#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Complaint of Supra Telecommunications Systems, Information Inc. against and Telecommunications, BellSouth Inc. for violation of the Telecommunications Act of 1996; petition for resolution of disputes as to implementation interpretation and interconnection. resale and collocation agreements; and petition for emergency relief.

DOCKET NO. 980119-TP ORDER NO. PSC-04-0120-PCO-TP ISSUED: February 3, 2004

### ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE

On January 23, 1998, Supra Telecommunications and Information Systems, Inc. (Supra) filed a Complaint against BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BellSouth) for alleged violations of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (Act) and Petition for resolution of certain disputes between BellSouth and Supra regarding interpretation of the Interconnection, Resale, and Collocation Agreements between Supra and BellSouth (Petition). On February 16, 1998, BellSouth filed its Answer and Response to Supra's Petition. On April 30, 1998, a hearing was held on the complaint. By Order No. PSC-98-1001-FOF-TP, issued July 22, 1998, this Commission rendered its final decision. The Parties filed multiple post-hearing motions. By Order No. PSC-98-1467-FOF-TP, issued October 28, 1998, this Commission denied the motions for reconsideration and to supplement the record and clarified our post-hearing Order.

Thereafter, on November 24, 1998, BellSouth filed a Complaint in the federal District Court for the Northern District of Florida appealing the Commission's decision, Case No. 4:98CV404l-WS. On May 8, 2000, the federal district court granted BellSouth's voluntary dismissal of its appeal to allow this Commission to address the issue of whether BellSouth is in compliance with the on-line edit checking requirements.

On June 8, 2000, BellSouth filed a Motion for Reconsideration of our decision and requested that the issue of whether or not BellSouth had complied with the edit checking capability requirements of Order No. PSC-98-1001-FOF-TP be resolved by the third-party testing of BellSouth's OSS, which was then being conducted pursuant to Order No. PSC-00-0104-PAA-TP, in Dockets Nos. 981834-TP and 960786-TL. On September 25, 2002, this Commission rendered our Consultative Opinion regarding the results of the testing of BellSouth's OSS, Opinion No. PSC-02-1305-FOF-TL, in Dockets Nos. 981834-TP and 960786-TL.

By Order No. PSC-03-1178-PAA-TP, issued October 21, 2003, this Commission found that BellSouth had timely complied with the on-line edit checking requirements set forth in Order No. PSC-98-1001-FOF-TP as clarified by the subsequent Orders in this docket. On

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

01585 FEB-3 #

November 10, 2003, Supra filed its protest to Order No. PSC-03-1178-PAA-TP. On December 5, 2003, BellSouth filed its response to Supra's protest. This matter is being scheduled for an administrative hearing to address the protest and response.

This Order is issued pursuant to the authority granted by Rule 28-106.211, Florida Administrative Code, which provides that the presiding officer before whom a case is pending may issue any orders necessary to effectuate discovery, prevent delay, and promote the just, speedy, and inexpensive determination of all aspects of the case.

The scope of this proceeding shall be based upon the issues raised by the parties and Commission staff up to and during the prehearing conference, unless modified by the Commission.

#### Discovery

The hearing in this docket is set for August 4, 2004. Unless authorized by the Prehearing Officer for good cause shown, all discovery shall be completed by July 28, 2004. All interrogatories, requests for admissions, and requests for production of documents shall be numbered sequentially in order to facilitate their identification. The discovery requests will be numbered sequentially within a set, and any subsequent discovery requests will continue the sequential numbering system. Pursuant to Rule 28-106.206, Florida Administrative Code, unless subsequently modified by the Prehearing Officer, the following shall apply: interrogatories, including all subparts, shall be limited to 100, and requests for production of documents, including all subparts, shall be limited to 100. A copy of all discovery responses shall be provided to Commission staff in addition to the requesting party.

Any party intending to provide information pursuant to a discovery request, which it is aware is deemed, or might be deemed, confidential by another party in this proceeding, shall notify that party prior to submitting such information for the purpose of ensuring conformance with this Commission's rules regarding the handling of such information and continued confidential treatment pending a formal ruling by the Commission. Any information provided pursuant to a discovery request for which proprietary confidential business information status is requested shall be treated by the Commission and the parties as confidential. The information shall be exempt from Section 119.07(1), Florida Statutes, pending a formal ruling on such request by the Commission, or upon the return of the information to the person providing the information. If no determination of confidentiality has been made and the information has not been made a part of the evidentiary record in the proceeding, it shall be returned expeditiously to the person providing the information. If a determination of confidentiality has been made and the information was not entered into the record of the proceeding, it shall be returned to the person providing the information within the time period set forth in Section 364.183, Florida Statutes.

Parties shall avail themselves of the liberal discovery allowed by this Order within the time frames set forth above. Parties are cautioned against conducting discovery during cross examination at the hearing.

