	1				
1 2	FLORIDA	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE	COMMISSI	ои	
3	In the Ma	: tter of	DOCKET	NO.	980119-TP
4	Compleint of Cupre	mologommund.			
5	Complaint of Supra cations & Informat Inc. against BellS	ion Systems, :			
6	Telecommunications			- 1	4444
7	violation of the To cations Act of 1966 for resolution of	6; Petition :	w.	41.5	10 TE 100
8	to implementation a pretation of inter-	and inter- :		1.	
9	resale and collocate agreements; and perements.				
10	emergency relief.		-		- 2 CE
11		WOLUME 2		-	STATE OF THE PARTY
12		VOLUME 2			
13	Pa	ges 173 through	h 356		
14	PROCEEDINGS:	HEARING			
15	BEFORE:	COMMISSIONER			SON
16		COMMISSIONER I			BS, JR.
17	DATE:	Thursday, Apr	il 30, 19	98	
18	TIME:	Concluded at 6			
19					
20	PLACE:	Room 152 4075 Esplanade		e Ce	enter
21		Tallahassee,			
22	REPORTED BY:	JOY KELLY, CSI Chief, Bureau	•	ting	ı
23	APPEARANCES:				
24	(As horotofor	o noted \			
25	(As heretofore	e notea.)			
I	I				

7				
1		INDEX		
2				
3		WITNESSES - VOLUME 2		
4	NAME		PAGE	E NO.
5	BRADE	FORD HAMILTON		
6		Direct Examination By Ms. Summerlin		175
7		Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted		177
8		Prefiled Amended Direct Testimony Inserted		189
9		Cross Examination By Ms. White Cross Examination By Mr. Bowman		215 227
10		Redirect Examination By Ms. Summerlin		229
11	PATRI	ICK FINLEN		
		Direct Examination By Ms. White		233
12		Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony Inserted		237 254
13		Cross Examination By Ms. Summerlin Cross Examination By Ms. Keating		279 303
14		Redirect Examination By Ms. White		305
15	W. KE	EITH MILNER		
16		Direct Examination By Ms. White Prefiled Direct Testimony Inserted		312 316
17		Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony Inserted		327
18		Prefiled Supplemental Rebuttal Insert	ed	344
19		EXHIBITS - VOLUME 2		
20	NUMBE		ID.	ADMTD.
21	None		ID.	ADMID.
22	9	BH-1 through 14 2	14	
23	10	Bradford Hamilton's 2 deposition	14	
25	11	Late-filed deposition 2 exhiits to B. Hamilton's deposition	15	

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	EXHIB:	ITS CONTINUED:	ID	ADMITTED
3	12	Statement of B. Hamilton of 9-9-97	223	
4	9 thr	ough 12		233
5	13	PCF-1, 2 and 3	235	
6	14	PCF-4, 5 and 6	236	
7	15	CLEC Training Brochure	279	
8	16	Lesson 8 Overview and Objectives	302	
9	17	Patrick Finlen's deposition	303	
10	18	Responses to Supra's Interrogatories and POD	303	
12	13 th:	rough 18		308
13	19	Deposition transcript of J. C. Bledsoe	309	
14 15	20	Deposition Transcript of Stanley Sansing	310	
16	21	Deposition transcript of Cynthia Arrington	310	
17	22	Deposition transcript of Teresa Gentry	310	
19	23	Deposition transcript of	310	
20	24	Juan Cordobes Deposition transcript of	311	
21	24	Stephanie Hurt	311	
22	25	Deposition transcript of Wayne Carnes	311	
23	26	Deposition transcript of Raul Mendoza	311	
25	27	Deposition transcript of Ron Owen	312	

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

- 1	
1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(Transcript follows in sequence from
3	Volume 2.)
4	
5	BRADFORD HAMILTON
6	was called as a witness on behalf of Supra
7	Telecommunication & Information Systems, Inc. and,
8	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION
LO	BY MS. SUMMERLIN:
11	Q Mr. Hamilton, are you the Bradford Hamilton
12	who prefiled direct testimony in this proceeding of 12
L3	pages?
14	A Yes, I am.
15	Q And prefiled Amended Direct Testimony of 24
16	pages?
17	A Yes, I am.
L8	Q Did you prefile Exhibits BH-1 through BH-14?
L9	A Yes, I did.
20	Q Did you prepare these exhibits or were they
21	prepared under your supervision?
22	A I prepared both cases. Some of them were
23	prepared for me and I prepared some of them.
24	Q So it's yes?
25	A Yes.

MS. SUMMERLIN: I ask that Mr. Hamilton's prefiled direct testimony be inserted into the record as though read. COMMISSIONER DEASON: Without objection, it shall be so inserted.

1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	DOCKET NO. 980119-TP
3	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF BRADFORD HAMILTON
4	SUPRA TELECOMMUNICATIONS & INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC.
5	March 25, 1998
6	
7	Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.
8	A. My name is Bradford Hamilton. My business address is
9	2620 S.W. 27th Avenue, Miami, Florida 33133-3001.
10	
11	Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
12	A. I am employed by Supra Telecommunications & Information
13	Systems, Inc., ("Supra") as Customer Service Manager.
14	
15	Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND WORK
1.6	EXPERIENCE.
17	A. I received a Bachelor's Degree from Nova Southeastern in
18	Psychology 1992. I am two courses shy of my Master's
19	Degree in Alternative Dispute Resolution from Nova
20	Southeastern.
21	I worked for Northwestern Bell Telephone Company from
22	May 12, 1978, until the divestiture of AT&T on January 1,
23	1984. At that point, I worked in the long distance
2.4	division, and my division was transferred to AT&T Long
25	Lines where I worked until May 1997. In October 1997, I
26	was employed by Supra Telecommunications & Information
27	Systems, Inc. I have over 19 years experience working in

1	the Bell System and/or AT&T.
2	
3	Q. WHAT ARE YOUR PRESENT RESPONSIBILITIES?
4	A. My present responsibilities include management of the
5	Customer Service Department at Supra. My department
6	handles all customer service activities, including the
7	processing of orders for service and customer inquiries.
8	
9	Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
10	A. The purpose of my testimony is to address Issues 4d, 4e,
11	5, and 10 identified in this proceeding.
12	
13	ISSUE NO. 4: HAS BELLSOUTH FAILED TO PROPERLY IMPLEMENT
14	THE FOLLOWING PROVISIONS OF ITS INTERCONNECTION,
15	COLLOCATION, AND RESALE AGREEMENTS WITH SUPRA SUCH THAT
16	SUPRA IS ABLE TO PROVIDE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE ON PARITY
17	WITH THAT WHICH BELLSOUTH PROVIDES:
18	d. ELECTRONIC ACCESS TO OPERATIONAL SUPPORT SYSTEMS
19	(OSS) AND OSS INTERFACES (ORDERING AND
20	PROVISIONING, INSTALLATION, MAINTENANCE AND
21	REPAIR);
22	f. TIMELINESS OF INSTALLATION, REPAIR, AND
23	MAINTENANCE.
24	A. In regard to Issues 4d and 4e, a most serious problem
25	Supra has with BellSouth is that when a Supra customer
26	dials 611 for repair, he is connected to BellSouth's Repair

Office. This is not how Supra understood the repair process was to work under the resale agreement. The first point of call for Supra customers with repair problems is supposed to be Supra. Supra is to receive the call from the customer and then call BellSouth to arrange to have the problem fixed. However, customers get BellSouth's Repair Office and BellSouth's customer service representatives have the opportunity to win back the account. Customers with service in need of repair are very vulnerable to communications from BellSouth's Repair Office that imply there may be problems in fixing their service "because it is a reseller account."

Even when Supra is given the opportunity to handle Supra's customers' repair problems, BellSouth has not provided repair service on parity with that it provides to its own customers.

An actual example of a repair problem with a Supra customer, a Mr. X, will illustrate the types of problems Supra has experienced in this area. A letter from this customer is attached as exhibit BH-1. On December 15, 1997, Mr. X's home telephone went dead after a thunderstorm. The situation was reported to the BellSouth Repair Office at 12:00 Noon by Supra's Customer Service Department. BellSouth's Repair Office identified the problem as a phone off hook and told Supra to inform the customer to unplug all phones in the house, wait 15

minutes, and plug all phones back in. Supra informed the customer as directed, including telling the customer that cordless telephones often cause this, so he should leave the cordless telephone disconnected. This did not fix the problem.

On December 16, 1997, Mr. X again contacted Supra and Supra personnel reviewed the steps BellSouth had given the customer. Unable to resolve the issue in this fashion, Supra again filed a complaint with BellSouth's Repair Office at 11:35 A.M. Supra informed the Repair Office that this was not an equipment problem and requested that BellSouth run a test report. A technician was scheduled to go to Mr. X's house, test the circuits and effect repair before 6:00 P.M. the same day.

The following morning on December 17, 1997, Mr. X called Supra angry because, while he had waited at home for the technician to arrive, the technician never came. Supra immediately filed another report with BellSouth's Repair Office at 10:00 A.M. The Repair Office stated the technician had not been able to make it to the customer's premises. However, the Repair Office had not notified Supra or the customer of this. Another technician was scheduled to visit the customer before 3:00 P.M. on December 17, 1997, if the customer was going to be home. Supra contacted Mr. X, but due to the time he had already taken off from work on this issue, Mr. X was unable to meet

the technician that day and made a new appointment for the following day at 11:00 A.M. BellSouth's Repair Office was contacted and agreed to have a technician visit the customer at 11:00 A.M.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

On December 18, 1997, the customer called, very upset, to inform Supra that the BellSouth technician never arrived as agreed. Supra placed the fourth call on this issue to BellSouth's Repair Office at 12:30 P.M. BellSouth informed Supra that this time the technician did go to the customer's premises to effect repair, and no problems were found. Supra asked to speak with the technician that had performed the testing. The technician called Supra and told us that he was asked to not go into the customer's premises by his supervisor because the account belonged to a reseller company. He tested the line outside the customer's premises and discovered that it was OK up to the terminal. Because of the supervisor's request, the technician did not speak with the customer, inform the customer of testing the line, allow the customer to insist the technician execute the inside wire plan maintenance, or let the customer know that he could return to work.

Supra then called BellSouth's Repair Office for the fifth time and informed them that the customer had an inside wire plan and that Supra is allowed to resell the inside wire maintenance plan. BellSouth claimed to be unaware that Supra could resell this service, although the

customer records clearly indicate that the customer has

continued to pay for this service since transferring to

Supra. Due to the problems with making contact, BellSouth

requested that the customer leave a key with his neighbor

and BellSouth would send a technician the next day.

Surprisingly, Mr. X agreed to this condition.

On December 19, 1997, Mr. X again called Supra to inform us that the BellSouth technician did not arrive as scheduled. Supra called BellSouth's Repair Office, making a sixth complaint on this line. Numerous telephone calls were exchanged between personnel at various levels in Supra and BellSouth, and an appointment was made for the following morning, Saturday, December 20, 1997.

At 2:00 P.M. on December 20, 1997, Supra was able to verify Mr. X's line and found it to be repaired and in working order.

There is no doubt that had Mr. X been a BellSouth customer, this particular chain of events would never have happened. Mr. X's service would have been restored on the first visit, which would have occurred much sooner than it did. The repair supervisor would never have advised the technician not to enter the customer's premises, the technician would have spoken to the customer, allowing Mr. X to inform him of the inside wire maintenance plan and insist on the technician solving the problem right then. There would not likely have been so many missed

appointments, as the BellSouth Repair Office would have had to face the wrath of Mr. X directly.

Supra retained this customer. Under similar circumstances, we have lost many more. The customers Supra has lost due to BellSouth's failure to execute timely and effective repairs remain upset at Supra. We have lost many accounts due to repair problems. These customers do not realize that it is the same company that could not fix problems when the customers were Supra customers that could fix them promptly when they were again BellSouth customers. Given the chronology of this case, one can certainly see the attraction in going back to BellSouth when the customer is told that there are problems because "it's a reseller account."

It is inappropriate for BellSouth to offer to switch a customer back in order to more quickly effect repairs. It is inappropriate for BellSouth to receive 611 calls for Supra customers and treat them as sales leads by attempting to convert the customer back to BellSouth.

ISSUE NO. 5: HAS BELLSOUTH PROVIDED ADEQUATE WRITTEN RULES, REGULATIONS, CODES, INSTRUCTIONS, DESCRIPTIONS OF PROCEDURES, OTHER WRITTEN MATERIALS, TECHNICAL GUIDANCE, AND ACTUAL SUPPORT SERVICE, OR MADE ANY MODIFICATIONS OF PROCEDURES, IF NECESSARY, IN TIMELY FASHION, TO PERMIT SUPRA TO UNDERSTAND AND UTILIZE EFFECTIVELY BELLSOUTH'S

1 PROCEDURES FOR BILLING, ORDERI	ING. PROVISIONING
----------------------------------	-------------------

- 2 INSTALLATION, REPAIR, ETC., THAT ARE ESSENTIAL TO SUPRA'S
- 3 ABILITY TO PROVIDE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE ON PARITY WITH
- 4 BELLSOUTH?

5

- 6 A. I attended a Local Exchange Navigation System (LENS)
- 7 training class put on by BellSouth for ALECs. Because I
- 8 have worked for many years in the telecommunications
- 9 industry and specifically within the Bell System, I had
- 10 questions I wanted answered that were based on my knowledge
- of provisioning local telephone service. These questions
- 12 were:
- 13 1) How do I find the customer's previous "customer
- 14 code"?
- With the previous customer code, we are able to view
- 16 the customer's service record. The previous records are
- 17 essential for us to verify customers' billing addresses,
- 18 service features, installation dates, etc. The trainers,
- 19 Mr. and Mrs. Story, did not answer my questions. They were
- 20 just stone-faced. I could tell they knew the answer, but
- 21 would not or could not answer it.
- 22 2) Why is it not possible for Supra to order new
- 23 installation requests, which include jack installation
- 24 requests, in LENS?
- 25 The instructors said LENS does allow you to order
- 26 service with "jack request" however, whenever I place an
- 27 order, I get an error message: "refnum 0001 JK-POS

- REQUIRED", i.e., jack position required. After seveal
 attempts by the instructor, who received the same message,
 I was told to just place those orders on "paper." The
 instructors did not know how to place the order in LENS.
 - 3) My third question was about how to change the number of rings the calling party receives prior to the call going into the "Call Forwarding" mode. The instructor said "I'm not supposed to tell you, but since I know the answer, I'll give it to you."

It was apparent to me that the instructors were uncomfortable with me in the class. I was an experienced telephone worker and I knew the right questions to ask. Their reluctance appeared to come from the fact that the purpose of the training classes is not to help the resellers become successful, but rather that the class is another avenue for BellSouth to sell something and to have something to show the Florida Public Service Commission and the FCC that BellSouth is helping to develop competition in the local telephone service market.

ISSUE NO. 10: HAS BELLSOUTH RESPONDED APPROPRIATELY TO CONSUMER QUERIES REGARDING SUPRA?

A. As Customer Service Manager at Supra, I am aware of over 30 calls from Supra customers who were coached into calling our business office and asking us "Who will repair my phone if it goes out of order?" See exhibit BH-2

1 attached hereto. This question is part of BellSouth's tactic to install doubt in the customer's mind about the quality of Supra's and other ALECs' networks.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

The tactic of questioning the repair abilities of the ALEC is part of a program called "Call Them On It," a coordinated effort of brochures and television spots designed to prevent customers from changing from the RBOCs to an ALEC. Composite exhibit BH-3 attached hereto includes one of these brochures and some of the television spots. The brochure asserts that long distance companies will be "harassing you with an avalanche of confusing offers" and that competition in the local market means "more annoying phone calls and more confusing offers." Furthermore, there is an Internet web site sponsored by the United States Telephone Association of which BellSouth is a member, www.callthemonit.com. See exhibit BH-4 attached hereto. The web site is full of propaganda designed to discourage consumers from selecting an ALEC for their local telephone service.

Exhibit BH-5 attached hereto contains two customer letters reflecting problems Supra has had with BellSouth not timely provisioning service to Supra's customers.

Supra recently received a complaint from a customer who called to say that he had had a problem with a BellSouth repairman. This customer called to report a problem with his bedroom telephone jack on March 18, 1998.

Supra reported the problem to the BellSouth repair office quickly and we verified that the customer has the Inside Wire Maintenance Plan. With this plan, the customer does not have to pay for inside jack repairs. When the BellSouth repairman arrived at the customer's residence, the repairman told the customer that he would have to charge to repair the jack because the customer "was no longer our [BellSouth's] customer." The customer turned the repairman away and called Supra to find out what the problem was. I called the BellSouth repair office and they confirmed that the cusomter was not to be charged for inside wire jack repairs. Furthermore, BellSouth confirmed that the repairman was not to make any such statements. BelSouth sent another repairman out to fix the problem on March 19, 1998. However, because of the problems getting the jack fixed, the customer now wants to transfer his service back to BellSouth.

Exhibit BH-6 contains a list of customers who were told by BellSouth that BellSouth had never heard of Supra. Exhibit BH-7 contains a list of customers who were told by BellSouth employees to report Supra to the Florida Public Service Commission. Exhibit BH-8 contains a list of customers who were told by BellSouth that Supra is "unreliable." Exhibit BH-9 contains a list of customers who were told they would lose their yellow pages advertising if they stayed with Supra. Exhibit BH-10

contains a list of customers who were told by BellSouth
employees that they did not have to pay Supra's bill if
they disputed it. These exhibits do not contain the total
numbers of customers who reported to Supra that such
statements had been made to them by BellSouth, but they are
an effort to reflect at least some of the customers who
have made these statements to Supra.

8

9

- Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 10 A. Yes.

1	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2	DOCKET NO. 980119-TP
3	AMENDED DIRECT TESTIMONY OF BRADFORD HAMILTON
4	SUPRA TELECOMMUNICATIONS & INFORMATION SYSTEMS, INC.
5	April 9, 1998
6	
7	Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.
8	A. My name is Bradford Hamilton. My business address is
9	2620 S.W. 27th Avenue, Miami, Florida 33133-3001.
10	
11	Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?
12	A. I am employed by Supra Telecommunications & Information
13	Systems, Inc., ("Supra") as Customer Service Manager.
14	
15	Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND AND WORK
16	EXPERIENCE.
17	A. I received a Bachelor's Degree from Nova Southeastern in
18	Psychology 1992. I am two courses shy of my Master's
19	Degree in Alternative Dispute Resolution from Nova
20	Southeastern.
21	I worked for Northwestern Bell Telephone Company from
22	May 12, 1978, until the divestiture of AT&T on January 1,
23	1984. At that point, I worked in the long distance
24	division, and my division was transferred to AT&T Long
25	Lines where I worked until May 1997. In October 1997, I
26	was employed by Supra Telecommunications & Information
27	Systems, Inc. I have over 19 years experience working in

1	the Bell System and/or AT&T.
2	
3	Q. WHAT ARE YOUR PRESENT RESPONSIBILITIES?
4	A. My present responsibilities include management of the
5	Customer Service Department at Supra. My department
6	handles all customer service activities, including the
7	processing of orders for service and customer inquiries.
8	
9	Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
LO	A. The purpose of my testimony is to address Issues 4d, 4e,
11	5, and 10 identified in this proceeding.
L2	
L3	ISSUE NO. 4: HAS BELLSOUTH FAILED TO PROPERLY IMPLEMENT
L4	THE FOLLOWING PROVISIONS OF ITS INTERCONNECTION,
L5	COLLOCATION, AND RESALE AGREEMENTS WITH SUPRA SUCH THAT
16	SUPRA IS ABLE TO PROVIDE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE ON PARITY
L7	WITH THAT WHICH BELLSOUTH PROVIDES:
18	d. ELECTRONIC ACCESS TO OPERATIONAL SUPPORT SYSTEMS
L9	(OSS) AND OSS INTERFACES (ORDERING AND
20	PROVISIONING, INSTALLATION, MAINTENANCE AND
21	REPAIR);
22	f. TIMELINESS OF INSTALLATION, REPAIR, AND
23	MAINTENANCE.
24	A. In regard to Issues 4d and 4e, a most serious problem
25	Supra has with BellSouth is that when a Supra customer
26	dials 611 for repair, he is connected to BellSouth's Repair

Office. This is not how Supra understood the repair process was to work under the resale agreement. The first point of call for Supra customers with repair problems is supposed to be Supra. Supra is to receive the call from the customer and then call BellSouth to arrange to have the problem fixed. However, customers get BellSouth's Repair Office and BellSouth's customer service representatives have the opportunity to win back the account. Customers with service in need of repair are very vulnerable to communications from BellSouth's Repair Office that imply there may be problems in fixing their service "because it is a reseller account."

Even when Supra is given the opportunity to handle Supra's customers' repair problems, BellSouth has not provided repair service on parity with that it provides to its own customers.

An actual example of a repair problem with a Supra customer, a Mr. X, will illustrate the types of problems Supra has experienced in this area. A letter from this customer is attached as exhibit BH-1. On December 15, 1997, Mr. X's home telephone went dead after a thunderstorm. The situation was reported to the BellSouth Repair Office at 12:00 Noon by Supra's Customer Service Department. BellSouth's Repair Office identified the problem as a phone off hook and told Supra to inform the customer to unplug all phones in the house, wait 15

minutes, and plug all phones back in. Supra informed the
customer as directed, including telling the customer that
cordless telephones often cause this, so he should leave
the cordless telephone disconnected. This did not fix the
problem.

On December 16, 1997, Mr. X again contacted Supra and Supra personnel reviewed the steps BellSouth had given the customer. Unable to resolve the issue in this fashion, Supra again filed a complaint with BellSouth's Repair Office at 11:35 A.M. Supra informed the Repair Office that this was not an equipment problem and requested that BellSouth run a test report. A technician was scheduled to go to Mr. X's house, test the circuits and effect repair before 6:00 P.M. the same day.

The following morning on December 17, 1997, Mr. X called Supra angry because, while he had waited at home for the technician to arrive, the technician never came. Supra immediately filed another report with BellSouth's Repair Office at 10:00 A.M. The Repair Office stated the technician had not been able to make it to the customer's premises. However, the Repair Office had not notified Supra or the customer of this. Another technician was scheduled to visit the customer before 3:00 P.M. on December 17, 1997, if the customer was going to be home. Supra contacted Mr. X, but due to the time he had already taken off from work on this issue, Mr. X was unable to meet

the technician that day and made a new appointment for the following day at 11:00 A.M. BellSouth's Repair Office was contacted and agreed to have a technician visit the customer at 11:00 A.M.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

On December 18, 1997, the customer called, very upset, to inform Supra that the BellSouth technician never arrived as agreed. Supra placed the fourth call on this issue to BellSouth's Repair Office at 12:30 P.M. BellSouth informed Supra that this time the technician did go to the customer's premises to effect repair, and no problems were found. Supra asked to speak with the technician that had performed the testing. The technician called Supra and told us that he was asked not to go into the customer's premises by his supervisor because the account belonged to a reseller company. He tested the line outside the customer's premises and discovered that it was OK up to the terminal. Because of the supervisor's instructions, the technician did not speak with the customer, inform the customer of testing the line, allow the customer to insist the technician execute the inside wire plan maintenance, or let the customer know that he could return to work.

Supra then called BellSouth's Repair Office for the fifth time and informed them that the customer had an inside wire plan and that Supra is allowed to resell the inside wire maintenance plan. BellSouth claimed to be unaware that Supra could resell this service, although the

- 1 customer records clearly indicate that the customer has
- 2 continued to pay for this service since transferring to
- 3 Supra. Due to the problems with making contact, BellSouth
- 4 requested that the customer leave a key with his neighbor
- 5 and BellSouth would send a technician the next day.
- 6 Surprisingly, Mr. X agreed to this condition.

On December 19, 1997, Mr. X again called Supra to
inform us that the BellSouth technician did not arrive as
scheduled. Supra called BellSouth's Repair Office, making
a sixth complaint on this line. Numerous telephone calls
were exchanged between personnel at various levels in Supra
and BellSouth, and an appointment was made for the
following morning, Saturday, December 20, 1997.

At 2:00 P.M. on December 20, 1997, Supra was able to verify Mr. X's line and found it to be repaired and in working order.

There is no doubt that had Mr. X been a BellSouth customer, this particular chain of events would never have happened. Mr. X's service would have been restored on the first visit, which would have occurred much sooner than it did. The repair supervisor would never have advised the technician not to enter the customer's premises, the technician would have spoken to the customer, allowing Mr. X to inform him of the inside wire maintenance plan and insist on the technician solving the problem right then. There would not likely have been so many missed

- appointments, as the BellSouth Repair Office would have had to face the wrath of Mr. X directly.
- 3 Supra retained this customer. Under similar
 4 circumstances, we have lost many more.

