them don't stay there. Those that are truly into 24

playing polo will never build a house. You know,

they'll just come and go.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: When they do come down here, where do they stay?

DR. McGINTY: Various places. The groom -as I say each place has an apartment or something, you
know, and a groom will stay there or the help will
stay there and the -- but the owners usually don't.
So that's what I was talking about, it being kind of a
transient -- I mean, I know the --

commissioner GARCIA: But before you move on, I'm going to ask GTE to also give me a late-filed exhibit on how it intends to serve -- or how it is serving the people on Fruit --

DR. McGIMTY: Fruitville.

countssioner GARCIA: -- Fruitville Road and the developments out to the south of the Polo Club and also to the southeast of the Polo Club, because I assume that, like I stated in my question, there's going to be a growth in that area.

And, again, I ask this to Staff, because I guess Staff will be the one analyzing this. We really do have to try to think four or fives years in the future. I think we've had that clarity of thought when we've been changing area codes. We've tried to think what is the thing that will least bother people.

And if there was ever a time we needed to, it's right about now, because there is going to be growth out there.

And we might want to even contact, have
Staff to contact the local government here and ask
them what their plans are in that area to see what the
growth pattern is, because usually in their
comprehensive plans they tell you where they expect
the growth, and just so we have an idea of what
concurrency limits are in that area so that we can
have an idea, because it may be -- and obviously I
ask -- GTE must have done this, I hope, so maybe they
can give you the information.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me give that -COMMISSIONER GARCIA: They're probably
planning down that way.

commissioner CLARK: Let me give that a number and a title. That's Late-filed Exhibit No.4, and it will be entitled "How customers are served on Fruitville Road and south and southeast of the Polo Club."

(Late-Filed Exhibit 4 identified.)

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Dr. McGinty, let me ask
you something else. You've indicated they are a
transient population and it's not the same individuals

1 that come down every year. 2 DR. McGIMTY: No. We're confusing two 3 things. No -- oh, yes. In Sarasota Polo? COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right. 5 DR. MCGINTY: Yeah, they're people that will lease out barns, and they will change. 6 7 COMMISSIONER CLARK: They'll lease out --8 DR. McGIMTY: Yeah. They'll change, and 9 there'll be people that come in that -- there's the people that own the property, and then there are 10 11 people that come down just to play. Sometimes people that come down just to play will lease somebody's barn, and so that changes. The other transient 13 population of horses I was talking about was for the 14 showgrounds in Venice, which we haven't talked about 15 16 yet. 17 COMMISSIONER CLARK: But not only are the 18 horses transient, the people are, too, right? 19 DR. McGIMTY: Oh, yeah. 20 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. 21 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Tell us about the --22 before we move off that, tell us about the --23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'm not moving off that 24 yet. I'm --25 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Oh, I'm sorry.

1	COMMISSIONER CLARK: How long has the Polo
2	Club been operating?
3	DR. MOGINTY: 1993, '94.
4	COMMISSIONER CLARK: 1993. And you've
5	indicated where you're located.
6	DR. MOGINTY: Uh-huh.
7	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Is there another large
8	animal veterinarian around?
9	DR. McGINTY: In Sarasota Polo Grounds?
10	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right.
11	DR. MeGINTY: No.
12	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, you've indicated
13	your concern that people will think it is long
14	distance to call you.
15	DR. MoGINTY: Uh-huh.
16	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask it a
17	different way: Who, besides the people in the Polo
18	Club, where generally are those people located?
19	DR. McGINTY: With the horses that I work
20	on?
21	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes.
22	DR. McGINTY: Venice, North Port, Englewood,
23	Sarasota, Bradenton, Palmetto, Myakka City.
24	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Myakka City, is that a
25	long distance call for you?

DR. MOGINTY: No.

2

COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right.

3

DR. McGIMTY: The part of my practice is -that Polo Club probably represents about 15% of my practice.

5 6

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Good. Give me a sort of estimate of where the other percentages come from.

8 9

10

11

7

DR. McGINTY: I would say -- I would have to guess -- there's a group in Palmetto -- I would have to divide it up approximately a third by the towns; a third in Venice, a third in Sarasota, a third in Bradenton, and then what's left over is North Port and that area.

13

14

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Are the customers you serve in those areas you've just described transient

15

in nature?

17 18

Some of them.

19

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Some of them.

20

in Venice that puts on what are called A rated shows

DR. MCGIMTY: Yes. There is a showgrounds

21

where they'll have 200 horses in for a weekend, okay.

23

And they call -- now, a lot of them use a mobile

phone; They have a mobile phone. They get our number

from some -- which is written down there, and call on

the mobile phone or they'll call from the payphone that's there; and that's where a lot of our emergency work comes from.

commissioner CLARE: One of the things
you've indicated is your concern that people coming
down will think it's long distance and not give you a
call. How do you normally get business? Is it word
of mouth?

DR. MCGINTY: A lot of it.

won't they still be saying you're the person to call and you're located right down there?

DR. MCGINTY: Most of them don't know where we are, I mean, because most of my work is on the farms, okay. But, again, every year, for example -- I keep coming back to this Fox Lea Showgrounds, which is in Venice.

Every winter there's a whole entourage of dressage horses that come down, okay, and some of them come down every year, but a majority of them are transient. I mean, they come down to show not only in this area, but West Palm, and there's a whole Florida show circuit that they come down on. And they come down and they really don't know anybody yet. I mean, we -- I've been doing that work for 12 years, so I

make it a point to be down there. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right. Let me ask you 3 the question differently. If I'm looking for a doctor for myself or even a vet for my animal, I am less likely to use the yellow pages than to ask somebody I 6 know. 7 DR. McGIMTY: Most -- in my practice it's mostly word of mouth, without a doubt. 9 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I would imagine not only do they want to know you're a vet, they want to 10 know --12 DR. MCGINTY: Whether you're good or not. 13 COMMISSIONER CLARK: What your reputation 15 DR. McGIMTY: Right. 16 COMMISSIONER CLARK: So I guess even though you've identified your concern that they'll think it's 17 long distance, that the people who are referring you will, in fact, have that information that you're here, you're nearby and so --21 DR. McGIMTY: Not all of them. 22 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I agree not all of 23 them. But you've been concerned of the impact on your 24 practice.

DR. MCGINTY:

Ī

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And if your practice is word of mouth, do you still have that concern?

DR. MOGINTY: If my practice what?

commissioner CLARK: If your practice, a good deal of it, is word of mouth. I think you have identified the concern about loss of revenue to you. Can you sort of estimate what that would be, given the fact that --

DR. McGIMTY: Loss of revenue?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Yes.

DR. MCGINTY: I don't know.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Percentage-wise.

DR. McGINTY: I don't know. I wish I could.

Let me say this: I'm very concerned about it, and the reason is I'm not talking about people that come down here and their horse becomes lame, okay, it's limping for some reason and they need to find somebody. I'm going to get that, a majority of that work, by word of mouth. But it's the calls at 10:00, 11:00 at night where a horse is colicky, okay, which is where you've got an emergency type situation. Then if somebody thinks that that's a long -- I wouldn't call somebody long distance.

commissioner CLARK: I see. It is the emergency type call where they would be evaluating how

quick you could get there.

DR. McGINTY: Right.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

DR. McGINTY: And that leads on to, "Well, geez, he's really sick now, but yesterday he was limping, can you come back tomorrow and see why he's limping?"

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Isn't there some way,
Mr. Scobie, to have his phone accept those long
distance charges from anyone that would call? Do you
have something in place like that?

