(RAR) Completes

Section 1 - Division of Records and Report

Docket No. 990455-TL Date Docketed: 04/0	7/1999 Title: Request for r	review of the proposed numbering code (Florida Keys).	ng relief plan for
Company: BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.	the 350 area	code (Florida Reys).	
Official Filing Date:			
Last Day to Suspend: Expiratio	n:		
Referred to: ADM AFA ("()" indicates OPR)	APP CAF (CMU) EAG	GCL LEG RAR RRR L	WAW
Section 2 - OPR Completes and returns to RAR in	10 workdays.	Time Schedule	
Program/Module B12	IT IS TENTATIVE AND SUBJECT	AN INTERNAL PLANNING DOCUMENT.	ė.
<u>Staff Assignments</u>	FOR UPDATES CONTACT THE REC Current CASR revision leve	ORDS SECTION: (850) 413-6770	Due Dates
OPR Staff	0		Previous Current
	1		
	3		
Staff Counsel	5		
OCRs ( )	7.		
	9.		
( )	11.		
( )	15.		
	10.		
· ·	19.		
( )	21.		
	23.		
( )	26.		
	27. 28.		
	29. 30.		
Recommended assignments for hearing and/or deciding this case:	32.		
Full Commission Commission Panel Hearing Examiner Staff	34.		
Date filed with RAR:	36.		
	30.		
Initials: OPR Staff Counsel	39. 40.		
Section 3 - Chairman Completes	Assignments are as follows:		Pour de la Santa Par Bario
- Hearing Officer(s)		- Prehearing Officer	DOCUMENT NO.
Commissioners Hrg.	Staff	Commissioners	ADM
ALL GR DS CL JN JC		GR DS CL JN JC	13/21/60
			12576 05
Where panels are assigned the senior Commissione	r is Panel Chairman;		W378-00
the identical panel decides the case. Where one Commissioner, a Hearing Examiner or a	Staff Member is		
assigned the full Commission decides the case.  PSC/RAR-15 (Rev. 1/98)	• COMPLETED EVENTS	Date: _ / /	
1 30/ NAN-13 (NEV. 1/30)	COMPLETED EVENTS		

Section	1	-	Division	of	Records	and	Reporting	(RAR)	Completes
7000									

Docket No. 990455-TL

Date Docketed: 04/07/1999 Title: Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305 area code (Florida Keys).

Company: BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

Official Filing Last Day to Susp			Ex	piratio	on: _		_								
Referred to: ("()" indicates	OPR)		ADM	AFA	APP	CAF	(CMU)	EAG	GCL	LEG X	RAR	RRR	WAW		
Section 2 - OPR	Completes	s and retur	ns to	RAR in	10 work	days.				<u>Tim</u>	e Sched	<u>ule</u>			
Program/Module		ff Assignme	nts		FOR U	TENTA IPDATES	IS SCHED TIVE AND CONTACT SR revis	SUBJECT THE RE	CORDS S	VISION.				Due I	Dates
OPR Staff	L Ileri,	M Barrett					0							Previous	Current
					1. 2. 3.		Docket o						_  _	NONE	07/15/1999
Staff Counsel	W Cox		· –		4.								_  _		
<u>OCRs</u> ( )					6. 7. 8. 9.										
( )					10. 11. 12. 13.										
( )					15. 16. 17. 18.	\ <u></u>									
( )	(4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4)				20. 21. 22. 23.										
( )					25. 26. 27.										
Recommended ass	ignments this cas	for hearing e:	9		31.		<u> </u>								
Full Commissi Hearing Exami	on X	Commissic Staff	on Pane	e1 _	34.	<del></del>							-  -		
Date filed with					37.								_  -		
Initials: OPR Staf	f Counsel	-			38. 39. 40.										
Section 3 - Cha	irman Com	nletes													

Assignments are as follows:

- Hearing Officer(s)

	Comm	issio	ners			Hrg. Exam.	Staff
ALL	GR	DS	CL	JN	JC	LAdiii.	
Χ							

Where panels are assigned the senior Commissioner is Panel Chairman; the identical panel decides the case. Where one Commissioner, a Hearing Examiner or a Staff Member is assigned the full Commission decides the case.

- Prehearing Officer

	Comm	issio	ners		ADM
GR	DS	CL	JN	JC	
			Х		

Approved:

Date: 05/05/1999

PSC/RAR-15 (Rev. 1/98)

\* COMPLETED EVENTS

07/13/1999

To:	Commissioner Deason	x Deputy Ex. Director/Technical		Electric & Gas Director
	Commissioner Clark	x Appeals Director	X	Records & Reporting Director
	Commissioner Johnson	x Legal Director	X	Research Director
	Commissioner Jacobs	x Auditing & Financial Analysis Director		Water & Wastewater Director
	x Executive Director	x Communications Director	х	Court Reporter
	x Public Information Officer	x Consumer Affairs Director	X	Staff Contact - W Cox

From: Office of Chairman Joe Garcia

Docket No. 990455-TL

Title: Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region.

#### 1. Schedule Information

Event	Former Date	New Date	Location	Time
Issue Identification		07/23/1999	Tallahassee, 152	10:00-13:00
				-

Remarks: Involves docket(s) 990455-TL

#### 2. Hearing/Prehearing Assignment Information:

Former Assignments

Hearing

	Co	mmis	ssione	rs		Hrg. Exam.	Staff
ALL	GR	DS	CL	JN	JC		
					<u></u>		

New or Changed Assignments

	Co	mmis	sione	rs		Hrg. Exam.	Staff
ALL	GR	DS	CL	JN	JC		
							X

Prehearing
Officer

	(	Comm	issio	ners	
GR	DS	CL	JN	JC	ADM

		Comr	nissio	ners	
GR	DS	CL	JN	JC	ADM

Reason for Reassignment: 1. Unavailability 2. Good Cause 3. Recused 4. Disqualified

Comments: Document ID is 99045501.CCS

Section 1 - Division of Records and Re. (RAR) Completes

Docket No. 99045	55-TL		Date Do	cketed	04/0	7/1999	Title:	Reques	st for 1 86 area	review o	of pro	posed Count	numb v and	Monro	plan i	relief f	or the
Company: BellSou	uth Te	Lecom	municati	ons, I	nc.			Regio		code	Dude		,	.40500.0		,,,,,,	
Official Filing D	Date:																
Last Day to Suspe	end:	_		Ex	piratio	n: _		-									
Referred to: ("()" indicates (	OPR)			ADM ——	AFA	APP	CAF	(CMU)	EAG	GCL	LEG X	RA	R —	PAI	WAW		
Section 2 - OPR	Comple	tes a	nd retur	ns to	RAR in	10 work	days.				Ti	me Sc	hedul	e			
Program/Module	в12					WARNI	NG: THI	S SCHED	ULE IS	AN INTER	NAL P	LANNI	NG DO	CUMEN.	<u>r.</u>		
	<u>s</u>	taff	Assignme	ents		FOR U	PDATES	CONTACT SR revis	THE RE	CORDS SE			0) 41	3-677	0	Due	Dates
OPR Staff			Barrett			1.00.000.20			$\neg$							16	
								1								Previous	Current
						1.	Issue I	dentifi	cation						_ [_	NONE	07/23/1999
,						3.	Service	ony - Di Hearin	rect q - Mia	mi	-				-  -	NONE	08/24/1999
Staff Counsel	W Cox					4.	Service	Hearin	g - Kev	west						NONE	09/02/1999
OCRs ( )						5.	Notice Testimo	of Preh	earing	& Other	Heari	ngs	-		_  _	NONE	09/10/1999
9000						7.	Testimo	ony - Re	buttal							NONE	09/13/1999
						8.	Prehear	ing Sta	tements						_  _	NONE	09/24/1999
						10.	Technic	ing Con	ing							NONE	09/29/1999
( )						111.	Briets	Of Evid	ence							NONE	10/25/1999
17						12.	Staff R	Recommen	dation							NONE	11/24/1999
						14.	Standar	- Regul	ai						- [-	NONE	12/07/1999
( )						15.	Close D	ocket o	r Revis	e CASR					_   _	NONE	01/28/2000
. , .						17.											
						10.		17. 41							1		
	-			.,,		17.											
( )						Sec. 1. a.											-
						CC.											
					-	60.		11							Section 19	tion of	
						60.	221-										
( ) _						20.											
						28.									-		
						27.											
Recommended assig	anment	s for	hearing	E		30.								-	-  -		
and/or deciding t						36.											
Full Commission		r	ommiccio	n Banal		33.									** To		
Hearing Examine	er	S	taff	n Pane	<u>X</u>	34.											
	000000					30.	Lie and the second								65 6		
Date filed with R	KAR: U	//12/	1999			21.	100										
Initials: OPR						39.									-  -		
Staff	Couns	el _				40.											
Section 3 - Chair	man C	omple	tes														
						Assign	nents a	re as fo	ollows:								
	9	- Hear	ring Off	icer(s)							- Prel	neari	ng Of	ficer			
	Comm	ission	ners		Hea	Staff								Т			
	T	. 03101	, , , ,		Hrg. Exam.	Start					Comm.	issio	iers		ADM		
ALL	GR	DS	CL JI	N JC	l					GR	DS	CL	JN	JC			
	Х	х	X								1		х				
Where panels are	acciar	ned th	ne senio	r. Comei	eciena	ie De-	ol Chri	i nma									
ere parets are	400191	red LI	16 SCIIIO	COMMIT	oo i uner	is rar	ici cha	irman;					14 1	and it			5.450 to 1.000 to 1.000 to 1.000 to

PSC/RAR-15 (Rev. 1/98)

the identical panel decides the case.

Where one Commissioner, a Hearing Examiner or a Staff Member is assigned the full Commission decides the case.

Date: 07/14/1999

Printed on 07/14/1999 at 16:45:55

Page 1 of 1

To: X Commissioner Deason Commissioner Clark X Commissioner Johnson Commissioner Jacobs X Executive Director X Public Information Officer	X Deputy Executive Director/Technical X Appeals Director X Legal Director X Auditing & Financial Analysis Director X Telecommunications Director X Consumer Affairs Director	Electric & Gas Director X Records & Reporting Director Y PAI Director Water & Wastewater Director Court Reporter X Staff Contact - Will Cox
---	--	---

From: Office of Chairman Joe Garcia

Docket Number: 990455-TL

**Docket Title:** Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe

County/Keys Region.

#### 1. Schedule Information

10:00 AM - 12:00 PM
10:00 AM - 12:00 PM
152 10:00 AM - 1:00 PM
148 1:30 PM - 5:00 PM

#### 2. Hearing/Prehearing Assignment Information

# Hearing Officers

		Fo	rmei	r As	sign	ments	
	Cor	nmis	sion	ers		Hearing Exam.	Staff
ALL	GR	DS	CL	JN	JC		

#### Current Assignments

	Cor	nmis	sion	ers		Hearing Exam.	Staff
ALL	GR	DS	CL	JN	JC		
	X	X		X			

#### Prehearing Officer

	Co	omm	issic	oner	S
GR	DS	CL	JN	JC	ADM

	Co	omm	issic	ner	S
GR	DS	CL	JN	JC	ADM
			Y		

Reason for Revision: A. New Assignment 1. Unavailability 2. Good Cause 3. Recused 4. Disqualified 5. See Remarks

Remarks: Former form number is 061899135221

PSC/NAN 8 (06/1999)

CCS Form Number: 990455-TL-00001

Docket No. 9904 Company: BellSo	<u>455-TL</u> Date Do outh Telecommunicati		4/07/	<u>1999</u> Ti	tle: Reque 305/7 Regio	86 area	review ( code -	of prop Dade C	osed nu ounty a	mbering and Monr	plar oe Co	n relief fo bunty/Keys	r the
Official Filing Last Day to Sus	Date:	Expira	tion:										
Referred to: ("()" indicates	OPR)	ADM AF	A _	APP (	200	EAG	GCL	LEG X	RAR —	PAI	WAV	- -	
Section 2 - OPR	Completes and retur	ns to RAR	in 10	) workday	ys.			Tim	e Sche	<u>dule</u>			
Program/Module	B12 Staff Assignme	onte		IT IS T	: THIS SCHEI ENTATIVE ANI ATES CONTAC	SUBJEC	T TO RE	VISION.					
2001 110 1200					t CASR revi					147 (37)		Due [	Dates
OPR Staff	L Ileri, M Barrett		_		2						_		Current
				1. Is:	sue Identif stimony - D	ication						SAME SAME	07/23/1999
				3. <u>Se</u>	rvice Heari	ng - Mia	mi				_	SAME	09/01/1999
Staff Counsel	W Cox			4. <u>Se</u>	rvice Heari tice of Pre	ng - Key hearing	West & Other	Hearir	nas		-	SAME	09/02/1999
OCRs ( )		10		6. Te	stimony - S	taff (If	Any)					SAME	09/13/1999
				7. Te:	stimony - R	ebuttal						SAME SAME	09/24/1999
				9. Pr	ehearing St ehearing Co	nference					-	SAME	09/24/1999
				10. Te	chnical Hea	ring						SAME	10/04/1999
( )				11. Br	iefs Of Evi	dence						SAME 11/2//1999	10/25/1999
				13. Ag	aff Recomme enda - Regu	lar							01/04/2000
			_	14. St	andard Orde	r						12/28/1999	01/25/2000
( )				15. <u>CL</u>	ose Docket	or Revis	e CASR					01/28/2000	02/25/2000
				17									
				18									
				20.									
( )				21									
	-			22.									
				24.									
2 4				25.									
( )				26						-			
				28									
				29									
Recommended ass	ignments for hearing	g		31.							-		
and/or deciding	this case:			52.									
Full Commissi	on Commissio	on Panel	х	34									
Hearing Exami		-		35							_		
Data filed with	DAD - 07/10/1000												
Date filed with	RAR: <u>07/19/1999</u>												
Initials: OPR Staf	f Counsel			39.									
Section 3 - Cha	irman Completes		,	Assignme	nts are as	follows:							
	- Hearing Off	ficer(s)			1		<u> </u>	- Preh	nearing	Office	r		
	Commissioners	1.000	rg.	Staff				Commi	issione	rs	A	DM	
			xam.	]	1					many to the same			

Where panels are assigned the senior Commissioner is Panel Chairman; the identical panel decides the case.
Where one Commissioner, a Hearing Examiner or a Staff Member is assigned the full Commission decides the case.

JN

X

JC

	Comm	issio	ners		ADM
GR	DS	CL	JN	JC	
	5 Lij		x		

Approved: 44 Pending 1/20/25

ALL

GR

Х

DS

Х

CL

Printed on 08/12/1999 at 08:58:04

Page 1 of 1

To: X Commissioner Deason Commissioner Clark X Commissioner Johnson Commissioner Jacobs X Executive Director X Public Information Officer	X Deputy Executive Director/Technical X Appeals Director X Legal Director X Auditing & Financial Analysis Director X Telecommunications Director X Consumer Affairs Director	Electric & Gas Director X Records & Reporting Director X PAI Director Water & Wastewater Director X Court Reporter X Staff Contact - Will Cox
---	--	---

From: Office of Chairman Joe Garcia

Docket Number: 990455-TL

**Docket Title:** Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe

County/Keys Region.

#### 1. Schedule Information

Event	Former Date	New Date	Location	Time
Service Hearing	09/01/1999	Cancelled	Miami	10:00 AM - 12:00 PM
Service Hearing	09/02/1999	Cancelled	Key West	10:00 AM - 12:00 PM
Prehearing Conference	09/29/1999	Cancelled	Tallahassee, Room 152	10:00 AM - 1:00 PM
Hearing	10/04/1999	Cancelled	Tallahassee, Room 148	1:30 PM - 5:00 PM

#### 2. Hearing/Prehearing Assignment Information

Hearing Officers

	Commissioners					Hearing Exam.	Staff
ALL	GR	DS	CL	JN	JC		

Former Assignments

Current Assignments

	Cor	nmis		Hearing Exam.	Staff		
ALL	GR	DS	CL	JN	JC		
	X	X		X			

CCS Form Number: 990455-TL-00001

Prehearing Officer

	C	omm	18810	oner	S
GR	DS	CL	JN	JC	ADM

	Co	omm	issic	ner	s
GR	DS	CL	JN	JC	ADM
			X		

Reason for Revision: A. New Assignment 1. Unavailability 2. Good Cause 3. Recused 4. Disqualified 5. See Remarks

Remarks: Former form number is 061899135221

d (RAR) Completes

Section 1 - Division of Records and Repor.

Official Filing Last Day to Sus	p Date: spend:	_		Exp	iration										
Referred to: ("()" indicates	OPR)			ADM	AFA	APP (	CAF (CMU)	EAG	GCL	LEG X	RAR	PAI	WAW ——		
Section 2 - OPI	₹ Comple	tes ar	nd retur	ns to R	AR in 1	) workday	rs.			<u>Tim</u>	e Sched	<u>dule</u>			
Program/Module		taff /	Assignme	nts		IT IS TO	THIS SCHE NTATIVE AN ATES CONTAC CASR rev	ID SUBJECT THE RE	CT TO RE ECORDS S	VISION.				Due	Dates
OPR Staff	<u>L Iler</u>	i. M (	Barrett			Current	, 0ASK 1 CV		,				Р		s Current
						1. <u>Cl</u>	∟ ose Docket	] or Revi:	se CASR				_1_	NONE	02/25/2000
						٥.									
Staff Counsel			Watts		=11:	5.									
<u>OCRs</u> ( )						7. —									
						9.									-
( )						11.									
						13.									-
7		-				13.									-
( )						1/.							See Tee		
						12.									
( )						21. —							_		-
						23.									
( )				·		20.									
(X3 - Z)						20.									_
						29. — 30. —									
Recommended as and/or decidin	signment	s for	hearing	)		31.									
Full Commiss	<del>-</del>		ommissio	n Panel	χ	00									-
Hearing Exam	iner	Š	taff	ni edile i		35.							_   _		
Date filed wit	h RAR: <u>0</u>	7/19/	1999			37.									
Initials: OPR Sta	ff Couns	e1 -													-
Section 3 - Ch			tes						Hea	ringo	, e	te.c	ance	elec	d.
			<del>NAMES AND THE STATE OF THE STA</del>			Assignme	nts are as	follows	1						
		- Hea	ring Off	icer(s)			î.			- Preh	learing	Officer	1	_	
-	Comm	18810	ners		Hrg. Exam.	Staff				Commi	ssione	rs	ADM		
AL		DS	-	IN JC					GR	DS	CL ,	JN JC			
	X	X	Х									X			
Where panels a the identical	panel de	cides	the cas	e.				ř.	Appr	oved:	45	Im	-		CSRA
Where one Comm assigned the f	issioner ull Comm	. a H	earing E n decide	xaminer s the c	or a S ase.	taff Meml	oer is		Date	: 07/2	0/1999	91	2/99		
PSC/RAR-15 (Re	v. 1/98)						* COMPLETE	ED EVENT	S	4147					

\* COMPLETED EVENTS

#### Printed on 10/13/1999 at 16:58:08

Page 1 of 1

Commissioner Clark Commissioner Johnson Commissioner Jacobs Executive Director	Deputy Executive Director/Technical Appeals Director Legal Director Auditing & Financial Analysis Director Telecommunications Director Consumer Affairs Director	Electric & Gas Director X Records & Reporting Director X PAI Director Water & Wastewater Director X Court Reporter X Staff Contact - Beth Keating
--	--	---

From: Office of Chairman Joe Garcia

Docket Number: 990455-TL

Docket Title: Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys

Region.

#### 1. Schedule Information

Event	Former Date	New Date	Location	Time
Service Hearing		03/13/2000	Miami	7:00 PM - 9:00 PM
Service Hearing		03/14/2000	Key West	2:00 PM - 5:00 PM
			-	
			-	

#### 2. Hearing/Prehearing Assignment Information

#### Former Assignments

H	ea	ring	
0	ffi	cers	

	Con	nmis	Hearing Exam.	Staff			
ALL	GR	DS	CL	JN	JC	<u> </u>	
				1			

#### **Current Assignments**

	Con	nmis	Hearing Exam.	Staff			
ALL	GR	DS	CL	JN	JC		
	X	X			Α		

CCS Form Number: 990455-TL-00005

Prehearing Officer

Commissioners										
GR	DS	CL	JN	JC	ADM					
			1_							

	Co	omm	issic	ner	s
GR	DS	CL	JN	JC	ADM
X					

Reason for Revision: A. New Assignment 1. Unavailability 2. Good Cause 3. Recused 4. Disqualified 5. See Remarks

Remarks: Former form number is 071399115903. A 5/11/99 PH and 5/18-19/00 Technical hearing will be held for area code dockets

990455, 990456, 990457, and 990517.

Section 1 - Division of Records and Reporting (RAR) Completes

PSC/RAR-15 (Rev. 2/00)

Docket No. 990455-TL Company: BellSouth T				/ <u>1999</u> Title	Reque 305/7 Regio	86 area	eview o code -	f prop Dade C	osed no ounty	umbering and Monro	plan relief t oe County/Keys	for the
Official Filing Date: Last Day to Suspend:		_	Expiration		_				2.15	72.72	Tun	
Referred to: ("()" indicates OPR)		4DA 	M AFA	APP CAF	(CMU) _X_	EAG	GCL	LEG X	RAR	PAI ——	WAW	
Section 2 - OPR Comp	etes and	returns t	to RAR in 1	0 workdays.				Tim	e Sche	<u>dule</u>		
Program/Module B12	Staff Acc	signments		WARNING: THE IT IS TENTA	ATIVE AND	SUBJECT	T TO REV	ISION.				
OPR Staff L Ile	eri. M Bar	1000		Current C/				.0110111	(000)	110 077		<u>Dates</u>
					4						Previou	s Current
				1. Staff 2. Prehe		)			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		SAME SAME	04/06/2000
Staff Counsel T Vac	caro			3. Techn 4. Trans	ical Hear	ing (5/	18-19/20	000)			SAME SAME	05/18/2000 05/26/2000
202	-		· ·	5. Brief 6. Staff	s Due						SAME 08/03/200	06/23/2000 08/17/2000
				7. Agend	a ard Order						08/15/200	
****				9. Close	Docket o	or Revise	e CASR		- 2		10/05/200	0 10/19/2000
				11.								
				13 14								
( )				15 16								
				17.								
-				18. 19.								
( )				00								
				23.								
( )			200	25. 26.			y <u>11 y 11 y 11 y 11 y 11 y 11 y 11 y 1</u>					
. ,				27.								
			- <u></u>	28.								
Recommended assignment and/or deciding this	nts for he	earing		30.								
		minaina D	1 V									
Full Commission _ Hearing Examiner _	Sta	mission P ff	anei <u>x</u>	35								
Date filed with RAR:	05/03/20	00		37.								
Initials: OPR Staff Cou	nsel			38. 39. 40.								
Section 3 - Chairman	Complete	<u>S</u>										
	Hoani	ng Office		Assignments	are as 1	TOTTOWS:		Dnok	2020100	Officer		
Cou	- nearii mmissionei		Hrg.	Staff				A10 % 20	issione	Officer	ADM	
ALL G		CL JC T	JB Exam.	Juli			GR	DS		JC JB	-	
XLL G		X					X	US	UL.	00 00		
Where panels are ass			ommissioner	is Panel C	hairman.							
the identical panel of Where one Commissions assigned the full Cor	decides th er. a Hean	he case. ring Exam	iner or a S					oved:	3/2000		~	10 To 10

\* COMPLETED EVENTS

## Last Revised 08/31/2000 at 10:01 Printed on 08/31/2000 at 13:45

Page 1 of 1

To:	X	Commissioner Jaber New Commissioner New Commissioner-SC Executive Director	X X X	Deputy Executive Director/Tech Appeals Director Legal Director Economic Regulation Director Competitive Services Director Consumer Affairs Director	X X X	Safety & Electric Reliability Director Records & Reporting Director PAI Director Regulatory Oversight Director Court Reporter Staff Contact - Beth Keating
-----	---	--	-------------	--	-------------	---

From: Office of Chairman Terry Deason

Docket Number: 990455-TL

**Docket Title:** 

Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys

Region.

#### 1. Schedule Information

 New Date	Location	Time
09/29/2000	Tallahassee, Room 148	9:30 AM - 1:30 PM
	-	
	09/29/2000	09/29/2000 Tallahassee, Room 148

#### 2. Hearing/Prehearing Assignment Information

Hearing Officers

Commissioners			missioners			Hearing Exam.	Staff
ALL	DS	JC	ЈВ	NC	SC		

Former Assignments

Current Assignments

	Cor	nmis	ssion	iers		Hearing Exam.	Staff	
ALL	DS	JC	JВ	NC	SC			
36	X	X						

**Prehearing** Officer

DS JC JB NC SC A	DM

	Co	omn	issio	ners	S
DS	JC	JB	NC	SC	ADM
X					

Reason for Revision: A. New Assignment 1. Unavailability 2. Good Cause 3. Recused 4. Disqualified 5. See Remarks

Remarks: (Related dockets: 990456, 990457, 990517). Former form number is 071399115903.

CCS Form Number: 990455-TL-00006-001

Cas	e Assignment and Scheduling Record	
	() Completes	
CLIOIT I BIVISION BY NEEDING	rions Title: Pequest for review of proposed numbering pla	n relief for the
ompany: BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.	305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe C Region	ounty/Keys
ompany: Berisbuch refecondum cacrons, inc.		
fficial Filing Date: Expiration:		
Referred to: ADM AFA "()" indicates OPR) — —	APP CAF (CMP) CMU EAG ECR GCL LEG PAI RAR RGO SE	R WAW
ection 2 - OPR Completes and returns to RAR in 10	0 workdays. <u>Time Schedule</u>	
<u>Program/Module</u> B12 Staff Assignments	WARNING: THIS SCHEDULE IS AN INTERNAL PLANNING DOCUMENT. IT IS TENTATIVE AND SUBJECT TO REVISION. FOR UPDATES CONTACT THE RECORDS SECTION: (850) 413-6770	
PR Staff L Ileri. M Barrett	Current CASR revision level	<u>Due Dates</u>
	6	Previous Current
	1. Special Agenda (Deferred from 9/5/00) 2. Standard Order	NONE 09/29/2000 10/24/2000
Staff Counsel T Vaccaro	3. Close Docket or Revise CASR 4.	11/02/2000 11/24/2000
OCRs ( )	5.	
	7. 8.	
	9.	
( )	11, 12.	
	13.	
( )	15. 16.	
, ,	17. 18.	
	19.	
( )	20. 21. 22.	
	23.	
· · ·	25. 26.	
	27.	
	29.	
Recommended assignments for hearing and/or deciding this case:	31.	
en traditione) in the expression after a discrimination of the expression	33.	
Full Commission Commission Panel X Hearing Examiner Staff	35.	
Date filed with RAR: 09/05/2000	37.	
Initials: OPR Staff Counsel	38. 39. 40.	
Section 3 - Chairman Completes	A CONTROL OF THE PROPERTY OF T	
	Assignments are as follows:	

#### - Hearing Officer(s)

	Comm	mmissioners Hrg.					
ALL	DS	JC	JB	ВВ	ХХ	Exam.	
	X	Х					

Where panels are assigned the senior Commissioner is Panel Chairman; the identical panel decides the case. Where one Commissioner, a Hearing Examiner or a Staff Member is assigned the full Commission decides the case.

- Prehearing Officer

ADM		ners	ission	Comm	
	XX	BB	JB	JC	DS

Approved:	20	18m
Date: 09/0	6/2000	

#### Last Revised 08/31/2000 at 10:01 Printed on 09/06/2000 at 08:29

Page 1 of 1

То:	X	Commissioner Jaber Commissioner Baez New Commissioner-SC Executive Director	X X X	Deputy Executive Director/Tech Appeals Director Legal Director Economic Regulation Director Competitive Services Director Consumer Affairs Director	X X	Safety & Electric Reliability Director Records & Reporting Director PAI Director Regulatory Oversight Director Court Reporter Staff Contact - Beth Keating
-----	---	--	-------------	--	--------	---

From: Office of Chairman Terry Deason

Docket Number: 990455-TL

Docket Title:

Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys

Region.

#### 1. Schedule Information

Event	Former Date	New Date	Location	Time
Special Agenda		09/29/2000	Tallahassee, Room 148	9:30 AM - 1:30 PM
		91		

#### 2. Hearing/Prehearing Assignment Information

Hearing **Officers** 

	Cor	nmis	ssion	iers		Hearing Exam.	Staff
ALL	DS	JC	JВ	BB	SC		

Former Assignments

Current Assignments

	Cor	nmis	ssion	ers		Hearing Exam.	Staff
ALL	DS	JC	JB	BB	SC		
	X	X					

CCS Form Number: 990455-TL-00006

Prehearing Officer

Commissioners										
DS	JC	JВ	BB	SC	ADM					

	Commissioners										
DS	JC	JB	ВВ	SC	ADM						
X											

Reason for Revision: A. New Assignment 1. Unavailability 2. Good Cause 3. Recused 4. Disqualified 5. See Remarks

Remarks:

(Related dockets: 990456, 990457, 990517). Former form number is 071399115903.

Section 1 - Divi	sion of Records and Re	por (RAF	() Completes						
Docket No. 9904	55-TL Date Docke	eted: <u>04/07/</u>	<u>1999</u> Title:	Request for	review of	proposed num de County an	bering pla	n relief fo	or the
Company: BellSc	outh Telecommunications	, Inc.		Region.	code - Do	ide codiney an	a rioni de le	ourrey ricy a	
0001 1 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	Date								
Last Day to Sus	Date: pend:	Expiration	·						
Referred to: ("()" indicates	OPR)	ADM AFA	APP CAF (CM	IP) CMU EAG	ECR GCL	LEG PAI RA	R RGO SE	R WAW	
Section 2 - OPR	Completes and returns	to RAR in 1	0 workdays.			Time Schedu	<u>le</u>		
Program/Module	B12 Staff Assignment:	5	WARNING: THIS IT IS TENTATION FOR UPDATES (	ONTACT THE R	CT TO REVISECORDS SEC	SION.			
OPR Staff	L Ileri, B Casey		Current CASE	revision le	vel			-	<u>Dates</u>
0111 00001				6			7/2		Current
			2. Order Es	dentification stablishing P	rocedure			NONE NONE	01/12/2001 01/26/2001
Staff Counsel	T Vaccaro		3. Direct 4. Notice of	estimony of Prehearing	and Heari	ng		NONE NONE	02/16/2001 03/07/2001
OCRs ( )			5. Rebutta 6. Prehear	l Testimony ing Statement	S			NONE NONE	03/09/2001 03/14/2001
. <del></del>			7. Prehear 8. Prehear	ing ing Transcrip	ts			NONE NONE	03/28/2001 03/30/2001
			9. Prenear	ing uruer				NONE NONE	04/03/2001 04/09/2001
( )			11. Transcr 12. Briefs I	ipts Due Due				NONE NONE	04/18/2001 05/02/2001
			14. Agenda	oue ecommendation				NONE NONE	06/14/2001 06/26/2001
( )			15. Standard 16. Close Do	ocket or Revi	se CASR			NONE NONE	07/16/2001 08/16/2001
	HALL STORY OF THE		17. 18.				<del>-</del>	-	
9: 4			20.						
( )	<u> </u>		22.						
	WALL TO THE PARTY OF THE PARTY		24.	,,1-00					
( )	A+		26.						
			28.						
D	A		30.	2.1			<u> </u>		
Recommended ass and/or deciding	ignments for hearing this case:		32.						-
Full Commissi Hearing Exami	on Commission ner Staff	Panel X	34. 35.						
	RAR: <u>12/06/2000</u>		37.						
Initials: OPR Staf	f Counsel		39.						
Section 3 - Cha	irman Completes								CSRA
	Harring Office		Assignments a	re as follows		Duchessias	)		
	- Hearing Offic	To the same of	Choese		-	Prehearing (		ADM ]	
ALL	Commissioners	Hrg. Exam.	Staff		-	Commissioner:		ADM	
ALL		XX			DS	JC JB B	Z XX		
libana nanala ar		Commissions	is Danal Ch-	i mana	X				
the identical p	e assigned the senior anel decides the case. ssioner, a Hearing Exall Commission decides				Approv	ed: <b>4</b>	0/80	~	

PSC/RAR-15 (Rev. 2/00)

\* COMPLETED EVENTS

m\_

Last Revised 12/05/2000 at 12:40

Page 1 of 1

		Printed on 12/11/2000 at 16:26	
			□ C C + . C El - +

To:	Commissioner Jacobs	X Deputy Executive Director/Tech	Safety & Electric Reliability Director
	Commissioner Jaber	X Appeals Director	X Records & Reporting Director
	Commissioner Baez	X Legal Director	X PAI Director
	New Commissioner-SC	Economic Regulation Director	Regulatory Oversight Director
	Executive Director	X Competitive Services Director	X Court Reporter
		X Consumer Affairs Director	X Staff Contact - Tim Vaccaro

From: Office of Chairman Terry Deason

Docket Number: 990455-TL

**Docket Title:** Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region.

#### 1. Schedule Information

Event	Former Date	New Date	Location	Time
Prehearing Conference		03/28/2001	Tallahassee, Room 152	9:30 AM - 12:00 PM
Hearing		04/09/2001	Tallahassee, Room 148	9:30 AM - 5:00 PM

#### 2. Hearing/Prehearing Assignment Information

Hea	ring
Offi	

	Cor	nmi	ssio	ners		Hearing Exam.	Staff
ALL	DS	JC	JB	BZ	SC		

Former Assignments

#### **Current Assignments**

	Cor	nmi	ssio	ners		Hearing Exam.	Staff
ALL	DS	JC	ЛВ	BZ	SC		
	X	X		A			

Prehearing Officer

	C	omn	nissio	oner	S
DS	JC	JB	BZ	SC	ADM

	C	omn	nissio	oner	S
DS	JC	JB	BZ	SC	ADM
X					

Reason for Revision: A. New Assignment 1. Unavailability 2. Good Cause 3. Recused 4. Disqualified 5. See Remarks

Remarks:

(Related dockets: 990456, 990457, 990517). Former form number is 071399115903. Com. BZ added to panel.

PSC/JBE 8 (06/1999)

CCS Form Number: 990455-TL-00008-001

#### Last Revised 03/08/2001 at 09:47 Printed on 03/08/2001 at 17:07

Page 1 of 1

To: X Commissioner Deason Commissioner Jaber X Commissioner Baez Commissioner Palecki X Executive Director X Public Information Officer	Deputy Executive Director/Tech Appeals Director Legal Director Economic Regulation Director Competitive Services Director Consumer Affairs Director	Safety & Electric Reliability Director X Records & Reporting Director X PAI Director Regulatory Oversight Director X Court Reporter X Staff Contact - Tim Vaccaro
From: Office of Chairman E. Leon	Jacobs	
Docket Number: 990455-TL		

Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region.

#### 1. Schedule Information

**Docket Title:** 

Event	Former Date	New Date	Location	Time
Prehearing Conference	03/28/2001	Cancelled	Tallahassee, Room 152	9:30 AM - 12:00 PM
Hearing	04/09/2001	Cancelled	Tallahassee, Room 148	9:30 AM - 5:00 PM
	. 38 3			

#### 2. Hearing/Prehearing Assignment Information

# Hearing

	Cor	nmis	Hearing Exam.	Staff			
ALL	JC	DS	JB	BZ	PL		

Former Assignments

#### Current Assignments

	Cor	nmis	Hearing Exam.	Staff			
ALL	JC	DS	JB	BZ	PL		
	X	X		X			

#### Prehearing Officer

Officers

	Co	omn	nissio	oner	s
JC	DS	JB	BZ	PL	ADM
	X				

Reason for Revision: A. New Assignment 1. Unavailability 2. Good Cause 3. Recused 4. Disqualified 5. See Remarks

Remarks:

(Related dockets: 990456, 990457, 990517). Former form number is 071399115903.

