

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition to determine need for an electrical power plant in Manatee County by Florida Power & Light Company.))) _)	Docket No.: 020262-EI	00 NA	52 AUS -5	TY COVE
In re: Petition to determine need for an electrical power plant in Martin County by Florida Power & Light Company.)))	Docket No.: 020263-EI Filed: August 5, 2002	SSION	1일 나 59	700

CPV GULFCOAST, LTD.'S OBJECTIONS TO FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES (Nos. 1-34)

CPV Gulfcoast, Ltd. ("CPV Gulfcoast"), pursuant to Rule 28-106.206, Florida Administrative Code, and Rules 1.340, 1.350 and 1.280(b), Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, hereby submits the following Objections to Florida Power & Light Company's (FPL") First Set of Interrogatories:

INTRODUCTION

The objections stated herein are preliminary in nature and are made at this time for the purpose of complying with the 10-day requirement as set forth in Order No. PSC-02-0992-PCO-EI ("Procedural Order") issued by the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") in the above-referenced dockets. Should additional grounds for objection be discovered as CPV Gulfcoast prepares its responses to the above-referenced requests, CPV Gulfcoast reserves the right to supplement, revise or modify its objections at the time that it serves its responses on FPL. Moreover, should CPV Gulfcoast determine that a Protective Order is necessary with respect to any of the

FPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER OF THE DOCUMENT NUMBER AUG -5 & FRSC-COMMISSION CLERK

14 de 1

material requested by FPL, CPV Gulfcoast reserves the right to file a motion with the Commission seeking such an order.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

CPV Gulfcoast makes the following General Objections to FPL's First Set of Interrogatories ("First IRR"). These general objections apply to each of the individual interrogatories in the First IRR, respectively, and will be incorporated by reference into CPV Gulfcoast's responses and answers when they are served on FPL.

- 1. CPV Gulfcoast objects to the requests to the extent that such requests seek to impose an obligation on CPV Gulfcoast to respond on behalf of subsidiaries, parent entities, affiliates or other persons that are not parties to this case on the grounds that such requests are overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, and not permitted by applicable discovery rules.
- 2. CPV Gulfcoast objects to each and every request and instruction to the extent that such request or instruction calls for information that is exempt from discovery by virtue of the attorney-client privilege, work product privilege or other applicable privilege.
- 3. CPV Gulfcoast objects to each and every request insofar as the request is vague, ambiguous, overly broad, imprecise or utilizes terms that are subject to multiple interpretations but are not properly defined or explained for purposes of these requests. Any responses provided by CPV Gulfcoast to FPL's requests will be provided subject to, and without waiver of, the foregoing objection.
- 4. CPV Gulfcoast objects to each and every request insofar as the request is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and is not relevant to the

subject matter of this action. CPV Gulfcoast will attempt to note in its responses each instance where this objection applies.

- 5. CPV Gulfcoast objects to FPL's discovery requests, instructions and definitions, insofar as they seek to impose obligations on CPV Gulfcoast that exceed the requirements of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure or Florida law.
- 6. CPV Gulfcoast objects to providing information to the extent that such information is already in the public record before the Commission, or elsewhere.
- 7. CPV Gulfcoast objects to each and every request, insofar as it is unduly burdensome, expensive, oppressive or excessively time consuming as written. CPV Gulfcoast also objects to any request for production of documents that calls for the creation of information as opposed to the reporting of presently existing information as an improper expansion of CPV Gulfcoast's obligations under the law FPL invokes.
- 8. CPV Gulfcoast objects to each and every request to the extent that the information requested constitutes "trade secrets" which are privileged pursuant to Section 90.506, Florida Statutes. To the extent that FPL requests proprietary confidential business information which is not subject to the "trade secrets" privilege, CPV Gulfcoast may make such information available to counsel for FPL pursuant to an appropriate Protective Agreement, subject to any other general or specific objections contained herein.
- 9. CPV Gulfcoast objects to the nature of information sought by FPL on the following grounds: FPL filed Petitions for Need in these cases. Consequently, FPL has the affirmative burden of proving that its proposed projects will satisfy the statutory need criteria set forth in Section 403.519, Florida Statutes. FPL did not identify CPV Gulfcoast as a primarily-affected utility in this

proceeding pursuant to Section 25-22.081, F.A.C. FPL did not short list or negotiate with CPV Gulfcoast. As an intervenor, CPV Gulfcoast must show that it was a participant in FPL's selection process. See Rule 25-22.082(8), F.A.C. Nevertheless, FPL has served extensive discovery on CPV Gulfcoast, most of which is irrelevant, immaterial, argumentative, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Put simply, it is FPL's need case and selection process that is at issue, not CPV Gulfcoast's. To the extent that FPL somehow contends it needs CPV Gulfcoast's sensitive financial information to judge CPV Gulfcoast's ability to perform, now, after the fact, it ignores the RFP's requirement of certain completion security terms.

