Tel 850 444 6111

ORIGINAL



November 7, 2002

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee FL 32399-0870

Dear Ms. Bayo:

RE: Docket No. 020007-EG

Enclosed are an original and ten copies of Gulf Power Company's Motion for Leave to File Supplemental Testimony and the Supplemental Testimony of Susan D. Ritenour to be filed in the above docket.

Also enclosed is a 3.5 inch double sided, high density diskette containing the Motion in WordPerfect format as prepared on a Windows NT based computer.

Sincerely,

Susan D. Ritenour

Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer

usan P. Riterour

Beggs and Lane

Jeffrey A. Stone, Esquire

OPC

MMS SEC

Motion to leave to file Supplemental Testimony DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

FPSC-COMMISSION OF FRK

12281 NOV-88

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE

DOCKET NO. 020007-EI

PREPARED SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBIT OF SUSAN D. RITENOUR

PROJECTION FILING FOR THE PERIOD

JANUARY 2003 – DECEMBER 2003

NOVEMBER 8, 2002



A SOUTHERN COMPANY

DOCUMENT ALM PRINCIPAL

12282 NOV-88

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

1		GULF POWER COMPANY
2		Before the Florida Public Service Commission
3		Supplemental Direct Testimony and Exhibit of Susan D. Ritenour
4		Docket No. 020007-EI Date of Filing: November 8, 2002
5	Q.	Please state your name, business address and occupation.
6	A.	My name is Susan Ritenour. My business address is One Energy Place
7		Pensacola, Florida 32520-0780. I hold the position of Assistant
8		Secretary and Assistant Treasurer for Gulf Power Company.
9		
10	Q.	What is the purpose of your supplemental testimony?
11	A.	The purpose of this testimony is to supplement my direct testimony filed
12		on September 9, 2002 in this docket with information needed by the
13		Commission in order to implement the decision set forth in Commission
14		Order No. PSC-02-1396-PAA-EI. That order was issued on October 9,
15		2002 in Docket No. 020943-El and effectively became final agency
16		action after the close of business on October 30, 2002.
17		
18	Q.	What effect does Order No. PSC-02-1396-PAA-El have on this
19		proceeding in Docket No. 020007-EI?
20	A.	As noted earlier, Order No. PSC-02-1396-PAA-EI was issued in Docket
21		No. 020943-EI, which was opened to address Gulf's petition for approva
22		of an Agreement between Gulf and the Florida Department of
23		Environment Protection (DEP) and the cost recovery of related
24		expenditures and expenses through the environmental cost recovery
25		clause (ECRC). As noted in the Order, as part of the agreement

TOCUMENT NUMBERS-DATE

12282 NOV-88

FPSC-COMMISSION CLERK

between Guil and DEP, Plant Chst Units 1-3 will be retired early. As part
of the Company's response to an informal data request in Docket
No. 020943-EI, Gulf presented two alternatives to the Commission with
regard to the treatment of the remaining undepreciated balances for
these three units. One method would allow Gulf to continue to
depreciate/amortize the remaining undepreciated balance over the
period through 2011, which is when the units were otherwise scheduled
to be retired. Under this method there would be no incremental amount
of depreciation/amortization expense beyond that already reflected in the
Company's base rates and consequently there would be no incremental
costs associated with the early retirement to be recovered through the
ECRC. The other method was to accelerate the depreciation/
amortization of the units so that there would be no undepreciated
balance remaining at the beginning of 2006 when the Company's next
scheduled depreciation study and associated depreciation rates would
take effect. This method, which was adopted by the Commission in
Order No. PSC-02-1396-PAA-EI, will result in an incremental increase to
the Company's depreciation/amortization amounts for these units during
2003, 2004, and 2005. In Order No. PSC-02-1396-PAA-EI, the
Commission approved recovery through the ECRC of "the incremental
costs associated with the new retirement schedule."
Have you prepared an exhibit that contains information about the
incremental costs associated with the early retirement of Crist Units 1-3?

Q.

A.

Witness: Susan D. Ritenour

Yes, I have. My exhibit consists of 9 schedules, all of which were

7		prepared under my direction, supervision, or review. Schedules 1
2		through 8 have previously been filed in this docket in response to Staff's
3		Interrogatory No. 3. Schedule 9 was provided to Staff in Docket No.
4		020943-EI in response to Staff's request for information in that docket.
5		Counsel: We ask that Ms. Ritenour's Exhibit consisting of 9
6		schedules be marked as Exhibit No (SDR-4).
7		
8	Q.	Please explain Schedule 9 of your exhibit.
9	A.	Schedule 9 of my exhibit outlines the incremental changes in
10		depreciation/amortization expense and carrying costs for 2003 through
11		2005 that result from the early retirement of Crist Units 1-3. As reflected
12		in the column for 2003, these incremental costs are estimated to be
13		\$466,751 for the 2003 projection period.
14		
15	Q.	Please describe Schedule 8P of your exhibit.
16	A.	Lines 1 through 8 of Schedule 8P show the detailed calculations for
17		2003 of the revenue requirements (both accelerated depreciation and
18		return on investment) associated with the early retirement of Crist
19		Units 1-3. Lines 9 through 12 of Schedule 8P show the amounts
20		currently being recovered through base rates associated with Crist
21		Units 1-3. The net difference between these amounts is shown on
22		Line 13. This schedule also includes the calculation of the jurisdictional
23		amount to be recovered through the ECRC.
24		

25

1	Q.	How does Gulf propose to allocate the incremental costs associated with
2		the early retirement of Crist Units 1-3 to the rate classes?
3	A.	As shown on Schedule 8P, Gulf proposes to allocate these costs to the
4		rate classes using the 12 CP demand, 1/13 energy methodology. These
5		costs are production-related, and this methodology is consistent with the
6		allocation of these costs in the Company's cost of service study
7		approved in Gulf's recently-completed base rate proceeding. The
8		incremental costs associated with the early retirement of Crist Units 1-3
9		should be recovered through the ECRC based on the same allocation
10		methodology that is used for costs associated with Crist Units 1-3 that
11		are recovered through base rates.
12		
13	Q.	Please describe Schedules 1P – 7P of your Exhibit.
14	A.	Schedules 1P – 7P are the revised 2003 projection schedules for the
15		ECRC reflecting the incremental increase related to the accelerated
16		depreciation schedule for Crist Units 1-3. As shown on Schedule 7P, the
17		ECRC factor for a residential customer in 2003 would be .110 cents/kwh
18		including the impact of the incremental depreciation/amortization
19		expense and carrying costs associated with the early retirement of Crist
20		Units 1-3.
21		
22	Q.	Should there be any impact to the ECRC after 2005 for the early
23		retirement of Crist Units 1-3?

A.

24

25

Witness: Susan D. Ritenour

No. Once these units are fully depreciated (by the end of 2005), there

should be no additional impact on the ECRC. It is not appropriate to

1		continue to credit the ECRC for the amount of Crist Units 1-3
2		depreciation/amortization expense included in Gulf's current base rates
3		after these units are fully depreciated. Gulf will be required to file a new
4		depreciation study in 2005, to be effective January 1, 2006. The change
5		to Crist Units 1-3 depreciation/amortization expense will be only one of
6		many increases and decreases to depreciation and amortization that will
7		be effective in 2006 as a result of changed conditions reflected in the
8		new depreciation study. None of these increases or decreases will be
9		reflected in Gulf's base rates until Gulf's next base rate case. It would
10		be inappropriate to treat the decrease in depreciation/amortization
11		expense related to Crist 1-3 in 2006 in a manner different from the other
12		increases or decreases in depreciation/amortization expense resulting
13		from the new depreciation study effective that year.
14		
15	Q.	Is there an alternative treatment for the depreciation/amortization costs
16		associated with the early retirement of Crist Units 1-3 that would not
17		impact the level of costs recovered through the ECRC?
18	A.	Yes. Although the early retirement of Crist Units 1-3 is required under
19		the agreement between DEP and Gulf that led to Order No. PSC-02-
20		1396-PAA-EI, the only reason there is an incremental depreciation/
21		amortization expense to be addressed through the ECRC is due to the
22		acceleration of the depreciation/amortization to coincide with the new

23

24

25

retirement dates. The alternative treatment proposed by Gulf in Docket

earlier in my testimony, would be acceptable to Gulf as a compromise on

Witness: Susan D. Ritenour

No. 020943-El related to the Crist 1-3 retirement, which I described

this issue. Implementation of this alternative would result in no
incremental increase in depreciation/amortization expense and
consequently would have no impact on the ECRC. Under this
alternative, the Commission would establish by order that the proper
period over which to depreciate/amortize the remaining undepreciated
balance for Crist Units 1-3 is through the otherwise scheduled retirement
date for these units in 2011. This would result in no impact on the ECRC
related to the retirement of Crist Units 1-3. The new depreciation study
effective January 1, 2006 would reflect a retirement date of 2011 for
Crist Units 1-3 for the purpose of calculating depreciation/amortization
expense. The net effect of this alternative approach is equivalent to
leaving the retirement date the same as was anticipated in the
Company's most recent depreciation study on which base rates were set
earlier this year.

16 Q. Are there any other benefits that would result from allowing Gulf to
17 depreciate/amortize the remaining balance over the otherwise applicable
18 expected life of the units?

19 A. Yes. Implementation of this alternative approach would eliminate the
20 need for and therefore allow Gulf to avoid the incremental cost
21 associated with submitting a new depreciation study for the entire Crist
22 Plant within 90 days of the Consummating Order in Docket No. 02094323 El. Regardless of whether Crist Units 1-3 are fully depreciated in 2005
24 or 2011, it makes no sense to then credit the ECRC for the amount of
25 depreciation related to these units that is reflected in base rates.

Witness: Susan D. Ritenour

- 1 Q. Ms. Ritenour, does this conclude your testimony?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.

Witness: Susan D. Ritenour

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA)
)
COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA)

Docket No. 020007-EI

Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared Susan D. Ritenour, who being first duly sworn, deposes, and says that she is the Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer of Gulf Power Company, a Maine corporation, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information, and belief. She is personally known to me.

Susan D. Ritenour

Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer

Sworn to and subscribed before me this <u>7th</u> day of <u>Mulmblu</u>, 2002.

Notary Public, State of Florida at Large



LINDA C. WEBB Notary Public-State of FL Comm. Exp: May 31, 2006 Comm. No: DD 110088

Schedule 1P Revised 10/23/02

Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Total Jurisdictional Amount to be Recovered

For the Projected Period January 2003 - December 2003

Line No.		Energy (\$)	Demand (\$)	Total(\$)
1	Total Jurisdictional Rev. Req. for the projected period a Projected O & M Activities (Schedule 2P, Lines 7, 8 & 9) b Projected Capital Items (Schedule 3P, Lines 7, 8 & 9 and Schedule 8P, Lines 16 & 17) c Total Jurisdictional Rev. Req. for the projected period (Lines 1a + 1b)	1,621,611 5,842,916 7,464,527	1,023,521 2,825,690 3,849,211	2,645,132 <u>8,668,606</u> 11,313,738
2	True-Up for Estimated Over/(Under) Recovery for the period January 2002 - December 2002 (Schedule 1E, Line 4)	292,869	152,898	445,767
3	Final True-Up for the period January 2001 - December 2001 (Schedule 1A, Line 3)	121,985	<u>65,495</u>	187,480
4	Total Jurisdictional Amount to be Recovered/(Refunded) in the projection period January 2003 - December 2003 (Line 1c - Line 2 - Line 3)	7,049,673	3,630,818	10,680,491
5	Total Projected Jurisdictional Amount Adjusted for Taxes (Line 4 x Revenue Tax Multiplier)	7,054,749	3,633,432	10,688,181

Notes:

Allocation to energy and demand in each period are in proportion to the respective period split of costs indicated on Lines 7 & 8 of Schedules 5E & 7E and 5A & 7A.