CCS Form Number: 990455-TL-00008-002

Section I - Division of Records and Rep

Company: BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

(RAR) Completes

Docket	No.	990455

5-TL

Date Docketed: 04/07/1999 Title:

Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys

Region.

normal har		ADM	ALA	ADD	CAE	(CMP)	CMIL	EAG	FCD	GCI	LEG	PAT	RAR	RGO	SER	la   Alal		
Referred to: ("()" indicates	OPR)	AUN	AI A	AFF		<u>X</u> .			-		X							
Section 2 - OPR	Completes and returns	to RAR	in 10	worl	days	i.					Tim	e Sch	edule	1	12.			
Program/Module	B12		[]	ARN:	ING:	THIS SC	HEDUL	E IS	AN II	VTERN	AL PL	ANNIN	G DOC	UMENT	<u>.</u>			
	Staff Assignments		F	OR I	JPDAT	TATIVE ES CONT CASR re	ACT T	HE RE	CORDS	REVI S SEC	SION:	(850	) 413	8-6770		Di	ue Da	tac
OPR Staff	L Ileri, B Casey			curi	enc	CASK TE	7	11 101	rei									Current
				1.	Star	L Idard Or	der								- T	NONE	(	3/26/2001
The control of the co			-	3.		sed CAS										NONE	_	08/17/2001
Staff Counsel	T Vaccaro		-	4. 5.									_		_			
<u>OCRs</u> ( )			_	6. 7.											[_			
			-	8. 9.	-		-		-						: Do		_	
( )				11.														
			1.0	13.									-		-  -			
				14.													-	
( )				10.											35.	5.32	_ -	
			- 1	TO.													= -	
( )	· ·		- 4	ZU.													_ -	
* /				22.											_  -		_ -	
			=	24.											- 1		_	
( )			_	20.											. 1			
			=	28.	_				-						-  -			
	4		-	30.	-					7					-  -			
and/or deciding	ignments for hearing this case:			34.											_  -			
Full Commissi Hearing Exami	on Commission F	anel _	<u>X</u>	34. 35.														
Date filed with	RAR: 03/09/2001		_	36. 37.											-  -			<u>-</u>
Initials: OPR	f Counsel		_	38. 39. 40.	-										_  -			

Hearing Officer(s)

	Comm	Hrg. Exam.	Staff				
ALL	JC	DS	JB	BZ	PL	EXdIII.	
	X	Х		Х			

Where panels are assigned the senior Commissioner is Panel Chairman; the identical panel decides the case. Where one Commissioner, a Hearing Examiner or a Staff Member is assigned the full Commission decides the case.

- Prehearing Officer

	ADM				
JC	DS	JB	BZ	PL	
	Х				

Approved:

Date: 03/12/2001

PSC/RAR-15 (Rev. 2/00)

\* COMPLETED EVENTS

Section 1	-	Division	of	Records	and	Repor	

(RAR) Completes

Docket.	No.	990455-TL

Date Docketed: 04/07/1999 Title:

Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys

Region.

Company:	BellSouth	Telecommunications,	Inc.
----------	-----------	---------------------	------

Official Filing Last Day to Sus		Expiratio	n: _		_										
Referred to: ("()" indicates	OPR)	ADM AF	A APP	CAF ((	CMP) CMU	EAG	ECR	GCL	LEG X	PAI	RAR	RGO	SER	WAW	
Section 2 - OPR	<u>Completes</u> and returns t	RAR in	10 work	days.					<u>Time</u>	Sche	<u>dule</u>		j.		
Program/Module	B12		WARN T	NG: TH	IS SCHED	JLE IS	AN IN	NTERNA	AL PLA	NNING	DOCU	JMENT.			
	<u>Staff Assignments</u>		IFOR U	JPDATES .	CONTACT SR revis	THE R	ECORDS	S SEC	TION:	(850)	413-	6770		Due	Dates
OPR Staff	L Ileri, C Bulecza-Bank B Casey		Curi	CITE OA.	8	7	***							NAME OF THE PARTY	Current
			2.	Agenda Standa Revise	rd Order CASR or	Close	Docke	et .					E	NONE NONE NONE	05/15/2001 06/04/2001 07/09/2001
<u>Staff Counsel</u>	L Fordham		4.										-  -		
<u>OCRs</u> ( )			6.										-		
			8.												
( )		4	10.					-2							
<i>y</i>			12.		11)										
			14.										5 k_		
( )			16.												
	Karaman and American and Americ		18.												
y Y	100		20.												
( )	1 <u>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 </u>		22.												
			24.												
( )			26.												
			28.												
Age of Longrey Sec.			30.										27 8		
Recommended ass and/or deciding	ignments for hearing this case:		32.												
Full Commissi	on Commission Pa	nel <u>X</u>	33.										-  -		
	ner Staff	-	36.			. :-									
Date filed with	RAR: <u>05/02/2001</u>		37.										_	ol.	ļ
Initials: OPR Staf	f Counsel		39.										-  -		
	airman Completes					nere anno				-				-	

Assignments are as follows:

#### - Hearing Officer(s)

	Comm	issio	ners			Hrg. Exam.	Staff
ALL	JC	DS	JB	BZ	PL	EXalli.	
	X	Χ		Х			

Where panels are assigned the senior Commissioner is Panel Chairman; the identical panel decides the case. Where one Commissioner, a Hearing Examiner or a Staff Member is assigned the full Commission decides the case.

- Prehearing Officer

	Comm	issio	ners		ADM
JC	DS	JB	BZ	PL	
	Х				

Approved:

Date: 05/02/2001

Section 1 - Division of	Records and Report
-------------------------	--------------------

Company: BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

(RAR) Completes

Docket.	No.	990455-TL	

Date Docketed: 04/07/1999 Title:

Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys

Region.

Official Filing Date: \_ Expiration: Last Day to Suspend: Referred to: ("()" indicates OPR) ADM AFA APP CAF (CMP) CMU EAG ECR GCL LEG PAI RAR RGO SER WAW \_\_\_\_X Time Schedule Section 2 - OPR Completes and returns to RAR in 10 workdays. WARNING: THIS SCHEDULE IS AN INTERNAL PLANNING DOCUMENT. IT IS TENTATIVE AND SUBJECT TO REVISION. FOR UPDATES CONTACT THE RECORDS SECTION: (850) 413-6770 Program/Module B12 Staff Assignments Current CASR revision level Due Dates OPR Staff Ileri, C Bulecza-Banks, Previous Current B Casey Agenda - Arvanitas P/R Staff Recommendation-Implementa't Area Code Relief Agenda-Implementa't Area Code Relief NONE NONE 05/29/2001 3. NONE 06/04/2001 06/18/2001 Standard Order - Arvanitas P/R Standard Order-Implementa't Area Code Relief Revise CASR or Close Docket NONE Staff Counsel L Fordham 5. NONE NONE 07/20/2001 OCRs ( ) 6. 8 9 10. 11. ) 12 13. 14. 15. 16. 17 18. 19 20 21 22 23. 25 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31 Recommended assignments for hearing and/or deciding this case: 32. 33 34. Hearing Examiner Full Commission Commission Panel 35 Staff 36 37 Date filed with RAR: 05/03/2001 38. Initials: OPR 39 Staff Counsel 40.

#### Section 3 - Chairman Completes

Assignments are as follows:

#### - Hearing Officer(s)

	Comm	issio	ners			Hrg. Exam.	Staff
ALL	JC	DS	JB	BZ	PL	Exam.	
	X	Х		Х			

Where panels are assigned the senior Commissioner is Panel Chairman; the identical panel decides the case.

Where one Commissioner, a Hearing Examiner or a Staff Member is assigned the full Commission decides the case.

- Prehearing Officer

Date: 05/03/2001

	Comm	ission	ners		ADM
JC	DS	JB	BZ	PL	
	Χ				
orov	/ed:	¥	) '	500	~_

PSC/RAR-15 (Rev. 2/00)

\* COMPLETED EVENTS

#### Section 1 - Division of Records and Reporting (RAR) Completes

Company: BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

Docket No. 990455-TL

Date Docketed: 04/07/1999 Title:

Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region.

Official Filing Last Day to Sus	Date: pend:			E	xpira	ation													
Referred to: ("()" indicates	OPR)				ADM	AFA	APP	CAF		CMU EA					RAR	RG0	SER	WAW	
Section 2 - OPR	Comple	tes ar	nd retur	ns to	RAR	in 1	0 work	days					310-23		nedule	<u> </u>			
Program/Module	B12						WARNI	NG:	THIS SO	HEDULE	IS AN I	NTERN	IAL PL	ANNIN	IG DOC	UMENT	<u>.</u>		
	<u>S</u> -	taff /	Assignme	<u>nts</u>			FOR L	<b>IPDAT</b>	ES CON	AND SUB FACT THE evision	RECORD	S SEC	SION:	(850	) 413	8-6770		Due	<u>Dates</u>
OPR Staff	B Case	У	Bulecza-			_				9	,,,,,						Ī	3300000	s Current
Staff Counsel OCRs ( )	L Ford	nam					2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Staf Agend Staf Stand Agend Stand Orde	f Recorda-Imp f Recordard Orda - Te dard Orda - Te dard Or	rvanitas mmendati ementa' Telcor der - A Plcordia der-Imp ecordia R or Clo	on-Impl t Area dia BRI rvanita BRIDS lementa BRIDS	Code DS & s P/R & RDB 't Ar & RDB	Relie RDBS S Upd ea Co	ef Updat lates ide Re	es	Relief		SAME SAME NONE SAME NONE SAME NONE SAME	05/15/2001 05/17/2001 05/29/2001 05/31/2001 06/04/2001 06/12/2001 06/18/2001 07/02/2001 07/02/2001
( )							10. 11. 12. 13. 14.							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				JA IL	0772072001
( )							16. 17. 18. 19.												
( )			17				21. 22. 23. 24.						-						
( )					75		26. 27. 28. 29.												
Recommended ass and/or deciding	ignments this ca	s for ase:	hearing				31. 32.											1000	
Full Commissi Hearing Exami	on	Co St	ommissio aff	n Par	iel -	X	34.												
Date filed with			2001				36. 37.						-						
Initials: OPR Staf	f Counse	el _				_	38. 39. 40.												
Section 3 - Cha	irman Co	omplet	es				Assian	ments	c and a	ıs follo	nc ·	1	1 4 y	6, 8	8				
		- Hear	ing Off	icer(	s)		155 (9)	merro.	o are c	13 10110	NJ.		Preh	earin	g Off	icer			
	Comm	ission	ners			Irg.	Staf	f					Commi	ssion	ers		ADM		
ALL	JC	DS	JB B	Z P		xam.						JC	DS	JB	BZ	PL			
	X	Х	X										Х						
Where panels ar the identical p Where one Commi assigned the fu	anel dec ssioner.	cides . a He	the case aring E	e. xamin	er or	` a St				n;		pprov ate:	ed: 05/1	J 0/200	2/	m			

PSC/RAR-15 (Rev. 2/00)

\* COMPLETED EVENTS

Section 1 - Division of Records and Reporting (R) Completes

PSC/RAR-15 (Rev. 2/00)

Docket No. <u>990</u> Company: BellS						1999	Tit'		Reques 305/78 Region	6 area	revi a cod	ew of e - D	prop ade C	osed ounty	numbe and	ering Monro	plan e Cou	relief fo nty/Keys	or the	
Official Filing Last Day to Sus	Date: pend:		_	Exp	iration:	-		_												
Referred to: ("()" indicates	OPR)			A[	DM AFA	APP			CMU									WAW		
Section 2 - OPR	Complet	tes and	returns	to RA	AR in 10	) work	days	.0					Tim	e Sch	edule	2				
Program/Module	B12					WARNI	NG:	THIS S	SCHEDU	LE IS	AN I	NTERN	AL PL	ANNIN	G DOC	UMENT	<u>.</u>			
	<u>S</u> 1	taff As	signment	<u>s</u>		FOR U	PDATI	ES COI	TAND NTACT revisi	THE R	ECORD:				) 413	8-6770		Due 1	Dates_	
OPR Staff	L Iler		ilecza-Ba	nks.		Curr	CIIC	JASK I	10	]	vei							Previous	2	nt
						1.	Agend	da (In	np. Ar	ea Co	des)	(Defe	rred	from	5/29/	(01)	Т	NONE	06/12/20	
						3	Agen	da -	- Tel	dia B	RIDS	& RDB	S Upd	ates	.es			731/2001 712/2001	06/25/20	001
Staff Council						5.	Orde	r - T	Order elecor SR or	dia B	RIDS	& RDB	S Upd	ates			_ <u>  07</u>	NONE 702/2001 SAME	07/02/20 07/16/20 07/20/20	01
Staff Counsel OCRs ( )						7.	Revis	SE CA.	SK UI	CTUSE	DUCK	eu	7112				_  _	SALIC	0//20/20	701
OCKS ( )						9.														
						11.														
( )	-					13.											21 1 2000			
	-					15.														
( )	932					1/.											200			_
8 /						19.														
						21.											_ 1			
( )						23.											50.			_
						25.														
8 3						27.														
` '						29.							-7				_  _		-	
Recommended ass			nearing			31.											_  _			
Full Commissi			nmission	Panel	Χ	00.											_  -			
Hearing Exami	ner	Sta	iff			00.											=  =		2	
Date filed with	RAR: 0	5/31/20	001			0/.											=			_
Initials: OPR Stat	f Couns	el				00,														
Section 3 - Cha	irman C	omplete	<u>es</u>																-	
		Hoani	ng Offic	or(c)		Assign	ment	s are	as fo	ITOWS	:		Preh	oanir	na Off	ficon				
		issione		er (5)	Hrg.	Staf	·f				Γ			ssion	× .	ricer	ADM	1		
ALL			JB BZ	PL	Exam.	Juan	1					JC	DS	JB	BZ	PL	AUI			
ALL	X	X	X	1								00	X	UD	DL	-	6			
Where panels ar		1		Commi	ssioner	is Pa	nel	Chair	man·		L		Λ .							
the identical p Where one Commi	anel de	cides t . a Hea	he case. Tring Exa	miner	or a St				LOAL F			pprov				//2	1			
assigned the fu	III COMM	15510n	decides	rue c	dSe.						D	dle:	Kene	HTIG	4	116	101			

\* COMPLETED EVENTS

N

Docket No. 99 Company: Bel	90455-TL		Date Dock	eted:	04/07/	/1999		e:	Reques 305/78 Region	6 are	revi a cod	ew of e - D	prop	osed ounty	numbe and	ring Monro	plan e Cou	relief nty/Key	for the
company. Der	130001116	recomm	un reaction.	J, 1111	Ψ.			,	(cg for	•									
Official Filin Last Day to Se	ng Date: uspend:	1		Exp	iration	:													
Referred to: ("()" indicate	es OPR)			Al	DM AFA				) CMU								SER	WAW	
Section 2 - OF	PR Comple	tes ar	nd returns	to R	AR in 10	0 workd	ays.						Tim	ie Sch	nedule	1			
Program/Module	<del>-</del> 1	taff A	Assignment	<u>s</u>		WARNIN IT IS FOR UP	TENTA DATES	ATIV	E AND NTACT	SUBJE THE R	CT TO ECORD	REVI	SION.					Duo	Dates
OPR Staff	L Iler B Case		Bulecza-Ba	nks.	-	Curre	TIL U	ASK	revisi 11	on re	vei							22.00	<u>Dates</u> s Current
						2. A 3. S 4. S	genda tanda tanda	ard (	ommend 305 Im Order Order-	pleme - 954 305	ntati Imp. Imple	on Area menta	Code					NONE NONE SAME NONE	06/13/2001 06/25/2001 07/02/2001 07/16/2001
Staff Counsel	L Ford	ham				6.			SR or								07	/20/200	02/01/2002
<u>OCRs</u> ( )						8											_  _		
						10.			- 10 -										
( )						13				-									
						15.											-		
( )						17.											Jan 181	, , , , , ,	
ž 3						20.													
A 35	3					22													
( )						24.													
( )						27. – 28. – 29. –													
Recommended a and/or decidi			hearing			31.		, · · ·					1, 1,50				-  -		
Full Commis Hearing Exam	sion	Co	ommission taff	Panel	_X_	33. – 34. – 35. –					~;		-						
Date filed wi	th RAR: 0	6/13/2	2001			37. –											_  _		
Initials: OP	R aff Couns	el –	<del></del>			38 39 40.			21 -		. /						-  -		_
Section 3 - C	nairman C	Complet	tes								(	30	5 4	mp	lem	ento	atric	~ is	sue sendato 6/2
		- Hear	ring Offic	er(s)		Assignm	ents	are	as to	ITOWS	:		f:		Promise Off		0/12	you as	genda to 613
		ission		. , , , ,	Hrg.	Staff							19/11/200	ssion	1000		ADN	1	
A	LL JC	DS	JB BZ	PL	Exam.							JC	DS	JB	BZ	PL			
	Х	Х	Х			-							Х						
Where panels the identical Where one Com assigned the	panel de missioner	cides . a He	the case. earing Exa	miner	or a S				man;			pprov		3/200	2	18	n		

PSC/RAR-15 (Rev. 2/00)



# Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:

JANUARY 25, 1998

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING (BAYÓ)

FROM:

DIVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS (İLERİ)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODES.

Attached is a memorandum placed in Docket No. 981444-TP (Number Utilization Study: Investigation into Number Conservation Measures).

In order to address area code relief plans, this memorandum - Response to Mr. Gilbert Yablon's fax and letter regarding "The Unified Dialing Plans for Overlays" - is to be filed on the correspondence side in the abovereferenced docket for further investigation.

LI

Attachments

cc: Division of Legal Services (Vaccaro)

DOCUMENT NO.

#### State of Florida



# Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:

July 22, 1999

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS (İLERİ)  ${\cal H}$ 

RE:

DOCKET NO. 981444-TP - In re: Number Utilization Study:

Investigation into Number Conservation Measures.

Attached is a RESPONSE to Mr. Gilbert Yablon's fax and letter to be filed on the correspondence side in the above-referenced docket. In addition, the FAX and LETTER are also attached.

LI

Attachments

cc: Division of Legal Services (Cox)

#### STATE OF FLORIDA

Commissioners:
JOE GARCIA, CHAIRMAN
J. TERRY DEASON
SUSAN F. CLARK
JULIA L. JOHNSON
E. LEON JACOBS, JR..



DIVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS WALTER D'HAESELEER DIRECTOR (850) 413-6600

# Public Service Commission

July 22, 1999

Gilbert Yablon 21914 Dumetz Road Woodland Hills, CA 91364

Re: A simplified dialing system for overlaid area codes

Dear Mr. Yablon:

Thank you for recommending a new alternative for overlays. We truly appreciate your interest and concern with regard to area code relief plans.

The Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC), along with other state public utility commissions in the nation, faces an enormous burden in determining when, and in what form, to implement area code relief. The FPSC has expanded its resources to convene public service hearings and workshops and to plan for different area code relief plans depending upon the geographic structure of the region being considered. As the FCC notes in its June 2, 1999, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, all state commissions inevitably bear the brunt of consumer dissatisfaction with whatever method of area code relief is chosen.

Currently, Florida has five area codes which are in extraordinary jeopardy and by the end of 1999, Florida would double its area codes unless certain number conservation measures are taken. The FPSC is working on ways to improve the utilization of telephone numbers in Florida. On April 2, 1999, the FPSC filed a petition before the FCC to get additional jurisdiction over numbering issues.

The FPSC, in its Docket No. 981444-TL (Number Utilization Study: Investigation into Number Conservation Measures) is investigating various number conservation measures. We placed

Mr. Yablon Page 2 July 22, 1999

your simplified dialing system for overlaid area codes into this docket for further investigation when addressing area code relief plans.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (850) 413-6562.

Sincerely,

Levent Ileri

Engineer

C:\ILERI\ACCOMPLA\GYABLON.LI

# 'The Unified Dialing Plan for Overlays'

A Dialing Plan which Supports the Implementation of Overlays for Area Code Relief proposed by Gilbert Yablon revised 5/25/97

The following plan describes a dialing system which supports the implementation of overlays for area code relief by eliminating the public's main objections to them. The plan preserves established dialing patterns to existing numbers, and unifies all levels of an overlay area with a consistent abbreviated dialing method. Long term relief is provided for exhausted area codes without impacting dialing in any other areas of the North American Numbering Plan (NANP).

#### This plan offers the following advantages over standard overlays:

- It greatly reduces the confusion and inconvenience that is associated with having multiple area codes within individual neighborhoods and households.
- Because the plan is non-disruptive to existing 7 digit and 1+10 digit dialing patterns:
   no one is put in jeopardy by a change to their local dialing plan (especially children),
   and it ensures that existing auto dialers can complete calls without reprogramming.
- It reduces the likelihood that the new overlay area code will be a stigma for new businesses.
- It ensures that costs to businesses and disruption overall will be kept to a minimum.

#### Defining these terms will be helpful for the discussions that follow:

parent level of overlay the original area code (in these examples the 818 area

code).

child level of overlay a new overlaid area code (in these examples the 626 area

code is the first child level).

overlay area a single geographic area which contains the parent level

and all of the child overlay levels.

intra-overlay area calls refers to calls where the origin and the destination area

codes both reside within the geographic overlay area.

abbreviated dialing which requires fewer than 11 digits to complete

(abbreviated dialing within an area code is typically 7

digits).

timing as used in this Unified Dialing Plan: an industry

determined interval (probably 3 - 4 seconds) invoked after the 7th digit of a phone number is dialed. If this interval elapses and no further digits have been entered, the phone system switch will run an analysis on the 7 digit number that has been dialed. This technique will allow customers to dial valid phone numbers of varying

lengths (7 or 8 digits).

#### In Brief:

I am proposing a method of implementing an overlay, in which local dialing within the overlay area is facilitated by:

- '7 digit' + timing dialing for intra-overlay area calls directed to the parent level of the
  overlay from any level of the overlay. This will ensure that dialing patterns to existing
  numbers (parent level numbers) will not be disrupted with the introduction of an
  overlay.
- '8 digit' (7 + suffix) dialing for intra-overlay area calls directed to any level of the overlay (parent or child). This would be a '7 + x' system, where the 8th digit is a suffix and acts as an overlay selector. Each area code within the overlay area will be assigned a unique identifier, which will then be used as the '8th digit suffix' or 'overlay selector' in dialing. This feature unifies all levels of the overlay area with consistent abbreviated dialing, regardless of the originating or destination overlay area codes.
- 1+10 digit dialing (permissive not mandatory) throughout the entire overlay area.

Refer to Fig. 4A at the end of this report for an illustration of how these three dialing methods are integrated in the Unified Dialing Plan for Overlays.

This plan is applicable to any area where an overlay might need to be implemented, but for ease of illustration I will describe it hypothetically using 818 as the original area code, and 626 as the first new 'overlaid' level.

#### How the plan would be implemented:

Within the overlay area only (example: the hypothetical 818/626 overlay area):

The suffixes for intra-overlay area dialing would be determined as follows:

- All 818 numbers would receive a suffix of '0' (representing the parent level).
- All 626 numbers would receive a suffix of '1' (representing the <u>first child</u> level).
- Any future overlay levels would receive a suffix of '2' '9' in that order. This
  framework will allow for easy future expansion when more numbers are needed.

For example:

123-4567-0 = 1-818-123-4567 Within the 818/626 overlay area, either style is valid.

123-4567-1 = 1-626-123-4567 Within the 818/626 overlay area, either style is valid.

123-4567-2 = 1-???-123-4567 Within the 818/626/??? overlay area, either style will be valid (for a third area code).

#### Further:

All 818 numbers can also be reached from any level of the overlay area simply by
dialing the original 7 digit number + waiting for a short timing delay. This feature of
the Unified Dialing Plan makes the introduction of an overlay completely nondisruptive to the existing dialing patterns of the original area code.

For example:

123-4567+timing delay = 1-818-123-4567

Defaults to 818 + 7 digit number to accommodate existing dialing patterns. The industry would determine the appropriate length for this timing delay.

#### From within the overlance rea, the dialing plan would open as follows:

- Once 7 digits are received, the call will be considered legal, however the system will
  wait an additional timing period (to be determined by the industry) for a possible 8th
  digit which technically is the 'overlay selector'.
- ...... If 8 digits are received, the phone system switch will run an analysis on the number, examining the 8th digit first.
- ...... If the 8th digit is a '0', the call will be directed to the 818 level of the overlay.
- ............... If the 8th digit is a '1', the call will be directed to the 626 level of the overlay.
- ...... If the industry determined 'timing delay' elapses before the 8th digit is received,
  a suffix of '0' is assumed, and the 7 digit call will automatically be directed to the
  818 level of the overlay.
- If an industry determined 'timing delay' elapses and less than 7 digits have been received, the call is considered abandoned, and the standard 'try again' message is given.
- Once the proper overlay level is determined and the call is routed to the proper area code within the overlay area, the suffix is discarded, leaving a standard 7 digit number to be routed by traditional 7 digit switching logic.
- To summarize, all '7 digit + timing' or '7 digit + suffix' calls are converted to 1+10 numbers by the phone system, and are then transparently routed to the proper overlay level.

Note: 1 + 10 digit dialing for intra-overlay area calls would also be supported, if that were how people preferred to dial, but it would not be mandatory.

#### Handling local or toll calls going outside the 818 626 overlay area:

Mandatory 1 + 10 digit dialing would be used for dialing to any number outside of the 818/626 overlay area, whether it be local or toll. If someone in the overlay area were to accidentally use the '1 + 10 + x' format (because they had become accustomed to dialing 8 digit phone numbers) it wouldn't matter because in 1 + 10 dialing, all extra digits beyond 1+10 are ignored, just as it has always been.

#### Handling local or toll calls coming into the 818 626 overlay area:

When calling from outside 818/626, standard 1 + 10 digit dialing would be used to dial to any number inside the 818/626 overlay area. If someone from outside the 818/626 overlay area were to accidentally use the '1 + 10 + x' format (because they were unclear as to the correct dialing rules in the overlay area) it wouldn't matter because in 1 + 10 dialing, all additional digits are ignored.

#### Directory listings:

In the 818/626 telephone directories the numbers will be listed as follows:

lege	nd:	7 digit + '0' = 818 area code	7 d	igit	+ '1' = 62	26 area	code
818	number	999-3360-0					
626	number	956-2200-1					
213	number	213-462-2110	out	of	'overlay	area'	number
626	number	347-9426-1					
818	number	883-6234-0					
818	number	830-9339-0					
818	number	982-7417-0					
626	number	889-4509-1					
310	number	310-244-0177	out	of	'overlay	area'	number

Because no area codes would need to be listed for intra-overlay area phone numbers, the 'new' 626 numbers (which a new business might have) will not stand out as red flags to customers looking for experienced services. Only out of 'overlay area' phone numbers would stand out, the same as they already do in current directories.

To further remind people how the system works, a sticker could be supplied to customers in the 818/626 area that said:

#### 8 digit dialing supported:

7 digit phone number + 0 = 818 area code 7 digit phone number + 1 = 626 area code

#### How to inform the public on how to use the new plan:

On and after the date that the overlay plan is to take effect:

For calls made from any telephone within the 818/626 overlay area:

- to any phone number in the 818 area code overlay level (the parent level of the overlay area):
  - You may dial all 818 area code telephone numbers exactly as you always have in the past using just 7 digits. After a short delay your call will go through. You may avoid this delay by dialing the 7 digit number + 0.
- to any phone number in the 626 area code overlay level (the first child level of the overlay area):
  - You must dial all new 626 area code telephone numbers as the 7 digit number + 1.
- to phone numbers in area codes outside of the 818/626 overlay area:
   Dial 1 + area code + 7 digits the same as you would before the overlay went into effect.

For calls made from area codes outside of the 818/626 overlay area:

to any area code within the 818/626 overlay area:
 Dial 1 + area code + 7 digits - the same as you would before the overlay went into effect.

# Conclusion:

This plan addresses customers' objections to using overlays which they fear would result in confusion and/or the inconvenience of having to dial 11 digits just to call across the street.

To ease the public's transition to overlays, simple 7 digit dialing to all existing parent level numbers is maintained (this is also a benefit for children and automatic dialing systems).

The plan allows for abbreviated '7 digit + suffix' dialing from and to any phone within the entire overlay area, without affecting how 1 + 10 digit calls 'out-of', 'into', or within the overlay area are handled. It is expandable to 10 levels (0-9) of overlay within a single geographic dialing area, allowing for painless addition of many new numbers in the future.

Additionally, the new style of directory listings won't be a disadvantage for new businesses.

For the public, this plan will have the psychological appeal of being a new 'high tech' solution to the challenges presented by splits and standard overlays. It answers all of the public's concerns about overlays, and will leave citizens and businesses with a feeling that something is finally being done to protect them from the expense and disruption that traditionally comes with area code exhaust and relief.

When the advantages of this plan are weighed against the disadvantages of area code splits and standard implementations of overlays (expense, disruption, confusion, inconvenience, permanent impact on the size of geographic dialing areas, etc.), this uniform dialing plan for overlays clearly makes sense as a solution for both the short and the long term.

This system can be applied to any area that is faced with the need to introduce an overlay. If this system becomes a standard, over time large areas of North America would be able to locally take advantage of this plan without affecting how any 'out of area' or 'into area' dialing and switching is handled.

#### Illustrations:

Using the 818/626 area code as an example, the attached diagrams illustrate how dialing patterns are impacted by various forms of area code relief.

- Figure 1A shows the established dialing patterns in an area code prior to implementing relief.
- Figure 2A shows how an area code split disrupts established dialing patterns.
- Figure 3A shows how a <u>standard overlay</u> impacts established dialing patterns and how its overlay levels are not united by a distinctive dialing plan.
- Figure 4A shows that <u>The Unified Dialing Plan for Overlays</u> is non-disruptive to
  established dialing patterns AND unifies all levels of the overlay area with a simple 8
  digit dialing system.

Submitted by:
Gilbert Yablon
The Unified Dialing Plan for Overlays
21914 Dumetz Rd.
Woodland Hills, CA 91364
818-999-1070-0 - (voice)
818-956-2200-0 - (alt. voice)
818-956-3298-0 - (fax)





Fig.1: Communities Involved



Fig.1A: Dialing Patterns



This map shows the established dialing patterns of an area code before being impacted by area code relief. These dialing patterns will be disrupted by either a split or a standard overlay.



Fig.2: Communities Involved





Fig.2A: Dialing Patterns

	in the same of the
	Dialing Options
	7 Digit Dialing
^	Within 818
(4)	123-4567
10	
1	or
$\Diamond$	
((a))	Within 626
10	123-4567
V	
	11 Digit Dialing
	Into Area
->	1+818+123-4567
	1+626+123-4567
	Out of Area
->	1+NXX+123-4567
	Between 818/626
	1+818+123-4567
	1+626+123-4567

Implementing a split greatly impacts dialing for calls both within and into the original NPA. This method of relief is expensive for business and disruptive to all customers, both within and outside of the affected area.

1997 Silbert Yabler





**Dialing Options** 

7 Digit Dialing
Thought to be impractical for
this Overlay Method

Mandatory 11 Digit Dialing Into Area

1+818+123-4567

⇒ or 1+626+123-4567


Out of Area 1+NXX+123-4567


Within 818/626 Area


1+818+123-4567

→ or 1+626+123-4567

With abbreviated dialing abandoned, the overlay levels are not unified by a distinctive dialing plan. The concern that this mix of area codes will cause hardship and confusion for citizens has prevented overlays from becoming widely accepted.







This overlay method provides for long term relief AND maintains the intregity of the original dialing area by:

1) Preserving established 7 digit dialing to all parent level numbers from any level within the overlay area.

2) Unifying all levels of the overlay with a simple 8 digit (7+suffix) dialing system.
3) Allowing for optional (not mandatory) 11 digit dialing between levels of the overlay.

# FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS ABOUT

# "THE UNIFIED DIALING PLAN FOR OVERLAYS"

Submitted to the INC on 7/13/98 by:

Gilbert Yablon The Unified Dialing Plan for Overlays 21914 Dumetz Rd. Woodland Hills, CA 91364

818-999-1070-0 - (voice) 818-956-2200-0 - (alt. voice) 818-956-3298-0 - (fax) UNIFIEDdpo@aol.com - email

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

Pa	age:
Introduction	.1
What prompted development of the Unified Dialing Plan for Overlays?	.1
What is the Unified Dialing Plan for Overlays?	.2
Functionally, how does the UDPFO work?	.2
Are you saying that customers in the original area code will be able to dial 7 digits to make calls, but people in the overlaid area codes will have to dial 8?	.3
The UDPFO seems to offer a dialing advantage to customers in the "original" area code of the overlay - isn't that a violation of the FCC's mandate for dialing parity in overlays?	.3
The UDPFO describes a 7 digit default dialing method which can only reach phone numbers in the original area code - isn't that a clear violation of dialing parity?	.5
How can a plan like this be implemented locally (on a case by case basis) without interfering with, or requiring changes to, the rest of the telephone network?	.6
Won't this system cause chaos among CPE manufactures? It seems like everyone would have to change equipment to accommodate this plan	.7
Won't on demand features such as Auto Call Return, Busy Number Redial and other enhanced features be severely impacted by the need for additional digits?	.7
Won't the increase in real time processor delay inherent in this plan have severe impacts on the existing public network and possibly have dire impacts in the future? I am concerned that any increase in call delay will stress the network and render ineffective the gains in efficiency the CCS/SS7 Network provides	.7
Won't the non sequential digit analysis of this plan place undue delays in the provisioning of Local Number Portability?	.8
Won't the post digit analysis cause a further increase in the time required to process a call?	.8
The Federal Communication Commission and the California Public Utilities Commission require 10 digit dialing with an overlay of an area code. Further, the Industry Numbering Committee has endorsed 10 digit dialing as a standard, and it is expected that there will be a need to move beyond the 10 digit format arrangement in the future to possibly 12 digits. Doesn't this render the Unified Dialing Plan for Overlays unusable and unworkable?	.8
Wouldn't any dialing plan change of this kind have to be agreed upon by the entire North American Telecommunications Industry?	.8
What about the effect of this plan on Computer Telephony Integration (CTI) products such as computer software dialing programs, dial-in/dial-back security systems and credit card validation systems. Won't adaptation by the developer be required to accommodate this plan?	.9
Won't the insertion of any delay in the completion of calls cause customer confusion, increase trouble reports and increase customer dissatisfaction?	.9

hate to have the number in the original area code that corresponded to a cab company in the new area code. Every night at bar closing time I could get dozens (or hundreds) of calls from callers who dial 1 digit every 10 seconds and then slur their request for a cab and won't admit that they dialed a wrong number.	.,.9
My Public Utilities Commission has already examined the feasibility of using timing in other dialing scenarios, and has rejected it. Is the use of timing in this plan different? If so, what distinguishes timing in this plan from other attempts to implement it?	10
How well would this plan work for long distance calls? Customers would not be used to the new overlaid area code since they wouldn't have to dial it internally, and instead of dialing 1-732-949-1000 to get that number they are likely to dial 1-908-949-1000-1, prompting a wrong number. Won't this be problematic?	1
Does this plan offer a permissive dialing period?	12
Wouldn't a massive re-education campaign be required and need to be on-going since out-of-towners would not know about 8 digit dialing?	12
How would the translation be implemented by phone company equipment? Are you asking carriers to read the 8th digit and prefix, in the switch, to derive the correct area code?	13
How are things like the Dallas retroactive overlay handled? How about if the retroactive overlay was not done, but an overlay over two existing NPAs was done?	13
Won't problems arise from the fact that the number the customer knows is not the number that the customer is identified with in the PSAP (911) database?	14
Switches are set to look at an initial digit string. Wouldn't it be expensive to build the features necessary to do what the UDPFO suggests in looking at the first 3, plus the last 1 to determine the area code?	14
Things would get very interesting where digits had to be outpulsed to a PBX; this is done with a delete and prefix. Wouldn't this create a problem in a UDPFO scenario?	14
Won't some interesting (and expensive) recording and billing system changes be required by this plan?	14
Wouldn't there be lots of problems with getting people to do the timing reliably? It seems as though the failure cases would keep the PUC up at night with complaints	15
How does this plan fix the competitive issue? For instance: If I the CLEC get a code in the child NPA, folks need to do something special to get to my customers, i.e. dial that funky suffix or dial 1+10 digits. Won't this be a competitive disadvantage for my company?	15
Why should the industry take the time to give serious consideration to this plan?	15
UDPFO Conclusion	15
How to contact the UDPFO	16

#### Introduction:

The Unified Dialing Plan for Overlays (UDPFO) is a non-disruptive, consumer friendly, competitively neutral solution for area code relief. In addition to supporting all of the features found in standard overlay implementations, the plan offers an advanced 8 digit dialing system for overlay groupings, and is backward compatible with all established dialing patterns to existing pre-relief phone numbers. Some of the techniques and ideas offered in the plan involve "outside of the box" thinking, which has raised some initial concerns among regulators and telecom officials. However, a closer examination of the issues, and how this plan addresses them, will demonstrate that the UDPFO is workable, easy to use, and solves the problems that are typically associated with area code relief. The following discussion is offered as clarification to questions which have been asked about the plan.

#### What prompted development of the Unified Dialing Plan for Overlays?

Current solutions for area code relief (splits and standard overlays) create, rather than solve, problems for customers. Recently, the widespread use of these methods has resulted in what can readily be described as a "National Area Code Disaster." Our dialing landscape has been damaged, and this damage has been expensive and disruptive for businesses and consumers. Some of the impacts from current relief methods are outlined below.

#### Impacts from implementing an area code split:

An area code split forces half of the customers in the affected area to give up their existing phone numbers, cuts abbreviated (7 digit) dialing areas in half, and permanently disrupts established dialing patterns both within the affected area and into the affected area from everywhere else in the world. The overall cost just for mopping up after a single area code split is estimated to be over 40 million dollars (this includes updating signs and stationary, reprogramming of burglar alarms, fire alarms and other auto dialing systems, notifying customers and friends of number changes, modifying local phone switches, etc.). This reshuffling of phone numbers creates confusion for customers, resulting in numerous missed calls and misdialed phone numbers for many years to come.

