## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for approval of tariff modifications relating to relocation or modification of gas service facilities, by Peoples Gas System. DOCKET NO. 170071-GU ORDER NO. PSC-17-0196-TRF-GU ISSUED: May 19, 2017

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

JULIE I. BROWN, Chairman ART GRAHAM RONALD A. BRISÉ JIMMY PATRONIS DONALD J. POLMANN

# **ORDER APPROVING TARIFF MODIFICATIONS**

BY THE COMMISSION:

## Background

On March 30, 2017, Peoples Gas System (Peoples or Company) filed a petition requesting approval of amendments to the relocation of gas service facilities provision in the Company's tariff. We approved Peoples' original gas service facilities tariff in 1982.<sup>1</sup> Peoples is a natural gas distribution utility subject to our regulatory jurisdiction under Chapter 366, Florida Statutes (F.S.).

Effective April 14, 2017, a federal pipeline safety rule (49 C.F.R. § 192.383 (2017)) with which Peoples must comply was amended by the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA).<sup>2</sup> Commission staff placed the relevant rulemaking record as published in the Federal Register<sup>3</sup> in the docket file for informational purposes. As a result of PHMSA's revisions to the federal rule, Peoples is seeking permission to modify Tariff Sheet Nos. 5.000-1 and 5.601-1. The proposed tariff sheets are included as Attachment A to this order. We have jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to Sections 366.03, 366.05, and 366.06, F.S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Order No. 10656, issued March 17, 1982, in Docket No. 810302-GU, <u>In re: Petition of Peoples Gas System, Inc.</u> for an increase in rates and charges.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Docket No. PHMSA-2011-0009; Amendment No. 192-121, Federal Register / Vol. 81, No. 199 / Friday, October 14, 2016, pp. 70987-71002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The PHMSA rulemaking record published in the Federal Register contains the purpose for promulgating the rule, pertinent noticing requirements for the rule, a summary of the rulemaking process including stakeholder comments and PHMSA's responses thereto, and the final rule language.

#### Decision

The current wording of Peoples' Tariff Sheet No. 5.601-1 does not allow the Company to seek reimbursement for customer-requested modifications to the Company's gas service facilities. The proposed tariff revisions would allow Peoples to be reimbursed by customers who request modifications to the Company's gas service facilities, including those customers who request the installation of an excess flow valve (EFV) on an existing service line pursuant to the new PHMSA rule discussed below.

## **PHMSA Changes to Pipeline Safety Rules**

Peoples is required by PHMSA to comply with federal Rule 49 C.F.R. § 192.383 (2017). As originally adopted, the rule required the installation of an EFV on any new or replaced service line serving a single-family residence after February 12, 2010, subject to certain exceptions. An EFV is a device designed to shut off automatically when the natural gas flow exceeds certain limits, such as when a service line is damaged due to excavation or other activities. Thus, the EFV provides safety benefits by limiting the risk of escaping gas due to third party damage or a pipe failure. EFVs do not protect against gas leaks occurring in piping behind the customer's gas meter. Most service lines serving non-residential customers deliver in excess of 1,000 standard cubic feet per hour and are fitted with curb valves that are shut off manually.

PHMSA adopted amendments to 49 C.F.R. § 192.383 (2017), which took effect on April 14, 2017. Among other things, the rule revisions, subject to certain exceptions, provide for the following: (a) "operators" such as Peoples are required to notify customers of their right to request installation of an EFV, (b) if a service line customer requests an EFV installation, Peoples must install the EFV at a mutually agreeable date, and (c) the question of who bears the cost of the requested EFV installation is left to the "operator's rate-setter".<sup>4</sup> These three key elements are discussed individually below.