Gulf Power Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount January 2003 - December 2003

O & M Activities (in Dollars)

													End of Period		od of fication
<u>Line</u>	January	<u>February</u>	March	<u>April</u>	May	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	August	September	October	November	December	12-Month	Demand	Energy
1 Description of O & M Activities															
1 Sulfur	1,950	2,250	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,400	2,700	2,700	3,600	30,000		30,000
2 Air Emission Fees	0	665,500	0	0	0	0	0	94,317	0	0	0	0	759,817		759,817
.3 Title V	4,596	4,596	9,269	5,192	7,575	7,692	5,192	5,192	7,692	7,576	4,969	7,269	76,810		76,810
.4 Asbestos Fees	1,625	125	125	125	125	125	1,625	125	125	125	125	125	4,500	4,500	
5 Emission Monitoring	29,161	32,120	40,019	34,319	37,358	153,519	49,219	35,719	42,419	39,717	37,478	46,731	577,779		577,779
6 General Water Quality	29,619	30,475	30,902	30,902	30,902	33,402	33,402	30,902	30,902	31,758	31,758	34,194	379,118	379,118	
7 Groundwater Contamination Investigation	32,262	32,262	32,471	32,471	35,378	32,471	32,471	32,471	32,471	35,729	32,495	31,845	394,797	394,797	
.8 State NPDES Administration	42,000	0	1,875	0	0	1,875	0	0	1,875	0	0	1,875	49,500	49,500	
.9 Lead and Copper Rule	311	346	3,113	363	363	3,113	1,363	363	3,113	398	398	3,256	16,500	16,500	
.10 Env Auditing/Assessment	83	83	83	83	83	83	83	83	83	83	83	87	1,000	1,000	
.11 General Solid & Hazardous Waste	14,104	14,717	15,224	15,224	17,993	15,224	15,224	15,224	15,224	18,607	15,838	17,605	190,208	190,208	
.12 Above Ground Storage Tanks	0	0	2,500	0	0	2,500	0	0	2,500	0	5,000	12,500	25,000	25,000	
13 Low Nox	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
14 Ash Pond Diversion Curtains	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
15 Mercury Emissions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0
16 Sodium Injection	4,088	4,088	4,088	4,088	4,088	4,088	4,088	4,088	4,088	4,088	4,088	4,032	49,000		49,000
.17 Gulf Coast Ozone Study	19,583	19,583	19,583	19,583	19,583	19,583	19,583	19,583	19,583	19,583	19,583	19,587	235,000		235,000
18 SO2 Allowances	<u>(4,378)</u>	(4,408)	(4,432)	(4,481)	(4,235)	(4,013)	(3,935)	(3,941)	(4,115)	(4,227)	(4,170)	(4,091)	(50,426)		(50,426)
2 Total of O & M Activities	175,004	801,737	157,220	140,269	151,613	272,062	160,715	236,526	158,360	156,137	150,345	178,615	2,738,603	1,060,623	1,677,980
3 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	55,000	723,729	70,927	61,101	66,769	183,269	76,547	157,358	72,067	69,437	64,648	77,128	1,677,980		
4 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	120,004	78,008	86,293	79,168	84,844	88,793	84,168	79,168	86,293	86,700	85,697	101,487	1,060,623		
5 Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.9653118	0 9648027	0.9664913	0.9650414	0 9672250	0.9675573	0.9657652	0 9674366	0 9664330	0.9655726	0.9648561	0 9656763			
6 Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187			
7 Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (A)	53,129	698,744	68,598	59,006	64,626	177,447	73,978	152,340	69,697	67,093	62,420	74,533	1,621,611		
8 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (B)	115,806	75,279	83,274	<u>76,399</u>	<u>81,876</u>	<u>85,687</u>	<u>81,224</u>	76,399	83,274	83,667	<u>82,699</u>	97,937	1,023,521		
9 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs															
for O & M Activities (Lines 7 + 8)	<u>168,935</u>	<u>774.023</u>	<u>151,872</u>	<u>135,405</u>	146,502	263,134	155,202	228,739	<u>152.971</u>	150.760	<u>145.119</u>	<u>172.470</u>	2.645,132		

Notes

3

⁽A) Line 3 x Line 5 x 1 0007 line loss multiplier (B) Line 4 x Line 6

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount January 2003 - December 2003

Capital Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs (in Dollars)

Lu	<u>ıe</u>	<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	<u>Apr</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>Jun</u>	<u>Jul</u>	Aug	<u>Sept</u>	<u>Oct</u>	<u>Nov</u>	<u>Dec</u>	End of Period <u>Total</u>		hod of ification Energy
1	Description of Investment Projects (A)															
-	1 Air Quality Assurance Testing	1,161	1,157	1,101	1.098	1,096	1,093	1,091	1,088	1.085	1.083	1,080	1.078	13,211	0	13,211
	2 Crist 5, 6 & 7 Precipitator Projects	241,539	240,650	239,761	238,870	237,980	237,091	236,200	235,310	234,421	233,532	232,641	231.752	2,839,747	0	2,839,747
	3 Crist 7 Flue Gas Conditioning	21,240	21,172	21,102	21,033	20,964	20,896	20,825	20,757	20,687	20,618	20,548	20,480	250,322	ő	250,322
	.4 Low Nox Burners, Crist 6 & 7	163,400	162,900	162,401	161,900	161,401	160,901	160,402	159,902	160,936	163,502	166,068	168,635	1,952,348	0	1,952,348
	.5 CEMs- Plant Crist, Schoiz, Smith, and Daniel	63,032	64,226	64,328	65,053	66,011	67,306	68,127	67,982	67,837	67,693	67,548	67,403	796,546	0	796,546
	.6 Sub Contam Mobile Groundwater Treat. Sys.	10,087	10,067	10,048	10,029	10,010	9,990	9,971	9,952	9,932	9,913	9,894	9,875	119,768	110,555	9,213
	.7 Raw Water Well Flowmeters - Crist & Smith	2,905	2,898	2,891	2,885	2,877	2,871	2,863	2,856	2,849	2,842	2,835	2,828	34,400	31,754	2,646
	.8 Crist Cooling Tower Cell	8,880	8,848	8,820	8,789	8,761	8,730	8,702	8,670	8,641	8,611	8,581	8,552	104,585	96,540	8,045
	9 Crist 1-5 Dechlorination	3,122	3,113	3,104	3,094	3,085	3,076	3,066	3,057	3,048	3,039	3,029	3,020	36,853	34,019	2,834
	.10 Crist Diesel Fuel Oil Remediation	485	483	481	480	478	477	476	474	473	471	469	468	5,715	5,276	439
	11 Crist Bulk Tanker Unload Sec Contain Struc	1,039	1,036	1,032	1,030	1,026	1,023	1,020	1,017	1,014	1,011	1,008	1,004	12,260	11,317	943
	.12 Crist IWW Sampling System	607	605	604	601	600	597	596	594	592	591	588	587	7,162	6,611	551
	.13 Smith Sodium Injection System	1,197	1,194	1,192	1,189	1,187	1,184	1,180	1,178	1,175	1,173	1,170	1,167	14,186	0	14,186
	14 Smith Stormwater Collection System	28,018	27,946	27,874	27,802	27,730	27,658	27,585	27,513	27,441	27,369	27,297	27,224	331,457	305,960	25,497
	15 Smith Waste Water Treatment Facility	1,713	1,708	1,704	1,699	1,695	1,690	1,686	1,681	1,676	1,672	1,667	1,663	20,254	18,696	1,558
	16 Daniel Ash Management Project	165,207	164,823	164,440	164,055	163,671	163,287	162,902	162,518	162,135	161,750	161,366	160,981	1,957,135	1,806,586	150,549
	17 Shield Water Project	566	565	564	563	562	561	559	558	557	556	554	553	6,718	6,201	517
	18 Underground Fuel Tank Replacement	6,012	5,964	5,919	5,872	5,826	5,779	5,734	5,685	5,640	5,593	5,548	5,500	69,072	63,759	5,313
	19 SO2 Allowances	(5,730)	<u>(5,688)</u>	<u>(5,647)</u>	(5,605)	<u>(5,564)</u>	(5,525)	<u>(5,487)</u>	<u>(5,450)</u>	<u>(5,412)</u>	(5,373)	(5,333)	(5,29 <u>4)</u>	(66,108)	<u>0</u>	(66,108)
2	Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	714,480	713,667	711,719	710,437	709,396	708,685	707,498	705,342	704,727	705,646	706,558	707,476	8,505,631	2,497,274	6,008,357
3	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	503,426	503,154	501,734	500,992	500,483	500,313	499,658	498,041	497,960	499,414	500,864	502,318	6,008,357		
4	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	211,054	210,513	209,985	209,445	208,913	208,372	207,840	207,301	206,767	206,232	205,694	205,158	2,497,274		
5	Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	0.9653118	0.9648027	0.9664913	0.9650414	0.9672250	0.9675573	0 9657652	0 9674366	0 9664330	0 9655726	0.9648561	0.9656763			
6		0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187			
7	Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (A)	486,303	485,784	485,261	483,816	484,419	484,420	482,890	482,160	481,582	482,558	483,600	485.416	5,808,209		
, 8	Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (A)	203,671	203,149	202,639	202,118	201,605	201,083	200,569	200,049	199,534	199,018	198,499	197,981	2,409,915		
J	various some some (2)	-3010.14														
9	Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs															
	for Investment Projects (Lines 7 + 8)	<u>689.974</u>	688.933	<u>687.900</u>	<u>685,934</u>	<u>686,024</u>	<u>685.503</u>	<u>683.459</u>	<u>682,209</u>	<u>681.116</u>	<u>681.576</u>	682,099	<u>683.397</u>	8.218,124		

 ⁽A) Each project's Total System Recoverable Expenses on Schedule 4P, Line 9
 (B) Line 3 x Line 5 x 1.0007 line loss multiplier

⁽C) Line 4 x Line 6

Calculat Jai

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Gulf Power Company

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project: Air Quality Assurance Testing
P.E.s 1006 & 1244
(in Dollars)

		Beginning of Period				(III DONA	13)								End of
Line	Description	Amount	<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	<u>Mar</u>	Apr	<u>May</u>	<u>Jun</u>	<u>Jul</u>	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dea	Period
1	Investments	' IIXOUIL	<u> </u>	100	<u>iridi</u>	<u> </u>	<u>ividy</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>541</u>	Aug	<u>Зері</u>	<u>0a</u>	NOV	<u>Dec</u>	<u>Amount</u>
	a Expenditures/Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	b Clearings to Plant		64,502	0	0	0	0	0	ō	0	0	ő	Ů	0	
	c Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	Ô	0	Ö	ő	ŏ	ň	0	
	d Cost of Removal		0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	0	0	Ö	ő	0	
	e Salvage		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	262,554	327,056	327,056	327,056	327,056	327,056	327,056	327,056	327,056	327,056	327,056	327,056	327,056	
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)	(239,115)	(239,448)	(239,781)	(240,060)	(240,339)	(240,618)	(240,897)	(241,176)	(241,455)	(241,734)	(242,013)	(242,292)	(242,571)	
4	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	64,502	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	O O	0	· o	o o	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	87,941	87,608	87,275	86,996	86,717	86,438	86,159	85,880	85,601	85,322	85,043	84,764	84,485	•
															•
6	Average Net Investment		87,775	87,442	87,136	86,857	86,578	86,299	86,020	85,741	85,462	85,183	84,904	84,625	
7	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		645	642	640	638	636	634	632	630	628	626	624	622	7,597
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2 5042% x 1/12)		183	182	182	181	181	180	180	179	178	178	177	177	2,158
	_														
8	Investment Expenses					•						_	_	_	_
	a Depreciation		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	b Amortization		333	333	279	279	279	279	279	279	279	279	279	279	3,456
	c Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d Property Taxes		U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e Other (D)	_		. 0			<u></u>	U	U		U	U U			0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		1,161	1,157	1,101	1,098	1,096	1,093	1,091	1,088	1,085	1.083	1,080	1.078	13.211
,	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		1,161	1,157	1,101	1,098	1,096	1,093	1,091	1,088	1,085	1,083	1,080	1,078	13,211
	b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1,000	1,000	0,005	0,000	0	0
	b Rocoveracio costa i mocarca lo Demana		Ü	ū	•	•	-	· ·	ŭ	ū	· ·	v	v	v	•
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0 9653118	0.9648027	0.9664913	0.9650414	0.9672250	0.9675573	0 9657652	0.9674366	0 9664330	0 9655726	0 9648561	0 9656763	
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Southern Carlotter Carlotter														
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		1,122	1,117	1,065	1,060	1,061	1,058	1,054	1,053	1,049	1,046	1,043	1,042	12,770
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0
14	Total Juris, Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	_	1,122	1,117	1,065	1,060	1,061	1,058	1,054	1,053	1,049	1,046	1,043	1,042	12,770
	•														

- (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
- (B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
- (C) Line 6 x 8.8168% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38 575%
- (D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
- (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 9b x Line 11

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project: Crist 5, 6 & 7 Precipitator Projects
P E.s 1119, 1216, 1243
(in Dollars)

	Parameter .														
		Beginning of Period													End of Period
Line	Description	Amount	<u>Jan</u>	<u>Feb</u>	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	<u>Jul</u>	Aug	Sept	Oct	Nov	Dec	Amount
1	Investments										<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1101	<u> 200</u>	<u> 7 mount</u>
•	a Expenditures/Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	b Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	o o	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	
	c Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ŏ	ŏ	0	
	d Cost of Removal		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	ŏ	ő	
	e Salvage		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	24,440,825	24,440,825	24,440,825	24,440,825	24,440,825	24,440,825	24,440,825	24,440,825	24,440,825	24,440,825	24,440,825	24,440,825	24,440,825	
3	Less. Accumulated Depreciation (B)	(8,788,768)	(8,883,091)	(8,977,414)	(9,071,738)	(9,166,061)	(9,260,384)	(9,354,708)	(9,449,031)	(9,543,354)	(9,637,677)	(9,732,001)	(9,826,324)	(9,920,648)	
4	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	O O	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	15,652,057	15,557,734	15,463,411	15,369,087	15,274,764	15,180,441	15,086,117	14,991,794	14,897,471	14,803,148	14,708,824	14,614,501	14,520,177	
_					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•								
6	Average Net Investment		15,604,896	15,510,573	15,416,249	15,321,926	15,227,603	15,133,279	15,038,956	14,944,633	14,850,310	14,755,986	14,661,663	14,567,339	
7	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		114,649	113,956	113,263	112,570	111,877	111,184	110,491	109,798	109,105	108,412	107,719	107,026	1,330,050
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.5042% x 1/12)		32,567	32,371	32,174	31,977	31,780	31,583	31,386	31,189	30,993	30,796	30,599	30,402	377,817
8	Investment Expenses														
	a Depreciation		79,433	79,433	79,433	79,433	79,433	79,433	79,433	79,433	79,433	79,433	79,433	79,433	953,196
	b Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c Dismantlement		14,890	14,890	14,891	14,890	14,890	14,891	14,890	14,890	14,890	14,891	14,890	14,891	178,684
	d Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0
	e Other (D)		0	0	00	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0
				212 (22	222 241	220.050	227 000	237,091	236,200	235,310	234,421	222 522	222 (41	221 752	2,839,747
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		241,539	240,650	239,761	238,870	237,980	237,091		235,310	234,421	233,532 233,532	232,641	231,752 231,752	
	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		241,539	240,650	239,761	238,870	237,980	237,091	236,200	233,310	234,421	233,332 0	232,641	231,/32	2,839,747
	b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U
			0.0/63110	0.9648027	0.9664913	0.9650414	0 9672250	0.9675573	0.9657652	0 9674366	0 9664330	0 9655726	0 9648561	0.9656763	
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0 9653118	0.9648027	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9672230	0.9673373	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9650187	0.9630187	0.9630187	0.9030187	0 9030147	0 9030187	0.9030187	0 9030187	0 9030167	0 9030187	0 9030187	0.9030187	
	P. 15 P. 11 P. 11 C. 17		233,324	232,342	231,889	230,681	230,341	229,560	228,273	227,807	226,711	225,650	224,622	223,954	2,745,154
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		233,324	232,342 0	231,009	230,081	230,341 A	229,300	220,273	227,807	220,711 N	223,030	227,022 N	n	2,773,134
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		233,324	232,342	231,889	230,681	230,341	229,560	228,273	227,807	226,711	225,650	224,622	223,954	2,745,154
14	Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	1	233,324	232,342	231,007	230,001	230,371	227,500	75	227,007	220,121	223,030	227,042	223,737	-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,