#### Impacts from implementing a standard overlay:

Using standard overlays for relief also creates hardship for customers. Existing dialing patterns are disrupted for <u>all</u> customers in the affected area. Seven (7) digit abbreviated dialing is abandoned for less convenient (and very unpopular and controversial) 10 digit dialing. For <u>all</u> calls in a standard overlay scenario, the area code must be dialed before the 7 digit number, creating difficulty for customers — especially children, handicapped persons and the elderly. Many people have expressed concern that dialing multiple area codes for calls within a single geographic region will create a feeling of disunity for the area. The shift from 7 digit to 10 digit dialing also necessitates reprogramming for all burglar alarms, fire alarms and other automatic dialing systems which had previously been programmed with 7 digit numbers. Incomplete calls will occur when 7 digits are dialed without the area code, and it is likely that the wrong area code will often be used by mistake (this happens today even where area codes are not overlaid). And, the likelihood of misdialing at least one out of 10 or 11 digits is significantly greater than when only 7 digits are dialed (expect an increase of 42% for 10 digits and 57% for 1+10 digits).

By disrupting established dialing patterns, area code splits and standard overlays cause hardship and confusion for customers, and prompt numerous misdials and wrong numbers.

It would be desirable if area code relief could be achieved with a minimum of disruption and expense. For a solution to be "preferred" it would have to satisfy both the needs of the customer and the requirements of the telecommunications industry.

#### For customers, a preferred solution would:

- 1) Be consumer friendly, non-disruptive and competitively neutral.
- 2) Allow customers to keep their existing area codes and phone numbers.
- 3) Preserve all dialing patterns to established phone numbers (backward compatibility).
- 4) Minimize impact on children, handicapped persons and the elderly.
- 5) Avoid costly reprogramming of existing burglar alarms, fire alarms and other auto-dialers.
- 6) Save consumers, businesses and telephone companies money overall.
- 7) Provide long term, non-disruptive relief to exhausted area codes.

#### For the telecom industry, a preferred solution must:

- 1) Offer benefit to customers.
- 2) Be competitively neutral.
- 3) Be technically workable.
- 4) Be cost effective to implement.
- 5) Be built on top of the existing network so that past investment is not discarded.
- 6) Limit all costs and changes in "dialing, switching and programming" to the geographic area in which the solution is implemented.

It would be hard to argue against an alternative which met these specifications, yet it is natural that controversy might surround it.

#### What is the Unified Dialing Plan for Overlays?

The Unified Dialing Plan for Overlays (UDPFO) is a non-disruptive solution for area code relief which is both competitively neutral and consumer friendly. It provides a technically workable alternative for area code relief which minimizes hardship to local business and the public.

New numbers can be added to a geographic area without changing the way that existing numbers are dialed. Established 7 and 1+10 digit dialing patterns are maintained and a new 8 digit option is enabled which allows for abbreviated dialing within and between all area codes in the geographic overlay area.

Further, the plan demonstrates how dialing parity (mandated by the FCC) can be maintained in an overlay without requiring the full 10 digit (or actually 1 + 10 digit) phone number to be dialed.

Many of the ideas presented in the UDPFO might, at first glance, seem to call upon techniques which previously (and for good reason) have been rejected by the Telecommunications Industry and Public Utilities Commissions. But a closer examination of the plan demonstrates that these techniques, as proposed here, solve rather than create problems.

The "customer concerns" and "competitive issues" which the plan addresses were derived directly from transcripts of public hearings on area code relief. After numerous discussions with telecommunications officials, followed by multiple redesigns of the plan, the UDPFO has been evaluated as "technically workable" by several industry experts (including a former co-chair of the Industry Numbering Committee). In addition, the cost of implementing the plan for an affected region may well be less than the overall cost (cost to business, cost to public and cost to telecom industry) of an area code split.

#### Functionally, how does the UDPFO work?

In brief, the Unified Dialing Plan for Overlays consists of a dialing system which:

1) Allows for optional (not mandatory) 1+10 digit dialing within and between all area codes in the geographic overlay area.

UDPFOfaq.doc 2 of 16 7/11/98

- 2) Unifies all area codes in the geographic overlay area with a simple 8 digit (7+suffix) dialing system. The suffix represents one of ten possible overlaid area codes with '0' being the original area code, '1' being the first overlaid area code, '2' being a future second overlaid area code, etc. After the phone company's equipment receives the full 8 digits, it translates the number into a traditional 10 digit number (3 digit area code + 7 digit phone number) and passes it through the network as if the number had been originally dialed as 1+10.
- 3) Preserves established '7 digit style' dialing (see explanation below of how this <u>default dialing</u> is accomplished by using a 'timing delay') to all phone numbers in the original area code from any area code within the geographic overlay area.

Functionally the network switch will be looking for either 1+10 style numbers or 7+suffix style numbers. With default dialing, if only 7 digits are dialed, after an appropriate timing delay the switch will assume that '0' is the intended 8th digit and will put the call through to the original area code of the overlay area. In this way backward compatibility is achieved for dialing to 'prerelief phone numbers' from any area code in the geographic overlay area, and the system appears completely non-disruptive to the customer.

It should be noted that while numbers in all of the area codes within the geographic overlay area can be reached by dialing either '7+suffix' or '1+10', the '7 digit default' dialing option (which always requires a timing delay) applies only to numbers in the original area code and only under default conditions. On the surface this would seem to offer preferential dialing to certain numbers, however, on closer examination it becomes clear that very few people would intentionally take advantage of this option. Because of the timing delay when only 7 digits are dialed, customers will quickly opt for dialing the 8th digit (in this case '0') in order to complete calls in the most expedient way. This 7 digit option is really only provided in order to ease the transition into an overlay scenario, and to act as a safety net for old "7 digit style" calls which would otherwise be lost. It provides an extremely long permissive dialing period, ensures that any phone number that had been dialed with 7 digits in the past could still be dialed the same way, and minimizes the need to immediately update auto dialers and databases. More than likely, (a short time after implementation of the UDPFO), 7 digit calls will only be initiated by auto dialers which had been programmed pre-relief (i.e. alarm system auto dialers) which are typically difficult or costly for their owners to update.

Are you saying that customers in the original area code will be able to dial 7 digits to make calls, but people in the overlaid area codes will have to dial 8?

No. Everyone can dial every number in the overlay area with 8 digits. Seven digit default dialing is also available to all customers for reaching numbers in the original area code. This feature is provided as courtesy to customers who already have phones and services in the original area code. It provides a way to not have to reprogram pre-relief auto dialers. When only 7 digits are dialed, after a significant delay the call will default through to the original area code. This is a safety net to catch 7 digit calls that would otherwise be lost.

The UDPFO seems to offer a dialing advantage to customers in the "original" area code of the overlay isn't that a violation of the FCC's mandate for dialing parity in overlays?

While some numbers in the UDPFO can be dialed with only 7 digits, the conclusion that this offers a dialing advantage and violates parity is in error. Two factors mitigate this:

1) Everyone in a UDPFO grouping (from any area code in the grouping) can dial these same numbers with any of the three dialing options (7 digit default, 8 digit or 1+10 digit).

UDPFOfag.doc 3 of 16 7/11/98

2) The timing delay which is always part of the 7 digit default option, counteracts any perceived dialing advantage. Customers will quickly migrate (from this inefficient 7 digit+delay method) to the plan's preferred 8 digit option. The 7 digit default is offered so that customers who already have an investment in the established dialing structure won't be disadvantaged by area code relief. Why would customers intentionally dial 7 digits and then waste several seconds, when they can simply dial one extra digit to avoid the delay? The default is only provided as a "safety net" to keep old style 7 digit calls (i.e. from alarm systems, auto dialers, and calls made under conditions of panic, emergency or lingering force of habit) from falling through the cracks.

#### A detailed discussion of the 7 digit vs. 8 digit issue follows

These questions should be asked: "What counts as a digit?" and/or "What length delay would be required before the 7 digit default is not seen as a dialing advantage?"

Would people really dial 7 digits and then wait 4 or 5 or 8 or 10 seconds just so they could avoid having to dial that "bothersome" 8th digit? In our fast paced society a 3 or 4 second delay should neutralize any perceived (or arguable) advantage that dialing only 7 digits provides.

In other words, how can a method of dialing which takes longer be considered to be a dialing advantage?

And, since everyone in the entire overlay area has equal access to the same dialing options that are available for reaching any specific number, how is this a disadvantage to anyone?

Other variations on overlay implementations which propose 7 digit dialing within individual area codes of an overlay but require 10 or 1+10 digits for dialing between those same area codes, do clearly violate of the FCC's mandate for dialing parity. In these scenarios, the dialing options for reaching any specific number are not equally available to all customers in the overlay area.

The Unified Dialing Plan for Overlays on the other hand, is in accord with the FCC mandate on parity. All of the area codes in the UDPFO are equally able to initiate calls with any of the options that are available for any specific number. There is no dialing advantage or disadvantage associated with either the original area code or any of the overlaid area codes. Customers from any area code within the geographic overlay area can dial the original area code with '7 digit default' (which always requires a timing delay) — but why would they want to, when they can make the call more expediently by simply dialing it as an 8 digit number (7digits+'0') and thus avoid the delay?

The '7 digit default' option is provided so that there is no <u>penalty</u> to people who already have phones and services in the original area code; who would otherwise be forced to immediately change dialing habits, update auto dialers and reprogram 'alarm type' systems from '7 digit' to '8 digit' or '1+10 digit' dialing.

The <u>preferred</u> dialing method in the UDPFO is the 8 digit method. Any telephone within the overlay area can be dialed from any area code in the overlay grouping with this simple, efficient method. Customers in a UDPFO area would quickly migrate to 8 digit dialing in order to avoid the delay which is always part of 7 digit default.

It is hard to imagine that someone in the original area code would advise friends, relatives or customers that they should use the 7 digit default method just so that they can enjoy the advantage of their calls taking several seconds longer to connect. If this were the case, a strong argument could be made for reissuing rotary dial telephones.

The following three examples further illustrate that there is no advantage to being in any particular area code in a UDPFO scenario:

#### Customer A:

This customer already has a phone in the original area code before the UDPFO is implemented. Because people had called Customer A with 7 digits in the past, there is a likelihood that he might still receive calls of this nature in the future because of: force of habit after years of people knowing and using his 7 digit number, and pre-programmed auto dialers that might not have been updated to 8 digit or 1+10 digit for this number. The UDPFO will keep these types of calls from falling through the cracks, allowing them to complete after a designated timing delay.

If the customer already has existing 7 digit numbers entered in his auto dialer, burglar alarm, fire alarm or other automated dialing system, the UDPFO offers another advantage over other forms of area code relief. Since all pre-relief dialing patterns to existing numbers are maintained by the UDPFO, customers will not be forced to immediately update these systems (although they may choose to in order to avoid the timing delay).

#### Customer B:

Looking for new service after UDPFO – this customer gets a number in the original area code. No one has ever used 7 digits to call this customer at this number, so there is no force of habit and no preprogrammed auto dialers that need to be updated for this number. This customer will be given his new number as (NXX) NXX-XXXX-0, and that is how he will tell people to call him. The ability to dial this number with 7 digits will not be expected or missed. More than likely if this number were dialed as only 7 digits it would be because it had been intended for a previous owner of that number.

Customer B will enjoy the same advantages as Customer A in terms of his own auto dialers. Any previously programmed devices the customer moves to this new number (from any area code in the grouping) will not need reprogramming.

#### Customer C

Looking for new service after UDPFO – this customer gets service in the **new overlaid** area code. Same situation as Customer B above. This customer's number will be (NXX) NXX-XXXX-1. No one will have ever tried to dial this number with 7 digits in the past, and there is no reason or logic to why anyone would do it now. To any person dialing this number, the '1' suffix will be as much a part of this number as the 7th digit of a traditional number is today.

Customer C will enjoy the same advantages as Customers A and B in terms of his own auto dialers. Any previously programmed devices the customer moves to this new number (from any area code in the grouping) will not need reprogramming.

#### Customers A, B and C:

All will enjoy the same dialing advantages:

When calling someone in the original area code, they can all use 8 digit, 1+10 digit or 7 digit default (which always requires a delay).

When calling someone in the new overlaid area code, they can all use 8 digit or 1+10 digit.

The 7 digit default safety net offers an important advantage over other forms of area code relief: customers are not penalized or put in jeopardy by a change to their dialing plan. Area code splits and standard overlays do not offer this advantage.

The UDPFO describes a 7 digit default dialing method which can only reach phone numbers in the original area code - isn't that a clear violation of dialing parity?

Again, the 7 digit default 'safety net' feature of the UDPFO does not violate dialing parity.

UDPFOfaq.doc 5 of 16 7/11/98

The most efficient method for dialing any number within the overlay grouping is the 8 digit option, and this method is available to all customers in the overlay area for dialing every number in the area.

The 7 digit default (which always requires a delay) is less efficient, but is provided to help minimize disruption to customers who already have phones and services in the original area code.

Clearly, if the less efficient 7 digits + delay method were the <u>only</u> option for reaching customers in the original area code (while all other area codes in the overlay group could be reached more efficiently by dialing 8 digits), <u>the original area code would have an undeniable dialing disadvantage</u>.

On the other hand, if the 7 digit default method is not provided, people who already have numbers in the original area code will suffer more disruption than people who later obtain service in either the new area code or the original area code.

I trust regulators will conclude that the intent and function of the 7 digit default is to minimize hardship for people who already have service, and that no "dialing advantage", or violation of "dialing parity" is generated by this feature.

How can a plan like this be implemented locally (on a case by case basis) without interfering with, or requiring changes to, the rest of the telephone network?

Although there will be a change in the way that numbers may be dialed (7 digits, 8 digits or 1+10 digits), once in the network all calls are handled as traditional 10 digit numbers, which is already the network standard in overlay situations. Because all calls are translated into 10 digits, the UDPFO is able to solve local dialing issues without affecting "dialing to" or "dialing from" any outside area codes. It can be adopted on a situation by situation basis, and would have no impact on dialing or switching for the rest of the telephone network.

To the network as a whole, a UDPFO implementation will look like a standard overlay grouping. Each of the area codes in the group will have telephone numbers that are known to the network as standard 3 digit area codes followed by standard 7 digit telephone numbers. The new suffix digit is only significant when dialing 7 or 8 digit numbers within the geographic overlay area. The 8th digit, when dialed, functions as an area code selector (or indicator) and is used by telephone company equipment to translate the number into a standard 3 digit area code + 7 digit telephone number. Outside of a UDPFO grouping, there will be no impact on the normal functioning of the network, because all dialing and switching into and out of the grouping will be done with industry standard 10 digit numbers (the same as the current standard for dialing across area code boundaries).

How to best integrate the UDPFO into the existing telephone network is really a matter for the industry to resolve, but I would like to suggest that there may be more than one approach worth considering. It was suggested to me (by a Lead Programmer for the Advanced Intelligent Network) that it might be relatively easy to implement this system if it were handled as a <u>custom calling service</u>. Though custom calling services are normally preceded by "\*XX" ("star X X" -- like "star 69" for call return), wouldn't applying the following logic be able to automatically trigger the custom service without having to first dial the "\*XX"?:

- 1) Since any calls beginning with a "0" or a "1" would not be of the <u>7 digit default</u> or <u>8 digit (7+X)</u> type, these calls could be released directly to the network without special handling.
- 2) The switch could then assume that any call (in a UDPFO area) which begins with "2" "9",

UDPFOfaq.doc 6 of 16 7/11/98

might be a 7 or 8 digit call, and would automatically trigger the custom service for handling these types of calls -- eliminating the need for first dialing "\*XX". The service would then "watch" as digits were entered, and "decide" what to do with any given number. Naturally 411, 911 and other special services would immediately be forwarded along after only 3 digits are entered. Seven (7) digit calls would not be released until after the timing delay, and both 7 and 8 digit calls would be translated into the proper 3 digit area code + 7 digit phone number before entering the network.

PLEASE NOTE: the above is only suggested as one possible way to implement the UDPFO, based on input from a Lead Programmer of the Advanced Intelligent Network for a major local service provider. There may be other, better ways of accomplishing the same thing, and the industry as a whole is welcome to make suggestions on this.

### Won't this system cause chaos among CPE manufactures? It seems like everyone would have to change equipment to accommodate this plan.

No, that will not be the case. One of the main advantages of this plan is that it minimizes the impact on devices such as speed dialers, burglar alarms, and auto dialers because it eliminates the need to reprogram them when the numbers they have been set up to dial are split off into a new area code, or when 7 digit numbers need converting to 1+10 (as would be the case if a standard overlay were implemented). In most cases, a PBX or telephone key system would be unaffected by the UDPFO since the suffix logic is handled at the network switch level. At worst, a PBX software change might be necessary to allow the release of the 8th digit. This might actually be less disruptive to a PBX than the changes that are necessary after a traditional area code split. Any problems that are caused may well be less significant than those created by recent changes that have been made to the NANP, i.e. PBX problems caused by 2-9 being used for the second digit of an area code and 0-1 being used for the second digit of a prefix.

### Won't on demand features such as Auto Call Return, Busy Number Redial and other enhanced features be severely impacted by the need for additional digits?

No. All 7 and 8 digit entries will be translated to 10 digit numbers before switching begins. Ten digits is the same protocol that phone numbers have been know to the network since the 1940's. It seems hard to imagine that there would be any impact on services that have already been designed to use 10 digit numbers.

Won't the increase in real time processor delay inherent in this plan have severe impacts on the existing public network and possibly have dire impacts in the future? I am concerned that any increase in call delay will stress the network and render ineffective the gains in efficiency the CCS/SS7 Network provides.

Any delay that would occur would happen prior to connection to the CCS/SS7 network and would have no impact on the efficiency of the CCS/SS7 network. The industry needs to define what the 'severe impacts on the existing public network' would be and provide some examples of 'dire impacts in the future'.

Also, as explained earlier, very few calls will actually be intentionally made using the '7 digit default' method -- which requires a delay. Most calls within the geographic overlay area will be initiated with the '7+suffix' method which avoids the delay. With traditional relief alternatives, a large number of calls that previously only required 7 digit dialing would afterwards require dialing 1+10 digits. A better question might be "how much stress is put on the network by the additional time

UDPFOfaq.doc 7 of 16 7/11/98

required to dial 1+10 digits after a split or a standard overlay is implemented?" It may very well be that the 7+suffix method of the UDPFO would result in an overall network time savings compared to 1+10 digit dialing. The network would save the time it takes for dialing those 3 extra digits.

Won't the non sequential digit analysis of this plan place undue delays in the provisioning of Local Number Portability?

As stated earlier nothing in what I am proposing changes the 10-digit format and therefore should have no impact on Local Number Portability. As currently proposed, Local Number Portability is simply intended to allow a customer to change his/her carrier without requiring a number change.

Won't the post digit analysis cause a further increase in the time required to process a call?

Answering this question will require a further analysis (by the industry) which should include the timing differences between the various dialing scenarios.

The Federal Communication Commission and the California Public Utilities Commission require 10 digit dialing with an overlay of an area code. Further, the Industry Numbering Committee has endorsed 10 digit dialing as a standard, and it is expected that there will be a need to move beyond the 10 digit format arrangement in the future to possibly 12 digits. Doesn't this render the Unified Dialing Plan for Overlays unusable and unworkable?

This plan introduces new ideas which challenge the necessity of using 1+10 digits in order to maintain dialing parity in an overlay situation. In the Unified Dialing Plan, dialing parity is provided with only 8 digits.

The INC's work in promoting uniform 10 digit dialing as a standard is to be applauded - it ensures that one method of dialing will work for all calls anywhere in the North American Numbering Plan. However, it does not exclude other local methods of dialing from co-existing with it. The UDPFO does transparently co-exist with 1+10 digit dialing.

The 12 digit format that is planned for the future does not necessarily render this plan unusable and unworkable. In addition, it is my understanding that Local Number Portability and other actions to conserve the existing resource should delay expansion until well into the next century. However, even with expansion, if thought is given to maintaining the same backward compatibility that the Unified Dialing Plan offers for overlays, this plan can very likely co-exist with a plan requiring any new number of digits.

Wouldn't any dialing plan change of this kind have to be agreed upon by the entire North American Telecommunications Industry?

Not necessarily. Because the changes that are required for this plan are isolated within the single area where it is being implemented, it does not have any effect on the industry as a whole. The beauty of this plan is that it solves local dialing issues and does not affect 'dialing to' or 'dialing from' any 'outside' area codes. It could be adopted on a situation by situation basis, and would have no impact on dialing or switching for the rest of the telephone network. Universal agreement would be great, but it is unnecessary for implementation of this local solution.

What about the effect of this plan on Computer Telephony Integration (CTI) products such as computer software dialing programs, dial-in/dial-back security systems and credit card validation systems. Won't adaptation by the developer be required to accommodate this plan?

There should be no effect on CTI products since all numbers will still be known to the network as 10 digit numbers, and will be still be reported by network Caller ID type systems to telephony equipment as those same 10 digit numbers. Any device on the receiving end of a call would recognize the caller in the same way that it always has.

Won't the insertion of any delay in the completion of calls cause customer confusion, increase trouble reports and increase customer dissatisfaction?

Call completion delays will not be an issue. As stated before, the 8 digit option would be the preferred (or dominant) dialing method in a UDPFO scenario. The '7 digit default' dialing option (which does require the timing delay) is really only offered to facilitate transition to an overlay, and it eliminates the need to immediately reprogram auto dialers and alarm systems which can be extremely time consuming and expensive to the customer (especially businesses). In a short time customers will (on their own) start using the more expedient 8 digit option.

Wouldn't this plan prompt a significant increase in wrong numbers? For example: I would hate to have the number in the original area code that corresponded to a cab company in the new area code. Every night at bar closing time I could get dozens (or hundreds) of calls from callers who dial 1 digit every 10 seconds and then slur their request for a cab and won't admit that they dialed a wrong number.

It is interesting that you have cited this particular example. Does this imply that a drunk will always dial correctly <u>unless</u> he is dialing in a UDPFO scenario? In practice, all of the other solutions for area code relief will create similar or worse problems under the same conditions. After an area code split, will a drunk (or anyone for that matter) always remember which area code he is in, and what if any area code he needs to dial for his intended connection? After a standard overlay isn't it more likely that a person will have a harder time negotiating 10 or 11 digits, compared to only 8 which would be available for all numbers in a UDPFO grouping?

What about an emergency situation (after a split or a standard overlay where dialing patterns have been completely disrupted), and the emergency call does not go through because the dialer has panicked and can't remember the new way to dial a number that used to be valid with just 7 digits? The UDPFO provides a "safety net" for these types of calls, by preserving all existing dialing patterns, while the other methods of relief do not.

The potential for misdials exists for all methods of area code relief, but with the UDPFO there is less potential for disaster.

Yes, there may be a problem with misdials, but likely not any greater a problem than other forms of relief currently present, and with some creative problem solving, the likelihood of misdials could be minimized. Here are some thoughts I offer on how this might be addressed:

1) When the UDPFO overlay is first implemented, there will not be many numbers in the new area code (same as a standard overlay), so the chances of too short of a delay causing a misdial early on is very low. This might justify a relatively short timing delay at first implementation — say 3 to 4 seconds. As the months go by — if warranted by frequent misdials — the delay could be gradually increased as the new area code gets more populated.

- 2) Or, a few seconds after 7 digits have been entered, a recorded message could play that would give instructions on how to input the 8th digit -- "for 818 area code enter 0, for 626 area code enter 1," etc. After a few more seconds it might say "at the tone, your call will default to the 818 area code..... beep".
- 3) Or, if certain numbers were consistently misdialed, those numbers might be selectively blocked from 7 digit default dialing or people could request that they never receive any 7 digit default calls. This would not harm the functionality of the plan. These customers would still be able to dial with 7 digit default (protecting auto dialers, burglar alarms, fire alarms, etc.), but their own number would no longer be reachable that way.
- 4) Or, it could be that the 7 digit default method will only serve as a transition device during the first 6 months to 1 year of implementation. If misdials do become problematic, it could be phased out altogether. Though this would defeat the non-disruptive intent of the UDPFO, it would still leave the overlay area with a very functional and efficient 8 digit abbreviated dialing plan.
- 5) Or, on the other hand, there may turn out to be no problem, so none of these modifications would need to be made.

These are just a few suggestions — they may or may not be easy to implement. The point is that there are many ways to address this potential problem — if it turns out to be a problem at all.

My Public Utilities Commission has already examined the feasibility of using timing in other dialing scenarios, and has rejected it. Is the use of timing in this plan different? If so, what distinguishes timing in this plan from other attempts to implement it?

Yes, the way that timing is implemented in the UDPFO is different from other dialing scenarios.

Timing provides a method for dialing telephone numbers of varying length, but it is usually problematic for two main reasons:

- A delay that is too short can increase the number of misdials. This occurs when customers are not given enough time to enter the extra digits and the phone company switch assumes dialing to the shorter number is complete.
- 2) A delay that is long enough to minimize misdials can cause customers who have dialed the shorter number to abandon calls, concluding that something is wrong because their call is taking unusually long to connect.

Clearly misdials must be avoided, but these two opposing criteria make it difficult or impossible to implement the optimum timing delay when the primary (or only method) for dialing the shorter number depends on timing.

In a UDPFO implementation, the primary method for dialing all numbers in the overlay area is the longer "8 digit" method. This "8 digit" method is also the preferred - or recommended - dialing method for all calls. This is fortunate because it allows the timing delay to be long enough to avoid misdials. The shorter "7 digit" method of dialing is a "default" option, which is triggered only after the likelihood of a misdial has been minimized by an appropriately long timing delay. The appropriate length for this delay should be determined by the industry, but it will likely be somewhere between 3 - 7 seconds. This "7 digit default" option is provided as a "safety net", intended to catch calls that, for whatever reason, are not being dialed with the primary (or preferred) "8 digit" method. This "safety net" will allow automated dialing systems (such as burglar alarms, fire

UDPFOfaq.doc

alarms, auto dialers, etc.) to complete calls to pre-relief phone numbers without costly reprogramming. The "safety net" is also helpful for children, the elderly and the handicapped (or anyone for that matter), who might find it difficult to always remember to dial old (established pre-relief) numbers in the new way. It also provides a mechanism for an extremely long permissive dialing period during transition to the UDPFO's 8 digit preferred dialing method.

#### To recap:

Within the dialing plans of other scenarios using timing, there is usually only one method for correctly dialing a particular long or short number - the long numbers must be entered before the timing delay elapses, while the short numbers must wait until after the delay is complete. Implementing the optimum timing delay to efficiently accommodate these two requirements can be problematic.

In a UDPFO scenario timing issues are mitigated by these factors:

- 1) There are multiple methods for customers to dial every number.
- 2) All numbers can be dialed quickly with 8 digits (the "primary" or "recommended" dialing method), which avoids waiting for the delay.
- 3) All numbers can also be reached with 1+10 or 0+10 also avoiding the delay.
- 4) The "7 digit default" option (which does require the delay) is intended to serve as a courtesy to people who already have phones and services in the original area code, and eliminates the expense and hardship of having to quickly conform systems and habits to the new dialing plan.
- 5) The timing delay could be extremely long (if necessary to avoid misdials), since the 7 digit default option is not the primary (or preferred) method of dialing.
- 6) Because the delay can be significant, the timing delay could even contain recorded instructions as to how to use it (if found to be necessary).
- 7) If the delay is too long, a customer can always enter a "0" at the end of the 7 digit number and avoid the delay it will still reach the same number: 7 digits + delay = 7 digits + 0.
- 8) The timing mechanism can be avoided altogether by dialing with the 1+ 10 option, if that is how a customer prefers to dial.

How well would this plan work for long distance calls? Customers would not be used to the new overlaid area code since they wouldn't have to dial it internally, and instead of dialing 1-732-949-1000 to get that number they are likely to dial 1-908-949-1000-1, prompting a wrong number. Won't this be problematic?

The UDPFO offers an evolved dialing system which allows all calls made within the UDPFO geographic area to be dialed by using only 8 digits. This would hold true for both local and long distance calls. If you choose to dial 1+10 digits for local or long distance calls within the grouping you may, but it would not be mandatory.

When dialing to an area code <u>outside</u> of the UDPFO grouping, it would be necessary to dial 1+10 digits. This is no different than how the network currently works. Customers must commit these foreign area codes to memory in any dialing scenario.

Customers dialing into a UDPFO grouping from a foreign area code would also have to remember the various area codes, but this is also no different than when dialing into a standard overlay grouping.

In traditional NPAs with 7 digit internal dialing, people are aware of their own area code even though they don't use it for day to day calls. When they leave their NPA and call home, they typically remember to dial their area code first, followed by their 7 digit number — if they forget to dial the area code a wrong number will result in these cases as well.

There is no reason to believe that people in the new level of a UDPFO overlay would be any less aware of their own new area code than people in traditional 7 digit NPAs.

This question should be further analyzed in comparison to the effects of area code splits and standard overlays.

After an area code split or a standard overlay implementation, people are also not used to the new area code, and there are many "wrong numbers" prompted in these cases, for instance:

#### After an area code split:

Because of force of habit, where people have had the same area code for many years:

- a) Customers with the new area code will often attempt to dial into the old area code by dialing only 7 digits, while customers in the old area code will make the same mistake for the new area code this is not a problem in the UDPFO, since area codes are not rearranged, and the 7 digit default method will accommodate force of habit for an extended period of time.
- b) Even when dialing from outside either of the "split" area codes (with 10 digit or 1+10 digit dialing), force of habit will continue to prompt wrong numbers into both area codes. In fact customers from all over the world are likely to make this mistake when calling into an NPA that has been split. These mistakes are far less likely to happen with a UDPFO or standard overlay situation, since the numbers in the original area code remain unchanged.
- c) Occasional callers of a customer who gets a new "split off" area code will continue to dial the customer's old area code for many years, and possibly loose contact with him altogether because of the area code change. Again, this type of mistake is far less likely to happen with a UDPFO or standard overlay situation.

Also, in a standard overlay implementation, will a person always remember to use the correct area code, or by force of habit will they use the original area code when making Long Distance calls even from inside the overlay area?

When a standard overlay is implemented, people from each of the overlay's area codes must always remember to dial it, which may or may not happen reliably -- especially at first. In the UDPFO, any number that has ever been dialed will always be dialable in the same manner it always has been, and by everybody in the entire overlay area.

#### Does this plan offer a permissive dialing period?

Yes, there is a permissive dialing period, and it is supported indefinitely by the 7 digit default feature of the UDPFO. The permissive period for calls originating from outside of the UDPFO grouping would be similar to that of a standard overlay.

Wouldn't a massive re-education campaign be required and need to be on-going since out-of-towners would not know about 8 digit dialing?

The non-disruptive nature of this plan actually simplifies the public education process. The "7 digit default" dialing option ensures that all pre-relief phone numbers can still be dialed the same way that they always have been from anywhere in the overlay area. All numbers can also be dialed with '1+10 digit' dialing, which is also nothing new to learn. The only new option is the '7 digit + X' suffix option, which is different, but not difficult to understand or learn. Public education is probably less of a challenge than in an area code split (where you can no longer reach certain numbers the way you have in the past) or in a standard overlay (where every number changes to 1+10, which is also different from what customers are accustomed to).

UDPFOfaq.doc 12 of 16 7/11/98

It is also important to examine the issue of out-of-towners in relation to area code splits and standard overlays.

In standard overlay areas out-of-towners also might not know about mandatory 10 digit or 1+10 digit dialing, being accustomed to dialing 7 digits within their own area code.

In a split situation, out-of-towners calling into the area from out of town might not be able to find the people they had always been able to reach before with the old area code.

Also, out-of-towners who came to town after a split and wanted to call someone who was in the new area code probably would not realize there was now a new area code, and would just dial 7 like they always had done, getting either a wrong number or a not in service message.

In the UDPFO, the out-of-towner has the best chance of dialing correctly. Any 7 digit number they had dialed in the past would still be valid (from any phone, regardless of the area code, in the UDPFO grouping). If they wanted to call any new number, they could simply dial with 1+10 digit dialing -- industry standard stuff. If they were aware of the 8 digit option they could also use that method. In the timing delay suggestions I outlined earlier, a recorded message could possibly act as a continuing reminder of how the 8 digit plan works.

### How would the translation be implemented by phone company equipment? Are you asking carriers to read the 8th digit and prefix, in the switch, to derive the correct area code?

Exactly how the translation is best accomplished is really a matter for the industry to resolve, and the cost of implementation will depend on the choices the industry makes for this deployment. An important question is this: How will these costs compare to the overall cost of a split or an overlay in terms of total expense and disruption to both the phone companies and the public? A single area code split costs local businesses between 20 and 40 million dollars, and the phone companies spend between 6 and 10 million per split. Would the cost of developing the software and installing switch upgrades for a UDPFO implementation be greater than the overall cost of an area code split? It is very possible that developing this technology could quickly pay off as an overall savings. So, while it is obvious that there is a cost associated with any change to the network, it is also obvious that it is not the only cost. The full answer to what those costs will be will have to wait until a fair assessment is done by the industry.

### How are things like the Dallas retroactive overlay handled? How about if the retroactive overlay was not done, but an overlay over two existing NPAs was done?

The UDPFO was designed to deliver the most benefit in situations where the overlay grouping consists of one original area code and one or more new overlaid area codes, all of which occupy the identical geographic area. However, the 8 digit "unified dialing" feature of the plan (for dialing within and between groups of related area codes) could benefit consumers in these other overlay scenarios as well. In these cases the 7 digit default feature would also be employed, but only as a short term "transitional" permissive dialing vehicle.

Where two existing NPAs are overlaid, the transition would take place in two phases.

Area Code A =either of the existing area codes

Area Code B =the other existing area code

Area Code C = the new overlay area code

Note: to avoid confusion with UDPFO scenarios where there is only one original area code, the '0' suffix should not be used in cases where there are two or more original area codes.

UDPFOfaq.doc 13 of 16 7/11/98

#### First:

- a) Before implementing the overlay, each of the two existing NPAs would separately migrate from 7 digit to 8 digit dialing using the 7 digit default as the transition vehicle (1+10 digit dialing within each area code would also be an enabled at this time).
- b) Area Code A would migrate to 7 digit+'1' suffix.
- c) Area Code B would migrate to 7 digit+'2' suffix.
- d) In either case, 7 digit calls (with a delay) would default to the area code of origin during the permissive period. Remember, in this scenario the two area codes are in separate geographic areas, so 7 digits can default to the area code of origin without confusion.
- e) During this period it might be possible to dial between the two area codes using either the 8 digit option or standard 1+10 digit dialing.

#### Second:

- a) At the end of the permissive dialing period, each of the existing area codes would have separately migrated to 8 digit or 1+10 digit dialing for all calls, and the 7 digit default method will no longer be available for either area code.
- b) At this point the 8 digit option would definitely be available for dialing between "Area Code A" and "Area Code B".
- c) The third area code would now be overlaid and could immediately use 8 digit or 1+10 digit dialing for all calls. The 8 digit numbers in this overlaid area code would be 7 digit+'3' suffix.
- d) Finally, all three area codes are *unified* with the 8 digit dialing option. Naturally 1+10 digit dialing would also be an available dialing method, if anyone cared to use it.

### Won't problems arise from the fact that the number the customer knows is not the number that the customer is identified with in the PSAP (911) database?

Drawing from my own experience, I have always dialed 7 digits in my local area, but I am still aware that my area code is 818. In a UDPFO scenario, the customer will think of his number as a 3 digit area code + 8 digit number. There is no reason why a person would not be aware of his/her full 11 digit number any more than people who are used to dialing 7 digits now would be unaware that their full numbers have 10 digits.

# Switches are set to look at an initial digit string. Wouldn't it be expensive to build the features necessary to do what the UDPFO suggests in looking at the first 3, plus the last 1 to determine the area code?

The plan may or may not be expensive to implement, but any discussion of costs must take into consideration the overall cost of not implementing this plan. As stated earlier, a single area code split costs business, the public and the telephone companies up to an estimated 50 million dollars each time — is this current expenditure for area code splits acceptable now? Would the costs to modify one NPA for a UDPFO scenario be greater than that?

### Things would get very interesting where digits had to be outpulsed to a PBX; this is done with a delete and prefix. Wouldn't this create a problem in a UDPFO scenario?

The PBX would receive the outpulsed numbers <u>after</u> they were translated to standard 3+7 digit numbers by the network. Therefore, no changes at all from current procedures would be necessary for outpulsing numbers to a PBX.

#### Won't some interesting (and expensive) recording and billing system changes be required by this plan?

No changes to billing systems will be required since all numbers are translated to the same industry standard 3+7 digit numbers which the billing systems are already designed to understand.

UDPFOfaq.doc 14 of 16 7/11/98

Wouldn't there be lots of problems with getting people to do the timing reliably? It seems as though the failure cases would keep the PUC up at night with complaints.

Possibly, but refer to the earlier question on this issue. As mentioned previously, this feature could be modified, phased out over time, or even left alone if it works fine as is. Also, make sure to evaluate the UDPFO in relation to the other forms of area code relief that are already in use. Splits and standard overlays provide new numbers to exhausted areas, but in the process they create many problems for customers. The UDPFO also provides new numbers, but minimizes hardship to the customer by solving many area code relief related problems. If there are some minor problems introduced by the UDPFO, they are far outweighed by the benefits it offers over the current area code relief methods.