5

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- Q. DO YOU HAVE OTHER EXAMPLES OF PROBLEMS SUPRA HAS
- 7 EXPERIENCED AS A RESULT OF SUPRA HAVING INADEQUATE
- 8 ELECTRONIC ACCESS TO BELLSOUTH'S OPERATIONAL SUPPORT
- 9 SYSTEMS AND/OR BELLSOUTH'S UNTIMELY INSTALLATION,
- 10 MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR?
- A. Yes. I have a series of incidents that have occurred just since the beginning of March 1998 that are typical of the problems Supra has experienced with BellSouth from the

14 beginning.

The first incident involved one of Supra's large accounts. See exhibit BH-11 which is a series of faxes back and forth between Supra and this large customer. On March 9, 1998, I received a fax from our large customer that questioned our billing them for three Miami numbers. The customer stated that these three numbers did not belong to the customer because they had been disconnected by BellSouth in 1996. The customer stated that she had spoken to their BellSouth representative who had explained that when the customer had transferred their account to Supra, Supra had reestablished those numbers on their account. I

told the customer that Supra could not have reestablished

numbers that had been disconnected for two years for the obvious reason of the Miami number shortage. The same three numbers would not have been available after such a long period of time. I told the customer I would investigate the situation to verify if these numbers had been in service at the time of the customer's transfer to Supra.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

On March 11, 1998, I checked the BellSouth Customer Service Records and all three lines were listed as active accounts, billed to the customer's address. It was obvious that BellSouth had not completed the customer's original request to disconnect the three lines and rather than accept responsibility for that error, had blamed Supra for the mistake. Furthermore, it is absolutely impossible that Supra could have reestablished these three particular phone numbers unless these numbers had been on the customer's bill as active lines when the customer's account was switched to Supra. Supra would have had no other way to know anything about these lines. It was not until the customer received Supra's bill that the error was apparent because BellSouth's bills are so complicated that errors can be hidden for a long time without a customer becoming aware of them. After my investigation, I urged the customer to check with her BellSouth account representative as to whether the three lines had been active at the time of the customer's transfer to Supra. A few days later we

1 received a letter from the customer stating that they would 2 be transferring back to BellSouth because their account 3 representative had stated that Supra has made too many 4 "mistakes" on the customer's account. When BellSouth tried 5 to transfer the account, BellSouth completely disconnected 6 the customer's dial tone. The customer called me from her 7 cellular phone to report the trouble. I explained to her 8 that Supra had made no order activity on her account and 9 the problem she was having was because BellSouth had made 10 an error on the order to transfer her account to BellSouth. 11 When BellSouth transfers an account back to BellSouth, 12 BellSouth's employees generate an order to disconnect the 13 customer from the reseller and a new connect order to 14 BellSouth. The customer told me that she had spoken to BellSouth before calling me and BellSouth told her that it 15 16 was Supra's fault that she had lost dial tone. 17 Furthermore, BellSouth repair told her that if she had 18 never switched in the first place, the loss of dial tone 19 would never have happened. After the customer's account transferred back to BellSouth, we received a fax from the 20 customer on March 20, 1998, at 4:00 PM, asking us to 21 22 disconnect two of the numbers she questioned as active lines. She had discovered that the lines were indeed live 23 24 and working at her address. 25

The second incident began on March 10, 1998. I placed an order for new residential service for a new Supra

26

1 employee. See exhibit BH-12 attached hereto which is a 2 series of faxes and documents including LENS printouts and 3 a Local Service Request related to this incident. I 4 followed the correct ordering procedures. I verified the 5 employee's new address in LENS. I reserved two telephone numbers in LENS because the new employee desired two lines. 7 I filled out the proper forms and faxed them to the BellSouth Local Carrier Service Center (LCSC) on March 10, 8 9 On March 12, 1998, I received a clarification 10 requesting the customer's long distance carrier choices(s). 11 I faxed the corrected forms. On March 13, 1998, after I faxed the corrected forms, I received a clarification 12 13 stating that there was working service at the address. Furthermore, the LCSC was asking if the service requested 14 was an additional line. I called the BellSouth 15 representative who processed our request and told him that 16 it was not an additional line, but new service and the 17 18 employee was moving into the apartment in one day. The representative told me that since there was working service 19 in another name and since the service was non-published, he 20 could not process the Supra order. The representative told 21 me that his supervisor, Ms. Theresa Gentry, instructed him 22 23 that the only way our order could be processed was that the owner of the telephone service in that apartment would have 24 to call the BellSouth business office and have the service 25 disconnected. I explained to the representative that the 26

owner had abandoned the service and that this is not the way BellSouth handles such requests. The representative said there was nothing more he could do because Ms. Gentry had told him not to process the Supra order.

When BellSouth encounters such a situation, they verify with the leasing office that the previous renter had indeed moved out of the unit, then BellSouth disconnects the abandoned service and installs the new tenant's service. Because of Ms. Gentry's instructions, our employee had to wait seven days to have his service installed. This was quite distressing to him since he had a two month old baby and was concerned that if a medical emergency occurred, he would not be able to reach the proper agencies expeditiously.

This incident points out a deficiency in Supra's access to BellSouth's operational support systems in that Supra has no way to determine that there is working phone service at a particular address. BellSouth can determine this immediately electronically. In these types of situations, Supra will verify an address given by a customer in LENS to assure that it is a valid 911 address and then submit an order for service. Only after 48 hours is Supra notified that BellSouth's information indicates that there is working phone service at that address. This creates an immediate minimum 48 hour delay. Of course, Supra goes on to waste many more hours of employee time on

these types of problems resulting from lack of electronic
access to BellSouth's operational support systems.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

On March 19, 1998, I received a call from the office manager of a former business customer. The office manager wanted to know why they were receiving a bill from Supra because they had transferred back to BellSouth on January 14, 1998. I looked up the customer's service record in LENS and discovered the problem. See exhibit BH-13 which is a copy of the LENS customer service record. When BellSouth processed the order to transfer the account back to Bellsouth, for some bizarre reason, the agent billed the account to Supra. After I hung up with the customer, I called the BellSouth business office and I reached a Ms. Marie Dinish at the BellSouth Jacksonville office. explained the situation and asked that she please disconnect our billing number from this customer's account. Ms. Dinish was quite rude to me and said she could not do that because the end user did not request the billing to be disconnected from Supra's account. I told her that it was obvious the end user did not want their billing to go to Supra when they had transferred their account from Supra and back to BellSouth. I asked her to please check with her supervisor, call the end user, disconnect the billing from our account and change the effective bill date to the transfer date of January 14, 1998, to assure that Supra would not have to pay for this BellSouth customer's

service. She told me she would do so "if I have time." I asked her to call me back with an answer as soon as possible. I waited until 6:00 PM and since I had not yet received a call from Ms. Dinish, I called the BellSouth business office again and asked another representative if an order had been placed to disconnect the billing from Supra's account. The second representative verified that the order had been placed, gave me an order number, and stated that the effective bill date was changed to January 17, 1998. The effective bill date should have been January 14, 1998, since that is the date the service was transferred back to BellSouth. I have never received any call back from Ms. Dinish.

Supra has a continuing problem with BellSouth causing extreme delays in processing our orders. A Supra customer called our Customer Service Office on April 1, 1998, and requested that his existing telephone service be moved to his new apartment. I completed the necessary five forms (which takes 45 minutes because it must be done manually) and faxed them to the BellSouth LCSC at 6:10 PM. See exhibit BH-14 attached hereto which consists of all of the documentation related to this service request. On the paperwork, I requested a due date of April 3, 1998. I received the Firm Order Confirmation at 10:25 AM on April 3, 1998, with a new date of April 7, 1998. BellSouth acknowledged that the order was error free. BellSouth

1 changed the due date without consulting with us.

Furthermore, on April 3, 1998, I called the representative who processed our order and asked why it would take seven days to complete a move order. She replied that the due date was the best they could do. In addition, she told me that she was not supposed to process our order because the form arrived on their fax machine in the "Portrait" rather than the "Landscape" format, but as a favor to us, she completed the order anyway. Needless to say, our customer has moved to his new location and will not have telephone service until April 7, 1998. If our customer has an emergency, he will not be able to reach the proper agencies.

When the BellSouth team was here in March 1998 to train us on ordering, I asked how to reserve telephone numbers for Remote Call Forwarding (RCF) service. RCF service is very popular in South Florida. The service allows, for example, a Palm Beach customer to have a Miami telephone number. When the caller dials the Miami number, the call is forwarded to the Palm Beach customer's Palm Beach telephone number. The problem is that in order to reserve a telephone number, you must validate the address in RSAG via LENS. Since RCF service utilizes a phantom address, the address cannot pass the RSAG verification. The BellSouth team did not know the answer to my question, and suggested that the only way to validate the address

would be to know the address of the central office that would service the RCF number and use the central office's address to reserve the number. This would be an impossible task, since we do not have all of the addresses for all of the BellSouth central offices in all nine states. The BellSouth team promised to get back to us with a better answer. As of April 5, 1998, they have not responded.

Supra has lost numerous sales because we could not order RCF in parity with BellSouth.

When the Bellsouth team was at Supra during March 1998, they explained that BellSouth's repair personnel cannot enter a Supra customer's premises to repair an inside wire problem even if requested to by the customer. The BellSouth team stated that because the customer is a Supra customer and not a BellSouth customer, BellSouth must obtain Supra's authorization to enter the customer's premises. Even though Supra is reselling BellSouth's repair service and inside wire maintenance plan, BellSouth is stating that when a Supra customer reports a trouble, they cannot effectively repair the trouble because Supra is not on the premises to give consent to their entering the customer's premises. This is so, BellSouth claims, even after Supra has called in to report the trouble for Supra's customer.

None of this makes sense. We have called BellSouth's repair office for our end users. BellSouth's repair

personnel visit the customer's premises and then tell the customer that BellSouth cannot repair the service. It is apparent that BellSouth is treating our customers as if they are branch locations of a large business account. In such an arrangement, the headquarters office would make any decisions regarding the telephone service. BellSouth fails to recognize, even at this late date, that Supra is reselling Bellsouth's service. Supra is paying BellSouth to provide service and BellSouth is obligated to service our customers just as they are obligated to service their own customers. The upshot of all of this is that BellSouth has merely to tell a Supra customer who has reported a trouble that BellSouth cannot fix the trouble because the customer is a Supra customer and BellSouth wins back another account. This is an outrageously successful anticompetitive tactic.

The last several incidents that I have spoken of occurred in March and the beginning of this month of April 1998. These incidents have been repeated over and over and over since Supra began its operations as an ALEC reselling BellSouth's service.

The above incidents also point out perhaps the most critical problem Supra has with BellSouth. This is the fact that Supra must manually complete Local Service Requests and all other orders to BellSouth. Although Supra has access to LENS, LENS is extremely limited in its

1	capabilities. Supra cannot utilize LENS to do orders to
2	service existing Supra accounts. BellSouth has not
3	provided any reason for this limitation. LENS does not
4	provide prompts for the numerous USOC codes, feature
5	details, service and customer information requirements for
6	entering the most basic Local Service Request or any other
7	type of service request. This is in direct contrast to
8	BellSouth's retail ordering systems which provide
9	BellSouth's customer service representatives with an
10	electronic ordering system that has access to all customer
11	information and provides prompts for all critical
12	information. This permits BellSouth customer service
13	representatives, with little or no experience, with the
14	ability to completely fill out and process a customer
15	service order in ten minutes or less. Errors are
16	practically eliminated because the BellSouth electronic
17	ordering system will not permit an order from a customer
18	service representative to post if an error is present.
19	Whereas Supra has struggled to fill out its orders
20	manually. It takes 45 minutes of concentrated effort to
21	fill out a basic local service request. This is absolutely
22	understandable given the fact that Supra must fill in at
23	least six pages of forms for each local service request
24	which consists of codes for all items, at least 150
25	separate entries. Supra must look up the codes in the
26	handbooks provided by BellSouth. These code handbooks are

rife with errors. When this was communicated to BellSouth, they simply acknowledged that there are errors in the handbooks. When BellSouth's customer service representatives receive Supra's manual orders and find any error whatsoever, they state that they have been instructed not to correct these errors or instruct Supra how to correct them, but they refer Supra back to the handbooks to find the correct codes for whatever the error might be.

When Supra submits an order that does have an error, Supra will not learn of the error before the 48 hours minimum time for BellSouth to process the order. Then Supra will receive a "clarification" form from BellSouth stating what the error is and requiring a corrected local service request. Then the correction must be made manually and resubmitted once again. Another 48 hours will pass before processing the order or notifying Supra of any further error. It is common practice for BellSouth to report one error at a time to Supra. It is impossible for Supra to provide reliable, timely service with this type of ordering and processing arrangement.

The customers Supra has lost due to BellSouth's failure to execute timely and effective repairs remain upset at Supra. We have lost many accounts due to repair problems. These customers do not realize that it is the same company that could not fix problems when the customers were Supra customers that could fix them promptly when they

1.	were again BellSouth customers. Given the chronology of
2	these cases, one can certainly see the attraction in going
3	back to BellSouth when the customer is told that there are
4	problems because "it's a reseller account."

It is inappropriate for BellSouth to offer to switch a customer back in order to more quickly effect repairs. It is inappropriate for BellSouth to receive 611 calls for Supra customers and treat them as sales leads by attempting to convert the customer back to BellSouth.

It is very difficult to describe the frustration and discouragement caused Supra by all of the problems with BellSouth. Supra has taken no step in any direction that has not been fraught with endless difficulties from BellSouth.

ISSUE NO. 5: HAS BELLSOUTH PROVIDED ADEQUATE WRITTEN RULES, REGULATIONS, CODES, INSTRUCTIONS, DESCRIPTIONS OF PROCEDURES, OTHER WRITTEN MATERIALS, TECHNICAL GUIDANCE, AND ACTUAL SUPPORT SERVICE, OR MADE ANY MODIFICATIONS OF PROCEDURES, IF NECESSARY, IN TIMELY FASHION, TO PERMIT SUPRA TO UNDERSTAND AND UTILIZE EFFECTIVELY BELLSOUTH'S PROCEDURES FOR BILLING, ORDERING, PROVISIONING, INSTALLATION, REPAIR, ETC., THAT ARE ESSENTIAL TO SUPRA'S ABILITY TO PROVIDE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE ON PARITY WITH BELLSOUTH?

A. I attended a Local Exchange Navigation System (LENS)

1	training class put on by BellSouth for ALECs. Because I
2	have worked for many years in the telecommunications
3	industry and specifically within the Bell System, I had
4	questions I wanted answered that were based on my knowledge
5	of provisioning local telephone service. These questions
6	were:

1) How do I find the customer's previous "customer code"?

With the previous customer code, we are able to view the customer's service record. The previous records are essential for us to verify customers' billing addresses, service features, installation dates, etc. The trainers, Mr. and Mrs. Story, did not answer my questions. They were just stone-faced. I could tell they knew the answer, but would not or could not answer it.

2) Why is it not possible for Supra to order new installation requests, which include jack installation requests, in LENS?

The instructors said LENS does allow you to order service with "jack request" however, whenever I place an order, I get an error message: "refnum 0001 JK-POS REQUIRED", i.e., jack position required. After several attempts by the instructor, who received the same message, I was told to just place those orders on "paper." The instructors did not know how to place the order in LENS.

3) My third question was about how to change the

number of rings the calling party receives prior to the

call going into the "Call Forwarding" mode. The instructor

said "I'm not supposed to tell you, but since I know the

answer, I'll give it to you."

It was apparent to me that the instructors were uncomfortable with me in the class. I was an experienced telephone worker and I knew the right questions to ask.

Their reluctance appeared to come from the fact that the purpose of the training classes is not to help the resellers become successful, but rather that the class is another avenue for BellSouth to sell something and to have something to show the Florida Public Service Commission and the FCC that BellSouth is helping to develop competition in the local telephone service market.

ISSUE NO. 10: HAS BELLSOUTH RESPONDED APPROPRIATELY TO CONSUMER QUERIES REGARDING SUPRA?

A. As Customer Service Manager at Supra, I am aware of over 30 calls from Supra customers who were coached into calling our business office and asking us "Who will repair my phone if it goes out of order?" See exhibit BH-2 attached hereto. This question is part of BellSouth's tactic to install doubt in the customer's mind about the quality of Supra's and other ALECs' networks.

The tactic of questioning the repair abilities of the ALEC is part of a program called "Call Them On It," a

coordinated effort of brochures and television spots designed to prevent customers from changing from the RBOCs to an ALEC. Composite exhibit BH-3 attached hereto includes one of these brochures and some of the television spots. The brochure asserts that long distance companies will be "harassing you with an avalanche of confusing offers" and that competition in the local market means "more annoying phone calls and more confusing offers." Furthermore, there is an Internet web site sponsored by the United States Telephone Association of which BellSouth is a member, www.callthemonit.com. See exhibit BH-4 attached hereto. The web site is full of propaganda designed to discourage consumers from selecting an ALEC for their local telephone service.

Exhibit BH-5 attached hereto contains two customer letters reflecting problems Supra has had with BellSouth not timely provisioning service to Supra's customers.

Supra recently received a complaint from a customer who called to say that he had had a problem with a BellSouth repairman. This customer called to report a problem with his bedroom telephone jack on March 18, 1998. Supra reported the problem to the BellSouth repair office quickly and we verified that the customer has the Inside Wire Maintenance Plan. With this plan, the customer does not have to pay for inside jack repairs. When the BellSouth repairman arrived at the customer's residence,

the repairman told the customer that he would have to charge to repair the jack because the customer "was no longer our [BellSouth's] customer." The customer turned the repairman away and called Supra to find out what the problem was. I called the BellSouth repair office and they confirmed that the customer was not to be charged for inside wire jack repairs. Furthermore, BellSouth confirmed that the repairman was not to make any such statements. BellSouth sent another repairman out to fix the problem on March 19, 1998. However, because of the problems getting the jack fixed, the customer now wants to transfer his service back to BellSouth.

Exhibit BH-6 contains a list of customers who were told by BellSouth that BellSouth had never heard of Supra. Exhibit BH-7 contains a list of customers who were told by BellSouth employees to report Supra to the Florida Public Service Commission. Exhibit BH-8 contains a list of customers who were told by BellSouth that Supra is "unreliable." Exhibit BH-9 contains a list of customers who were told they would lose their yellow pages advertising if they stayed with Supra. Exhibit BH-10 contains a list of customers who were told by BellSouth employees that they did not have to pay Supra's bill if they disputed it. These exhibits do not contain the total numbers of customers who reported to Supra that such statements had been made to them by BellSouth, but they are

- an effort to reflect at least some of the customers who
- 2 have made these statements to Supra.

- 4 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
- 5 A. Yes.

1 (By Ms. Summerlin) Mr. Hamilton, do you 2 have a summary of your testimony? 3 A Yes, I do. 4 Would you please give that to the Commission? 5 6 Good morning. The purpose and the 7 summary of my testimony is that I present specific examples where BellSouth has stymied and frustrated 8 our efforts to provide competitive local phone 10 service. The ordering systems that they have supplied 11 with us are totally inadequate. The due dates, the 12 time that it takes BellSouth to process an order from 13 us is unacceptable to both us and our customers. 14 responsiveness that we receive from our support has 15 been nonexistent. We've asked for clarifications on 16 our end on how to process orders and they do not 17 respond. They don't know is the response. 18 The repair issue has caused us to lose 19 BellSouth repair people have encouraged 20 customers. customers to switch back to BellSouth. 21

9

22

23

24

25

And the training classes that I attended were totally inadequate to be a competitive local telephone company in Florida.

And that's basically the summary of my

1	testimony.
2	MS. SUMMERLIN: Tender the witness for
3	cross.
4	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Do you wish to have
5	the prefiled exhibits identified?
6	MS. SUMMERLIN: Yes. I'm sorry, I forgot to
7	ask for that. We would like Exhibits BH-1 through 14
8	identified.
9	COMMISSIONER DEASON: They will be
10	identified as Composite Exhibit 9.
11	(Exhibit 9 marked for identification.)
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. White.
13	MS. WHITE: Yes. The first thing I'd like
14	to do is hand out Mr. Hamilton's deposition
15	transcript, and ask that it be identified as the next
16	exhibit.
17	COMMISSIONER DEASON: The deposition will be
18	identified as Exhibit 10.
19	(Exhibit 10 marked for identification.)
20	MS. WHITE: I would also like to hand out
21	the late-filed exhibits to Mr. Hamilton's deposition
22	and ask that be identified as the next exhibit.
23	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes. That will be
24	identified as Exhibit 11.
25	MS. WHITE: Thank you.

1 (Exhibit 11 marked for identification.) 2 CROSS EXAMINATION 3 BY MS. WHITE: 4 Q Mr. Hamilton, my name is Nancy White. 5 represent BellSouth Telecommunications. 6 Now, one of the problems that Supra is 7 concerned about is that its customers reach BellSouth 8 when they call 611; is that correct? That is correct. 9 10 Q And is it your position that Supra thought 11 it would work some other way? 12 My understanding from superiors in the 13 company that when a customer dials 611, that BellSouth switch would identify if that customer is directly 14 15 with them or with a reseller or an ALEC, and forward that call automatically to the number that we gave to 16 17 BellSouth to designate for our repair. 18 0 And is it your position that that process is contained in the Resale Agreement? 19 20 My position in the company is that I'm not directly involved in the Resale Agreement, and I do 21 not refer to that. 22 23 Q So you don't know whether that process is contained in the Resale Agreement? 24

I do not know that, no.

1	П	
1	Q Okay. Woul	d you agree that under Section
2	5-E of the Resale Agr	eement, Supra is to have a
3	toll-free contact num	ber for repair?
4	A I'm sorry,	I didn't understand.
5	Q Under Secti	on 5-E of the Resale Agreement,
6	is Supra supposed to	have a toll-free contact number
7	for repair?	
8	A Yes. My in	teraction with repair, which I do
9	quite a bit with Bell	South, is that number is for
10	BellSouth to reach us	; not for the end user.
11	Q Okay. Well	, let me ask it this way: Does
12	Supra have a repair n	umber that its customers can call
13	when there's a proble	m?
14	A Yes.	
15	Q And is that	a toll-free repair number?
16	A We have a l	ocal number and a toll-free
17	number.	
18	Q Does Supra	inform the customer of that
19	number?	
20	A Yes, we do.	It's on every bill we send out.
21	Q Is there an	y other manner in which Supra
22	publicizes that numbe	r?
23	A It's on our	promotional material, literature
24	and our Web site. An	d if we interact with a customer
25	and they ask for the	repair number, we give that to
	II.	

them.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Has any BellSouth repair representative ever told you that you should switch back to BellSouth because BellSouth can more quickly repair your service?

- A They've not told me that directly.
- Q Do you believe BellSouth customer service reps coached customers into calling BellSouth -- into calling Supra to ask who would repair their phone?
 - A I have no doubt.
 - Q You have no doubt that that happened?
 - A I have no doubt that that happened.
- Q Would you agree that the question of who is going to repair a customer's phone is a legitimate question for a customer to ask?
- A If the reseller has not made a provision to provide repair service, yes.
 - Q I'm sorry, I didn't hear that answer?
- A If the reseller -- if someone asked that, if the reseller has not made a provision for repair service, then it would be a good question. But if they have established a repair center, there's no need for the question.
- Q What about if the customer doesn't know they've established a repair center?

)	
1	A Our customers know. It's on our bill. We
2	have a number for repair.
3	Q Now, you made a test call to the BellSouth
4	business office on this issue, didn't you?
5	A Yes, I did.
6	Q And the question you asked was "What the
7	benefits would be if I went back to BellSouth?"
8	A Yes, something in that area, right. To that
9	effect.
10	Q Now, you believe BellSouth employees told
11	Supra's customers to report Supra to this Commission,
12	don't you?
13	A Yes. I know that, yes.
14	Q Do you know did Supra's customers say why
15	BellSouth was telling them that?
16	A Do I know why? No, I don't know why. I'm
17	sorry.
18	Q Do you know whether the customers that
19	complained about that were victims of slamming?
20	A I understand that some customers alleged
21	that they had been switched without authorization.
22	Q Okay. Do you know of any Supra customer who
23	has lost a Yellow Paging listing as a result of moving
2.4	from BellSouth to Supra?

A No, I do not know of that.

Now, you first started working for Supra in 1 Q 2 August of 1997, did you not? 3 That's correct. 4 And your position at that time was to put Q 5 together the sales office; is that correct? 6 A Well, not really. I was sort of hired for 7 the telemarketing thing. And my job evolved into really -- I was pulling leads for them all the time. 8 That was consuming most of my time. Would it be fair to say your title was sales 10 Q manager? 11 No, it was not. 12 It was not sales manager? 13 No, it was not. 14 And you left Supra in September of 1997, did 15 Q you not? 16 17 That is correct. A And six weeks later you went back with 18 Q 19 Supra? 20 That's correct. Now, between August, when you started 21 Q working at Supra, and September, when you left, Supra 22 obtained customers through cold calls to customers, 23 24 did they not?