WITHESS SCOBIE: Accept long distance calls?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Yeah, so the caller

would not identify it as a long distance call, and

you're going to get the bill --

DR. McGINTY: Oh, I get the bill.

commissioner GARCIA: -- but the caller doesn't recognize it's long distance. Because I think -- I may be mistaken, but I do believe that I've used phones like that where I'm calling somewhere and the receiver is -- it's almost like a 1-800 number, but it's a more limited scope because it has a local -- you know, it's only for a local long distance.

WITHESS SCOBIE: Well, there's foreign

exchange service that's an offering like that, which would basically be a physical Sarasota number run physically back, or could be a Venice number physically run back over a cable pair, over a pair of wires back to his premise, okay.

To differentiate from remote call forwarding is basically a number in a central office that just reoriginates calls. A foreign exchange service is actually a physical two-way line working in a foreign exchange, a different exchange than the one you're physically located in.

Customers calling would -- the call would go through and be answered at his physical location. He would pay the foreign exchange service charges plus foreign exchange usage charges. That's substantially more expensive, though, than --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Than the call forwarding.

WITHESS SCOBIE: -- than the remote call forwarding.

is -- one of his concerns is he not have more than one number.

DR. McGINTY: Right. Right. Well, and the

other thing is also -- I guess I can mention it -- is at nighttime I have an answering service, okay, so I 3 have to have call forwarding on my -- from my phone to the answering service. Then all of a sudden we get all these multiple call forwarding things --5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: You have a concern it 7 won't come through --8 DR. MCGINTY: Yeah. I like -- keep it 9 simple. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I had one more 10 question about growth. How do you see that area 11 growing? 12 13 DR. McGIMTY: Rapidly. 14 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Do you see a -- you tried to point out in your questioning Mr. Scobie that University Parkway is the natural border. Do you see 17 that into the future being that way? DR. McGIMTY: A natural border between the 18 19 two of them? COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Yes. I want you to --20 21 DR. MOGINTY: Yes. Oh, yes, yeah. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I want you to place 22 yourself in the dilemma that this Commission finds itself, as well as GTE, because I think that their

interest is to try to serve the customers in that area

and the future customers. So they're trying to look into the future as we're trying to look into the future. What do you think is going to happen in that 3 area? Do you think --4 DR. McGIMTY: I think that the whole area 5 north of -- Shroeder Manatee Ranch is 20-some thousand 6 7 acres, and they're going to develop it all over the next 20 years --9 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Tell me --DR. McGIMTY: Okay. I'm sorry. 10 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Point it out for me 11 just so I know. You go off the map --12 13 DR. MCGINTY: This is part of Shroeder Manatee Ranch. This is obviously I'm speaking, 14 15 because nobody told me anything, okay. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right. 16 17 DR. McGINTY: But that's a 20-some thousand acre ranch that they're going to develop, okay. 18 However, because the zoning is different in Sarasota and Manatee County -- Sarasota right now hesitates on 20 21 letting anybody develop on less than a 5-acre lot. This area here, I just flew over it over the 22 23 weekend, and, I mean, it's mostly swamp, so I don't 24 think there's going to be a whole lot of developing in

25

here. (Indicating)

Back down in here there's big pits. I know right here there's going to be a big three or 400-acre lake, because they're going to make a pit first and dig all the dirt. So this is going to be residential. This right here is not going to be residential. I mean, they're going to be this sort of thing here, and the Polo Club will always be the Polo Club.

(Indicating)

What goes on out here, as I said, I know

What goes on out here, as I said, I know that there's going to be a big lake there, but, you know, this will never reflect the growth that's up here. (Indicating). Again that's the veterinarian talking.

monopolized you, and I have to let the attorneys ask you questions, and I'll start with you Ms. Caswell.

MS. CASWELL: I think actually you covered most of them, but I do have a few.

## CROSS EXAMINATION

## BY MS. CASWELL:

- Q I want to make sure we understand what your concern is. And the way that I understand it, it's that people will think you're farther away if it's a total number; is that right?
  - A (Witness nodding head.)

Q And pinpointing the concern further, it's mostly the emergency calls; is that right, as well that you're concerned about?

A It'd be the emergency calls and people -new clients, new people. I mean, the horse community
is a weird community. I guess I'm part of it. But
yeah, new clients.

You know, if somebody moves into this

town -- and there's growth all the time -- they move
into this town and they do use the yellow pages

because they don't know other horse owners. They

don't know what's going on. They're going to look,
you know, for the closest veterinarian. They might

not like him, or they might call me and not like me

and go to someplace else, but I certainly would like
to have the first shot and not say, "Well, this guy is
a Bradenton number; I'm way down in Venice; you know,
if I do have an emergency, I'd rather find somebody

more local."

- Q Okay. And if you had the remote call forwarding, that would reflect as a local number in the directory, right?
  - A I don't know. That all confuses me.
- Q Okay. Do you know what percentage of your practice is emergency calls?

1	A If you ask my wife, she would say 90%.
2	Quite a number. I mean, I average work probably 60 to
3	70 hours a week, so anything over 40 would be an
4	emergency.
5	Q And breaking that down further, do you know
6	what percentage of calls come from Venice, Nort Port,
7	Englewood?
8	A No; no, I don't. I don't keep records like
9	that.
10	Q This client list, is this existing
11	customers? These are repeat customers?
12	A Uh-huh.
13	Q And how much of your practice would be
14	repeat business?
15	A Oh, I think a lot of it.
16	Q Could you maybe quantify that?
17	A I'm not I've never kept records like
18	that.
19	Q Do you know on average how many new
20	customers you get each month?
21	A If I put the wrong piece of paper in here, I
22	might. (Indicating) Yeah, I'd say about it comes
23	out on a computer, and it has on the top of it, you
24	know, the gross amount that was done and number of new

whether that's just for me, or Copeland and I. Copeland is my partner. 3 So you just have one partner? Yeah. And of those new customers, could you break 5 those down in proportion of Venice, Englewood and North Port? 7 8 Only in -- no. For example, I do know that in Nort Port, Nort Port Estates, which was really 9 10 undeveloped but they've put the roads in, that's 11 starting to be developed now, and that is 5-acre lots. So I do know that my practice is growing in that area. 12 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: When you say 5-acre 13 lots, the tendency there is not residential areas as 14 much as residential ranch type areas --15 DR. MCGIMTY: I think the horrible word is 16 "ranchette". I mean, you build a house and you put a 17 barn on it. But, yeah, it's something obviously that 18 you can have a horse or two horses on there. And, 19 20 see, even these lists I have here -- these are the lists of the people; that's not a list of the horses. 21 22 Most people have more than one horse. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And horses do not use 23 ISDN. 24 DR. McGIMTY: No, and they don't read

1	either.
2	COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Contrary to public
3	thought.
4	Q (By Ms. Caswell) From your testimony, I
5	understand that your practice, or your partnership,
6	was formed 12 years ago; is that right?
7	A Uh-huh.
8	Q How long have you been in your current
9	location?
10	A I think about three or four years. Well,
11	no. Four years maybe, somewhere around there.
12	Q And where were you before that?
13	A We were absolutely mobile. In Sarasota.
14	Q In Sarasota.
15	A Right, but it was just a it was mostly my
16	partner's office. I mean, his garage, because we did
17	no work at all. It was all mobile. Now that we have
18	this place we do bring horses in to
19	Q I see. In all this time have you been
20	serving the Venice horse show?
21	A Uh-huh.
22	Q And did your customers follow you to the new
23	location? Did you get a new phone number when you
24	moved?