How does this plan fix the competitive issue? For instance: If I the CLEC get a code in the child NPA, folks need to do something special to get to my customers, i.e. dial that funky suffix or dial 1+10 digits. Won't this be a competitive disadvantage for my company?

As pointed out earlier in great detail, people will not intentionally dial with the 7 digit default method (which always requires a timing delay), it is less efficient than dialing 8 digits, and it is probably also less efficient than dialing 1+10 digits. It is provided to act as a safety net — to protect people who might accidentally forget to dial 8 digits for the numbers they have known for many years as 7 digit numbers. It is also for use by auto dialers and burglar and fire alarms which have already been programmed, where updating those programs would be difficult or expensive for the customer. There is no competitive advantage or disadvantage to the 7 digit default — people will prefer to dial phone numbers as 8 digits (7+suffix) regardless of area code — it's just simply more efficient that way.

#### Why should the industry take the time to give serious consideration to this plan?

The ideas offered in the UDPFO are intended to solve the area code crisis with a minimum of disruption and hardship for the public. It has been offered in good faith as a serious solution by a citizen/business owner who has been impacted several times already with the disruption and expense of area code relief. It deserves to be evaluated with the same consideration with which it is presented.

#### UDPFO Conclusion:

The true purpose of this plan is to eliminate the expenses and hardships that customers have endured whenever area code relief has been necessary. By allowing backward compatibility for existing dialing patterns to pre-relief phone numbers, the UDPFO simplifies the public's transition to overlays and minimizes direct costs, hardships and confusion for the customer. The plan's 8 digit dialing option demonstrates how dialing parity can be maintained in an overlay without requiring the full 1 + 10 digit phone number to be dialed. This is a further convenience to the customer, and it provides a sense of 'unity' (instead of the sense of disunity) in an area where multiple area codes coexist.

This plan addresses and resolves all of the public policy issues that are presented by splits and standard overlays. Given its benefits, if this plan is given a fair evaluation by the industry in terms of "how can we make this work" instead of proclaiming "why this plan won't work", the industry will be providing its customers and our communities a great service.

#### How to contact the UDPFO:

If you have questions or comments regarding this information, please contact me at:

818-999-1070-0 (voice/voice mail) 818-956-2200-0 (alt. voice) 818-956-3298-0 (fax)

UNIFIEDdpo@AOL.com (email)

Gilbert Yablon The Unified Dialing Plan for Overlays 21914 Dumetz Rd. Woodland Hills, CA 91364 July 11, 1999

Re: Technical feasibility of the 8-Digit Dialing Plan proposed by Gilbert J. Yablon

To Whom It May Concern:

In July of 1998 I retired as the Executive Director - Operations and Engineering for the United States Telephone Association (USTA) after 36 plus years of experience encompassing diverse disciplines in the telecommunications industry.

As the Executive Director of the Technical Disciplines Department, I managed a large portion of the technical committee activities at USTA associated with numbering issues. As part of my responsibilities, I was required to regularly attend and participate in most of the industry meetings concerning numbering matters. I served as Chair or Co-Chair on a number of these various committees and working groups including the Industry Numbering Committee (INC).

I have thoroughly reviewed Gilbert J. Yablon's 8-Digit Dialing Plan and have found it to be a technically feasible plan that should be considered when addressing area code relief. The plan does conform to the NANP in that each customer retains a seven digit address (ten digit including area code) and the eighth digit is used for dialing purposes only to identify the specific NPA.

As with any plan to provide relief, there will be costs associated with the plan. The cost and the extent of equipment modifications that will incurred by the industry for each of the alternatives considered can only be addressed by the industry. The same is true for the cost to be incurred by the consumer. A cross-section of consumer groups needs to compile this data. And no matter what plan is implemented there will be customer confusion and education.

Providing area code relief is expensive and disruptive to both the industry and the consumer, therefore, all methods available that would ease this burden should be considered in enough detail to minimize the impacts.

Sincerely,

Dennis J. Hyrne

1925 Rollingwood Drive

Fairfield, CA 94533

707-435-8285

#### A SMART Overlay Solution

The overlay has been a source of hardship and frustration for telephone users within the 310 region, but the overlay didn't have to be painful. In fact, a consumer-friendly variation called SMART (Simplified Multi Area Code Region Telephony) could transform overlays (including the 310 overlay) into a painless option for area code relief.

**SMART** provides customers with two tools that make living with overlays easier. First, it provides a shortcut "8-digit method" for dialing 1+10-digit calls within the region; and second, it prevents calls dialed with only 7-digits from being rejected.

To make eight-digit dialing possible, telephone companies would assign a one-digit identifier to each area code in the overlay region. Customers could then dial local 7-digit telephone numbers and use an 8th digit to identify the area code. For example, the 310 area code would be identified by "0" and the "424" area code would be identified by "1". Dialing 1234567-0 would direct the call to the 310 area code, and dialing 1234567-1 would direct the call to the 424 area code.

But what happens when a customer or auto-dialer enters only 7-digits? In a "standard overlay", customers hear an announcement directing them to hang-up and redial using 1+10-digits -- a huge inconvenience. But with the "SMART overlay", customers who forget about (or don't know about) the 8th digit would hear an announcement after a few seconds to help them complete their call:

"To reach the 310 area code, dial "0" now. To reach 424, dial "1" now."

Customers would have a few more seconds to enter the 8th digit, and after doing so the call would be routed to the selected area code.

If for some reason the "8th digit" is still not entered, the call would default to the original area code of the region (in this case the 310 area code). This enhancement reduces the need for customers to reprogram or replace automated dialing devices (such as security entry systems and other unattended auto-dialers), and minimizes the kind of disruption that occurs when "standard overlays" are implemented.

Calls "to" and "from" area codes that are outside of the SMART region will continue to require 1+10-digits to be dialed (the same as was required before the overlay). If the new "area code identifier" is inadvertently entered at the end of a 1+10-digit call, the identifier is ignored and the call completes to the 1+10-digit number that was dialed.

Customers would always have the option of dialing 1+10-digits if they want to (even for local calls within the overlay region), but the 8-digit shortcut should make local calls easier for most customers.

Several telecommunications industry experts consider the "SMART overlay" to be a technically workable solution for area code relief, however the industry as a whole has chosen not to pursue this option on its own.

To benefit from this consumer-friendly solution the public must tell their legislators, the Public Utilities Commission and the telecommunications industry that they are interested in this alternative, and that they want it to be considered as an available option for area code relief.

#### For more information contact:

Gilbert Yablon SMART Dialing Systems gilbert@SMARToverlay.com (e-mail) 818-999-1070-0 (voice / voice mail)

Gilbert Yablon is the developer of the "SMART Dialing System for Overlays". He has been active in telecommunications industry dialing issues for the past three years, and has made numerous contributions to industry forums on numbering.

TIM/hkr 6/29/99

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Order Instituting Rulemaking on the Commission's Own Motion Regarding Commission Policy on Area Code Relief.

Rulemaking 98-12-014 (Filed December 17, 1998)

# ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART THE PETITIONS TO INTERVENE FILED BY (1) BILL NEILL, and (2) GILBERT J. YABLON

This ruling grants the petitions to intervene filed by Bill Neill and Gilbert J. Yablon to the extent their participation in this proceeding is necessary to determine if their proposals for novel dialing arrangements should be formally considered by the Commission in a future proceeding. In all other respects, their petitions to intervene are denied. This ruling also instructs Neill and Yablon to file and serve written comments containing detailed information on their dialing proposals so that the Commission can determine if their proposals merit further consideration in a future proceeding. Parties may also file and serve comments on the dialing proposals.

#### Ruling on Neill's Petition to Intervene

On May 26, 1999, Bill Neill filed a petition to intervene in this proceeding<sup>1</sup> for the purpose of presenting a "solution" to the "extensive proliferation of area codes in this state." There was no response to Neill's petition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Petition to Intervene of Prof Bill Neill, In Pro Per.

Neill's petition failed to identify any "solution" to proliferation of area codes. However, in a phone call to the assigned Administrative Law Judge (ALJ), Neill stated that his "solution" to the proliferation of area codes is "hexa-decimal dialing." According to Neill, hexa-decimal dialing reduces the need for new area codes by expanding the universe of phone numbers within existing area codes by using six additional "symbols" that are already part of the public telecommunications network. For example, the "star" and "pound" symbols that can be dialed by touch-tone telephones could be used to create the following 7-digit phone number within any of the existing area codes: 703-77\*#.

For the following reasons, Neill's petition to intervene is denied to the extent the petition asks the Commission to consider in this proceeding whether to require the use of hexa-decimal dialing. First, the petition provided <u>no</u> information on hexa-decimal dialing. Because of this, it is impossible to make a preliminary determination of whether hexa-decimal dialing is feasible. On the other hand, what little information on hexa-decimal dialing that was provided to the assigned ALJ in a phone call indicates that hexa-decimal dialing may not be feasible. For example, people with rotary phones might not be able to dial hexa-decimal phone numbers that contain "star" or "pound" symbols. Until there is some initial showing that hexa-decimal dialing is feasible - a showing that was completely absent from the petition - it is not prudent for the Commission to use its scarce resources to consider the merits of hexa-decimal dialing.

Second, no party had notice of Neill's true purpose for intervening in this proceeding since Neill's petition failed to mention hexa-decimal dialing. As a result, expanding the scope of this proceeding to consider the adoption of hexa-decimal dialing could be prejudicial to those parties who may have opposed Neill's petition had they known of Neill's true purpose for intervening in this proceeding.

Finally, in Order Instituting Rulemaking 98-12-014, the Commission stated that it would not consider in this proceeding any proposals that require changes to the North American Numbering Plan (NANP)<sup>2</sup> since implementation of such proposals is beyond the Commission's jurisdiction.<sup>3</sup> Since Neill's petition is devoid of information on hexa-decimal dialing, it is impossible to determine if hexa-decimal dialing conforms with the NANP, and, therefore, is a matter within the scope of this proceeding.<sup>4</sup> Consequently, Neill has failed to meet his burden under the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (Rules) to demonstrate that he seeks to intervene in this proceeding on matters that are reasonably pertinent to scope of this proceeding.<sup>5</sup>

Although Neill's petition to intervene is denied to the extent it asks the Commission to consider in this proceeding whether to implement hexa-decimal dialing, Neill shall have an opportunity to demonstrate that the Commission should consider in a future proceeding whether to implement hexa-decimal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The NANP is the numbering plan for the public switched network. Telephone numbers used by the NANP consist of NXX-NXX-XXX, where "N" is any digit 2-9, and "X" is any digit 0-9. (http://www.nanpa.com/nanpa\_info/index.html)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> OIR 98-12-014, mimeo., pp. 1, 21, Ordering Paragraph 1, and Appendix B.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Although Neill seems to suggest in his petition that hexa-decimal dialing would not require changes to the NANP, the validly of this suggestion is questionable given that telephone numbers in common use under the NANP do not include "symbols."

Neill's filed his petition pursuant to Rule 53 which states: "petitions to intervene...shall set forth the grounds of the proposed intervention, [and] the position and interest of the petitioner in the proceeding ...Leave will not be granted except on averments which are reasonably pertinent to the issues already presented, but do not unduly broaden them." Although Rule 53 applies only to complaint proceedings, the Commission has often used Rule 53 for guidance in determining whether parties should be permitted to intervene in other types of proceedings.

dialing. To this end, Neill shall file and serve written comments that contain the all of the following information:

- A thorough description of hexa-decimal dialing.
- A thorough explanation of how and why hexa-decimal dialing would alleviate the proliferation of area codes.
- A statement of whether hexa-decimal dialing conforms with the NANP. If Neill asserts that hexa-decimal dialing conforms with the NANP, he must present evidence and arguments in his comments that support this assertion.
- A statement of whether the Commission has authority to implement hexa-decimal dialing. If Neill asserts that the Commission has such authority, he must present evidence and arguments in his comments that support this assertion, including why the Commission's authority is not preempted by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) pursuant to § 251(e) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (the Act).<sup>6</sup>
- A detailed showing that hexa-decimal dialing is a feasible solution to the proliferation of area codes. This showing should address the following factors: (1) the scope of changes to the telecommunications network (e.g., switch modifications) that are required to implement hexa-decimal dialing; (2) the cost to telephone companies to implement hexa-decimal dialing; (3) the cost to the public to implement hexa-decimal dialing;
   (4) customer confusion, customer education, and the cost of customer education; (4) compatibility with existing and planned "split" and "overlay" area codes; and (5) compatibility with existing telephones (e.g., whether rotary phones can dial "hexasymbols," and whether touch-tone phones can dial more than two of the hexa-symbols).

<sup>§ 251(</sup>e) of the Act states that the FCC "shall have exclusive jurisdiction over those portions of the North American Numbering Plan that pertain to the United States. Nothing in this paragraph shall preclude the [FCC] from delegating to State commissions or other entities all or any portion of such jurisdiction."

- A thorough explanation as to whether hexa-decimal dialing is compatible with local number portability.
- A thorough explanation as to whether hexa-decimal dialing is compatible with number conservation measures, such as number pooling and rate center consolidation.
- Whether hexa-decimal dialing can be used in conjunction with 8-digit dialing described later in this ruling.

Neill shall file and serve his written comments on July 23, 1999. Parties may file and serve reply comments on August 6, 1999. Neill and the parties may file and serve a final round of comments on August 20, 1999. The Commission will use the comments to decide whether, and to what extent, hexa-decimal dialing merits consideration in a future proceeding.

Consistent with the previous discussion, Neill's petition to intervene is granted to the limited extent of allowing him to participate in this proceeding on the single matter of whether, and to what extent, the Commission should consider hexa-decimal dialing in a future proceeding.

### Ruling on Yablon's Petition to Intervene and Motion to Have the Commission Consider 8-Digit Dialing

On May 4, 1999, Gilbert J. Yablon filed (1) a petition to intervene in this proceeding, and (2) a motion to have the Commission consider in this proceeding whether 8-digit dialing should be used in Numbering Plan Areas (NPAs) subject to overlays. The were no responses to Yablon's petition or motion (referred to individually and collective hereafter as the "petition").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Motion to Intervene of Gilbert J. Yablon.

Motion to Have the Commission Consider "A Simplified Dialing System for Overlaid Area Codes" AKA "The Unified Dialing Plan for Overlays" filed by Gilbert J. Yablon of SMART Dialing Systems.

Yablon states in his petition that 8-digit dialing would be used in lieu of 1+10-digit dialing to make calls within an NPA subject to "overlays" (i.e., NPAs served by two or more area codes). For example, to place calls within an NPA served by two area codes, a caller using 8-digit dialing would dial the 7-digit phone number plus the suffix digits of "zero" or "one" (e.g., 703-4567-0 or 703-4567-1) to reach a number in the first or second area code, respectively.

For the following reasons, Yablon's petition is denied to the extent the petition asks the Commission to consider in this proceeding whether to require the use of 8-digit dialing. First, Yablon does not address in his petition whether 8-digit dialing complies with the NANP and, therefore, is a matter within the scope of this proceeding. Consequently, Yablon has failed to meet his burden under the Commission's Rules to demonstrate that he seeks to intervene in this proceeding on matters that are reasonably pertinent to scope of this proceeding. 10

Second, Yablon states that 8-digit dialing has been through two "telecommunications industry reviews" in which "[i]ndustry experts refuted the 'need for' and 'value of'" 8-digit dialing due to concerns about costs, switch modifications, and customer education. Yablon dismisses these concerns, but he provided no information that convincingly demonstrates the concerns are unwarranted. Therefore, before the Commission commits its limited resources to

Yablon states in his petition that 8-digit dialing does "not alter the 10-digit structure of the North American Numbering Plan," but this statement does not address the issue of whether 8-digit dialing complies with the NANP.

Rule 53 states: "Leave [to intervene] will not be granted except on averments which are reasonably pertinent to the issues already presented, but do not unduly broaden them." Although Rule 53 applies only to complaint proceedings, the Commission has often used Rule 53 for guidance in determining whether parties should be allowed to intervene in other types of proceedings.

seriously considering the adoption of 8-digit dialing, Yablon needs to make a more convincing showing that the concerns about the feasibility of 8-digit dialing are unwarranted.

Although Yablon's petition to intervene is denied to the extent the petition asks the Commission to consider the adoption of 8-digit dialing, Yablon shall have an opportunity to demonstrate in this proceeding that 8-digit dialing is feasible and worthy of serous consideration by the Commission in a future proceeding. To this end, Yablon shall file and serve written comments that contain the all of the following information:

- · A thorough description of 8-digit dialing.
- A statement of whether 8-digit dialing conforms with the NANP.
   If Yablon asserts that 8-digit dialing conforms with the NANP, he must present evidence and arguments in his comments that support this assertion.
- A statement of whether the Commission has authority to implement 8-digit dialing. If Yablon asserts that the Commission has such authority, he must present evidence and arguments in his comments that support this assertion, including why the Commission's authority is not preempted by the FCC pursuant to § 251(e) of the Act.<sup>11</sup>

<sup>§ 251(</sup>e) of the Act states that the FCC "shall have exclusive jurisdiction over those portions of the North American Numbering Plan that pertain to the United States. Nothing in this paragraph shall preclude the [FCC] from delegating to State commissions or other entities all or any portion of such jurisdiction."

- A detailed showing that 8-digit dialing is feasible. This showing should address the following factors: (1) the scope of changes to the telecommunications network (e.g., switch modifications) that are required to implement 8-digit dialing; (2) the cost to telephone companies to implement 8-digit dialing; (3) the cost to the public to implement 8-digit dialing, (4) customer confusion, customer education, and the cost of customer education; and
   (4) compatibility with existing and planned overlays.
- A thorough explanation as to whether 8-digit dialing is compatible with local number portability.
- A thorough explanation as to whether 8-digit dialing is compatible with number conservation measures, such as number pooling and rate center consolidation.
- A description of the two telecommunications industry reviews of 8-digit dialing. Yablon shall append to his comments a copy of any formal reports and/or findings that resulted from these reviews. Yablon may also provide information that demonstrates why the concerns raised in the industry reviews are unwarranted.
- Whether 8-digit dialing can be used in conjunction with haxa-decimal dialing described earlier in this ruling.

Yablon shall file and serve his written comments on July 23, 1999. The parties may file and serve reply comments on August 6, 1999. Yablon and the parties may file and serve a final round of comments on August 20, 1999. The Commission will use the comments to decide whether, and to what extent, the Commission should consider 8-digit dialing in a future proceeding.

Consistent with the previous discussion, Yablon's petition is granted to the limited extent of allowing him to participate in this proceeding on the single matter of whether, and to what extent, the Commission should consider 8-digit dialing in a future proceeding.

#### IT IS RULED that;

- Neill may participate in this proceeding on the single matter of whether, and to what extent, the Commission should consider hexa-decimal dialing in a future proceeding.
- 2. Neill's petition to intervene in this proceeding is granted to the extent set forth in Ruling Paragraph 1. In all other respects, Neill's petition is denied.
- Yablon may participate in this proceeding on the single matter of whether, and to what extent, the Commission should consider 8-digit dialing in a future proceeding.
- 4. Yablon's petition to intervene in this proceeding and motion to have the Commission consider 8-digit dialing in this proceeding are granted to the extent set forth in Ruling Paragraph 3. In all other respects, Yablon's petition and motion are denied.
- 5. Neill and Yablon shall each file and serve written comments on July 23, 1999, containing the information specified in the body of this ruling. The parties may file and serve reply comments on August 6, 1999. Neill, Yablon, and the parties may file and serve a final round of comments on August 20, 1999. The Commission will use these comments to decide whether, and to what extent, hexa-decimal dialing and/or 8-digit dialing will be considered in a future proceeding.

Dated June 29, 1999, at San Francisco, California.

Timothy Kenney

Administrative Law Judge

# LAW OFFICES PAUL R. MARCUS MARCUS CENTRE - PENTHOUSE ONE 9990 S.W. 77TH AVENUE MIAMI, FLORIDA 33156-2699

PAUL R. MARCUS

Certified Family Mediator

TELEPHONE (305) 596-2345 FAX (305) 274-0220 L-Mail MarcusCntr@aol.com

October 27, 1998

Levent Ileri, Engineer Division of Communications Florida Public Service Commission Capital Circle Office Center 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Re: Dade County Telephone Numbers/305 Area Code Relief

Dear Ms. Ileri:

Thank you for your letter of October 23. I personally know of 100 people that hate the system. Everybody I talk to hates the system. I have had several of my clients ask about the possibility of filing suit to change the system. The fact that if a couple of citizen's groups and Chairman of Congress testified did nothing. I have been at meetings where the School Board boundary for a high school was on the agenda and hundreds of people show up. You have 18 people show up and consider that a mandate! Where was the public notice for "John Q Public" to show up? Where is the market survey showing what "John Q Public" wants?

Nothing is set in stone. Nothing can't be changed. Everything is subject to modification.

I would like you to tell me exactly what has to be done to set in motion a request for a change of the present system. I'll play by the rules if I'm advised what they are.

Please advise.

Very truly yours,

PAUL R. MARCUS

PRM/ps

C:\OFFICE\WPWIN\WPDOCS\CLIENTS\PSC.05

RECEIVED

OCT 3 0 1990

CMU

# LAW OFFICES PAUL R. MARCUS MARCUS CENTRE - PENTHOUSE ONE 9990 S.W. 77TH AVENUE MIAMI, FLORIDA 33156-2699

PAUL R. MARCUS

Certified Family Mediator

TELEPHONE (305) 596-2345 TAX (305) 274-0220

E-Mail Paul.Marcus@MarcusCentre.com

January 11, 1999

Division of Communications Florida Public Service Commission Capital Circle Office Center 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Re:

Dade County Area Codes

Gentlepersons:

Dade County is going nuts. Everybody now has to dial ten digits where before seven worked fine. As more new numbers with the new area code are in use, people will not remember if somebody's in (305) area code or in (786). It's very confusing. More time is spent dialing incorrectly. Cell phone are racking up charges for misdialings. It's going to get worse as more and more numbers with (786) come into use.

There is a simple solution that would cause nobody to have to lose their old number: Have all cell phones use a different area code. Thus, when you call somebody on a cell phone, you would automatically know to use the new area code (786).

In the alternative, a simple solution would be to go to an eight digit number. People would not have to learn new phone numbers. Every existing seven digit number can be made an eight by merely adding the number 1. The computations and permutations using eight digits are staggering. They use eight digits in Hong Kong and it has worked very well.

People don't care about keeping their "305." Palm Beach changed to 651 without a hitch. We could change all cell phone to "786" without a hitch as well.

I don't expect easy answers. I don't expect the Public Service Commission to make any snap decision. I do, however, want to know what is the procedure that I must follow, what petition or lawsuit or the like must be filed in order to force the Public Service Commission to change. There must be some way to rectify this situation. There must be a procedure. You may not agree with my position, but you must advise as to what procedure should be followed to see to change the system.

Please advise.

Very truly yours,

PAUL R. MARCUS

PRM/ps C:\OFFICE\WPWIN\WPDOCS\CLIENTS\PSC.06

#### RUTLEDGE, ECENIA, PURNELL & HOFFMAN

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION
ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

STEPHEN A. ECENIA
JOHN R. ELLIS
KENNETH A. HOFFMAN
THOMAS W. KONRAD
MICHAEL G. MAIDA
J. STEPHEN MENTON
R. DAVID PRESCOTT
HAROLD F. X. PURNELL

GARY R. RUTLEDGE

POST OFFICE BOX 551, 32302-0551 215 SOUTH MONROE STREET, SUITE 420 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301-1841

I EDHONE (950) 691 6799

TELEPHONE (850) 681-6788 TELECOPIER (850) 681-6515 GOVERNMENTAL CONSULTANTS:

PATRICK R. MALOY AMY J. YOUNG

CHARLES F. DUDLEY

OF COUNSEL:

REPORTING

99 APR 16 AM II: 14

April 15, 1999

Ms. Blanca Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Betty Easley Conference Center, Room 110 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Florida PSC Docket Nos. 990455-TL, 990456-TL and 990457-TL

Dear Ms. Bayo:

The undersigned represents the Omnipoint Communications, Inc. ("Omnipoint"). Omnipoint is interested in the above-referenced dockets. Please provide copies of all notices, CASRs, orders, staff recommendations, pleadings and other documents filed, served or issued in the above-referenced dockets to the following:

Kenneth A. Hoffman, Esq. John R. Ellis, Esq. Rutledge, Ecenia, Purnell & Hoffman, P.A. P. O. Box 551 Tallahassee, FL 32302-0551 (850) 681-6788 (phone) (850) 681-6515 (fax)

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Kenneth A. Hoffman

KAH/rl

Done 4/20/9P

MOORJIAM

89 8 WV 91 NAV 66

RESEARCE CONTROLSERY

RETURNS WHENCE

THE CONTROL OF TO

Law Offices

### HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

RECEIVED-FPSC

315 South Calhoun Street Suite 600 P.O. Drawer 810 (ZIP 32302-0810) Tallahassee, Florida 32301

850-224-7000 FAX 850-224-8832 www.hklaw.com

May 11, 1999

99 MAY 12 AM II: 01

RECORDS AND REPORTING

Atlanta Northern Virginia
Boston Orlando
Fort Lauderdale Providence
Jacksonville San Francisco
Lakeland St. Petershura

JacksonvilleSan FranciscoLakelandSt. PetersburgMelbourneTallahasseeMexico CityTampa

Miami Washington, D.C. New York West Palm Beach

D. BRUCE MAY, JR. 850-425-5607

Internet Address: dbmay@hklaw.com

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Betty Easley Conference Center, Room 110 Tallahassee, Fl. 32399-0850

Re: <u>In re: Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for</u> the 305 area code (Florida Keys), Docket No. 990455-TL

In re: Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 561 area code, Docket No. 990456-TL

In re: Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 954 area code, Docket No. 990457-TL

In re: Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 904 area code, Docket No. 990517-TL

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Holland & Knight has an ongoing interest in the dockets referenced above. Please place the undersigned on the dockets' mailing lists.

Thank you for your continued consideration.

Sincerely,

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

D. Bruce May

DBM/kig

Done 5/13/99



99 APR 22 AM 9: 36

RECURDS AND REPORTING

April 22, 1999

----VIA FACSIMILE----

Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

> Docket No. 990455-TL Re:

> > Docket No. 990457-TL

Docket No. 990468-TP

Docket No. 990456-TL

Docket No. 990460-TC

Dear Ms. Bayó:

The Florida Public Telecommunications Association, Inc. requests that it be included on the mailing list as an interested entity in each of the above-referenced dockets. Please address all correspondence as follows:

> Angela B. Green, General Counsel Florida Public Telecommunications Association, Inc. 125 South Gadsden Street, Suite 200 Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Thank you for your assistance with this matter.

Andela B. Green

General Counsel

990455mailinglist.doc

one 4/22199 4/27199

#### MEMORANDUM

May 5, 1999

## RECEIVED

MAY 05 1999

FPSC - Records/Reporting

TO : Division of Records and Reporting

FROM: Division of Communications (ILERI)

RE: Docket No. 990455-TL - In re: Request for review of

proposed numbering plan relief for the 305 area code.

Attached are correspondence letter, fax and e-mail to be filed on the correspondence side in the above-referenced dockets.

LI Attachments

#### MEMORANDUM

May 12, 1999

RECEIVED-FPSC

99 MAY 12 PM 3: 38

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (COX)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - In re: Request for review of the proposed numbering relief plan for the 305 area code

(Florida Keys).

99-0970-PCD

Attached is an <u>ORDER GRANTING INTERVENTION</u> to be issued in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages in order - 2)

WPC/slh Attachment

cc: Division of Communications (Ileri)

I:\990455om.int

See 1

forted 3)1

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

	Requisition for	Photocopying and Mailing
Number of (	Originals Do	copies Per Original
Age	nda For (Date)	Order No In Docket No
		al Handling Instructions  Distribution/Mailing
Number	Distributed/Mailed To Commission Offices Docket Mailing List - Mailed	Number Distribution/Mailed To
Note: Ite	Docket Mailing List - Faxed ms must be mailed and/or return	ned within one working day after issue unless specified here:
Job Number Date and T	158	Verified By
Date Maile		Verified By

PSC/RAR 12(2/91)

#### MEMORANDUM

July 16, 1999

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

RE:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (COX) R

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - BECT DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

Attached is an ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE, to be issued in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages in order - 9)

WPC/anc Attachment

cc: Division of Communications

I: 990455pr.wpc FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

	Requisition fo	r Photocopy	ing and M	Mailing Mailing
Number of	Originals 9  By Mont / C.	ate/	Copies Per	Original
		- Item Presented	National and the second second second	
Age	enda For (Date)	Order No. —	99-1379	In Docket No. 990455-70
				in Docket No.
Oth	er			
	Space	ial Handling Instr	uctions -	
	apec.	a randing iisii	OCHOIS	
				MENTAL PROPERTY OF STREET AS A STREET WINDOW COMMISSION OF STREET STREET STREET AS A STREET OF STREET, STREET,
		Distribution/Mailir	ng ———	
Number	Distributed/Mailed To		Number	Distribution/Mailed To
//	Commission Offices	-:		
-(d)	Docket Mailing List - Mailed			
	Docket Mailing List - Faxed	_		
Note: Ite	ms must be mailed and/or return	ned within one wo	nking day after	rissue unless specified here:
		nt Shop Verificat		1 0
	198			사용하는 그 그 그 가는 이 그는 그를 보고 있다면 했다.
Date and Ti	me Completed 7/21	Job Checked	For Correctr	ness and Quality (Initial)
		iil Room Verifica	ion —	
Date Mailed		. Verified By _	,	
			/	The second section of the second seco

PSC/RAR 12[2/91]

#### STATE OF FLORIDA

Commissioners: JOE GARCIA, CHAIRMAN J. TERRY DEASON SUSAN F. CLARK JULIA L. JOHNSON E. LEON JACOBS, JR.



DIVISION OF RECORDS & REPORTING BLANCA S. BAYÓ DIRECTOR (850) 413-6770

## Public Service Commission

July 20, 1999

Ms. Maria Ratcliff City Manager's Office Key West City Hall 525 Angela Street Key West, FL 33040

Re: Docket No. 990455-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region

Dear Ms. Ratcliff:

This will confirm our reservation of the Old City Hall Commission Chambers for the purpose of holding a Public Service Commission hearing on Thursday, September 2, 1999, in the abovereferenced matter. The hearing will begin at 10:00 a.m. and we expect approximately 100 people to attend. We will need access to the room by 9:00 a.m.

As previously discussed, there is no charge for use of the room. A copy of our insurance certificate is enclosed.

I appreciate the opportunity to use this facility for our hearing. Please call my assistant, Sandy Moses, at (850) 413-6728, if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely

Blanca S. Bayó

Enclosure

BSB/skm



### FLORIDA CASUALTY INSURANCE RISK MANAGEMENT TRUST FUND

Policy Number:	GL-98-2700	General Liability
		Certificate of Coverage
Name Insured:	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSIO	N
F.		
- 사용하다 100mm (1997년 - 1997년 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 - 1997년 - 1		apter 284, Part II, Section 768.28,
Florida Statutes, a	and any rules promulgated thereun	ger.
Coverage Limits:		
Coverage Linns.		
General Liability:		n
	\$200,000.00 each occurr	rence
	at the state of th	
Inception Date:	7/1/98	
Evnimtion Date:	# 11 /00	

TREASURER AND INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

DI4-863 10/91



#### SIMULTANEOUS INTERPRETING SERVICE AGREEMENT

Agreement entered into between PROFESSIONAL TRANSLATING SERVICES, INC., a Florida corporation located at 44 West Flagler Street, Suite 540, Miami, Florida, 33130 and the FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, located at Capital Circle Office Center, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850.

In consideration of the mutual covenants hereinafter set forth, the parties hereto agree as follows:

I. a) Professional Translating Services, Inc., agrees to provide one consecutive Spanish/English interpreter at a public hearing to be held at the Embassy Suites Hotel in Miami, Florida. The schedule for said meeting will be as follows:

September 1

10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

- b) The fee for the personnel described in Section I, paragraphs a, is \$250.00.
- c) Additional hours will be charged at the rate of \$125 an hour, for the one interpreter.

II. Payment terms are 100% of the projected total, pursuant to Section I, paragraph c.

\$250.00, payable not the day of the meeting.

IV. In the event that FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, cancels after the execution of the contract, FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, shall pay Professional Translating Services, Inc., 100% of the fees set forth in this contract is meeting in rescheduled.

V. FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, agrees to pay the charges herein set forth in the manner stipulated.

VI. This agreement shall be construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Florida and constitutes the entire agreement between the parties hereto with respect to the subject matter hereof.

VII. This agreement must be executed by both parties by August 2, 1999, in order for it to be valid.

PROFESSIONAL TRANSLATING SERVICES, INC. DAT

#### <u>Issue I.D. - Docket No2 99045</u>5-TL 305/786 Area Code Relief Plan July 23, 1999

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	(COMPANY/PHONE/ADDRESS/FAX)
Keniberly Wheeler	Rockled Martin
alice total	OmniPoint
Dloyd Self	mcel Worldlom.
JIM DAUBHTON	Holland + Knicht LCP
Stan Green	BST

#### <u>Issue I.D. - Docket No2 99045</u>5-TL <u>305/786 Area Code Relief Pl</u>an <u>July 23, 1999</u>

NAME (PLEASE PRINT)	(COMPANY/PHONE/ADDRESS/FAX)
Rick Melson	MGSS / MCIWORLDCOM
	425-2313
Steve Menton	Rutledge, Echina Emnipoint
	681-6788
	-
Diara Caldwell	Legal Division, PSC
Levent Ileri	Communications DIVITION
	DSC
MICHAUL BARRETT	FPSC STAFF

## Messer, Caparello & Self A Professional Association

215 South Monroe Street, Suite 701
Post Office Box 1876
Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1876
Telephone: (850) 222-0720
Telecopiers: (850) 224-4359; (850) 425-1942
Internet: www.lawfla.com

July 22, 1999

Ms. Blanca Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

> Re: Docket No. 990455-TL - BellSouth's Request for Review of Proposed Numbering Plan Relief for the 305 Area Code (Florida Keys)

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Please add this firm to the mailing list for Docket No. 990455-TL directing all orders and notices to the undersigned.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Yours very truly,

Floyd R. Self

FRS/amb

Done 7/23/99

#### STATE OF FLORIDA

Commissioners: JOE GARCIA, CHAIRMAN J. TERRY DEASON SUSAN F. CLARK JULIA L. JOHNSON E. LEON JACOBS, JR.



DIVISION OF RECORDS & REPORTING BLANCA S. BAYÓ DIRECTOR (850) 413-6770

## Public Service Commission

July 22, 1999

Ms. Beatrice Lopez Embassy Suites Hotel-Miami International Airport 3974 S. River Drive Miami, FL 33142

Re: Docket No. 990455-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region

Ms. Lopez:

This will confirm our reservation of meeting space at the Embassy Suites Hotel-Miami International Airport and rental of audio equipment for the purpose of holding a Public Service Commission hearing on Wednesday, September 1, 1999, in the above-referenced matter. The hearing is scheduled to begin at 10:00 a.m. and we expect approximately 100 people to be present. The enclosed diagram demonstrates the meeting room set-up, the number of microphones required, and location for each. Our court reporter's cassette recorder must be plugged into the audio system and the microphones arranged in accordance with the diagram by 9:00 a.m. In addition to the meeting room diagram, certificates of tax exemption and insurance are enclosed.

As previously discussed, the charge for use of the meeting room and audio system is \$704.40 (\$175.00 room rental. \$150.00 telephone line activation, and \$379.40 audio system rental). Payment will follow once the hearing is held and an invoice received. Our purchase order will be forwarded shortly. To ensure timely payment, please submit your invoice to the Bureau of Fiscal Services, 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd., Tallahassee, FL 32399.

I appreciate the opportunity to use this facility for our hearing. Please call my assistant, Sandy Moses, at (850) 413-6728 if you have questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Lanca S. Bayó


BSB/skm

Enclosures

#### Florida Public Service Commission Hearing Room Configuration for Docket No. 990455-TL

Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 are code

September 1, 1999 10:00 a.m. Embassy Suites Hotel - Miami International Airport 3974 S. River Drive Miami, FL



6' Table outside entrance of room

Office of Public Information

#### LEGEND:

X Chairs

Wastebaskets

Microphones

**☎** Location for telephone line

• Location where court reporter's cassette recorder will be plugged into audio system.



## FLORIDA CASUALTY INSURANCE RISK MANAGEMENT TRUST FUND

Policy Number:	GL-98-2700	General Liability Certificate of Coverage
Name Insured:	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
	Coverage provided pursuant to Chapter 284, Pand any rules promulgated thereunder.	Part II, Section 768.28,
Coverage Limits:		
General Liability:	\$100,000.00 each person	
	\$200,000.00 each occurrence	
Inception Date:	7/1/98	
Expiration Date:	Clara.	ge e
TREASURER AN		

DH-863 10/91



### Consumer's Certificate of Exem

This Certificate is Non-transferable.