25

A

That is correct.

)	
1	Q And how did you select leads for the office
2	to call?
3	A How did I what?
4	Q Select leads for the office to call?
5	A Do I have to that's proprietary
6	information, I consider it.
7	Q Between August and September?
8	A Yes.
9	Q All right. They were cold calls?
10	A They were cold calling, right.
11	COMMISSIONER DEASON: I'm sorry, they were
12	what kind of calling?
13	MS. WHITE: Cold calls. In other words
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Explain that, please.
15	WITNESS HAMILTON: We had a list of
16	telephone customers that we compiled and then we
17	called them cold; that's what it means. You just call
18	them up. They have no idea that they are getting a
19	call from you. That's why they call it "cold" and we
20	made a sales pitch.
21	Q (By Ms. White) So in other words, these
22	weren't necessarily people that had first expressed
23	interest.
24	A No, they had not contacted us at all, no.

Q Okay. Now, when calls were made during that

time, did the sales people represent themselves as 2 being from BellSouth? 3 MS. SUMMERLIN: I'm going to object. think Mr. Hamilton has said he wasn't there between 4 5 August and September. I understand that that's the 6 period you're talking about. 7 MS. WHITE: No. He said he started working 8 for Supra in August of '97 and it was terminated in 9 September of '97. I'm asking during the time he was there. 10 11 MS. SUMMERLIN: Okay. If you're asking during the time he was there then I'll withdraw that 12 objection. 13 (By Ms. White) I'll repeat the question. 14 0 During the time you were there, between August and 15 September of 1997, when calls were made during that 16 period of time, did your sales people represent 17 themselves as calling from BellSouth? 18 These were not my sales people, okay. 19 Okay. 20 But I understand that they called -- they were calling about the BellSouth bill and they were offering them a 21 Supra one-bill product at a discount. 22 Would these sales people only identify 23 Q 24 themselves as calling for Supra if they were asked?

Oh, absolutely.

1 Q And do you believe that the telemarketing efforts by Supra led consumers to believe that the 3 telemarketers were BellSouth employees? No, I do not. 4 5 Is it your position that when telemarketers 6 called the customers in August and September while you 7 were there, that the telemarketers were not 8 representing themselves as BellSouth? 9 A Yes. 10 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: You lost me. WITNESS HAMILTON: Maybe I didn't get the 11 12 question. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Maybe it was the 13 14 question. I'll try again. MS. WHITE: 15 (By Ms. White) When Supra telemarketers, 16 Q whether they are in-house employees or outside 17 contractors, were calling customers in August and 18 September while you were there, were they representing 19 themselves to those customers as calling for 20 BellSouth? 21 No, they were not. 22 I'm going to hand you a statement and ask 23 you to read that. I'd like to have this identified as 24

25

the next exhibit.

1 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Exhibit 12. 2 (Exhibit 12 marked for identification.) 3 Q (By Ms. White) Do you recognize this statement, Mr. Hamilton? 4 5 Yes, I do. 6 Was this statement made by you on September 7 9th, 1997, to Rene Carnoto and Earl Holder of 8 BellSouth? 9 Yes, it was. 10 Q Is that your signature on this document? 11 Yes, it was. 12 Q If you'll look in the middle of the first 13 page, the sentence says "The job of the sales representatives was to contact customers and identify 14 themselves as BellSouth and offer them a discount if 15 16 they migrated to Supra." I don't recall that that's exactly what I 17 said. It said they were calling about BellSouth 18 19 bills. 20 Q Okay. Did you read this statement before you signed it? 21 I don't believe I read it thoroughly. 22 You don't believe you read it what? 23 Q 24 I don't think I really did at the time. A 25 Q Okay.

- 1	
1	A I was not working at Supra at this time.
2	Q You recall this statement, right?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Are you denying that you gave this
5	statement?
6	A No, I'm not denying I gave the statement.
7	Q Are you denying the information in the
8	statement?
9	A I'm saying the information is not correct,
10	not all of it.
11	Q Okay. What is incorrect?
12	A Well, that they were calling identifying
13	themselves as BellSouth. They were supposed to call
14	about they were calling about their BellSouth
15	bills.
16	Q Well, Mr. Hamilton, you stated in here that
17	they were calling themselves excuse me, contacting
18	customers and identifying themselves as BellSouth.
19	Are you stating that this statement is not the truth
20	or you were lying in this statement?
21	A That I was not I don't know how to say
22	exactly I was not I was emotional and confused
23	at the time.
24	Q All right. You were emotionally confused at
25	the time.
- 1	

1 |

A Uh-huh.

 $\|$

_

Q So is it your testimony that you said these things to Mr. Carnoto and Mr. Holder in the confusion and emotionalness of the moment?

A At the time I felt that Ms. Nobili, who had taken over the vice president position there, in charge of the department, was sabotaging the efforts of Supra to become a competitive local telephone company. Because her husband worked at BellSouth and she was making statements and doing things that were sabotaging the efforts of the company.

Q So are you saying that this statement was an attempt by you to sabotage Ms. Nobili?

- A Not to sabotage, no.
- Q Why did you make this statement?

A It brought out the fact that another employee, a -- I can't recall her name right now -- the day that I was fired, had been fired by Ms. Nobili, and I ran into her on the street.

And she was on the telephone calling security companies and she was calling the Equal Employment Opportunity Company. She was going to file an official complaint against Supra. And I was trying to talk her out of it. Okay. And she had contacted BellSouth and made this statement. And she had given

them some erroneous information. And I had contacted -- she encouraged me to contact them. And I had clarified some of the statements that she had made.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: So what you're telling us now is that what you say here is not true.

witness Hamilton: I say a lot of the things in here are not true. Some of them are true and some of them are not.

commissioner GARCIA: When you say that the job of the sales representative was to contact customers and identify themselves as BellSouth --

WITNESS HAMILTON: That is not true.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And the part where the script said "I'm calling on behalf of BellSouth. May I speak to the person in charge of the BellSouth bill?" that's not true either?

witness HAMILTON: The script, that they were calling about the BellSouth bill, and "I want to speak to the person in charge of the BellSouth bill."

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay.

Q (By Ms. White) What about the statement that says, quote, "We're calling on behalf of BellSouth to offer our customers the convenience of combining all of their bills."

J	
1	A They are supposed to be saying "I'm calling
2	about BellSouth bills."
3	Q Okay.
4	A However, Ms. Nobili is the one that said
5	that. And when people were asking, and we were asking
6	her why they are saying that, she said, "Well, they
7	won't talk to us unless they say that," so.
8	Q But you're the one that gave this
9	statement
10	A That's correct.
11	Q isn't that true?
12	A That's correct.
13	MS. WHITE: I have nothing further. Thank
14	you.
15	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Staff?
16	MR. BOWMAN: Staff has a couple of
17	questions.
18	CROSS EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. BOWMAN:
20	Q Mr. Hamilton, in your summary you said that
21	BellSouth repair persons encouraged customers to
22	switch back to BellSouth. Can you cite any examples
23	of that?
24	A I did. I present them in my testimony.)
25	There was one, a Mr. Y customer. Okay. He has been a

subscriber to Supra and he subscribed to the inside wire maintenance plan. When the repairman from BellSouth arrived on his premise, the repairman told him that, No. 1, "I'm here from BellSouth and you're not a BellSouth customer and there's going to be a charge to fix your wiring." And that "This would not happen if you were a BellSouth customer and subscribed to our maintenance plan."

Q So it's your testimony that that was encouraging him to switch back to BellSouth?

- A The customer called me and said he's switching back to BellSouth because of the delay in getting his repair, and that -- because of what the BellSouth repairman had told him.
- Q Okay. When was the Supra repair number implemented? The toll-free repair number implemented?
- A It was implemented prior to my joining the company in August.
- Q Okay. You stated that you have no doubt that BellSouth customer service representatives coached customers to leave Supra. How do you arrive at that conclusion?
- A Okay. I don't know that I said coached them, but coached them to call us and ask us questions about our service.

1 Since we receive calls from customers, our 2 end users, my department does -- I noticed that 3 patterns were starting to develop and people were saying the same thing over and over again. And they 5 were saying, "Who is going to repair my phone?" Or things to that effect. And I made a test call to 6 7 BellSouth and that's the statement that they would make to me. 8 MR. BOWMAN: Okay. Staff has no further 9 questions. 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Commissioners? 11 Redirect. 12 MS. SUMMERLIN: Yes. 13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 14 15 BY MS. SUMMERLIN: Mr. Hamilton, when you executed this 0 16 affidavit in September of '97, the occasion -- the day 17 that you actually executed this, what kind of 18 situation had occurred that day? 19 Well, this is not the day. This was on the 20 9th. I had been fired by Ms. Nobili on the 4th of 21 September. 22 23 Q Did you have some feeling that in Ms. Nobili 24 was doing something inappropriate at Supra when she

was working there?

J	
1	A I had the feeling from the second day I had
2	worked with her that she was out to sabotage the
3	efforts of Supra.
4	Q Why did you think that?
5	A Many times she made statements, especially
6	even to our customers, that her working there was
7	helping BellSouth get into the long distance market.
8	And that her husband still worked at BellSouth and
9	she, you know this was her efforts.
10	Q Do you believe that Mr. Ramos at any time
11	ever had anything to do with trying to mislead
12	customers?
13	A No, not at all. Mr. Ramos wasn't there.
14	Q Were you upset because you had been fired by
15	Supra?
16	A Yes, I was.
17	Q Because Ms. Nobili was your supervisor in
18	that job that you were in?
19	A Yes, she was.
20	Q What subsequently happened at Supra?
21	A Ms. Nobili left the company. I believe she
22	was terminated. And Mr. Ramos asked me to come back.
23	Q When Mr. Ramos called you back, you decided
24	to go back to work for him?

A Yes. I wanted to go back to work there.

Is it your view that misleading customers is 1 Q 2 an appropriate way to run a phone company? 3 It's inappropriate, yes. When this affidavit was done, did you read 4 0 5 this carefully, everything that was written --6 A No, I didn't. And I didn't type it myself. 7 You did not type it? Q No, I did not. 8 You stated that Ms. Nobili's husband worked 9 for BellSouth? 10 From my understanding he still is. A 11 Yes. And she had worked there too. 12 Do you have any idea why Supra fired 13 Q Ms. Nobili? 14 A I do not. 15 As you stated earlier, you were in a 16 emotional state when you went to BellSouth about this 17 matter? 18 I was quite upset because there had been no 19 A reason for my firing that I felt. 20 What changed your mind and made you want to 21 Q 22 go back to work for this company? I felt that they were a good company and A 23 they were going on the right track now that she wasn't 24

there anymore.

- 1	l	
1	Q	Do you believe that Ms. Nobili influenced
2	other ind	ividuals in the company inappropriately that
3	were subo	rdinates?
4	A	Absolutely.
5	Q	Did she give them advice that was not
6	accurate,	in your understanding?
7	A	Absolutely.
8	Q	Did you complain about this to her?
9	A	To her directly, no, but I made Mr. Ramos
10	aware that	t I was concerned about some of the things
11	she was sa	aying.
12	Q	So do you believe she fired you because she
13	was upset	with you?
14	A	The only reason I was given was she said it
15	was the qu	uality of my work. I was totally taken by
16	surprise 1	by the whole thing.
17		MS. SUMMERLIN: Okay. No further questions.
18		COMMISSIONER DEASON: Exhibits.
19		MS. WHITE: Move exhibits 10, 11 and 12.
20		COMMISSIONER DEASON: Without objection,
21	Exhibits :	10, 11 and 12 are admitted.
22		MS. SUMMERLIN: I'd like to move Exhibit 9.
23		COMMISSIONER DEASON: Without objection
24	Exhibit 9	is admitted.
25		(Exhibits 9, 10, 11 and 12 received in

1 evidence.) COMMISSIONER DEASON: Thank you, 2 Mr. Hamilton. Ms. White, you may call your witness. 3 4 MS. WHITE: BellSouth calls Pat Finlen. 5 PATRICK FINLEN 6 7 was called as a witness on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and, having been duly sworn, 8 testified as follows: 9 10 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WHITE: 11 12 Would you please state your name and address 13 for the record? 14 Yes. My name as Pat Finlen. And I work at 675 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia. 15 By whom are you employed? 16 17 I'm employed with BellSouth 18 Telecommunications. 19 Q Have you previously caused to be prepared and prefiled in this case direct testimony consisting 20 of 17 pages? 21 22 A Yes. 23 Do you have any changes or corrections to 24 make to that direct testimony at this time? 25 No, I do not.

1	
1	$oldsymbol{Q}$ If I were to ask you the same questions that
2	are posed in your direct testimony today, would your
3	answers be the same?
4	A Yes.
5	MS. WHITE: Commissioner Deason, I'd like to
6	have the direct testimony inserted into the record as
7	though read.
8	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Without objection it
9	shall be so inserted.
10	Q (By Ms. White) Did you prepare three
11	exhibits PCF-1, PCF-2 and PCF-3 associated with your
12	direct testimony?
13	A Yes, I did.
14	Q Do you have any changes to those exhibits?
15	A Yes, I do. Those exhibits have the wrong
16	docket number on there. The docket number that is on
17	there is "980199-TP," and it should have been
18	"980119-TP."
19	Q Is that the only change or correction?
20	A Yes.
21	MS. WHITE: I'd like to have the exhibits
22	attached to Mr. Finlen's direct testimony be marked
23	identification.
24	COMMISSIONER DEASON: They will be
25	identified as Composite Exhibit 13.

1	
1	(Exhibit 13 marked for identification.)
2	Q (By Ms. White) Mr. Finlen, did you also
3	cause to be prepared and prefiled in this case
4	rebuttal testimony consisting of 23 pages?
5	A Yes, I did.
6	Q Do you have any changes to that testimony?
7	A No, I do not.
8	Q If I were to ask you the same questions
9	today that are contained in your prefiled rebuttal
10	testimony, would your answers be the same?
11	A Yes, they would.
12	MS. WHITE: I'd like to have the rebuttal
13	testimony of Mr. Finlen inserted into the record.
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Without objection it
15	shall be so inserted.
16	Q (By Ms. White) Did you prepare any
17	exhibits associated with your rebuttal testimony,
18	specifically PCF-4, PCF-5, PCF-6?
19	A Yes, I did.
20	Q Do you have any changes to those exhibits?
21	A No, I do not.
22	MS. WHITE: I'd like to have the exhibits
23	attached to Mr. Finlen's rebuttal testimony marked as
24	the next exhibit.
	1