Regarding customer notification, PHMSA determined that notification through broad electronic means, including website postings, was acceptable.<sup>5</sup> In Peoples' petition, the Company asserted that it is prepared to provide such notification as is required by the amended rule. In response to a Commission staff inquiry, Peoples provided Commission staff with a draft of the information to be posted on the Company's website. The website posting will include information such as the function and benefits of an EFV and answers to "Frequently Asked Questions" regarding EFVs, including potential cost estimates for EFV installations and a point of contact for interested customers.

Regarding EFV installation, Peoples represented in its petition that the Company has been installing EFVs on new and replaced service lines since February 2010 and will continue to do so. Peoples stated that for a new service line, the average cost of the EFV itself is approximately \$30 and is included in the calculation of the Maximum Allowable Construction Cost (MACC) for purposes of determining whether a contribution-in-aid-of-construction would

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Federal Register / Vol. 81, No. 199 / Friday, October 14, 2016; pp. 70987-71002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>Id.</u>, pp. 70990, 70993-70994.

# ORDER NO. PSC-17-0196-TRF-GU DOCKET NO. 170071-GU PAGE 3

be required of the customer for the installation of the service line and other appurtenances necessary to provide gas service.

However, Peoples represented that the situation is considerably different in the case of an existing customer that requests an EFV installation on a line that may have been installed many years before, and that may require extensive excavation and restoration. Peoples estimated that in most cases, the cost of retrofitting an EFV on a typical existing service line would be between \$1,200 and \$1,800, depending on the excavation and restoration required in connection with the installation.<sup>6</sup> According to the rulemaking record published in the Federal Register, PHMSA opined that customer-initiated EFV installations on existing lines would not be a circumstance with which operators would be dealing in significant numbers; however, PHMSA opted to retain the right for existing customers to request an EFV installation with the recognition that some individual households might have a high willingness-to-pay for EFVs due to risk aversion and other factors.<sup>7</sup>

Peoples suggested that it would be inappropriate for existing customers, who either already have an EFV installed on their service lines or who do not request that an EFV be installed, to subsidize the installations of EFVs for customers who request them. Peoples further stated that the costs of installing EFVs on existing service lines would not be incurred by Peoples but for the customers' requests, and such costs should be borne by the affected customers.

The rulemaking record published in the Federal Register also devoted considerable discussion to the appropriate regulatory entities which would be responsible for determining who should pay for the costs of EFV installations on existing service lines. PHMSA considered stakeholder comments and ultimately "left the question of who bears the cost of installing EFVs on service lines not being newly installed or replaced to the operator's rate-setter."<sup>8</sup>

## Conclusion

Based upon the information provided by Peoples and a review of the PHMSA rulemaking record published in the Federal Register, we agree with Peoples' assertion that this Commission is Peoples' "rate-setter" for purposes of the federal rule. We also find that it is appropriate for customers who request modifications to gas service facilities, such as the installation of EFVs on existing service lines, to bear the cost of the modifications and that such costs shall not be subsidized by the general body of ratepayers. Therefore, we approve Peoples' proposed modifications relating to the Company's gas service facilities tariff, as reflected in Attachment A, effective May 4, 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Peoples also anticipated that depending upon site-specific conditions, the costs could be less than the bottom of this estimated range, as well as above the top of the range. Petition, paragraph 6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Federal Register / Vol. 81, No. 199 / Friday, October 14, 2016; p. 70996.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> <u>Id.</u>, p. 70987.

ORDER NO. PSC-17-0196-TRF-GU DOCKET NO. 170071-GU PAGE 4

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Peoples Gas System's petition requesting approval of amendments to the relocation of gas service facilities tariff are hereby approved effective May 4, 2017, as set forth in the body of this order and as reflected in Attachment A. It is further

ORDERED that if a protest is filed within 21 days of issuance of the Order, the tariff shall remain in effect with any charges held subject to refund pending resolution of the protest. It is further

ORDERED that if no timely protest is filed, this docket shall be closed upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 19th day of May, 2017.

HONG WANG

Chief Deputy Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399 (850) 413-6770 www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.