Notes

- (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
- (B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
- (C) Lune 6 x 8 8168% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%
- (D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
- (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1 0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 9b x Line 11

J

Schedule 4P Page 3 of 19 Revised 10/23/02

Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project: Crist 7 Flue Gas Conditioning
P.E. 1228
(in Dollars)

						(III 2)	ouata)								
		Beginning of Period													End of
T	December		Innuani	February	March	April	May	Inma	I.d.	Assessed	Contombou	Ootobou	N	D	Period
Line	<u>Description</u> Investments	Amount	<u>January</u>	reordary	iviaich	Арш	iviay	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	<u>August</u>	<u>September</u>	October	<u>November</u>	<u>December</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1	a Expenditures/Additions		n	0	0	0	0	0	0	n	0	Λ	Λ	0	
	b Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	Ů	ñ	ň	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c Retirements		0	0	Ů	Ď	ň	Õ	ň	ň	0	0	0	0	
	d Cost of Removal		0	0	0	ő	ő	ŏ	ő	ő	0	0	0	0	
	e Salvage		ő	ō	0	ō	ō	0	ŏ	ŏ	ő	0	0	ő	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	2,179,245	2,179,245	2,179,245	2,179,245	2,179,245	2,179,245	2,179,245	2,179,245	2,179,245	2,179,245	2.179,245	2,179,245	2,179,245	
3	Less. Accumulated Depreciation (B)	(702,014)	(709,353)	(716,693)	(724,032)	(731,372)	(738,711)	(746,051)	(753,390)	(760,730)	(768,069)	(775,409)	(782,748)	(790,088)	
4	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0) o) o	o o) o	` o	` oʻ	o o	` o´	0	0	0	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	1,477,231	1,469,892	1,462,552	1,455,213	1,447,873	1,440,534	1,433,194	1,425,855	1,418,515	1,411,176	1,403,836	1,396,497	1,389,157	
_	•		1,473,562	1,466,222	1,458,883	1,451,543	1,444,204	1,436,864	1,429,525	1,422,185	1,414,846	1,407,506	1,400,167	1,392,827	
6	Average Net Investment Return on Average Net Investment		1,475,302	1,400,222	1,430,003	1,731,373	1,777,207	1,430,004	1,429,323	1,422,163	1,414,040	1,407,300	1,400,107	1,392,027	
′	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		10,826	10,772	10,718	10,664	10,611	10,557	10,503	10,449	10,395	10,341	10,287	10,233	126,356
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.5042% x 1/12)		3,075	3,060	3,045	3,029	3,014	2,999	2,983	2,968	2,953	2,937	2,922	2,907	35,892
_			3,073	5,000	5,045	3,027	3,011	2,,,,,	2,703	2,700	2,703	2,757	2,722	2,707	33,672
8	Investment Expenses		7.003	7,083	7,083	7,083	7,083	7,083	7,083	7,083	7,083	7,083	7.083	7,083	84,996
	a Depreciation		7,083 0	7,083	7,083	7,083	7,083	7,063	7,083	7,083	7,063	7,083	7,083	7,033	84,990
	b Amortization		256	257	256	257	256	257	256	257	256	257	256	257	3,078
	c Dismantlement		230	237	230	237	230	237	230 0	237	230	237	230	237	3,076 A
	d Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Õ	Ů	0	0	0	0
	e Other (D)	-				21.022	20.064	20.206	20.025		20.607	20.710	20.540	20.400	250 220
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		21,240	21,172	21,102	21,033	20,964	20,896	20,825	20,757	20,687	20,618	20,548	20,480	250,322
	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		21,240	21,172	21,102	21,033	20,964	20,896	20,825	20,757	20,687	20,618	20,548	20,480	250,322
	 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 		0	0	0	U	0	0	0	U	v	U	U	U	U
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9653118	0.9648027	0 9664913	0.9650414	0 9672250	0 9675573	0 9657652	0.9674366	0 9664330	0.9655726	0 9648561	0.9656763	
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	
12	D. t. 1 C Debated Description (P)		20,518	20,441	20,409	20,312	20,291	20,232	20,126	20,095	20,007	19,922	19,840	19,791	241,984
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		20,518	20,441	20,402	20,512	0	20,232	25,120	20,075	20,007	25,522	15,010	0	0
13	Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	-	20,518	20,441	20,409	20,312	20,291	20,232	20,126	20,095	20,007	19,922	19,840	19,791	241,984
14	Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	-	20,010	20,171	20,102	20,512	20,271	20,232	20,120	20,070		,	27,010	*****	

Note

- (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
- (B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
- (C) Line 6 x 8.8168% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38 575%
- (D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
- (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 9b x Line 11

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project Low Nox Burners, Crist 6 & 7
P.E s 1234, 1236 & 1242
(in Dollars)

		Beginning													End of
		of Period													Period
Lin	e Description	Amount	January	February	March	Арпі	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Amount
1	Investments											******	11070111001	2 000111001	1 another
	a Expenditures/Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	325,000	325,000	325,000	325,000	
	b Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d Cost of Removal		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	e Salvage		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	16,296,359	16,296,359	16,296,359	16,296,359	16,296,359	16,296,359	16,296,359	16,296,359	16,296,359	16,296,359	16,296,359	16,296,359	16,296,359	
3	Less. Accumulated Depreciation (B)	(4,563,638)	(4,616,601)	(4,669,564)	(4,722,527)	(4,775,490)	(4,828,453)	(4,881,416)	(4,934,379)	(4,987,342)	(5,040,305)	(5,093,268)	(5,146,231)	(5,199,194)	
4	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0_	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0	325,000	650,000	975,000	1,300,000	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	11,732,721	11,679,758	11,626,795	11,573,832	11,520,869	11,467,906	11,414,943	11,361,980	11,309,017	11,581,054	11,853,091	12,125,128	12,397,165	
6	Average Net Investment		11,706,240	11,653,277	11,600,314	11,547,351	11,494,388	11,441,425	11,388,462	11,335,499	11,445,036	11,717,073	11,989,110	12,261,147	
7	Return on Average Net Investment										,		,	,	
•	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		86,006	85,617	85,228	84,838	84,449	84,060	83,671	83,282	84,087	86,085	88,084	90,083	1,025,490
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2 5042% x 1/12)		24,431	24,320	24,210	24,099	23,989	23,878	23,768	23,657	23,886	24,454	25,021	25,589	291,302
8	Investment Expenses														
0	a Depreciation		52,963	52,963	52,963	52,963	52,963	52,963	52,963	52,963	52,963	52,963	52,963	52,963	635,556
	b Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e Other (D)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)	-	163,400	162,900	162,401	161,900	161,401	160,901	160,402	159,902	160,936	163,502	166,068	168,635	1,952,348
9			163,400	162,900	162,401	161,900	161,401	160,901	160,402	159,902	160,936	163,502	166,068	168,635	1,952,348
	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		105,100	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
					0.0004013	0.0650414	0.000000	0.0000000	0.000000	0.0074366	0.0444330	0.0000000	0.0640861	0 9656763	
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0 9653118	0.9648027	0.9664913	0.9650414	0 9672250	0.9675573	0.9657652	0.9674366	0.9664330	0 9655726	0 9648561		
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		157,842	157,276	157,069	156,350	156,220	155,790	155,019	154,803	155,643	157,984	160,344	162,961	1,887,301
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14	Total Juris, Recoverable Costs (Lmes 12 + 13)	_	157,842	157,276	157,069	156,350	156,220	155,790	155,019	154,803	155,643	157,984	160,344	162,961	1,887,301

Notes:

~

- (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
- (B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
- (C) Line 6 x 8 8168% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%
- (D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
- (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1 0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 9b x Line 11

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project: CEMs- Plant Crist, Scholz, Smith, and Daniel

P.E s 1154, 1164, 1240, 1245, 1286, 1289, 1290, 1311, 1316, 1323, 1324, 1325, 1440, 1441, 1442, 1454, 1459, 1460, 1558 (m Dollars)

						(
Line	Description	Beginning of Period Amount	January	February	March	<u>Aprıl</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	Inly	August	Contambor	Ootoban	Navanska	D	End of Period
<u> </u>	Investments	Amount	<u>Januar y</u>	1 Columny	March	April	iviay	June	July	<u>August</u>	September	<u>October</u>	<u>November</u>	<u>December</u>	Amount
•	a Expenditures/Additions		125,000	0	50,000	130,000	130,000	50,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	b Clearings to Plant		591,155	0	0	. 0	. 0	488,310	0	Ö	ō	0	0	0	
	c Retirements		147,657	0	0	0	120,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	Õ	
	d Cost of Removal		0	0	0	2,000	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	0	
	e Salvage		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	4,383,778	4,827,276	4,827,276	4,827,276	4,827,276	4,707,276	5,195,586	5,195,586	5,195,586	5,195,586	5,195,586	5,195,586	5,195,586	
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)	69,357	203,557	189,365	175,173	162,981	268,934	254,305	238,950	223,595	208,240	192,885	177,530	162,175	
4	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	594,465	128,310	128,310	178,310	308,310	438,310	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	5,047,600	5,159,143	5,144,951	5,180,759	5,298,567	5,414,520	5,449,891	5,434,536	5,419,181	5,403,826	5,388,471	5,373,116	5,357,761	
6	Average Net Investment		5,103,372	5,152,047	5,162,855	5,239,663	5,356,544	5,432,206	5,442,214	5,426,859	5,411,504	5,396,149	5,380,794	5,365,439	
7	Return on Average Net Investment													, ,	
	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		37,494	37,852	37,931	38,496	39,355	39,910	39,984	39,871	39,758	39,646	39,533	39,420	469,250
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.5042% x 1/12)		10,651	10,752	10,775	10,935	11,179	11,337	11,358	11,326	11,294	11,262	11,230	11,198	133,297
8	Investment Expenses														
	a Depreciation		13,457	14,192	14,192	14,192	14,047	14,629	15,355	15,355	15,355	15,355	15,355	15,355	176,839
	b Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d Property Taxes		1,430	1,430	1,430	1,430	1,430	1,430	1,430	1,430	1,430	1,430	1,430	1,430	17,160
	e Other (D)	_	0	0	0	. 0	0	0_	0	0_	0	0	0	0	0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		63,032	64,226	64,328	65,053	66,011	67,306	68,127	67,982	67,837	67,693	67,548	67,403	796,546
	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		63,032	64,226	64,328	65,053	66,011	67,306	68,127	67,982	67,837	67,693	67,548	67,403	796,546
	b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9653118	0.9648027	0 9664913	0.9650414	0 9672250	0 9675573	0.9657652	0 9674366	0.9664330	0.9655726	0 9648561	0 9656763	
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	
							63,892			65,814					770,020
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		60,888	62,009	62,216	62,823 0	03,892	65,168	65,841	05,814	65,606 0	65,408	65,220 0	65,135 0	7 70,020
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	-	60,888	62,009	62,216	62,823	63,892	65,168	65,841	65,814	65,606	65,408	65,220	65,135	770,020
14	Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	_	00,888	62,009	02,210	02,823	03,892	00,108	03,841	03,814	05,000	05,408	03,220	03,133	110,020

Notes:

- (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
- (B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
- (C) Line 6 x 8.8168% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%
- (D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
- (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 9b x Line 11

 ∞

Schedule 4P Page 6 of 19 Revised 10/23/02

Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project. Sub. Contam Mobile Groundwater Treat Sys.
P E 1007, 3400, & 3412
(in Dollars)

						(61)	Juliais)								
		Beginning of Period													End of Period
Lin		<u>Amount</u>	<u>January</u>	February Person 1	<u>March</u>	<u>Aprıl</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	July	<u>August</u>	<u>September</u>	October	<u>November</u>	<u>December</u>	Amount
1	Investments														
	a Expenditures/Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	b Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d Cost of Removal		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	e Salvage		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	929,394	929,394	929,394	929,394	929,394	929,394	929,394	929,394	929,394	929,394	929,394	929,394	929,394	
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)	(76,140)	(78,187)	(80,234)	(82,281)	(84,328)	(86,375)	(88,422)	(90,469)	(92,516)	(94,563)	(96,610)	(98,657)	(100,704)	
4	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0	0 047.112	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	. 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	853,254	851,207	849,160	847,113	845,066	843,019	840,972	838,925	836,878	834,831	832,784	830,737	828,690	
6	Average Net Investment		852,231	850,184	848,137	846,090	844,043	841,996	839,949	837,902	835,855	833,808	831,761	829,714	
7	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		6,261	6,246	6,231	6,216	6,201	6,186	6,171	6,156	6,141	6,126	6,111	6,096	74,142
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.5042% x 1/12)		1,779	1,774	1,770	1,766	1,762	1,757	1,753	1,749	1,744	1,740	1,736	1,732	21,062
8	Investment Expenses														
	a Depreciation		1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	1,912	22,944
	b Amortization		135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	135	1,620
	c Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e Other (D)	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		10,087	10,067	10,048	10,029	10,010	9,990	9,971	9,952	9,932	9,913	9,894	9,875	119,768
	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		776	774	773	771	770	768	767	766	764	763	761	760	9,213
	b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		9,311	9,293	9,275	9,258	9,240	9,222	9,204	9,186	9,168	9,150	9,133	9,115	110,555
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9653118	0.9648027	0.9664913	0 9650414	0 9672250	0.9675573	0.9657652	0 9674366	0.9664330	0.9655726	0 9648561	0.9656763	
10	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	
11															
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		750	747	748	745	745	744	741	742	739	737	735	734	8,907
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	_	8,985	8,968	8,951	8,934	8,917	8,899	8,882	8,865	8,847	8,830	8,814	8,796	106,688
14	Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		9,735	9,715	9,699	9,679	9,662	9,643	9,623	9,607	9,586	9,567	9,549	9,530	115,595_