COMMISSIONER DEASON: They will be

```
identified as Composite 14.
 1
                (Exhibit 14 marked for identification.)
 2
 3
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2		TESTIMONY OF PATRICK C. FINLEN
3		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4		DOCKET NO. 980119-TP
5		APRIL 1, 1998
6		
7		
8	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS, AND POSITION WITH
9		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. (HEREINAFTER
10		REFERRED TO AS "BELLSOUTH" OR "THE COMPANY").
11		
12	A.	My name is Patrick C. Finlen. I am employed by BellSouth as a
13		Manager in the Interconnection Services Pricing Department. My
14		business address is 675 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia
15		30375.
16		
17	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR CURRENT RESPONSIBILITIES.
18		
19	A.	I currently have the responsibilities of negotiating local interconnection
20		contracts with Alternative Local Exchange Companies ("ALECs"), and
21		monitoring BellSouth's compliance with negotiated ALEC contacts.
22		
23	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.
24		
25		

A. I received a Master of Arts Degree in Public and Private Management in 1994, and a Bachelor of Arts Degree in Accounting in 1985 from Birmingham-Southern College in Birmingham, Alabama. I also have an Associate of Science degree in Data Processing from Jefferson State Junior College in Birmingham, Alabama. I began employment with South Central Bell in 1977, and have held various positions in the Network Operations, Consumer Forecasting, Marketing, and Regulatory Departments before assuming my current responsibilities in the Interconnection Services Pricing organization.

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

A.

The purpose of my testimony is to address Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. (hereinafter referred to as "Supra") complaint against BellSouth, and to provide BellSouth's response to Supra's petition for resolution of disputes as to implementation and interpretation of the Interconnection, Resale, and Collocation Agreements with BellSouth. Specifically I will address the following issues:

Has BellSouth provided adequate written rules, regulations, codes, instructions, descriptions of procedures, other written materials, technical guidance, and actual support service, or made any modifications of procedures, if necessary, in timely fashion, to permit Supra to understand and utilize effectively BellSouth's procedures for billing, ordering, provisioning, installation, repair,

1		etc. that are essential to Supra's ability to provide local exchange
2		service on parity with BellSouth?
3		Has BellSouth acted appropriately in its billing of Supra and has
4		Supra timely paid its bills to BellSouth?
5		 Has BellSouth appropriately applied Sections A2.3.8A and A2.3.8E
6		of its General Subscriber Services Tariff to Supra?
7		Has BellSouth responded appropriately to consumer queries
8		regarding Supra?
9		 What relief, if any, should the Commission order for Supra or
10		BellSouth?
11		
12	Q.	HAS BELLSOUTH PURSUED A PATTERN OF DISCRIMINATORY
13		AND ANTI-COMPETITIVE ACTION AGAINST SUPRA?
14		
15	A.	No. BellSouth has never, nor does it ever intend to be discriminatory
16		or anti-competitive against Supra. BellSouth believes that each of its
17		wholesale business partners, of which Supra is one, are important.
18		BellSouth has expended enormous resources to assist each of its
19		interconnection customers in being successful in the local service
20		market place. BellSouth has never based its relationship with a
21		wholesale customer dependent on the size of that customer.
22		
23		In order to ensue that our ALEC customers are treated on an equitable
24		basis with our retail end users, all BellSouth managers who have
25		customer service responsibilities or who provide direct support to

1		customer-anecting operations must include a commitment addressing
2		service equity in their performance plans. BellSouth managers are
3		committed to providing excellent and equitable service and their
4		compensation is directly related to how well they meet these
5		commitments.
6		
7		In addition to personal performance commitments, executive letters
8		periodically are sent to the employee body stressing the need to not
9		only strive for strong performance in the area of providing the best
10		possible customer service, but our commitment to achieve these
11		objectives through professional, ethical business practices.
12		
13	Issue	No. 2
14	Q.	DOES BELLSOUTH OFFER TRAINING CLASSES THAT PROVIDE
15		THE NECESSARY INFORMATION FOR A NEW ALEC, SUCH AS
16		SUPRA, TO ENTER THE LOCAL EXCHANGE MARKET PLACE?
17		
18	A.	Yes. BellSouth offers numerous training classes to ALECs to assist
19		them in entering the local exchange market place. The following is a
20		brief list along with course description of some of the training that is
21		available to ALECs:
22		
23		CLEC BASIC
24		
25		

1	CLEC Basic is a 5-day course that covers pre-ordering, ordering,
2	provisioning, billing and maintenance of BellSouth products and
3	services.
4	
5	Specific topics include pricing of services, use of the CLEC Ordering
6	Guide, pre-ordering information requirements, use of BellSouth
7	databases, interpreting a Customer Service Record, filling out ordering
8	documents, order entry, the role of the Account Team, the provisioning
9	process for resale services, due date assignments, change and
10	cancellation policies, how each service is billed, maintenance policies
11	and procedures, and overall business procedures.
12	
13	OPERATIONS SUPPORT SYSTEMS (OSS)
14	BellSouth offers hands-on OSS training on Local Exchange Navigation
15	System (LENS), Electronic Data Interchange (EDI), and Trouble
16	Administration Facilitation Interface (TAFI).
17	
18	LENS provides electronic access to BellSouth databases and systems,
19	enabling ALECs to verify a customer's address, assign a telephone
20	number, determine the services and features available on a specific
21	central office switch, calculate a due date and check customer service
22	records.
23	
24	EDI interface is for ordering and provisioning orders for basic residence
25	and business local exchange services, and "switch as is" service.

1		ALECs can access EDI by building an interface to BellSouth's
2		specifications, or by utilizing a third-party software developer.
3		
4		TAFI is an interactive system that enables ALEC service
5		representatives to enter trouble reports, modify reports and receive
6		estimated times for repair completion.
7		
8		UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS
9		This is a 2-day class that addresses BellSouth's Unbundled Network
10		Elements (UNEs). The curriculum includes service description, ordering
11		procedures, implementation guidelines and billing and maintenance
12		procedures. Attendees gain knowledge on Network Interface Devices,
13		Unbundled Voice Loops, Unbundled Data Loops and a variety of other
14		Unbundled Network Elements.
15		
16	Q.	DID SUPRA TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE ABOVE TRAINING WHICH
17		PROVIDED THE NECESSARY INFORMATION REGARDING
18		BELLSOUTH'S PROCEDURES FOR BILLING, ORDERING,
19		PROVISIONING, INSTALLATION, REPAIR, ETC. SO THAT IT COULD
20		PROVIDE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICE ON PARITY WITH
21		BELLSOUTH?
22		
23	A.	Yes. Supra has taken advantage of several of BellSouth's training
24		classes. In July, 1997 Supra sent an employee to the Local Exchange
25		Navigational System (LENS) class. This employee was to go back to

Supra and train others on the use of LENS. Mr. Kay Ramos was also scheduled to attend LENS and TAFI classes during this time frame but declined to attend the classes. Instead, he wished to discuss with the Account Manager the setting up of a "Q" Account for Supra. BellSouth establishes "Q" Accounts for all its CLEC customers. The "Q" Account is nothing more than a master account number to be used for billing purposes (i.e., charges for services rendered by BellSouth to a CLEC will be billed to the master account number). On July 11, 1997 Mr. Ramos met with numerous representatives of BellSouth. These representatives explained to Mr. Ramos the billing options and technology available to Supra. Mr. Ramos also met with the LCSC Customer Manager dedicated to the Supra account. Telephone numbers and the BellSouth Resale Binder diskettes (which consist of ordering guides for Resale, instructions for filing out the LSR, examples of filled out LSRs etc.) were given to Supra at that time.

In August, 1997 Supra sent three new employees to Birmingham for training on submitting Access Service Requests (ASR). On August 28, 1997 these three employees also met with the LCSC Customer Manager dedicated to the Supra account, and a Supervisor in the LCSC who trained them on Local Service Request (LSR) completion and how to keep their orders out of clarification status. These individuals also met with a BellSouth Billing subject matter expert who again explained the billing options available to Supra. Hard copies of

1		the CLEC Binder, examples of forms, and "Q" Account numbers were
2		again given out so that Supra could do business with BellSouth.
3		
4	Q.	HAS BELLSOUTH MET WITH SUPRA AT OTHER TIMES TO
5		DISCUSS HOW BEST BELLSOUTH CAN ASSIST SUPRA IN BEING
6		SUCCESSFUL IN THE LOCAL EXCHANGE BUSINESS?
7		
8	A.	Yes. In October of 1997, an all day meeting was held with Mr. Ramos
9		and numerous representatives of BellSouth. Besides BellSouth's
10		Account Team for Supra, others in attendance from BellSouth were the
11		LCSC Customer Manager dedicated to the Supra account, Billing
12		experts, and Collocation and Unbundled Network Element subject
13		matter experts. Mr. Ramos was again advised of how BellSouth could
14		help him in his business. Another all day meeting was held in
15		December of 1997 with numerous representatives of BellSouth,
16		including collocation experts who covered with Supra the terms,
17		conditions, and processes for both physical and virtual collocation.
18		This included an in depth review of ordering forms (BSTEI-1 Forms),
19		collocation milestones, and collocation collateral.
20		
21		On January 29 th of this year BellSouth again met with representatives
22		of Supra to discuss the use of Unbundled Network Elements, and
23		collocation terms, conditions, and processes.
24		
25		

1	Q.	ARE ANNOUNCEMENTS REGARDING CHANGES IN
2		PROCEDURES, SPECIFICATIONS, AND NEW SERVICES READILY
3		AVAILABLE TO SUPRA?
4		
5	A.	Yes. BellSouth publicizes revisions to existing procedures,
6		specifications, and services as well as new procedures, specifications
7		and services, on the Internet. Exhibit PCF-1 to this testimony shows
8		several pages from the BellSouth Interconnection Service's website
9		showing Customer Announcements, On-line Customer Guides, and
10		Carrier Network Notifications.
11		
12	Issue	3.
13	Q.	DOES THE INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT ADDRESS HOW
14		SUPRA IS TO REMIT PAYMENT TO BELLSOUTH FOR SERVICES
15		PROVIDED BY BELLSOUTH?
16		
17	A.	Yes. Attachment 7 (Exhibit PCF-2) of the Interconnection Agreement
18		states that BellSouth has every right to expect payment for services
19		rendered to Supra in a timely manner. The agreement requires that
20		payments be made to BellSouth by the next bill date and payable in
21		immediately available funds. The agreement further states that if
22		payment is not received by the bill day in the month after the original
23		bill day then BellSouth may provide a written notice to Supra that
24		additional applications for service will be refused and that any pending
25		

1		orders for service will not be completed unless payment is received
2		fifteen days after the date of the notice.
3		
4	Q.	HAS SUPRA ADHERED TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE
5		AGREEMENT REGARDING PAYMENT?
6		
7	A.	No. Supra has repeatedly failed to pay in the manner prescribed by
8		the interconnection agreement. An example of this is that on February
9		18, 1998, after BellSouth left a message on Mr. Ramos's voice mail
10		regarding Supra's account with BellSouth. Mr. Ramos returned our call
11		and advised that Supra would overnight \$70,220.93 on February 24,
12		1998. A check for \$73,138.48 was received from Supra on February
13		26, 1998, however, after checking with the bank BellSouth found out
14		that funds to cover the check were not available. On the 27 th of
15		February, BellSouth checked with the bank twice and each time was
16		advised that funds to cover the check were not available. On March 2,
17		1998, the funds were finally available and the check was forwarded to
18		the Payment Remittance Office.
19		
20		Issue 4.
21	Q.	HAS BELLSOUTH APPROPRIATELY APPLIED SECTIONS A2.3.8A
22		AND A2.3.8B OF ITS GENERAL SUBSCRIBER SERVICE TARIFF TO
23		SUPRA?
24		
25		*

1	A.	Yes. BellSouth has appropriately applied sections A2.3.8A and
2		A2.3.8B of its General Subscriber Service Tariff to Supra.
3		
4	Q.	WHAT ISSUES DO THESE TWO SECTIONS ADDRESS?
5		
6	A.	These two sections address "Initial Service Periods" for the
7		"Establishment and Furnishing of Service" and state the following:
8		
9		A2.3.8A
10		"Unless otherwise specified, the rate for all services offered in this
11		tariff are monthly rates and the initial service period is one month
12		commencing with the date of installation of the service."
13		
14		A2.3.8B
15		"For all other services furnished with initial service periods exceeding
16		one month, the applicable initial service period is the number of months
17		indicated in brackets following the basic termination charge listed in
18		that section of this tariff containing the service offered except for those
19		services provided under Plan 1 and Plan 2 in other sections of this
20		Tariff."
21		
22	Q.	WHAT DOES BELLSOUTH DO WHEN SUPRA SENDS BELLSOUTH
23		AN ORDER SWITCHING AN END USER FROM BELLSOUTH TO
24		SUPRA, SUCH AS A RESALE ORDER?
25		

1	A.	When BellSouth receives a resale order from Supra, or any other
2		ALEC, the end-user's account is disconnected as a BellSouth
3		customer. The service is then reinstalled with Supra being the
4		customer of record. BellSouth renders a final bill to the former
5		BellSouth end user so that BellSouth can be paid for any services
6		rendered to the customer before that customer leaves BellSouth. The
7		final bill will also include any adjustments for services that have been
8		billed in advance prior to the service being canceled.
9		
10		As called for in Section A.2.3.8.A of the General Subscriber Service
11		Tariff, Supra is billed "commencing with the date of installation of the
12		service."
13		
14	Q.	WHAT BILLING TAKES PLACE IF A CUSTOMER WISHES TO
15		CHANGE FROM SUPRA BACK TO BELLSOUTH OR ANOTHER
16		ALEC?
17		
18	A.	The end user is reinstated as a BellSouth end user, and as called for in
19		the General Subscriber Service Tariff, is billed in advance for local
20		service beginning on the date the service is installed.
21		
22		Supra is rendered a final bill so that BellSouth can be paid for any
23		services previously provided to Supra. If the service was in for less
24		than the "Initial Service Period," then Supra will be billed the "Initial
25		Service Period "

	•	۱	
		ı	

2	Q.	HAS SUPRA EVER PURCHASED ANY SERVICES WHERE THE
3		INITIAL PERIOD IS GREATER THAN ONE MONTH?

5 A. No.

7 Q. SINCE SUPRA HAS NOT PURCHASED ANY BELLSOUTH

8 SERVICES FROM THE GENERAL SERVICE SUBSCRIBER TARIFF

9 WHERE THE INITIAL SERVICE PERIOD IS GREATER THAN ONE

10 MONTH, WHAT SERVICES WOULD SUPRA PURCHASE WHERE

11 SECTION A2.3.8B WOULD BE APPLICABLE?

An example of a service that Supra may wish to resale that has an Initial Service Period of more than one month is Native Mode LAN Interconnection (NMLI) Service. This service is described in section A40.3 of the General Subscriber Service Tariff. The minimum service period for this service is 12 months. If Supra resells this service to an end user, and that end user decides they no longer want to use Supra as their local service provider for this service, after say 8 months, Supra is rendered a final bill for the remaining minimum service period of 4 months.

23 Issue 5.

1	Q.	WHAT DOES BELLSOUTH DO WHEN A BELLSOUTH END USER
2		SWITCHES TO ONE OF ITS RETAIL COMPETITORS, SUCH AS
3		SUPRA?
4		
5	A.	When a BellSouth end user switches to a retail competitor, such as
6		Supra, the appropriate BellSouth retail unit mails a notification letter to
7		the end user advising them that their request to switch local service
8		has been completed and that BellSouth hopes to have the opportunity
9		to serve the customer in the near future. This notification is mailed
10		after the completion of changing the service from BellSouth to that of
11		an ALEC.
12		
13	Q.	IF A SUPRA END USER CALLS BELLSOUTH TO DISPUTE THEIR
14		SUPRA BILL, DOES BELLSOUTH ADVISE THE END USER THAT
15		THEY DO NOT HAVE TO PAY THEIR SUPRA BILL?
16		
17	A.	No. The interconnection agreement is very clear on this matter.
18		"BellSouth will not become involved in billing disputes that may arise
19		between Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. and
20		its customer." (Attachment 7, Paragraph 1.3)
21		
22		If an end user contacts BellSouth regarding their bill from another local
23		service provider, BellSouth's customer contact personnel advises the
24		customer that they need to contact the entity that has sent the bill.
25		BellSouth's customer contact personnel never advise an end user to

1		not pay their bill. However, BellSouth's customer contact personnel
2		will advise an end user to call the Public Service Commission if the end
3		user has a complaint against their local service provider, such as when
4		the end user is switched without authorization (i.e., "slammed").
5		
6	Q.	DOES BELLSOUTH ADVISE END USER CUSTOMERS THAT IF
7		THEY USE SUPRA OR ANY OTHER ALEC FOR THEIR LOCAL
8		SERVICE THAT THEY LOSE THEIR OPPORTUNITY TO ADVERTISE
9		IN THE YELLOW PAGES OR LOSE ACCESS TO THE INTERNET?
10		
11	A.	No. Employees of BellSouth Telecommunications do not advise any,
12		either ALEC s or BellSouth's end users on advertising in the yellow
13		pages. End users wishing to advertise in the yellow pages need only
14		contact BellSouth Advertising and Publishing Company (BAPCO) to
15		sign up for advertising in the yellow pages. Like BellSouth
16		Telecommunications, BAPCO is a subsidiary of BellSouth Corporation.
17		These are two separate entities.
18		
19		BellSouth does not nor has ever advised an end user that they would
20		lose access to the Internet if they were to switch their service from
21		BellSouth to an ALEC. With the incalculable number of ways to access
22		the Internet, this allegation is preposterous.
23		
24	Q.	HAVE THERE BEEN SPECIFIC SITUATIONS WHERE CUSTOMERS
25		HAVE CONTACTED BELLSOUTH REGARDING SUPRA?

4	
-1	

Yes. At one point in time Supra even implied to end users that it was in partnership with BellSouth and was using BellSouth's name and trademarks when presenting itself to end users.

As can be seen in the attached October 6, 1997 letter (Exhibit PCF-3) from R. J. Campbell, Vice President - Marketing, Supra admitted to using BellSouth's name and/or trademarks "in negotiations with existing or potential Supra customers." Supra agreed to "use terms such as local service provider, total exchange carrier etc."

The other situation in which Supra's name arose is in conversations between BellSouth and end users, when end users called BellSouth to complain that their service was switched without authorization.

16 Issue 6

Α.

17 Q. SHOULD THE COMMISSION GRANT THE EMERGENCY RELIEF
18 THAT IS BEING SOUGHT BY SUPRA?

No. Supra is simply asking for preferential treatment in how it should pay its bills to BellSouth. As stated previously BellSouth has every right to expect payment for services rendered to Supra in a timely manner. The Interconnection Agreement requires that payments be made to BellSouth by the next bill date and payable in immediately available funds. The agreement further states that if payment is not

1		received by the bill day in the month after the original bill day then
2		BellSouth may provide a written notice to Supra that additional
3		applications for service will be refused and that any pending orders for
4		service will not be completed unless payment is received fifteen days
5		after the date of the notice. Supra has repeatedly failed to pay in the
6		manner prescribed by the interconnection agreement.
7		
8		Supra's request that a BellSouth staff member be assigned to Supra is
9		totally unnecessary. As I have stated previously there is already a
10		LCSC Customer Manager responsible for the Supra account, along
11		with an Account Executive.
12		
13	Q.	WHAT RELIEF SHOULD THE COMMISSION ORDER FOR
14		BELLSOUTH?
15		
16	A.	The Commission should order Supra to begin paying its bills on time.
17		Supra's method of paying its bills late and with instruments where
18		funds are not "immediately available" is both unacceptable and in
19		violation of the Interconnection Agreement. If Supra is allowed to
20		continue paying in this manner, then Supra is in essence gaining an
21		unfair advantage over its competitors who are paying their bills on time
22		
23	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
24		
25	A.	Yes.



1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF PATRICK C. FINLEN
3		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4		DOCKET NO. 980119-TP
5		APRIL 15, 1998
6		
7		
8	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS, AND POSITION WITH
9		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. (HEREINAFTER
10		REFERRED TO AS "BELLSOUTH" OR "THE COMPANY").
11		
12	A.	My name is Patrick C. Finlen. I am employed by BellSouth as a
13		Manager in the Interconnection Services Pricing Department. My
14		business address is 675 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia
15		30375.
16		
17	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME PATRICK C. FINLEN WHO FILED DIRECT
18		TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
19		
20	A.	Yes.
21		
22	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?
23		
24	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to address several issues that were
25		raised in Mr. Olukayoda A. Ramos' and Mr. Bradford Hamilton's, both

of Supra Telecommunications & Information Systems, Inc., (hereinafter referred to as "Supra"), direct testimony in this docket. Specifically, I will address the following issues:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- The process used for negotiation of the Interconnection Agreement between BellSouth and Supra;
- Supra's assertion that BellSouth has acted inappropriately in its billing of Supra, and that Supra has remitted timely payments to BellSouth;
- The appropriateness of BellSouth's application of Sections A2.3.8A
 and A2.3.8B of the General Subscriber Service Tariff to Supra;
- The allegation that BellSouth has acted inappropriately when customers queried BellSouth contact personnel regarding Supra, and that BellSouth has undertaken an anti-competitive campaign against Supra;
- The appropriateness of the charge for switching end-users from BellSouth to Supra and the billing of one month's service in advance; and
- Supra's request that the Florida Public Service Commission require BellSouth customer contact personnel to acknowledge to customer inquiries that Supra is a certificated alternative local exchange company, to tell Supra's customers to contact Supra when reporting problems, to stop advising customers to file complaints with the Commission, to stop making derogatory and untrue statements regarding Supra to customers, and to stop sending retention letters to new Supra customers.

2	Q.	WHEN DID SUPRA FIRST BECOME A BELLSOUTH WHOLESALE
3		CUSTOMER?
4		
5	A.	On May 28, 1997, BellSouth and Supra executed an agreement for the
6		resale of BellSouth's telecommunications services. An interconnection
7		agreement was successfully executed on October 31, 1997. Both of
8		these agreements have been filed and approved by the Public Service
9		Commission.
10		
11	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROCESS AND TIMELINE FOR THE
12		NEGOTIATION OF THE INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WITH
13		SUPRA.
14		
15	A.	On Friday, October 17, 1997, Supra contacted BellSouth requesting
16		negotiation of an interconnection agreement with BellSouth. On this
17		day BellSouth Federal Expressed a draft interconnection agreement to
18		Mr. Kay Ramos at Supra for his review. On Monday, October 20, 1997
19		Mr. Ramos received the draft interconnection agreement and promptly
20		executed the draft agreement (Exhibit PCF-4). Once Mr. Ramos
21		signed the draft, he immediately Federal Expressed it back to
22		BellSouth, where it was received on October 21, 1997.
23		
24		On October 21, 1997, I called Mr. Ramos and asked if he truly wanted
25		to execute an agreement this soon. I asked if he had any questions

1		regarding the agreement, or if he needed some time to review or have
2		his attorney review the agreement. He indicated he was okay with the
3		agreement and was ready to sign.
4		
5		On Thursday, October 23, 1997, I Federal Expressed to Mr. Ramos a
6		hard copy of the interconnection agreement for his execution. On the
7		morning of Saturday, October 25, 1997, Mr. Ramos paged me. I
8		promptly called Mr. Ramos from my residence and asked how I could
9		help him. He wanted to know where he could find the rate for DS3
10		service in his contract. I advised him that I didn't know at that time, but
11		I would be happy to advise him on Monday, October 27, 1997, when I
12		got back to my office. On Monday I called Mr. Ramos and advised that
13		DS3 service was not contained in the agreement but that he could
14		purchase this service out of the Access Service tariff. He seemed
15		satisfied with this answer.
16		
17		Mr. Ramos executed the agreement on Monday, October 27, 1997,
18		and promptly Federal Expressed it back to me for the BellSouth
19		representative's signature. On Friday, October 31, 1997, Jerry Hendrix
20		signed the agreement on behalf of BellSouth.
21		
22	Q.	DURING THE NEGOTIATION PROCESS DID SUPRA ASK IF IT
23		COULD OBTAIN AN INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT THAT
24		WOULD BE SUPERIOR TO EXISTING AGREEMENTS BETWEEN
25		BELLSOUTH AND OTHER ALECS?

2 A.	No. Supra did not ask if it could obtain an interconnection agreement
3	that would be superior to existing Interconnection Agreements that
4	BellSouth had entered into with other ALECs. Mr. Ramos was very
5	anxious to sign an Interconnection Agreement with BellSouth and did
5	not wish to discuss terms, conditions, or rates.

Q. DID SUPRA EVER ASK HOW THE RATES CONTAINED IN THE
 INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT WERE ESTABLISHED FOR
 UNBUNDLED NETWORK ELEMENTS?

A. Yes. Mr. Ramos called me a couple of weeks after the execution of the Interconnection Agreement to ask how the rates in the Interconnection Agreement were established. I advised him that these were the same rates that were contained in the AT&T, Sprint, and MCIm agreements and were the result of arbitration and had been set by the Florida Public Service Commission in Order No. PSC-96-1579-FOF-TP. He seemed satisfied with this response.

On January 9, 1998, Mr. Ramos called me and was upset regarding the rates in the Interconnection Agreement for unbundled network elements in Florida. I advised Mr. Ramos the agreement had already been signed and the rates in the Agreement were the best BellSouth had to offer at that time. I reiterated that the rates had been set by the Florida Public Service Commission in Order No. PSC-96-1579-FOF-TP.

٠	2	ı		
ī	1	ı		
	ı	ı		

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1		
2	Q.	HAS BELLSOUTH ACTED INAPPROPRIATELY, AS ALLEGED BY
3		SUPRA, IN ITS BILLING OF CHARGES TO SUPRA?
4		
5	A.	Absolutely not. BellSouth has never acted inappropriately nor anti-
6		competitively in its billing of charges for services rendered by BellSouth
7		to Supra.
8		
9	Q.	DOES BELLSOUTH CHARGE A DISCONNECTION FEE OF \$29.41
10		FOR DISCONNECTING SERVICE WHERE AN END USER HAS
11		DECIDED TO SWITCH BACK TO BELLSOUTH?
12		
13	A.	No. BellSouth does not charge a disconnection fee of \$29.41.
14		However, BellSouth does charge an ALEC \$19.41 if it is determined
15		that an end user has been switched by that ALEC without that end
16		user's authorization (i.e., "slammed"). This charge is in Section VI,

Paragraph F of the Resale Agreement with Supra. In addition to the unauthorized change charge, Supra is billed a "Secondary Service Charge" of \$10.00 for residential service and \$19.00 for Business service. As called for in Section III, Paragraph A, and Exhibit B of the Resale Agreement, these charges are discounted by 21.83% and 16.81% for residential and business services, respectively. The "Secondary Service Charge" is defined in A4.1 of the General Subscriber Service Tariff, and "applies per customer request for receiving, recording, and processing of customer requests to change