DR-14 R. 12/97

Issued Pursuant to Chapter 212, Florida Statutes

Issue Date Expiration Date

Certificate Number

Type of Organization

12/16/97

14

12/16/2002

47-00-025398-52C

STATE GOVERNMENT

This Certifies That

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2540 SHUMARD OAK BLVD - 125 GUNTER BLDG
TALLAHASSEE - FL 32399-0850



Is Exempt From the Payment of Sales and Use Tax on the Purchase or Lease of Tangible Personal Property, the Lease of Transient Rental Accommodations or Real Property.

L.H. Fuchs
Executive Director



Florida Department of Revenue

**Important Facts** 

DR-14 R. 12/97

- Provide all vendors with a copy of your Consumer's Certificate of Exemption before making tax-exempt purchases.
- Your Consumer's Certificate of Exemption is to be used solely for your organization's customary nonprofit activities.
- Purchases by the exempt organization are only exempt when the Consumer's Certificate of Exemption is presented to the vendor and the payment is made directly by the organization.
- Purchases made by an individual on behalf of the organization are taxable, even if the individual is reimbursed by the organization.
- Transactions by an exempt organization such as sales or leases of tangible personal property, transient rental or sleeping accommodations, real property, or docking spaces are taxable. The organization must register for sales and use tax certification, and collect and remit sales tax on those transactions. Note: Churches are exempt from this requirement except when they are the lessor of real property (Section 12A-1.070, Florida Administrative Code).
- Changes in the organization's purpose, federal exemption status, or address must be reported immediately to the Department of Revenue.

UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES SHOULD THIS EXEMPTION BE USED FOR THE PERSONAL BENEFIT OF ANY INDIVIDUAL. ANY MISUSE OF THIS EXEMPTION WILL NECESSITATE ITS REVOCATION.

If you have any questions or need assistance, please contact:

Central Registration 5050 W TENNESSEE ST TALLAHASSEE FL 32399-0100 850-487-4130

#### STATE OF FLORIDA

Commissioners: JOE GARCIA, CHAIRMAN J. TERRY DEASON SUSAN F. CLARK JULIA L. JOHNSON E. LEON JACOBS, JR.



DIVISION OF RECORDS & REPORTING BLANCA S. BAYÓ DIRECTOR (850) 413-6770

## Public Service Commission

July 22, 1999

Ms. Grace Fuentevilla Professional Translating Services, Inc. 44 West Flagler Street, 18th Floor Miami, FL 33130

Re: Docket No. 990455-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region

Dear Ms. Fuentevilla:

This will confirm our request for a Spanish/English consecutive interpreter at a Commission hearing to be held in the above-referenced matter on Wednesday, September 1, 1999. The hearing will be held at the Embassy Suites Hotel - Miami International Airport, 3974 S. River Drive, Miami, beginning at 10:00 a.m. We expect the hearing to last approximately two hours. My assistant, Ms. Sandy Moses, will be attending the workshop. Please ensure that the interpreter arrives by 9:30 a.m. to discuss seating arrangements, etc. with her.

I understand the charge for this service is \$125.00 per hour with a 2-hour minimum. At the conclusion of the hearing, please forward your invoice to the Florida Public Service Commission, Bureau of Fiscal Services, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, FL 32399.

If you need additional information regarding this request, please call Ms. Moses at (850) 413-6728.

Sincerely,

BSB/sm



July 20, 1999

Sandy Moses Florida Public Services Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399

> Re: Meeting for Florida Public Services Commission on September 1, 1999, in Miami, FL

Dear Sandy:

In response to your inquiry, I am hereby sending you our quotation to provide you with Spanish/English consecutive interpreting services.

It is my understanding that the schedule for the meeting will be on September 1, 1999, from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m.

The fee for services is \$125 per hour, with a minimum of two hours. This amount includes one consecutive interpreter.

In addition to having provided language services for Florida Public Services Commission in the past, our firm provides language services for numerous companies in government and economics-related fields, such as FTAA, Caribbean Latin American Action, and Florida Partnership of the Americas. We will be happy to provide you with references, should you request them.

I look forward to a prompt confirmation of terms in order to start making the arrangements that will ensure a successful meeting.

Sincerely yours

Conference Coordinator for

M. Cristina de la Vega

President

# PROFESSIONAL TRANSLATING SERVICES, INC.

44 WEST FLAGLER STREET, SUITE 540 MIAMI, FLORIDA 33130 (305) 371-7887 Fax: (305) 371-8366

#### **FAX TRANSMISSION COVER SHEET**

Date:

July 20, 1999

To:

Sandy Moses

Florida Public Service Commission

Fax:

850-413-7118

Subject:

September 1, 1999 Meeting

Sender:

Grace Fuentevilla

YOU SHOULD RECEIVE
2 PAGE(S), INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET. IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE
ALL THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL (305) 371-7887.

#### MEMORANDUM

August 5, 1999

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CALDWELL)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade

foredéd.

County and Monroe County/Keys Region

5410-PCD

Attached is an **ORDER ESTABLISHING ISSUES**, to be issued in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages in order - 3)

DWC

Attachment

cc: Division of Communications (ILERI)

I:990455IO.dwc

RECORDS AND

STAUG-5 AM 8: 43

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

	Requisition fo	r Photocopy	ing and N	lailing
Number of		ate	Copies Per	Original
Ager	nda For (Date) ce of	<ul><li>ttem Presented</li><li>Order No. —</li><li>For (Date) —</li></ul>	99-1541	In Docket No
	Speci	ial Handling Instr	uctions	
		Distribution/Mailir	ng —	
Number	Distributed/Mailed To Commission Offices	_	Number 	Distribution/Mailed To
	Docket Mailing List - Mailed  Docket Mailing List - Faxed			
Note: Item	ns must be mailed and/or return	ned within one wo	rking day after	r issue unless specified here:
Job Number	me Completed 8/4	_ Verified By _	M	ness and Quality (Initial)
	그 사람은 점에 없다. 하고 없는 것 같아요. 하고 하다 그리고 그렇게 됐다.	ail Room Verifica		
Date Mailed		_ Verified By _		

PSC/RAR 12(2/91)

RECEIVED-FPSC

#### MEMORANDUM

August 10, 1999

59 AUG 10 PM 1:47

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CALDWELL)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

1574-PCO

Attached is an <u>ORDER GRANTING INTERVENTION</u>, to be issued in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages in order -3)

DWC/anc Attachment

cc: Division of Communications

I: 990455sp.dwc

5/7

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

Requisition	for Photocopying and Mailing
Number of Originals	Date 8,11,99 Copies Per Original
Requested by	item Presented
Accorde For (Date)	Order No in Docket No
Notice of	order No in Docket No
	For (bale) Social to
Other	
The state of the s	pecial Handling Instructions
Number Distributed/Mailed To Commission Offices Docket Mailing List - Mail Docket Mailing List - Faxe	Number Distribution/Mailed To
Note: Items must be mailed and/or r	returned within one working day after issue unless specified here:
	Print Shop Verification
Job Number	
	Job Checked For Correctness and Quality (Initial)
×112	
8/12	Mail Room Verification
	Mail Room Verification  Verified By

#### MEMORANDUM

August 2, 1999

RECEIVED-FPSC

9 AUG 11 AM 9: 36

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CALDWELL)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION

Attached is an original and four copies of a NOTICE to be published in the next issue of the Florida Administrative Weekly.

DWC

Attachment

cc: Division of Communications (Cutting, Ileri)

I:455fawsv.dwc

See 3

My calg

Nach + Monroe

09522-99

#### STATE OF FLORIDA

Commissioners: JOE GARCIA, CHAIRMAN J. TERRY DEASON SUSAN F. CLARK JULIA L. JOHNSON E. LEON JACOBS, JR.



DIVISION OF RECORDS & REPORTING BLANCA S. BAYÓ DIRECTOR (850) 413-6770

## **Bublic Service Commission**

August 12, 1999

Ms. Maria Ratcliff City Manager's Office Key West City Hall 525 Angela Street Key West, FL 33040

Re: Docket No. 990455-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region

Dear Ms. Ratcliff:

This will confirm cancellation of our September 2, 1999, reservation of the Old City Hall Commission Chambers. The hearing in this matter has been canceled but may be rescheduled at a future date.

I appreciate your assistance in this matter and look forward to working with you in the future. Please call my assistant, Sandy Moses, at (850) 413-6728, if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely

BSB/skm

#### STATE OF FLORIDA

Commissioners:
JOE GARCIA, CHAIRMAN
J. TERRY DEASON
SUSAN F. CLARK
JULIA L. JOHNSON
E. LEON JACOBS, JR.



DIVISION OF RECORDS & REPORTING BLANCA S. BAYÓ DIRECTOR (850) 413-6770

## Public Service Commission

April 15, 1999

Ms. Sandra Woodall Dunedin City Hall P. O. Box 1348 Dunedin, FL 34697-1348

Re: Docket No. 971065-SU - Application for rate increase in Pinellas County by Mid-County Services, Inc.

Dear Ms. Woodall:

This will confirm our reservation of the Dunedin City Commission Chambers for the purpose of holding a Public Service Commission hearing on June 21-22, 1999, in the above-referenced matter. The hearing will begin at 10:00 a.m. on June 21 and end by 10:00 p.m. On June 22, the hearing may begin as early as 8:30 a.m. and end by 8:00 p.m. We will need access to the room by 8:30 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. We expect approximately 100 people to attend.

As previously discussed, there is no charge for use of the room. A copy of our insurance certificate is enclosed.

I appreciate the opportunity to use this facility for our hearings. Please call my assistant, Sandy Moses, at (850) 413-6728, if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

Blanca S Bayó

Enclosure



### FLORIDA CASUALTY INSURANCE RISK MANAGEMENT TRUST FUND

Policy Number:	GL-98-2700	General Liability  Certificate of Coverage
Name Insured:	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
그림을 보면 하면 이 어린 사람들이 만들어 보는 사람이 있었다. 이 이를 모여야 해를 보고 있어요?	Coverage provided pursuant to Chapt and any rules promulgated thereunder	
Coverage Limits:		
General Liability:	\$100,000,00 each person	
	\$200,000.00 each occurrence	æ
	8	
Inception Date:	7/1/98	
Expiration Date:	7/1/99	
BILLA	lelson.	
TREASURER AN	ND .	4 2

DI4-863 10/91

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

#### STATE OF FLORIDA

Commissioners: JOE GARCIA, CHAIRMAN J. TERRY DEASON SUSAN F. CLARK JULIA L. JOHNSON E. LEON JACOBS, JR.



DIVISION OF RECORDS & REPORTING BLANCA S. BAYÓ DIRECTOR (850) 413-6770

## Public Service Commission

August 12, 1999

Ms. Grace Fuentevilla Professional Translating Services, Inc. 44 West Flagler Street, 18th Floor Miami, FL 33130

Re: Docket No. 990455-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region

Dear Ms. Fuentevilla:

This will confirm cancellation of our request for a Spanish/English consecutive interpreter for September 1, 1999. The hearing in this matter has been canceled but may be rescheduled at a future date.

I appreciate your assistance in this matter and look forward to working with you in the future. If you need additional information regarding this matter, please call my assistant, Sandy Moses at (850) 413-6728.

Sincerely,

BSB/sm

#### STATE OF FLORIDA

Commissioners:
Julia L. Johnson, Chairman
J. Terry Deason
Susan F. Clark
Joe Garcia
E. Leon Jacobs, Jr.



DIVISION OF RECORDS & REPORTING BLANCA S. BAYÓ DIRECTOR (850) 413-6770

## Public Service Commission

August 12, 1999

Ms.Beatrice Lopez
Embassy Suites Hotel - Miami International Airport
3974 S. River Drive
Miami, FL 33142

Re: Docket No. 990455-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region

Dear Ms. Lopez:

This will confirm cancellation of our September 1, 1999, reservation of meeting space at the Embassy Suites Hotel-Miami International Airport and rental of audio equipment. The hearing in this matter has been canceled but may be rescheduled at a future date.

I appreciate your assistance in this matter and look forward to working with you on future events. If you have any questions, please call my assistant, Sandy Moses, at (850) 413-6728.

Sincerely,

Blanca S. Bayó

BSB/sm

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

	Requisition for	Photocopyin	g and Mo	iling
Number of C		ote <u> </u>	/99 Copies Per O	riginal 314 May
		frem Presented		
Notice	e of Sev Hing	Order No		In Docket No.
	Special Specia	al Handling Instruc	tions ———	
	Description of the last of the	histribution/Mailing		
Number Note: Item	Distributed/Mailed To Commission Offices Docket Mailing List - Mailed Docket Mailing List - Faxed s must be mailed and/or return		305	Distribution/Mailed To  A DE MARKET  Sue unless specified here:
			Mil	ss and Quality (Initial)
Date Mailed		nil Room Verification  Verified By		

PSC/RAR 12(2/91)

RECEIVED-FPSC

#### MEMORANDUM

August 18, 1999

00 AUG 18 AM 10: 46

RECURDS AND REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CALDWELL)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED

NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

Attached is a NOTICE OF CANCELLATION OF CUSTOMER SERVICE  $\underline{\text{HEARINGS}}$ , to be issued in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages in order - 2)

CBW/anc Attachment

cc: Division of Communications

I: 990455ca.dwc

64/9 11 Nova

#### MEMORANDUM

RECEIVED-FPSC

August 18, 1999

99 AUG 18 PM 3: 39

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CALDWELL)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

1627-PCO

Attached is an <u>ORDER GRANTING INTERVENTION</u>, to be issued in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages in order - 2)

DWC/anc Attachment

cc: Division of Communications

I: 990455at.dwc

ed walkaging of

Junted 7/2

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

	Requisition for	Photocopy	ing and M	ailing
Number of C	Do	ate/		Original
Agen	da For (Date)	Order No. — For (Date) —		in Docket No.
Oli let	Specie	al Handling Instr	uctions —	cas position
Number 2	Distributed/Mailed To Commission Offices Docket Mailing List - Mailed	Distribution/Mailin	Number	Distribution/Mailed To
Note: Item	Docket Mailing List - Faxed is must be mailed and/or return	ned within one wo	orking day afte	er issue unless specified here:
Job Number Date and Tim	ne Completed 8/9	_ Verified By _	7	ness and Quality (Initial)
Date Mailed	0/10	oil Room Verifica Verified By		

PSC/RAR 12(2/91)

#### MEMORANDUM

August 20, 1999

REGEIVED-FPSC

90 AUG 20 PM 1: 40

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (BEDELL/WATTS) PSCON

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

1431-PCO

Attached is an <u>ORDER CANCELING HEARINGS AND OTHER CONTROLLING DATES</u>, to be issued in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages in order -3)

CBW/anc Attachment

cc: Division of Communications

I: 990455c2.dwc

## MUST GO TODAY

taxed -9/2

## NAORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

SAN FRANCISCO LOS ANGELES SACRAMENTO ORANGE COUNTY PALO ALTO WALNUT CREEK

DENVER

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

2000 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-1888 TELEPHONE (202) 887-1500 TELEFACSIMILE (202) 887-0763



Writer's Direct Dial Number (202) 887-8739

August 18, 1999

By U.S. Mail

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re:

Docket Nos. 990373-TP, 990455-TL, 990456-TL,

990457-TL, 990517-TL

Dear Ms. Bayo:

The purpose of this letter is to request that the following name be added to the official Commission service list for the dockets listed above.

Thomas Foley Lockheed Martin IMS/NANPA 820 Riverbend Boulevard Longwood, Florida 32779 Phone: (407) 389-8929

Fax: (407) 682-1108

E-mail: foleyt@ix.netcom.com

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact me at the address or telephone number listed above.

Yours truly

Jim Bucholz

Legal Assistant

cc: Tom Foley

990373 Chard Done 8/23/99

dc-171266



RECEIVED-FPSC

Florida Cable Telecommunications Association

AUG 24 PM 3: 4

Steve Wilkerson, President

#### VIA FACSIMILE

RECORDS AND REPORTING

August 24, 1999

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: FPSC Docket No. 990455-TL

Dear Ms. Bayo:

I am writing to request that the Florida Cable Telecommunications Association, Inc. ("FCTA") be placed on the mailing list of persons interested in monitoring the above-referenced docket. Please send all mailings to the following:

Michael A. Gross
Vice President, Regulatory Affairs & Regulatory Counsel
Florida Cable Telecommunications Association, Inc.
310 North Monroe Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
850/681-1990 Tel.
850/681-9676 Fax
E-Mail Address: mgross@fcta.com.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter. Please contact me with any questions.

Sincerely,

Michael A. Gross

Vice President, Regulatory Affairs


& Regulatory Counsel

MAG:mj

Done 8/24/99

#### MEMORANDUM

August 23, 1999



TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CALDWELL)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

NUMBERING FLAN RELIEF FOR THE 303/700 AREA CO

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

1651-PCO

Attached is an ORDER AUTHORIZING QUALIFIED REPRESENTATIVE STATUS, to be issued in the above-referenced docket.

(Number of pages in order - 2)

## MUST GO TODAY

DC/ALC/dr

Attachment

cc: Division of Communications (Ileri, Barrett)

I:\990455C.ALC

taxed - 8/2

RECEIVED-FPSC

#### MEMORANDUM

August 19, 1999

99 AUG 26 PM 1: 36

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CALDWELL)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

1652-PCC

Attached is an ORDER AUTHORIZING QUALIFIED REPRESENTATIVE STATUS, to be issued in the above-referenced docket.

(Number of pages in order - 2)



DC/ALC/dr

Attachment

cc: Division of Communications (Ileri, Barrett)

I:\990455B.ALC

8/2

August 19, 1999

99 AUG 26 PM 1: 36

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CALDWELL)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

1653 PCO

Attached is an ORDER AUTHORIZING QUALIFIED REPRESENTATIVE STATUS, to be issued in the above-referenced docket.

(Number of pages in order - 2)



DC/ALC/dr

Attachment

cc: Division of Communications (Ileri, Barrett)

I:\990455A.ALC

Jaxed 78/2.

August 25, 1999

RECEIVED-FPSC

SSEP-8 PM 4:36

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CALDWELL)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED

NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

1773-PCO

Attached is an  $\underline{\text{ORDER GRANTING INTERVENTION}}$ , to be issued in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages in order - 3)

DWC/anc

Attachment

cc: Division of Communications

I: 990455op.dwc

Survivad.

RECEIVED-FPSC

#### MEMORANDUM

August 25, 1999

SEP -8 PH 4: 36

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CALDWELL)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

1774 - PCO

Attached is an  $\underline{\text{ORDER GRANTING INTERVENTION}}$ , to be issued in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages in order - 3)

DWC/anc Attachment

cc: Division of Communications

I: 990455mc.dwc

SUP- made PAL-

RECEIVED-FPSC

August 25, 1999

SEP-8 PM 4:36

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CALDWELL)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

1775-Pco

Attached is an <u>ORDER GRANTING INTERVENTION</u>, to be issued in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages in order - 3)

DWC/anc Attachment

cc: Division of Communications

I: 990455ge.dwc

5 P. madel AR

August 25, 1999

RECEIVED-FPSC

SEP -8 PM 4:36

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO:

s 3

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CALDWELL)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

1776 Pco

Attached is an <u>ORDER GRANTING INTERVENTION</u>, to be issued in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages in order - 3)

DWC/anc Attachment

cc: Division of Communications

I: 990455bs.dwc

E OB. made - RAR

August 25, 1999

RECEIVED-FPSC

59 SEP -8 PM 4: 36

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (CALDWELL)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

1777-PCD

Attached is an <u>ORDER GRANTING INTERVENTION</u>, to be issued in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages in order - 3)

DWC/anc Attachment

cc: Division of Communications

I: 990455bm.dwc

S Co. wested - 2 Are -

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

	Requisition for	Photocopying a	nd Mailing	
Number of (	and the same of th	te <u>8</u> , <u>30,9</u> Copi	ies Per Original	19
Ager	nda For (Date)	Order No. 99-	1653 in Do 1651 in Do	cket No
	Special Specia	al Handling Instructions		
Number	Distributed/Mailed To Commission Offices		ber Distrit	oution/Mailed To
8	Docket Mailing List - Mailed  Docket Mailing List - Faxed			less specified here:
Note: Iter	ns must be mailed and/or return	ed within one working o	ady drief asue of	
Job Numbe Date and Tr	me Completed 8/31	verified By Job Checked For (	Michael	Quality (Initial)
	Mc	il Room Verification	1	

PSC/RAR 12(2/91)

### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

Number of	Requisition fo Originals  By	r Photocop		197 Fail
Requesied		- Item Presente	d	
Age	enda For (Date)	Order No	99-1771	In Docket No. 99045
Noti	ice of	_ For (Date) _	99-1776	In Docket No
Oth	er		99-1794	,1775
	Speci			11113.
		Distribution/Mail		
Number 19	Distributed/Mailed To Commission Offices Docket Mailing List - Mailed	macle RAII	Number	Distribution/Mailed To
19	Distributed/Mailed To Commission Offices	RAR		
Note: Ite	Distributed/Mailed To Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Mailed  Docket Mailing List - Faxed  ms must be mailed and/or return	ned within one w	ranking day after i	issue unless specified here:
Note: Item	Distributed/Mailed To Commission Offices Docket Mailing List - Mailed Docket Mailing List - Faxed ms must be mailed and/or return	ned within one within shop Verification.	ration (La) 'S	issue unless specified here:
Note: Item	Distributed/Mailed To Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Mailed  Docket Mailing List - Faxed  ms must be mailed and/or return  Fri ime Completed 9-16	ned within one within shop Verification.	rorking day after i	issue unless specified here:

PSC/RAR 12(2/91)

MEMORANDUM RECEIVED-FPSC

October 13, 1999

93 OCT 13 PM 1:49

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (B. KEATING)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

DOCKET NO. 990456-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 561 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990457-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 954 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 904 AREA CODE.

Attached is a MEMORANDUM, to be issued in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages - 1) Jakel 33/

BK/anc Attachment

cc: Division of Communications

I: 990517ic.bk

### MEMORANDUM MECEIVED FPSC

October 13, 1999 93 OCT 13 PM 4: 25

RECCHOS AND

TO: DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM: DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (B. KEATING)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

DOCKET NO. 990456-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 561 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990457-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 954 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 904 AREA CODE.

Attached is an ISSUE IDENTIFICATION MEMORANDUM, to be issued in the above-referenced dockets. (Number of pages - 1)

BK/anc Attachment

cc: Division of Communications

I: 990517id.bk

RECEIVED - FPSC

October 19, 1999

99 OCT 19 PM 3: 23

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO: DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM: DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (KEATING)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

DOCKET NO. 990456-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 561 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990457-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 954 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 904 AREA CODE.

Attached is a MEMORANDUM to be issued via facsimile, where a number is available, and otherwise issued by U.S. Mail, in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages - 1)

BK/anc Attachment

cc: Division of I: 990517is.bk

October 29, 1999

RECEIVED-FPSC

99 CCT 29 PM 3: 20

RECORDS AND

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (B. KEATING)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

DOCKET NO. 990456-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 561 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990457-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 954 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 904 AREA CODE.

2145 -PCO

Attached is an ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE, to be issued in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages in order - 13)

# **MUST GO TODAY**

BK/anc

Attachment

cc: Division of Communications

I: 990455ep.bk

attach online

### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

	Requistion	for Photocopying and Mailing
Number of (	Originals D	ate/
Age	nda For (Date)	— Item Presented  — Order No. 91 3145 In Docket No. 1945  For (Date) In Docket No. 1945
		cial Handling Instructions — 99056
Number Note: I	Distributed/ Mailed  Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Maile  Docket Mailing List - Faxed	ed
Job Number Date and Tin	33	Verified By Job Checked For Correctness and Quality (Initial)
	N	Nail Room Verification ————————————————————————————————————
Date Mailed		Verified By

PSC/RAR 12(9/99)

Berger
Davis &
Singerman
Professional Association

215 South Monroe Street Suite 705 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Phone: 850.561.3010

Daniel H. Thompson

email at: dthompson@bdslaw.com

December 9, 1999

BERGER & DAVIS

RECEIVED FPSC

#### VIA FACSIMILE

Blanca Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Public Service Commission 2540 Shurmard Oaks Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-6850

Re:

Docket Number 990455- TL

Dear Ms. Bayo:

This firm represents ADT Security Systems, Inc. On behalf of ADT, we hereby request that ADT be listed as an interested person in the above referenced cases. Please forward copies of relevant PSC documents to my attention at the address listed above. In addition, by copy of this letter I am requesting that the parties of record, on the attached service list, serve copies of their pleadings accordingly.

If there are any problems or concerns with this request, please call me at your earliest convenience. Thank you.

Yours truly,

BERGER DAVIS & SINGERMAN

Daniel H. Thompson

DHT:eam

cc:

Gray Finney Diana Caldwell

Placed in docket 12/13/99

6667.1/4832.005/BDS\_TAL 12/9/99 wp8

Miami · Fort Lauderdale · Tallahassee

#### Parties of Record Report for Docket 990455-TL As of 12/07/1999

Comments: None

AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc.

Address:

Tracy Hatch/Marsha Rule

101 North Monroe Street. Ste 700

Tallahassee, FL 32301 850-425-6364

Phone: FAX:

850-425-6361

Party of record: CASR list:

Yes

No

Represents:

Represented by:

Messer Law Firm

BellSouth Mobility, Inc.

Address:

Gloria Johnson

1100 Peachtree St. NE

Suite 910

Atlanta, GA 30309-4599

Phone:

FAX:

404-249-0453

Party of record: CASR list:

Yes No

Represents:

Represented by:

Holland Law Firm

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (TL720)

Address:

Ms. Nancy B. White c/o Nancy H. Sims 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1556 (850) 224-7798, (850) 222-1201

Phone: FAX:

850-222-8640

Party of record:

Yes Yes

CASR list:

Represents:

Represented by:

GTE Florida Incorporated

Address:

Kimberly Caswell P.O. Box 110, FLTC0007 Tampa, FL 33601-0110

Phone:

(813) 483-2617, (813) 483-2530

FAX:

(813) 223-4888

Party of record: CASR list:

Yes

Yes

Represents:

Represented by:

BERGER & DAVIS

#### Parties of Record Report for Docket 990455-TL As of 12/07/1999

Holland Law Firm

Address:

Bruce May

P.O. Drawer 810

Tallahassee, FL 32302-0810 (850) 224-7000 850-222-8185

Phone:

FAX: Party of record:

Yes

CASR list:

Yes

Represents:

BellSouth Mobility

Represented by:

Lockheed Martin IMS

Address:

D. Wayne Milby

Communications Industry Services

1133 15th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 (202) 756-5600

Phone:

FAX:

Party of record: CASR list:

Yes No

Represents: Represented by:

Morrison & Foerster Law Firm

Lockheed Martin IMS/NANPA

Address:

Thomas Foley

820 Riverbend Blvd. Longwood, FL 407-389-8929 32779

Phone:

407-682-1108

FAX: Party of record: CASR list:

Yes

No

Represents:

Represented by:

Morrison & Foerster

MCI WorldCom

Address:

Ms. Donna C. McNulty

325 John Knox Road, Suite 105 Tallahassee, FL 32303-4131 (850) 422-1254 850-422-2586

Phone:

FAX:

Party of record: CASR list:

Yes

Yes

Represents: Represented by:

- 2 -

#### Parties of Record Report for Docket 990455-TL As of 12/07/1999

MCI WorldCom, Inc.

Address:

Mr. Brian Sulmonetti

Six Concourse Parkway, Suite 3200

Atlanta, GA 30328 770-284-5493

Phone: FAX:

770-284-5488

Party of record: CASR list:

Yes No

Represents: Represented by:

Messer Law Firm

Address:

Floyd Self

215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 701

Tallahassee, FL 32301-1876

Phone: FAX:

850-222-0720 850-224-4359

Party of record: CASR list:

Yes Yes

Represents:

AT&T

Represented by:

Morrison & Foerster Law Firm

Address:

Kimberly D. Wheeler

2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006-1888 202-887-1500

Phone:

FAX:

202-887-0763

Party of record: CASR list:

Yes Yes

Represents:

Lockheed Martin

Represented by:

Omnipoint Communications

Address:

600 Ansin Blvd.

Hallandale, FL 33009

Phone:

954-457-5700

FAX:

954-457-5705

Party of record: CASR list:

Yes No

Represents:

Represented by:

Rutledge Law Firm

### Parties of Record Report for Docket 990455-TL As of 12/07/1999

Rutledge Law Firm

Address:

Kenneth Hoffman

P.O. Box 551

Tallahassee, FL 32302 850-681-6788

Phone: FAX:

850-681-6515

Party of record: CASR list:

Yes Yes

Represents:

Omnipoint

Represented by:

Sprint PCS

Address:

Joe Assenzo

Legal Department 4900 Main Street, 11th Floor Kansas City, MO 64112 816-559-1000

Phone:

FAX:

Party of record: CASR list:

Yes Yes

Represents: Represented by:

Sprint-Florida, Incorporated

Address:

Charles J. Rehwinkel/Susan Masterton P.O. Box 2214 Tallahassee, FL 32316-2214

Phone:

847-0244

FAX:

850-599-1458

Party of record: CASR list:

Yes

Yes

Represents: Represented by:

#### STATE OF FLORIDA

Commissioners: JOE GARCIA, CHAIRMAN J. TERRY DEASON SUSAN F. CLARK E. LEON JACOBS, JR.



DIVISION OF RECORDS & REPORTING BLANCA S. BAYÓ DIRECTOR (850) 413-6770

### Public Service Commission

February 3, 2000

Ms. Alicia Cook Key West City Hall 525 Angela Street Key West, FL 33040

Re: Docket No. 990455-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region

Dear Ms. Cook:

This will confirm our reservation of the Old City Hall Commission Chambers for the purpose of holding a Public Service Commission hearing on Tuesday, March 14, 2000, in the abovereferenced matter. The hearing will begin at 2:00 p.m. and we expect approximately 100 people to attend. We will need access to the room by 1:00 p.m.

As previously discussed, there is no charge for use of the room. A copy of our insurance certificate is enclosed.

I appreciate the opportunity to use this facility for our hearing. Please call my assistant, Sandy Moses, at (850) 413-6728, if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely

Blanca S. Bayó

Enclosure

BSB/skm

RECEIVED-FPSC

#### MEMORANDUM

February 28, 2000

00 FEB 28 PM 4: 27

REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (VACCARO

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED

NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

Attached is a Notice of Service Hearings to be issued in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages in notice - 2)

TV/sa

Attachment

cc: Division of Telecommunications (Ileri, Barrett)

I:990455nh.tv

70 t 10 M government officials

## FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

	Requistion fo	r Photocopying and Mailing
Number of O Requested By	riginals 2	Copies Per Original Copies Per Original
		Item Presented —————
Ager Notic Othe	da For (Date) ce of	Order No In Docket No In Docket No In Docket No In Docket No In Docket No In Docket No In Docket No In Docket No In Docket No In Docket No In Docket No In Docket No In Docket No In Docket No In Docket No In Docket No In Docket No In Docket No In Docket No
	Specia	I Handling Instructions ————————————————————————————————————
	Dis	stribution/Mailing"————————————————————————————————————
Number	Distributed/ Mailed To	Number Distribution/Mailed To
10 (D)	Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Mailed	
(70)	Docket Mailing List - Faxed	
Note: It	ems must be mailed and/or ret	urned within one working day after issue unless specified here:
	Delet	Shop Verification —
Joh Number	8	Verified By Willard Overline (Initial)
Date and Tim	e Completed 3 3	_ Job Checked For Correctness and Quality (Initial)
	1996 - 1997 - 19	
		I Room Verification
Date Mailed		Verified By
C/RAR 12(9/99)		

# 990455



RECEIVED-FPSO 00 MAR 29 PH 1: 33 RECOLUS AND REPORTING

402 WALL ST. • KEY WEST, FL 33040 • 305-294-2587 • FAX 305-294-7806

March 14, 2000

Commissioner Joe Garcia, Chairman Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0867

Dear Chairman Garcia,

On behalf of the Board of Directors of the Key West Chamber of Commerce, I would like to thank you for your commitment to public input on this issue of Monroe County retaining the 305 area code and seven digit dialing. This issue is critical to maintaining a sense of community and is vital to the County. Hopefully, the extended authority granted by the FPSC in the fall of 1999 will facilitate the interests of this community. Such authority, per the PSC news release dated 9/16/99 allows state regulators the authority to issue 1,000 number blocks and reclaim and redistribute unused numbers.

To review the history of this issue: after initial warnings about shortages in 1998, this community was assured that we would be insulated from any area code change, layover, etc. because of our relatively low-growth demand for telephone numbers. Demographically, the Keys have 80,000 residents and 2.5 million visitors with significant regulatory limitation that precludes any significant growth of these populations. Exploding Miami-Dade county has 2 million residents and 10 million visitors and is the reason for the dangerously low depletion of 305 numbers. Unfortunately, the continued distribution of 10,000 number blocks wasn't halted early enough and the 305 numbering options are again considered to be at emergency low levels.

The options 1-13 in the March 2000 Special Report each have this community facing a changed, overlaid, or new area code and the potential for ten digit dialing. None of these are supported by the Key West Chamber of Commerce, which had been assured of 305 maintenance and seven digit dialing. The maintenance of the status quo is very important to the tourist industry, which is the sole underpinning of our economy. Our office, which takes over 100,000

tourist inquiry calls per year, takes more than half on 305 lines—the advertising for which is distributed globally.

Representing the business community of Key West, this organization strongly urges the PSC to consider one or more of the following steps to maintain the 305 area code and seven digit dialing in Monroe County:

- Allocate all remaining 305 numbers for Monroe County
- As Miami-Dade faces one or more new area codes, eliminate the 305 area code from Miami-Dade and make it a Monroe-only area code
- Effect toll-free calling by consolidating the Keys' seven rate centers into one
  or two, as long as the required increased cost for basic service is not
  prohibitive
- Aggressively pursue the reclamation of unused numbers; if the rate centers are combined into two, it would seem logical to reclaim 50,000 blocks from those companies which are retaining 70,000 unused numbers—practically one additional number for every resident of the Keys.
- Limit new number distribution blocks to 1,000 where possible

The Key West Chamber of Commerce recognizes that much of your actions are governed by the Federal Communications Commission and understands that the PSC seeks to fulfill the preference of the community within the regulatory parameters. Our organization urges you to implement all measures necessary to preserve the 305 area code and seven digit dialing in Monroe County. I thank you for your consideration of our community.

Sincerely,

Virginia A. Panico

Executive Vice-President

cc:

**FPSC Commissioners** 

FPSC File

Honorable Mayor and Commissioners, City of Key West Honorable Mayor and Commissioners, Monroe County BOCC The Honorable Ken Sorenson, FL. House of Representatives The Honorable Daryl Jones, Florida Senate Key West Chamber of Commerce Board of Directors

March 15, 2000



TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (VACCARO)/

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

Please place the attached in the correspondence side of the above-referenced docket. Thank you.