Notes

9

- (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
- (B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
- (C) Line 6 x 8.8168% x 1/12 Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38 575%
- (D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
- (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 9b x Line 11

<u>__</u>

Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project: Raw Water Well Flowmeters - Crist & Smith
P.E. 1155 & 1606
(in Dollars)

		Beginning				(onas,								End of
		of Period													Period
Line	Description	Amount	<u>January</u>	February	March	Aprıl	<u>May</u>	June	<u>July</u>	August	September	October	November	December	Amount
1	Investments														
	a Expenditures/Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	b Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c Returements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d Cost of Removal		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	e Salvage		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	242,943	242,943	242,943	242,943	242,943	242,943	242,943	242,943	242,943	242,943	242,943	242,943	242,943	
3	Less Accumulated Depreciation (B)	(13,373)	(14,116)	(14,859)	(15,602)	(16,345)	(17,088)	(17,831)	(18,574)	(19,317)	(20,060)	(20,803)	(21,546)	(22,289)	
4	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	226.509	0	0 225 112	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	229,570	228,827	228,084	227,341	226,598	225,855	225,112	224,369	223,626	222,883	222,140	221,397	220,654	
6	Average Net Investment		229,199	228,456	227,713	226,970	226,227	225,484	224,741	223,998	223,255	222,512	221,769	221,026	
7	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		1,684	1,678	1,673	1,668	1,662	1,657	1,651	1,646	1,640	1,635	1,629	1,624	19,847
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.5042% x 1/12)		478	477	475	474	472	471	469	467	466	464	463	461	5,637
8	Investment Expenses														
	a Depreciation		743	743	743	743	743	743	743	743	743	743	743	743	8,916
	b Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e Other (D)	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		2,905	2,898	2,891	2,885	2,877	2,871	2,863	2,856	2,849	2,842	2,835	2,828	34,400
	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		223	223	222	222	221	221	220	220	219	219	218	218	2,646
	b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		2,682	2,675	2,669	2,663	2,656	2,650	2,643	2,636	2,630	2,623	2,617	2,610	31,754
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9653118	0.9648027	0.9664913	0 9650414	0 9672250	0.9675573	0.9657652	0.9674366	0 9664330	0.9655726	0 9648561	0 9656763	
	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	
					216	214	214	214	212	213	212	212	210	211	2,558
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		215	215 2,581	215 2,576	2,570	2,563	2,557	213 2,551	2,544	2,538	2,531	2,525	2,519	2,558 30,643
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	-	2,588 2,803	2,796	2,791	2,784	2,303	2,331	2,764	2,757	2,338	2,743	2,323	2,730	33,201
14	Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		2,803	2,790	2,791	∠,/04	2,777	2,771	Z,704	2,131	2,730	2,743	2,733	2,730	33,201

- (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
- (B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
- (C) Line 6 x 8 8168% x 1/12 Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%
- (D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
- (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 9b x Line 11

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project: Crist Cooling Tower Cell P E 1232 (in Dollars)

						(mr	onais)								
		Beginning of Period													End of Period
Line	<u>Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>January</u>	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	<u>Aprıl</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	August	<u>September</u>	<u>October</u>	<u>November</u>	<u>December</u>	Amount
1	Investments		_	_			_								
	a Expenditures/Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	b Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c Retirements		0	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d Cost of Removal		0	0	Û	0	0	Ü	0	0	0	0	0	0	
_	e Salvage	006.650	000.000	000.000	006.660	000000	000000	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	906,659	906,659	906,659	906,659	906,659	906,659	906,659	906,659	906,659	906,659	906,659	906,659	906,659	
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)	(297,961) 0	(301,113)	(304,264)	(307,416) 0	(310,567)	(313,719)	(316,870) 0	(320,022)	(323,173)	(326,325)	(329,476)	(332,627)	(335,778)	
4	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	608,698	605,546	602,395	599,243	596,092	592,940	589,789	586,637	583,486	580,334	577,183	574.022	670.001	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	008,098		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									574,032	570,881	
6	Average Net Investment		607,122	603,971	600,819	597,668	594,516	591,365	588,213	585,062	581,910	578,759	575,608	572,457	
7	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		4,461	4,437	4,414	4,391	4,368	4,345	4,322	4,298	4,275	4,252	4,229	4,206	51,998
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.5042% x 1/12)		1,267	1,260	1,254	1,247	1,241	1,234	1,228	1,221	1,214	1,208	1,201	1,195	14,770
8	Investment Expenses														
	a Depreciation		2,947	2,947	2,947	2,947	2,947	2,947	2,947	2,947	2,947	2,947	2,947	2,947	35,364
	b Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c Dismantlement		205	204	205	204	205	204	205	204	205	204	204	204	2,453
	d Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e Other (D)	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	00	0	0	0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		8,880	8,848	8,820	8,789	8,761	8,730	8,702	8,670	8,641	8,611	8,581	8,552	104,585
	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		683	681	678	676	674	672	669	667	665	662	660	658	8,045
	b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		8,197	8,167	8,142	8,113	8,087	8,058	8,033	8,003	7,976	7 ,94 9	7,921	7,894	96,540
	E I waterward Faster		0,9653118	0.9648027	0 9664913	0 9650414	0.9672250	0 9675573	0.9657652	0.9674366	0.9664330	0.9655726	0.9648561	0.9656763	
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9653118	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	
11	_ ···														
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		660	657	656	653	652	651	647	646	643	640	637	636	7,778
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	_	7,910	7,881	7,857	7,829	7,804	7,776	7,752	7,723	7,697	7,671	7,644	7,618	93,162
14	Total Juris Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		8,570	8,538	8,513	8,482	8,456	8,427	8,399	8,369	8,340	8,311	8,281	8,254	100,940

- (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
- (B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
- (C) Line 6 x 8.8168% x 1/12 Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38 575%
- (D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
- (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1 0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 9b x Line 11

٧. —

Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project, Crist 1-5 Dechlorination P.E. 1248 (in Dollars)

Line	. Description	Beginning of Period Amount	January	February	March	Aprıl	<u>May</u>	June	<u>July</u>	August	September	October	November	December	End of Period Amount
<u>υμι</u>	Investments	<u>/ Linount</u>	Januar y	1 Column	<u>iviai bii</u>	при	ittig	June	2011	rugusi	September	<u>OCIOOCI</u>	NOVEMBEL	December	Amount
	a Expenditures/Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	b Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d Cost of Removal		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	e Salvage		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	305,323	305,323	305,323	305,323	305,323	305,323	305,323	305,323	305,323	305,323	305,323	305,323	305,323	
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)	(78,990)	(79,982)	(80,974)	(81,966)	(82,958)	(83,950)	(84,942)	(85,934)	(86,926)	(87,918)	(88,910)	(89,902)	(90,894)	
4	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	226,333	225,341	224,349	223,357	222,365	221,373	220,381	219,389	218,397	217,405	216,413	215,421	214,429	
6	Average Net Investment		225,837	224,845	223,853	222,861	221,869	220,877	219,885	218,893	217,901	216,909	215,917	214,925	
7	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		1,659	1,652	1,645	1,637	1,630	1,623	1,615	1,608	1,601	1,594	1,586	1,579	19,429
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.5042% x 1/12)		471	469	467	465	463	461	459	457	455	453	451	449	5,520
8	Investment Expenses														
	a Depreciation		992	992	992	992	992	992	992	992	992	992	992	992	11,904
	b Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e Other (D)	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		3,122	3,113	3,104	3,094	3,085	3,076	3,066	3,057	3,048	3,039	3,029	3,020	36,853
	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		240	239	239	238	237	237	236	235	234	234	233	232	2,834
	b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		2,882	2,874	2,865	2,856	2,848	2,839	2,830	2,822	2,814	2,805	2,796	2,788	34,019
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9653118	0.9648027	0.9664913	0 9650414	0.9672250	0 9675573	0.9657652	0.9674366	0 9664330	0 9655726	0.9648561	0 9656763	
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	
			232	231	231	230	229	229	228	228	226	226	225	224	2,739
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		2,781	2,773	2,765	2,756	2,748	2,740	2,731	2,723	2,716	2,707	2,698	2,690	32,828
13	Total Juris Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	-	3,013	3,004	2,996	2,986	2,977	2,969	2,959	2,951	2,942	2,933	2,923	2,914	35,567
14	TOTAL TIMES RECOVERABLE COSES (Lines 12 + 13)	=	2,013	2,007	2,770		-,- / /	.,,,,,	-,,,,		-,- 15	-,-55	-,	*****	22,001

- (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
- (B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
- (C) Line 6 x 8.8168% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%
- (D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
- (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1 0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 9b x Line 11

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project: Crist Diesel Fuel Oil Remediation P.E. 1270 (in Dollars)

Beginning of Period	End of Period
<u>Line Description Amount January February March April May June July August September October November</u>	December Amount
Investments	
	U
b Clearings to Plant 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0
c Returements 0 <	0
e Salvage 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0
	47,955
2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base 47,955	(14,938)
5 Less: Accumulated Depletion (B) (15,000) (15,0	0
5 Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4) 34,889 34,733 34,577 34,421 34,265 34,109 33,953 33,797 33,641 33,485 33,329 33,173	33,017
6 Average Net Investment 34,811 34,655 34,499 34,343 34,187 34,031 33,875 33,719 33,563 33,407 33,251	33,095
7 Return on Average Net Investment	
a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C) 256 255 253 252 251 250 249 248 247 245 244	243 2,993
b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.5042% x 1/12) 73 72 72 72 71 71 71 70 70 70 69	69 850
8 Investment Expenses	
a Depreciation 156 156 156 156 156 156 156 156 156 156	156 1,872
b Amortization 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
c Dismantlement 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
d Property Taxes 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
e Other (D) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0
9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8) 485 483 481 480 478 477 476 474 473 471 469	468 5,715
a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 37 37 37 37 37 37 37 36 36 36 36 36	36 439
b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 448 446 444 443 441 440 439 438 437 435 433	432 5,276
10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor 0 9653118 0.9648027 0.9664913 0.9650414 0.9672250 0.9675573 0.9657652 0.9674366 0.9664330 0 9655726 0 9648561	0.9656763
11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor 0.9650187 0.9650187 0.9650187 0.9650187 0.9650187 0.9650187 0.9650187 0.9650187 0.9650187 0.9650187 0.9650187 0.9650187	0 9650187
12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E) 36 36 36 36 36 36 36 35 35 35 35	35 427
13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F) 432 430 428 428 426 425 424 423 422 420 418	417 5,093
14 Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lunes 12 + 13) 468 466 464 464 462 461 460 458 457 455 453	452 5,520

⁽A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project

⁽B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal

⁽C) Line 6 x 8.8168% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%

⁽D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project

⁽E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1 0007 line loss multipher

⁽F) Line 9b x Line 11

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project: Crist Bulk Tanker Unload Sec Contain Struc P.E. 1271 (in Dollars)

					(,								
	Beginning of Period	_												End of Period
<u>Line</u> <u>Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>January</u>	February	March	<u>Aprıl</u>	May	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	<u>August</u>	September	<u>October</u>	November	<u>December</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1 Investments a Expenditures/Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		^	
b Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c Retirements		0	Ô	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d Cost of Removal		0	Ô	ő	ő	0	ŏ	ŏ	0	0	ň	0	0	
e Salvage		Ö	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	0	ŏ	ő	ŏ	
2 Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	101,495	101,495	101,495	101,495	101,495	101,495	101,495	101,495	101,495	101,495	101,495	101,495	101,495	
3 Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)	(26,193)	(26,523)	(26,853)	(27,183)	(27,513)	(27,843)	(28,173)	(28,503)	(28,833)	(29,163)	(29,493)	(29,823)	(30,153)	
4 CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5 Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	75,302	74,972	74,642	74,312	73,982	73,652	73,322	72,992	72,662	72,332	72,002	71,672	71,342	
6 Average Net Investment		75,137	74,807	74,477	74,147	73,817	73,487	73,157	72,827	72,497	72,167	71,837	71,507	
7 Return on Average Net Investment										•	-	-	•	
a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		552	550	547	545	542	540	537	535	533	530	528	525	6,464
b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2 5042% x 1/12)		157	156	155	155	154	153	153	152	151	151	150	149	1,836
8 Investment Expenses														
a Depreciation		330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	3,960
b Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e Other (D)	-	0	0	0	U	U		0	<u> </u>	0	U	0	0	0
9 Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8))	1,039	1,036	1,032	1,030	1,026	1,023	1,020	1,017	1,014	1,011	1,008	1,004	12,260
 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy 		80	80	79	79	79	79	78	78	78	78	78	77	943
b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		959	956	953	951	947	944	942	939	936	933	930	927	11,317
10 Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9653118	0.9648027	0.9664913	0.9650414	0 9672250	0.9675573	0.9657652	0.9674366	0.9664330	0.9655726	0.9648561	0.9656763	
11 Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	
12 Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		77	77	76	76	76	76	75	76	75	75	75	74	908
13 Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		925	923	920	918	914	911	909	906	903	900	897	895	10,921
14 Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	-	1,002	1,000	996	994	990	987	984	982	978	975	972	969	11,829

- (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
- (B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
- (C) Line 6 x 8.8168% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%
- (D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
- (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1 0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 9b x Line 11

Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project Crist IWW Sampling System
P E. 1275
(in Dollars)