1		services or add new or additional services." Supra will also be billed
2		for service, as called for in Section A.2.3.8.A of the General Subscriber
3		Service Tariff, "commencing with the date of installation of the service."
4		
5	Q.	BY WHAT AUTHORITY IS BELLSOUTH BILLING SUPRA FOR
6		SERVICES IN ADVANCE?
7		
8	A.	Section VII, Paragraph E of the Resale Agreement with Supra provides
9		BellSouth the authority to bill for services in advance. This paragraph
10		states the following:
11		
12		"The Company will bill Reseller, in advance, charges for
13		all services to be provided during the ensuing billing
14		period except charges associated with service usage,
15		which charges will be billed in arrears. Charges will be
16		calculated on an individual end user account level,
17		including, if applicable, any charges for usage or usage
18		allowances. BellSouth will also bill all charges, including
19		but not limited to 911 and E911 charges,
20		telecommunications relay charges, and franchise fees, to
21		Reseller."
22		
23	Q.	HAS SUPRA SUBMITTED PAYMENTS TO BELLSOUTH FOR
24		SERVICES RENDERED IN A TIMELY MANNER AS STATED IN
25		MR. RAMOS' TESTIMONY ON PAGE 42 LINES 19 AND 20?

24

- 2 A. No. Supra has a history of paying late and with funds that are not immediately available as called for in the Interconnection 3 Agreement. The following is a record of Supra's payment history: 4 5 On October 13, 1997 Mr. Gonzales of Supra advised that a 6 check for \$100,000 would be mailed that day. This 7 arrangement was not kept. 8 On October 17, 1997, Mr. Ramos called and made 9 arrangements to Federal Express \$125,000 on October 17, 10 and overnight \$422,777.62 on November 1, 1997. BellSouth 11 received a check for \$128,265.73 on October 20, 1997. 12 On October 31, 1997 Mr. Ramos advised that Supra would 13 send \$150,000 via Federal Express, and the balance of their 14 account on November 5, 1997. BellSouth received a check for 15 \$150,000 on November 5, 1997, but Supra failed to send the 16 balance as promised on November 5, 1997. 17 Mr. Campbell of Supra made the following arrangements on 18 November 11,1997: Supra would Federal Express \$272,755.43 19 20 on November 20, and the balance of \$120,835.43 on December 1, 1997. The payment for \$272,755.43 was not 21 received on the 20th as promised. 22
 - On November 24, 1997 Mr. Campbell wanted to send \$100,000 that day. BellSouth advised Mr. Campbell that if \$272,755.43 was not received by November 25, 1997, then BellSouth would,

- as called for in the Interconnection and Resale Agreements,
 stop processing orders from Supra.
- On November 25, 1997 Mr. Ramos advised that \$100, 000
 would be sent that day, \$114,492.99 on December 2, 1997,
 and \$79,000 on December 5. On December 1st a check for \$100,000 was received, however the arrangements for the 2nd
 and 5th of December were not kept.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- On December 10, 1997, the Local Carrier Service Center ("LCSC") called Mr. Ramos and left a message on his voice mail for him to call BellSouth to make payment arrangements for Supra's account.
- A check for \$203,724.39 was received from Supra on December 22, 1997.
- On January 12, 1998, the LCSC called Mr. Campbell and left a voice mail message for Supra to contact BellSouth regarding its account.
- The LCSC again called Mr. Campbell on January 14, 1998, to
 make arrangements regarding Supra's account. He advised
 that we needed to contact another Supra employee named
 Emanuel about payment arrangements. The LCSC called his
 number and left a message on his voice mail to call BellSouth to
 make arrangements on Supra's account.
- On January 15, 1998, a letter was sent to Mr. Ramos advising that a payment of \$83,879.68 was needed by January 21, 1998, or BellSouth would stop processing orders for Supra.

 On January 21, 1998, BellSouth stopped processing orders for Supra. Mr. Ramos called and advised that a check for \$83,860.82 would be sent overnight on the 27th of January.

- A check for \$79,107.85 was received on January 26, 1998, however, the bank on which the check was drawn advised twice that day, once in the morning and once in the afternoon, that funds were not available. The bank was contacted the next day and advised again that funds were still not available. On January 28, 1998, the bank advised that funds were now available to cover the check. On January 28th at 5:45 PM a check for \$8,299.36 was received.
- On January 29, 1998 BellSouth resumed processing orders from Supra.
- On February 18, 1998 the LCSC again attempted to contact Mr. Ramos regarding Supra's account. A message was left on his voice mail. He returned BellSouth's call and advised that he would send a check for \$70,220.93 on February 24th. On the 26th of February a check for \$70,138.48 was received but according to the bank, funds were not available to cover the check. The LCSC contacted the bank twice on February 27th to see if funds were available to cover the check. The bank advised that funds were not available. On March 2, 1998 the bank finally advised the check was now good.
- On the 12th and 13th of March the LCSC called and left a voice mail message for Mr. Ramos regarding Supra's account. On

1		March 16, 1998, the LCSC called Mr. Ramos regarding the
2		amount due of \$136,341.82 of which \$72,519.15 was
3		delinquent. Mr. Ramos advised that he would send \$72,519.15
4		on the 19 th of March. This was not received until March 25,
5		1998, the day he filed direct testimony in this docket.
6		
7	Q.	DID BELLSOUTH HOLD A CHECK IT HAD RECEIVED ON JANUARY
8		26, 1998 SO THAT BELLSOUTH COULD WIN BACK A LARGE
9		CUSTOMER OF SUPRA'S, AS ALLEGED BY MR. RAMOS ON PAGE
10		39 OF HIS TESTIMONY?
11		
12	A.	Absolutely not. BellSouth did not hold a check it had received from
13		Supra for \$79,107.85 on January 26, 1998 so that BellSouth could win
14		back a large Supra customer as alleged by Mr. Ramos. The reason
15		the check was held was because Supra's bank, First Union Bank of
16		Miami, advised BellSouth that funds were not currently available to
17		cover the check. However, once funds became available, BellSouth
18		began processing orders for Supra. It had nothing to do with a Supra
19		customer.
20		
21	Q.	DOES BELLSOUTH SEND OUT LETTERS TO CUSTOMERS WHO
22		HAVE SWITCHED THEIR LOCAL SERVICE FROM BELLSOUTH TO
23		ONE OF ITS LOCAL SERVICE COMPETITORS, SUCH AS SUPRA?
24		
25		

1	A.	Yes. BellSouth does send "acknowledgment of switch" letters,
2		advising customers that their request to switch their local service has
3		been completed. This letter further advises customers that if they did
4		not request to have their local service switched they should call
5		BellSouth, and if they want to return to BellSouth as a customer, we
6		would be glad to have them back. There is nothing "anti-competitive"
7		associated with these letters as Mr. Ramos has charged.
8		
9	Q.	WHEN DOES BELLSOUTH SEND THE "ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF
10		SWITCH" LETTER?
11		
12	A.	BellSouth sends out the "acknowledgment of switch" letter after an end
13		user's local service has been switched from BellSouth to an ALEC,
14		such as Supra. However, in June of last year it was discovered the
15		letter was being sent before an end user's service had been
16		disconnected. This error was corrected in August of last year.
17		
18	Q.	IS SUPRA'S BELIEF THAT BELLSOUTH HAS INAPPROPRIATELY
19		APPLIED SECTIONS A2.3.8A AND A2.3.8B OF THE GENERAL
20		SUBSCRIBER SERVICE TARIFF CORRECT?
21		
22	A.	No. BellSouth has appropriately applied Sections A2.3.8A and A2.3.8B
23		of the General Subscriber Service Tariff. As I stated in my direct
24		testimony these two sections address the "Initial Service Periods" for
25		"Establishment and Furnishing of Service" and not for advance

1		payment of services as stated in Mr. Ramos' testimony on page 44,
2		lines 14 and 15. However, Section A2.4.3B of the General Subscriber
3		Service Tariff clearly states that services will be billed in advance, and
4		the resale agreement in Section VII, Paragraph E also provides
5		authorization for BellSouth to bill for services in advance
6		
7	Q.	DOES BELLSOUTH CHARGE SUPRA A DISCONNECTION FEE
8		WHEN A CUSTOMER SWITCHES "TO SUPRA FOR ONLY A FEW
9		DAYS AND THEN" SWITCHES BACK TO BELLSOUTH?
10		
11	A.	No. BellSouth does not charge for the disconnection of service. There
12		is, however, a charge of \$19.41 that will be and has been previously
13		charged to Supra for switching an end user from BellSouth to Supra
14		without authorization from the end user (i.e., "slammed"). When this
15		happens Supra will not only be billed the charge for slamming the
16		customer, but also the "Secondary Service Charge" which is \$10.00 for
17		residence and \$19.00 for business services, less the appropriate resale
18		discount.
19		
20	Q.	SHOULD THE COMMISSION REQUIRE BELLSOUTH TO MODIFY
21		ITS TARIFF SO THAT ALECS ARE NOT CHARGED FOR SERVICE
22		IN ADVANCE?
23		
24	A.	No. The Commission should not require BellSouth to modify its tariff so
25		that ALECs are not charged for service in advance. Allowing ALECs to

pay in arrears would put BellSouth at a serious disadvantage. BellSouth would be billing its end-users in advance but allowing ALECs to be billed in arrears. Not only is this discriminatory against consumers but would require BellSouth to modify its billing systems to accommodate the way billing is done for the same service.

Q. HAS BELLSOUTH CHARGED TWICE FOR THE SAME SERVICE AS
 ALLEGED BY SUPRA?

Α.

No. BellSouth has not charged twice for the same service as alleged by Supra. When BellSouth receives an order from Supra to switch an end-user from BellSouth, BellSouth will render a final bill to the former BellSouth end user. The final bill is necessary so that BellSouth can be paid for any services rendered to the customer before that customer leaves BellSouth. The final bill will also include any adjustments for services that have been billed in advance prior to the service being canceled.

However, if an end user has been switched without authorization, the end user is reinstated as a BellSouth end user. The end user will be billed in advance for local service beginning on the date the customer is reinstated. Supra will be charged, as called for in A2.3.8A of the General Subscriber Service Tariff, for the initial period if the service is for less than the initial period. Also, in accordance with the resale agreement, Supra will be billed an unauthorized change charge and the

1		non-recurring charge required to switch the end user back to their
2		desired local service company.
3		
4	Q.	HAVE BELLSOUTH'S CUSTOMER SERVICE REPRESENTATIVES
5		ACTED INAPPROPRIATELY TO INQUIRIES REGARDING SUPRA,
6		AS SUPRA HAS PURPORTED?
7		
8	A.	No. BellSouth has made it very clear to its retail customer service
9		representatives, as well as to all employees, not to make disparaging
10		remarks or criticize any competitors to end users. In addition to
11		managers meeting with individuals under their supervision, Company
12		newsletters and executive letters are sent out periodically to the
13		employee body (Exhibit PCF-5).
14		
15		However, with over 350 signed agreements and inquiries from new
16		entrants wishing to enter the local exchange market being received
17		everyday, there is no way that BellSouth's customer service
18		representatives can be aware of every new ALEC, including Supra,
19		that is operating in the BellSouth region.
20		
21	Q.	HAS BELLSOUTH ADVISED SUPRA'S CUSTOMERS THAT THEY
22		CAN NOT ADVERTISE IN THE YELLOW PAGES, THAT THEY WILL
23		LOSE ACCESS TO THE INTERNET, OR THAT THEY SHOULD NOT
24		PAY THEIR BILLS?
25		

Α. 1 No. As I have stated in my direct testimony, BellSouth does not advise 2 Supra's, or any other ALEC's, customers that they can't advertise in the 3 yellow pages or will be unable to access the Internet if they choose an ALEC for local service. BellSouth's customer contact personnel also do 4 5 not inform customers that they don't have to pay their bills from other local service providers, including Supra. If they receive an inquiry from 6 a customer regarding their bill from another entity, they advise the 7 customer to contact the entity that issued the bill. 8 9 If an end user, however, wishes to make a complaint against their local 10 service provider, such as when an end user's service is switched 11 without authorization, then BellSouth's customer contact personnel will 12 advise that end user to contact the appropriate regulatory authority, 13

16

Commission.

14

15

17 Q. IS THERE ANY TRUTH TO MR. HAMILTON'S ASSERTION THAT
18 BELLSOUTH'S CUSTOMER CONTACT PERSONNEL "COACH" END
19 USERS TO CONTACT THEIR LOCAL SERVICE PROVIDER TO ASK
20 QUESTIONS, SUCH AS "WHO WILL REPAIR MY PHONE IF IT GOES
21 OUT OF ORDER?"

such as the Federal Communications Commission or a Public Service

22

23 A. No. There is no truth to Mr. Hamilton's statement that BellSouth's

24 customer contact personnel have coached end users to contact their

25 local service provider to ask questions, such as "who will repair my

1		phone if it goes out of order." Furthermore Mr. Hamilton has offered
2		no specifics of when this allegation occurred.
3		
4	Q.	IS THERE ANY TRUTH TO MR. RAMOS' ALLEGATION THAT
5		BELLSOUTH HAS TAKEN AN ANTI-COMPETITIVE CAMPAIGN
6		AGAINST SUPRA THAT INCLUDED TARGETING SPECIFIC
7		BUSINESS AND ASSOCIATION CUSTOMERS?
8		
9	A.	No. BellSouth has not conducted an anti-competitive campaign against
10		Supra, nor has Mr. Ramos offered any details in his testimony as to
11		what this alleged campaign consisted of or when it occurred.
12		
13	Q.	IS BELLSOUTH A MEMBER OF THE UNITED STATES TELEPHONE
14		ASSOCIATION?
15		
16	A.	Yes. BellSouth is a member of the United States Telephone
17		Association as Mr. Ramos has stated in his testimony.
18		
19	Q.	WHAT IS THE UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION?
20		
21	A.	The United States Telephone Association is the nation's only forum for
22		small, mid-size, and large local exchange carriers. It is made up of
23		over 1,200 companies worldwide. This organization provides a
24		common ground where local telephone companies of all sizes can unite
25		to advance the industry's concerns.

2	Q.	ARE ANY ALECS MEMBERS OF THE UNITED STATES TELEPHONE
3		ASSOCIATION?
4		
5	A.	Although I'm not aware of any ALECs that are full members of the
6		association, there are numerous ALECs who are associate members of

the organization. Some of these are American Communications

8 Services Inc. (ACSI), TCI Inc., and WilTel now known as WorldCom.

10 Q. HAS THE UNITED STATES TELEPHONE ASSOCIATION

11 UNDERTAKEN AN ADVERTISING CAMPAIGN CALLED "CALL THEM

12 ON IT" AS STATED ON PAGE 47 OF MR. RAMOS' TESTIMONY AND

13 ON PAGE 10 OF MR. HAMILTON'S TESTIMONY?

Α.

Yes. The United States Telephone Association does have a campaign called "Call Them On It" as both Mr. Ramos and Mr. Hamilton have stated in their testimony. This campaign however, does not target the ALEC industry. It is more an information type campaign for consumers, and is very similar to the campaign conducted by this Commission (Exhibit PCF-6). The purpose of this campaign is to assist consumers in making decisions when selecting a local exchange company. As Mr. Hamilton points out on Page 10, Line 10 of his testimony, the campaign is aimed at long distance companies, not at ALECs as Mr. Hamilton and Mr. Ramos are attempting to lead the Commission to believe. The website for this campaign, www.callthemonit.com, is not "full of

1 propaganda designed to discourage consumers from selecting an ALEC for their local telephone service." What this site consists of is 2 information regarding the Telecommunications Act of 1996, questions 3 consumers should ask when selecting a local exchange carrier, 4 information regarding the investment that local exchange companies 5 make in the network, and the community mindedness of local 6 telephone companies. I find it interesting that Mr. Hamilton points at 7 none of the information on the website as being incorrect or misleading. 8 9 Instead he labels the information propaganda. Unfortunately, it seems that Mr. Hamilton believes that "good customers are uninformed 10 11 customers."

12

Q. SHOULD THE COMMISSION STOP BELLSOUTH FROM CHARGING 13 A "SECONDARY SERVICE CHARGE" OF \$19.00, A 14 RECONNECTION CHARGE OF \$29.14, AND ONE MONTH'S 15 SERVICE WHEN A CUSTOMER SWITCHES TO SUPRA AS MR. 16 RAMOS HAS REQUESTED ON PAGE 48, LINES 3-6, OF HIS 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

TESTIMONY?

No. As I have stated previously BellSouth has every right to bill Supra for one month's service in advance. As defined in A4.1 of the General Subscriber Service Tariff, the "Secondary Service Charge" of \$19.00 "applies per customer request for receiving, recording, and processing of customer requests to change services or add new or additional services." This would include transfers of responsibility, changing from

1		residence to business service and vice versa, rearrangements or drop
2		wires, protectors, and/or network interfaces. etc. Supra is requesting
3		that BellSouth incur the costs of transferring a customer to Supra
4		without being able to recover the costs associated with such transfer.
5		
6		Other than the charge of \$19.41 for switching an end user's local
7		service without authorization, I don't know what Mr. Ramos is referring
8		to regarding the \$29.14, "reconnection charge" for switching a
9		customer to Supra.
10		
11	Q.	SHOULD THE COMMISSION ORDER BELLSOUTH TO PROVIDE
12		DIRECTORY ADVERTISING TO SUPRA OR OTHER ALECS THAT
13		ARE PROVIDING ALTERNATIVE LOCAL EXCHANGE SERVICES?
14		
15	A.	No. If Supra or any other ALEC wishes to advertise in the directory, all
16		they have to do is contact BellSouth Advertising and Publishing
17		Corporation ("BAPCO"), a subsidiary of BellSouth Corporation. It
18		seems that what Supra is requesting is free advertising.
19		
20		There are provisions already in place, of which Supra is already aware,
21		for including Supra's name and contact telephone number in the
22		customer guide of the telephone book, if this is what Mr. Ramos is
23		requesting on page 48 of his direct testimony. BAPCO has already
24		sent a form to Supra that only needs to be filled out and returned so
25		

1		that when the next telephone book is published then Supra's name and
2		contact telephone numbers will appear.
3		
4	Q.	HAS BELLSOUTH ACTED IN AN ANTI-COMPETITIVE AND
5		DISCRIMINATORY MANNER AGAINST SUPRA AS MR. RAMOS
6		CONTENDS ON PAGES 48 AND 49 OF HIS TESTIMONY WHEN HE
7		IS ASKING FOR RELIEF BY THIS COMMISSION?
8		
9	A.	Absolutely not. BellSouth has not and never will conduct an anti-
10		competitive campaign, or act in a discriminatory manner against Supra
11		or any other ALEC. Mr. Ramos' request that BellSouth acknowledge to
12		customer inquiries that Supra is a certificated local exchange provider
13		is nothing more than an indirect way for Supra to receive free
14		advertising at BellSouth's expense. If a customer does inquire about
15		Supra's certification, then they are advised to contact the Commission
16		to determine the information. BellSouth should not be made to keep a
17		list updated for all customer contact personnel of every certificated local
18		exchange carrier in the BellSouth region.
19		
20		If a customer contacts BellSouth about a problem with Supra, then
21		BellSouth has every right to direct the customer to the proper regulatory
22		body to resolve that problem. It is evident that if an end user is
23		contacting BellSouth regarding a problem they are having with Supra,
24		then either Supra would not or could not resolve their difficulty. Why
25		else would a customer contact BellSouth with a problem with Supra?

1		Again, Mr. Ramos has offered no specifics regarding the statement that
2		BellSouth's employees are making derogatory or untrue statements
3		regarding Supra.
4		
5		Mr. Ramos' request that the Commission should stop BellSouth from
6		sending out retention letters to customers who have left BellSouth for
7		Supra is preposterous. BellSouth does not currently send out retention
8		letters when a customer switches local service. As I have said before
9		BellSouth sends out an "acknowledgment of switch" letter to customers
10		after their service has been changed to their new local provider.
11		
12		However, BellSouth has a right to send "win back" letters to its former
13		customers in the future. There is nothing unethical with a business
14		contacting its former customers and trying to win them back. This is the
15		same tactic used by businesses everywhere. An example of this is
16		when a customer switches their long distance service provider; the
17		former provider contacts its former customer to ask why they left and try
18		to win them back. This is what happens in a competitive environment.
19		It appears from Supra's request that it is afraid to compete for
20		customers in an ethical manner using normal business practices .
21		
22	Q.	IS SUPRA STILL USING BELLSOUTH'S NAME AND/OR
23		TRADEMARKS WHEN CONTACTING END USERS?
24		
25		

1	A.	Yes. As can be seen in Exhibit OAR-4 of Mr. Ramos' direct testimony,
2		Supra is still using the BellSouth name when corresponding with its end
3		users. Not only is Supra using the name BellSouth but is also making
4		untrue statements by telling their end users that it's BellSouth's fault
5		that Supra can not provide an itemized bill.
6		
7		The continued use of the BellSouth name is in direct violation of
8		Supra's commitment that it would cease using the BellSouth name.
9		This commitment was made in an October 6, 1997, letter from Mr. R. J.
10		Campbell, Vice President - Marketing of Supra to BellSouth and is
11		shown in Exhibit PCF-3 of my direct testimony.
12		
13	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
14		
15	A.	Yes.
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

(By Ms. White) Have you prepared a summary of your testimony?

Good afternoon, Commissioners.

3

2

Yes, I have.

4

Would you please give that?

5

testimony in this docket will not only illustrate the

6

efforts that BellSouth Telecommunications has gone

7 8

through to help Supra be successful in the local

9

exchange market, but also address various allegations

10

raised by Supra's witnesses Mr. Ramos and

11

Mr. Hamilton.

A

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Since the initial request to negotiate a agreement, BellSouth has continually worked to provide any assistance necessary, be it classes, documentation or face-to-face meetings in a attempt to assist Supra in understanding the various processes and procedures BellSouth has established for ALECs. I believe the picture that Supra paints in this docket is misleading, to say the least.

As explained in my direct and rebuttal testimony, BellSouth has more than met its negotiated agreement obligations with Supra.

The training that BellSouth makes available to ALECs includes a wealth of information about BellSouth procedures, and enables ALECs to provide

local exchange service on parity with BellSouth.

There is a document that is going to be passed out that provides a list of the various classes available to the ALECs.

MS. WHITE: My helpers are slack on the job today, so -- (Laughter)

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: It's that former government service. It does that.

MS. WHITE: I'm telling you. He's just not used to private bureaucracies yet. (Laughter)

A Further, BellSouth provides ALECs access to additional useful information via its Web site. Supra has taken advantage of some of these training classes and BellSouth has provided some of the training, as stated previously, for free.

Although Supra's witnesses, Mr. Ramos and Mr. Hamilton, claim that BellSouth employees have acted in an anticompetitive nature, and/or made derogatory comments to Supra's customers when they've contacted BellSouth for whatever reason, Supra has not provided any specifics for the allegations in order to support such claims.

I wanted to express that BellSouth makes it very clear to its retail customer service representatives, as well as to all employees, not to

act in such a manner, or make disparaging remarks about any competitor to end users. Any employee 2 3 acting in that manner will be disciplined up to, and 4 including, termination. 5 In summary, BellSouth has more than complied 6 with the requirements within the negotiated agreements 7 with Supra. Even though Supra has continually 8 disregarded the payment requirements within the 9 negotiated agreements, BellSouth has continued to 10 assist Supra in its efforts to get into the local 11 exchange market. Thank you. 12 MS. WHITE: I would like to have this brochure identified as an exhibit. 13 COMMISSIONER DEASON: It will be identified 14 as Exhibit 15. 15 16 (Exhibit 15 marked for identification.) MS. WHITE: Mr. Finlen is available for 17 cross examination. 18 19 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Summerlin. CROSS EXAMINATION 20 21 BY MS. SUMMERLIN: Good afternoon -- actually Good Noon, Mr. 22 O Finlen. 23 Do you remember the day that I took your 24 25 deposition?

A Yes, I do.

Supra. Can you give us some idea right now of exactly what conversations you had with Mr. Ramos from Supra?

A Mr. Ramos and I -- I first talked to

Mr. Ramos either on the 17th or the 20th of October.

bit about what personal experience you had had with

-- on April 22nd? And I asked you a little

Mr. Ramos either on the 17th or the 20th of October.

We had sent him a standard BellSouth Interconnection

Agreement. On the 20th Mr. Ramos signed and executed

that agreement. October the 17th was a Friday. We

overnighted the agreement to him. He signed it on

that following Monday. And he overnighted it to us on

October the 21st. And what was surprising is the

agreement didn't even have the name "Supra" in it.

MS. SUMMERLIN: Commissioners, this issue has been stricken.

Q What I was asking was simply the dates that you actually talked to Mr. Ramos. That's what I was asking you.

A It was in the latter part of October.

Between the 17th and the -- I would say the 27th of

October were the dates that we discussed, that I spoke

directly with him. Probably a couple of times in the

November time frame, and then also in January.

Q So you basically had about three or four

conversations; is that right?

A I would probably say five or six. Less than ten.

Q Okay. Did you have any personal interaction with anything that went on with Supra other than those conversations?

A No.

Q Are you personally aware of exactly how the customer service representatives are treating Supra?

A If you are asking have I sat down next to a service representative and listened to their conversation when they are talking to Supra, the answer would be no. However, I've talked to numerous individuals within BellSouth, subject matter experts, people who I used to work with, and how they treat ALECs when they are contacted by customers, and also interaction between ALECs and BellSouth.

Q Mr. Finlen, have you presented any kind of assessment that BellSouth has made of the kinds of interactions that the customer service representatives have when customers from another company, like Supra, call in?

A Are you looking for something like a statistical analysis of how many proper -- that the customer was treated correctly or something along that line?

Q Any kind of evidence that BellSouth has, that they have monitored any of those kinds of conversations?

A I know for a fact, from previous experiences at BellSouth when I was a service representative, does monitor and observe on its service representatives.

And if a service representative is making disparaging remarks to a customer, or about a competitor, then that service representative is either -- some action is taken and that action could be disciplinary action up to including termination.

Q Are you referring to a specific report or assessment that's been made in this last year?

A I'm afraid I don't understand your question.

Q What I'm asking is, I understand that you're saying that in general it's your understanding that BellSouth checks on what goes on with customer service representatives. What I'm asking you is, are you presenting anything today that documents that BellSouth has monitored contacts with BellSouth customer service representatives and individuals calling into the company from ALECs or anybody else?

A No. I've not presented any documentation to substantiate that.

j	
1	Q Okay. What's the role you have in terms of
2	your relationship with Supra? What is your exact