1	Q And did they still call you when you got
2	that new phone number?
3	A You mean from four years ago?
4	Q Well, I think the horse show has been going
5	on
6	A No, no, no. The horse show changes every
7	month. It's not the same people.
8	Q Oh, okay.
9	A Every weekend. In other words, when they
10	have a horse show, it's for a weekend and they're
11	gone. Then there will be another horse show comes in
12	two or three weeks later. It's not a continuous
13	Q But I thought I had read that you were the
14	vet on call for the show.
15	A Right. The owner of the thing has asked us.
16	Q Okay.
17	A And then she puts our name there.
18	Q And your number?
19	A Right. Now, if the horse show calls us, the
20	ground calls us, they'll that's one group that
21	calls. Then the individual horse owners, also.
22	Q But they would probably get your name and
23	number from something posted on the grounds; is
24	that
25	A Yeah, uh-huh.

1	Q And you kept that business when you got you
2	new number. Would that be correct to say?
3	A Uh-huh.
4	Q Did you notice any unusual increase in your
5	business from Englewood at about this time two years
6	ago?
7	A Did I notice any increase from Englewood?
8	Q In your business about two years ago from
9	Englewood.
ro	A No, I
11	Q And I'll tell you why. Before that time,
L2	calls from Englewood were toll calls, and then they
L3	became ECS calls. So I was just wondering if
L4	A I have no idea.
L5	Q Okay.
L6	A I mean, I have no idea either way.
L7	Q I don't know if I asked you about how many
L8	customers were on that list. Was it about 300, you
L9	said?
50	A I figure there was 45 on a page.
21	Q I counted them, too, and I think it would
22	probably be about 300.
23	A Yeah, about; some of which I hope I never
24	see again. (Laughter)
5	O As I understand your testimony, you're

opposed to a ballot because this case doesn't involve
a normal neighborhood.

A Yeah.

O But now -- and I think we've sort of

Q But now -- and I think we've sort of clarified something at the hearing that some of the customers that will be balloted will be above the Parkway, north of the parkway. Do you still hold the same opinion about balloting, that we shouldn't do it, even though there are residential customers involved?

A Well, I mean, you threw me for a loop,
because you were talking about people in Bradenton
having a Sarasota number, and this whole ballot that
I'm talking about was people in Sarasota having a
Bradenton number.

Q But do you understand that the affected customers from the ballot will be both north and south of the Parkway, and the customers north will be in that development, the residential customers? Do you understand that they will be balloted too?

- A Yeah. How did that change?
- Q I can't testify, but I don't -COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think it's -COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Scobie can.
  MS. CASWELL: I'm not aware that it did

25 change.

DR. MCGINTY: I mean, why was this -- well, this thing first brought up to me said that it was 3 south of University. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, as I understood what Mr. Scobie said, was that office, that subscriber 5 line carrier you were being served from, no longer had -- it was running out of capability to serve the growth both south in the corporate park and north in the development north of --9 10 DR. McGINTY: Well, I understand that, but when the paper first came to me, why didn't they 11 include that thing? 12 13 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Because there was nobody there yet to include, and for engineering -14 15 DR. McGIMTY: Last year? 16 COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- practices they 17 needed to make -- good engineering practices dictated they consolidate those lines. They consolidated them 18 and turned them to serve them out of a remote switch, 19 and that kind of engineering decision has dictated 20 21 that you have more people to ballot now. 22

DR. McGINTY: Uh-huh. Okay. Getting back to your question, okay. I still contend that the Sarasota Polo Club is a transient population.

Q (By Ms. Caswell) Right.

23

24

1	A The
2	Q If we excluded the Polo Club from the
3	ballot, would that be acceptable to you to do a ballot
4	without the Polo Club because they're transients?
5	A I'm in the Polo Club.
6	Q Well, let's include you, and because you
7	are permanent. You're permanent in the Polo Club, as
8	I understand it.
9	A Uh-huh.
10	Q But if we excluded these people that you're
11	saying are transient and just balloted the residential
12	customers
13	A Well, I don't
14	Q in the area
15	A think that would be fair
16	Q permanent business
17	A That wouldn't be fair.
18	Q Well, I'm asking you if that would be
19	acceptable to you?
20	A Well, I see where you're going with it, and
21	I'm not and I don't know. I mean, I'm still kind
22	of upset
23	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Dr. McGinty, I'm not
24	sure I see where she's going with it, but explain to
25	me why you think it wouldn't be fair.

DR. McGIMTY: Because these people that they have added that are in the Bradenton area are not going to change their numbers because they just got them.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I don't think they would have to change their numbers.

5

7

8

9

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

DR. McGINTY: I think he said that they would.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: If they go the direction that Mr. McGinty wants it to go, that he wants to stay in the Sarasota exchange, those customers would have to change their numbers, would they not, Mr. Scobie?

WITHESS SCOBIE: Again, if -- what we would propose to do, if the ballot failed or if the ballot did not go forward, would be to change the boundary from University Parkway to somewhere up here north to include the existing customers in Sarasota exchange the way they're currently being served today. So they would not have to have a number change.

If the boundary was never going to change, yes, these people would have to take a number change if you didn't let the ballot go forward.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. McGinty -- if I can interrupt, Ms. Caswell. What do you do -- where 1 do people that are in the Sarasota Polo Club do their 2 shopping?

DR. McGINTY: Where they live, and that's not Sarasota Polo Grounds. There are apartments that are back here. That's where they do their shopping. For the people that -- when I shop, back in here.

(Indicating) I mean, to get lunch stuff, back here. And there is a shopping mall center on both sides of University, but closer into town.

COUNTSSIONER GARCIA: Do me a favor

Mr. McGinty -- well, you already sat down -- but tell

me where you'd draw the line.

DR. McGINTY: I'd keep it on the University
Parkway. I mean, one -- I don't know. Is the idea of
having one -- this is a question I have for GTE. Is
the idea of having one exchange for that area -- I
mean, somebody said that the idea of that was so
people didn't have to dial four -- would only have to
dial four numbers as opposed to seven numbers to make
a telephone -- is that going to happen in that area?

WITHESS SCOBIE: It could, and I believe that there's been some talk with the development to do that, to allow that to happen.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: So, Mr. Scobie, the developer wants to sort of emphasize the community

nature of this, and by doing that, by their interest in having it so when you're in the community all you have to do is dial those -- is it four numbers or is it --

## DR. MCGINTY: Four --

WITHESS SCORE: Would be dialing four digit. They could dial four-digit dialing, yes.

COMMISSIONER CLARE: And if that line of demarcation between the two exchanges were University Parkway, they couldn't do that down into the corporate park?

WITHESS SCOBIE: That's correct.

park are not shopping malls or barber shops or anything else like that. I mean, it's not where people in the north side, I mean, above University Parkway, are going to shop.