TV/sa Attachment

DOE LODGE

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE
Lan Dioladay	Key Coloney Beach	743-3866
	6099 95 HWY MARNTHON	743-6883
3/10/10	Key Colony Bch	289 357
Harris Dank		43-3044
2 long starning	Sundance Village	2
Jacky moures	1 Bo anything	289-9456
the wife the saw	1717177700	A STATE OF THE STA
Dom Guderen	Joseph marada	664-2780
LAW Paplor	Islamorocca	852,-9369
Villiain & Hilf.	Big Pine Ley, FL, 33043	872-2325
Kusty Maffin	Marathon	
Lenda Dyhan	6099 0/5 Hwy 10w Marathon	289-0145
Hay Laher	59275 OVERSEAS HWY	743-7088
Storge Tiston	59.275 4	742-2886
Livre Tiston	59-275 11 11	743-7088
Jennes Treene	547 27 WST Marathon	289-8990
Paix Sulkinger	6099 OWERSEAS HWY IOW MATH,	289-0145
William Collin	201 & OCEAN DR, Key Colony Beach	743 - 3866
Chim Lhun	57484 6,BSON ST BRASS Ky	743-6672
Tresh Williams		f. D. O. C.
	115 AllyNA Marathan	743-4601
al Marjan	2018 ocean Dr. KCB	743-4601
Sara morgen f	2018, Joean Dr. KCB	
sent forces po	6099 Queaser TOE MAL	289-9096
Jones De Young		743-6987
ganet Lefvend	901 W 63 rd 54	743-2468
Loglo Irozmer	72 St Let 44	289 4007
Clf Jelle	304 109 Ocean manthor	289-1495
	304 189 Ocean Magasthan	743 9979
SAUTON MAIATICE	600-105 THST OCEAN MARATHON	
Janey Jalling	103 LUA & CO CO CLUM YAKITOOD	743-0405
Ja Jallelys	115 Gre D Maralppa	743-2240
Tarport 7 Laler	115 ave D Maratha	743-2241)
Lasly L. Smoth	1361 O/SHuy D.3 Marethon, El.	289-0877
Las Ling Fully	/ /	
TOM MORRIS	1217 SOMBRERO BLVD #36, MTH	7435410
PATRICK FAY	593 SomBAO Rd. MTh	743-3213
	15 WC. Key RV Park 60999S HYWY	
KIVIN Briskworth	1001 WOlen Wren	743-7011
Mac. Mc Kenzie	11725 3rd ave Marathon	289-0458
Lovice M' Laughhi		(393-0443
Carol m Fay	POBOX 501295, Marathon	743-3213
Plant Diff	Marathon	731-042
Claire Duffy	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
cuce smile	maralhow	7432882
Hat mogon	marathon	743-796(
Daniar Cornetago	Marathon	743-4983
Thylles Mc Kynnog	marathon	743 - 5934
Leorge March	MpRothod	289-289-
Fillien Vatton	marathan	743-2586
	marathon	743-5981

SALES OF THE SALES AND ADDRESS OF THE SALES AN		DIVONE
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE
Lym O. Goodin	P.O. Sox 510186 180 10mst. Key Colony Reh. FL 33051-0186	0 305-289-0621 N 305-743-4860
Lanice Persound	1902 YELLOWIAL, MARKATHUM, FL 33050	305-289-0706
Shaven Johnson	BOX 296 240 8th St Key Colony Belit	305 743-4141
Charlette Wilson Mayor	1318TH ST KEY COLONYBEACHFL	305-743-7921
Edward There	760 95T K-CB-	305 2841970
MIRE TRACY	Z30 4TH ST., KEY COLONY BCH., FLA. 33057	305-743-9177
Waril Retersen	425 Ocean Dr. E. Key Colon Beach	305-743-4443
Bill Pathbur	P.O. Box 510740 Key Colony Berch	305-743-5916
Pat Rentibun	11	1/2 1/2
PAULETTE CHOZZI	391-12TH SV KeyColay Leh	305-743-424
Stone Dynlear	Cay Condo Key Color Ba	305.289-1631
S. HAMADI	141-9TH - 18ey Colory Ich	305-743-2941
Pete Johnson	BOX 296 KCB FL	743-4141
Mary Jaly		-
M. Of Dill	150-45T KCB	289-0082
Hary & Micre	BOX 510474 KCB	289.0522
Darbard Steven	,	289-1308
Marilyn Halsson	0.00	
Denise Al Crow	P.O. Box 510364 KCB	289-4459
Trelew Mc Howard	PO. Box 510069 19-C.B	289 1680
Darkene Misto	P. D Box 5100 ts	289-1934
CORA HENRY	11563 4th AVE OCCAN	743-7675
Streety of	80 7 The sterest	743-5606
Golando Apares	35 7 th St.	743-1391
Neconia Chuston	P.O Boy 5/0281 KCB	743-3357
MARIE DE GRAW	P.O. Box 510853 K.C.B.	743-7214
Centra J. Perry	POBOF 510168 KCB	289-1390
Charles Sario	115 and D #3 Caco Plum Deine	289-0128
(Reyann Lussey)	P.O. Box 510508 #38 7th St Key Colony	1. 743-9092
E. J. GENADER	BOX 510728 721 11 HISTER	1
ROGER S. WOOD	P.O. BOX 510288 K.C.B. FL	289-1757
Sagly World	P. 0 Bof 510288 40B Fl	289-1757
and a Donate	P.O. Buy 510728 KCB 30	289-1973
Wally Hashell	161 and St. KCB Fe.	289-8949
Potrice Cahell	480 galt KCB Fl	743-7843
Christine Addison	29955 Cean Lane B.P. K. FL	872-5761
Timothy KNIGHT	3)56 Dorn Rd	872-98223
RAVOT PRIBALK	1704 SOUTH STORM KRYWS	
hong Romain	3116 Auveria Rakit	
	450-41 ST KCB	743-1921
Houg danson	350 11th = VPR	743-4626
field of Key	350 /1 57 KCB 540 12th St KCB	143-1147
Dig I Gotton		743-2364
DIAMA SAMUNAC	125 PIRATES COVE	
Almania.	125 Pirajos Cove	743-2364
John & Harlan	371 4th 3th KCB	2433491
	1 3 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11023001
Frank Copy In	9-0-Box 570746 JEB	305-393-1061

Doris york	ADDRESS	PHONE
1 0	7/1 1xt/ V+	7-1
1 1	16/10/0	743-5982
Lennyer Dagorougolie	9057 OS HWY.	743.5142
Dian Dobrowski	9051 0/5 Hwy	743-5142
Jelen augustian	417 Harbour Dr.	743-2330
rehard of Korts	144 Guara am	289-0551
Natalie Wagner	302 Banyan D.K.	289 0490
Elugela M. Cortner	108 Avenue 6. Marathon	289-6252
Spobrason	22826 Cudwe Drv. Dudjocky	744-0138
Shar Tale	21-78 STKC19	743-1012
Fran Davella	380 Ols Him	743-9090
Wistian C Kellenberger	10802 YAVE GUIF MARATHONER 33050	
LOVE JEZAND	8052 BODITO DR. MHH FCA 33040	
hadlene & Sprinkle	P.O. BOX 501593 MARAHION, FL	288-0545
Carthy Whath	P8 1280 501416 Maratum H	743-5115
Aude Sult	2.0. By 43049 7 BeAuro Key	872-155
1 Anno Ken	880 3712 Street Marshan	289-1096
Troll Broth	1500 5240 Maraghan	743-3757
YLE CORGAN	11400 OLS HWY #104 MARATHOW	289-4346
Ma. Legid	2860 DOLPHIN De MANTITON	743-3751
	253 Ventembly Symulan Cey	292-716
Jon All	P.O. BOX STELOS MARRATHEN P1.	289 - 2413
Lis Coape	9546 GULFSTREAM MARATHON	743-2246
Justile Cordove	8095 Tune R. Marathon	743-2212
lathan Grile	300 123 rd Gullo Marathan	743-457
by She	ROX 001727 Hendles	73(391
Dean Hering	PO 510472 KCB	743-7646
JANY A REDELBALL	3103 OVERSEASHLOY MARATON FI-	289-2410
Buball Hashardo	2001 ForBorde for	743-2548
Fane & adartin Vegy	1800 dodenor Love marather Fr	
Day Inno	P.O.Box 500668 marather	743-728:
Shyllis A. Retly	1575 Blue Fin Dr. Mayorhou Ha	743-6029
LOBGET W, 4633 J	= 30440 COCONUT HUY BPK	872-0430
VIRGINIA H. REID	8917 Ofs Awy. MARATHON	743-2580
mag Danger	118 MOCKINGBIRDILXME	743-6890
BILLIAN		443-639
Jegnine Skolemowski	10956 3nd and Iself marketin	289-109
also Shreening	N202 Mina Villa Sh Neich Key	74/3-7000 X4802
Dato phaneism	26594 TORNER ROAD LITTLE TORCH KEN	877 3968
Agene Nipeyes	138A-M. INSies De Duck Keg- 3300	743-7000 -×35
gray Hakes	740 Sombrero BCh Blud	289-0052
Mayle Verya-Oppin	6 10 997 ST Ocean MANGET 1/13	BOD 289 200
SVALFRIE ROTOLANTE	angororius L. MARATHON FL	289-0741
DOWALD & MUTCHLIASTER	3103 c/s HUT MARATHON FL	289 2430
Pam Aldridge	331-9# St. Key Colony Beach	743-2324

area code or the institution of 10 digit dialing procedures.				
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE		
Tiek Morton	721 WOCEAN PR KCB	7430285		
Sack Wilson	436 E. Ocean Dr. K.C.B	743-4326		
O D Sin	11283 4T+ MANATHUN	743 9673		
AD-Full	248 Depter Dr	743-7860		
The truck along	20,1/20 12 1/2 18	1343-52		
Jennes John	5105 954 & Walalker	5183701		
BISTOR	0 0 0 0	280 174		
Suf wash	661 9TST - 1(1)	4 2		
1308 Smith	250 8th	L 2		
J. Arai Sunch	- Ly			
MARY DEHILARS		743-5366		
Ed Cottle	760-11 St KCB			
Con Thomas	9/126 Aviotion Blud	743-7530		
1 xchipears 1	91129 AVIATION BLVD	289-8559		
Michaelna Modifell	8001 Porpose Dr.	743-4285		
Jahn Wedan	PO BOX 604436 MAGTHON	289 578/		
ina Wais	1053 7Lettst. Marathon	743-4730		
Judith Valligo	PO BOX 510257 Key Colony, Beach	1		
for Housen	P.O. BOX 562 GuyColony Bin	743 8872		
They MacM	575 75TH MANATAL	743-2913		
the Rights	205 5th St. K.C.B	743-5407		
Vernom Zelshell	170 104 St. K.CB	743-4554		
Ism Hanimond	11587 O/S HWY	289-2089		
Collieu F. Moreliouse	POBEX SIONTENS ARD Morallo	7439757		
France L. Crist	60845 2nd Ave Culf	289-8824		
Hand Dan A	436 HARboUR OR Deck King	743-8539		
Lesen Lella	70. Box 5/0853 Key Colony Bands A	743-7214		
7/1/1	P.O BOX 500084 nmony 12	73/03/3		
PFHCHUGH.	520 3 RJ ST	94/3-3747		
Copores A Smith	750 W. Ocean Dr. 1CCB	743-4853		
		10		
	30			
- fig.				
al 15s				
	40			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
, i				
· .	2			
	163	1		

area code or the institution of 10 digit dialing procedures.				
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE		
Marilyn X Jause	541 9 MSF. Kes Colon Delen	7743-8872		
Jaly Some	2 22 /	743-8173		
John & Snow	2895 Harborton Marathan			
Chair Columnit	220 Ky le Av Grassy Vicy	743-4395		
Ju mum	11400 % Hwy MARHTHAN	743-4782		
Front Deves	2895 Hospin Dr. Mirahan 1120 7915 Street Marathon	289-0057		
4 / A	9	743.2946		
Chais Amsker	9 Sonberew Bluet 209 Months	2989-900K		
Muchello france	Po Box 1795 Symonas	664-3450		
Sackie Gonzalez	1148 blud de Folmas	743-5514		
Diana Gilham	PO BOX 500806	743-8225		
wesley il. unglit	820-50th Street Gulf Harathan	743-3828		
Sally Dopans	7705 Mills Dr. Marthan	743-8442		
Dul Judy	171 ARBOVICODE TRU.	852-5754		
2 10	17-00 18th ALL GUR Mara	743-5240		
Adam v Gience	448 80 15 That dear Morathy	289,9308		
Timmethy C. Caka	PO. Box 501168 Marathon 71	743-4456		
NAGON HELLER	7970 PORPOISE DR	743-2171		
John Wand	130-109+8+6V1F	743-6232		
Kyestin Coccia	10802 DAE Gult Magathan	281-9789		
The same of the sa	448 925+ Marathan	289-8586		
Wim Banks	970 1225 Marathon	209-1300		
	(1)			
4				

area code or the institution of 10 digit dialing procedures.				
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE		
Wm Collins	Key Colony Beach	unlisted		
Lat Ground	Key Colon Black	7434117		
Ina Stull	1 1 11	743-8720		
MRS MRS MELVIN SOLA	Kc B	289-0064		
Oarolin Spellman	Kas	7434044		
Besty Goel Merrimon	KPB	743-7387		
angelina little Roman	KCB	743-2607		
Roselliemeyer	KCB	743-2937		
"AL HUMAN !	KeB	743-4929		
Frances Keen	K.C.B.	743 - 7365		
John Hierneys	Key Colony Beach	743- 3937		
Jane - Fran Junderson	K.C.B.	743 3598		
1289 Amost	KCB	299-1075		
Marge Kirly	RCB	743-454		
Janda Morriso	FCB	289-1707		
Janet Frank	307	287-75-22		
John Low Brogelen				
By + Leuren Brogeter	3235			
1. 44. 10. 1	100	109 7522		
Jane & Grant Broads		289-7522		
I a M. Canvin	KCB	289-0627		
Carla Ber Morga	1 1 2 8	743-4601		
	*			
	2			
	9			
,				
	Met C			
(Carlotte)	All some of the second of the			
,				

We the people of the Florida Keys are vehemently opposed to any change of area code or the institution of 10 digit dialing procedures. ADDRESS PHONE NAME 743-2063 763 TOU KEMI

area code or the institution of 10 digit dialing procedures.				
NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE		
VIOLET ROTH	7/2 5/5 ST. Gulf #C	743-2826		
JANET CZYKIERDA	7/2 5/SI ST. GUIF HC	743-2826		
PATRICIA BURKE	1902 Yellowtail DR.	289-0706		
BRIGID Fowler	7967 Gulfstream Blus, Marathon	743-9300		
Barbara Fowler	7967 Gutfstreim Blud Morathan	743-9547		
	- 101001 1 - 11			
Eric Mixon	1 1			
Jennifer Mixon	Lens 694 Street O. Marathan			
Fire Chaplin	5190 ofs Hwy MARAL	743-9474		
Chery Masis	30380 Porncianha Rd, Bie, Pine	872-5486		
Archard bussa	22429Th Sto, Marathon	743-7505		
Corner Muches	133 Coce Plus Dr Merather	743-8578		
	V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V			
		U - HE STEEL WAS A SHAP ON IN		

NAME	ADDRESS	PHONE
		The state of the s



### Public Service Commission

#### -M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

**DATE:** March, 21, 2000

TO: Blanca Bayó, Director, Records and Reporting

FROM: Jane Faurot, Chief, Bureau of Reporting

RE: DOCKET NO. 990455-TL, MIAMI SERVICE HEARING, HELD 3-13-00.

RE:

REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF

FOR THE 305/781 AREA CODE - DATE COUNTY AND MONROE

COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

DOCUMENT NO:

03512, 3-20-00

The transcript for the above proceedings has been completed and is forwarded for placement in the docket file, including attachments.

Please note that Staff distribution of this transcript was made to:

LEGAL, AFAD, CMU

Acknowledged by:

JK/pc

PSC/RAR 28 (Rev7/94)



### Public Service Commission

#### -M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

**DATE:** March, 27, 2000

TO: Blanca Bayó, Director, Records and Reporting

FROM: Jane Faurot, Chief, Bureau of Reporting

RE: DOCKET NO. 990455-TL, KEY WEST SERVICE HEARING, HELD 3-14-00.

RE: REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF

FOR THE 305/781 AREA CODE - DATE COUNTY AND MONROE

COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

DOCUMENT NO: 03605, 3-22-00

The transcript for the above proceedings has been completed and is forwarded for placement in the docket file, including attachments.

Please note that Staff distribution of this transcript was made to:

LEGAL, AFAD, CMU

Acknowledged by:

JK/pc

PSC/RAR 28 (Rev7/94)

### 561 AREA CODE

DOCKET NO. 990456-TL

RECEIVED-FPSC

00 APR -4 PM 4:48

Name Bill Hearn, Sha Printing by Hearn RECORDS AND REPORTING

Address 5051 Tozar Road, Fort Pierce, Florida 34946

I have owned and operated a printing business in Furtherne, Florida since 1961 and Know the hardships and extra expense that my customen face when things like 21p cooks and area codes are changed. Please consider my following comments:

If you want to let the Public Service Commission know how you feel about this case, please fill out this comment form and return it by mail. It will be placed in the correspondence file of this docket.

CONSUMER COMMENTS
1.) Add a new greacode to the present Sol area, with the split
occurring at the Martin, Palm Deach county line. All folks in Palm
Beach county would be in one area and all in the Martin, St. Lucie
4 Indian River counties in the other area.
2) Allow the 561 area code to remain with the 3 northern counties
as we have had 3 different area codes in the past 15 years. If
assigned a new one, it would be the fourth new area code.
3) If the suggestion in # 1 above would project to exhaust in less
than 20 years, then add a new area code to each country so
we won't be inconvenienced again so soon.
4.) Assign the new grea code(s) ASAP and allow the use of
either the old or the new area code for as long as possible
So businesses will not have to reprint their stationing etc.
So soon. (The added cost usually is approximately 25.00
to each ifem ordered to typeset, make new negative of plate
to produce the revised job.)
Any questions or if you want additional information, please call
(561) 461-7526. Sincerely,
6 Suttlem

HEARN 5051 Tozour Road Fort Pierce, FL 34946







Florida Public Service Commission
Division of Records and Reporting
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Altertion: Barry Ray

Fold Here

Tape

Fold Here



# Public Service Commission

### -M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: APRIL 6, 2000

TO: Blanca Bayó, Director, Records and Reporting

FROM: Jane Faurot, Chief, Bureau of Reporting

RE: DOCKET NO. 990456-TL, SERVICE HEARING HELD IN FORT PIERCE

ON 3-24-00 AND IN WEST PALM BEACH 3-23-00

RE: REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 561 AREA CODE.

DOCUMENT NO. FORT PIERCE, 04176, 4-5-00; AND 04175, 4-5-00

The transcript for the above proceedings has been completed and is forwarded for placement in the docket file, including attachments.

Please note that Staff distribution of this transcript was made to:

LEGAL, AFAD, CMU

Acknowledged by:

mas

JK/pc

PSC/RAR 28 (Rev7/94)

### MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

SAN FRANCISCO LOS ANGELES SACRAMENTO ORANGE COUNTY PALO ALTO WALNUT CREEK DENVER

2000 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-1888 TELEPHONE (202) 887-1500 TELEFACSIMILE (202) 887-0763 NEW YORK LONDON BRUSSELS BEIJING HONG KONG SINGAPORE

TOKYO

Writer's Direct Dial Number (202) 887-8750

DO APR 26 AM IO: 00
RECORDS AND
RECORDS AND
REPORTING

April 25, 2000

### By Overnight Courier

Ms. Linda Williams Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re:

Prehearing Statement of NeuStar, Inc.

Docket Nos. 990455-TL, 990456-TL, 990457-TL

and 990517-TL

Dear Ms. Williams:

Enclosed please find a diskette containing the Prehearing Statement of NeuStar, Inc., as the North American Numbering Plan Administrator. Please date-stamp the enclosed return copy as received and return it in the attached self-addressed stamped envelope.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Kimberly Wheeler

Counsel for NeuStar, Inc.

North American Numbering Plan

Administrator

Enclosure

RECEIVED & FILED

PAGE BUREAU OF RECORDS

SERVICE SMANSSON

OD APR 26 AM 9: 19

MAIL ROOM

# MEMORANDUMECEIVED-FPSC

April 27, 2000 00 APR 27 PM 1:48

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (B. KEATING)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

DOCKET NO. 990456-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 561 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990457-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 954 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 904 AREA CODE.

0839-PCO

Attached is an <u>ORDER GRANTING COMMISSION STAFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE DIRECT TESTIMONY AND ESTABLISHING DATE FOR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY</u> which is ready for issuance. (Number of pages in order -5)

BK/jmb Attachment

cc: Division of Communications

I: 990517ox.bk

MUSTGOTODAY

Danted 20/2



#### Ruth McHargue

From:

Angie Hashisho

Sent:

Tuesday, March 14, 2000 12:39 PM

To:

Ruth McHargue

Cc:

Angie Hashisho

Subject: FW: Florida Keys 305 area code numbers

Enter in as a protest?

----Original Message----

From: Thomas Marks [mailto:tom1\_pts@email.msn.com]

Sent: Monday, March 13, 2000 5:03 PM

To: contact@psc.state.fl.us

Subject: Florida Keys 305 area code numbers

Dear Sir or Madam:

This is regard to the situation in the keys with a "shortage" of 305 area code numbers. I hope you will find a way to order the return of those numbers that are allotted but unused. If this is not possible, forcing a choice between ten digit dialing or a new area code, please

#### choose ten digit dialing, preserving the 305 area code for all numbers

It is very important to me as a full-time resident and small business owner to keep my phone numbers without any changes. Maybe registering my preference will help a little. Thank you for your efforts on our behalf.

Tom Marks PO Box 430679 Big Plne Key FL 33043 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

WALL TO A T	Requistion fo	or Photocopying and Mailing	
lumber of C	Driginals 5 Date	Copies Per Original 15	
Age		Order No. <u>00 - 0839</u> In Docket No. <u>99</u> For (Date) In Docket No	0455
	Specie	al Handling Instructions ————————————————————————————————————	
	D	Distribution/Mailing"	
Number 15	Distributed/ Mailed To Commission Offices Docket Mailing List - Mailed	d	ailed Id
Note:	Docket Mailing List - Faxed  Items must be mailed and/or re	returned within one working day after issue unless specified h	ere:
	Pri	int Shop Verification	
lob Numbe Date and T	er49 ime Completed	Verified By	nitial)
	— Мо	ail Room Verification	
Date Maile	d	Verified By	
C/RAR 12(9/9	9)		

MEMORANDUM RECEIVED-FPSC

May 2, 2000

00 MAY -2 PM 1:50

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (B. KEATING)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

DOCKET NO. 990456-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 561 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990457-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 954 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 904 AREA CODE.

Attached is a NOTICE OF PREHEARING AND HEARING to be issued in the above-referenced dockets. (Number of pages in notice - 3)

BK/jmb

Attachment

cc: Division of Communications

I: 990517no.jmb

26 Albacions

## FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

	Requistion fo	or Photocopying	g and Mailin	g
Number of (	Date  Driginals	2 3 1 8 1 Copie	es Per Original	489
. 4		Itaaa Duananta	al	5:1
INOT	nda For (Date) ice of er	_ Order No _ For (Date)	lr	Docket No.
	Specie	al Handling Ins	tructions —	
	7 , 10, 2 ,	n L		entletee X
				U".
Number	Distributed/ Mailed To		Number (28	Distribution/Mailed To
Note:	tems must be mailed and/or re	turned within one wor	king day after issu	ue unless specified here:
Job Number Date and Tir	Pring S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	nt <b>Shop Verifica</b> — Verified By —  Job Checked	tion W.S. For Correctnes	ss and Quality (Initial) ——
Date Mailed		il Room Verific  Verified By	ation —	2

PSC/RAR 12(9/99)

1990455 | 8

81/17

## LORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

	Requistion	for Photocopying	and Mailing	
Number of (	Dai Originals	copies	Per Original489	
Agenda For (Date)		Order No.	In Docket No.	
Notice of		For (Date)	In Docket No. 5969	<u>S</u> -0
	Speci	al Handling Instr	uctions —————	<b></b>
	21 NOLICES - )	0107 K	or Mailed toclan X	
			0 "	
		Distribution/Mailir		-
Number	Distributed/ Mailed To	73.	Number Distribution/Mailed To	0
0	Commission Offices		468	
	Docket Mailing List - Mailed			
	Docket Mailing List - Faxed			
Note:	Items must be mailed and/or r	eturned within one workir	ng day after issue unless specified here:	
المتعان يحدلها	Pri	nt Shop Verification	on —	
Joh Numbe	88	Verified By	W.S.	
Date and Ti	me Completed 5-8	Ioh Checked Fo	or Correctness and Quality (Initial)	
Dale and II	me Completed	JOD CHECKER TO	in corrections and county (initial) ==	
	Mo	ail Room Verificat	ion A A A	
Date Mailed			44	

PSC/RAR 12(9/99)

990455 63(8)



## Public Service Commission

### -M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

**DATE:** May 16, 2000

TO: Blanca Bayó, Director, Records and Reporting

FROM: Jane Faurot, Chief, Bureau of Reporting

RE: DOCKET NO. 990455, 456, 457 and 517-TP, PREHEARING HELD 5-11-00

RE:

REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF

FOR AREA CODES 305, 786 561, 954, 904

DOCUMENT NO. 06022, 5-16-00

The transcript for the above proceedings has been completed and is forwarded for placement in the docket file, including attachments.

Please note that Staff distribution of this transcript was made to:

LEGAL, AFAD, CMU

Acknowledged BY:

Mus JF/pc

PSC/RAR 28 (Rev1/00)

DOCUMENT NO.

12578-05

RECEIVED-FPSC

### MEMORANDUM

00 MAY 17 PM 1: 15

May 17, 2000

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (B. KEATING)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

DOCKET NO. 990456-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 561 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990457-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 954 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 904 AREA CODE.

0961-PHO

Attached is a <u>PREHEARING ORDER</u> signed by Chairman Garcia and is ready for issuance. (Number of pages in order - 31)

BK/jmb

Attachment

cc: Division of Communications

I: 990517po.bk

MUSTGOTODAY

25/10

# FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

Number of C	Driginals	Copie	s Per Origin	nal
Requested By	- Auch			
11955		Item Presente	d ——	327 4 55
Agenda For (Date)		Order No. 20	- 0961	In Docket No. 990456
Noti	ce of	_ For (Date)		In Docket No. 990457
	er			770312
			12702 0611	
	———— Specio	al Handling Ins	tructions ·	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY
	D	istribution/Mail	ling'—	
Number	Distributed/ Mailed To			Distribution/Mailed To
18				
18	Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Mailed			
10	Commission Offices	_		
10 25	Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Mailed  Docket Mailing List - Faxed			issue unless specified here:
10 25	Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Mailed		king day after	issue unless specified here:
10 25	Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Mailed  Docket Mailing List - Faxed		king day after	issue unless specified here:
16 25 Note: 1	Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Mailed  Docket Mailing List - Faxed  tems must be mailed and/or re	turned within one wor		
16 25 Note: 1	Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Mailed  Docket Mailing List - Faxed  tems must be mailed and/or re	turned within one wor		
16 25 Note: 1	Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Mailed  Docket Mailing List - Faxed  tems must be mailed and/or re	turned within one wor		
10 25 Note: 1	Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Mailed  Docket Mailing List - Faxed  tems must be mailed and/or re	turned within one wor		
10 25 Note: 1	Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Mailed  Docket Mailing List - Faxed  tems must be mailed and/or re  Prir	aturned within one wor The shop Verifica Verified By — Job Checked	tion For Correct	
16 25 Note: 1	Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Mailed  Docket Mailing List - Faxed  tems must be mailed and/or re  Prir	turned within one wor	tion For Correct	
Note: I Job Number Date and Tir	Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Mailed  Docket Mailing List - Faxed  tems must be mailed and/or re  Prir	aturned within one wor The Shop Verifica Verified By — Job Checked The Shop Verifica	For Correct	ness and Quality (Initial)

PSC/RAR 12(9/99)

### State of Florida



# Public Service Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

**DATE:** May 23, 2000

TO: Blanca Bayo, Director, Division of Records and Reporting

FROM: William B. Berg, Assistant to Commissioner Deason

RE: Intercepted Communications From an Interested Party Received in Docket No:

990455-TL, 990456-TL, 990457-TL AND 990517-TL

This office has received the attached correspondence from Gilbert Yablon, SMART Dialing Systems. The correspondence has not been viewed or considered in any way by Commissioner Deason. Under the terms of the advisory opinion from the Commission on Ethics (issued July 24, 1991 as COE 91-31-JULY 19, 1991), the following letter does not constitute an ex parte communication by virtue of the fact that it was not shown to the Commissioner. Because it is not deemed to be an ex parte communication, it does not require dissemination to parties pursuant to the provisions of Section 350.042, Florida Statutes. However; in such cases Commissioner Deason has requested that a copy of the correspondence and this memo be, as a matter of routine, placed in the correspondence side of the file in this docket.

WBB:mm

.914 Dumetz Road - Woodland Hills,

5/15/00

Commissioner J. Terry Deason Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

c.c. Chairman Joe Garcia Commissioner Susan F. Classoner Co.c. Commissioner E. Leon Jacobs, Jr. Deason

c.c. Commissioner Lila A. Jaber

Re: 8-Digit Dialing in Overlays and Dockets 990455-TL, 990456-TL, 990457-TL and 990517-TL

Dear Commissioner Deason,

I am the author of the 8-Digit Overlay proposal which the Florida PSC has recently been investigating. The proposal describes how NPA relief can be provided in a manner that is less disruptive than geographic splits and traditional overlays.

The 8-Digit Overlay is consumer friendly, competitively neutral and technically workable. It does not require any modification to the North American Numbering Plan (NANP), and can be implemented locally without having any impact on dialing patterns or telecommunications systems outside of the region where it would be implemented.

With this plan, all calls within overlay regions can be dialed using only 8-digits -- providing a functionally equivalent alternative to 10-digit dialing which is currently required in overlays.

Because the proposal merely provides a shortcut method for dialing standard 10-digit telephone numbers within overlay regions, and because the proposed 8-digit dialing system maintains competitive neutrality for both users and service providers, the Florida Commission has the authority to implement this relief solution independent of national regulatory or telecommunication industry consensus.

I have included a copy of a letter written by the 1996/1997 co-chair of the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) supporting the technical feasibility of this proposal.

Also attached is a brief description of how the 8-Digit Overlay would function.

More information is available at the website www.SMARToverlay.com, or by contacting me

I respectfully request that the Florida Commission devote the necessary time and resources to seriously evaluate this proposal on its merits, so that Florida consumers can benefit from the advantages it offers.

Please include this letter and the attachments in the record for Dockets 990455-TL, 990456-TL, 990457-TL, 990517-TL, and any other appropriate venues, so that it can be appropriately addressed and considered.

I am available to answer any questions you may have regarding this proposal, and request the opportunity to respond to any of your concerns.

Sincerely,

Gilbert Yablon

SMART Dialing Systems

818-999-1070 - 24 hour voicemail

818-956-2200 - M - F 10:00 AM - 7:00 PM Pacific Time

888-793-1315 - alpha-numeric pager

gilbert@SMARToverlay.com - email

ATTACHMENTS

July 11, 1999

Re: Technical feasibility of the 8-Digit Dialing Plan proposed by Gilbert J. Yablon

To Whom It May Concern:

In July of 1997 I retired as the Executive Director - Operations and Engineering for the United States Telephone Association (USTA) after 36 plus years of experience encompassing diverse disciplines in the telecommunications industry.

As the Executive Director of the Technical Disciplines Department, I managed a large portion of the technical committee activities at USTA associated with numbering issues. As part of my responsibilities, I was required to regularly attend and participate in most of the industry meetings concerning numbering matters. I served as Chair or Co-Chair on a number of these various committees and working groups including the Industry Numbering Committee (INC).

I have thoroughly reviewed Gilbert J. Yablon's 8-Digit Dialing Plan and have found it to be a technically feasible plan that should be considered when addressing area code relief. The plan does conform to the NANP in that each customer retains a seven digit address (ten digit including area code) and the eighth digit is used for dialing purposes only to identify the specific NPA.

As with any plan to provide relief, there will be costs associated with the plan. The cost and the extent of equipment modifications that will incurred by the industry for each of the alternatives considered can only be addressed by the industry. The same is true for the cost to be incurred by the consumer. A cross-section of consumer groups needs to compile this data. And no matter what plan is implemented there will be customer confusion and education.

Providing area code relief is expensive and disruptive to both the industry and the consumer, therefore, all methods available that would ease this burden should be considered in enough detail to minimize the impacts.

Sincerely,

Dennis J. Byrne

1925 Rollingwood Drive

Fairfield, CA 94533

707-435-8285



## A SMART Overlay Solution

10 Digit Dialing is a source of hardship and frustration for telephone users within overlay regions, but overlays don't have to be painful. In fact, a consumer-friendly variation called SMART (Simplified Multi Area Code Region Telephony) could make overlays a painless option for area code relief.

SMART provides customers with two tools that make living with overlays easier. First, it provides a shortcut "8-digit method" for dialing (1+)10-digit calls within overlay regions; and second, it prevents calls dialed with only 7-digits from being rejected.

To make eight-digit dialing possible, telephone companies would assign a one-digit identifier to each area code in the overlay region. Customers could then dial local 7-digit telephone numbers and use an 8th digit to identify the area code. Using the repealed California 310 overlay region as an example, the 310 area code would be identified by "0" and the "424" area code would be identified by "1". Dialing 1234567-0 would direct the call to the 310 area code, and dialing 1234567-1 would direct the call to the 424 area code.

But what happens when a customer or auto-dialer enters only 7-digits? In a "standard overlay", customers hear an announcement directing them to hang-up and redial using (1+)10-digits -- a huge inconvenience. But with the "SMART overlay", customers who forget about (or don't know about) the 8th digit would hear an announcement after a few seconds to help them complete their call:

"To reach the 310 area code, dial "0" now. To reach 424, dial "1" now."

Customers would have a few seconds to enter the 8th digit, and after doing so the call would be routed to the selected area code.

If for some reason the "8th digit" is still not entered, the call would default to the original area code of the region (in this case the 310 area code). This enhancement reduces the need for customers to reprogram or replace automated dialing devices (such as security entry systems and other unattended auto-dialers), and minimizes the kind of disruption that occurs when "standard overlays" are implemented.

Calls "to" and "from" area codes that are outside of the SMART region will continue to require (1+)10-digits to be dialed (the same as was required before the overlay). If the new "area code identifier" is inadvertently entered at the end of a (1+)10-digit call, the identifier is ignored and the call completes to the (1+)10-digit number that was dialed.

Customers would always have the option of dialing (1+)10-digits if they want to (even for local calls within the overlay region), but the 8-digit shortcut should make local calls easier for most customers.

Several telecommunications industry experts consider the "SMART overlay" to be a technically workable solution for area code relief, however the industry as a whole has chosen not to pursue this option on its own.

To benefit from this consumer-friendly solution the public must tell their Legislators, Utility Regulators and the Telecommunications Industry that they are interested in this alternative, and that they want it to be considered as an available option for area code relief.

Gilbert Yablon is the developer of the "SMART Dialing System for Overlays". He has been active in telecommunications industry dialing issues for the past four years, and has made numerous contributions to industry forums on numbering.

### **Hong Wang**

From:

Beth Keating

Sent:

Wednesday, May 24, 2000 10:08 AM

To:

Subject:

Hong Wang RE: 990455, 990456, 990457, 990517, 981444

Sorry, I was out yesterday.

The name of Lockheed Martin IMS should be changed to NeuStar for purposes of these dockets. The same address and counsel apply. Only the name of the company has changed.

----Original Message----

From: Hong Wang

Sent: Wednesday, May 24, 2000 9:33 AM

To: Beth Keating

Subject: 990455, 990456, 990457, 990517, 981444

Beth, as we discussed on Monday, would you please send me an e-mail on changing Lockheed Martin IMS to NeuStar, Inc. in these dockets, so I can have a record in the files. Thank you so much!!

### State of Florida

Susan F. Clark
Commissioner



Capital Circle Office Center 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 (850) 413-6040 Fax: (850) 413-6395

# Public Service Commission

MEMORANDUM

May 24, 2000

TO:

BLANCA BAYO, DIRECTOR OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

KAY POSEY, COMMISSIONER CLARK'S OFFICE

RE:

INTERCEPTED COMMUNICATION FROM INTERESTED PARTY RECEIVED IN DOCKET NOs. 990455-TL, 990456-TL, 990457-TL AND

990517-TL

This office has received the following correspondence. The correspondence has not been viewed or considered in any way by Commissioner Clark. Under the terms of the advisory opinion from the Commission on Ethics (issued July 24, 1991 as CEO 91-31-July 19, 1991), the following letter does not constitute an ex parte communication by virtue of the fact that it was not shown to the Commissioner. Because it is not deemed to be an ex parte communication, it does not require dissemination to parties pursuant to the provisions of section 350.042, Florida Statutes. However, in such cases Commissioner Clark has requested that a copy of the correspondence and this memo be, as a matter of routine, placed in the correspondence side of the file in this docket.

Attachment

## SMART Dialing Systems - 1914 Dumetz Road - Woodland Hills, 291364 - 818-999-1070

5/15/00

Commissioner Susan F. Clark Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 c.c. Chairman Joe Garcia

c.c. Commissioner J. Terry Deason

c.c. Commissioner E. Leon Jacobs, Jr.

c.c. Commissioner Lila A. Jaber

Re: 8-Digit Dialing in Overlays and Dockets 990455-TL, 990456-TL, 990457-TL and 990517-TL

Dear Commissioner Clark,

I am the author of the 8-Digit Overlay proposal which the Florida PSC has recently been investigating. The proposal describes how NPA relief can be provided in a manner that is less disruptive than geographic splits and traditional overlays.

The 8-Digit Overlay is consumer friendly, competitively neutral and technically workable. It does not require any modification to the North American Numbering Plan (NANP), and can be implemented locally without having any impact on dialing patterns or telecommunications systems outside of the region where it would be implemented.

With this plan, all calls within overlay regions can be dialed using only 8-digits -- providing a functionally equivalent alternative to 10-digit dialing which is currently required in overlays.

Because the proposal merely provides a shortcut method for dialing standard 10-digit telephone numbers within overlay regions, and because the proposed 8-digit dialing system maintains competitive neutrality for both users and service providers, the Florida Commission has the authority to implement this relief solution independent of national regulatory or telecommunication industry consensus.

I have included a copy of a letter written by the 1996/1997 co-chair of the Industry Numbering Committee (INC) supporting the technical feasibility of this proposal.