Lin	e Description	Beginning of Period Amount	January	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	Aprıl	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	July	August	September	October	November	<u>Dec</u> ember	End of Period Amount
1	Investments								2,502	11000	Борсонцоог	000000	THOTOIRDOL	December	7 tinount
	a Expenditures/Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	b Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d Cost of Removal		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	e Salvage		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	59,543	59,543	59,543	59,543	59,543	59,543	59,543	59,543	59,543	59,543	59,543	59,543	59,543	
3	Less. Accumulated Depreciation (B)	(15,684)	(15,878)	(16,072)	(16,266)	(16,460)	(16,654)	(16,848)	(17,042)	(17,236)	(17,430)	(17,624)	(17,818)	(18,012)	
4	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	43,859	43,665	43,471	43,277	43,083	42,889	42,695	42,501	42,307	42,113	41,919	41,725	41,531	
6	Average Net Investment		43,762	43,568	43,374	43,180	42,986	42,792	42,598	42,404	42,210	42,016	41,822	41,628	
7	Return on Average Net Investment		.,	,	ŕ	•	•	•	•		,	,	,	,	
	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		322	320	319	317	316	314	313	312	310	309	307	306	3,765
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.5042% x 1/12)		91	91	91	90	90	89	89	88	88	88	87	87	1,069
8	Investment Expenses														
o	a Depreciation		194	194	194	194	194	194	194	194	194	194	194	194	2,328
	b Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e Other (D)		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9		-	607	605	604	601	600	597	596	594	592	591	588	587	7,162
,	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		47	47	46	46	46	46	46	46	46	45	45	45	551
	b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		560	558	558	555	554	551	550	548	546	546	543	542	6.611
				0.0648007	0.9664913	0.9650414	0.9672250	0.9675573	0 9657652	0.9674366	0.9664330	0.9655726	0 9648561	0.9656763	.,
10	₩		0.9653118	0 9648027	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9672230	0.9673373	0 965/652	0.9674366	0.9650187	0.9653726	0.9650187		
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0 9650187	0.9650187										0.9650187	
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		45	45	44	44	45	45	44	45	44	43	43	43	530
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	_	540	538	538	536	535	532	531	529	527	527	524	523	6,380
14	Total Juris, Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	_	585	583	582	580	580	577	575	574	571	570	567	566	6,910

- (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
- (B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
- (C) Line 6 x 8.8168% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%
- (D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
- (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 9b x Line 11

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

(in Dollars)

For Project: Smith Sodium Injection System P.E. 1413

Line	Description	Beginning of Period <u>Amount</u>	January	February	March	Aprıl	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	August	September	October	November	December	End of Period Amount
I Investment		1,000,000							EH-7	1 turius	Вергенцое	<u>Geteber</u>	IVOVEINOGI	<u>December</u>	Antount
a Expend	litures/Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
b Clearm	igs to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
c Returem	nents		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
d Cost of	Removal		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
e Salvage			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	ervice/Depreciation Base	106,497	106,497	106,497	106,497	106,497	106,497	106,497	106,497	106,497	106,497	106,497	106,497	106,497	
	umulated Depreciation (B)	(10,474)	(10,767)	(11,060)	(11,353)	(11,646)	(11,939)	(12,232)	(12,525)	(12,818)	(13,111)	(13,404)	(13,697)	(13,990)	
	on Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	
5 Net Investr	ment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	96,023	95,730	95,437	95,144	94,851	94,558	94,265	93,972	93,679	93,386	93,093	92,800	92,507	
	let Investment Average Net Investment		95,877	95,584	95,291	94,998	94,705	94,412	94,119	93,826	93,533	93,240	92,947	92,654	
	Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		704	702	700	698	696	694	691	689	687	685	683	681	8,310
	omponent (Line 6 x 2 5042% x 1/12)		200	199	199	198	198	197	196	196	195	195	194	193	2,360
8 Investment	Expenses														
a Depreci	•		293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	3,516
b Amortiz	zation		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
c Disman	itlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
d Property	y Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
e Other (I	D)	_	00	0	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9 Total Syste	em Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		1,197	1,194	1,192	1,189	1,187	1,184	1,180	1,178	1,175	1,173	1,170	1,167	14,186
a Recover	rable Costs Allocated to Energy		1,197	1,194	1,192	1,189	1,187	1,184	1,180	1,178	1,175	1,173	1,170	1,167	14,186
b Recover	rable Costs Allocated to Demand		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10 Energy lur	risdictional Factor		0 9653118	0.9648027	0 9664913	0.9650414	0 9672250	0 9675573	0.9657652	0.9674366	0 9664330	0.9655726	0 9648561	0.9656763	
	urisdictional Factor		0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	
12 Retail Ene	rgy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		1,156	1,153	1,153	1,148	1,149	1,146	1,140	1,140	1,136	1,133	1,130	1,128	13,712
	nand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	_	0	0_	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14 Total Juris	Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	_	1,156	1,153	1,153	1,148	1,149	1,146	1,140	1,140	1,136	1,133	1,130	1,128	13,712

- (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
- (B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
- (C) Line 6 x 8 8168% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38 575%
- (D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
- (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 9b x Line 11

-7

Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project Smith Stormwater Collection System
P.E. 1446
(in Dollars)

		Beginning of Period				•	•								End of Period
Line		Amount	<u>January</u>	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	<u>Aprıl</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	<u>August</u>	September	October	November	<u>December</u>	<u>Amount</u>
ι	Investments a Expenditures/Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	b Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	ō	ő	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	
	d Cost of Removal		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	e Salvage		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	2,782,600	2,782,600	2,782,600	2,782,600	2,782,600	2,782,600	2,782,600	2,782,600	2,782,600	2,782,600	2,782,600	2,782,600	2,782,600	
3	Less Accumulated Depreciation (B)	(619,962)	(627,614)	(635,266)	(642,918)	(650,570)	(658,222)	(665,874)	(673,526)	(681,178)	(688,830)	(696,482)	(704,134)	(711,786)	
4	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00	0	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	2,162,638	2,154,986	2,147,334	2,139,682	2,132,030	2,124,378	2,116,726	2,109,074	2,101,422	2,093,770	2,086,118	2,078,466	2,070,814	
6	Average Net Investment		2,158,812	2,151,160	2,143,508	2,135,856	2,128,204	2,120,552	2,112,900	2,105,248	2,097,596	2,089,944	2,082,292	2,074,640	
7	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		15,861	15,805	15,748	15,692	15,636	15,580	15,523	15,467	15,411	15,355	15,299	15,242	186,619
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2 5042% x 1/12)		4,505	4,489	4,474	4,458	4,442	4,426	4,410	4,394	4,378	4,362	4,346	4,330	53,014
8	Investment Expenses														
	a Depreciation		7,652	7,652	7,652	7,652	7,652	7,652	7,652	7,652	7,652	7,652	7,652	7,652	91,824
	b Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e Other (D)	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0_	0	. 0	0	0	0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		28,018	27,946	27,874	27,802	27,730	27,658	27,585	27,513	27,441	27,369	27,297	27,224	331,457
	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		2,155	2,150	2,144	2,139	2,133	2,128	2,122	2,116	2,111	2,105	2,100	2,094	25,497
	 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand 		25,863	25,796	25,730	25,663	25,597	25,530	25,463	25,397	25,330	25,264	25,197	25,130	305,960
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9653118	0 9648027	0 9664913	0.9650414	0.9672250	0 9675573	0 9657652	0.9674366	0 9664330	0 9655726	0.9648561	0 9656763	
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		2,082	2,076	2,074	2,066	2,065	2,060	2,051	2,049	2,042	2,034	2,028	2,024	24,651
12	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		24,958	24,894	24,830	24,765	24,702	24,637	24,572	24,509	24,444	24,380	24,316	24,251	295,258
14	Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)	-	27,040	26,970	26,904	26,831	26,767	26,697	26,623	26,558	26,486	26,414	26,344	26,275	319,909

- (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
- (B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
- (C) Line 6 x 8.8168% x 1/12 Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38 575%
- (D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
- (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1 0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 9b x Line 11

18

Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project: Smith Waste Water Treatment Facility
P.E. 1466
(in Dollars)

Line	e Descriptio <u>n</u>	Beginning of Period Amount	January	February	March	<u>April</u>	May	June	<u>July</u>	August	September	October	November	December	End of Period Amount
1	Investments									- rugust	September	<u>Delouer</u>	HOYCHIDEL	December	ranount
_	a Expenditures/Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	b Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d Cost of Removal		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	e Salvage		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	175,200	175,200	175,200	175,200	175,200	175,200	175,200	175,200	175,200	175,200	175,200	175,200	175,200	
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)	(44,462)	(44,944)	(45,426)	(45,908)	(46,390)	(46,872)	(47,354)	(47,836)	(48,318)	(48,800)	(49,282)	(49,764)	(50,246)	
4	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	130,738	130,256	129,774	129,292	128,810	128,328	127,846	127,364	126,882	126,400	125,918	125,436	124,954	
6	Average Net Investment		130,497	130,015	129,533	129,051	128,569	128,087	127,605	127,123	126,641	126,159	125,677	125,195	
7	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		959	955	952	948	945	941	938	934	930	927	923	920	11,272
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2 5042% x 1/12)		272	271	270	269	268	267	266	265	264	263	262	261	3,198
8	Investment Expenses														
	a Depreciation		482	482	482	482	482	482	482	482	482	482	482	482	5,784
	b Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e Other (D)	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	00_	0	0	0	0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		1,713	1,708	1,704	1,699	1,695	1,690	1,686	1,681	1,676	1,672	1,667	1,663	20,254
•	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		132	131	131	131	130	130	130	129	129	129	128	128	1,558
	b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		1,581	1,577	1,573	1,568	1,565	1,560	1,556	1,552	1,547	1,543	1,539	1,535	18,696
10	Francis Landational Footon		0.9653118	0.9648027	0 9664913	0.9650414	0.9672250	0.9675573	0.9657652	0 9674366	0.9664330	0.9655726	0 9648561	0 9656763	
11	Energy Jurisdictional Factor Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	
11															1 500
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		128	126	127	127	126	126	126	125	125	125	124	124	1,509
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	_	1,526	1,522	1,518	1,513	1,510 1,636	1,505	1,502	1,498	1,493	1,489	1,485	1,481	18,042
14	Total Juris Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		1,654	1,648	1,645	1,640	1,636	1,631	1,628	1,623	1,618	1,614	1,609	1,605	19,551

- (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
- (B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
- (C) Line 6 x 8.8168% x 1/12 Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%
- (D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
- (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 9b x Line 11

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project: Daniel Ash Management Project P.E 1535 (in Dollars)

Line	e Description	Beginning of Period Amount	January	February	<u>March</u>	Aprıl	May	<u>June</u>	July	August	September	October	November	December	End of Period Amount
1	Investments	<u>Amount</u>	Januar y	r cordary	IVAGE OIL	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u>suite</u>	3417	August	Зерешьег	October	November	December	Amount
•	a Expenditures/Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	b Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d Cost of Removal		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	e Salvage		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	13,242,469	13,242,469	13,242,469	13,242,469	13,242,469	13,242,469	13,242,469	13,242,469	13,242,469	13,242,469	13,242,469	13,242,469	13,242,469	
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)	(3,329,067)	(3,369,785)	(3,410,503)	(3,451,221)	(3,491,939)	(3,532,657)	(3,573,375)	(3,614,093)	(3,654,811)	(3,695,529)	(3,736,247)	(3,776,965)	(3,817,683)	
4	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0_	0	0	0	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	9,913,402	9,872,684	9,831,966	9,791,248	9,750,530	9,709,812	9,669,094	9,628,376	9,587,658	9,546,940	9,506,222	9,465,504	9,424,786	
6	Average Net Investment		9,893,043	9,852,325	9,811,607	9,770,889	9,730,171	9,689,453	9,648,735	9,608,017	9,567,299	9,526,581	9,485,863	9,445,145	
7	Return on Average Net Investment														
	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		72,684	72,385	72,086	71,787	71,488	71,188	70,889	70,590	70,291	69,992	69,693	69,393	852,466
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.5042% x 1/12)		20,647	20,562	20,477	20,392	20,307	20,222	20,137	20,052	19,967	19,882	19,797	19,712	242,154
8	Investment Expenses														
	a Depreciation		30,895	30,895	30,895	30,895	30,895	30,895	30,895	30,895	30,895	30,895	30,895	30,895	370,740
	b Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c Dismantlement		9,823	9,823	9,823	9,823	9,823	9,823	9,823	9,823	9,823	9,823	9,823	9,823	117,876
	d Property Taxes		31,158	31,158	31,159	31,158	31,158	31,159	31,158	31,158	31,159	31,158	31,158	31,158	373,899
	e Other (D)	-	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		165,207	164,823	164,440	164,055	163,671	163,287	162,902	162,518	162,135	161,750	161,366	160,981	1,957,135
	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		12,708	12,679	12,649	12,620	12,590	12,561	12,531	12,501	12,472	12,442	12,413	12,383	150,549
	b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		152,499	152,144	151,791	151,435	151,081	150,726	150,371	150,017	149,663	149,308	148,953	148,598	1,806,586
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0 9653118	0.9648027	0.9664913	0.9650414	0 9672250	0 9675573	0 9657652	0.9674366	0 9664330	0.9655726	0 9648561	0 9656763	
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	
			12,276	12,241	12,234	12,187	12,186	12,162	12,110	12,102	12,062	12,022	11,985	11,966	145,533
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		147,164	146,822	146,481	146,138	145,796	145,453	145,111	144,769	144,428	144,085	143,742	143,400	1,743,389
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	-	159,440	159,063	158,715	158,325	157,982	157,615	157,221	156,871	156,490	156,107	155,727	155,366	1,888,922
14	Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lmes 12 + 13)		137,470	137,003	100,710	,520							,		

- (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
- (B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
- (C) Line 6 x 8.8168% x 1/12 Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%
- (D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
- (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 9b x Line 11