## COMMISSIONER CLARK: Ms. Caswell?

- Q (By Ms. Caswell) So are you opposed to the ballot because you believe that customers north of the parkway will have a different interest than you do?
- a Uh-huh. You know, even if you go to the area north of that area, then you're getting to residential places. I'm not residential. They're not

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	going to they're not interested in their calling
2	scope to Venice, and I am terribly interested in it
3	because it affects my business. But if I were living
4	in that area up there, it wouldn't make any difference
5	to me.
6	Q Well, do you think they should have any say
7	where the exchange boundary is, those residential
8	customers up there and the business customers?
9	A Do you think they should have
10	Q Yeah.
11	A the say-so
12	Q Do you think they should have some say in
13	where the boundary
14	A Well, I think the boundary should stay where
15	it is, so therefore there wouldn't be any
16	Q So they should not have any say in
17	A Well, I wouldn't have any say in it either.
18	Q As I understand your original protest, it
19	didn't raise any issues concerning the change to toll
20	calling, did it?
21	A No.
22	Q And it was particularly about the number
23	change, wasn't it?
24	A You confused me. I thought you were going
25	to talk about the change of a letterhead, which is a

different story I --Yeah, that's a different -- yeah. And that 2 3 is what I'm getting at, that I think your original protest was a little different and it didn't raise any 5 of the issues we're talking about now, did it? No, it did not. 6 7 And were you not concerned about those 8 issues at that --Didn't even think about them --9 -- time --10 11 -- at the time. The thing about the 12 letterhead and stuff came from my partner. When the 13 letter came in I said, "Look, let's look what we have 14 to deal with." And he said, "Oh, that's going to cost 15 us money in changing the letterhead and stuff." 16 0 Have you --17 That's what started it. Have you done all those changes yet? 18 19 No, I haven't yet. 20 And do you know -- and I think -- the way I 21 understand remote call forwarding -- do you know that if you have the remote call forwarding service, you 22 23 won't have to change those -- the stationary? 24 I don't know. But which is going to be more

expensive? Changing the stationary, or the remote

call forwarding?

Q I think we had some testimony about the remote call forwarding cost, and as I understand, it's \$16 a month plus usage.

commissioner CLARK: I think that it's fair to say that's the rate, but he doesn't know what it would cost him.

- Q (By Ms. Caswell) In usage charges?
- A Right.

commissioner JACOBS: I'm sorry. It sounds like there were about at least better than a third, approaching two-thirds, of your calls on Venice base, and much of that is emergency calls. So that's probably going to be the largest part of the traffic that you would incur this usage charge from.

DR. McGIMTY: From Venice?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: It sounds like, from what I understood --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: If he changed -DR. McGINTY: And you --

commissioner Jacobs: If you enlist in the remote call forwarding, Venice would not -- and what I'm saying is based on your usage pattern. It sounds like your usage pattern is that you get a third and perhaps as much as two-thirds of your traffic comes

DR. McGINTY: About a third, yeah.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: So you now incur a

usage charge --

from that area.

DR. McGIMTY: Right.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: -- on that on traffic.

DR. McGINTY: Uh-huh. And not -- yes. And not only that, a lot of times -- I mean, people call my -- I would say that I probably get 20 to 30 calls into my office a day that don't require me. They're just people asking questions all the time.

**COMMISSIONER JACOBS:** That come from that area?

DR. MCGINTY: That come -- yeah -- well, no; that comes from the whole area. So I don't know. 10 or 15 a day easily.

- Q (By Ms. Caswell) If you know where your calls are coming from, you could analyze how much the remote call forwarding will cost you, couldn't you?
  - A I would assume so. I don't know.

commissioner GARCIA: Could the company do that for him, Mr. Scobie? Could the company take his traffic presently, analyze his traffic, and tell Mr. McGinty on average what it would cost him to have this service installed?

1	WITNESS SCOBIE: Since we don't capture the
2	local usage today I mean, we don't have that
3	captured to do that analysis, unlike the EAS areas,
4	like the
5	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: You can do it you
6	can usually do an analysis. I mean, if I was the FBI
7	and I called you and I wanted to know everyone who had
8	called Mr. McGinty, you'd be able to tell me, wouldn't
9	you?
10	COMMISSIONER CLARK: You wouldn't have the
11	duration
12	WITHESS SCOBIE: All we
13	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No, you wouldn't have
14	duration
15	WITHESS SCOBIE: Now, only if the FBI called
16	me and told me to put it I want from this day
17	forward, tell me everybody that called
18	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No, I don't think so.
19	I think you need
20	WITHESS SCOBIE: Well, then I can't answer
21	the question then.
22	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Yeah, that could
23	you check, then? Let's
24	WITHESS SCODIE: Sure.
25	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: do let me

request it. If you can't do it, you can't do it. WITHESS SCOBIE: Okay. 2 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Could you give 3 Mr. McGinty an analysis of his calling patterns so that you know -- so he can have an idea where it's coming from? I understand what you're saying, but I'm pretty certain that your computers capture where the calls are coming from. They may not capture duration because you don't need it, but they do capture where 10 those calls are coming from. 11 WITHESS SCOBIE: I will check and see. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. Thank you. 12 appreciate that. 13 (By Ms. Caswell) Mr. McGinty --14 Q COMMISSIONER CLARK: Just a minute. I need 15 to get what it is. An analysis of --COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Of Mr. McGinty's --17 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Of Dr. McGinty's --18 Bill. 19 DR. MCGIMTY: COMMISSIONER GARCIA: -- incoming calls. 20 21 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me just ask a question. Is that okay with you? 22 What? I don't know. DR. MOGINTY: 23 not -- you know, I thought -- even when I said it I

was thinking are they going to come and tell me

1	everybody I called here, and I'm going to be really
2	upset.
3	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No, they're not going
4	to tell you everyone you called; they're going to tell
5	you everybody who called you.
6	COMMISSIONER CLARE: Well, I think when they
7	retrieve that they'll get the same thing, but I'm not
8	sure. I mean
9	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: If you don't want it,
10	that's fine.
11	DR. McGINTY: Well, before it's let me
12	talk to my partner about that, also.
13	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well, if you have a
14	question I'm doing it just so that you have a
15	concept of what ballpark
16	DR. MOGINTY: Oh, I understand. I
17	understand.
18	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'm going to delete
19	that as an exhibit. We're not going to require
20	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I'm sure that they
21	may
22	DR. MCGINTY: I figured they already had it.
23	COMMISSIONER GARGIA: Please delete it.
24	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I know they can capture
25	that information, but they're not supposed to do it

1	unless they have a request by a law enforcement
2	agency, I think. Then they can
3	WITHESS SCODIE: That's correct. Regardless
4	of what Dr. McGinty thinks, we don't have lots of call
5	records sitting around there on every local call
6	that's not rated, it's a flat rate call, like a local
7	or an EAS call.
8	COMMISSIONER CLARK: It's retrievable, but
9	you're not supposed to retrieve it unless you're
10	requested by
11	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right.
12	COMMISSIONER CLARK: people authorized
13	WITNESS SCOBIE: I don't know the answer to
14	that. I assume if you say so, I will check and see
15	if it's retrievable
16	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right.
17	WITHESS SCOBIE: I know it can be captured
18	on a going-forward basis.
19	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Never mind.
20	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. We're not going
21	to request that unless, Dr. McGinty, you would like to
22	have it for your purposes.
23	WITHESS SCOBIE: I want to know the answer,
24	so I can
25	Q (By Ms. Caswell) We've been sort of

shading off into the cost associated with call forwarding, but I want to get back to what I thought was your main concern.

And again I'm going to ask you, your main concern is lost business, is it not? I thought I heard you before you weren't really concerned about the RCF charges or the tolls associated with calls; is that correct?

A My main concern altogether is I don't want the numbers to be changed. I mean, I understand because of the growth in the area that my number had to change from a 355 to a 709 number, whatever it is. That was a pain in the neck.