Also attached is a brief description of how the 8-Digit Overlay would function.

More information is available at the website www.SMARToverlay.com, or by contacting me directly.

I respectfully request that the Florida Commission devote the necessary time and resources to seriously evaluate this proposal on its merits, so that Florida consumers can benefit from the advantages it offers.

Please include this letter and the attachments in the record for Dockets 990455-TL, 990456-TL, 990457-TL, and any other appropriate venues, so that it can be appropriately addressed and considered.

I am available to answer any questions you may have regarding this proposal, and request the opportunity to respond to any of your concerns.

Sincerely,

Gilbert Yablon

**SMART Dialing Systems** 

818-999-1070 - 24 hour voicemail

818-956-2200 - M - F 10:00 AM - 7:00 PM Pacific Time

888-793-1315 - alpha-numeric pager

gilbert@SMARToverlay.com - email

ATTACHMENTS

MAY 1 0 ZUUU

Florida Public Service Comm. Commissioner Clark July 11, 1999

Re: Technical feasibility of the 8-Digit Dialing Plan proposed by Gilbert J. Yablon

To Whom It May Concern:

In July of 1997 I retired as the Executive Director - Operations and Engineering for the United States Telephone Association (USTA) after 36 plus years of experience encompassing diverse disciplines in the telecommunications industry.

As the Executive Director of the Technical Disciplines Department, I managed a large portion of the technical committee activities at USTA associated with numbering issues. As part of my responsibilities, I was required to regularly attend and participate in most of the industry meetings concerning numbering matters. I served as Chair or Co-Chair on a number of these various committees and working groups including the Industry Numbering Committee (INC).

I have thoroughly reviewed Gilbert J. Yablon's 8-Digit Dialing Plan and have found it to be a technically feasible plan that should be considered when addressing area code relief. The plan does conform to the NANP in that each customer retains a seven digit address (ten digit including area code) and the eighth digit is used for dialing purposes only to identify the specific NPA.

As with any plan to provide relief, there will be costs associated with the plan. The cost and the extent of equipment modifications that will incurred by the industry for each of the alternatives considered can only be addressed by the industry. The same is true for the cost to be incurred by the consumer. A cross-section of consumer groups needs to compile this data. And no matter what plan is implemented there will be customer confusion and education.

Providing area code relief is expensive and disruptive to both the industry and the consumer, therefore, all methods available that would ease this burden should be considered in enough detail to minimize the impacts.

Sincerely,

Dennis J. Byrne

1925 Rollingwood Drive

Fairfield, CA 94533

707-435-8285



### A SMART Overlay Solution

10 Digit Dialing is a source of hardship and frustration for telephone users within overlay regions, but overlays don't have to be painful. In fact, a consumer-friendly variation called SMART (Simplified Multi Area Code Region Telephony) could make overlays a painless option for area code relief.

**SMART** provides customers with two tools that make living with overlays easier. First, it provides a shortcut "8-digit method" for dialing (1+)10-digit calls within overlay regions; and second, it prevents calls dialed with only 7-digits from being rejected.

To make eight-digit dialing possible, telephone companies would assign a one-digit identifier to each area code in the overlay region. Customers could then dial local 7-digit telephone numbers and use an 8th digit to identify the area code. Using the repealed California 310 overlay region as an example, the 310 area code would be identified by "0" and the "424" area code would be identified by "1". Dialing 1234567-0 would direct the call to the 310 area code, and dialing 1234567-1 would direct the call to the 424 area code.

But what happens when a customer or auto-dialer enters only 7-digits? In a "standard overlay", customers hear an announcement directing them to hang-up and redial using (1+)10-digits -- a huge inconvenience. But with the "SMART overlay", customers who forget about (or don't know about) the 8th digit would hear an announcement after a few seconds to help them complete their call:

"To reach the 310 area code, dial "0" now. To reach 424, dial "1" now."

Customers would have a few seconds to enter the 8th digit, and after doing so the call would be routed to the selected area code.

If for some reason the "8th digit" is still not entered, the call would default to the original area code of the region (in this case the 310 area code). This enhancement reduces the need for customers to reprogram or replace automated dialing devices (such as security entry systems and other unattended auto-dialers), and minimizes the kind of disruption that occurs when "standard overlays" are implemented.

Calls "to" and "from" area codes that are outside of the SMART region will continue to require (1+)10-digits to be dialed (the same as was required before the overlay). If the new "area code identifier" is inadvertently entered at the end of a (1+)10-digit call, the identifier is ignored and the call completes to the (1+)10-digit number that was dialed.

Customers would always have the option of dialing (1+)10-digits if they want to (even for local calls within the overlay region), but the 8-digit shortcut should make local calls easier for most customers.

Several telecommunications industry experts consider the "SMART overlay" to be a technically workable solution for area code relief, however the industry as a whole has chosen not to pursue this option on its own.

To benefit from this consumer-friendly solution the public must tell their Legislators, Utility Regulators and the Telecommunications Industry that they are interested in this alternative, and that they want it to be considered as an available option for area code relief.

Gilbert Yablon is the developer of the "SMART Dialing System for Overlays". He has been active in telecommunications industry dialing issues for the past four years, and has made numerous contributions to industry forums on numbering.

http://www.smartoverlay.com/solution.html

S M A R T



## Public Service Commission

### -M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: May 25, 2000

TO: Blanca Bayó, Director, Records and Reporting

FROM: Jane Faurot, Chief, Bureau of Reporting

RE: DOCKET NO. 990455, 456, 457 and 0517-TP, HEARING HELD 5-18-00

RE: REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLANT RELIEF FOR THE 306/786; 561; 954; AND 904 AREA CODES

DOCUMENT NO. VOLUME 1, 0644800, 5-25-00; VOLUME 2, 0644900, 5-25-00

The transcript for the above proceedings has been completed and is forwarded for placement in the docket file, including attachments.

Please note that Staff distribution of this transcript was made to:

LEGAL, AFAD, CMU

Acknowledged BY:

JF/pc

Mey

PSC/RAR 28 (Rev1/00)

filed in 990455-TL only.

## State of Florida

JF:pc



# Public Service Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:	June 19, 2000
TO:	Blanca Bayo, Director, Records and Reporting
FROM:	Jane Faurot, Chief, Bureau of Reporting
RE:	DOCKET NO. 990455-TP, etc., HEARING HELD 5-18-00
represent matter.	for filing in the docket file of the captioned docket are Exhibits 1 through 16, ting a complete filing of all exhibits admitted into the record during the hearing in this ledged by:
-	



August 29, 2000

OO AUG 30 PM 1:49

MAIL ROOM

MAIL ROOM

Ms. Blanca Bayo, Director Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

990455-12

Dear Ms. Bayo:

It has only just now come to our attention, through published media reports, that the Public Service Commission staff is recommending changes to the Area Code allocation in northeast Florida that would result in Putnam County being assigned a different Area Code than its neighboring counties in the north, northeast, and east. The proposed plan is divisive, arbitrary, ill conceived and contributes negatively to the entire concept of regionalism and cooperation --- a major planning objective in today's environment.

This proposed plan is NOT in the best interests of Putnam County and northeast Florida for many reasons including:

- Putnam County is a member of the Northeast Florida Regional Planning Council and it should continue to have an Area Code in common with its geographical partners.
- Putnam County is a member of northeast Florida Economic Development Consortium -- Cornerstone -- and would become the ONLY member of that group to have an Area Code different than the rest of its partners.
- Putnam County is a member of the Florida First Coast Association of Chamber of Commerce's and if assigned a new Area Code would be the only member of that promotional group to have a different Area Code.

APP CAF
CMP Leric
COM
CTR
ECR
LEG L
OPC
PAI
RGO
SEC
SER
OTH



 Putnam County is a member of the northeast Florida regional tourism coalition and if the proposed plan is approved would become the only member of that group to have a different Area Code.

These are only a few of the regional alliances and cooperative ventures that would be adversely affected by the proposed change in the Area Code for Putnam County.

The Putnam County Chamber of Commerce urges each member of the Public Service Commission to give serious consideration to rejecting the staff recommendation to excise Putnam County from the Area Code 904 partnership with its regional trading, governmental, planning, and tourism promotion partners.

Thank you for your favorable consideration of our request.

Sincerely,

. W. Larson II

President

Reply to: 91760 Overseas Highway Post Office Box 600 Tavernier, FL 33070 (305) 853-1947

> 525 Angela Street Key West City Hall Key West, FL 33040 (305) 293-1658

1102 The Capitol 402 South Monroe Tallahassee, FL 32399-1300 (850) 488-9965 e-mail: sorensen.ken@leg.state fl.us



Committees:

Education/ K-12
Education Appropriations
Children & Families (Vice Chair)
Community Affairs/Military & Veterans Affairs
Business Regulations & Consumer Affairs
Select Committee on Military Affairs

RECEIVED

SCY 5 2000

Florida Public Service Comm. Chairman Deason

## Florida House of Representatives Ken Sorensen, Ph.D.

State Representative, District 120

### Via Facsimile 850/413-6395

September 1, 2000

Commissioner J. Terry Deason, Chairman Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0867

Dear Mr. Deason:

I have received numerous calls from concerned citizens, businesses and the various chambers of commerce in Monroe County (House District 120) who are facing a changed, overlaid, or new area code and the potential for ten digit dialing.

I would strongly urge the Public Service Commission consider one or more of the following steps to maintain the 305 area code and seven digit dialing in Monroe County:

- Allocate all remaining 305 numbers for Monroe County
- As Miami-Dade faces one or more new area codes, eliminate the 305 area code from Miami-Dade and make it a Monroe-only area code
- Effect toll-free calling by consolidating the Keys' seven rate centers into one or two, as long as the required increased cost for basic service is not prohibitive
- Aggressively pursue the reclamation of unused numbers; if the rate centers are combined into two, it would seem logical to reclaim 50,000 blocks from those companies which are retaining 70,000 unused numbers – practically one additional number for every resident of the Keys.
- Limit new number distribution blocks to 1,000 where possible.

990455-TL

Commissioner J. Terry Deason, Chairman Page Two September 1, 2000

I recognize that your actions are governed by the Federal Communications Commission and understand that the Public Service Commission seeks to fulfill the preference of the community within the regulatory parameters. I urge you to implement all measures necessary to preserve the 305 area code and seven digit dialing in Monroe County.

I would ask that you read this letter into the record at your September 5<sup>th</sup> meeting, as I understand that you are no longer taking public input.

On behalf of the citizens and businesses in Monroe County, I thank you for your consideration, and I look forward to receiving a response from the Commission in the very near future.

Sincerely

Ken Sorensen, Ph.D.

State Representative, District 120

KS/Imt





Florida House of Representatives RECORDS AND

REPORTING

00 SEP -7 AM 9: 46

### KELLEY R. SMITH

Representative, District 21

222 North Third Street Palatka, FL 32177-3710 904-325-8384

August 29, 2000

418 House Office Building 402 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1300 850-488-0665

Ms. Blanca Bayo, Director Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

990455-TL

Dear Ms. Bayo:

The Public Service Commission will be addressing the issue of assigning a different Area Code to the Putnam County area on their September 5, 2000 agenda.

I am very concerned about this idea and ask that all members give serious consideration to rejecting the desire to change our current 904 area code.

Putnam County is a member of the Northeast Florida Regional Planning Council, Northeast Florida economic development consortium-Cornerstone, the Florida First Coast Association of Chambers of Commerce and the Northeast Florida regional tourism coalition to name a few. Being involved with these organizations and having the partnerships that we share greatly heighten the need to have the same area code as our partners for promoting tourism, trade and other ventures for this area. Being part of the First Coast is very important to us and keeping our area code the same as theirs will certainly help with regional trading, governmental planning and tourism promotions with our partners for the Northeast Florida area.

Your consideration on this issue would be greatly appreciated.

Arr	Sincerely,	1
CAF	1/11	2/1/
CMP	ZWIVV.	11 41
COM.	-AUUX	Murch
ECR	Kelley R. Smith	0
LEG	KRS:dfl	
OPC _		

SEC

Committees: Transportation (Chair) • Economic Development Council • Rules and Calendar •

Transportation & Economic Development Appropriations • Water & Resource Management •

House Select Committee on Military Affairs (Vice-Chair)



# Public Service Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE:

September 8, 2000

TO:

Blanca Bayo, Director, Division Records and Reporting

FROM:

William B. Berg, Assistant to Chairman Deason

RE:

Docket No: 990455-TL

Chairman Deason has received and read the attached letter. He has requested me to insert these letters into the docket file.

Done 9/12/00



RECEIVED-FPSC

00 SEP 25 AM 11: 09

RECORDS AND REPORTING

September 21, 2000

Mr. J. Terry Deason, Chairman The Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

990455-11

Dear Mr. Deason:

You will shortly be making a final decision on area code changes affecting parts of Florida, most notably in this case the Northeast Florida area. We understand that the decision has been made or is about to be made to maintain the '904' area code for a number of counties surrounding Jacksonville. That is much appreciated.

At the same time, Putnam County seems to be in doubt as to its inclusion in the '904' area code. This letter is an appeal to include Putnam County as part of the Jacksonville area/'904' area code. Putnam County is an integral part of what we call the 'First Coast' of Florida as well as the Regional Groups Planning Council and other groups. The First Coast includes six counties in Northeast Florida who operate very closely together in economic, educational, cultural, and other ways. The commuting patterns for the workforce in Putnam County are clearly oriented toward the First Coast and Jacksonville area and are complimented by the highway system, use of the airport in Jacksonville, and even our overall economic development program, which is totally integrated with that of Putnam County.

We recognize the need to add more area codes and provide more numbers to an expanding area. At the same time, maintaining the integrity of each region is also a very high priority. Putnam County is and will remain an integral part of the Jacksonville/Northeast Florida/ First Coast region. The adoption of a different area code would indicate a separateness and an isolation that can only degrade the interaction and relationships that we have worked so long and hard to establish.

Thank you for your consideration. We appreciate the difficult task you have of providing the communication options and numbering systems that allow us to continue our growth and development.

Sinc	erely,
//	called
P Jan	
/	es E. McCollum, Chairman erstone Regional Economic Initiative
/1	and the Brond Bername Burner .
e:	William D. Talbott, Executive Directo
	Blanca Bayo, Director
-	

### State of Florida



# Public Service Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-N

DATE: October 4, 2000

TO: Blanca Bayo, Director, Division of Records and Reporting

FROM: William B. Berg, Assistant to Commissioner Deason

RE: Intercepted Communications From an Interested Party Received in Docket No:

990455-TL

This office has received the attached correspondence from Jamie Olwell, President, Key West Attractions Association. The correspondence has not been viewed or considered in any way by Commissioner Deason. Under the terms of the advisory opinion from the Commission on Ethics (issued July 24, 1991 as COE 91-31-JULY 19, 1991), the following letter does not constitute an ex parte communication by virtue of the fact that it was not shown to the Commissioner. Because it is not deemed to be an ex parte communication, it does not require dissemination to parties pursuant to the provisions of Section 350.042, Florida Statutes. However, in such cases Commissioner Deason has requested that a copy of the correspondence and this memo be, as a matter of routine, placed in the correspondence side of the file in this docket.

WBB:mm

990455





Commissioner Deason Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0867

Dear Chairman Deason:

On behalf of the Board of Directors of the Key West Attractions Association, I would like to thank you for your commitment to public input on this issue of Monroe County retaining the 305 area code and seven digit dialing. This issue is critical to maintaining a sense of community and is vital tothe County. Hopefully, the extended authority granted by the FPSC in the fall of 1999 will facilitate the interests of this community. Such authority, per the PSC news release dated 9/16/99 allows state regulators the authority to issue 1,000 number blocks and reclaim and redistribute unused numbers.

To review the history of this issue: after initial warnings about shortages in 1998, this community was assured that we would be insulated from any area code change, layover, etc. because of our relatively low-growth demand for telephone numbers. Demographically, the Keys have 80,000 residents and 2.5 million visitors with significant regulatory limitation that precludes any significant growth of these populations. Exploding Miami-Dade County has 2 million residents and 10 million visitors and is the reason for the dangerously low depletion of 305 numbers. Unfortunately, the continued distribution of 10,000 number blocks wasn't halted early enough and the 305 numbering options are again considered to be at emergency low levels.

The options 1-13 in the March 2000 Special Report each have this community facing a changed, overlaid, or new area code and the potential for ten digit dialing. None of these are supported by the Key West Attractions Association, which had been assured of 305 maintenance and seven digit dialing. The maintenance of the status quo is very important to the tourist industry, which is the sole underpinning of our economy.

E-mail: kwaa@conch.net P.O. Box 4342 • Key West, Florida 33041 Our office, which takes over 100,000 tourist inquiry calls per year, takes more than half on 305 lines, the advertising for which is distributed globally.

Representing the attractions community of Key West, this organization strongly urges the PSC to consider one or more of the following steps to maintain the 305 area code and seven digit dialing in Monroe County:

- Allocate all remaining 305 numbers for Monroe County
- As Miami-Dade faces one or more new area codes, eliminate the 305 area code for Miami-Dade and make it a Monroe-only area code
- Effect toll-free calling by consolidating the Keys' seven rate centers into one or two, as long as the required increased cost for basic service is not prohibitive
- Aggressively pursue the reclamation of unused numbers; if the rate centers are combined into two, it would seem logical to reclaim 50,000 blocks for those companies which are retaining 70,000 unused numbers-practically one additional number for every resident of the Keys
- ❖ Limit new number distribution blocks to 1,000 where possible

The Key West Attractions Association recognizes that much of your actions are governed by the Federal Communications Commission and understands that the PSC seeks to fulfill the preference of the community within the regulatory parameters. Our organization urges you to implement all measures necessary to preserve the 305 area code and seven digit dialing in Monroe County. I thank you for your consideration of our community.

Sincerely,

• \*

\*\*\*

•

+\*+

Jamie Olwell President



# Public Service Commission

### -M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: October 5, 2000

TO: Blanca Bayó, Director, Records and Reporting

FROM: Jane Faurot, Chief, Bureau of Reporting

RE: DOCKET NO. 990455-TP, 990456-TP, 990457-TP and 990517-TP, SPECIAL

AGENDA CONFERENCE HELD 9-29-00

RE:

REQUEST FOR RELIEF OF NUMBER PLANS FOR AREA CODES,

305, 786, 561, 954 AND 904.

DOCUMENT NO.

12720, 10-5-00

The transcript for the above proceedings has been completed and is forwarded for placement in the docket file, including attachments.

Please note that Staff distribution of this transcript was made to:

LEGAL, ECR, CMP

Acknowledged BY:

JF/pc

PSC/RAR 28 (Rev1/00)

### MEMORANDUM

September 25, 2000 October 5 RECEIVED-FPSC

00 OCT -5 AM 10: 49

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (VACCARO)

M

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE COUNTY AND MONPOE COUNTY KEYS REGION

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

DOCKET NO. 990456-TP - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 561 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990457-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 954 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990517-TP - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 904 AREA CODE.

00-1821-PCO

2014

Attached is an <u>ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR INTERVENTION</u> to be issued in the above-referenced dockets. (Number of pages in order - 4)

TV/sa

Attachment

cc: Division of Competitive Services (Ileri, Barrett)

I:verizonw.tv

copied for scan

MUSTGOTODAY



ell political ce

### Florida Cable Telecommunications Association

Sreve Wilkerson, President

### FCTA CHANGE OF ADDRESS

TO:

Vendors

FROM:

Steve E. Wilkerson, President

DATE:

October 10, 2000

RE:

Move to New FCTA Headquarters

As of Monday, October 16, 2000, the Florida Cable Telecommunications Association headquarters is moving to a new location. While our phone and fax numbers, as well as e-mail and web site address, will remain the same (see below), our mailing address will change.

Please note this new information below on all correspondence:

Florida Cable Telecommunications Association 246 East 6<sup>th</sup> Avenue Tallahassee, Florida 32303 - 620 850/681-1990 (tel) 850/681-9676 (fax) fcta@fcta.com (e-mail) www.fcta.com (web site)

24/6-00 24/6/bluery

Please also be aware that this move will o over the course of a few days, beginning Friday, October 13, and ending (hopefully) by Wedinsday, October 18, so it is possible that there will be interruptions in phone, fax and computer services. If you are unable to reach the office during this time, please try either 850/322-3034 or 850/212-9072 as alternatives.

Thanks for your patience while this transition is underway. Please call with any questions or concerns.

allaharren FC 323C1-7636

### Hong Wang

From: Sent:

Martha J [marthaj@fcta.com] Tuesday, October 31, 2000 3:48 PM

To: Subject: Hong Wang Change of address

FYI

We have moved, our new address is

246 E. 6th Avenue Tallahassee, FL 32303

Please change your records accordingly.

Thanks

Martha 681-1990 920260, 960100, 960786, 960846, 960846, 960847, 980253, 981444, 981834, 990321, 990455, 990517, 990546, 990544, 991376, 991378, 991473, 991930, 000028, 000075, 000121, 000399, 000604, 000636, 000649, 001332

Done "102/00

# FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

	Requistion fo	r Photocopyi	ng and Mai	ling	
Number of ( Requested B	Originals — Date:	10 , 16 , Co	oo_ oies Per Origin	al	
		Item Presen	ted ——		
Agenda For (Date) Notice of Other		Order No For (Date) _	00-1821	In Docket No. 9900	/5
	Specia	l Handling I	nstructions -	99051	フ
	Di	stribution/M	ailina"——		
Number (4)	Distributed/ Mailed To  Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Mailed	_	Number	Distribution/Mailed	То
00	Docket Mailing List - Faxed	18			
Note:	Items must be mailed and/or ret	urned within one	working day after	issue unless specified here:	
	Prin	t Shop Verifi	cation	0	
Job Numbe Date and Ti	me Completed 10/20	<ul> <li>Verified By:</li> <li>Job Checker</li> </ul>	ed For Correcti	ness and Quality (Initial)	11
	Ma	il Room Veri	fication ——		
Date Maile	d	_ Verified By	/		
C/RAR 12(9/9	91		/		

#### MEMORANDUM

October 18, 2000

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (B. KEATING, VACCARO, FORDHAM)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

DOCKET NO. 990456-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 561 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990457-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 954 AREA CODE.

DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 904 AREA CODE.

Attached is a FINAL ORDER APPROVING NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE, THE 561 AREA CODE, THE 954 AREA CODE, AND THE 904 AREA CODE, REQUIRING CUSTOMER SURVEY FOR A NUMBER CHANGE, AND NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ORDER IMPLEMENTING RATE CENTER CONSOLIDATION AND CODE SHARING IN CERTAIN AREAS AND REQUIRING CUSTOMER SURVEY FOR COST RECOVERY, to be issued in the abovereferenced dockets. (Number of pages in order - 83)

BK/TV/CLF/anc

Attachment

cc: Division of Competitive Services (Ileri, Barrett)

99045501.bk

Reproduction.)

### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

Requistion	n for Photocopying and Mailing
Number of Originals	Date 10 1 20 1 00 Copies Per Original
	— Item Presented —————
Aaenda For (Date)	Order No. <u>00-1937</u> In Docket No. <u>990455</u> For (Date) In Docket No
Notice of	For (Date) In Docket No
Other	
[사용] (전 12 1 년 1 년 1 년 1 년 1 년 1 년 1 년 1 년 1 년	9605.7
	ecial Handling Instructions —
AT MUST ISE	marted today
[47] [1] 11] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1] [1	· Distribution/Mailing
Number Distributed/ Mailed	, 맛있어지
Commission Offices  Pocket Mailing List - Mai	ed Schmar:
Docket Mailing List - Fax	ed .
Note: Items must be mailed and/o	or returned within one working day after issue unless specified here:
	Print Shop Verification ————————————————————————————————————
	Verified By
Date and Time Completed 10 20	Job Checked For Correctness and Quality (Initial)
Date and time completed —	
	Mail Room Verification ——————
Date Mailed 10/20	Varified By MS.
Date Malled	vermed by

PSC/RAR 12(9/99)

### **Hong Wang**

From: Sent:

Kay Flynn

Tuesday, October 24, 2000 11:56 AM

To: Cc: Subject: Sharon Allbritton Hong Wang RE: copy of order

We'll add them to the mailing list for 990455.

Thanks.

----Original Message----From: Sharon Allbritton

Sent: Tuesday, October 24, 2000 11:46 AM

To: Kay Flynn

Subject: RE: copy of order

Kay, I just talked to Tim and he said "yes" to put them on the mailing list. Here's the info:

Virginia A. Panico Executive Vice President & Chief Executive Officer Key West Chamber of Commerce 402 Wall Street Key West, FL 33040 phone #305-294-2587 #305-294-7806

Rick Watson Lobbyist for Key West P. O. Box 10038 Tallahassee, FL 32302 phone #(850) 222-0000 e-mail: RWatson@aol.com

Thanks! (By the way, I've already put the copies of the order in the mail to them.)

----Original Message----

From: Kay Flynn

Sent: Tuesday, October 24, 2000 10:42 AM To: Sharon Allbritton

Cc: Hong Wang

Subject: RE: copy of order

I checked all the mailing lists. They aren't on our mailing lists as interested persons, meaning they didn't get a copy of the order, so you can go ahead and send them each a copy of the order.

Did Tim receive anything from either of them indicating they wanted to be put on the mailing list for 990455?

----Original Message----From: Sharon Allbritton

Sent: Tuesday, October 24, 2000 10:37 AM

To: Kay Flynn

Subject: copy of order

Hi Kay! Tim Vaccaro asked me to send a copy the order that was issued last week in dkt. 990455, etc. to the following persons: Rick Watson, Lobbyist for Key West and Virginia Panico, Key West Chamber of Commerce as both are interested persons in this docket. Before I send them out, I wanted to check with you and be sure that RAR has not sent them copies already since they are interested persons. (Order No. PSC-00-1937-PAA-TL)

### BERGER DAVIS & SINGERMAN

ATTORNEYS AT LAW SUITE 705 215 SOUTH MONROE STREET TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301

Telephone 850.561.3010 Facsimile 850.561.3013

### MEMORANDUM VIA FACSIMILE

TO:

Ruth - PSC

FROM:

Elizabeth Moss

DATE:

October 25, 2000

RE:

Address Correction

Per our conversation this morning please research all records relating to the Numbering plan relief order of the Florida Public Service Commission and make the address correction changing the suite from 701 to 705. The one docket number I have is 990455-TL, however I am not sure this is the only case on which we are listed as an interested party to receive all pleading and motions. correct the suite number as appropriate:

Please contact me if you have any questions or comments at 561-3010. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

/eam

Changed in DK -990455 990457

### MEMORANDUM

October 25, 2000

RECEIVED FPSC

00 0CT 27 AM II: 25

RECORDS AND REPORTING

TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING . 37

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (B. KEATING, VACCARO, FORDHAM)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 904 AREA CODE.

2055-PAA


Actached is a NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION ORDER ESTABLISHING BALLOTING WCRITERIA, to be issued in the above-(Number of pages in order - 6) referenced docket.

CLF/anc

Attachment

cc. Division of Competitive Services (Ileri, Barrett)

I: 990455pa.clf



FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

Requistion for	r Photocopying and Mailing
Number of Originals	11,3,00 Copies Per Original
Agenda For (Date)	Order No. 00 2055 In Docket No. 990455 For (Date) In Docket No. 99051
Special	Handling Instructions ————————————————————————————————————
Number  Distributed/ Mailed To  Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Mailed  Docket Mailing List - Faxed	Number Distribution/Mailed To  Distribution/Mailed To  Distribution/Mailed To  Distribution/Mailed To  Distribution/Mailed To
그렇게 되었다는 이 그 사람들이 하셨다면 하는데 되었다.	Verified By
Date Mailed	Verified By

**RAR Official Filing:** 

#### **Matilda Sanders**

00-1937A

From:

Sharon Allbritton

Sent:

Friday, November 03, 2000 9:35 AM

To:

RAR - Orders-Notices

Cc: Subject: Susan Howard Amendatory Order

I have copied into gcorders an amendatory order for Dockets Nos. 990455-TL, 990456-TL, 990457-TL and 990517-TL (Order No. PSC-00-1937A-PAA-TL). This document is in wp6.1. It has attachments (pages 3, 4, 5 and 6), but they are part of the document. The file name is amendac.tv.

Sharon

5/f

#### Hong Wang

From: Sent: Tim Vaccaro

Thursday, November 09, 2000 11:27 AM

To: Subject: Hong Wang

RE: Dockets 990455, 990456, 990457 and 990517

Sprint was granted intervention in 990457 and should therefore be listed as a party.

The other parties are okay just they way they are. The dockets are consolidated for the purposes of hearing, but not every party is neccessarily affected by each and every docket. Therefore, to the extent parties have requested to participate in the hearing, they will be participating in the consolidated proceeding.

----Original Message----

From: Hong Wang

Sent: Thursday, November 09, 2000 9:28 AM

To: Tim Vaccaro

Subject: Dockets 990455, 990456, 990457 and 990517

Tim, a Joint Motion for Reconsideration and Request for Hearing on Proposed Agency Action was filed by several companies in 990455, 990456, 990457 and 990517. I have the following questions regarding these dockets:

- 1. We have ALLTEL and its representative, Ausley Law Firm, listed only in Docket 990517. Should I enter them in the other three dockets? If so, should they be listed as "Parties" since they have requested a hearing?
- 2. We have BellSouth Mobility only in Docket 990455. Should I enter it in the other three dockets? If so, should it and its representative, Holland Law Firm, be listed as "Parties" since they have requested a hearing?
- 3. Sprint is in Docket 990457, but, it is not listed as a "Party," should I change it to a "Party" status, because the request for a hearing?
- 4. MCI is in Docket 990517, but, it is not listed as a "Party," should I change it to a "Party" status, because the request for a hearing?

Thanks!

### FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

	Requistion fo	or Photocopying and Mo	ailing and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same and the same
Number of Requested E	Originals	Copies Per Orig	inal
	44 P. J. J. B. L. J. L. W. L. W. L. W. L. L. W. L. L. L. W. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L. L.	Ham Dunnandad	
No	enda For (Date)	Order No. <u>PD-1937A</u> For (Date)	_ In Docket No. 980457, 98051 _ In Docket No
	Specio	ıl Handling Instructions	
	Di	stribution/Mailing'	
Number (18)	Distributed/ Mailed To Commission Offices Docket Mailing List - Mailed Docket Mailing List - Faxed		Distribution/Mailed To
Note:	Items must be mailed and/or ret	rurned within one working day afte	er issue unless specified here:
Joh Numba	Prin	t Shop Verification ————————————————————————————————————	liele O
Date and Ti	me Completed	Job Checked For Correc	tness and Quality (Initial)
	Mai	il Room Verification —	
Date Mailed	d — — / — —	_ Verified By	/
PSC/RAR 12(9/99	2)		

### STATE OF FLORIDA

Commissioners: J. Terry Deason, Chairman E. Leon Jacobs, Jr. Lila A. Jaber BRAULIO L. BAEZ



Division of Records & Reporting Blanca S. Bayó Director (850) 413-6770

## Public Service Commission

TO: Stan Greer | Bell South

FROM: Morquer New Lock, Division of Records and Reporting

RE: Acknowledgment of Receipt of Confidential Filing

14596-00	
This will acknowledge receipt of a CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENT filed	d in Docket No.
990455-TL or (if filed in an undocketed matter) concerning	
Revenue & Cost Info. For RCC	, and
filed on behalf of BellSouth	The
document will be maintained in locked storage.	

Any questions regarding this matter should be directed to Kay Flynn at (850) 413-6744.

PSC/RAR 19 (8/00)

#### State of Florida



## Public Service Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-N

DATE: November 13, 2000

TO:

Blanca Bayo, Director, Division of Records and Reporting

FROM: Melinda Butler, Assistant to Commissioner Jacobs 900

RE:

Intercepted Communications From an Interested Person Received in

Docket No. 990455-TL

This office has received the attached letter from Virginia A. Panico, Executive Vice President Key West Chamber of Commerce. The correspondence has not been viewed or considered in any way by Commissioner Jacobs. Under the terms of the advisory opinion from the Commission on Ethics (issued July 24, 1991 as COE 91-33-JULY 19, 1991), the letter does not constitute an ex parte communication by virtue of the fact that it was not shown to the Commissioner. Given that it is not an exparte communication, it does not require dissemination to parties pursuant to the provisions of Section 350.042, Florida Statutes. However; in such cases Commissioner Jacobs has requested that a copy of the correspondence and this memo, as a matter of routine, be placed in the correspondence side of the file in this docket.



402 WALL ST. • KEY WEST, FL 33040 • 305-294-2587 • FAX 305-294-7806

October 11, 2000

Tim Vaccaro, Senior Attorney Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

Dear Mr. Vaccaro:

On behalf of the Board of Directors of the Key West Chamber of Commerce, I would like to take this opportunity to thank you for taking time out of your busy schedule to meet with me during my trip to Tallahassee.

We in the Florida Keys and Key West are very concerned about the future direction of the area code issue, 7 digit dialing, and one calling rate center for all of the Florida Keys. As you are aware, we are a tourist destination and it would be very difficult for our business economy to have two or three area codes in our small County. The citizens of Monroe County as well as the Key West Chamber of Commerce are committed to the resolve of this issue with the outcome of one area code.

I am available at any time to discuss this issue either via phone or in Tallahassee and also to offer alternatives and solutions. If I may be of assistance, please do not hesitate to contact my office.

Very truly yours,

Varginia A. Panico > Executive Vice President

VAP:etm

Cc: Mayor and City Commissioners, City of Key West
Mayor and County Commissioners, Monroe County
Board of Directors, Key West Chamber of Commerce
State Rep. Ken Sorensen
Senator Daryl Jones
Public Service Commission



402 WALL ST. • KEY WEST, FL 33040 • 305-294-2587 • FAX 305-294-7806

October 11, 2000

Beth Keating Chief, Bureau of Telecommunications Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

Dear Ms. Keating:

On behalf of the Board of Directors of the Key West Chamber of Commerce, I would like to thank you for taking time out of your busy schedule to meet with me during my trip to Tallahassee.

As you know, the 305 area code issue is of the utmost concern to the residents of Monroe County as well as local businesses and we are looking forward to the direction the Public Service Commission has discussed for Monroe County.

If I may be of assistance, please do not hesitate to contact my office.

Very truly yours,

Virginia A. Panico

Executive Vice President

VAP:etm

Cc: Mayor and City Commissioners, City of Key West
Mayor and County Commissioners, Monroe County
Board of Directors. Key West Chamber of Commerce
Tim Vaccaro, Public Service Commission
State Rep. Ken Sorensen
Senator Daryl Jones
Public Service Commission

<sup>&</sup>quot;The mission of the Greater Key West Chamber of Commerce is to serve its members and maintain a viable economy for the businesses and working people of Key West."



# Public Service Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-Me

DATE: November 13, 2000

TO: Blanca Bayo, Director, Division of Records and Reporting

FROM: Melinda Butler, Assistant to Commissioner Jacobs 47413

RE: Intercepted Communications From an Interested Person Received in

Numerous Dockets

This office has received the attached packet from Michael Knapp, Executive Director of Telcordia Technologies, Inc. The information contained in the packet may apply to Dockets Nos. 981444, 990455, 990456, 990457, and 990517. The correspondence has not been viewed or considered in any way by Commissioner Jacobs. Under the terms of the advisory opinion from the Commission on Ethics (issued July 24, 1991 as COE 91-33-JULY 19, 1991), the packet does not constitute an ex parte communication by virtue of the fact that it was not shown to the Commissioner. Given that it is not an ex parte communication, it does not require dissemination to parties pursuant to the provisions of Section 350.042, Florida Statutes. However; in such cases Commissioner Jacobs has requested that a copy of the correspondence and this memo, as a matter of routine, be placed in the correspondence side of the file in this docket.

filed in 981444



# Public Service Commissio

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M

DATE: November 14, 2000

Blanca Bayo, Director, Division of Records and Reporting TO:

FROM: Melinda Butler, Assistant to Commissioner Jacobs

RE:

Intercepted Communications From an Interested Person Received in

Numerous Dockets

This office has received the attached letter from Gregory Roberts, Vice President, Numbering Services, NeuStar. The information contained in the letter may apply to Dockets Nos. 981444, 990455, 990456, 990457, and 990517. The correspondence has not been viewed or considered in any way by Commissioner Jacobs. Under the terms of the advisory opinion from the Commission on Ethics (issued July 24, 1991 as COE 91-33-JULY 19, 1991), the letter does not constitute an ex parte communication by virtue of the fact that it was not shown to the Commissioner. Given that it is not an ex parte communication, it does not require dissemination to parties pursuant to the provisions of Section 350.042, Florida Statutes. However; in such cases Commissioner Jacobs has requested that a copy of the correspondence and this memo, as a matter of routine, be placed in the correspondence side of the file in this docket.