\supset

Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project Consumptive Use-Shield Water Substitution Project P.E. 1620 (in Dollars)

Line	e Description	Beginning of Period <u>Amount</u>	January	February	March	<u>Aprıl</u>	<u>May</u>	June	<u>July</u>	August	September	October	November	Danashaa	End of Period
1	Investments	· Anouni	,,,,,,,,	1001444	3744444		11247	<u>June</u>	2011	7 tugusi	<u> Зертение</u>	OCIODEI	November	<u>December</u>	Amount
-	a Expenditures/Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	b Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ő	ő	0	
	c Returements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ō	ŏ	
	d Cost of Removal		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	e Salvage		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	47,906	47,906	47,906	47,906	47,906	47,906	47,906	47,906	47,906	47,906	47,906	47,906	47,906	
3	Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)	(1,779)	(1,911)	(2,043)	(2,175)	(2,307)	(2,439)	(2,571)	(2,703)	(2,835)	(2,967)	(3,099)	(3,231)	(3,363)	
4	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	46,127	45,995	45,863	45,731	45,599	45,467	45,335	45,203	45,071	44,939	44,807	44,675	44,543	
6	Average Net Investment		46,061	45,929	45,797	45,665	45,533	45,401	45,269	45,137	45,005	44,873	44,741	44,609	
7	Return on Average Net Investment													,	
	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		338	337	336	336	335	334	333	332	331	330	329	328	3,999
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2 5042% x 1/12)		96	96	96	95	95	95	94	94	94	94	93	93	1,135
8	Investment Expenses														
_	a Depreciation		132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	132	1,584
	b Amortization		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	e Other (D)	_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		566	565	564	563	562	561	559	558	557	556	554	553	6,718
	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		44	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	43	517
	b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		522	522	521	520	519	518	516	515	514	513	511	510	6,201
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9653118	0 9648027	0.9664913	0.9650414	0.9672250	0.9675573	0.9657652	0 9674366	0 9664330	0 9655726	0 9648561	0.9656763	
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	
11															
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		43	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	42	505
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	_	504 547	504 546	503 545	502 544	501 543	500 542	498 540	497 539	496 538	495 537	493 535	492 534	5,985
14	Total Juris Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		547	346	343	344	343	342	340	339	338	537	535	534	6,490

- (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
- (B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
- (C) Line 6 x 8.8168% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38 575%
- (D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
- (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 9b x Line 11

7

Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project: Underground Fuel Tank Replacement P.E. 4397 (in Dollars)

						(2.2									
1	December	of Period	January	February	March	<u>Aprıl</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	August	September	October	November	December	End of Period Amount
Line	<u>Description</u> Investments	Amount	Januar y	1 columny	<u>Ivjai Cii</u>	при	May	<u>June</u>	July	August	<u>September</u>	OCIOOCI	November	December	Autount
	a Expenditures/Additions		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	b Clearings to Plant		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c Retirements		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	d Cost of Removal		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	e Salvage		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	457,919	457,919	457,919	457,919	457,919	457,919	457,919	457,919	457,919	457,919	457,919	457,919	457,919	
3	Less Accumulated Depreciation (B)	(339,828)	(344,749)	(349,669)	(354,590)	(359,510)	(364,431)	(369,351)	(374,272)	(379,192)	(384,113)	(389,033)	(393,954)	(398,874)	
4	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
5	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	118,091	113,170	108,250	103,329	98,409	93,488	88,568	83,647	78,727	73,806	68,886	63,965	59,045	
6	Average Net Investment		115,631	110,710	105,790	100,869	95,949	91,028	86,108	81,187	76,267	71,346	66,426	61,505	
7	Return on Average Net Investment		ŕ												
-	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		850	813	777	741	705	669	633	596	560	524	488	452	7,808
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2.5042% x 1/12)		241	231	221	211	200	190	180	169	159	149	139	128	2,218
8	Investment Expenses														
·	a Depreciation		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	b Amortization		4,921	4,920	4,921	4,920	4,921	4,920	4,921	4,920	4,921	4,920	4,921	4,920	59,046
	c Dismantlement		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	d Property Taxes		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	e Other (D)	_	0	0	0	0	0	. 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0_
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 + 8)		6,012	5,964	5,919	5,872	5,826	5,779	5,734	5,685	5,640	5,593	5,548	5,500	69,072
-	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		462	459	455	452	448	445	441	437	434	430	427	423	5,313
	b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		5,550	5,505	5,464	5,420	5,378	5,334	5,293	5,248	5,206	5,163	5,121	5,077	63,759
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9653118	0.9648027	0 9664913	0.9650414	0.9672250	0.9675573	0.9657652	0 9674366	0.9664330	0.9655726	0.9648561	0 9656763	
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	
			446	443	440	437	434	431	426	423	420	415	412	409	5,136
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		5,356	5,312	5,273	5,230	5,190	5,147	5,108	5,064	5,024	4,982	4,942	4,899	61,527
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)	-	5,802	5,755	5,713	5,667	5,624	5,578	5,534	5,487	5,444	5,397	5,354	5,308	66,663
14	Total Juris Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		3,002	2,122	7,117	2,007	5,551	2,570	0,004	2,.37		-,-,-			,

Notes |

- (A) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to net Investment for this project
- (B) Description of Adjustments to Reserve for Gross Salvage and Other Recoveries and Cost of Removal
- (C) Line 6 x 8 8168% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%
- (D) Description and reason for 'Other' adjustments to investment expenses for this project
- (E) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 9b x Line 11

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
Calculation of the Projected Period Amount
January 2003 - December 2003

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project: SO2 Allowances

(in Dollars)

		Beginning of Period													End of Period
Line	<u>Description</u>	<u>Amount</u>	January	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	<u>Aprıl</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	<u>August</u>	<u>September</u>	<u>October</u>	November	<u>December</u>	Amount
l	Investments														
	a Purchases/Transfers		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	b Sales/Transfers		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c Auction Proceeds/Other		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	Working Capital Balance		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	a FERC 158 1 Allowance Inventory	33,176	32,199	31,253	30,330	29,457	28,337	26,996	25,576	24,163	22,923	21,795	20,610	19,346	
	b FERC 158.2 Allowances Withheld	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	c FERC 182.3 Other Regl. Assets - Losses	0	0	0	0	(621.225)	0	0	((0.5.0.0)	(500.000)	(504.554)	(500.100)	0	0	
	d FERC 254 Regulatory Liabilities - Gains	(642,745)	(637,390)	(632,036)	(626,681)	(621,327)	(615,972)	(610,618)	(605,263)	(599,909)	(594,554)	(589,199)	(583,844)	(578,489)	
3	Total Working Capital Balance	(609,569)	(605,191)	(600,783)	(596,351)	(591,870)	(587,635)	(583,622)	(579,687)	(575,746)	(571,631)	(567,404)	(563,234)	(559,143)	
4	Average Net Working Capital Balance		(607,380)	(602,987)	(598,567)	(594,111)	(589,753)	(585,629)	(581,655)	(577,717)	(573,689)	(569,518)	(565,319)	(561,189)	
5	Return on Average Net Working Capital Balance														
,	a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (A)		(4,462)	(4,430)	(4,398)	(4,365)	(4,333)	(4,303)	(4,273)	(4,244)	(4,215)	(4,184)	(4,153)	(4,123)	(51,483)
	b Debt Component (Line 6 x 2 5042% x 1/12)		(1,268)	(1,258)	(1,249)	(1,240)	(1,231)	(1,222)	(1,214)	(1,206)	(1,197)	(1,189)	(1,180)	(1,171)	(14,625)
6	Total Return Component (D)		(5,730)	(5,688)	(5,647)	(5,605)	(5,564)	(5,525)	(5,487)	(5,450)	(5,412)	(5,373)	(5,333)	(5,294)	(66,108)
	•														
7	Expenses:														
	a Gains		(5,355)	(5,354)	(5,355)	(5,354)	(5,355)	(5,354)	(5,355)	(5,354)	(5,355)	(5,355)	(5,355)	(5,355)	(64,256)
	b Losses		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	c SO2 Allowance Expense		977	946	923	873	1,120	1,341	1,420	1,413	1,240	1,128	1,185	1,264	13,830
8	Net Expenses (E)		(4,378)	(4,408)	(4,432)	(4,481)	(4,235)	(4,013)	(3,935)	(3,941)	(4,115)	(4,227)	(4,170)	(4,091)	(50,426)
	T 10 . D 11 T // (. 0)		(10,108)	(10,096)	(10,079)	(10,086)	(9,799)	(9,538)	(9,422)	(9,391)	(9,527)	(9,600)	(9,503)	(9,385)	(116,534)
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 6 + 8) a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		(10,108)	(10,096)	(10,079)	(10,086)	(9,799)	(9,538)	(9,422)	(9,391)	(9,527)	(9,600)	(9,503)	(9,385)	(116,534)
	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		(10,100)	(10,030)	(10,075)	(10,000) N	(>,,,>>)	0,550)	(5,122)	(5,551)	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(5,000)	0,000)	(>,500)	0
	b Recoverable Costs Affocated to Demaild		Ü	J	v	v	v	, ,	ŭ	•	•	•	ŭ	· ·	
10	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9653118	0.9648027	0 9664913	0 9650414	0.9672250	0 9675573	0.9657652	0 9674366	0.9664330	0 9655726	0 9648561	0.9656763	
11	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0 9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	
11	Deliming 5th 15th octobra 1 detto			•											
12	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (B)		(9,764)	(9,747)	(9,748)	(9,740)	(9,484)	(9,235)	(9,106)	(9,092)	(9,214)	(9,276)	(9,175)	(9,069)	(112,650)
13	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (C)		0	0	0	0_	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14		_	(9,764)	(9,747)	(9,748)	(9,740)	(9,484)	(9,235)	(9,106)	(9,092)	(9,214)	(9,276)	(9,175)	(9,069)	(112,650)

- $\overline{\text{(A)}}$ Line 4 x 8.8168% x 1/12. Based on ROE of 11.5% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%
- (B) Line 9a x Line 10 x 1.0007 line loss multiplier
- (C) Line 9b x Line 11
- (D) Line 6 is reported on Schedule 6E and 7E
- (E) Line 8 is reported on Schedule 4E and 5E

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Air Quality Assurance Testing

PE 1006, 1244

Description:

Audit test trailer with associated support equipment to conduct Relative Accuracy Audits (RATA's) on the Continued Emission Monitoring Systems (CEM's) as required by the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments.

Accomplishments:

All RATA's have been performed in a timely and cost-effective manner and provided assurance of CEMs performance.

Project-to-Date: \$262,554

Progress Summary: In-Service.

Projections:

The CEMs system in the RATA test trailer is being replaced during the 2002 recovery period and should be completed not later than January 2003. This replacement will provide Gulf with the accuracy and reliability needed to accurately measure SO2, NOx, CO2, and opacity and further maintain compliance with Clean Air Act Amendment requirements. The existing equipment is approaching the end of their useful life, and will be retired upon replacement.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Crist 5, 6 & 7 Precipitator Projects PE's 1119, 1216, 1243

Description:

These projects are necessary to improve particulate removal capabilities as a result of burning low sulfur coal. The larger precipitators and increased collection areas improve particulate collection efficiency.

Accomplishments:

No visible emission violations have occurred since installation and opacity has been substantially reduced. The precipitators have functioned successfully in burning low sulfur coal.

Project-to-Date: \$24,440,825

Progress Summary: In-Service.

Projections: N/A

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Crist 7 Flue Gas Conditioning

PE 1228

Description:

Injection of sulfur trioxide into the flue gas to improve particulate removal and improve the collection characteristics of fly ash.

Accomplishments:

System has proven effective in enhanced particulate removal in precipitators.

Project-to-Date: \$2,179,245

Progress Summary: In-Service.

Projections: N/A

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Low NOx Burners, Crist 6 & 7 PE's 1234,1236, 1242

Description:

These are unique burners installed to decrease the quantities of NOx which are formed in the combustion process. This equipment is a requirement of the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments.

Accomplishments:

System has proven effective in reducing NOx emissions.

Project-to-Date: \$16,296,359

Progress Summary: In-Service.

Projections: N/A

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: CEMs - Crist 1, 4-7; Scholz 1; Smith 1 & 2; Daniel PE's 1154, 1164, 1240, 1245, 1286, 1289, 1290, 1311,1316,1323, 1324, 1325, 1440, 1441, 1442, 1454, 1459, 1460, 1558

Description:

This equipment is dilution extraction continuous emission monitors that measure concentrations of sulfur dioxide (SO2) and nitrogen oxides (NOx) in the flue gas. Additionally, opacity and flow monitors were also installed. All monitors were installed pursuant to the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments.

Accomplishments:

The systems at both Gulf and Mississippi Power have successfully exceeded all quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) audits as required by the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments.

Project-to-Date: \$4,383,778

Progress Summary:

Crist 4 and 5 CEMS equipment replacements (gas analyzers, opacity monitors, and common CEMS equipment), Crist 7 and Scholz 1 & 2 CEMS analyzer replacements, and Smith 1 gas analyzers and opacity monitor replacements have been completed. The replacement of gas analyzers at Plant Crist on Unit 6 and at Plant Smith on Unit 2 will occur during the remainder of 2002. The opacity monitors on Crist 6 and Crist 7 will also be replaced during 2002.

Projections:

Changes to the CEMs project during this projection period will include the replacement of gas analyzers on Plant Crist Units 1, 2, & 3 and and the replacement of CEMs flow monitors on Plant Scholz Units 1 & 2 (PE 1324 and PE 1325). The gas analyzers and flow monitors are necessary in order to provide Gulf with the accuracy and reliability needed to accurately measure SO2, NOx, CO2, Opacity, and Flow and further maintain compliance with CAAA requirements. Capital expenditures for this project are expected to be \$360,000 in 2003. All of the existing analyzers are approaching the end of their useful life, and will be retired upon replacement.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Substation Contamination Mobile Groundwater Treatment System PE's 1007, 3400, 3412

Description:

This capital investment was the result of Gulf's decision to purchase a previously leased treatment system, which proved effective in contaminated groundwater treatment. The direct purchase of this system resulted in a reduction in long-term project expenditures.