It's still going on because, you know -- if you have a horse that's sick or a child that's sick or something like that and you call the number and then you get a recording saying that number is changed, that two minutes it takes seems like a half hour, and you take that out on the person that answers the phone who then turns around and talks to me about it. So that's been a problem. I don't want my numbers changed. It's been done. That's fine.

The call forwarding thing to me is simply another thing where it's going to be an added cost to me, and it's going to be another penny underneath the

chair leg, you know, trying to get everything up and even.

I'm worried that people are going to think -- if it's a long distance call, the people are going to say, "Well, this guy is, you know, he's too far away to get here in 20 minutes; I'm going to call somebody else because they're quicker."

I'm worried about people that are moving into the area that see -- that say this is Sarasota Equine, but they may know that it's a Bradenton number, or say, "Oh, no, that's in Bradenton; that's too far." They don't know. I mean, I think that a long distance call means there's a great distance to be traveled, okay.

The call forwarding thing that vou're talking about still comes down to, you know, as I said, the two things. It's going to cost me money. It's going to cost more calls -- I mean, it's -- if the change is made, the people I do have down in Nort Port and that area, it's going to cost them or it's going to cost me more money.

- Q Do you know that those customers will not see an increased cost with the call forwarding?
  - A I have no -- I don't know.
  - Q I think --

1	A I will see it.
2	Q No. Wasn't remote call forwarding explained
3	to you by GTE when we tried to settle the matter with
4	you?
5	A I have that piece of paper in here, yeah,
6	too. If it doesn't cost them, then it costs me.
7	Q Right. And going back to one of your
8	primary concerns, it was you don't want the number to
9	be changed. Do you understand that with remote call
10	forwarding you can still use your old number?
11	A I don't want that number anymore.
12	Q Okay.
13	A I mean, that's since it's been changed, I
14	mean, it's absolutely of no benefit to me. I'm sure
15	you can imagine if I tried to go back to it what a
16	fiasco that would be.
17	Q And do you think with remote call forwarding
18	you'll be forced to use that old number?
19	A I hadn't even thought about it.
20	MS. CASWELL: I think that's all I've got.
21	Thank you, Mr. McGinty.
22	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Staff, do you have any
23	questions?
24	MS. KEATING: Actually, I don't think we

25 have any questions for Dr. McGinty, but along the

lines of the last question that Ms. Caswell asked, we would like to ask a clarification question of Mr. Scobie.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's fine.

Mr. Scobie?

## CHARLES M. SCOBIE

was recalled as a witness on behalf of GTE Florida

Incorporated and, having been duly sworn, testified as
follows:

## FURTHER CROSS EXAMINATION

## BY MS. KEATING:

Q If Dr. McGinty does subscribe to remote call forwarding service, would he be required to advertise under the 355 NXX in the Sarasota exchange, or would he still be using the 907 number which he currently has?

A Well, let me ask for a clarification. If
the area transfers, okay, to Bradenton, he would not
be able to use the 907 for remote call forwarding, his
existing number, because that is his number. It would
be a number in that distant exchange, either in
Sarasota or Venice. It would be one of them, a Venice
or a Sarasota number at that point in time.

DR. McGINTY: So my business would have two

numbers.

withess scobis: Yeah. You would have the existing -- or the previous number for the south county and the current number for the north county -- or for the north part of the area.

Q (By Ms. Reating) I think essentially what I'm asking is, will he have to change his number again to use remote call forwarding? Because he's already had his NXX changed to 907 within the past few months. If he is transferred, will he then have to get another NXX and a separate NXX for the remote call forwarding?

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Can I interject?

WITHESS SCOBIE: Yes. Basically he could use the old number, which he says he doesn't want to use now, but he would have to have a Sarasota number for the remote call forwarding number.

**COMMISSIONER JACOBS:** That goes back to the question I asked you earlier. The question I asked you earlier is that his 355 Sarasota NXX number still exists but is being intercepted; is that --

WITHESS SCOBIE: That's correct.

**COMMISSIONER JACOBS:** So he's not going to get a new -- he will keep that number that's now being intercepted and transfer to 907, and --

WITHESS SCOBIE: That's correct; he could do

that.

commissioner Jacobs: And now it will just be a real number that people will dial, and it will automatically transfer --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I want you to all understand the confusion that Mr. McGinty is worried about. There's an intercept there, so now the new clients who would get the new number are being intercepted by the old number, which is sending them to the new number.

commissioner JACOBS: Right. I got you. I understand that, but I'm getting the impression that he's going to be issued a brand new number, and --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: He may have to --

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: -- I understand --

number if that exchange -- if that exchange is moved into Bradenton; is that --

WITHESS SCOBIE: Are you talking about if the physical area is transferred?

commissioner GARCIA: If the area is transferred as you wish, to the Bradenton exchange, then the problem is that Mr. McGinty can't get his old number, because his old number is busy transferring

his clients to the new number.

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

WITHESS SCORIE: No, he -- what would happen then, if he wanted remote call forwarding --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: It would all go to his number.

WITHESS SCOBIE: Yeah. It would come off of intercept basically, and all calls to 355, whatever the old number was, would be automatically forwarded to the 907, the new number. It would come off intercept and be automatically forwarded. Instead of having to hang up after you were getting the number from directory assistance, hang up and make another call, you take directory assistance out of the middle and automatically forward the calls.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: But the problem Mr. McGinty is concerned about is he's already posted -- I assume, at the yard, they already posted his 907 NXX number there, and simultaneously there's the present edition of the directories still show his 355 number.

> WITHESS SCOBIE: That's correct. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: That's correct? WITHESS SCOBIE: Yes, sir. COMMISSIONER JACOBS: So that's legitimate,

and I think --

1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 1

DR. McGINTY: Yes, confusing. As we sit
here, it's confusing. I mean, so what's happening is
I'm getting -- GTE is asking me to pay money to have
one number for my clients in the south, albeit
whatever number that is, 355 or some new number, and
then for the people to the north to have a different
number for me to have the same kind of service that I
had last year. Is that basically what it comes down
to?

WITMESS SCOBIE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Scobie, before you leave, one of the problems that Mr. McGinty points out, and I accept it, is that if we have a ballot, you guys have sort of --

DR. McGIMTY: Stacked the deck.

commissioner GARCIA: Stacked the deck,
because as each day goes by -- and Mr. McGinty can
never argue that we didn't give him process, because
we certainly have -- but as we go forward every day,
there's more people that are going to be used to the
system who do not wish to switch their numbers;
therefore, he has no chance of winning when you ballot
this. Wouldn't you assume that that's true?

WITHESS SCOBIE: No. It depends on -- again, the customers that have been given the 907

1	numbers, what their community of interest is and what
2	their local where they call. If they call down to
3	Venice, they may not to want change to the Bradenton
4	exchange. I don't know. I can't answer that. I
5	don't know. I don't have a feel for that.
6	MS. CASWELL: Could I have one redirect
7	question after that?
8	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Or recross question.
9	Who are you wanting to ask
10	MS. CASWELL: I'm not sure.
11	WITMESS SCOBIE: You can ask me.
12	MS. CASWELL: I'll ask Mr. Scobie, and then
13	maybe if Mr
14	COMMISSIONER CLARK: That would be
15	MS. CASWELL: Is the problem we're having
16	now the amount of time that's passed since we were
17	ordered to do the ballot?
18	WITHESS SCOBIE: Well, that's certainly one
19	of the I mean, it's just there's growth in the
20	area. It's happening every day, every week, you know.
21	MS. CASWELL: So that if we had done the
22	ballot when we were ordered to, would that have
23	eliminated the problem that Commissioner Garcia is
24	talking about?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: It would have

mitigated --

WITNESS SCOBIE: I think it may have lessened the problem. I don't know. It's just that all the development that has occurred since we filed the tariff has happened north of University Parkway.

