

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

|  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| In Re: Petition and tariff to disassociate certain mobile interconnection charges from access charges by BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. d/b/a SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY (T-93-532 FILED 9/15/93)                   | ) | DOCKET NO. 930915-TL   |
| <hr/>  |   |  |
| In Re: Request for approval of tariff to reduce network usage charges associated with Mobile Service Provider Traffic by BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. d/b/a SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY (T-93-0677 FILED 11/19/93) | ) | DOCKET NO. 931234-TL<br>ORDER NO. PSC-94-0289-FOF-TL<br>ISSUED: March 14, 1994 |

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

J. TERRY DEASON, Chairman  
SUSAN F. CLARK  
JULIA L. JOHNSON  
DIANE K. KIESLING  
LUIS J. LAUREDO

ORDER DENYING TARIFF FILING IN DOCKET NO. 930915-TL  
AND APPROVING TARIFF FILING IN DOCKET NO. 931234-TL

I. Background

On September 15, 1993, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (SBT or Company) filed a petition to disassociate certain mobile interconnection charges from switched access charges. On the same date, the Company filed a tariff which incorporated negotiated rates for Mobile Service Provider (MSP) network usage charges and which restructured the MSP tariff. (Docket No. 930915-TL)

On November 19, 1993, SBT filed a revision to its General Subscriber Service Tariff to reduce the network usage charges associated with MSP traffic due to a reduction in switched access

charges. This tariff was filed to comply with Order No. 20475.  
(Docket No. 931234-TL)

This Order addresses the tariff filings in both of the  
aforementioned Dockets. The Petition, which was filed in Docket  
No. 930915, was denied by a separate PAA Order which is to be  
issued simultaneously with this Order.

## II. Tariff Filing Docket No. 930915-TL

SBT's filing makes several revisions to the Mobile Service  
Provider (MSP) interconnection tariff. The filing removes language  
providing the methodology for calculating the LATA-wide usage rates  
for MSPs while reducing both the mobile-originated and Land-to-  
Mobile usage rates. Additionally, it incorporates existing  
services presently found in other tariff locations, and adds  
several new services and charges.

SBT asserts that this filing merits approval in order to:  
1) ensure that all facility rates cover cost; 2) ensure that  
appropriate rate elements are included in this tariff; 3) ensure  
that the structure of SBT's MSP tariff allows for more efficient  
operations; and 4) offer additional options to MSPs.

Upon review, it appears that SBT's proposed tariff has merit.  
However, SBT states that implementation of the restructure tariff  
is contingent upon the approval of the Petition which it also filed  
in this Docket. As discussed above, the Petition was denied by  
separate PAA Order. Accordingly, we shall deny the proposed  
tariff.

## III. Tariff Filing Docket No. 931234-TL

On November 19, 1993, SBT filed a revision to its General  
Subscriber Service Tariff to reduce the network usage charges  
associated with MSP traffic. The network usage charges are  
currently tied to switched access charges per Order No. 20475. By  
that Order, LECs are required to flow through reductions in  
switched access charges to their MSP rates. On November 15, 1993,  
an SBT switched access filing that eliminated the time-of-day  
discounts became effective.

The elimination of the time-of-day discounts would have  
increased SBT's switched access revenues. However, in order to  
preserve revenue neutrality, four switched access rate elements  
were decreased. The affected rate elements are Originating (OCCL)

ORDER NO. PSC-94-0289-FOF-TL  
DOCKETS NOS. 930915-TL, 931234-TL  
PAGE 3

and Terminating (TCCL) Common Carrier Line, Local Transport (LTr), and Local Switching 2 (LS2).

Upon review, we find the changes to be appropriate. We shall approve the flow-through tariff with an effective date of March 1, 1994.

Therefore, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Southern Bell's tariff filing in Docket No. 930915-TL, which abandons the current formula for calculating the MSP network usage rates and restructures the MSP, is hereby denied. It is further

ORDERED that Southern Bell's flow-through tariff, filed in Docket No. 931234-TL, is hereby approved with an effective date of March 1, 1994. It is further

ORDERED that Docket No. 930915-TL shall be closed at the end of the tariff and PAA protest periods, if no timely protest is filed. It is further

ORDERED that Docket No. 931234-TL shall be closed at the end of the tariff protest period, assuming no timely protest is received. If a timely protest is filed, the tariff shall remain in effect with any increase held subject to refund.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission, this 14th day of March, 1994.

---

STEVE TRIBBLE, Director  
Division of Records and Reporting

( S E A L )

CWM

by: Kary Hagan  
Chief, Bureau of Records

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

The Commission's decision on this tariff is interim in nature and will become final, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed files a petition for a formal proceeding, as provided by Rule 25-22.036(4), Florida Administrative Code, in the form provided by Rule 25-22.036(7)(a)(d) and (e), Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting at his office at 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870, by the close of business on April 4, 1994.

In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become final on the day subsequent to the above date.

Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this Order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

If this Order becomes final on the date described above, any party adversely affected may request judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or by the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days of the date this Order becomes final, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.