3	duties? What are your exact duties?
4	A My exact duties were to negotiate the
5	Interconnection Agreement with Supra. And that took
6	place in October of 1997.
7	Q Did you have any ongoing responsibilities
8	related to Supra?
9	A In my job I also have responsibility for
10	compliance, contract compliance issues. If a
11	customer, when they negotiate their contract, wants to
12	receive their say wants to a different type of
13	performance measurement, or they want to receive their
14	bill in a different format than what is normally done,
15	such as sending overnight express as opposed to
16	U. S. Mail, then I ensure that those requirements are
17	met and are implemented.
18	Q Is that something that is related to the
19	negotiation of the agreement?
20	A No. It's just in addition to my other
21	responsibilities of negotiating interconnection
22	agreements.
23	Q So did you do any of that kind of activity
24	with Supra?

A No. Supra did not -- their agreement was

the standard agreement. There was no changes.

Mr. Ramos did not ask for any changes.

Q What I'm asking is did you do any kind of activity subsequent to having the discussions about actually signing that particular agreement?

A No.

Q Okay. On page -- let's see here, let me point you to the right page here. In your rebuttal testimony, I think it's Page 6 of your rebuttal testimony, Lines 2 through 7, you have a question where you have said "Has BellSouth acted inappropriately as alleged by Supra in its billing of charges to Supra?" And your response is "Absolutely not."

Can you tell me what you base that information on, for your response?

A BellSouth has not acted inappropriately nor anticompetitively in billing charges to Supra. We have billed exactly what is called for in the agreement. I forget exactly what I was responding to in Mr. Ramos's direct testimony at that time. But the billing that we have provided to Supra is correct according to the tariff and the agreement.

- Q How do you know that?
- A Based on conversations I have had with the

Collections Department at the LCSC. I've spoken with subject matter experts and the staff there. And I do 2 not believe that BellSouth has acted inappropriate in 3 its billings to Supra. So your basis is not any personal knowledge 5 Q that you have; is that correct? 6 7 A Is based on --Yes or no, please. 8 No, it's not based on any personal 9 knowledge. It's based on conversations I have had 10 with numerous people within BellSouth regarding the 11 billing of Supra. 12 Did you have anything to do with the 13 Q disputes that Supra raised with BellSouth about its 14 billing? 15 Did I have anything --16 17 Were you involved in investigating Q whether -- the problems that Supra raised about its 18 billing --19 20 A No. 21 Q -- with BellSouth? So you have simply gone 22 and spoken to other people who may have had something to do with that? 23 24 That is correct.

Do you know why those people were not

25

produced today to testify? No, I do not. 2 A Okay. Do you remember -- do you have your 3 deposition transcript there? 4 5 A Yes, I do. Can you look at Page 17. Lines -- actually 6 Q let's start at Line 6. Actually let me move you on 7 down. 8 9 In this series of questions I had asked you about your knowledge about the billing issues and the 10 billing disputes. And on Page 17 you basically state, 11 on Line 14 there, that your basis for your feelings 12 about what happened with Supra were based on your 13 belief; is that correct? 14 That's what I said on -- I believe, it's the 15 22nd this was taken. 16 17 Q Okay. However, since this was taken I've gone back 18 and spoken to numerous people regarding the billing 19 and it's not only my belief, but it's based on all of 20 21 those conversations, that the billing is appropriate. But it's not based on any personal 22 Q knowledge? 23

A No, it is not based on any personal knowledge. But however --

24

25

1 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: What exactly are you looking for when you ask personal knowledge? 2 MS. SUMMERLIN: I'm asking for whether or 3 not Mr. Finlen was involved in any of the activities 4 5 that related to these billing disputes. In other words, whether he had any responsibilities in 6 investigating the billing disputes or any of those 7 types of activities. And he's responded what he's 8 done is he's talked to other people. 0 Mr. Finlen, is it true that the rest of your 10 testimony is on that same basis; from talking to other 11 people in the company? 12 Yes, it is. I've talk to numerous people in 13 various departments throughout the company regarding a 14 lot of these issues, because I was trying to address 15 the disputes and the allegations that Supra has raised 16 17 in this complaint here. 18 Q Mr. Finlen, you responded to Supra's First 19 Set of Interrogatories, Item No. 29. Do you know that? Are you aware that you responded to that? 20 21 A I'll have to look at that. I don't have copies -- you don't have 22 Q 23 a set of your interrogatory responses, do you? 24 Yes, I do. Good.

25

Q

A Which one was that again?

Q It was Item 29, Page 1 of 1. Do you have that?

A Yes, I have that.

Q Okay. Now, this request was "Are BellSouth service representatives and other employees who have contact with the public and customers informed when BellSouth begins operation under a contractual agreement with a reseller, such as Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems? If so, how are these employees informed and what specifically are they told to say to customer inquiries in various circumstances?" Your response, and I think it would only be fair to read your response, "BellSouth customer service representatives are not informed when BellSouth begins operation under a contractual agreement with a reseller.

"The consumer representatives do not handle inquiries from ALEC customers and would not have a need to know of such Resale Agreement. They would simply advise the customer to contact the local service provider if a customer calls to inquire about a specific ALEC.

"Although BellSouth does not inform its customers each time it signs a resale agreement with

an ALEC. Such agreements are often reported by the news media."

Based on that response, is it BellSouth's position that it is not appropriate for BellSouth to acknowledge the fact that it has an contractual relationship with another competitor in a resale arrangement?

A It's not proper for the consumer service representative to have knowledge of that or to know about it.

Q Why not?

A Well, first of all, it's the -- as BellSouth is now split up into customer operations units, the competitor of Supra would be the BellSouth Consumer Services, which the representative would be an employee of.

Also, with over 300 agreements in place now it's very difficult to notify every employee that XYZ Company has entered into an agreement. It's almost impossible to keep up with them.

And if they are published within the newspapers -- I know at least in Atlanta they are, when they are -- an agreement has been reached and is filed with the Commission, it's public knowledge and placed in the Atlanta Constitution.

1 2 3

5

6

4

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So am I correct that if a customer or an Q individual calls BellSouth and they say, "I've heard of a company named Supra." BellSouth would say, "We can't tell you a thing about them. You need to call somebody else."

You need to call Supra if you want to talk A about service from Supra.

Although BellSouth has a relationship whereby BellSouth is providing these services -- is allowing Supra to pay for the resale of its service; is that correct?

We are providing Supra with services that they can resell to their end users or consumers, just as BellSouth sells services, those same type services to its consumers. It's the same thing.

If a customer calls BellSouth and wants to establish service, we establish that service. If they call Supra through some media advertising or maybe they are doing some comparison shopping, they would call Supra and then Supra would be their local service provider. It would have nothing to do with BellSouth. It's kind of -- just two different entities.

You don't believe that the fact that this is Q a company that is a reseller of BellSouth's services, and, therefore, has a relationship with this company,

that BellSouth does not have any kind of obligation to acknowledge that this company is a certificated ALEC in the state of Florida, and that BellSouth knows about its existence? Not necessarily to sell its services but to simply acknowledge that it does have a certificate in the state of Florida and it does exist in Florida as an ALEC?

A No, I do not believe that BellSouth customer, consumer service representatives need to know that Supra is a certificated reseller of BellSouth services within the state of Florida. Supra is one of their competitors.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: BellSouth is also selling its services to Supra, so BellSouth is also a customer of Supra's?

WITNESS FINLEN: Exactly. That's part of the problem: Who should be making an acknowledgement.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: But you would never consider doing that to, say, AT&T. Someone would call up a BellSouth representative and you wouldn't say, "Well, I haven't heard of AT&T. I don't know who AT&T is." And you deny their existence.

WITNESS FINLEN: No, you wouldn't do that because it's hard to deny AT&T's existence with the media advertising --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I would assume that
Supra spent millions of dollars, it's kind of hard to
deny their existence when you've entered an agreement
with them, when they are paying you for the services
that your company renders to them. It would only seem
logical that they are your client, which they are to
some degree, whether you like it or not, by order of
this Commission, by the rules that govern this, that
you would at least acknowledge their existence,
wouldn't you?

witness finlen: If the customer service representative knew about them? I'm sure they would acknowledge it. But they don't know about them. They are not informed of every ALEC that we sign a contract with. We don't provide all of that information downstream.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Don't you think that puts Supra in a very disadvantaged position? I mean I understand that AT&T can't be denied because it's like -- you're right, like denying the sun every morning. They are in everything. Similarly, we can't deny that Southern Bell exists. And I'm sure Supra doesn't deny you exist. In fact, it may have, by some of what we've seen, appropriated some of BellSouth's good name and goodwill. But the question here is

don't you think it puts Supra in a sort of untenable position? Here's this small company who is trying to enter a market, which by order, by federal law, by state law, by orders of this Commission, by rules of this Commission, by an agreement that they signed with you, they are entering a market which you pretty much completely control, until recently -- don't you think it puts them at a disadvantage when someone comes to a trusted name like BellSouth, who is, for all intents and purposes, a client of Supra at that time, that your representative would at least be able to acknowledge their existence?

witness finlen: No, I don't believe it really puts them at a disadvantage. I realize probably their marketing and advertising and putting their name out to the public, they are probably at a disadvantage there based on the size, and the long-term relationship that BellSouth had with its consumers over the years. That's a fact of life. Like AT&T or MCI. We all do a lot of advertising. Media, print advertising.

If a customer calls BellSouth and asks -- as a former service representative, if they called and said have you ever heard of -- a small long distance company, I would have -- when I was a service rep I

would have had to say no, I have not heard of them.

Just had not had that information.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So some of the long distance companies, small long distance companies --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I'll give you another example where I'm certain you wouldn't deny it.

If you are someone's local service provider -- and we've gone across the state and witnessed this -- you're a BellSouth customer representative and I happen to be Joe Garcia Long Distance Company, and you're billing for me because you're charging me to do that. In essence, I'm a client. At no point would your service representative say "I've never heard of Joe Garcia Long Distance." You'd say, "They are on your bill. It must be true. You've got to pay us." We may leave it in dispute if you want to dispute it, but you're still going to charge that up. You're still going to collect that money. And you're not going to certainly say, "I've never heard of Joe Garcia Phone Service." Because if it appears on your bill, you know that I exist. clearly know that some procedure in the corporation makes my existence such that you can bill for me. So as a customer service rep you would immediately lend some credence to my existence because you know it's on the bill.

2

WITNESS FINLEN: Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And you don't think that -- at least on a minimal level, when your -- for all purposes, the relationship is relatively similar to your company, shouldn't they be at least accorded the acknowledgement of their existence?

WITNESS FINLEN: If the customer service representative knows about Supra, yes. They could say, "Yes, I've heard of Supra." But we don't pass that information out.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I'm sorry,

Ms. Summerlin. Go ahead.

(By Ms. Summerlin) Mr. Finlen, who decides Q whether the customer service representative knows about any particular company?

A I wouldn't know. You're talking about like a customer representative -- what information is provided to them.

I guess what I'm asking is who makes the decision whether or not to make sure that those customer service reps know about other certificated ALECs?

- I wouldn't know who that would be.
- It's BellSouth, isn't it?

1	A Well, yeah, it would be somebody in
2	BellSouth.
3	Q Yeah. That's what I'm trying to say.
4	I have one piece of paper here that's out of
5	a training manual that was provided to us just a
6	couple of days ago, and we've not got the whole
7	manual; we don't have all of the copies. But I want
8	to give you an opportunity to look at this. I'm going
9	to show it to you first.
10	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: You might want to give
11	their counsel an opportunity to look at it.
12	MS. WHITE: That would be nice.
13	(Hands document to counsel.) I don't have
14	a problem with her using it. It's an proprietary
15	document provided to Supra, and as long as it's
16	treated confidentially in the hearing as per the
17	Commission's rules, that's fine.
18	MS. SUMMERLIN: Commissioners, I am not
19	aware of it being provided under any kind of
20	protective agreement. I was told that it was not
21	confidential. That was my understanding.
22	MS. WHITE: Wasn't this given in response to
23	a POD request?
24	MS. SUMMERLIN: Yeah. But I was not told
25	that this particular manual was proprietary. It was

not provided in the confidential setting. It was not labeled as confidential in any way. It was just provided to Mr. Ramos. It's simply a manual. It's not --

MS. WHITE: It was a manual that was developed at BellSouth's expense. If it's released to the public, then the public has this manual and other companies can use this manual. It's cost BellSouth money to develop. And they don't have to expend that money. So it's proprietary to BellSouth. In response to the Production of Documents request there were several documents --

today whether it is, in fact, proprietary or not, so we don't get need to get into that argument. The question as whether it has been claimed to be proprietary, and whether Ms. Summerlin was so notified. And what we're going to do is recess for lunch. You all can work this problem out. If you have time to work that out and eat lunch, more power to you, but we're only taking a half hour for lunch. We'll reconvene at 1:00.

(Thereupon, a lunch recess was taken from 12:30 p.m. to 1:00 p.m.)

1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: we'll reconvene.
2	Ms. Summerlin.
3	MS. SUMMERLIN: Yes, Commissioner Deason.
4	We were just looking at an exhibit right
5	before the break. And I think Ms. White and I have
6	clarified that it's okay to use it without it being
7	MS. WHITE: Yes. I made a big error, and I
8	apologize for that.
9	MS. SUMMERLIN: Or a little error.
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Very well. Let's go
11	forward.
12	MS. SUMMERLIN: I have two copies of this
13	and I want to give Mr. Finlen one copy to look at.
14	(Hands document to witness.)
15	This is out of a manual that was provided to
16	us by BellSouth. And it is a training manual, I
17	think, and this particular page talks about "Lesson
18	Eight Overview and Objectives." Have you ever seen
19	this document, Mr. Finlen?
20	A No. This is the first time.
21	Q Do you recognize this as being a BellSouth
22	document?
23	A It says "BellSouth "down at the bottom, yes.
24	Q Okay. Before the break we were talking
25	about the obligation, or possible idea, that BellSouth

should be willing to have its customer service representatives acknowledge the existence of an ALEC as a certificated entity. I'm trying to bring us back to what we were talking about. And you were of the position that this is not something that BellSouth should be required to do; is that right?

A On a cold call.

Q Well, on a call into the customer service representative?

A Yes.

Q From anybody, theoretically?

A Yes.

Q In line with that, we were talking about what BellSouth's position was about being able to get the information to the customer service rep so that they would know, you know, who the other carriers were and that might be a problem for BellSouth to provide that information.

This document, if you will look, the top of this document talks about "This lesson will focus on negotiating a long distance carrier with your customer." In other words, it's training a customer service representative, apparently.

A Yes.

Q "All new customers are given a chance to

select a long distance company to handle long distance calls which go outside their home calling zone. You will also learn how to handle a customer that does not want to have a long distance carrier, or perhaps is undecided at this time. Because of our contractual responsibilities with these carriers, it is important to understand which carriers to offer and any necessary disclosures."

Would you interpret that language as meaning that BellSouth would consider its contractual obligations with long distance companies to require BellSouth to be willing to acknowledge their existence to people that call in?

A Yes. We have to -- when a customer calls in, or normally when they are establishing new service, one of the questions is "What long distance company do you wish to use? AT&T?" And then there's a list that comes -- it's provide.

And the customer at that time can select a long distance company for their interLATA traffic.

And, of course, we're not in that business yet. So it's a difference between an ALEC and a long distance company.

Q Is there a problem in providing that kind of information so that you can carry out what this lesson

seems to say will be required? 1 What do you mean by a problem? 2 A What I'm saying is this implies that the 3 4 customer service representative would have the information; is that right? 5 Yes. They do have that information. 6 7 Okay. How is it possible to keep track of all of the people that are certificated as long 8 distance carriers so that you can carry on this kind 9 of obligation? 10 How does the service rep -- the list is 11 electronic. 12 13 It's an electronic list. 14 A My understanding is it's electronic, yes. 15 Is that same type of electronic connection 16 to the customer representative something that could be 17 provided for ALECs that are certificated? 18 A I don't know. 19 Do you have --20 I don't know if it could or could not. A 21 Q You don't have any reason to think that 2.2 there would be some fundamental reason why you couldn't do that? 23 24 A No.

It's just a decision of the company whether

25

Q

1	to do it or not?
2	A That is correct.
3	Q Okay.
4	MS. SUMMERLIN: Thank you. I would like to
5	identify this page as an exhibit. It would be I
6	believe the next exhibit would be 16.
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes, 16.
8	(Exhibit 16 marked for identification.)
9	MS. SUMMERLIN: It will be Lesson Eight
10	Overview and Objectives. It says Lesson Eight-3 at
11	the bottom.
12	MS. KEATING: Commissioner Deason, I'd like
13	to ask Ms. Summerlin if she would please provide Staff
14	with a copy of that.
15	MS. SUMMERLIN: Would you like to get a copy
16	made? I'll bring one later but
17	COMMISSIONER DEASON: You need to have one
18	provided to the court reporter as well.
19	MS. SUMMERLIN: Yes. Commissioners, I would
20	like to also identify Mr. Finlen's deposition
21	transcript as an exhibit, which would be Exhibit 17, I
22	guess.
23	COMMISSIONER DEASON: It would be identified
24	as 17. Do you have copies of that?
25	(Exhibit 17 marked for identification.)

MS. SUMMERLIN: We do have copies of the 1 deposition transcript. We will pass them around. I would like to identify an exhibit of 3 BellSouth's Responses to Supra's Set of 4 5 Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents that was provided by BellSouth. I assume 6 7 that Mr. Finlen would be an appropriate person to 8 sponsor this. MS. WHITE: That's fine. 9 That would be Exhibit 18. MS. SUMMERLIN: 10 11 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Exhibit 18. (Exhibit 18 marked for identification.) 12 MS. SUMMERLIN: I believe that's all the 13 14 questions I would have. I would ask to have the exhibits moved into the record. 15 COMMISSIONER DEASON: We'll move exhibits 16 17 after Staff's cross examination. 18 MS. SUMMERLIN: I'm sorry. Yes. 19 MS. KEATING: Thank you, Commissioner. 20 CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. KEATING: 21 22 Mr. Finlen, I've just got a couple of questions. I'm looking at your summary exhibit, which 23 24 is the registration form. 25 A Oh, yes.

For the CLEC training? 0 1 A Uh-huh. 2 And I'm looking on the registration page, 3 and there are 12 classes identified here. And for the 4 5 first four I notice that it says beneath each one 6 "Each CLEC receives one free seat per year." 7 The CLEC basics says "Each CLEC receives one free seat in the CLEC basic training class." 8 9 Then the other one says per year. 10 Q So for the remaining eight classes, starting 11 with unbundled network elements, are there no free 12 seats available? Does it seem like you have to pay 13 for each person that attends those classes? 14 A Yes. 15 0 Can you tell me beyond these classes that 16 are listed in this registration form, is there any 17 other supplemental training or additional information 18 that's available to Supra that might help them specifically with the ordering and billing problems 19 20 that they've indicated? 21 There's a lot of information on the World 22 Wide -- on the BellSouth Home Page for Interconnection 23 Services, www.BellSouth.com/interconnection, and it has guides for OSS. It has billing information.

has tariff notifications. I don't have all of it, but

25

1	it's a lot	t of information.
2	Q	When a CLEC personnel attends one of the
3	BellSouth	training classes, do you also let them know
4	during the	ose classes that there are other avenues for
5	them to ga	ain additional information, such as on your
6	Web site?	
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Thank you.
9		MS. KEATING: Those are all the questions
10	Staff has	
11		COMMISSIONER DEASON: Redirect?
12		MS. WHITE: You just have a few.
13		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. WH	ITE:
15	Q	Mr. Finlen, part of the purpose of your
- 1		
16	testimony	is to present BellSouth policy; is it not?
16 17	testimony	is to present BellSouth policy; is it not? That is correct.
17	A Q	That is correct.
17	A Q	That is correct. And you're personally familiar with the
17 18 19	Q contracts	That is correct. And you're personally familiar with the that Supra entered into with BellSouth?
17 18 19 20	Q contracts A	That is correct. And you're personally familiar with the that Supra entered into with BellSouth? Yes, I am.
17 18 19 20 21	Q contracts A	That is correct. And you're personally familiar with the that Supra entered into with BellSouth? Yes, I am. Do you know whether there are
17 18 19 20 21 22	Q contracts A Q facilities	That is correct. And you're personally familiar with the that Supra entered into with BellSouth? Yes, I am. Do you know whether there are
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A Q contracts A Q facilities ALECS?	That is correct. And you're personally familiar with the that Supra entered into with BellSouth? Yes, I am. Do you know whether there are s-based ALECs in Florida as well as reseller

representative deals with the retail customer?

A That is correct.

Q Does the customer service representative have the ability to distinguish between ALECs who are resellers and ALECs who are facility based?

A If a customer calls in -- let me just kind of explain what happens, is a customer calls into the business office, and the first thing the service representative does is ask for the customer's telephone number. This customer service representative will type a telephone number in and then the customer's records, or screen, will come up and the record on that screen will be the customer's telephone number, their name, and what type, you know, what the bill is, and just some various other information.

So if a customer calls in and it's, say,
Supra's or XYZ telephone number, they'll type the name
in. And then the customer service representative
would see the name "Supra" and then say, "Well, you're
not a BellSouth customer. You are a -- you're with
Supra." Then they would tell them to call Supra
Telecommunications if that's what they were calling
about. So in that way they kind of acknowledge that
they are there. But if a customer calls in and just

asks, "Do you know -- " I guess, with all of the 1 number of IXCs, payphone providers, ALECs, all of 2 that, the customer just automatically called in and 3 said, "Do you know XYZ Company?" Then they might not 4 know that. 5 Do you know how many companies are 6 7 certificated by this Commission as ALECs? As ALECs? It's well over hundred, I 8 A believe. 10 Q Okay. Do you know if companies are required to be certificated as ALECs in Florida before signing 11 12 an agreement with BellSouth? 13 A Before signing an agreement? No, they do 14 not have to be certificated before they sign the agreement. They do have to be certificated, however, 15 16 to begin operations. 17 MS. WHITE: Thank you. I have nothing 18 further. 19 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Exhibits. 20 MS. WHITE: BellSouth moves Exhibits 13, 14 and 15. 21 22 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Without objection 13, 14 and 15 are admitted. 23 24 MS. SUMMERLIN: Supra moves -- which ones 25 did you just do?

1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: We did 13, 14 and 15.
2	I believe you introduced
3	MS. SUMMERLIN: 16, 17 and 18.
4	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Without objection, 16
5	17 and 18 are admitted. Thank you, Mr. Finlen.
6	(Exhibits 13 through 18 received in
7	evidence.)
8	MS. WHITE: May Mr. Finlen be excused?
9	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Yes, he may.
10	(Witness fine Lynn excused.)
11	MS. WHITE: BellSouth calls Keith Milner.
12	MS. SUMMERLIN: Commissioners, before we go
13	with Mr. Milner, I would like to go ahead and
14	introduce the deposition transcripts of the other
15	BellSouth employees that were deposed for this
16	proceeding. My understanding is that both BellSouth
17	and the Staff have stipulated that that would be an
18	okay thing to do.
19	The reason I want to do that is I may want
20	to refer to some of those deposition transcripts in
21	cross examination of Mr. Milner and other individuals
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Okay. Let's go ahead
23	and identify those.
24	MS. SUMMERLIN: Okay. We have deposition
25	exhibits for well just take a them in any order

1	
1	I don't think it will make any difference.
2	J. C. Bledsoe.
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Are you going to be
4	handing these out?
5	MS. SUMMERLIN: We have copies of these and
6	we'll bring them around right now.