DJ

#### NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

# ORDER NO. PSC-17-0196-TRF-GU DOCKET NO. 170071-GU PAGE 5

The Commission's decision on this tariff is interim in nature and will become final, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed action files a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on June 9, 2017.

In the absence of such a petition, this Order shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period. I

Peoples Gas System a Division of Tampa Electric Company Original Volume No. 3		
INDEX OF RULES AND REGULATIONS (Continued)		
ARTIC	<u>LE</u>	SHEET NO.
VI.	MAIN AND SERVICE EXTENSIONS     A.   Main Extensions     B.   Service Extensions From Existing Mains     C.   Relocation or Modification of Gas Service F     D.   Main Extension Program	5.601 5.601-1 Facilities 5.601-1 5.601-2
VII.	LIMITS OF COMPANY'S RESPONSIBILITIES	5.701
VIII.	CONTINUITY OF SERVICE	5.701
IX.	LIMITATION ON CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES	5.701
х.	INDEMNITY TO COMPANY	5.801
XI.	APPEALS TO THE COMMISSION	5.801
XII.	TRANSPORTATION SERVICE   A. Availability   B. Company's Obligations   C. Return to Sales Service   D. Company Standards	5.901 5.901 5.901 5.901 5.901-1

Peoples Gas System a Division of Tampa Electric Company Original Volume No. 3

Second-Third Revised Sheet No. 5.601-1 Cancels First-Second Revised Sheet No. 5.601-1

#### MAIN AND SERVICE EXTENSIONS (Continued)

to the Depositor an amount equal to the positive difference (if any) determined by subtracting (i) the Maximum Allowable Construction Cost as determined under section A.(2) above from (ii) the Maximum Allowable Construction Cost as recalculated utilizing actual revenue pursuant to this paragraph.

- b. For each additional Customer taking Gas Service from any point on the extended Main or Service facilities within a period of four (4) years from the date of construction, the Company shall refund to the Depositor the amount by which the Maximum Allowable Construction Cost of the new Customer exceeds the cost of connecting such new Customer, provided that an additional Main extension shall not have been necessary to serve such additional Customer. Where the Depositor and the Company agree that new Customers are likely to connect to the extended facilities over a period longer or shorter than four (4) years, the Depositor and the Company may agree, within the Construction Deposit Agreement, to provide for refunds over such longer or shorter period as the parties agree is reasonable and appropriate under the circumstances.
- c. The aggregate refund to any Depositor made through the provisions of (a) and (b) above shall not exceed the original deposit of such Depositor.
- d. The extension shall at all times be the property of the Company, and any unrefunded portion of said deposit at the end of four (4) years, or such longer or shorter period as may be agreed by the Depositor and Company pursuant to section (4)(b) above, shall accrue to the Company.

#### B. SERVICE EXTENSIONS FROM EXISTING MAINS

The Company will install, at no charge to the Customer, the Gas Service Facilities, commencing from an existing Main, necessary to serve a Customer applying for Gas Service, where the cost of such service extension does not exceed the Maximum Allowable Construction Cost as defined in section VI.A. (2) above. Customers not meeting the above criteria will be required to make a non-refundable contribution in aid of construction based on the difference between the cost of the required service facilities and the Maximum Allowable Construction Cost as calculated for each respective Customer.

C. RELOCATION OR MODIFICATION OF GAS SERVICE FACILITIES

When alterations or additions tomodifications to structures or improvements on premises to which the Company renders Gas Service necessitate the relocation of Company's metering equipment or service line Gas Service Facilities, or when such relocation or modifications to Company's Gas Service Facilities, areie requested by the Customer for whatever reason, Customer may be required to reimburse the Company for all or any part of the costs incurred by the Company in the performance of such relocation or modifications.

```
Issued By: William N. Cantroll T. J. Szelistowski, President Effective: January 6, 2004
```

Issued On: September 22, 2003