

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery  
clause with generating performance incentive  
factor.

DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI  
ORDER NO. PSC-2021-0442-FOF-EI  
ISSUED: November 30, 2021

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

GARY F. CLARK, Chairman  
ART GRAHAM  
ANDREW GILES FAY  
MIKE LA ROSA  
GABRIELLA PASSIDOMO

FINAL ORDER APPROVING EXPENDITURES AND TRUE-UP AMOUNTS FOR FUEL  
ADJUSTMENT FACTORS; GPIF TARGETS, RANGES, AND REWARDS; AND  
PROJECTED EXPENDITURES AND TRUE-UP AMOUNTS FOR CAPACITY COST  
RECOVERY FACTORS

APPEARANCES:

MATTHEW BERNIER and STEPHANIE CUELLO, ESQUIRES, 106 East  
College Avenue, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-7740; and DIANNE M. TRIPLETT,  
ESQUIRE, 299 First Avenue North, St. Petersburg, Florida 33701  
On behalf of Duke Energy Florida, LLC (DEF).

MARIA J. MONCADA, WADE R. LITCHFIELD, RUSSELL A. BADDERS and  
DAVID M. LEE, ESQUIRES, Florida Power & Light Company, 700 Universe  
Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420  
On behalf of Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) and Gulf Power Company  
(Gulf).

BETH KEATING, ESQUIRE, Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A., 215 South  
Monroe St., Suite 601, Tallahassee, Florida 32301  
On behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company (FPUC).

JAMES D. BEASLEY, MALCOLM N. MEANS, and J. JEFFRY WAHLEN,  
ESQUIRES, Ausley McMullen, Post Office Box 391, Tallahassee, Florida 32302  
On behalf of Tampa Electric Company (TECO).

RICHARD GENTRY, PUBLIC COUNSEL; CHARLES REHWINKEL,  
DEPUTY PUBLIC COUNSEL; PATRICIA A. CHRISTENSEN, STEPHANIE  
A. MORSE, MARY WESSLING and ANASTACIA PIRRELLO, ESQUIRES,  
Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison Street,  
Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400  
On behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida (OPC).

JON C. MOYLE, JR. and KAREN A. PUTNAL, ESQUIRES, Moyle Law Firm, PA, The Perkins House, 118 North Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301  
On behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group (FIPUG).

ROBERT SCHEFFEL WRIGHT, JOHN T. LAVIA, III, and TIMOTHY H. PERRY, ESQUIRES, Gardner, Bist, Bowden, Dee, LaVia, Wright, Perry & Harper, PA, 1300 Thomaswood Drive, Tallahassee, Florida 32308  
On behalf of the Florida Retail Federation (FRF).

JAMES W. BREW and LAURA WYNN BAKER, ESQUIRES, Stone, Mattheis, Xenopoulos & Brew, PC, 1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW, Eighth Floor, West Tower, Washington, DC 20007  
On behalf of White Springs Agricultural Chemicals, Inc. d/b/a PCS Phosphate – White Springs (PCS Phosphate).

PETER J. MATTHEIS and MICHAEL K. LAVANGA, ESQUIRES, Stone, Mattheis, Xenopoulos & Brew, PC, 1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW, Eighth Floor, West Tower, Washington, DC 20007  
On behalf of Nucor Steel Florida, Inc. (Nucor).

SUZANNE BROWNLESS and STEFANIE JO OSBORN, ESQUIRES, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850  
On behalf of the Florida Public Service Commission (Staff).

MARY ANNE HELTON, ESQUIRE, Deputy General Counsel, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850  
Advisor to the Florida Public Service Commission.

KEITH HETRICK, ESQUIRE, General Counsel, Florida Public Service Commission, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850  
Florida Public Service Commission General Counsel.

#### BY THE COMMISSION

As part of the continuing fuel and purchased power adjustment and generating performance incentive clause proceedings, an administrative hearing was held on November 2, 2021, in this docket. We have jurisdiction over this subject matter pursuant to the provisions of Chapter 366, Florida Statutes (F.S.), including Sections 366.04, 366.05, and 366.06, F.S.

At the hearing, we voted to approve all stipulated issues for Florida Power & Light Company (FPL), Florida Public Utility Company (FPUC), Gulf Power Company (Gulf) and Tampa Electric Company (TECO) as set forth in Attachment A. As a result of our bench decisions on these issues, we have approved all issues associated with FPL, FPUC, Gulf, and

TECO. With regard to Duke Energy Florida, LLC (DEF), all issues, with the exception of Issues 1C and 1D have also been resolved by the stipulations listed on Attachment A to this Order. Issues 1C and 1D state as follows: “Has DEF made appropriate adjustments, if any are needed, to account for replacement power costs associated with the January 2021 to April 2021 Crystal River Unit No. 4 outage? If appropriate adjustments are needed and have not been made, what adjustments should be performed?” and “Should the Commission allow the \$246.8 million estimated 2021 true-up to be recovered over 2022 and 2023?” Immediately prior to the commencement of the final hearing in this docket, we approved DEF’s Rate Mitigation Plan which allowed the \$246.8 million estimated 2021 true-up to be recovered over 2022 and 2023.<sup>1</sup> All parties agreed that our action in Docket No. 20210158-EI approved the requested recovery plan and acted as a Type 2 stipulation of “yes” to Issue 1D. We find that this is appropriate and approve this stipulation with regard to Issue 1D. With this vote, all DEF issues with the exception of Issue 1C have been resolved.