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS: FIRST IRR

In addition to the foregoing General Objections, CPV Gulfcoast raises the following Specific Objections to the following individual interrogatories in the First IRR:

12. Please provide CPV's annual gross revenue and annual net income for the past three years.

Objection: Compound, irrelevant, immaterial, overly broad, burdensome, and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This information is highly confidential business information, similar to information FPL has refused to provide on similar grounds. Moreover, as FPL and FPL Energy are competitors of CPV Gulfcoast's, this information should not be subject to disclosure, particularly to the extent that such information involves trade secrets or other proprietary information.

13. Please identify and list all of CPV's outstanding debt including the amount, to whom it is owed, the interest rate and the maturity date of such debt, whether on or off the

balance sheet.

Objection: Compound, irrelevant, immaterial, overly broad, burdensome, and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This information is highly confidential business information, similar to information FPL has refused to provide on similar grounds. Moreover, as FPL and FPL Energy are competitors of CPV Gulfcoast's, this information should not be subject to disclosure, particularly to the extent that such information involves trade secrets or other proprietary information.

14. Please identify the percentage of interest of CPV any of CPV's directors or officers owns.

Objection: Compound, irrelevant, immaterial, overly broad, burdensome, and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This information is highly confidential business information, similar to information FPL has refused to provide on similar grounds. Moreover, as FPL and FPL Energy are competitors of CPV Gulfcoast's, this information should not be subject to disclosure, particularly to the extent that such information involves trade secrets or other proprietary information.

15. Please identify each loan made by CPV to any of its officers or directors during the last three years, and in your identification list for each loan the director or officer, the amount of the loan, the interest rate on the loan, and the maturity date of the loan.

Objection: Compound, irrelevant, immaterial, overly broad, burdensome, and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This information is highly confidential business information, similar to information FPL has refused to provide on similar grounds. Moreover, as FPL and FPL Energy are competitors of CPV Gulfcoast's, this information should not be subject to

disclosure, particularly to the extent that such information involves trade secrets or other proprietary information.

16. Please identify for the remainder of 2002 and each year 2003 and 2004 any anticipated acquisition or construction of power plants by CPV and the capacity of each.

Objection: Compound, irrelevant, immaterial, overly broad, burdensome, and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This information is highly confidential business information, similar to information FPL has refused to provide on similar grounds. Moreover, as FPL and FPL Energy are competitors of CPV Gulfcoast's, this information should not be subject to disclosure, particularly to the extent that such information involves trade secrets or other proprietary information.

17. For each project identified in response to Interrogatory 16, explain how CPV proposes to finance such acquisitions, including the anticipated ratio of equity and debt as well as the plan for raising the financing.

Objection: Compound, irrelevant, immaterial, overly broad, burdensome, and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This information is highly confidential business information, similar to information FPL has refused to provide on similar grounds. Moreover, as FPL and FPL Energy are competitors of CPV Gulfcoast's, this information should not be subject to disclosure, particularly to the extent that such information involves trade secrets or other proprietary information.

18. Please identify any customers, the loss of any one or more of which would have significant adverse effect on CPV's operations, financial position or ability to meet project demands or financial obligations.

Objection: Compound, irrelevant, immaterial, overly broad, burdensome, and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This information is highly confidential business information, similar to information FPL has refused to provide on similar grounds. Moreover, as FPL and FPL Energy are competitors of CPV Gulfcoast's, this information should not be subject to disclosure, particularly to the extent that such information involves trade secrets or other proprietary information.

19. Please identify by project and by year any capital expenditure in excess of \$100,000 CPV anticipates in the next three years, including but not limited to any expenditure anticipated to comply with government regulation.

Objection: Compound, irrelevant, immaterial, overly broad, burdensome, and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This information is highly confidential business information, similar to information FPL has refused to provide on similar grounds. Moreover, as FPL and FPL Energy are competitors of CPV Gulfcoast's, this information should not be subject to disclosure, particularly to the extent that such information involves trade secrets or other proprietary information.

22. Describe CPV's financial condition, and list any changes in CPV's financial condition, its liquidity, and its capital resources over the past three years and any existing conditions likely to result in a significant change in CPV's financial condition, liquidity, or capital resources over the next three years.