7	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Bledsoe.
8	B-L-E-D-S-O-E?
9	MS. SUMMERLIN: Yes.
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is this a deposition
11	or is this exhibits or both?
12	MS. SUMMERLIN: It's just a transcript of
13	the deposition.
14	COMMISSIONER DEASON: That would be
15	identified as Exhibit 19.
16	(Exhibit 19 marked for identification.)
17	MS. SUMMERLIN: Then we have a deposition
18	transcript for Stanley Sansing.
19	COMMISSIONER DEASON: You'll need to spell
20	that for me, please.
21	MS. SUMMERLIN: S-A-N-S-I-N-G.
22	COMMISSIONER DEASON: That will be
23	identified as Exhibit 20.
24	(Exhibit 20 marked for identification.)
25	MS. SUMMERLIN: And then we have Cynthia

Ţ	
1	Arrington.
2	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Is that Arrington with
3	an "A?".
4	MS. SUMMERLIN: A-R-R-I-N-G-T-O-N.
5	COMMISSIONER DEASON: That will be
6	Exhibit 21.
7	(Exhibit 21 marked for identification.)
8	MS. SUMMERLIN: Okay. We have a deposition
9	transcript for Teresa Gentry.
10	COMMISSIONER DEASON: That will be
11	Exhibit 22.
12	(Exhibit 22 marked for identification.)
13	MS. SUMMERLIN: We have a deposition for
14	J-U-A-N. And then C-O-R-D-O-B-E-S.
15	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Sorry. Could you
16	spell that last name again, please.
17	MS. SUMMERLIN: C-O-R-D-O-B-E-S.
18	COMMISSIONER DEASON: That will be Exhibit
19	23.
20	(Exhibit 23 marked for identification.)
21	MS. SUMMERLIN: Then we have a deposition
22	transcript for Stephanie Hurt.
23	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Could you spell the
24	last name, please?
25	MS. SUMMERLIN: H-U-R-T. Okay.

1	
1	COMMISSIONER DEASON: That will be Exhibit
2	24.
3	(Exhibit 24 marked for identification.)
4	MS. SUMMERLIN: If you'll give me a second,
5	I'll make sure I have them all.
6	MS. KEATING: Commissioner Deason, while
7	Ms. Summerlin is looking for her exhibit, could I also
8	ask that Supra to provide us with a copy of
9	Exhibit 18, which was BellSouth's Responses to Supra's
10	Interrogatories.
11	MS. SUMMERLIN: Yes. We can produce that.
12	We have a deposition transcript for Wayne Carnes.
13	COMMISSIONER DEASON: That will be
14	Exhibit 25.
15	(Exhibit 25 marked for identification.)
16	MS. SUMMERLIN: And then we have a
17	deposition exhibit for a Raul Mendoza. R-A-U-L
18	M-E-N-D-O-Z-A.
19	COMMISSIONER DEASON: That would be
20	Exhibit 26.
21	(Exhibit 26 marked for identification.)
22	MS. SUMMERLIN: I think that's all. But if
23	you give me one second, I'll confirm it. (Pause)
24	Deposition transcript of Ron Owen.
25	COMMISSIONER DEASON: That will be

Exhibit 27. 1 2 (Exhibit 27 marked for identification.) MS. SUMMERLIN: I think that's it, 3 4 Commissioners. 5 COMMISSIONER DEASON: We'll proceed then 6 with the introduction of Mr. Milner. When you have 7 your exhibits prepared, just pass them around. 8 9 W. KEITH MILNER was called as a witness on behalf of BellSouth 10 11 Telecommunications, Inc. and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 12 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. WHITE: 14 15 Mr. Milner, would you please state your full name and address for the record? 16 17 Yes. My name is Keith Milner. My business address is 675 West Peachtree Street, Atlanta, 18 19 Georgia. 20 By whom are you employed? 21 I'm employed by BellSouth A 22 Telecommunications, Incorporated. 23 Q Have you previously caused to be prepared 24 and prefiled in this case direct testimony consisting 25 of 11 pages?

1	A Yes, I did.
2	Q Do you have any additions or corrections to
3	make to that direct testimony?
4	A No, I do not.
5	Q If I were to ask you the same questions that
6	are posed to your direct testimony, would your answers
7	be the same?
8	A Yes, they would.
9	MS. WHITE: I'd like to have the direct
10	testimony of Mr. Milner inserted into the record as
11	though read.
12	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Without objection it
13	will be so inserted.
14	Q (By Ms. White) And there were no exhibits
15	attached to your direct testimony, were there?
16	A That's correct.
17	Q Did you also cause to be prepared and
18	prefiled in this case rebuttal testimony consisting of
19	17 pages?
20	A Yes, I did.
21	Q Do you have any additions or corrections to
22	make to that testimony?
23	A Yes. I have some minor changes to Page 5 of
24	my rebuttal testimony.
25	On Line 2 of Page 5, the date, "October 31,

1997. "should be corrected to read "November 4, 1997." 2 And on Line 3 of the same page, the same correction, "October 13, 1997," should be changed to "November 4, 3 1997." 4 Are there any other changes? 5 No. A That's all. 6 7 MS. WHITE: Commissioner Deason, I'd ask that Mr. Milner's rebuttal testimony be inserted into 8 the record. 10 COMMISSIONER DEASON: Without objection it 11 shall be so inserted. 12 (By Ms. White) And Mr. Milner, you had no 13 exhibits attached to your rebuttal testimony, did you? That's correct. 14 A 15 Did you also cause to be prepared and 16 prefiled in this case supplemental rebuttal consisting 17 of nine pages? Yes, I did. 18 19 Do you have any additions or corrections to make to that testimony? 20 21 A No, I do not. 22 If I were to ask you the same questions that are contained in your supplemental rebuttal, would 23 your answers be the same? 24 25 Yes, they would.

- 1	
1	Q I'd like to have the supplemental rebuttal
2	testimony of Mr. Milner be inserted into the record.
3	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Without objection it
4	shall be so inserted.
5	Q (By Ms. White) And there were no exhibits
6	attached to your supplemental rebuttal testimony, were
7	there?
8	A That's correct.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF W. KEITH MILNER
3		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4		DOCKET No. 980119-TP
5		April 1, 1998
6		
7	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS, AND POSITION WITH
8		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
9		
10	A.	My name is W. Keith Milner. My business address is 675 West
11		Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30375. I am Senior Director -
12		Interconnection Services for BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
13		("BellSouth" or "the Company"). I have served in my present role since
14		February, 1996 and have been involved with the management of certain
15		issues related to local interconnection, resale and unbundling.
16		
17	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE.
18		
19	A.	My business career spans over 27 years and includes responsibilities in
20		the areas of network planning, engineering, training, administration and
21		operations. I have held positions of responsibility with a local exchange
22		telephone company, a long distance company and a research and
23		development laboratory. I have extensive experience in all phases of
24		telecommunications network planning, deployment and operation
25		(including research and development) in both the domestic and

1		international arenas.
2		
3		I graduated from Fayetteville Technical Institute in Fayetteville, North
4		Carolina in 1970 with an Associate of Applied Science in Business
5		Administration degree. I also graduated from Georgia State University in
6		1992 with a Master of Business Administration degree.
7		
8	Q.	HAVE YOU TESTIFIED PREVIOUSLY BEFORE ANY STATE PUBLIC
9		SERVICE COMMISSION; AND IF SO, BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE
10		SUBJECT OF YOUR TESTIMONY.
11		
12	A.	I testified before the state Public Service Commissions in Alabama,
13		Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi and South Carolina,
14		the Tennessee Regulatory Authority and the Utilities Commission in North
15		Carolina on the issues of technical capabilities of the switching and
16		facilities network regarding the introduction of new service offerings,
17		expanded calling areas, unbundling and network interconnection.
18		
19	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY BEING FILED
20		TODAY?
21		
22	A.	I will present data and recommendations regarding Supra's alleged
23		"anticompetitive actions" by BellSouth as described in the complaint of
24		Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. ("Supra")
25		against BellSouth. Specifically, I will address Issue 1 in this docket.

1	Q.	WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S BASIC POSITION REGARDING THE
2		COMPLAINTS BY SUPRA THAT BELLSOUTH HAS NOT PROVIDED
3		SERVICE TO SUPRA IN ACCORDANCE WITH APPLICABLE STATE
4		AND FEDERAL LAWS, RULES, AND REGULATIONS?
5		
6	A.	Because the overall purpose of the 1996 Act is to open
7		telecommunications markets to competition, end user access facilities,
8		such as unbundled loops, are available as a result of the obligations
9		imposed upon BellSouth under Sections 251 and 252(d) and as a result
10		of this Commission's orders in the arbitration proceedings between
11		BellSouth and certain Alternative Local Exchange Carriers (ALECs).
12		BellSouth has worked in good faith to fulfill its obligations. The vast
13		majority of issues raised by Supra are completely without merit or are
14		problems that were encountered early on and that have long since been
15		resolved by BellSouth. To put these incidents into what I believe to be
16		the proper perspective, I note first that Supra has come forward with few if
17		any details of the problems Supra alleges BellSouth caused. I would
18		expect that, at a minimum, Supra would provide dates and times of the
19		alleged incidents accompanied by either the name of the end user
20		customer allegedly harmed or a Purchase Order Number (PON) or trouble
21		ticket number which could be used by BellSouth to initiate a meaningful
22		analysis of the details and facts. Supra has provided no such facts.
23		
24		BellSouth stands ready to provide all of the items in its interconnection
25		agreement with Supra. BellSouth admits its part to certain isolated "start-

1	up" problems and has taken appropriate action not only to resolve the
2	individual cases, but also to correct any underlying procedural problems.
3	Just as was the situation I observed following Divestiture, existing
4	methods were adapted and improved plus new arrangements were
5	developed and perfected, through the cooperative problem solving of the
6	parties. That is the process at work in this new environment of local
7	competition. BellSouth is fully committed to the continued, cooperative
8	efforts that have to date resulted in significant progress and which have
9	enabled meaningful local competition.
10	
11	Issue 1: Has BellSouth failed to properly implement the following
12	provision of its Interconnection, Collocation and Resale agreements
13	with Supra such that Supra is able to provide local exchange service
14	on parity with that which BellSouth provides:
15	A. Billing requirements
16	B. Telephone number access
17	C. Provision of dial tone
18	D. Electronic access to Operations Support Systems (OSS
19	and OSS interfaces (Ordering and provisioning,
20	Installation, maintenance and repair)
21	E. Notification requirements
22	F. Timeliness of installation, repair and maintenance
23	
24	A. Billing requirements
25	Q. WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S RESPONSE TO SUPRA'S ALLEGATION THAT

1		THE BILLING INFORMATION THAT BELLSOUTH SENDS TO SUPRA
2		DOES NOT CONTAIN THE COMPLETE BILLING ADDRESS OF THE
3		END USER CUSTOMER?
4		
5	Α.	BellSouth denies Supra's allegation. Bellsouth provides ALECs electronic
6		access to a customer's billing address. The customer's complete billing
7		address is a part of the Customer Service Record (CSR), to which
8		BellSouth provides electronic access via LENS, if the ALEC has that
9		customer's permission to view their CSR.
10		
11	<u>B.</u> T	elephone number access
12	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO SUPRA'S ALLEGATIONS THAT
13		BELLSOUTH FAILED TO PROVIDE SUPRA WITH ACCESS TO
14		TELEPHONE NUMBERS AT PARITY WITH WHAT BELLSOUTH
15		PROVIDES TO ITSELF?
16		
17	A.	BellSouth denies Supra's allegations. Despite Supra's claims to the
18		contrary, BellSouth provides Supra and other ALECs access to telephone
19		numbers available at parity with itself. This is because BellSouth
20		provides ALECs electronic access to telephone number availability via
21		LENS, in the Select Telephone Number section of LENS. LENS accesses
22		the same data base that BellSouth's retail representatives' system
23		accesses to provide telephone number availability to retail customers.
24		
25		

1	<u>C. P</u>	rovision of dial tone
2	Q.	WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S RESPONSE TO SUPRA'S ALLEGATION THAT
3		BELLSOUTH'S ACTIONS CAUSED SUPRA'S ENTIRE OPERATION TO
4		EXPERIENCE A COMPLETE LOSS OF DIALTONE FOR A PERIOD OF
5		TWENTY-FOUR HOURS?
6		
7	A.	BellSouth can neither confirm nor deny Supra's allegation given the
8		vague generalizations made in Supra's complaint. To perform a
9		meaningful analysis, BellSouth would expect that, at a minimum, Supra
10		would provide details such as the date and time of the alleged incident,
11		the customer name or purchase order number, or a trouble ticket number
12		which would be given to Supra at the time it made a trouble report to
13		BellSouth. Supra's complaint contained none of this information. Further,
14		BellSouth cannot find any request from Supra for BellSouth to perform an
15		investigation or route cause analysis of such an incident.
16		
17	<u>D.</u> E	lectronic access to Operations Support Systems (OSS) and OSS
18	<u>inte</u> r	faces (Ordering and provisioning, Installation, maintenance and repair)
19	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSE TO SUPRA'S ALLEGATIONS THAT
20		BELLSOUTH FAILED TO PROVIDE SUPRA WITH ACCESS TO
21		BELLSOUTH'S OPERATIONS SUPPORT SYSTEMS?
22		
23	A.	BellSouth denies Supra's allegations. BellSouth has indeed provided
24		Supra access to BellSouth's Operations Support Systems. Supra has in
25		fact, sent 49 Local Service Requests (LSRs) into BellSouth via the Local

1		Exchange Navigation System (LENS) and one (1) LSR via Electronic
2		Data Interchange (EDI) in January 1998 alone. In December 1997,
3		November 1997, October 1997 and September 1997, Supra sent 62, 49,
4		155, and 223 LSRs respectively to BellSouth via LENS. In August, 1997,
5		Supra sent BellSouth 1,508 LSRs via LENS; all of this indicates a
6		significant use of LENS by Supra.
7		
8	Q.	WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S RESPONSE TO SUPRA'S ALLEGATION THAT
9		BELLSOUTH HAS NOT PROVIDED SUPRA WITH AN ADEQUATE
10		ELECTRONIC INTERFACE TO BELLSOUTH'S OPERATIONS
11		SUPPORT SYSTEMS THAT WOULD PERMIT SUPRA TO MONITOR
12		PRE-ORDERING, ORDERING AND PROVISIONING ACTIVITIES FOR
13		SUPRA'S CUSTOMERS?
14		
15	A.	BellSouth denies Supra's allegations. Bellsouth provided Supra an
16		adequate electronic interface for pre-ordering, ordering and provisioning
17		functions. As indicated earlier, Supra is a heavy user of LENS for
18		electronic pre-ordering and ordering. Supra sent BellSouth 2,046 LSRs
19		via LENS from August, 1997 through January, 1998, indicating a heavy
20		use of LENS for electronic ordering. LENS also provides electronic
21		provisioning information to ALECs including Supra in the "View LSR IN
22		ERROR" screens, and in the "View FOC/CN" function, which returns
23		electronic Firm Order Confirmations (FOCs) and Completion Notices
24		(Cns).
25		

1	<u>E. N</u>	otification requirements
2	Q.	WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S RESPONSE TO SUPRA'S ALLEGATION THAT
3		BELLSOUTH HAS NOT PROPERLY NOTIFIED SUPRA OF CHANGES
4		TO BELLSOUTH'S OPERATIONS SUPPORT SYSTEMS USED BY
5		ALECs?
6		
7	A.	BellSouth denies Supra's allegation. Bellsouth has never changed an
8		ALEC's password to LENS without notifying that ALEC. Of all the ALECs
9		that use LENS, BellSouth had to change a LENS password for only one
10		ALEC. That one ALEC is Supra and BellSouth has had to disconnect
11		Supra's access to LENS twice. BellSouth notified Supra in advance both
12		times. BellSouth changed Supra's LENS password twice due to Supra's
13		slamming activities and Supra's non-payment of its bill to BellSouth.
14		
15	Q.	HOW DOES BELLSOUTH NOTIFY ALECS OF UPCOMING CHANGES
16		TO LENS AND EDI?
17		
18	A.	Approximately one month in advance of a release of new features for
19		LENS and/or EDI, BellSouth sends the ALECs written notification of those
20		changes, which also contains a brief explanation of those upcoming
21		changes.
22		
23	<u>F. T</u>	imeliness of installation, repair and maintenance
24	Q.	WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S RESPONSE TO SUPRA'S ALLEGATION THAT
25		SUPRA'S CUSTOMERS HAVE HAD TO WAIT UP TO FOUR WEEKS TO

1		HAVE PHONE SERVICE HOOKED UP OR THAT WHEN A SUPRA
2		CUSTOMER COMPLAINS, BELLSOUTH MAY TAKE AS LONG AS
3		TWENTY-FOUR (24) HOURS TO FIX THE TROUBLE?
4		
5	A.	BellSouth denies Supra's allegation. BellSouth has performed an
6		analysis of its performance to Supra for the months of November 1997,
7		December 1997 and January 1998. That analysis reveals that BellSouth
8		met 91.6% of its provisioning appointments for Supra compared to 99.2%
9		for similar provisioning appointments for BellSouth's retail customers.
10		During that same time, BellSouth restored service to Supra's customers in
11		12.14 hours on average compared to 17.95 hours on average to
12		BellSouth's retail customers. Thus, BellSouth's performance to Supra on
13		provisioning activities was slightly worse than to BellSouth's retail
14		customers. BellSouth's maintenance and repair performance to Supra's
15		customers was significantly better than to BellSouth's retail customers. In
16		conclusion, BellSouth believes it provides Supra timely provisioning,
17		maintenance and repair, at parity with that which BellSouth provides to its
18		own retail customers. This conclusion is evidenced by the fact that, while
19		BellSouth's provisioning performance for Supra was slightly worse than
20		BellSouth's provisioning performance for BellSouth's retail customers,
21		BellSouth's maintenance and repair performance for Supra was slightly
22		better than BellSouth's maintenance and repair performance for
23		BellSouth's retail customers.
24		
25	Q.	WHAT IS BELLSOUTH'S RESPONSE TO SUPRA'S ALLEGATION THAT

1		BELLSOUTH HAS CAUSED SUPRA PROBLEMS IN BELLSOUTH'S
2		HANDLING OF SUPRA'S REQUESTS FOR DS-1 AND DS-3
3		FACILITIES?
4		
5	Α.	BellSouth can neither confirm nor deny Supra's allegation given the
6		vague generalizations made in Supra's complaint. To perform a
7		meaningful analysis, BellSouth would expect that, at a minimum, Supra
8		would provide details such as the date and time of the alleged incident,
9		the customer name or purchase order number, or a trouble ticket number
10		which would be given to Supra at the time it made a trouble report to
11		BellSouth. Supra's complaint contained none of this information. Further
12		BellSouth cannot find any request from Supra for BellSouth to perform an
13		investigation or root cause analysis of such an incident. To date, Supra
14		has not ordered any DS-3 facilities from BellSouth even though BellSouth
15		has provided training to Supra's personnel for the ordering of DS-3
16		facilities and other services.
17		
18	Q.	WHAT ARE DS-1 AND DS-3 FACILITIES?
19		
20	A.	DS-1 and DS-3 facilities are digital transmission facilities capable of
21		carrying many simultaneous calls over a given transmission path. In the
22		case of the DS-1, twenty four (24) simultaneous calls may be carried. In
23		the case of the DS-3, 672 simultaneous calls may be carried.
24		
25	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

2 A. Yes.

1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF W. KEITH MILNER
3		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4		DOCKET No. 980119-TP
5		April 15, 1998
6		
7	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS, AND POSITION WITH
8		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
9		
10	A.	My name is W. Keith Milner. My business address is 675 West Peachtree
11		Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30375. I am Senior Director - Interconnection
12		Services for BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth" or "the
13		Company"). I have served in my present role since February 1996 and
14		have been involved with the management of certain issues related to local
15		interconnection, resale and unbundling.
16		
17	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME W. KEITH MILNER WHO FILED DIRECT
18		TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
19		
20	A.	Yes.
21		
22	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY BEING FILED
23		TODAY?
24		
25	A.	I will provide rebuttal testimony to the direct testimony of Supra

1		Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. ("Supra") witnesses
2		John Reinke, Bradford Hamilton and the amended direct testimony of
3		Supra's witness Olukayode A. Ramos.
4		
5	Rebu	uttal of Mr. Reinke's Testimony
6		
7	Q.	ON PAGE 2 OF HIS TESTIMONY, MR. REINKE ASSERTS THAT
8		BELLSOUTH HAS, ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS, FAILED TO PROVIDE
9		DIAL TONE TO SUPRA. IS MR. REINKE REFERRING TO
10		BELLSOUTH'S PROVISION OF SERVICE TO SUPRA'S END USER
11		CUSTOMERS OR TO SUPRA FOR USE BY SUPRA?
12		
13	A.	Apparently Mr. Reinke refers to service provided by BellSouth to Supra for
14		use by Supra rather than by any end user customer of Supra.
15		
16	Q.	MR. REINKE ASSERTS THAT BELLSOUTH DISCONNECTED SUPRA'S
17		SERVICE ON OCTOBER 31, 1997 AND AGAIN ON NOVEMBER 16,
18		1997. PLEASE COMMENT.
19		
20	Α.	BellSouth admits that BellSouth's actions disconnected Supra's service in
21		error on October 31, 1997, and that service was restored on November 3,
22		1997. The source of the problem was human error by the BellSouth
23		service representative who did not properly coordinate the order for
24		connection of service at Supra's new location with disconnection of
25		service at Supra's old location.

4		
1		

On November 13, 1997, Supra reported trouble on its lines. BellSouth tested Supra's lines and found no trouble. BellSouth's investigation into Supra's complaint revealed that a trouble condition in BellSouth's central office on November 14, 1997, was cleared to the trouble code "central office common equipment". Common equipment serves many, and in some cases all, the customers of a given central office, so it is possible, but inconclusive, that the trouble found on November 14, 1997, may have contributed to trouble on Supra's lines. I also note, however, that this trouble condition is not an interconnection problem but instead was limited to Supra's own telephone service and did not affect the service of any Supra end user customer.

BellSouth has no knowledge of any problem experienced by Supra on November 16, 1997. If a problem was experienced by Supra on that date, Supra apparently did not report that trouble to BellSouth.

Q. MR. REINKE ASSERTS IN HIS DIRECT TESTIMONY ON PAGE 2 THAT THERE HAVE BEEN SEVERAL OCCASIONS WHERE BELLSOUTH DISCONNECTED SUPRA'S SERVICE. OTHER THAN THE TWO INCIDENTS MR. REINKE ASSERTS OCCURRED ON OCTOBER 31, 1997 AND NOVEMBER 16, 1997, WHICH ARE DISCUSSED ABOVE, IS BELLSOUTH AWARE OF ANY OTHER SERVICE DISCONNECTIONS OF SUPRA'S SERVICE CAUSED BY BELLSOUTH?

1	A.	No. The only trouble reports from Supra that BellSouth received are
2		those I discussed earlier.
3		
4	Q.	ON PAGE 4 OF HIS DIRECT TESTIMONY, MR. REINKE ASSERTS
5		THAT BELLSOUTH HAS REFUSED TO PERMIT SUPRA TO
6		ELECTRONICALLY INTERFACE WITH BELLSOUTH'S OPERATIONS
7		SUPPORT SYSTEMS (OSS) AND THAT BELLSOUTH DEMANDS THAT
8		SUPRA SEND ITS ORDERS TO BELLSOUTH VIA FACSIMILE. IS HE
9		CORRECT?
10		
11	A.	No. Mr. Reinke's testimony is best refuted by Supra's own witness, Mr.
12		Hamilton, whose direct testimony in this proceeding discusses his
13		attending BellSouth sponsored training on BellSouth's Local Exchange
14		Navigation System (LENS). LENS is one of the electronic interfaces
15		which BellSouth makes available to Supra and other Alternative Local
16		Exchange Companies (ALECs).
17		
18	Q.	ON PAGE 4 OF HIS DIRECT TESTIMONY, MR. REINKE DISCUSSES
19		BELLSOUTH'S HANDLING OF AN ORDER FOR CERTAIN HIGH
20		CAPACITY FACILITIES REFERRED TO AS DS1 AND DS3 LINES.
21		PLEASE COMMENT.
22		
23	A.	BellSouth admits that on October 31, 1997, it received a facsimile from
24		Philppos Chari of Supra regarding Supra's orders for DS1 and DS3
25		circuits. BellSouth's Sidney Laterrade could not find the orders Mr.

Phillipos referred to and asked Supra to fax the orders again which Supra did on October 13, 1997. Because of missing and incomplete information, BellSouth attempted over the weeks following October 13, 1997, to attempt to clarify what Supra wanted. The orders were again returned to Supra on December 8, 1997, because of incomplete and inaccurate information. BellSouth suggested to Supra at that time, that Supra could contact its BellSouth account team for help with completion and correction of the orders. These circuits were never installed for Supra, not because BellSouth was unwilling or unable to provide them, but rather that Supra apparently changed its mind later and decided to cancel the orders. BellSouth's understanding is that Supra was ordering these circuits for Internet services it wished to provide but that Supra had not yet provided its equipment that would be attached to the DS1 and DS3 facilities. I would note also that BellSouth has provided literally thousands of DS1 and DS3 circuits to telecommunications service providers without incident for many years. Further, BellSouth's methods and procedures for providing such facilities are well documented and are executed on a "business as usual" basis daily.

19

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Rebuttal of Mr. Hamilton's direct testimony

21

22

23

24

25

20

Q. ON PAGE 2 OF HIS DIRECT TESTIMONY, MR. HAMILTON STATES

"...WHEN A SUPRA CUSTOMER DIALS 611 FOR REPAIR, HE IS

CONNECTED TO BELLSOUTH'S REPAIR OFFICE. THIS IS NOT HOW

SUPRA UNDERSTOOD THE REPAIR PROCESS TO WORK UNDER

THE RESALE AGREEMENT. THE FIRST POINT OF CALL FOR SUPRA CUSTOMERS WITH REPAIR PROBLEMS IS SUPPOSED TO BE SUPRA." PLEASE COMMENT.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Α.

1

2

3

First of all, BellSouth complies with the requirements of the interconnection agreement between BellSouth and Supra as it relates to handling repair problems for Supra's end user customers. Section V.A of the interconnection agreement states: "Reseller will adopt and adhere to the standards contained in the applicable BellSouth Work Center Interface Agreement regarding maintenance and installation of service." The Work Center Interface Agreement requires (among other things) that Supra establish and maintain a point of contact for Supra's end user customers for the purpose of the end user customers' reporting trouble conditions. Mr. Hamilton apparently does not understand the processes Supra's customers should use to report trouble conditions. Mr. Hamilton wishes for calls from Supra's end user customers who dial 611 to reach some repair bureau other than BellSouth's, though Mr. Hamilton does not state to whose repair (Supra's or some third party's repair bureau) he would like those calls delivered. Interestingly, Mr. Hamilton does not disagree that 611 calls should be delivered to BellSouth's repair bureau, instead he only notes Supra's lack of understanding. However, as I will show below, BellSouth is (and has been) properly routing calls from Supra's end user customers who dial 611 to BellSouth's repair bureau.

24

25

1	Q.	HOW MIGHT CALLS FROM SUPRA'S END USER CUSTOMERS
2		REACH SUPRA TO REPORT TROUBLE CONDITIONS?
3		
4	A.	There are at least two ways. First, Supra could inform its customers to
5		dial some number other than 611 to report trouble conditions. Other
6		ALECs do this today. Supra's employees or agents could then answer
7		the calls coming from Supra's end user customers and handle the calls in
8		any fashion Supra chooses.
9		
10		The second way would be for Supra to request BellSouth to provide Supra
11		with selective routing such that Supra's end users could dial 611 and
12		reach the repair bureau of Supra's choosing.
13		
14	Q.	WHAT IS SELECTIVE ROUTING?
15		
16	A.	Simply put, selective routing is additional switching functionality that
17		provides information to the switch during call processing regarding whose
18		end user customer (in this case, BellSouth's or Supra's) is placing a call to
19		611 and then determines the appropriate routing for that call based on
20		that information.
21		
22	Q.	WAS NOT THE ISSUE OF SELECTIVE ROUTING PREVIOUSLY
23		ADDRESSED BY THIS COMMISSION DURING ARBITRATION
24		PROCEEDINGS BETWEEN BELLSOUTH AND CERTAIN ALECs?
5		

1	A.	Yes, in Dockets 960846-TP and 960833-TP. The outcome of those
2		proceedings was that when an ALEC resells BellSouth's local exchange