# **Diskette Filings**

See Rule 25-22.028(1), Florida Administrative Code, for the requirements of filing on diskette for certain utilities.

# Prefiled Testimony and Exhibits

Each party shall prefile, in writing, all testimony that it intends to sponsor. Such testimony shall be typed on 8 ½ inch x 11 inch transcript-quality paper, double-spaced, with 25 numbered lines, on consecutively numbered pages, with left margins sufficient to allow for binding (1.25 inches).

Each exhibit intended to support a witness' prefiled testimony shall be attached to that witness' testimony when filed, identified by his or her initials, and consecutively numbered beginning with 1. All other known exhibits shall be marked for identification at the prehearing conference. After an opportunity for opposing parties to object to introduction of the exhibits and to cross-examine the witness sponsoring them, exhibits may be offered into evidence at the hearing. Exhibits accepted into evidence at the hearing shall be numbered sequentially. The pages of each exhibit shall also be numbered sequentially prior to filing with the Commission.

An original and 15 copies of all testimony and exhibits shall be prefiled with the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, by the close of business, which is 5:00 p.m., on the date due. A copy of all prefiled testimony and exhibits shall be served by mail or hand delivery to all other parties and staff no later than the date filed with the Commission. Failure of a party to timely prefile exhibits and testimony from any witness in accordance with the foregoing requirements may bar admission of such exhibits and testimony.

If a demonstrative exhibit or other demonstrative tools are to be used at hearing, they must be identified by the time of the Prehearing Conference.

# **Prehearing Statement**

All parties in this docket shall file a prehearing statement. Staff will also file a prehearing statement. The original and 15 copies of each prehearing statement shall be prefiled with the Director of the Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services by the close of business, which is 5:00 p.m., on the date due. A copy of the prehearing statement shall be served on all other parties and staff no later than the date it is filed with the Commission. Failure of a party to timely file a prehearing statement shall be a waiver of any issue not raised

by other parties or by the Commission. In addition, such failure shall preclude the party from presenting testimony in support of its position. Such prehearing statements shall set forth the following information in the sequence listed below:

- (a) The name of all known witnesses that may be called by the party, and the subject matter of their testimony;
- (b) a description of all known exhibits that may be used by the party, whether they may be identified on a composite basis, and the witness sponsoring each;
- (c) a statement of basic position in the proceeding;
- (d) a statement of each question of fact the party considers at issue, the party's position on each such issue, and which of the party's witnesses will address the issue;
- (e) a statement of each question of law the party considers at issue and the party's position on each such issue;
- (f) a statement of each policy question the party considers at issue, the party's position on each such issue, and which of the party's witnesses will address the issue;
- (g) a statement of issues that have been stipulated to by the parties;
- (h) a statement of all pending motions or other matters the party seeks action upon;
- (i) a statement identifying the party's pending requests or claims for confidentiality;
- (j) a statement as to any requirement set forth in this order that cannot be complied with, and the reasons therefore;
- (k) a statement identifying any decision or pending decision of the FCC or any court that has or may either preempt or otherwise impact the Commission's ability to resolve any of the issues presented or the relief requested in this matter; and

(l) Any objections to a witness's qualifications as an expert must be identified in a party's Prehearing Statement. Failure to identify such objection may result in restriction of a party's ability to conduct voir dire.

## **Prehearing Conference**

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.209, Florida Administrative Code, a prehearing conference will be held on July 26, 2004, at the Betty Easley Conference Center, 4075 Esplanade Way, Tallahassee, Florida. Any party who fails to attend the prehearing conference, unless excused by the Prehearing Officer, will have waived all issues and positions raised in that party's prehearing statement.

#### Prehearing Procedure: Waiver of Issues

Any issue not raised by a party prior to the issuance of the prehearing order shall be waived by that party, except for good cause shown. A party seeking to raise a new issue after the issuance of the prehearing order shall demonstrate that: it was unable to identify the issue because of the complexity of the matter; discovery or other prehearing procedures were not adequate to fully develop the issue; due diligence was exercised to obtain facts touching on the issue; information obtained subsequent to the issuance of the prehearing order was not previously available to enable the party to identify the issue; and introduction of the issue could not be to the prejudice or surprise of any party. Specific reference shall be made to the information received, and how it enabled the party to identify the issue.

Unless a matter is not at issue for that party, each party shall diligently endeavor in good faith to take a position on each issue prior to issuance of the prehearing order. When a party is unable to take a position on an issue, it shall bring that fact to the attention of the Prehearing Officer. If the Prehearing Officer finds that the party has acted diligently and in good faith to take a position, and further finds that the party's failure to take a position will not prejudice other parties or confuse the proceeding, the party may maintain "no position at this time" prior to hearing and thereafter identify its position in a post-hearing statement of issues. In the absence of such a finding by the Prehearing Officer, the party shall have waived the entire issue. When an issue and position have been properly identified, any party may adopt that issue and position in its post-hearing statement.

