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NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION

ORDER DISPOSING OF FINAL TRUE-UP FOR ENVIRONMENTAL SURCHARGE

BY THE COMMISSION:

 NOTICE is hereby given by the Florida Public Service Commission that the action discussed herein is preliminary in nature and will become final unless a person whose interests are substantially affected files a petition for a formal proceeding, pursuant to Rules 25-22.029 and 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Background

The Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation (Chesapeake or Company) is a natural gas utility and its principal offices are located in Fernandina Beach, Florida. The Company also owns property in Winter Haven, Florida upon which a former site of a manufactured gas plant (MGP) was located.

In 1990, Chesapeake executed a Consent Order with the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) that required the Company to remediate all environmental impacts associated with the former MGP site. On May 19, 2001, DEP approved the Company’s proposal to implement air spurge/soil vapor extraction as a remedy for addressing the contaminants present in areas of the site. In 2008, the Company performed excavation and removal of petroleum-tainted soil. On June 10, 2009, Polk County notified the Company that additional sampling had to be performed to complete the remediation monitoring requirements.

In its 2009 rate case, the Company addressed the increasing costs for remediation of the site and sought Commission approval of a surcharge to allow Chesapeake to recover its environmental costs associated with the project.[[1]](#footnote-1) On January 14, 2010, this Commission approved a 4-year fixed surcharge of $0.62 on a typical residential customer’s monthly bill.

On January 27, 2014, this Commission approved an extension of the Company’s Environmental Surcharge.[[2]](#footnote-2) This extended the fixed surcharge by 20 months and allowed Chesapeake to recover an additional $380,781 related to remediation activities of the Company’s former MGP site in Winter Haven, Florida.

On June 17, 2016, the Company filed a petition with this Commission, seeking approval to establish a regulatory liability related to the Environmental Surcharge to address the Company’s expected future remediation costs. The Company also filed an affidavit from Michele Ruth, a licensed Professional Engineer engaged by Chesapeake to manage and oversee the remediation operations, and Company witness testimony of Michelle Napier in support of its request.

This order addresses Chesapeake’s petition for approval to establish a regulatory liability related to funds collected through the Environmental Surcharge. This Commission has jurisdiction pursuant to Sections 366.04(3), 366.041, and 366.06, Florida Statutes (F.S.).

Decision

When Chesapeake’s Environmental Surcharge was established in its 2009 rate case, an under-collected balance of $268,257 was established for related environmental remediation costs.[[3]](#footnote-3) From January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2013, the Company recovered $1,027,621 and incurred $642,949 in remediation expenses. When its surcharge was set to expire, the Company had an over-collected balance of $116,415 ($1,027,621 - $268,257 - $642,949).

At the end of the surcharge period (December 31, 2013), Chesapeake forecasted to incur an additional $443,000 in related environmental remediation. A 20-month extension of the Environmental Surcharge was approved on January 27, 2014,[[4]](#footnote-4) to allow the Company to recover remediation costs. During the surcharge extension period, the Company recovered $419,554 and incurred $144,199 in remediation expenses, which created an incremental over-collected balance of $275,355 ($419,554 - $144,199). The total over-collected balance, from the original surcharge through the end of the surcharge extension, is $391,770 ($116,415 + $275,355).

Chesapeake’s most recent forecast reflected that it will incur $425,000 in related environmental remediation costs over the next four to five years.[[5]](#footnote-5) As of July 27, 2016, the Company stated that it incurred approximately $78,340[[6]](#footnote-6) in remediation costs since the surcharge extension’s expiration date (August 31, 2015), leaving an approximate over-collected balance of $313,430 ($391,770 - $78,340). We note that both the under-collections and over-collections appear to be due to timing issues with forecasted remediation expenses.

The funds collected from the original surcharge and the surcharge extension, along with all costs incurred and the cumulative over/(under) collected funds are detailed below in Table 1.

**Table 1 Chesapeake Surcharge Summation July 27, 2016**



Sources: Direct Testimony of Michelle D. Napier, June 17, 2016, Docket No. 160153-GU, In re: Petition for approval of final true-up of environmental Surcharge by Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporationand PSC Document No. 05629-16, Attachment A, in Response to Request No. 5

Chesapeake proposed that it retain the over-collected balance in Account 254 as a regulatory liability, in order to address future expected remediation costs. Pursuant to the Uniform System of Accounts for Natural Gas Companies as found in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 18, Subchapter F, Part 201, Account 254, other regulatory liabilities, shall include amounts that must be established by credits that would have been included in net income or accumulated other comprehensive income but for it being probable that: such items will be included in a different period for purposes of developing the Company’s authorized rates; or customer refunds, not provided for in other accounts. This Commission finds that the Company’s over-collected balance meets the criteria required to qualify as a regulatory liability in Account 254, as this balance is not includible in other accounts and would have been included in net income, if it were not subject to customer refund.

Chesapeake stated that it has no ongoing mechanism to recover the additional costs related to the environmental remediation, and without a mechanism in place to collect funds for the upcoming expenses, the Company asserted that refunding the over-collected balance would cause it certain financial harm. In contrast, the Company stated that if it were allowed to retain the over-collected funds, it would be able to recover the remediation expenses, and the status of the remediation efforts and amount held to address such efforts would still be subject to review in the next rate proceeding.

Chesapeake asserted that retaining the over-collected balance would ensure that the Company is well positioned to address additional remediation costs consistent with this Commission’s intent set forth in the orders establishing and extending the Environmental Surcharge. In approving and extending the Environmental Surcharge in previous orders, this Commission allowed Chesapeake to raise the funds necessary to cover these forecasted environmental expenses, as the Company was not recovering the costs in base rates necessary to recover its expected costs. We find that if this Commission were to require the Company to issue a refund, it would cause financial harm when the forecasted costs that the surcharge was meant to recover are incurred. This Commission also finds that allowing Chesapeake to retain the over-collected funds in order to cover the forecasted environmental remediation expenses would prevent the Company from facing unnecessary financial harm. With Chesapeake incurring approximately $78,340 of related expenses between September 2015 and June 2016, and the remediation process forecasted to last another four to five years, we find that this is a timing issue and it would be appropriate for the Company to retain the over-collected balance in order to address future anticipated remediation costs. Therefore, Chesapeake’s petition to retain the over-collected balance, related to funds collected through the Environmental Surcharge, as a regulatory liability in Account 254 for purposes of addressing the future expected remediation costs shall be approved. The status of the remediation efforts and costs shall be subject to review in the Company's next rate case.

 Based on the foregoing, it is

 ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation’s petition to retain the over-collected balance, related to funds collected through the Environmental Surcharge, as a regulatory liability in Account 254 for purposes of addressing the future expected remediation costs shall be approved. The status of the remediation efforts and costs shall be subject to review in the Company's next rate case.

 ORDERED that the provisions of this Order, issued as proposed agency action, shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order unless an appropriate petition, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code, is received by the Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on the date set forth in the “Notice of Further Proceedings” attached hereto. It is further

 ORDERED that if no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this docket shall be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

 By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 16th day of December, 2016.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | /s/ Carlotta S. Stauffer |
|  | CARLOTTA S. STAUFFERCommission Clerk |

Florida Public Service Commission

2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard

Tallahassee, Florida 32399

(850) 413‑6770

www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.

MAL

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

 The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing that is available under Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing will be granted or result in the relief sought.

 Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

 The action proposed herein is preliminary in nature. Any person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed by this order may file a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on January 6, 2017.

 In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

 Any objection or protest filed in this/these docket(s) before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.
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