3		service, or purchases unbundled local switching, it is technically feasible
4		to route 0+ and 0- calls to an operator other than BellSouth's, to route 411
5		and 555-1212 directory assistance calls to an operator other than
6		BellSouth's, or to route 611 repair calls to a repair center other than
7		BellSouth's. BellSouth is required to provide selective routing, using the
8		Line Class Code method, on a first-come, first-served basis. Unless an
9		ALEC has requested and has been provided with the selective routing
10		functionality, if the ALEC's end user customers dial 611, they will reach
11		BellSouth's repair bureau. Thus, BellSouth is properly routing calls from
12		Supra's end user customers who dial 611. As I will discuss later in my
13		testimony, if Supra's end user customers dial 611 and reach BellSouth's
14		repair bureau, the customers are informed that they should contact Supra
15		directly in order to report a trouble condition.
16		
17	Q.	HAS SUPRA MADE A REQUEST OF BELLSOUTH TO PROVIDE
18		SUPRA WITH SELECTIVE ROUTING?
19		
20	A.	No. Despite the availability of selective routing in Florida, Supra has
21		made no such request.
22		
23	Q.	ON PAGE 3 OF HIS DIRECT TESTIMONY, MR. HAMILTON
24		DISCUSSES A SERVICE PROBLEM FOR SUPRA'S CUSTOMER, "MR.
25		X". PLEASE COMMENT.

A.

BellSouth has attempted without success to obtain from Supra more information that would allow BellSouth to make a meaningful analysis of the details of this situation. I would expect Supra to provide at least a telephone number for the end user customer which Supra alleges BellSouth caused problems. Supra has not done so. Notwithstanding Supra's not providing the necessary information, I would comment that Supra's own account of this situation as presented in Mr. Hamilton's testimony showed that BellSouth's repair bureau properly responded to Supra's request on December 15, 1997. As Mr. Hamilton states at line 24 of page 3 of his direct testimony "BellSouth's Repair Office identified the problem as a phone off hook. . ."

Again by Supra's own testimony at line 8 of page 5 of Mr. Hamilton's direct testimony, BellSouth responded properly to Supra's request on December 18, 1997 as Mr. Hamilton states "BellSouth informed Supra that this time the technician [that is, BellSouth's technician] did go to the customer's premises to effect repair, and no problems were found." The BellSouth technician tested BellSouth's facilities and found them to be operating properly. The problem was in the inside wire at the end user customer's premises.

In the course of BellSouth's investigation of Supra's direct testimony, BellSouth was able to determine that a trouble condition similar to that described in Supra's testimony occurred on December 18, 1997.

BellSouth admits that its technician was not properly informed on how to treat troubles isolated to the inside wire at an ALEC's end user customer's premises and that this delayed the restoration of that end user customer's service. BellSouth repaired the problem caused by the inside wire on December 20, 1997. BellSouth has since modified the methods and procedures used by its installation and maintenance personnel who respond to trouble reports of this type to ensure proper handling of inside wire problems. These revised methods and procedures require BellSouth to determine whether Supra's end user customer has an inside wire maintenance plan and so advise BellSouth's technician such that the BellSouth technician will appropriately handle inside wire problems. BellSouth believes its revised methods and procedures adequately address this situation and BellSouth's installation and repair personnel have been covered on the proper procedures. ON PAGE 7 OF HIS DIRECT TESTIMONY MR. HAMILTON STATES "IT IS INAPPROPRIATE FOR BELLSOUTH TO OFFER TO SWITCH A CUSTOMER BACK IN ORDER TO MORE QUICKLY EFFECT REPAIRS."

1516

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Q. ON PAGE 7 OF HIS DIRECT TESTIMONY MR. HAMILTON STATES "IT IS INAPPROPRIATE FOR BELLSOUTH TO OFFER TO SWITCH A CUSTOMER BACK IN ORDER TO MORE QUICKLY EFFECT REPAIRS."

DOES BELLSOUTH ENCOURAGE ANY ALEC'S CUSTOMERS TO SWITCH BACK TO BELLSOUTH IN ORDER TO IMPROVE THE REPAIR OF SERVICE PROBLEMS?

22

23

24

25

Α.

Certainly not. Further, apart from Mr. Hamilton's vague, unsupported accusation, Supra has produced absolutely no evidence of BellSouth's using such a practice. BellSouth's witness Stacy will provide evidence

1		that BellSouth's repair and maintenance for end user customers of Supra
2		and other ALECs is at parity with BellSouth's performance for its own
3		retail customers.
4		
5	Q.	ON PAGE 7 OF HIS DIRECT TESTIMONY, MR. HAMILTON STATES "IT
6		IS INAPPROPRIATE FOR BELLSOUTH TO RECEIVE 611 CALLS FOR
7		SUPRA CUSTOMERS AND TREAT THEM AS SALES LEADS BY
8		ATTEMPTING TO CONVERT THE CUSTOMER BACK TO
9		BELLSOUTH." DOES BELLSOUTH USE SUCH CALLS AS SALES
10		LEADS AS SUGGESTED BY MR. HAMILTON?
11		
12	A.	Certainly not. As I discussed earlier, unless Supra has arranged for the
13		selective routing functionality, Supra's end user customers who dial 611
14		will appropriately reach BellSouth's repair bureau. BellSouth's repair
15		bureau technicians, if called by an ALEC's end user customer, instruct the
16		caller that the ALEC's repair bureau rather than BellSouth's should be
17		called to place a trouble report. BellSouth's repair bureau technicians are
18		not sales people and do not behave as if they were. BellSouth believes
19		that Supra should provide instructions to its end user customers on how to
20		report trouble conditions. This would reduce the quantity of calls to
21		BellSouth's repair bureau that must be redirected to Supra.
22		
23	Q.	ON PAGE 9 OF HIS DIRECT TESTIMONY, MR. HAMILTON STATES
24		"AS CUSTOMER SERVICE MANAGER AT SUPRA, I AM AWARE OF
25		OVER 30 CALLS FROM SUPRA CUSTOMERS WHO WERE COACHED

1		INTO CALLING OUR BUSINESS OFFICE AND ASKING US "WHO WILL
2		REPAIR MY PHONE IF IT GOES OUT OF ORDER?" PLEASE
3		COMMENT?
4		
5	A.	First of all, every end user customer has a legitimate right to request of
6		BellSouth, Supra or any other local service provider who will perform
7		repairs if there are problems with the customer's service. Mr. Hamilton
8		seems irate that Supra's customers should know the truth in this regard.
9		Notwithstanding Mr. Hamilton's obvious discomfort at having Supra's
10		customers know who will perform any needed repairs, it is standard
11		practice in BellSouth's repair bureau that ALECs' end user customers
12		(including Supra's) are instructed to call the appropriate ALEC's repair
13		bureau in the case that the end user customer mistakenly contacts
14		BellSouth's repair bureau.
15		
16	Q.	BEGINNING AT LINE 23 ON PAGE 10 OF HIS DIRECT TESTIMONY,
17		MR. HAMILTON DISCUSSES A COMPLAINT FROM A SUPRA END
18		USER CUSTOMER REGARDING THE INSIDE WIRE AT THE
19		CUSTOMER'S PREMISES. IS THIS THE SAME SUBJECT AS THE
20		LETTER IN EXHIBIT BH-5 DATED MARCH 18, 1998?
21		
22	A.	Apparently not. The letter in Exhibit BH-5 dated March 18, 1998,
23		concerned the feature called Call Waiting Deluxe. The subject of Mr.
24		Hamilton's testimony beginning at line 23 on page 10 of his direct
25		testimony deals with inside wire maintenance. Once again, Supra has not

1		provided sufficient information for BellSouth to conduct a meaningful
2		analysis of the facts in this alleged incident.
3		
4	Rebu	ıttal to Mr. Ramos' amended direct testimony
5		
6	Q.	ON PAGE 29 OF HIS AMENDED DIRECT TESTIMONY MR. RAMOS
7		STATES "BELLSOUTH HAS FAILED TO PROVIDE ORDERING AND
8		PROVISIONING TO SUPRA THAT IS EQUAL TO THAT BELLSOUTH
9		PROVIDES TO BELLSOUTH. THE PRIMARY REASON FOR THIS IS
10		TO ENSURE THAT SUPRA FAILS IN THE RESALE BUSINESS."
11		PLEASE RESPOND.
12		
13	Α.	BellSouth adamantly denies Mr. Ramos' allegation. I repeat here that
14		BellSouth has provided facts (data) in the testimony of BellSouth's witness
15		Stacy conclusively showing that BellSouth's performance for Supra and
16		other ALECs in Florida is at parity with BellSouth's performance to its
17		retail customers. I note that instead of providing facts for this Commission
18		to consider, Mr. Ramos offers only his unsupported assertions.
19		
20	Q.	ON PAGE 31 OF HIS DIRECT TESTIMONY, MR. RAMOS ASSERTS
21		ORDERS TO BELLSOUTH ARE OFTEN LOST OR MISHANDLED.
22		PLEASE COMMENT.
23		
24	A.	Mr. Ramos refers to a situation that has long since been corrected.
25		BellSouth admits that during 1997, there was a problem when some

1 ALECs faxed individual Local Service Requests (LSRs) to the BellSouth 2 work group designated to handle their orders. Due to the volume 3 and the decentralized method of handling these LSRs, several facsimile 4 messages were lost. To correct the situation, BellSouth installed a High 5 Capacity, High Resolution Facsimile Server in October of 1997. The 6 process was also centralized to insure orders are logged into BellSouth's 7 Order Tracking System, assigned to a Service Representative and then 8 distributed to the appropriate Service Representative by BellSouth's 9 clerical staff. The use of this facsimile server reduces the possibility of 10 lost LSRs to a minimum. It also provides for a permanent storable visual image of all work received on any given day. The tracking process 12 ensures the accurate distribution of the work to the appropriate 13 representative.

14

15

16

17

11

WHOM AT BELLSOUTH WOULD YOU EXPECT SUPRA TO CONTACT Q. SHOULD THERE BE A SYSTEMATIC PROBLEM WITH BELLSOUTH'S RECEIVING SUPRA'S ORDERS AS MR. RAMOS ASSERTS?

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Ms. Cynthia Arrington is the designated Customer Service Manager for Supra. I would expect that Supra would bring systemic operational problems, such as Mr. Ramos describes, to the attention of Ms. Arrington for resolution. To date, Supra has made not even one complaint of lost LSRs to Ms. Arrington. Supra has made no such complaint to Ms. Arrington despite the significant volume of orders Supra has placed with BellSouth. Importantly, each of these orders shown below can represent

1 a large number of customer lines or features associated with that 2 particular order. The order volume for Supra was: 3 4 MONTH ORDER VOLUME 5 January 1998 43 6 February 1998 65 7 March 1998 90 8 TOTAL 198 9 10 Q. BEGINNING ON PAGE 35 OF HIS DIRECT TESTIMONY, MR. RAMOS 11 STATES BELLSOUTH HAS FAILED TO MEET REQUESTS FOR 12 INSTALLATION OF NEW SERVICES, AS WELL AS REQUESTS FOR 13 REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE OF EXISTING SERVICES, ON A BASIS 14 EQUIVALENT TO THAT WHICH BELLSOUTH PROVIDES TO ITS 15 RETAIL CUSTOMERS. IS HE CORRECT? 16 17 A. No. The testimony of BellSouth's witness Stacy will provide a comparison 18 of BellSouth's performance for Supra compared to BellSouth's 19 performance for BellSouth's retail customers. However, I will address 20 Exhibit OAR-9 that is attached to Mr. Ramos' direct testimony. Exhibit 21 OAR-9 purports to be a comparison of intervals BellSouth offers Supra for 22 various additions or changes to service for Supra's end user customers 23 with BellSouth's actual interval. I would note first that Mr. Ramos offers 24 absolutely no evidence to support his assertion that BellSouth has not met 25 its provisioning commitments to Supra. I would expect, in a comparison

1		such as Mr. Ramos here rails to make, to see at the very least the
2		following:
3		 What Purchase Order Numbers were included.
4		The quantity of lines or services order via those Purchase
5		Order Numbers.
6		The dates on which error free orders were placed with
7		BellSouth.
8		 The dates provisioning was completed.
9		
10		Mr. Ramos' "comparison" is thus totally without substance or merit.
11		
12	Q.	BEGINNING ON PAGE 35 OF HIS DIRECT TESTIMONY, MR. RAMOS
13		DISCUSSES THE ROUTING OF 611 CALLS FROM SUPRA'S END
14		USER CUSTOMERS. IS THIS NOT EXACTLY THE SAME ISSUE AS
15		WAS DISCUSSED IN THE TESTIMONY OF SUPRA'S WITNESS
16		HAMILTON?
17		
18	A.	Yes. My rebuttal to Mr. Hamilton's direct testimony regarding the issue of
19		BellSouth's routing of 611 calls from Supra's customers is equally
20		applicable here. As with Mr. Hamilton, Mr. Ramos is unaware of the
21		selective routing functionality which Supra may acquire for itself from
22		BellSouth. I will repeat here that BellSouth's routing of calls from Supra's
23		end user customers who dial 611 to BellSouth's repair bureau is entirely
24		appropriate. Further, Supra's customers who dial 611 and reach
25		BellSouth's repair bureau are instructed to call Supra to report service

1		problems. BellSouth does not use such misdirected calls as sales
2		opportunities despite Mr. Ramos' claims to the contrary. He is simply
3		wrong.
4		
5	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
6		
7	A.	Yes.

1		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
2		SUPPLEMENTAL REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF W. KEITH MILNER
3		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
4		DOCKET NO. 980119-TP
5		April 28, 1998
6		
7	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, ADDRESS, AND POSITION WITH
8		BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.
9		
10	A.	My name is W. Keith Milner. My business address is 675 West
11		Peachtree Street, Atlanta, Georgia 30375. I am Senior Director -
12		Interconnection Services for BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.
13		("BellSouth" or "the Company"). I have served in my present role since
14		February 1996 and have been involved with the management of certain
15		issues related to local interconnection and unbundling.
16		
17	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME KEITH MILNER WHO FILED DIRECT AND
18		REBUTTAL TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
19		
20	A.	Yes.
21		
22	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY BEING FILED
23		TODAY?
24		
25	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to respond to the amended direct

1		testimony filed in this docket by Mr. Bradford Hamilton of Supra
2		Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. ("Supra") regarding
3		the service Supra has ordered and received from BellSouth.
4		
5	Q.	ON PAGE 7 OF HIS AMENDED DIRECT TESTIMONY, MR.
6		HAMILTON DISCUSSES PROBLEMS HE ALLEGES BELLSOUTH
7		CAUSED A LARGE CUSTOMER OF SUPRA. PLEASE RESPOND.
8		
9	A.	Apparently, this end user customer believed that three of its telephone
10		lines had been disconnected by BellSouth in 1996 although it appears
11		that the three lines were never disconnected. I agree with Mr. Hamilton
12		that it is highly unlikely that the same three telephone numbers
13		assigned to these three lines would still be available and be reassigned
14		to the end user customer. I do not understand why the end user
15		customer did not at some point since 1996 bring to BellSouth's
16		attention that these three lines were still being billed for if in fact the
17		end user customer wanted the lines disconnected. In any event,
18		however, there is nothing to indicate that BellSouth "blamed Supra" in
19		conversations between the end user customer and BellSouth.
20		
21	Q.	ON PAGE 9 OF HIS AMENDED DIRECT TESTIMONY MR.
22		HAMILTON STATES "AFTER THE CUSTOMER'S ACCOUNT
23		TRANSFERRED BACK TO BELLSOUTH, WE [SUPRA] RECEIVED A
24		FAX FROM THE CUSTOMER ON MARCH 20, 1998, AT 4:00 PM,
25		ASKING US TO DISCONNECT TWO OF THE NUMBERS SHE

1		QUESTIONED AS ACTIVE LINES. SHE HAD DISCOVERED THAT
2		THE LINES WERE INDEED LIVE AND WORKING AT HER
3		ADDRESS." PLEASE RESPOND.
4		
5	A.	First of all, I can think of no reason why the end user customer would
6		contact Supra to complain about service that was now being provided
7		by BellSouth. Second, the end user customer apparently now
8		understood that the lines in question were in fact active and working.
9		Despite Mr. Hamilton's complaint that "BellSouth had told her [that is,
10		the end user customer] that it was Supra's fault that she had lost dial
11		tone", I note that during his deposition taken on April 17, 1998, Mr.
12		Hamilton admits (while discussing this end user customer's service)
13		that it was Supra rather than BellSouth that disconnected the three
14		lines in question (Hamilton deposition transcript, page 54, line 4).
15		
16	Q.	PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE EVENTS
17		IN THIS INCIDENT.
18		
19	A.	Apparently the end user customer was not aware at the time service
20		was transferred from BellSouth to Supra that the three lines were still in
21		service. When the end user customer decided to move its service back
22		to BellSouth, the end user customer intentionally did not request the
23		transfer of the three lines in question. Thus, the three lines stayed in
24		service as provided by Supra. Upon being contacted by the end user
25		customer, Supra disconnected the three lines at the end user

1 customer's request, thus removing dial tone from the lines.

2

Q. BEGINNING ON PAGE 9 OF HIS AMENDED DIRECT TESTIMONY,
 MR. HAMILTON DISCUSSES AN INCIDENT HE ALLEGES
 OCCURRED ON MARCH 10, 1998. PLEASE RESPOND.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α.

Apparently Mr. Hamilton takes issue with the fact that BellSouth wanted to have the property owner acknowledge that the previous tenant had moved out or "abandoned" the service, to use Mr. Hamilton's phrase. According to Mr. Hamilton's own statements made during his deposition in this proceeding, the tenant in question was Mr. Hamilton's supervisor at Supra. Thus, Mr. Hamilton could easily have found out how to contact the property owner for verification that the former tenant had in fact moved out. For whatever his reasons, Mr. Hamilton did not make such information available to BellSouth. Instead, Mr. Hamilton complains that "our employee [that is, Mr. Hamilton's supervisor at Supra] had to wait seven days to have his service installed." However, when questioned during his deposition in this proceeding, Mr. Hamilton stated "Well, because back and forth trying to get the service from us [that is, Supra] to get it installed, we couldn't get it done." (Hamilton deposition transcript, page 56, line 3) Finally, Mr. Hamilton responded to the question "So it took BellSouth seven days to install BellSouth service?", Mr. Hamilton responded "No, it took seven days for him [that is, Mr. Hamilton's supervisor at Supra] to get service from the date that he ordered it from us [that is, Supra]." What I gather from this is that

1 Mr. Hamilton was asked by his supervisor to have new service installed 2 at an apartment the supervisor would rent. Mr. Hamilton apparently did 3 not or was not able to contact his supervisor for some period of time (who, according to Mr. Hamilton, was in Washington, D.C., the entire 4 5 week). Upon verifying that the apartment had in fact been surrendered 6 by the previous tenant, BellSouth provided the requested service. Obviously, Mr. Hamilton's own actions caused the delay in having 7 service provided to Mr. Hamilton's supervisor. A simple phone call 8 would have provided the verification that BellSouth requested. 9 10 Q. ON PAGE 12 OF HIS AMENDED DIRECT TESTIMONY, MR. 11 HAMILTON DISCUSSES AN INCIDENT HE ALLEGES OCCURRED 12 13 ON MARCH 19, 1998. PLEASE RESPOND. 14 15 Α. According to Mr. Hamilton, the end user customer had transferred back to BellSouth from Supra but was still being billed by Supra for the 16 service. BellSouth did not double bill the end user customer. 17 BellSouth correctly billed the end user customer for the service 18 BellSouth provided to that customer. Apparently Supra continued to bill 19 the end user customer even after the transfer of service which 20 prompted the end user customer to complain to Supra about its billing. 21 Mr. Hamilton then apparently contacted the wrong BellSouth work 22 center. Mr. Hamilton states "After I hung up with the customer, I called 23 the BellSouth business office and I reach a Ms. Marie Dinish at the 24 BellSouth Jacksonville office." Ms. Dinish rightly refused to honor 25

Supra's request that BellSouth change the billing information for a BellSouth end user customer. The proper work group for Supra to contact to complain of incorrect billing to Supra is the Local Carrier Service Center (LCSC) which is located not in Jacksonville, Florida, but in Birmingham, Alabarna. Apparently Ms. Dinish or someone else at BellSouth's Jacksonville business office referred the information to the LCSC on Supra's behalf. Despite his attending BellSouth sponsored training, Mr. Hamilton appears unaware of the proper BellSouth work center to which he should refer complaints such as this one.

Q. BEGINNING ON PAGE 13 OF HIS AMENDED DIRECT TESTIMONY, MR. HAMILTON DISCUSSES AN INCIDENT HE ALLEGES OCCURRED ON APRIL 1, 1998. MR. HAMILTON STATES "ON THE PAPERWORK, I REQUESTED A DUE DATE OF APRIL 3, 1998. I RECEIVED THE FIRM ORDER CONFIRMATION AT 10:25 AM ON APRIL 3, 1998, WITH A NEW DATE OF APRIL 7, 1998." PLEASE RESPOND.

A.

BellSouth does its best to meet requested due dates such as the one requested here by Supra (that is, April 3, 1998). However, BellSouth cannot always meet those requested due dates, either for Alternative Local Exchange Companies (ALECs) such as Supra or for BellSouth's own retail end user customers. The BellSouth representative whom Mr. Hamilton contacted apparently explained the due date being set as April 7, 1998, as a function of BellSouth's work load. The Firm Order

1		Confirmation (FOC) showed a committed due date of April 7, 1998, and
2		BellSouth apparently met that due date.
3		
4	Q.	BEGINNING ON PAGE 15 OF HIS AMENDED DIRECT TESTIMONY,
5		MR. HAMILTON STATES "WHEN THE BELLSOUTH TEAM WAS AT
6		SUPRA DURING MARCH 1998, THEY EXPLAINED THAT
7		BELLSOUTH'S REPAIR PERSONNEL CANNOT ENTER A SUPRA
8		CUSTOMER'S PREMISES TO REPAIR AN INSIDE WIRE PROBLEM
9		EVEN IF REQUESTED TO BY THE CUSTOMER. THE BELLSOUTH
10		TEAM STATED THAT BECAUSE THE CUSTOMER IS A SUPRA
11		CUSTOMER AND NOT A BELLSOUTH CUSTOMER, BELLSOUTH
12		MUST OBTAIN SUPRA'S AUTHORIZATION TO ENTER THE
13		CUSTOMER'S PREMISES." PLEASE RESPOND.
14		
15	A.	When an end user customer transfers service from BellSouth to Supra,
16		BellSouth no longer has a direct relationship with that customer.
17		BellSouth no longer bills the end user customer for service, including
18		inside wire maintenance plans. Instead, BellSouth bills Supra and
19		Supra bills the end user customer. If an end user customer does not
20		have an inside wire maintenance plan, BellSouth requires that Supra
21		approve or deny work on inside wire. To do otherwise could result in
22		BellSouth's billing Supra for inside wire work and Supra not being able
23		to recover that expense from its end user customer. However,
24		BellSouth does not require that Supra's representative be at the end
25		user customer's premises to make such an authorization, despite Mr.

1		Hamilton's claim to the contrary.
2		
3	Q.	DOES THE INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT BETWEEN
4		BELLSOUTH AND SUPRA SPECIFY HOW REPAIR OF SERVICE
5		WILL BE HANDLED?
6		
7	A.	Yes. Section V.E of the resale agreement, which is Attachment 1 of
8		the interconnection agreement, states "Reseller [Supra] will be the
9		Company's [BellSouth's] single point of contact for all repair calls on
10		behalf of Reseller's end users." Thus, BellSouth interacts with Supra
11		which in turn interacts with its customers. Apparently, Mr. Hamilton
12		would wish for BellSouth to deal directly with Supra's end user
13		customers in some cases but not in others. The language in the
14		interconnection agreement, however, is quite clear and BellSouth
15		abides by those terms.
16		
17	Q.	ON PAGE 16 OF HIS AMENDED DIRECT TESTIMONY, MR.
18		HAMILTON STATES "THE UPSHOT OF ALL OF THIS IS THAT
19		BELLSOUTH HAS MERELY TO TELL A SUPRA CUSTOMER WHO
20		HAS REPORTED A TROUBLE THAT BELLSOUTH CANNOT FIX THE
21		TROUBLE BECAUSE THE CUSTOMER IS A SUPRA CUSTOMER
22		AND BELLSOUTH WINS BACK ANOTHER ACCOUNT. THIS IS AN
23		OUTRAGEOUSLY SUCCESSFUL ANTI-COMPETITIVE TACTIC."
24		PLEASE RESPOND.
25		

Mr. Hamilton provides no facts to support his claim of anticompetitive
tactics, which BellSouth denies. During his deposition in this
proceeding, when questioned on this topic, Mr. Hamilton offered only
vague, unsupported opinions. His responses beginning on page 32 of
the transcript of his deposition reveal the total absence of any facts in
support of his allegations. When questioned regarding the basis for his
allegation, Mr. Hamilton responded "Okay, and, you know, because
you are with a reseller, you are going to get a delay in your service, in
your repair." In response to BellSouth's next question during his
deposition "And somebody has actually said that?", Mr. Hamilton
responded "I don't know if it's the word 'delay.' I'm trying to think of
what the word they say is. It's more of an impression they give you,
okay." Finally, in response to BellSouth's next question in his
deposition "Well, has any BellSouth repair rep ever said to you, you
should switch back to BellSouth so that we can more quickly repair
your service?", Mr. Hamilton responded "No, not to me directly." In
summary, Mr. Hamilton in no way supports his claims of anticompetitive
behavior on BellSouth's part in its handling of repair requests.

1 A.

20 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

22 A. Yes.

BY MS. WHITE:

- Q Mr. Milner, have you prepared a summary of your testimony?
 - A Yes, I have.
 - Q Would you please go forward with that?
 - A Yes, thank you.

Good afternoon, Commissioners. My name is
Keith Milner and I'm here to respond to Supra's
complaints related to the services Supra had ordered
and received from BellSouth.

I filed direct, rebuttal and supplemental rebuttal testimony responding to the testimony of Supra's witnesses.

Many of the issues raised by Supra address problems that were encountered sometime ago and that have long since been resolved. Where needed, BellSouth has developed or modified its procedures to prevent the types of problems Supra alleges.

To put these incidents into what I believe to be the proper perspective, I note, first, that Supra has come forward with the details of only a very small number of its many customers in Florida to which Supra claims BellSouth caused service problems. Further, in at least some cases, Supra's own actions contributed to any problems the customers may have

experienced.

Lastly, Supra's allegations are in many cases unsupported by any quantitative facts. For example, Supra complains that BellSouth has not provided provisioning and repair services at parity with that which BellSouth provides its own retail customers. While BellSouth's witness, Mr. Stacy, can discuss the topic of performance measures in far greater detail, I would note that during the months of January of '97 through January of '98, BellSouth performed only slightly better for BellSouth's own retail customers than it did for Supra's end-user customers for provisioning activities. During the same period, BellSouth performed repair activities significantly better for Supra's end user customers than BellSouth performed for its own retail customers.

Next, Supra complains that its customers who dial 611 reach BellSouth's repair service platform rather than Supra's.

I note, however, that in the Interconnection Agreement between BellSouth and Supra, BellSouth offers Supra a functionality referred to as selective routing. Selective routing would allow the routing that Supra apparently wants but has not yet requested from BellSouth. BellSouth has been, and continues to

properly route the calls of Supra's customers who dial 611.

Supra also complains that BellSouth forced
Supra to send its orders to BellSouth by facsimile.
Yet Supra has sent literally hundreds of local service
requests to BellSouth's local exchange navigation
system, or LENS. LENS is an electronic ordering
system used by Supra and other alternative local
exchange companies.

So summarize, BellSouth stands ready to provide all of the items in its interconnection agreement with Supra. BellSouth has admitted its part to certain start-up problems, and has taken appropriate action not only to resolve the individual cases, but also to correct any underlying procedural problems. Existing methods have been adapted and improved. New arrangements have been developed and perfected. This has happened through the cooperative problem-solving of the alternative local exchange companies and BellSouth.

That is the process I observe at work in this new environment of local competition. BellSouth is, and remains, fully committed to the continued cooperative efforts that to date resulted in significant progress, and which have enabled

1	meaningful local competition.
2	Thank you. That concludes my summary.
3	MS. WHITE: Mr. Milner is available for
4	cross examination.
5	COMMISSIONER DEASON: Ms. Summerlin.
6	(Transcript continues in Volume 3.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
3 E I	I .