DR. McGIMTY: And not south.

withes score: And not south -- well, other than the business development in the corporate part. There has been some business --

MS. CASWELL: Okay. I'm done.

WITHESS SCOBIE: -- development there.

MS. CASWELL: I'm done. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: One final question.

Follow me on this logic. Hypothetical: The boundary change occurs, and under your proposal Dr. McGinty subscribes to the remote call forwarding. He doesn't want to have the 355 number, so it's going to go off of intercept. It goes away, maybe even is assigned to somebody else. He still has his problem, doesn't he?

His problem is that he wants those people in Venice -- even if he subscribes to remote call forwarding, he wants those people in Venice to be able to call 907 and get him immediately and not interpret that as a long distance call, because it doesn't sound

25 like --

question?

WITHESS SCOBIE: When you say immediately, I mean, it's going to be within less than a half a second, I mean, to --

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: No, no, no.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: No, I'm not talking about call times. Sounds like to me he can't get remote call forwarding with a 907.

commissioner CLARK: Mr. Scobie, let me interrupt you again, and it's really the Commissioners that are more at fault. We need to remember to only let one person talk.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: I'm sorry.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: It's all of us.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Do you understand my

number, his 907 number, if the area transfer occurs, okay, could not be a remote call forwarding number down in the Sarasota, Venice, or Nort Port exchange, because remote call forwarding numbers are just that. They are just -- they are a number in a central office that reoriginates calls. They don't have a physical destination or a physical location like his 907 number does. It's attached by a cable pair out to Sarasota

Equine right here in the polo fields. 2 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: All right. I just 3 wanted to clarify that. WITHESS SCOBIE: So with remote call forwarding you have just a number in an exchange that 5 reoriginates all calls to the distant exchange, okay, 7 to the number where they're physically located, which in this case is the 907 number. 9 COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. Dr. McGinty, let's see. 10 11 We were not on the cross-examination of 12 Mr. Scobie. So are there any more questions? DR. McGINTY: Or any more statements that I 13 get to make. I'm the one testifying now, right? 14 15 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Actually, we're on the questioning of you, although it probably hasn't seemed like that. 17 COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Well, he gets 18 redirect. 19 20 COMMISSIONER CLARK: You are at this point allowed to conduct your own redirect examination. 21 is there anything more you want to say? 22 23 DR. McGINTY: Well, the only thing I want to say is there's a horse show going on down in Venice

today. So this morning early I went down there and

put this down there. There's just one, two, three,
four, five -- I would say about 30 people that just
walked by and signed this thing, and they said: "The
undersigned people are showing their horses at Fox Lea
Showgrounds in Venice Florida. They have expressed
concern over calling a long distance telephone number
when in need of emergency veterinary service. They
would be more apt to call a local number, feeling that
they would obtain a more quick response." Showing you
that there are people that believe that.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: We'll identify that as Exhibit 5, and do you have the title on that? Is there a title on that?

DR. McGIMTY: Sarasota Equine Associates.

COMMISSIONER JACOBS: Informal survey.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let's say "Informal

survey." Thank you. We'll take it and make sure you get -- and we'll keep the original, but we'll make sure you have a copy of that. And we'll mark that as Exhibit 5 and we'll admit it in evidence.

(Exhibit 5 marked for identification and received in evidence.)

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask Staff. Any

more questions? Seeing none -- Mr. Cunningham, I've noticed some people have come in. Are they interested 3 in testifying in this matter? MR. CUMMINGHAM: They're interested in 5

making a statement.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CLARK: The two gentlemen that just came in, we understand you'd like to make a statement. This is a proceeding that is on the record, so that I have to ask you to be sworn in, and then you can come forward and provide us with your statements, okay.

You also have to give us your name and your address, if you would. Let me just indicate, you are aware of what this proceeding is about? I can't ask you that question, because you're not up here yet. It's been indicated that you want to make a statement Whoever is going to make that statement, if you would stand and raise your right hand.

(Witness sworn.)

(Pause in proceedings.)

COMMISSIONER CLARK: While he's doing that, is the exhibit over here GTE's exhibit? Where did that come from?

MS. CASWELL: Mike brought it. I don't think we're going to enter it into the record.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I would like it. 1 think it's necessary to make sense of the testimony we have gotten. And we'll mark that as Exhibit 6, and it 3 will be "Lakewood Ranch map," and I will rely on you to get us copies of that. I understand it may be 6 smaller. It may be worthwhile to give that one to the 7 court reporter so we have the big one in evidence. We will mark it as Exhibit 6 and admit it. And in case I wasn't clear, Exhibit 5 is also admitted 9 in the record. 10 (Exhibit 6 marked for identification and 11 received in evidence.) 12 13 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Sir, if you're ready to give us a statement. 15 16 BRAD LINDBERG appeared as a witness and, swearing to tell the truth, 17 testified as follows: 18 19 DIRECT STATEMENT 20 WITMESS LIMDBERG: First of all, do you want my business address or my personal address? 21 22 COMMISSIONER CLARK: It's immaterial to me. 23 WITHESS LINDBERG: My name is Brad Lindberg. My business address is 6111 Porter Way, Sarasota.

am vice-president of operations for TBC, Incorporated.

We're located obviously at 6111 Porter Way.

you.

We're in the process of relocating our facility into the Lakewood Ranch corporate park, which is in Sarasota County, Sarasota County side, for numerous and various reasons.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me just interrupt

(Interruption in hearing room.)

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Go ahead.

is, first of all, we're an international company with offices located throughout the country and one over in Europe. Our printed matter obviously states that we're a Sarasota based company, and so for that reason we have made the choice to move into Lakewood Ranch on the Sarasota side.

Now, for anybody that's ever done any building in Sarasota, it's much more costly to do business in Sarasota than it is in Manatee County, so we've made a financial consideration for doing this for the Sarasota address and also to maintain our telephone numbers.

commissioner GARCIA: Mr. Lindberg, can I ask you a question? Could you point out where your office is going to be?

WITHESS LIMDBERG: Okay. The University 1 2 Parkway . COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Is down. It's the one 3 that runs across -- there it is. 5 WITHESS LINDBERG: Okay. It's the first -actually it's right across the street from Teleflex. 7 Are you familiar with where Teleflex is in the park? COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No. 8 DR. McGIMTY: You're in this area. 9 (Indicating) 10 11 WITHESS LINDBERG: It's in this area over here. DR. McGINTY: South. 13 WITHESS LINDBERG: South of University 14 Parkway. Now, you'll have to bear with me, because I 15 just got back in town, and it was brought to my attention that all of this was going on today, so I 17 18 need to ask a couple of questions. Number one is, as a Sarasota company with a 19 Manatee County exchange, where would someone look for my business? Would they look for it in Manatee County 21 22 in the Bradenton side or the Sarasota side? COMMISSIONER CLARK: Are you asking where 23 would they look for it in the phone book?