Objection: Compound, irrelevant, immaterial, overly broad, burdensome, and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This information is highly confidential business information, similar to information FPL has refused to provide on similar grounds. Moreover, as

FPL and FPL Energy are competitors of CPV Gulfcoast's, this information should not be subject to disclosure, particularly to the extent that such information involves trade secrets or other proprietary information.

23. Please provide CPV's plans to remedy any existing or future deficiency in liquidity. If CPV does not view it has a deficiency in liquidity, explain how it plans to preserve its liquidity over the next three years. In answering this Interrogatory, identify all sources of liquidity and any unused sources of liquid assets.

Objection: Compound, irrelevant, immaterial, overly broad, burdensome, and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This information is highly confidential business information, similar to information FPL has refused to provide on similar grounds. Moreover, as FPL and FPL Energy are competitors of CPV Gulfcoast's, this information should not be subject to disclosure, particularly to the extent that such information involves trade secrets or other proprietary information.

- 24. Please list CPV's commitments for capital expenditure, including the purpose of any such commitments and the anticipated source of funds to satisfy such commitments.

 Objection: Compound, irrelevant, immaterial, overly broad, burdensome, and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This information is highly confidential business information, similar to information FPL has refused to provide on similar grounds. Moreover, as FPL and FPL Energy are competitors of CPV Gulfcoast's, this information should not be subject to disclosure, particularly to the extent that such information involves trade secrets or other proprietary information.
 - 26. Please set forth by year the projected capital expenditure requirements for the

CPV Gulfcoast project assuming the in service dates included in CPV's proposals submitted in response to FPL's Supplemental RFP.

Objection: Compound, irrelevant, immaterial, overly broad, burdensome, and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This information is highly confidential business information, similar to information FPL has refused to provide on similar grounds. Moreover, as FPL and FPL Energy are competitors of CPV Gulfcoast's, this information should not be subject to disclosure, particularly to the extent that such information involves trade secrets or other proprietary information.

27. For each year 2002-2005, please set forth the forecasted uses and sources of funding for the capital expenditure requirements for the CPV Gulfcoast project assuming the in service dates included in CPV's proposals submitted in response to FPL's Supplemental RFP.

Objection: Compound, irrelevant, immaterial, overly broad, burdensome, and not likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. This information is highly confidential business information, similar to information FPL has refused to provide on similar grounds. Moreover, as FPL and FPL Energy are competitors of CPV Gulfcoast's, this information should not be subject to disclosure, particularly to the extent that such information involves trade secrets or other proprietary information.

28. Please state the projected return on equity CPV forecasted it would earn on the CPV Gulfcoast project under CPV's proposals submitted in response to FPL's Supplemental RFP.

Objection: Compound, irrelevant, immaterial, overly broad, burdensome, and not likely to lead

to the discovery of admissible evidence. This information is highly confidential business information, similar to information FPL has refused to provide on similar grounds. Moreover, as FPL and FPL Energy are competitors of CPV Gulfcoast's, this information should not be subject to disclosure, particularly to the extent that such information involves trade secrets or other proprietary information.

JON C. MOYE

Florida Bar No. 727016 CATHY M. SELLERS

Florida Bar No. 0784958

MOYLE, FLANIGAN, KATZ, RAYMOND

& SHEEHAN, P.A.

The Perkins House

118 North Gadsden Street

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

(850) 681-3828 (telephone)

(850) 681-8788 (facsimile)

Attorneys for CPV Gulfcoast, Ltd.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail on this 5th day of August, 2002, to those listed below:

Martha Carter Brown, Esquire Larry Harris, Esquire Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Jack Shreve, Esquire
Office of the Public Counsel
c/o Florida Legislature
111 West Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

Charles A. Guyton, Esquire Steel, Hector & Davis, LLP 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Mr. William G. Walker, III, Vice-President Florida Power & Light Company 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1859

R. Wade Litchfield, Esquire Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Boulevard Juno Beach, Florida 22408-0420

Robert Scheffel Wright, Esquire Diane K. Kiesling, Esquire John T. LaVia, III, Esquire Landers & Parsons, P.A. 310 West College Avenue Tallahassee, Florida 32301 David Bruce May, Esquire Holland & Knight, LLP 315 South Calhoun Street, Suite 600 Post Office Box 810 Tallahassee, Florida 32302-0810

Michael B. Twomey, Esquire Post Office Box 5256 Tallahassee, Florida 32314-5256

By: Wicking Concepton.

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.