With regard to the remaining issue, Issue 1C, referred to as the CR4 outage, DEF presented the testimony of Joseph Simpson, who was cross-examined by the parties. The parties did not waive the filing of briefs on Issue 1C and briefs will be due on November 15, 2021, for our consideration at the December 7, 2021 Agenda Conference. An order reflecting our decision on DEF’s Issue 1C will be issued separately.

Per stipulation of the parties, the new factors approved herein shall be effective beginning with the first billing cycle for January 2022 through the last billing cycle for December 2022. The first billing cycle may start before January 1, 2022, and the last cycle may be read after December 31, 2022, so that each customer is billed for twelve months regardless of when the recovery factors became effective. The new factors shall continue in effect until modified by this Commission.

We hereby approve revised tariffs for FPL/Gulf, FPUC, and TECO reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding. We direct staff to verify that the revised tariffs are consistent with our decision.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the findings set forth in the body of this Order, and Attachment A hereto, are hereby approved. It is further

ORDERED that Florida Power & Light Company/Gulf Power Company, Florida Public Utilities Company, and Tampa Electric Company are hereby authorized to apply the fuel cost recovery factors set forth herein during the period January 2022 through December 2022. It is further

ORDERED that the estimated true-up amounts contained in the fuel cost recovery factors approved herein are hereby authorized subject to final true-up and further subject to proof of the reasonableness and prudence of the expenditures upon which the amounts are based. It is further

---

<sup>1</sup> Order No. PSC-2021-0425-FOF-EI, issued November 16, 2021, in Docket No. 20210158-EI, *In re: Limited proceeding to consider Duke Energy Florida, LLC’s unopposed motion to approve rate mitigation agreement.*

ORDERED that Florida Power & Light Company/Gulf Power Company, Florida Public Utilities Company, and Tampa Electric Company are hereby authorized to apply the capacity cost recovery factors set forth herein during the period January 2022 through December 2022. It is further

ORDERED that the estimated true-up amounts contained in the capacity cost recovery factors approved herein are hereby authorized subject to final true-up and further subject to proof of the reasonableness and prudence of the expenditures upon which the amounts are based. It is further

ORDERED that the revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding are hereby approved and we direct Commission staff to verify that the revised tariffs are consistent with our decision. It is further

ORDERED that while the Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause with Generating Performance Incentive Factor docket is assigned a separate docket number each year for administrative convenience, it is a continuing docket and shall remain open.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 30th day of November, 2021.



ADAM J. TEITZMAN  
Commission Clerk  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399  
(850) 413-6770  
www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.

SBr

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

Any party adversely affected by the Commission's final action in this matter may request:

- 1) reconsideration of the decision by filing a motion for reconsideration with the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, within fifteen (15) days of the issuance of this order in the form prescribed by Rule 25-22.060, Florida Administrative Code; or
- 2) judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water and/or wastewater utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Office of Commission Clerk, and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days after the issuance of this order, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery  
clause with generating performance incentive  
factor.

DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI  
ORDER NO.  
ISSUED:

PROPOSED STIPULATIONS

The following issues are proposed as Type 2<sup>2</sup> stipulations in this proceeding<sup>3</sup>:

**I. FUEL ISSUES**

**Duke Energy Florida, LLC.**

**ISSUE 1A: Should the Commission approve DEF's Risk Management Plan?**

This issue should be deferred to the 2022 Fuel Docket, with the understanding that all parties retain the right to raise any issues, concerns, or alternatives they deem appropriate. The parties recognize that deferral of this issue will result in DEF entering into no hedging transactions unless or until authorized to do so by order of the Commission.

**ISSUE 1B: What is the appropriate subscription bill credit associated with DEF's Clean Energy Connection Program, approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0059-S-EI, to be included for recovery in 2022?**

**Stipulation:** \$11,109,749.

**Florida Power & Light Company**

---

<sup>2</sup> A Type 2 stipulation occurs on an issue when the utility and staff, or the utility and at least one party adversarial to the utility, agree on the resolution of the issue and the remaining parties (including staff if they do not join in the agreement) do not object to the Commission relying on the agreed language to resolve that issue in a final order.

<sup>3</sup> The OPC position on each Type 2 stipulation stated herein is as follows:

OPC takes no position on these issues nor does it have the burden of proof related to them. As such, the OPC represents that it will not contest or oppose the Commission taking action approving a proposed stipulation between the Company and another party or staff as a final resolution of these issues. No person is authorized to state that the OPC is a participant in, or party to, a stipulation on these issues, either in this docket, in an order of the Commission or in a representation to a Court.