WITHESS LINDBERG: Correct.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. We're going to 1 take that down as a question, and I'm going to ask Mr. Scobie to come back up and answer your question. 3 So, Mr. Scobie, if you would keep track of that. WITHESS LINDBERG: Okay. That's my first 5 concern, and I guess that's probably my primary 6 7 concern. And then again --COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let me ask you, what does your company do? 9 WITHESS LINDBERG: We are the second largest 10 11 supplier to the cable television industry for all the products to build cable television systems around the 12 13 world. So, yes, I do have customers in Manatee County, but I only have one, and that's Time Warner. 14 I only have one in Sarasota. That's Comcast. 15 16 Now, the other question is, of course, is there going to be a dividing line on the north side of 17 University Park versus the south side of University 18 Park. 19 20 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Scobie will answer that, too. 21 WITHESS LINDBERG: And then number three is 22 23 I'm in a 371 exchange right now. If I maintain that and these changes don't happen and we're still a 24

Sarasota exchange, do I maintain my telephone number?

Is that going to happen? I may have to change my number anyway. 3 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So your number 4 currently is 371 and four numbers, right? 5 WITHESS LINDBERG: Correct. COMMISSIONER CLARK: And that's because you're currently located at Porter Way. Where is Porter Way? 8 WITMESS LINDBERG: Porter Way is right --COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Porter Way is south of 11 University --WITHESS SCOBIE: Porter Way is the -- is -shares the same road that the Sarasota County zoning department facility is, on Cattlemen. COMMISSIONER CLARK: I understand that to be west of I-75. 16 WITHESS LINDBERG: That is very west, but not much west. If you come out our front door and 18 take a right-hand turn, you'll be in traffic on I-75. 19 20 COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. You have 21 asked three questions, and I'm going to ask Mr. Scobie 22 to come up and answer those questions, and then if you want to pursue more information or give us more 23 information, we'll allow you to do that.

6

7

9

10

12

14

15

17

25

WITHESS SCOBIE: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Scobie is with GTE. 2 WITHESS SCOBIE: As I remember, his first 3 question was, where -- if the transfer occurs, where will customers look for their business. And they will be in the Bradenton exchange directory. They will also be in the Sarasota directory as the Bradenton 7 pages in the EAS portion of the Sarasota directory. They will, in effect, be in both directories. One is an EAS part of the Sarasota directory. COMMISSIONER CLARK: But in the Sarasota 10 directory they will not be intermingled with all the 11 Sarasota numbers; they will be in another section that says Bradenton, and their number will be located 13 14 there. WITHESS SCOBIE: That's correct. 15 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. The next 16 question: If they divided University, what --17 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: What is the 18 dividing --19 COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- what is the impact 20 on where they can call and then the calling scope? 21 WITHESS SCOBIE: If they divided University? 22 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Right. WITHESS SCOBIE: Which is currently where 24

the Sarasota exchange is now.

1

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Correct.

2

WITHESS SCOBIE: There would be no change in their calling scope.

3

9

10

11

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

ultimately occurs.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I understood it to be on a permanent basis if there is the change, then the corporate park in the polo grounds would be part of Sarasota, and that which is above will be part of Bradenton. So that, yes, they will be in separate exchanges.

WITHESS SCOBIE: Yes, but as far as the calling scope of this -- of his business below University Park and, you know, where he will be in the corporate park, there will be no difference in calling scope of where he is now in his 371 exchange.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: But if he remains in the Sarasota exchange for yellow page purposes, he will be listed in Sarasota. That's where his number will be, in the Sarasota directory.

WITHESS SCOBIE: That's correct.

are north of him on University, they would be listed

in the Bradenton part of the Sarasota phone book and

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And those people that

1

3

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CLARK: That's assuming the dividing line is University.

WITHESS SCOBIE: That's correct. If the dividing line is University, yes, they would be in the Bradenton exchange and listed in the Sarasota -- in the EAS section of the Sarasota directory.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask, if it is divided at University -- which is not the plan now -and they locate in that corporate park, would there -and they move and they get a new number, and would their number again change from -- what is it, 907? 970?

WITHESS SCOBIE: It's 907. The number that's being utilized -- the whole NXX for this area is 907.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: And if we were to divide along University, maintain that division for the exchanges, then the people north of University, I assume, would keep the 907, and then we'd have to make some change for the Sarasota Polo Club area and the corporate park in their numbers. They would have to be routed differently than those north, and they would have to have a different NXX.

WITHESS SCOBIE: There would have to be -there would have to be two NXXs to deal with the north

and south split. Which gets which, I mean, has not 2 been determined yet. I mean, from a -- from our 3 standpoint, from an engineering determination, I couldn't say right now until I talked to the engineering planning people of how it would -- who would keep it and would wouldn't. 6 COMMISSIONER CLARK: And he had a third 8 question. Do you remember that? 9 WITMESS SCOBIE: I thought his third question, his 371 number, would he have to take a 10 number change if he moved into the park. 12 WITHESS LINDBERG: The question basically is 13 if we don't make that change, will I maintain the 371 14 exchange? If you only make the change north of 15 University Parkway, at that point would the 371 16 exchange still exist if you maintained the exchange or 17 the system as it is south of University Park? 18 WITHESS SCOBIE: I don't have -- I don't 19 know what's -- I apologize. I don't know which 20 central office 371 in Sarasota works out of. 21 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Lindberg, do you 22 currently have 371 at the location you're at?

WITMESS LIMDBERG: At corporate park?

WITHESS SCOBIE: He's not in the corporate

23

24

25

park yet.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: No. He's on the west side. And you have that number now. Are you asking 2 if you move into corporate park can you keep this 371 number? WITMESS LINDBERG: If things are status quo, if things don't change. In other words, the change is 7 from the north side of University Parkway, and everything is status quo south of University Parkway. COMMISSIONER CLARK: I believe if you move, you're going to get a change in --10 11 WITHESS SCOBIE: Yeah. I believe he's in a different -- even if status quo here, I believe he is 12 in a different central office in the 371 than he would 13 14 be on the east side of the interstate. (Indicating) So he would have to take a number change even if 16 status quo to move into the park. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Do you want to follow 17 18 up and ask him a question --WITHESS SCOBIE: The alternative --19 20 COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- so you understand 21 it? 22 The alternative there, WITHES SCORIE: there is a service called foreign central office 23 service, which does have a charge, to carry the

facility from what -- his central office he's in now

out to the new location. 2 COMMISSIONER CLARK: It actually goes back 3 to the central --WITNESS SCOBIE: Yes. 5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- office and comes 6 back again. 7 WITHESS SCOBIE: Yes, it does. WITHESS LINDBERG: That answers my question. 8 9 Now I can state my objection. 10 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. 11 WITHESS LINDBERG: We are a Sarasota company. We had plans and had to maintain a Sarasota address that is known throughout this country and the 13 world as one of our premiere locations, and for us to 15 pay taxes in Sarasota, run our business in Sarasota, and be charged all of the impact fees to build this 17 facility in Sarasota, my objection is that I don't 18 want to be listed as a Manatee company in the phone book. I deserve the same right for what I'm paying 19 20 all the other expenses for. 21 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Any questions of 22 Mr. Lindberg? 23 MS. CASWELL: No, I don't think so. 24 you. 25 MS. KEATING: Staff has none.