September 25, 2000

RECEIVED

OCT 0 9 2000

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
Commissioner Jacobs

E. Leon Jacobs, Jr.
Commissioner
Florida PSC
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Gerald Gunter Building
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Dear Commissioner Jacobs,

I am writing to assure you personally of our continued desire and commitment to be the neutral third party administrator for all state number pooling initiatives. NeuStar is the only neutral third party providing thousand block pooling administration in the nation today. We are industry pioneers, administering the first thousand block pooling trials in Illinois' 847 and New York's 212 NPAs, and our pooling administration services have expanded to encompass pooling trials in 6 states and 15 NPAs. And, now, we are in the process of turning up additional trials in another 4 states and 10 NPAs.

Since 1997, NeuStar has been working with the states and service providers to create, refine, and manage effective processes for thousand block pooling administration. This work has centered on building strong ongoing relationships with state regulatory agencies, working directly with commissioners and staff members, as well as the service provider community. Our dedicated team of personnel has attended literally hundreds of state and national pooling meetings and forums, and we are recognized nationwide for our expertise. No other neutral third party administrator has provided this level of commitment to the states and the industry.

We have dedicated and knowledgeable thousand block pooling administration staff, proven systems, and reliable methods and procedures that have been shaped and refined through the daily administration of thousand blocks for the last two years. Our position as the nation's only thousand block pooling administrator is a testimony to our high quality of service.

We have the capacity in place to administer thousand block pooling trials to meet your scheduling needs, today! You can count on NeuStar to meet your requirements as we have done in every other state we are serving.

We stand ready to serve you. If you would like to learn more about NeuStar's pooling administration services, I would like you to contact Barry Bishop, Director of Number Pooling Administration, Tel. 312-706-6255 or barry.bishop@neustar.com.

Sincerely,

Gregory Roberts

Vice President, Numbering Services

NEUSTAR, INC.

1120 Vermont Avenue, N.W.

Suite 550

Washington, DC 20005

Phone 202 533 2600

Fax 202 533 2975

#### MEMORANDUM

November 16, 2000



TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (VACCARÓ)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 990455-TP - REQUEST FOR REVIEW OF PROPOSED NUMBERING PLAN RELIEF FOR THE 305/786 AREA CODE - DADE

COUNTY AND MONROE COUNTY/KEYS REGION.

Attached is a letter to be placed in the correspondence side of the docket file for the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages in letter - 1)

TV/sa Attachment

NOV 13 2000

November 8, 2000

"Sport Fishing Capital of the World!"

MAILING ADDRESS:

P.O. Box 915 Islamorada, FL 33036

VISITOR

CENTER:

Red Caboose at MM 82.5, Bayside (305) 664-9767

ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE:

116 Porto Salvo Dr. (305) 664-4503 (305) 664-4289 fax (800) FAB-KEYS admin@islamorada chamber.com

MONTHLY
MEETINGS
SCHEDULE:

BOARD MEETING 5:30 pm @ Office Second Wednesday BUSINESS

BUSINESS
AFTER HOURS SOCIAL
5:30 pm @ Host's
Business
Third Tuesday
LUNCHEON MEETING
11:45 am @ Member
Restaurant

Fourth Wednesday

Tim Vaccaro, Senior Attorney Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

Dear Mr. Vaccaro,

On behalf of the Islamorada Chamber of Commerce Board of Directors, I thank you for meeting with Key West Chamber of Commerce Executive Director Virginia Panico to discuss the 305 area code issue.

We appreciate your assistance in resolving the use of one area code. Businesses and residents in the Florida Keys are concerned about the area code issue, the seven-digit dialing, and the one-calling-rate center for all of the Keys. As we are a tourist destination, two or three area codes in our small county would be challenging.

If the Islamorada Chamber can help in anyway, please let us know.

Sincerely,

Carla L. Bahn President

ala L. Bak

cc:

Mayor and Councilmen, Islamorada Village of Islands Mayor and Commissioners, Monroe County Board of Directors, Islamorada Chamber of Commerce State Rep. Ken Sorenson Senator Daryl Jones Public Service Commission Virginia Panico

isteroranachamber.com

### **Hong Wang**

From:

Tim Vaccaro

Sent:

Monday, November 20, 2000 9:31 AM Hong Wang RE: 990455

To: Subject:

You can do it now. Thanks.

----Original Message----

From: Hong Wang

Sent: Monday, November 20, 2000 9:18 AM

To: Tim Vaccaro Subject: 990455

Tim, OPC filed a limited protest to PAA Order in Docket 990455. Would you please let me know whether and when I can change them to "Party" status?

Thanks.

#### **RAR Official Filing:**

1/9/01\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*2:22 PM\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*Matilda Sanders\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*1

#### Matilda Sanders

From:

Sharon Allbritton

Sent:

Tuesday, January 09, 2001 2:16 PM

To:

RAR - Orders-Notices

Subject:

Notice

The following notice of issue identification and settlement meeting has been transferred to GCORDERS to be FAXED.

Dockets Nos. 990455-TL, 990456-TL, 990457-TL, 990517-TL

File name: 990455id.tv

Please fax to all parties of record and interested persons. I will send the original memo down to your office.

**Thanks** 

PECENTED TPSC OI JAN-9 PM 2:51

52119

# FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION - RECORDS AND REPORTING

	Requistion fo	r Photocopying and M	ailing
Number of Requested I	Originals	Copies Per Orig	jinal
		Item Presented	
No	enda For (Date)	Order No. <u>01-02-1</u> For (Date)	_ In Docket No. 990 455 TC _ In Docket No
	Specia	l Handling Instructions	
11	lust go dooly.	i ridiidiilig ilisii ociiolis	
NI I		stribution/Mailing'—	Distribution/Mailed To
Number	Distributed/ Mailed To	Number	Distribution/Mailed to
10	Commission Offices  Docket Mailing List - Mailed	- ,	
15	Docket Mailing List - Faxed		
NI C		—   <del></del>	
Note:	Items must be mailed and/or ret	orned within one working day affe	er issue uniess specified here:
	Prin	Shop Verification ———	10
Job Numbe	er <u>148</u>	_ Verified By	shal
Date and T	ime Completed	<ul> <li>Job Checked For Correct</li> </ul>	ctness and Quality (Initial)
		l Room Verification —	11 <
Date Maile	d 1/24	Verified By	V. O.

PSC/RAR 12(9/99)

Matilda Sanders

From:

Dorothy Menasco

Sent:

Friday, January 26, 2001 1:42 PM

To: Cc:

RAR - Orders-Notices Della Fordham

Subject:

Docket No. 990455-TL

Sensitivity:

Private

This Order Establishing Procedure has been transferred to GCOrders for issuance.

File name: 990455ep.tv

Signed by Commissioner so hard copy will hand delivered before 2 p.m.

15 self mailers

1

### RAP Official Filing:

### Matilda Sanders

6293 JZ

From:

Carolyn Craig

Sent:

Monday, February 05, 2001 9:57 AM

To:

RAR - Orders-Notices

Cc:

Della Fordham

Subject:

Orders

The following orders have been transferred and are ready to be issued.

**Order Approving Interim Interconnection Agreement** 

Docket 001601-TP

File Name: 001601.wdk

**Order Approving Settlement** 

Docket No. 001242-TI File Name: 001242or.jae

**Order Approving Settlement** 

Docket NO. 001495-TX File Name: 001495or.jae

**All Consummating Orders:** 

Docket Nos. 001314-TI 001324-TI

File Name: 001314co.jae

Docket No. 001561-TC

File Name: 001561co.jae

Docket No. 001537-TC

File Name: 001537co.frb

Docket No. 001729-TC

File Name: 001729co.frb

Docket Nos. 990455-TL, 990517-TL

File Name: 990455co.clf

43 f

**Matilda Sanders** 

0398 - PCO

From:

Andrea Cowart

Sent:

Friday, February 16, 2001 9:32 AM

To:

RAR - Orders-Notices

Cc:

Della Fordham

Subject:

Orders

HEPORIAL

TEB IS A

5. 7

The following orders have been copied to GCORDERS and are ready to be issued.

001321, 001385 - 001321ao.kw

990455 - acextend.tv\*\*

\*\*This order has been signed by a Comm., will be hand-delivered to you.

13f

### **Sprint PCS**

6160 Sprint Parkway, 4th Floor Overland Park, KS 66251 KSOPHIO414 Voice 913 762 7719 Fax 913 762 0913

March 7, 2001

Dear State Public Service Commission:

802100, 12,0000

981444, 990455, 990456=

NOTICE OF CHANGE OF ADDRESS

990457,980517,000604 ===

Please note that the Regulatory Affairs Department of Sprint Spectrum L.P. d/b/a ("Sprint PCS") a Commercial Mobile Radio Service provider in your State, will change effective Monday, February 26, 2001. The new address will be:

Sprint PCS
Legal/Regulatory Department
6160 Sprint Parkway, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor,
KSOPHIO414,
Overland Park, Kansas 66251.

New contact numbers will be:

Joseph Assenzo- 913-762-7728 Charles McKee- 913-762-7720 Jeffrey Pfaff- 913-762-7737 Scott Freiermuth- 913-762-7736 Regulatory Affairs Fax 913-762-0913

Please direct all pleadings and correspondence to the above listed address. If you have any questions, please feel free to contact one of the above listed attorneys. Thank you.

HARLES IN 9-24

gone 3/14/01

I'M MOVING!

1 FEB 26 AM 10: 43

STATE OF FloRIDA

ATTN: J. TERRY DEASON

ShumARD DAK BoulevARD

FIORIDA

Zip

32399+7019

hallandahallalaladahallbarralligadahalaladah

☐ Please R <sup>c</sup> MOVE the name noted below from	om your mailing list.	
☐ Please CHANGE the mailing address for the	he person receiving your Billing or other Co	orrespondence.
☐ Please CHANGE the mailing address for t	he person receiving your publication	
*****OLD AD	DDRESS****	AME OF PUBLICATION)
SPRIN	T PCS	3
REGULATORY AFF	AIRS/ LEGAL DEPT.	-
MOKC	<b>ММ</b> 1101	
4900 MAIN, 1	11 <sup>TH</sup> FLOOR	-
KANSAS CITY, I	MISSOURI 64112	
City or Post Uffice	State	Zin
	****NEW A	ADDRESS****
	SPRI	INT PCS
New Mailstop Only pertinent business	REGULATORY AF	FFAIRS/LEGAL DEPT.
	KSOI	PHIO414
NEW ADDRESS (Complete Street Addr	6160 SPRINT PAR	RKWAY, 4 <sup>TH</sup> FLOOR
	OVERLAND PA	RK, KANSAS 66251
City or Post Office		A contract of the second secon
Signature		A-FM20-04

.

.

11

107

### **RAR Official Filing:** 3/23/01\*\*\*\*\*\* 12:31 PM\*\*\*\*\*\*\*Linda Williams\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*1

**Linda Williams** 

From:

Andrea Cowart

Sent:

Friday, March 23, 2001 12:06 PM RAR - Orders-Notices

To:

Cc:

Della Fordham

Subject:

Orders

The following orders have been copied to GCORDERS and is ready to be issued.

990517 - 990517fo.bk

990455 - 990455or.tv T

resent 3/26/01

### **RAR Official Filing:**

#### **Matilda Sanders**

0808 -A

From:

Dorothy Menasco

Sent:

Tuesday, March 27, 2001 10:17 AM

To:

RAR - Orders-Notices

Cc:

Della Fordham

Subject:

Dockets Nos. 990455-TL, 990456-TL, 990457-TL, 990517-TL

Sensitivity:

Private

The Order Approving Offer and Settlement and Approving Offer to Initiate Number Pooling in the Florida Keys, in the above-referenced numbers, has been transferred to GCOrders for issuance. As there are about 25 pages of attachments that are not on-line, a hard copy will be hand-delivered on Legal's 10:30 run today. If there are any problems with the order, please call me as Tim will be out of the office for the next couple of hours.

File name: 990455-o.tv

Atty: Tim Vaccaro

73 self mailers

3841 Reid Street

Palatka, Florida 32177-2509

(386) 312-2272 Phone

Suite 5



# Florida House of Representatives 990455

Joe H. Pickens Representative, District 21

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET				
FROM:  Jack Carter Hall, Legislative Assistant				
PATE: 4/10/01				
TOTAL NO OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER.				
sender's phone number. (850) 488-0665				
\$ENDER'S FAX NUMBER: (386) 329-3779				
☐ PLEASE COMMENT ☐ PLEASE REPLY ☐ PLEASE RECYCLE				
Sample 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
Representative Joe H. Pickens District 21 Capitol:				

313 House Office Building

Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1300

402 South Monroe Street

(850) 488-0665

Ine 4/10/01



# Jublic Service Commission AMIL: 27

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-MPECORDS AND REPORTING

**DATE:** April 30, 2001

TO: Blanca Bayo, Director, Division of Records and Reporting

FROM: Melinda Butler, Assistant to Chairman Jacobs Mo

RE: Intercepted Communications From an Interested Person Received in

Docket No. 990455

This office has received the attached letter from Randall Mearns, Councilman for the City of Marathon. The letter has not been viewed or considered in any way by Chairman Jacobs. (I alerted Staff to the matter and asked Staff to contact Councilman Mearns.) Under the terms of the advisory opinion from the Commission on Ethics (issued July 24, 1991 as COE 91-33-JULY 19, 1991), the letter does not constitute ex parte communication by virtue of the fact that it was not shown to the Chairman. Given that it is not ex parte communication, dissemination to parties is not required pursuant to the provisions of Section 350.042, Florida Statutes. However, in such cases Chairman Jacobs has requested that a copy of the letter and this memo, as a matter of routine, be placed in the correspondence side of the file in the docket.



RECEIVED

MAR 29 2001

Commissioner Deason

Robert K. Miller Mayor

John Bartus

Frank Greenman

Jon Johnson

Randy Mearns

Craig Wrathell, Moyer & Associates Gity Manager

Weiss Serota Helfman Pastoriza & Guedes, P.A. March 27, 2001

Commissioner J. Terry Deason, Chairman Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Dear Chairman Deason:

On behalf of the City of Marathon and our many residents, I am requesting that the Public Service Commission give sincere consideration to allowing Monroe County to keep the 305 area code and seven digit dialing.

I understand that the ever increasing requests for more phone numbers must make your decicion(s) difficult, however I would suggest that by allowing Monroe County to keep the 305 area code and adding another area code to the Miami-Dade area, you could free up enough numbers to keep the Florida Keys in seven digit dialing for many years to come.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely

Randall Mearns

RECEIVED

MAR 3 0 2001

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Chairman Jacobs **RAR Official Filing:** 

**Matilda Sanders** 

4

From:

Andrea Cowart

Sent:

Monday, May 21, 2001 2:36 PM

To: Cc: RAR - Orders-Notices Della Fordham

Subject:

Order

The following order has been copied to GCORDERS and is ready to be issued.

990455, 990456, 990457, 990517 - 990455oa.clf

#### Hong Wang

From: Sent:

Levent Ileri

Thursday, June 14, 2001 2:55 PM

To: Cc:

Hong Wang Bob Casey

Subject:

RE: Docket No. 990457-TL

990455 990456

Thank you Hong for adding him to the list.

----Original Message----

From: Hong Wang Sent: Thursday, June 14, 2001 2:54 PM

To: Levent Ileri Cc: Linda Williams

Subject: FW: Docket No. 990457-TL

Importance: High

000 604 010743

Mr. Bob Neely has been added to the dockets you listed. Thanks.

----Original Message----

From: Linda Williams

Sent: Thursday, June 14, 2001 11:46 AM

To: Hong Wang Cc: Levent Ileri

Subject: FW: Docket No. 990457-TL

Importance: High

Ok, Hong will take care of this.

----Original Message----

From: Levent Ileri

Sent: Thursday, June 14, 2001 11:37 AM

To: Linda Williams

Cc: Sandy Moses; Bob Casey; Cheryl Bulecza-Banks

Subject: Docket No. 990457-TL

Importance: High

Good morning Linda,

Mr. Bob Neely, Executive Director of Alarm Association of Florida, Inc., requested the he be included in the interested person category of the following area code dockets:

990457 -> 954 area code

990455 -> 305/786 area codes

990456 -> 561 area code

010743 -> 407/321 area codes

000604 -> 941 area code

His contact information is as follows:

Bob Neely

Executive Director

Florida Alarm Association of Florida, Inc.

1802 North University Drive, Suite 329

Plantation, FL 33322-4115 Tel (1): (954) 748-7779

Tel (2): (800) 849-2099

Fax: (954) 748-4749

Please let me know if you've any questions.

Levent Ileri



June 12, 2001

Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 960100,981444 990456 990457 990517 PECCOPTING

Dear Commissioner:

Please update your corresponding information for Cingular Wireless LLC, formerly d/b/a BellSouth Mobility Inc. Our Corporate Headquarters office has moved to the following address:

Cingular Wireless, LLC 5565 Glenridge Connector Suite 1710 Atlanta, GA 30342 — 47 [ ]

Headquarters Contact: Susan Israel Contact Number: 404-236-5561

Cingular licensees in the state of Florida are: BellSouth Mobility LLC; Florida Cellular Service, LLC; Florida RSA No. 2B (Indiana River) Limited Partnership; Jacksonville MSA Limited Partnership; Orlando CGSA, LLC; Orlando SMSA Limited Partnership; and Florida Cellular Service, LLC.

Sincerely,

Susan Israel

Associate Director -External Affairs

Done 6/19/01

#### State of Florida



### Public Service Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

**DATE:** June 27, 2001

TO: Blanca Bayo, Director, Division of Records and Reporting

FROM: Melinda Butler, Assistant to Chairman Jacobs

RE: Intercepted Communications From an Interested Person Received in

Docket No. 990455-TL

This office has received the attached letter from State Representative Ken Sorensen. The letter had not been viewed or considered in any way by Chairman Jacobs until it was read into the record (as requested) during the June 25, 2001 Agenda Conference. Under the terms of the advisory opinion from the Commission on Ethics (issued July 24, 1991 as COE 91-33-JULY 19, 1991), the letter does not constitute ex parte communication by virtue of the fact that it was not shown to the Chairman in an untimely manner. Given that it is not ex parte communication, dissemination to parties is not required pursuant to the provisions of Section 350.042, Florida Statutes. However, in such cases Chairman Jacobs has requested that a copy of the letter and this memo, as a matter of routine, be placed in the correspondence side of the file in the docket.

RECORTUS AND

01 JUN 28 PM 12: 42

Reply to: 91760 Overseas Highway Post Office Box 699 Tavernier, FL 33070 (305) 853-1947



317 House Office Building 402 South Monroe Tallahassee, FL 32399-1300 (850) 488-9965 e-mail: sorensen.ken@leg.state.fl.us



#### Committees:

Local Government & Veterans Affairs (Chair) General Government Appropriations Natural Resources & Environmental Protection Council for Smarter Government

### Florida House of Representatives Ken Sorensen, Ph.D.

State Representative, District 120

June 21, 2001

The Honorable Leon Jacobs, Chairman Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Opposition to Staff Recommendations for 305 Area Code

Dear Chairman Jacobs:

I am writing to you as the Representative for House District 120 in Monroe County. The citizens of Monroe County oppose the staff recommendation for ten digit dialing with the potential overlay for another area code.

It was our distinct impression after the PSC meeting last September that seven digit dialing would be maintained and staff would research the impact of strict conservation, pooling, and caller rate consolidation. To my knowledge, staff has not conducted the research or conservation of numbers or pooling.

I ask that you read this letter into the record on Monday, June 25<sup>th</sup>, and I urge the commission to reject the staff recommendation and keep seven digit dialing with no overlay in Monroe County.

Ken Sorensen, Ph.D.

State Representative, District 120

cc: Jack Shreve, Public Counsel

Virginia Panico, Exec. Dir. Key West Chamber of Commerce Richard Watson, Lobbyist for the City of Key West

JUN 2 5 2001

RECEIVE

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
Chairman Jacobs

**Matilda Sanders** 

Andrea Cowart

From: Thursday, June 28, 2001 8:56 AM Sent:

RAR - Orders-Notices; Della Fordham,

Subject:

To:

Order / Notice Submitted

Date and Time:

6/28/01 8:53:00 AM

Corrected 1:30 pm and mas

#### **Agreement Orders**

010737 - 010737.kmp 010714 - 010714.kmp 010713 - 010713.kmp 010408 - 010408.kmp 010710 - 010710.kmp 010475 - 010475.kmp 010741 - 010741.kmp 010712 - 010712.kmp

Order Approving Implementation of New Area Code Overlay

990455, 990456, 990457 - 990457 oi.clf

#### 

#### **Matilda Sanders**

01-11165A-FOF

From:

Carolyn Craig

Sent:

Friday, June 29, 2001 8:59 AM

To:

RAR - Orders-Notices; Della Fordham

Subject:

Order / Notice Submitted

Date and Time:

6/29/01 8:57:00 AM

Docket Number:

990455-TL, 990456-TL, 990457-TL, 990517-TL

Filename / Path:

I:\990455ao.bk

Amendatory Order

5

56+ 22m **CCA Official Filing:** 

Matilda Sanders

From:

Andrea Cowart

Sent: To: Wednesday, July 11, 2001 10:27 AM

CCA - Records

Subject:

Order / Notice Submitted

Date and Time: Docket Number: 7/11/01 10:27:00 AM

umber: 990455-TL

Filename / Path:

990455im.clf

Order on Implementation of New Area Code.

13 f 2 m

#### Filings@psc.state.fl.us

From:

Fatool, Vicki [Vicki.Fatool@BellSouth.COM]

Sent:

Monday, October 01, 2001 3:30 PM

To: Subject: 'filings@psc.state.fl.us' Filing in Docket No. 990455-TL

Importance:

High



The attached document is from:

Vickie Fatool for James Meza III BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301 vicki.fatool@bellsouth.com DCT-1 PM 3:5

Docket No. 990455-TL: Request for Review of Proposed Numbering Plan Relief for the 305/786 Area Code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region

Number of Pages: 7 pages including Bayo letter, pleading, Certificate of Service and attachment.

Pleading entitled: BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Withdrawal of Motion for an Order Directing Telcordia to Make the Necessary changes to BRIDS and RDBS in Order to Implement Rate Center Consolidation in New Exchange "The Keys" in the 305 Area Code

A paper copy will be filed with the Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services today.

By filing electronically, BellSouth accepts that the official copy is the version printed by the Public Service Commission's Division for the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services and filed in the official docket file.

<<8BC5E000.PDF>>

#### Filings@psc.state.fl.us

From:

Filings@psc.state.fl.us

Sent:

Monday, October 01, 2001 3:53 PM

To: Subject: 'vicki.fatool@bellsouth.com' Docket Number 990455-TL

This will confirm receipt of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Withdrawal of Motion for an Order Directing Telcordia to Make the Necessary changes to BRIDS and RDBS in Order to Implement Rate Center Consolidation in New Exchange "The Keys" in the 305 Area Code.

Your filing was received on October 1, 2001.

It has been stamped with identifying Document No. 12401-01.

Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services Florida Public Service Commission DI OCT - 1 PN 3: 59



LI NOV 30 PM 12: 13

# Verizonwireles & MISSION

1300 | Street, NW – Suite 400 West Washington, DC 20005 (202) 589-3740 Fax: (202) 589-3750 011369
010743 990457
001503, 990455

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET				
TO: PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION, STATE OF FLORIDA	FROM: ANNE HOSKINS			
COMPANY:	DATE:11/29/01			
FAX NUMBER:1-850-413-7118	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES INCLUDING COVER:			
PHONE NUMBER:	SENDER'S REFERENCE NUMBER: (202) 589-3770			
RE:	YOUR REFERENCE NUMBER:			
☐ URGENT ☐ FOR REVIEW ☐ PLEA	ASE COMMENT   PLEASE REPLY   PLEASE RECYCLE			
NOTES/COMMENTS	Out a literature			

PLEASE CHANGE MY FAX NUMBER TO (202) 589-3750. FOR REFERENCE, SEE FAX YOU SENT TO ME.

Jone 12/13/01

This telecopy transmission contains Verizon Wireless confidential and/or legal privileged information intended only for the addressee(s). If you are not an intended recipient, do not disclose, copy, distribute, or take any action in reliance on these documents. Instead, please notify us by telephone immediately to arrange for their return at no cost to you.

VERIZON WIRELESS

P13 for to Anne Hookins



### PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399-0850

TO:

Anne Hockins

Fax#:

6W19083066836

FROM:

Records Fax Server

RE:

Note: This fax was generated by the Case Management System.

Multiple attempts will be made to fax this document. If all attempts fail, you will automatically be sent a copy of the document by U.S. Mail. You do not need to call to report a fax failure.

Hove the PSC Change your fox number

RUTLEDGE, ECENIA, PURNELL & HOFFMAN, P.A. 215 SOUTH MONROE STREET SUITE 420

TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301

PHONE: (850) 681-6788 FAX: (850) 681-6515



990455, 990457

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FAX TRANSMISSION IS ATTORNEY COMMUNICATION AND PRIVILEGED. IT IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION AND ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT THE COPYING OR DISTRIBUTION OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS PROHIBITED. IF YOU RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLBASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

Date: March 18, 2002 From: Kenneth A. Hoffman

PLEASE DELIVER THE FOLLOWING PAGE(S) TO:			
Telecopier Number: (413-7118)			
ATTENTION:			
Name: Hun			
Company: FPSC			
ADDRESS:			
COMMENTS: Voicestream Wireless 8100 S.W. 10 <sup>th</sup> Street Building 3, Suite 1000 Plantation, Florida 33324			
WE ARE TRANSMITTING 1 PAGES INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET.			
IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL THE PAGES IN LEGIBLE FORM, CALL (850) 681-6788.			
TUTE PAY WAS SENT BY. Poyanne Levingston			





July 11, 2002

Public Service Commission 2540 Shurmard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 960100, 960786, 9607864, 960786B, 96083-960846, 981444, 981834, 990455, 990649, 99064 990649B, 991473, 000121, 000121A 010696, 010743, 010774, 011077

Attention: Nonnye Grant

Dear Ms. Grant:

1X029 + Nothertate "T"

Per our telephone conversation today, please make the following changes for the FLORIDA PUBLIC TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION:

Please send all mail to:

M/ms. angela & Drun

Niu President/Dennal Counsel

2292 Wednesday Street, Suite 1

Dallahasser Fr 323 & 8-4334

abgreen@nettally.com

David Tobin, Esq. - Juneal Counsel
Tobin & Reyes

7251 West Palmetto Park Road

Suite 205

Boca Raton, FL 33433 - 3 187

Phone:

(561) 620-0656

Fax: (561) 620-0657

E-mail:

dst@tobinreyes.com

Our second contact is:

pt same above P- 850) 222-5050 F- 850) 222-1355

Rick Lubbehusen, Executive Derector

Phone:

(850) 222-5050

Fax:

(850) 222-1355

E-mail:

rick@fpta.com

Thank you, Nonnye, for your help. If you need any further information, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Jan McBride-Smith Office Manager gone 7/11/02

Inohe is ms. me fonde-Smith, received will titles of contacts, plus they want to

1140 Capital Circle SE, Suite 11, Tallahassee, FL 32301 - 3

NH, ND, NW " T"

Phone (850) 222-5050, Fax (850) 222-1355, Email: jan@fpta.com

Introuption

#### STATE OF FLORIDA

COMMISSIONERS: LILA A. JABER, CHAIRMAN J. TERRY DEASON BRAULIO L. BAEZ MICHAEL A. PALECKI RUDOLPH "RUDY" BRADLEY



DIVISION OF THE COMMISSION CLERK & ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES
BLANCA S. BAYÓ
DIRECTOR
(850) 413-6770 (CLERK)
(850) 413-6330 (ADMIN)

## Hublic Service Commission

July 15, 2002

Ms. Nancy H. Sims BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1556

Re: Return of Confidential Document to the Source, Docket No. 990455-TL

Dear Ms. Sims:

Commission staff have advised that Confidential Document No. 14596-00 filed on behalf of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. can be returned to the source. The document is enclosed.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

18

Kay Flynn, Chief Bureau of Records and Hearing Services

KF:mhl Enclosure

cc: Levent Ileri, Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement Bob Casey, Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement Cheryl Bulecza-Banks, Division of Competitive Markets and Enforcement Lee Fordham, Office of the General Counsel

SIGNED FOR BY\_

Kathlew Grant

DATE 7 17 02

PSC Website: http://www.floridapsc.com

Internet E-mail: contact@psc.state.fl.us

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

**Regulatory Relations** 

150 South Monroe Street Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Nancy H. Sims Director

850 222 1201 Fax 850 222 8640

nancy.sims@bellsouth.com

Ms. Noreen Davis 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

960786, 98 1834, 990455, 99045 990456, 000028, 000475, 000436 000649, 000690, 000731, 000733, ED 000761, 000828, 001097 te of Service 001305, 001797, 001810 Subject: Party of Record/Certificate of Service 10309,01034 200309,01034

Dear Ms. Davis,

0/0007, 0/0097, 0/0098, 0/0302, This letter is to provide BellSouth's Party of Record/Certificate of Service information to the personnel in 010565,0107 your division. With the changes in personnel handling legal document filings and notices for the Florida Public Service Commission, and with the numerous locations of BellSouth, there is sometimes confusion as 0107820102 to which BellSouth address should be used when serving documents or sending notices. BellSouth's local Tallahassee office is the "official service" location for docketed and undocketed matters. This address is:

010983,010

Nancy B. White, Esquire BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. c/o Ms. Nancy H. Sims 150 So. Monroe Street Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1556

010973, 6109

010962

The attorney name may be different depending upon the case involved, but the Tallahassee address should always be used. If this address is not used, then it is difficult to determine the proper response timeframe.

I would appreciate your help in providing this information to your staff as we have recently had filings and notices sent directly to Miami and to Atlanta. If you have any questions, please let me know.

Thank you.

Copy to: Naricy White

H. Sims

Blanca Bayo

Walter D'Haeseleer

#### September 23, 2002

#### BY HAND DELIVERY

Blanca Bayó, Director Florida Public Service Commission Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Dear Ms. Bayó,

960786, 9607864, 96078613, 960833, 960846 Re: Change of Address

971140, 971478, 980499, 980986, 981121, 981444

1s. Bayó,

981834, 990455, 990649, 9906494, 99064913,00000

1n compliance with the Commission rules, WorldCom hereby files its change of 01/077, 020/27

2007-3 AM10:05

contact information for the certificated entities listed below. Starting today, September 23, 2002, I am moving to a new address, and also will have new phone and fax numbers. 020649, 02076 I would appreciate it if you could update the Master Commission Directory with the new paner information.

I am the regulatory liaison for the following certificated entities and my new information is set forth below:

#### UPDATED REGULATORY LIAISON CONTACT INFORMATION:

Donna Canzano McNulty 1203 Governors Square Blvd. Suite 201 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Phone: (850) 219-1008 Fax: (850) 219-1018

#### UPDATED CONTACT INFORMATION FOR THESE CERTIFICATED **ENTITIES:**

VTI731 - MCI WorldCom Network Services, Inc. (IXC)

V TE644 – MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. (PP)

✓ TA020 – MCI WorldCom Network Services, Inc. (ALEC)

√ TA005 – MCImetro Access Transmission Services, LLC (ALEC)

∨ TS185 – MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. (STP)

TA047 – MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. (ALEC)

✓ TI724 - TransCall America, Inc. d/b/a ATC Long Distance

rone 9/25/02

- ✓ TJ032 MCI WorldCom Communications, Inc. (IXC)
  ✓ TA012 Metropolitan Fiber Systems of Florida , Inc. (ALEC)
  ✓TE165 Telecom\*USA, Inc. (PP)
  ✓TI906 Telecom\*USA, Inc. (IXC)
  ✓TI907 SouthernNet Systems, Inc. (IXC)
  ✓TS173 Intermedia Communications Inc. (STP)
  ✓ TI857 Intermedia Communications Inc. (IXC)
  ✓ TA001 Intermedia Communications Inc. (ALEC)
  ✓TS171 Access Network Services, Inc. (STP)
  - If you have any questions, please contact me at your earliest convenience.

Sincerely,

Donna Canzano McNulty

cc: Walter D'Haeseleer
Harold McLean
Beth Keating
Bev DeMello
Rick Moses
Roberta Bass

#### Hong Wang

From:

Sandy Moses

Sent:

Monday, February 16, 2004 10:21 AM

To:

Kay Posey; Kathleen Stewart; Veronica Washington; Hong Wang

Subject:

Commissioner Reassignments

Shortly after Commissioner Davidson was sworn in, the following cases previously assigned to former Commissioners Jacobs and Palecki were globally reassigned in CCS as indicated below, but the reassignment information was never transmitted to staff in the Clerk's office for entry into CMS. By copy of this e-mail, I am requesting that Hong make the reassignments as shown (the Prehearing Officer is reflected in BOLD) so that CMS and CCS reflect the same Commissioner assignments.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Docket No.	From	То	Status
971622-SU	BZ, DS, PL	BZ, DS, DV	Active
980876-WS	BZ, DS, PL	BZ, DS, DV	Active
990455-TL	BZ, DS, JC	BZ, DS, BD	Active
990649A-TP	DS, JB, PL	DS, JB, DV	Litigation
000475-TP	BZ, JC, PL	BZ, BD, DV	Active
000649-TP	BZ, JB, JC	BZ, JB, BD	Litigation
000731-TP	BZ, JC, PL	BZ, BD, DV	Litigation
010283-EI	BZ, JB, JC	BZ, <b>JB</b> , BD	Active
010409-TP	BZ, BD, PL	BZ, <b>BD</b> , DV	Monitor
010441-EU	DS, JB, PL	DS, <b>JB</b> , DV	Litigation
010564-TP	BZ, BD, PL	BZ, <b>BD</b> , DV	Monitor
020640-SU	ALL, PL	ALL, <b>DV</b>	Active

#### Kay Flynn

990455-TL

From:

Denise Karnes

Sent:

Thursday, May 19, 2005 2:42 PM

To:

Alina Dieguez; Allen Mortham; Beth Salak; Betty Ashby; Bev DeMello; Blanca Bayo; Bob Trapp; Braulio Baez; Bridget Hoyle; Carlotta Stauffer; Carol Purvis; Cayce Hinton; Charles Davidson; Chuck Hill; Cindy Miller; Dan Hoppe; Della Fordham; Diane Lee; Dorothy Boone; Eileen Patrick; Hurd Reeves; J. Terry Deason; Jane Faurot; Janet Brunson; Janet Harrison; Kathleen Stewart; Katrina Tew; Kay Flynn; Kay Posey; Kevin Bloom; Larry Harris; Lisa Edgar; Manuel Arisso; Martha Golden; Mary Bane; Mary Macko; Norma Jenkins; Pat Dunbar; Patsy White; Rhonda Hicks; Richard Tudor; Rick Melson; Roberta Bass; Rudy Bradley; Sandy Moses; Sharon Allbritton; Steven

Stolting; Susan Howard; Tim Devlin; Todd Brown; Veronica Washington

Subject: Number Conservation Staves Off Mandatory 10-digit Dialing in Florida Keys

A news release was faxed to media in South Florida and the Florida Keys this afternoon, 5/19/05, and is now available on the PSC web site: http://www.psc.state.fl.us/general/news/pressrelease.cfm?release=12



#### State of Florida

### Hublic Service Commission NEWS RELEASE

May 19, 2005

Contact: 850-413-6482

# Number Conservation Staves Off Mandatory 10-digit Dialing in Florida Keys

**TALLAHASSEE** — A series of telephone number conservation measures initiated by the Florida Public Service Commission may push back to the year 2009 the need to impose mandatory 10-digit dialing for local calls in the Florida Keys.

The Commission will take up a staff recommendation at its May 31 agenda conference which advocates leaving intact a number of measures geared toward saving telephone numbers and staving off 10-digit dialing.

Projections made in 1999 indicated the availability of phone numbers beginning with the area code 305 were dwindling. These projections led the telecommunications industry to recommend 10-digit dialing for the Keys effective August 1, 2001.

The Commission rejected the recommendation and instead undertook a series of conservation measures aimed at preserving as many numbers as possible.

"We understand the difficulty consumers have dialing 10 digits to call a neighbor across the street," Commission Chairman Braulio Baez said. "We did everything we could to avoid that situation in the Keys and it looks like we can spare them that aggravation for a few more years."

Measures employed by the Commission included taking back unused blocks of numbers from carriers who did not assign the numbers to customers, giving out numbers to carriers in blocks of 1,000 instead of 10,000, and a number of technical changes.

Current projections call for mandatory 10-digit dialing in the middle of 2009 in the Keys. While 10-digit dialing is not currently required, Keys residents can dial local calls using 10 digits during what is called a "permissive dialing" period without incurring toll charges.

###

Website - http://www.floridapsc.com Kevin Bloom, Director, Office of Public Information 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Matilda Sanders

From:

Subject:

Jackie Schindler

Sent: To:

Wednesday, June 08, 2005 3:53 PM CCA - Orders / Notices; Lee Fordham

Order / Notice Submitted

Date and Time:

6/8/2005 3:52:00 PM

**Docket Number:** Filename / Path: 990455-tl

990455close.doc

An ORDER CLOSING DOCKET has been moved to GC Orders for issuance on Thursday. Thanks.

js