

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Resolution by St. Lucie ) DOCKET NO. 950023-TL  
Board of County Commissioners ) ORDER NO. PSC-95-0776-PCO-TL  
for extended area service (EAS) ) ISSUED: June 27, 1995  
between the Fort Pierce (also )  
pocket area), Jensen Beach, and )  
Stuart exchanges. )  
\_\_\_\_\_ )

ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

By Order No. PSC-95-0143-FOF-TL, issued January 31, 1995, this Commission directed BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell) to perform certain traffic studies. Southern Bell was to perform these studies to facilitate further evaluation of Resolution No. 94-142, filed with this Commission by the Board of County Commissioners of St. Lucie County. This resolution requested extended area service (EAS) between the Ft. Pierce, including the pocket area, and Jensen Beach and Stuart exchanges. These exchanges are located in the Southeast LATA (local access transport area) and are intraLATA. Southern Bell was directed to submit the traffic studies by May 1, 1995.

On May 1, 1995, Southern Bell filed a Motion for Extension of Time to File Traffic Study requesting through June 1, 1995 to prepare and submit the required traffic studies. This request was granted by Order No. PSC-95-0669-PCO-TL, issued May 31, 1995.

On June 1, 1995, Southern Bell filed an additional Motion for Extension of Time to File Traffic Study. Southern Bell stated that this traffic study required preparation and a process that was different than the usual process, and was substantially more time consuming. Southern Bell also stated that it appeared that additional time was required, and requested an additional thirty-day extension of time until July 1, 1995.

Southern Bell filed the required traffic study on June 6, 1995. Based on the foregoing, Southern Bell's Motion for Extension of Time is hereby granted and the traffic study filed June 6, 1995, shall be deemed timely filed.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

05986 JUN 27 85

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING


ORDER NO. PSC-95-0776-PCO-TL  
DOCKET NO. 950023-TL  
PAGE 2

It is, therefore,

ORDERED by Commissioner Diane K. Kiesling, as Prehearing Officer, that the June 1, 1995, Motion for Extension of Time to File Traffic Study filed by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company, is granted. It is further

ORDERED that the traffic study filed by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc., d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company, on June 6, 1995 is deemed timely filed.

By ORDER of Commissioner Diane K. Kiesling, as Prehearing Officer, this 27th day of June, 1995.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
DIANE K. KIESLING, Commissioner and  
Prehearing Officer

( S E A L )

DLC

ORDER NO. PSC-95-0776-PCO-TL  
DOCKET NO. 950023-TL  
PAGE 3

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.038(2), Florida Administrative Code, if issued by a Prehearing Officer; (2) reconsideration within 15 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code, if issued by the Commission; or (3) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.