MEMORANDUM

JANUARY 12, 1995



TO:

DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM:

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES (BROWN) (MC)

RE:

DOCKET NO. 941101-EQ - PETITION OF FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION FOR DETERMINATION THAT ITS PLAN FOR CURTAILING PURCHASES FROM QUALIFYING FACILITIES IN MINIMUM LOAD CONDITIONS IS CONSISTENT WITH RULE 25-

17.086, F.A.C.

0071-Pc0

Attached is an <u>ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE AND GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE TESTIMONY</u> to be issued in the above-referenced docket. (Number of pages in Order - 3)

MCB/js Attachment

cc: Division of Electric and Gas

I:9411010C.MCB



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petition for determination that its plan for curtailing purchases from qualifying facilities in minimum load conditions is consistent with Rule 25-17.086, P.A.C., by PIORIDA POWER CORPORATION.

) DOCKET NO. 941101-EQ) ORDER NO. PSC-95-0071-PCO-EQ) ISSUED: January 12, 1995

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE AND GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE TESTIMONY

On December 21, 1994, Orlando Cogen Limited (OCL), an intervenor in this case, filed a Motion for Continuance or, in the Alternative, Motion for Extension of time to file testimony. On January 4 and 6, 1995, two other intervenors, Pasco Cogen, Ltd. (Pasco) and Lake Cogen, Ltd. (Lake), joined in OCL's motion.

The intervenors ask that the hearing scheduled for May 8-9, 1995 be continued, because they need to conduct extensive discovery in the case, and believe that they will have to engage in several "rounds" of discovery requests to adequately analyze Florida Power Corporation's (FPC) curtailment plan and prepare their case. They claim that the May hearing will not give them enough time to do that. They state that delay would not prejudice FPC, because the case could still be decided before FPC's next low load period in the Fall of 1995. The motions do not indicate that the intervenors contacted FPC to determine whether or not FPC objects to the continuance, but FPC has not filed a response.

This case does involve some complex issues, but the parties have already had a month to prepare. Certainly four more months should be ample time to conduct discovery and analyze the issues, particularly if all parties cooperate to resolve disputes and conduct discovery efficiently. It should also be noted that the Order on Procedure issued in this case limits interrogatories and requests for production of documents to 100 each, including subparts. Also, given the Commission's congested hearing schedule, it is not at all certain that the case could be concluded by next Pall if the hearing is rescheduled. For these reasons the hearing dates will not be continued. The Motion for Continuance is denied.

Upon review of the case schedule, it does appear that the schedule can accommodate an extension of time to file testimony, and therefore the Motion for Extension of Time to File Testimony will be granted. The new dates for filing testimony are:

DOCUMENTS CATE

DO381 JAN 12 %

ORDER NO. PSC-95-0071-PCO-EQ

DOCKET NO. 941101-EO

PAGE 2

Petitioner's Testimony - February 20, 1995

Staff and Intervenor Testimony - March 20, 1995

Rebuttal Testimony - April 10, 1995

All other dates established in the Order on Procedure, Order No. PSC-94-1523-PCO-EQ remain in effect.

By ORDER of Chairman Susan F. Clark, as Prehearing Officer, this 12th day of January , 1995.

SUSAN F. CLARK, Chairman and

Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

MCB

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to not fy parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060,

ORDER NO. PSC-95-0071-PCO-EQ DOCKET NO. 941101-EQ PAGE 3

Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.