1	COUNTSSIONER CLARK! Thank you for coming
2	down.
э	DR. MGGINTY: I second.
4	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think that
5	COUNTSSIONER GARCIA: Can I ask a question?
6	Do you expect more people to show up today at our
7	hearing at 6:00? Would you even know?
8	WITHESS LINDBERG: I have no idea.
9	COMMISSIONER CLARK: How did you find out
10	about it?
11	WITNESS' LIMDBERG: How did I find about
12	that? That good looking gentleman behind me is the
13	landlord of this building. He's also my father, so we
14	have a strong working relationship on this whole
15	thing. But we are an international company, and that
16	is my number one concern.
17	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. McGinty, do you
18	expect more people to testify or you think
19	DR. McGINTY: I'm surprised he's here.
20	(Laughter)
21	WITHESS LINDBERG: Understanding that there
22	are not that many lots sold out there yet, and so this
23	move, obviously you're not going to get a lot of
24	protest, because unless the trees start protesting out
25	there, there's nobody to protest.
45	

commissioner chark: Mr. Lindberg, let me indicate to you that the reason -- as I understand it, the reason we're even pursuing this is the developer has asked that that area be treated as one community, and so maybe you want to talk to the developer about what he's requested.

developer's concerns, but the developer -- if you have had the opportunity to go out there, there is not a sign going into the corporate park because the rules and regulations for dealing in Sarasota County's business requires certain forms to be filled out; and the sign had to be removed.

Sarasota still is going to govern that piece of property, and I appreciate what the phone company is trying to do here, but it is Sarasota County, and that's where we maintain our business, and that's where I want to maintain my identity throughout this whole process.

commissioner CLARK: I just wanted to indicate to you that the developer has asked for it sort of to have one identity. So you might want to indicate to him that's not necessarily what you're looking for.

WITHESS LINDBERG: Okay. I'd be happy to do

that. Thank you. 2 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I guess all we have left is to close. But I'd like to ask Staff that we 3 really think about -- you're not going to testimony -testify, sir, are you? 5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 6 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Yes. 7 (Simultaneous comments.) 8 9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, unless there's a 10 question or something that --11 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: COMMISSIONER CLARK: Wait a minute --12 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: 13 I just --14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- concerned me. COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Garcia always does 15 this. He wants to ask people in the audience, and we 16 17 need --18 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No. COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- those people to come 19 20 here. So just let --21 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No. 22 COMMISSIONER CLARK: -- the record indicate 23 that Mr. Lindberg's father-in-law, or father --24 WITHESS LINDBERG: Father, senior 25 Mr. Lindberg.

COMMISSIONER CLARE: -- did not indicate he was going to testify at this hearing.

Staff that we be very careful when we draft this. I think the company might have gotten ahead of itself here. And I think we have to be careful when we ballot this what exactly we're balloting, because there is, for me, a real question in the way that this remote office was designed and the way it presents this picture that is far different from the geographic boundaries that currently exist there.

And I -- obviously we've seen the information that's before us. There's still some late-filed exhibits that have to come in, but I am -- I, like Mr. McGinty, am a bit perplexed at the logic of it. Hopefully, when I sit down and look at it all maybe it'll make more sense. But clearly I think that geographic -- that political boundaries tend to be understood by people, and we should try to stay along those for future growth.

commissioner CLARE: Any other questions? I need to indicate that we will -- the late-filed exhibits, if the Staff can indicate to me when we should have them provided; and I need to understand from GTE if they can provide them within the time set

out.

2

Staff, what do we usually require for late-filed exhibits?

4

MS. KEATING: Two weeks generally.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Two weeks from now is

6

what date?

7

8

April 16, so that would be fine with us.

9

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Excuse me?

10

MS. KEATING: April 16th would be fine with That's the same date that the briefs are due.

11 12

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, it doesn't give anyone the opportunity to object to them, so we will require the late-filed exhibits to be filed

14 15

April 10th. We see no objection from GTE.

16

that those late-filed exhibits will be given to you.

17 18

If you object to having them included in the record,

19

you need to let us know, and they will not be included

I would like to indicate to you Mr. McGinty

20

21

With that, I'd like to indicate we will adjourn this hearing at this time, but we will

22

reconvene at 6:00 for the purpose of taking any

23

further public testimony, if any. Thank you all and

25

I'll see Staff and Commissioners again.

if you object to them.

And let me indicate, Dr. McGinty, you do not 1 have to be here for that part of the hearing, but of course you're welcome. DR. MOGINTY: Are you going to serve food? (Laughter) 5 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Not unless you're 6 7 bringing it. Thank you very much. (Recess at 1:30 p.m.) 9 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let's go back on the record for the portion of the hearing that's continued at 6:00, which is the service hearing. And, 11 Ms. Keating, if you would please read the notice 13 again. 14 MS. KEATING: By notice issued 15 February 25th, 1998, this time and place have been set for a hearing in Docket No. 970990-TL, the proposed tariff filing by GTE Florida to transfer a portion of the Sarasota exchange into the Bradenton exchange. 19 The purpose is as set forth in the notice. 20 COMMISSIONER CLARK: We'll take appearances. 21 MS. CASWELL: Kim Caswell, GTE Florida, One Tampa City Center, Tampa, Florida, 33601. 22 23 MS. KEATING: Beth Keating appearing for Commission Staff. 24

COMMISSIONER CLARE:

1 housekeeping things I want to take care of.

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

Apparently the newspaper had indicated that the

3 hearing would take place from 10:00 until 6:00 rather

4 than 10:00 and 6:00, and apparently while we were gone

some customers did stop by and left a note for us.

Because we are not able to question them and have them under oath, we will simply put this on the correspondence side of the record. It is a note from Lakewood Ranch residents Joan Fortner, Beth Hibbs,

Joanne Dain, Rimma Scull, and Lorraine McDermott, and I'm going to turn that over to the Staff to make sure it gets on the correspondence side of the record and that the participants in this proceeding have copies.

For the record, I'm going to note there is no one here from the public to testify. The only other thing I'm going to do is read the late-filed exhibits that we expect GTE to file, and then we'll adjourn the hearing.

The late-filed exhibits I have are:

Number 1 is the Florida Trend article on planned

communities, which you referred to Mr. Scobie. The

second one is a cost analysis for decision to put in

the remote switch. Number 3 is the least cost

alternative to serve the Polo Club and the area that's

south of University Parkway and southeast from the

Sarasota exchange, and the fourth late-filed exhibit is how customers are served on Fruitville Road; and that's what I have as the four late-filed exhibits.

MS. CASWELL: For Exhibit 5 I have the least cost alternative to serve just the Polo Club from the Sarasota exchange, and then Late-filed 4, I have how GTE serves Fruitville Road and south and southeast of the Polo Club.

Number 3 as the least cost alternative, but Number 5 was the informal survey. Is not a late-filed exhibit, and it is admitted. It was the informal survey that we got from Dr. McGinty, and Exhibit 6 was the Lakewood Ranch map that was off the display board that I understand that you will submit to the court reporter and make sure everybody has reduced copies of it. And when I say you, I mean you, Mr. Scobie.

I note that there is no one here from the public to speak. I think we will wait until 15 after, and then we will adjourn the hearing. So we'll just stand in informal recess until 6:15.

(Brief recess.)

COMMISSIONER CLARK: We're going to conclude

the informal recess. I note there is still no one

from the public here, so we will adjourn the hearing at this time. Thank you. (Thereupon, the hearing concluded at 6:17 p.m.) 

STATE OF FLORIDA) CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON I, H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR, Official 3 Commission Reporter, DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the Hearing in Docket No. 970990-TL was heard by the Florida Public Service Commission at the time and place herein stated; it is further 7 CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript, consisting of 183 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings and the insertion of the prescribed prefiled testimony of 10 the witness. 11 DATED this 2nd day of April, 1998. 12 13 H. RUTHE POTAMI, CSR, RPR 14 Official Commission Reporter (904) 413-6734 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24