# **Document Identification**

Each exhibit submitted shall have the following in the upper right-hand corner: the docket number, the witness's name, the word "Exhibit" followed by a blank line for the exhibit number, and the title of the exhibit.

An example of the typical exhibit identification format is as follows:

Docket No. 12345-TL
J. Doe Exhibit No.
Cost Studies for Minutes of Use by Time of Day

## Tentative Issues

Attached to this order as Appendix "A" is a tentative list of the issues which have been identified in this proceeding. Prefiled testimony and prehearing statements shall address the issues set forth in Appendix "A".

### **Controlling Dates**

The following dates have been established to govern the key activities of this case.

1)	Direct testimony and exhibits	April 21, 2004
2)	Rebuttal testimony and exhibits	May 26, 2004
3)	Prehearing Statements	July 7, 2004
4)	Prehearing Conference	July 26, 2004
5)	Hearing	August 4, 2004
6)	Briefs	September 3, 2004

#### Use of Confidential Information At Hearing

It is the policy of this Commission that all Commission hearings be open to the public at all times. The Commission also recognizes its obligation pursuant to Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, to protect proprietary confidential business information from disclosure outside the proceeding. Any party wishing to use any proprietary confidential business information, as that term is defined in Section 364.183, Florida Statutes, shall notify the Prehearing Officer and all parties of record by the time of the Prehearing Conference, or if not known at that time, no later than seven (7) days prior to the beginning of the hearing. The notice shall include a procedure to assure that the confidential nature of the information is preserved as required by statute. Failure of any party to comply with the seven-day requirement described above shall be grounds to deny the party the opportunity to present evidence which is proprietary confidential business information.

When confidential information is used in the hearing, parties must have copies for the Commissioners, necessary staff, and the court reporter, in envelopes clearly marked with the nature of the contents. Any party wishing to examine the confidential material that is not subject to an order granting confidentiality shall be provided a copy in the same fashion as provided to

the Commissioners, subject to execution of any appropriate protective agreement with the owner of the material. Counsel and witnesses are cautioned to avoid verbalizing confidential information in such a way that would compromise the confidential information. Therefore, confidential information should be presented by written exhibit when reasonably possible to do so. At the conclusion of that portion of the hearing that involves confidential information, all copies of confidential exhibits shall be returned to the proffering party. If a confidential exhibit has been admitted into evidence, the copy provided to the court reporter shall be retained in the Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services' confidential files.

## Post-Hearing Procedure

Each party shall file a post-hearing statement of issues and positions. A summary of each position of no more than 50 words, set off with asterisks, shall be included in that statement. If a party's position has not changed since the issuance of the prehearing order, the post-hearing statement may simply restate the prehearing position; however, if the prehearing position is longer than 50 words, it must be reduced to no more than 50 words. If a party fails to file a post-hearing statement in conformance with the rule, that party shall have waived all issues and may be dismissed from the proceeding.

Pursuant to Rule 28-106.215, Florida Administrative Code, a party's proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, if any, statement of issues and positions, and brief, shall together total no more than 40 pages, and shall be filed at the same time.

Based upon the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by Commissioner J. Terry Deason, as Prehearing Officer, that the provisions of this Order shall govern this proceeding unless modified by the Commission.

By ORDER of Commissioner J. Terry Deason, as Prehearing Officer, this <u>3rd</u> day of <u>February</u>, <u>2004</u>.

TERRY DEASON

Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

**PAC** 

# NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

Any party adversely affected by this order, which is preliminary, procedural or intermediate in nature, may request: (1) reconsideration within 10 days pursuant to Rule 25-22.0376, Florida Administrative Code; or (2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court, in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility, or the First District Court of Appeal, in the case of a water or wastewater utility. A motion for reconsideration shall be filed with the Director, Division of the Commission Clerk and Administrative Services, in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code. Judicial review of a preliminary, procedural or intermediate ruling or order is available if review of the final action will not provide an adequate remedy. Such review may be requested from the appropriate court, as described above, pursuant to Rule 9.100, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

# Attachment A Tentative Issues List

- <u>ISSUE 1:</u> What did the Florida Public Service Commission order regarding on-line edit checking capability in this docket?
- <u>ISSUE 2:</u> Has on-line edit capability been made available in the manner required by the Commission's prior orders in this docket?
- **ISSUE 3:** Did the third party test preformed by KPMG in Dockets Nos. 980786 and 981834 resolve any issues in this proceeding?
- **ISSUE 4:** Has BellSouth timely complied with the Commission's previous orders in this docket?