Accomplishments:

System has proven effective in groundwater remediation at reduced costs.

Project-to-Date: \$929,394

Progress Summary: In-Service.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Raw Water Flow Meters; Crist and Smith PE's 1155, 1606

Description:

This capital project is necessary for Gulf to ensure compliance with an environmental requirement that is being made part of the Consumptive Use and Individual Water Use permits issued by the Northwest Florida Water Management District (NWFWMD). This new environmental requirement imposes a condition on any permit issued by the NWFWMD that requires the installation and monitoring of in-line totaling water flow meters on all existing and future water supply wells at Gulf facilities. Gulf incurred costs related to the installation and operation of new in-line totaling water flow meters at both Plant Crist and Plant Smith for implementation of this new activity.

Accomplishments:

The raw water flow meters have been installed at both Plant Crist and Plant Lansing Smith.

Project-to-Date: \$242,943

Progress Summary: In-Service

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Crist Cooling Tower Cell

PE 1232

Description:

Pollution control device which allows condenser cooling water to be continually reinjected into the condenser. The cooling tower function limits water discharge temperatures to meet National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) requirements.

Accomplishments:

The additional cooling tower cell has effectively enhanced temperature discharge compliance limits as required by the NPDES Permit.

Project-to-Date: \$906,659

Progress Summary: In-Service.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Crist 1-5 Dechlorination PE 1248

Description:

State and Federal NPDES permits require significant reductions in chlorine discharge from the plant. This equipment injects sulfur trioxide (SO3) into the cooling water canal to chemically eliminate the residual chlorine present in discharge water.

Accomplishments:

The system has been effective in maintaining chlorine discharge limits.

Project-to-Date: \$305,323

Progress Summary: In-Service.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Crist Diesel Fuel Oil Remediation PE 1270

Description:

Installation of monitor wells in the vicinity of storage tank systems to determine if groundwater contamination was present. The project proposed the installation of an impervious cap to prevent potential migration of contaminants to surface or groundwaters.

Accomplishments:

This activity is anticipated to be effective once approved by the State of Florida.

Project-to-Date: \$47,955

Progress Summary: Monitor wells are in service. The cap is currently proposed to the

State of Florida.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Crist Bulk Tanker Unloading Secondary Containment PE 1271

Description:

This project was necessary to address deficiencies identified during the August 1992 Environmental Audit of Plant Crist and to minimize the potential risk of an uncontrolled discharge of pollutants into the waters of the United States. It is also a requirement of the Federal Spill Prevention Control and Countermeasures Regulations.

Accomplishments:

Unloading secondary containment complies with regulatory requirements.

Project-to-Date: \$101,495

Progress Summary: In-Service.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Crist IWW Sampling System PE 1275

Description:

The 1993 revision to Plant Crist's wastewater discharge permit moved the compliance point from the end of the discharge canal to a point upstream of Thompson's Bayou. To allow for this sample point modification, a dock with access was constructed in the discharge canal. The work includes a small building for the needed monitoring and sampling equipment.

Accomplishments:

Dock is complete and sampling events are collected at the required compliance point.

Project-to-Date: \$59,543

Progress Summary: In-Service.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Smith Sodium Injection System

PE 1413

Description:

A silo storage tank system and components that injects sodium bicarbonate directly onto the coal feeder belt to enhance precipitator performance when low sulfur coal is used at Plant Smith. The injection of sodium bicarbonate as an additive to low sulfur coal reduces opacity levels to maintain compliance with Clean Air Act provisions.

Accomplishments:

The silo storage tank and components have been installed. The system is fully operational.

Project-to-Date: \$106,497

Progress Summary: In Service.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Smith Stormwater Collection System

PE 1446

Description:

The National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) requires that industrial facilities install stormwater management systems in order to prevent the unpermitted discharge of contaminated stormwater runoff to the surface waters of the United States.

Accomplishments:

No unpermitted discharges have occurred since system installation.

Project-to-Date: \$2,782,600

Progress Summary: In-Service.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Smith Waste Water Treatment Facility PE 1466

Description:

The system replaced the existing septic tank system installed in the early 1960's. The system was designed to provide secondary treatment of raw sewage and domestic waste from the plant proper. The treatment includes aeration, chlorination, and dechlorination of the wastewater prior to discharging into a drain field. This project assures compliance with our industrial waste water permits requirements.

Accomplishments: Compliance maintained.

Project-to-Date: \$175,200

Progress Summary: In-Service.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Daniel Ash Management Project

PE 1535

Description:

Provide for a dry ash transport system, lining of the existing bottom ash pond, capping the existing flyash pond and constructing a dry ash storage cell. This project is required to comply with existing groundwater quality standards.

Accomplishments: No reportable exceedances have occurred since system installation.

Project-to-Date: \$13,242,469

Progress Summary: In-Service.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Consumptive Use - Shield Water Substitution Project

PE 1620

Description:

This project is a water conservation and consumptive use efficiency program to reduce the demand for groundwater and the potential for saltwater intrusion. Phase I of the project consists of adding pumps, piping, valves and controls at Plant Smith to reclaim water from the ash pond. This requirement is a specific condition of Gulf's individual water use permit for Plant Smith as issued by the Northwest Florida Water Management District, calling for a 25% reduction in the use of groundwater by the end of 2004.

Accomplishments:

Project-to-Date: \$47,906

Progress Summary: In-Service.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects

Title: Underground Fuel Tank Replacement PE 4397

Description:

To provide for the replacement of all of Gulf's underground tanks with new above-ground tanks. The environmental laws regarding underground tanks are more stringent in regard to monitoring requirements. The risk of potential discharges of petroleum products which could result in groundwater contamination and subsequent remediation are significantly reduced with the installation of above ground systems.

Accomplishments:

All underground tanks have been replaced with above ground tank systems.

Project-to-Date: \$457,919

Progress Summary: In-Service.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O & M Line Item 1.1

Title: Sulfur/Ammonia

Description:

The Crist Unit 7 sulfur trioxide (SO3) flue gas system allows the injection of SO3 into the flue gas stream. The addition of sulfur trioxide to the flue gas improves the collection efficiency of the precipitator when burning a low sulfur coal. Ammonia agglomerates the particles, which in turn enhances the collection efficiency of the precipitator.

Accomplishments:

The flue gas injection system has improved the efficiency of the Crist Unit 7 precipitator allowing the unit to burn low sulfur coal in compliance with the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. The need for sulfur injection varies based on the sulfur content of the available coal supply at Plant Crist, and is used when necessary to meet the sulfur dioxide emission requirements of the Clean Air Act Amendments (CAAA).

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.

Projections: \$30,000

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O & M Line Item 1.2

Title: Air Emission Fees

Description:

These expenses are the annual fees required by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) under Title IV of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.

Accomplishments:

Fees have been paid by due dates.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.

Projections: \$759,817

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O & M Line Item 1.3

Title: Title V

Description:

These are expenses associated with the preparation of the Clean Air Act Amendments Title V permit applications and the subsequent implementation of Title V permits.

Accomplishments:

Title V permits for Plants Crist, Smith, and Scholz were issued by FDEP in 1999. The Title V permit for the Pea Ridge Generating Facility was issued in July, 2000.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.

Projections: \$76,810

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O & M Line Item 1.4

Title: Asbestos Fees

Description:

These are both annual and individual project fees due to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) for asbestos abatement projects. These expenses are also associated with required annual state asbestos fees.

Accomplishments:

Fees paid as required and on a timely basis.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.

Projections: \$4,500

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O & M Line Item 1.5

Title: Emission Monitoring

Description:

This program provides quality assurance/quality control testing for CEMs, including Relative Accuracy Test Audits and Linearity Tests as required by the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.

Accomplishments:

All systems are in compliance.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary: See Accomplishments

Projections:

\$577,779 is expected to be spent on Emission Monitoring during this recovery period. New activities within this category include the testing, development, and implementation of new Periodic Monitoring and Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) associated with the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O & M Line Item 1.6

Title: General Water Quality

Description:

These are ongoing activities undertaken pursuant to the Company's NPDES permit, soil contamination studies and also include surface and groundwater monitoring studies.

Accomplishments:

All activities are on-going and comply with all applicable environmental laws, rules, and regulations. For the ECRC approved Plant Smith CT Soil Contamination Studies, Gulf was successful in convincing FDEP that air treatment for the designed remediation system was unnecessary; air treatment and related air equipment installation, operation and maintenance can significantly increase costs of such systems. Through successful operations of the remedial system at Plant Smith, Gulf significantly reduced expenses for this project.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary: See Accomplishments

Projections: \$379,118

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O & M Line Item 1.7

Title: Groundwater Contamination Investigation

Description:

This project includes sampling and testing to determine possible environmental impacts to groundwater from past herbicide applications at various substation sites. Once possible environmental impacts to groundwater have been identified then cleanup operations are initiated.

Accomplishments:

The Florida Department of Environmental Protection has issued a No Further Action (NFA) letter for 26 sites.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary: See Accomplishments

Projections: \$394,797

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O & M Line Item 1.8

Title: State NPDES Administration

Description:

This is the fee that is required by the State of Florida's National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) program administration. These annual fees are required for the renewal of NPDES permits at Plants Crist, Smith and Scholz.

Accomplishments:

Compliance with fee due dates.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.

Projections: \$49,500

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O & M Line Item 1.9

Title: Lead & Copper Rule

Description:

These are sampling and analytical costs for lead and copper in drinking water as required by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) regulations.

Accomplishments:

All sampling and analytical protocols are current.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.

Projections: \$16,500

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O & M Line Item 1.10

Title: Environmental Auditing/Assessment

Description:

This program ensures continued compliance with environmental laws, rules, and regulations through auditing and/or assessment of company facilities and operations.

Accomplishments:

Audits and assessments accomplished to date have demonstrated compliance with environmental laws, rules, and regulations.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.

Projections: \$1,000

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O & M Line Item 1.11

Title: General Solid and Hazardous Waste

Description:

This program provides for the proper identification, handling, storage, transportation and disposal of solid and hazardous wastes.

Accomplishments:

Gulf has complied with all hazardous and solid wastes regulations.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.

Projections: \$190,208

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O & M Line Item 1.12

Title: Above Ground Storage Tank Integrity and Secondary Containment Upgrades

Description:

This project is required under the provisions of Chapter 62-762 F.A.C. and includes specific performance standards applicable to existing field-erected storage tank systems. These performance standards include installation of secondary containment, cathodic protection and tank integrity inspections.

Accomplishments:

Gulf has complied with all petroleum storage tank requirements.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.

Projections: \$25,000

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O & M Line Item 1.13

Title: Low NOx

Description:

This activity refers to the maintenance expenses associated with the Low NOx burner tips on Crist Units 4 & 5 and Smith Unit 1.

Accomplishments:

Burner tips on Plant Crist Units 4 & 5 and Plant Smith Unit 1 are installed and in-service.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O & M Line Item 1.14

Title: Crist 4-7 Ash Pond Diversion Curtains

Description:

This project refers to the installation of additional flow diversion curtains at the Plant Crist ash pond to effectively increase water retention time in the ash pond, thereby allowing for the sedimentation/precipitation treatment process to be more effective in reducing levels of suspended particulate from the outfall at the Plant Crist ash pond.

Accomplishments:

The diversion curtains have been installed.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O & M Line Item 1.15

Title: Mercury Emissions

Description:

This project refers to EPA requirements to analyze coal shipments for mercury and chlorine content.

Accomplishments:

Coal shipments are being analyzed as required.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary:

Sampling and analytical requirements are not expected in 2003.

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O & M Line Item 1.16

Title: Sodium Injection

Description:

This project refers to the installation of a sodium injection system at Plant Smith. The activity involves sodium injection to the coal supply at Plant Smith to enhance precipitator efficiencies when burning low sulfur coal.

Accomplishments:

Sodium bicarbonate is used at Plant Smith when low sulfur coal is burned.

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary: See Accomplishments.

Projections: \$49,000

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)
January 2003-December 2003

Description and Progress Report of Environmental Compliance Activities and Projects O & M Line Item 1.17

Title: Gulf Coast Ozone Study (GCOS)

Description:

Escambia and Santa Rosa counties are identified as potential ozone non-attainment areas due to the new eight-hour ambient air quality standards adopted by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in accordance with Title I of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990. This project refers to Gulf's participation in the Gulf Coast Ozone Study (GCOS) which is a joint modeling analysis between Gulf Power and the State of Florida to provide an improved basis for assessment of eight-hour ozone air quality for Northwest Florida.

Accomplishments:

Fiscal Expenditures: N/A

Progress Summary:

The expected completion date for the GCOS project has been extended due to a delay in the final rule development by EPA. The goal of the project is to develop strategies for ozone ambient air attainment to supplement Florida's SIP submission to EPA for Escambia and Santa Rosa counties.

