BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Joint petition for) DOCKET NO. 920801-TL temporary waiver of alternative) ORDER NO. PSC-92-1476-FOF-TL toll plan requirements in Putnam) ISSUED: 12/21/92 and Bradford Counties by ALLTEL) FLORIDA, INC. and CENTRAL) TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA.)

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

THOMAS M. BEARD, Chairman SUSAN F. CLARK J. TERRY DEASON BETTY EASLEY LUIS J. LAUREDO

ORDER GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME AND CLOSING DOCKET

BY THE COMMISSION:

BACKGROUND

By Order No. PSC-92-0282-FOF-TL, issued May 4, 1992, in Docket No. 910022-TL, we proposed requiring ALLTEL Florida, Inc. (ALLTEL), Central Telephone Company of Florida (Centel), and Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell) to implement an alternative toll relief plan known as the \$.25 plan on eleven routes between Bradford County, Union County, and the Gainesville exchange. No protest was filed to our proposal, so Order No. PSC-92-0282-FOF-TL became final on May 26, 1992. Since several of the affected routes were interLATA (local access transport area), we directed Southern Bell to immediately begin seeking a waiver of the Modified Final Judgment (MFJ) to allow it to carry traffic on the interLATA routes it serves. ALLTEL and Centel have since filed tariffs reflecting a September 12, 1992, implementation date on the routes that can be implemented. Order No. PSC-92-0282-FOF-TL requires that all of the routes be implemented by November 26, 1992.

By Order No. 25772, issued February 24, 1992, in Dockets Nos. 910528-TL and 880069-TL, we proposed requiring ALLTEL and Southern Bell to implement the \$.25 plan on ten routes in Putnam County. No protest was filed to our proposal, so Order No. 25772 became final on March 17, 1992. Southern Bell was again directed to seek a waiver of the MFJ to allow it to carry traffic on the interLATA

DOCUMENT NUMERIE - NATI

14729 DEC 21 to

ORDER NO. PSC-92-1476-FOF-TL DOCKET NO. 920801-TL PAGE 2

routes that it serves. All of the routes were to be implemented by September 17, 1992.

On August 10, 1992, ALLTEL and Centel filed a Joint Petition for temporary waiver of the above Orders (Petition). This Petition deals specifically with the following exchanges: between Waldo and Lawtey; Waldo and Starke; Interlachen and Melrose; and Interlachen and Orange Springs. These are all interLATA routes not involving Southern Bell. However, because the routes are interLATA, facilities are a problem for ALLTEL and Centel. The Petition also requested that Centel's tariff filing of July 10, 1992, be held in abeyance pending the outcome of the Petition. However, on August 17, 1992, a modification to the Petition was filed requesting that the tariff not be held in abeyance.

By Order No. PSC-92-1119-FOF-TL, issued October 5, 1992, we directed ALLTEL and Centel, for the routes between the Waldo exchange and the Lawtey and Starke exchanges, to either implement these routes as ordered, or, by October 15, 1992, provide us with detailed information regarding how and when these routes can be implemented. As to those routes between the Interlachen exchange and the Melrose and Orange Springs exchanges, we directed ALLTEL, by October 15, 1992, to provide us with detailed information regarding how and when these routes can be implemented.

DISCUSSION

On October 15, 1992, ALLTEL and Centel filed a joint response to Order No. PSC-92-1119-FOF-TL. The response states that ALLTEL and Centel will be able to implement the required calling plan between the Waldo exchange and the Lawtey and Starke exchanges, as ordered, by November 26, 1992. This will be accomplished by leasing facilities from an interexchange carrier (IXC) until such time that network development is required.

As to the remaining routes (between the Interlachen exchange and the Melrose and Orange Springs exchanges) ALLTEL states that it has considered the available options and has determined that it shall lease facilities from an IXC. However, the Company needs an extension of time until December 1, 1992, to implement the required calling plan.

After review of the cost information provided by ALLTEL, we find its plan to be reasonable and shall grant the requested

ORDER NO. PSC-92-1476-FOF-TL DOCKET NO. 920801-TL PAGE 3

extension of time until December 1, 1992. Since this resolves all the remaining issues in this docket, the docket shall be closed.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that ALLTEL Florida, Inc. shall be granted an extension of time until December 1, 1992, to implement the calling plan set forth herein, for the reasons discussed in the body of this Order. It is further

ORDERED that this docket is hereby closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 21st day of December, 1992.

STEVE TRIBBLE, Director Division of Records and Reporting

(SEAL)

ABG

ORDER NO. PSC-92-1476-FOF-TL DOCKET NO. 920801-TL PAGE 4

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request: 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or sewer utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Civil Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900 (a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.