Projections: \$235,000

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

Calculation of the Energy & Demand Allocation % By Rate Class January 2003 - December 2003

	(1)	(2) Jan - Dec. 2003	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)
Rate Class	Average 12 CP Load Factor at Meter (%)	Projected Sales at Meter (KWH)	Projected Avg 12 CP at Meter (KW)	Demand Loss Expansion Factor	Energy Loss Expansion Factor	Projected Sales at Generation (KWH)	Projected Avg 12 CP at Generation (KW)	Percentage of KWH Sales at Generation (%)	Percentage of 12 CP Demand at Generation (%)
RS, RSVP	59.521838%	4,783,079,000	917,333.21	1.00486476	1.00530097	4,808,433,958	921,795.82	46.51633%	54.66836%
GS, GST, GSTOU	61.623894%	285,459,000	52,879.88	1.00485887	1.00529775	286,971,290	53,136.82	2.77613%	3.15135%
GSD, GSDT	74.923957%	2,267,672,000	345,505.87	1.00470565	1.00516604	2,279,386,884	347,131.70	22.05057%	20.58712%
LP, LPT	86.403429%	1,925,800,000	254,434.56	0.98422595	0.98911989	1,904,847,084	250,421.10	18.42731%	14.85156%
PX, PXT, RTP, SBS	99.499138%	943,427,000	108,239.28	0.97443817	0.98057253	925,098,600	105,472.49	8.94931%	6.25519%
OS-I/II	247.068649%	97,528,000	4,506.17	1.00468934	1.00529485	98,044,396	4,527.30	0.94847%	0.26850%
OS-III	98.528325%	29,876,000	3,461.44	1.00511513	1.00526827	30,033,395	3,479.15	0.29054%	0.20634%
OS-IV	248.927520%	4,250,000	194.90	1.00240521	1.00541301	4,273,005	195.37	0.04134%	0.01159%
TOTAL		10,337,091,000	1,686,555.31			10,337,088,612	1,686,159.75	100.00000%	100.00001%

Notes:

- (1) Average 12 CP load factor based on actual 2001 load research data
- (2) Projected KWH sales for the period January 2003 December 2003
- (3) Calculated: $(Col 2) / (8,760 \times Col 1)$, (8,760 hours = the # of hours in 1 year)
- (4) Based on demand losses identified in Doc. 010949-EI
- (5) Based on energy losses identified in Doc. 010949-EI
- (6) Col 2 x Col 5
- (7) Col 3 x Col 4
- (8) Col 6 / total for Col 6
- (9) Col 7 / total for Col 7

58

Schedule 7P Revised 10/23/02

Gulf Power Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC)

Calculation of the Energy & Demand Allocation % By Rate Class January 2003 - December 2003

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
Rate Class	Percentage of KWH Sales at Generation (%)	Percentage of 12 CP Demand at Generation (%)	Energy- Related Costs	Demand- Related <u>Costs</u>	Total Environmental Costs	Projected Sales at Meter (KWH)	Environmental Cost Recovery Factors (¢/KWH)	
RS, RSVP	46.51633%	54.66836%	3,281,612	1,986,338	5,267,950	4,783,079,000	0.110	
GS, GST	2.77613%	3.15135%	195,849	114,502	310,351	285,459,000	0.109	
GSD, GSDT	22.05057%	20.58712%	1,555,612	748,019	2,303,631	2,267,672,000	0.102	
LP, LPT	18.42731%	14.85156%	1,300,000	539,621	1,839,621	1,925,800,000	0.096	
PX, PXT, RTP, SBS	8.94931%	6.25519%	631,351	227,278	858,629	943,427,000	0.091	
OS-I, OS-II	0.94847%	0.26850%	66,912	9,756	76,668	97,528,000	0.079	
OS-III	0.29054%	0.20634%	20,497	7,497	27,994	29,876,000	0.094	
OS-IV	0.04134%	0.01159%	2,916	421	3,337	4,250,000	0.079	
TOTAL	100.00000%	100,00000%	<u>\$7.054.749</u>	\$3,633,432	<u>\$10,688,181</u>	10,337,091,000	<u>0,103</u>	

Notes:

- (1) From Schedule 6P, Col 8
- (2) From Schedule 6P, Col 9
- (3) Col 1 x Total Energy \$ from Schedule 1P, line 5
- (4) Col 2 x Total Demand \$ from Schedule 1P, line 5
- (5) Col 3 + Col 4
- (6) Projected KWH sales for the period January 2003 December 2003
- (7) Col 5 / Col 6 x 100

Ŋ

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) Calculation of the Projected Period Amount January 2003 - December 2003

Incremental Impact of Accelerated Depreciation/Amortization Associated With Crist Units 1-3

(in Dollars)

						(111 1	onais)								
		Beginning of Period	_												End of Period
Line	Description	Amount	<u>January</u>	<u>February</u>	<u>March</u>	<u>Apnl</u>	<u>May</u>	<u>June</u>	<u>July</u>	August	September	<u>October</u>	<u>November</u>	<u>December</u>	Amount
1	Accelerated Depreciation/Amortization (3 yr. recov	ery)	81,063	81,063	81,063	81,063	81,063	81,063	81,063	81,063	81,063	81,063	81,063	81,058	972,751
2	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	11,394,866	11,394,866	11,394,866	11,394,866	11,394,866	11,394,866	11,394,866	11,394,866	11,394,866	11,394,866	11,394,866	11,394,866	11,394,866	
3 4	Less: Accumulated Depreciation/Amortization Net Investment (Line 2 - 3)	8,476,613 2,918,253	8,557,676 2,837,190	8,638,739 2,756,127	8,719,802 2,675,064	8,800,865 2,594,001	8,881,928 2,512,938	8,962,991 2,431,875	9,044,054 2,350,812	9,125,117 2,269,749	9,206,180 2,188,686	9,287,243 2,107,623	9,368,306 2,026,560	9,449,364 1,945,502	
5	Average Net Investment		2,877,722	2,796,659	2,715,596	2,634,533	2,553,470	2,472,407	2,391,344	2,310,281	2,229,218	2,148,155	2,067,092	1,986,031	
6	Return on Average Net Investment a Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes (C)		21,143	20,547	19,951	19,356	18,760	18,165	17,569	16,974	16,378	15,782	15,187	14,597	
	b Debt Component (Line 5 x 2 5042% x 1/12)		6,006	5,837	5,667	5,498	5,329	5,160	4,991	4,822	4,652	4,483	4,314	4,145	
7	Return on Unamortized Balance	•	27,149	26,384	25,618	24,854	24,089	23,325	22,560	21,796	21,030	20,265	19,501	18,742	275,313
8	Subtotal of Additional Expenses (Lines 1 + 7)		108,212	107,447	106,681	105,917	105,152	104,388	103,623	102,859	102,093	101,328	100,564	99,800	1,248,064
9	Depreciation Included in Base Rates (3 9% annually	y)	37,033	37,033	37,033	37,033	37,033	37,033	37,033	37,033	37,033	37,033	37,033	37,037	444,400
10	Carrying Costs Included in Base Rates a 13 Mo Avrg Net Investment Included in Test Y	ear	2,976,000	2,976,000	2,976,000	2,976,000	2,976,000	2,976,000	2,976,000	2,976,000	2,976,000	2,976,000	2,976,000	2,976,000	
	b Equity Component Grossed Up For Taxes		21,865	21,865	21,865	21,865	21,865	21,865	21,865	21,865	21,865	21,865	21,865	21,866	
	c Debt Component (Line 10a x 2.5042% x 1/12)		6,211	6,211	6,211	6,211	6,211	6,211	6,211	6,211	6,211	6,211	6,211	6,211	
11	Total Carrying Costs in Base Rates (Lines 10b + 10c	c)	28,076	28,076	28,076	28,076	28,076	28,076	28,076	28,076	28,076	28,076	28,076	28,077	336,913
12	Subtotal of Amounts Included in Base Rates (Lines	9+11)	65,109	65,109	65,109	65,109	65,109	65,109	65,109	65,109	65,109	65,109	65,109	65,114	781,313
13	Incremental Amount to be Recovered in ECRC (Lin	e 8 - 12)	43,103	42,338	41,572	40,808	40,043	39,279	38,514	37,750	36,984	36,219	35,455	34,686	466,751
	a Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy		3,316	3,257	3,198	3,139	3,080	3,021	2,963	2,904	2,845	2,786	2,727	2,668	35,904
	b Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand		39,787	39,081	38,374	37,669	36,963	36,258	35,551	34,846	34,139	33,433	32,728	32,018	430,847
14	Energy Jurisdictional Factor		0.9653118	0.9648027	0.9664913	0.9650414	0.9672250	0 9675573	0 9657652	0.9674366	0.9664330	0 9655726	0.9648561	0.9656763	
15	Demand Jurisdictional Factor		0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0.9650187	0 9650187	0 9650187	
16	Retail Energy-Related Recoverable Costs (E)		3,203	3,145	3,093	3,031	2,981	2,925	2,864	2,811	2,751	2,692	2,633	2,578	34,707
17	Retail Demand-Related Recoverable Costs (F)		38,395	37,714	37,032	36,351	35,670	34,990	34,307	33,627	32,945	32,263	31,583	30,898	415,775
18	Total Juris. Recoverable Costs (Lines 12 + 13)		41,598	40,859	40,125	39,382	38,651	37,915	37,171	36,438	35,696	34,955	34,216	33,476	450,482

- Notes:
 (C) Line 5 x 8 8168% x 1/12 Based on ROE of 12% and weighted income tax rate of 38.575%
 (E) Line 13a x Line 14 x 1.0007 line loss multiplier
- (F) Line 13b x Line 15

Schedule 9

GULF POWER COMPANY
Docket No. 020943-EI
Responses to FPSC Staff's informal
questions dated 09/04/02
September 16, 2002
Item No. 1
Page 4 of 5

13-Month Avg Net

Investment

Included in

Test Year (A)

Annual

Revenue

Requirement

Rate (B)

Carrying

Costs on

Net Inv in

\$ 66,115 120,342 168,456 \$ 336,913

TABLE 1C

Gross

Investment

07/31/02

Incremental Impact on Depreciation/Amortization of Crist Units 1-3 Retirements

(Amounts Shown in Dollars)	(assuming accelerated depreciation/amortization	1)
----------------------------	---	----

Estimated Depreciation

Reserve

12/31/02

Estimated

Net Book

Value

12/31/02

I		<u></u>		TETOTIOE		1 1 -	COL IL	-CI 1/ 1/	170	aic (D)
Unit 1	\$ 2,333,475	\$ 1,799,345		\$ 534	4,130		\$	584,000		11.32%
Unit 2	3,057,232	2,115,430		94	1,802			904,000		11.32%
Unit 3	nit 3 <u>6,004,159</u> <u>4,561,838</u>			<u>1,442,321</u>		.	1	<u>,488,000</u>		11.32%
Total	\$ 11,394,866	\$ 8,476,613		\$ 2,918	8,253	J L	\$ 2	,976,000		
Unit 1				2002		0004		0005		T-4-1
	rated Depreciation/Amortization (3 11. 1000/1024	\$	<u>2003</u>	•	<u>2004</u>	•	<u>2005</u>	•	Total
	arrying Costs on Unamortized Ba		J	178,043	\$	178,043	\$	178,043	\$	534,129
1 103.00	arrying costs on chamortized be	ance		50,391 228,434	•	30,235		10,078		90,704
l ecc.∪ı	urrent level of Depr. Exp (3.9% /	voar)	\$	(91,006)	\$	208,278	\$	188,121	\$	624,833
	arrying Costs on Net Inv in Base			, , ,		(91,006) (66,115)		(91,006) (66,115)	\$	(273,018)
	ental Amt to be Recovered thr		\$	(66,115) 71,313	s	(66,115) 51,157	\$	(66,115) 31,000	\$	(198,345) 153,470
			<u> </u>	7 1,010		01,107		01,000		100,470
Unit 2				<u>2003</u>		2004		2005		<u>Total</u>
Acceler	rated Depreciation/Amortization (3-yr recovery)	\$	313,934	\$	313,934	\$	313,934	\$	941,802
Plus:Ca	arrying Costs on Unamortized Ba	lance		88,851	_	53,311		17,770		159,932
			\$	402,785	\$	367,245	\$	331,704	\$	1,101,734
Less:C	urrent level of Depr. Exp (3.9% /	year)		(119,232)		(119,232)		(119,232)	\$	(357,696)
Less:C	arrying Costs on Net Inv in Base	Rates		(102,342)		(102,342)		(102,342)		(307,026)
Increm	ental Amt to be Recovered thr	ough ECRC	\$	181,211	\$	145,671	\$	110,130	\$	437,012
Unit 3				2003		2004		2005		Total
	rated Depreciation/Amortization	'3-vr recovery	\$	<u>2003</u> 480,774	\$	<u>2004</u> 480,774	\$	<u>2005</u> 480,774	æ	<u>Total</u> 1,442,322
	arrying Costs on Unamortized Ba	• • • •	Ψ	136,071	Ψ	81,643	Φ	27,214	φ	244,928
1 100.00	arrying costs on chambrazed be	iidi ioc		616,845		562,417	\$	507,988		1,687,250
Less:C	urrent level of Depr. Exp (3.9% /	vear)	Ψ	(234,162)	, Ψ	(234,162)	Ψ	(234,162)	\$	(702,486)
	arrying Costs on Net Inv in Base	•		(168,456)		(168,456)		(168,456)	Ψ	(505,368)
	nental Amt to be Recovered the				s	159,799	\$	105,370	\$	
			<u> </u>			700,100		100,010	<u> </u>	1,
<u>Total l</u>	<u> Jnits 1 – 3</u>			2003		<u>2004</u>		2005		<u>Total</u>
Accele	rated Depreciation/Amortization	(3-yr recovery)	\$	972,751	\$	972,751	\$	972,751	\$	2,918,253
Plus:C	arrying Costs on Unamortized Ba	alance		275,313		165,188		55,063		495,563
			\$	1,248,064	\$	1,137,939	\$	1,027,814	\$	3,413,816
Less:C	Current level of Depr. Exp (3.9% /	year)		(444,400)		(444,400)		(444,400)		(1,333,200)

Note (A) 13-month average investment included in test year of last rate case Docket # 010949-EI

Less Carrying Costs on Net Inv in Base Rates

Incremental Amt to be Recovered through ECRC

Note (B) Revenue requirement rate of 11.32% is calculated by grossing up the equity components of Gulf's cost of capital of 7.92% approved in rate case Docket # 010949-EI

(336,913)

\$ 466,751

(336,913)

(336,913)

246,501

(1,010,739)

\$ 1,069,877