**ISSUE 2A:** What is the appropriate revised SoBRA factor for the 2019 projects to reflect actual construction costs that are less than the projected costs used to develop the initial SoBRA factor?

**Stipulation:** 0.7945%.

**ISSUE 2B:** What is the appropriate revised SoBRA factor for the 2020 projects to reflect actual construction costs that are less than the projected costs used to develop the initial SoBRA factor?

**Stipulation:** 0.731%

**ISSUE 2C:** What was the total gain under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL may recover for the period January 2020 through December 2020, and how should that gain be shared between FPL and its customers?

**Stipulation:** FPL's asset optimization activities in 2020 delivered total benefits of \$46,135,050. Of the total gains, FPL is allowed to retain \$3,681,030.

**ISSUE 2D:** What is the appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for Personnel, Software, and Hardware costs for the period January 2020 through December 2020?

**Stipulation:** \$512,326.

**ISSUE 2E:** What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Attributable to Off-System Sales under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for the period January 2020 through December 2020?

**Stipulation:** \$1,827,307.

**ISSUE 2F:** What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Avoided due to Economy Purchases under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for the period January 2020 through December 2020?

**Stipulation:** FPL has included a credit of \$167,870 as the amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under the Asset Optimization Program for variable power plant O&M avoided due to economy purchases for the period January 2020 through December 2020.

**ISSUE 2G:** What is the appropriate subscription credit associated with FPL's SolarTogether Program approved by Order No. PSC-2020-0084-S-EI, to be included for recovery in 2022?

**Stipulation:** \$113,512,426.

**ISSUE 2H:** Should the Commission approve FPL's 2022 Risk Management Plan?<sup>4</sup>

**Stipulation:** Yes. FPL's Risk Management Plan (RMP), which was filed as Exhibit GJY-2(S), contains no natural gas financial hedging transactions pursuant to the Settlement Agreement approved in Docket No. 20210015-EI. The RMP complies with the Hedging Guidelines established by the Commission and should be approved.

**ISSUE 2I:** What is the appropriate revised base rate adjustment factor for the Okeechobee Clean Energy Center (OCEC) limited scope adjustment (LSA) to reflect actual construction costs that are less than the projected costs used to develop the initial factor?

**Stipulation:** 3.014%.

**ISSUE 2J:** Has FPL appropriately accounted for any redispatch related to its 2022 operation of the NFRC in its 2022 projections? If not, what adjustment, if any, should be made?

**Stipulation:** Yes. FPL's fuel projections for 2022 are based on the economic dispatch of its system under normal operating conditions and therefore, do not include any potential redispatch related to the operation of the NFRC, or any other contingency. FPL does not adjust its projection model to account for real-time contingencies or system conditions, that may or may not occur, that would necessitate the redispatch of generation to alleviate transmission issues. As is the case for all redispatch occurrences, any redispatch related to the operation of the NFRC will be reflected as actuals in the subsequent actual/estimated and true-up filings. The OPC reserves the right to contest any costs related to redispatch of transmission that may be included in subsequent actual/estimated and true-up filings.

### **Florida Public Utilities Company**

**ISSUE 3A:** Should an adjustment be made to remove any legal and/or consultant fees included for recovery in FPUC's 2022 fuel factors?

**Stipulation:** OPC and the utility agree that recovery by FPUC of legal and/or consultant fees through the Fuel Clause is a broader issue involving a potential shift from past

---

<sup>4</sup> FPL and Gulf filed a single 2022 Risk Management Plan applicable to both utilities. Document No. 11768-2021.



Fuel Clause precedent, as well as the consideration of recovering some, or all, of these costs in the Company's base rates. Given the complexity of this analysis and the current docket schedule, the parties agree to further discuss whether this issue is appropriate for consideration in the 2022 Fuel Proceeding, or in the Company's next base rate case. As such, the parties agree that a decision on this issue is not necessary or appropriate at this time. The parties further agree that the legal and/or consultant fees submitted for recovery in this docket will remain subject to refund or true-up in the subsequent proceeding wherein they are addressed pursuant to this stipulation.

### **Gulf Power Company**

#### **ISSUE 4A: Should the Commission approve FPL's 2022 Risk Management Plan?**

**Stipulation:** Yes. FPL and Gulf filed a single 2022 Risk Management Plan (RMP) applicable to both utilities. The RMP, which was filed as Exhibit GJY-2(S), contains no natural gas hedging transactions pursuant to the Settlement Agreement approved in Docket No. 20210015-EI. The RMP complies with the Hedging Guidelines established by this Commission and should be approved.

### **Tampa Electric Company**

#### **ISSUE 5A: What was the total gain under TECO's Optimization Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2017-0456-S-EI that TECO may recover for the period January 2020 through December 2020, and how should that gain to be shared between TECO and customers?**

**Stipulation:** Total gains were \$6,642,047. TECO customers receive \$5,356,819 and TECO receives \$1,285,228.

#### **ISSUE 5B: Should the Commission take any action related to the optimization mechanism regarding pipeline capacity release gains or coal car leases for the period of October 21, 2021, through December 31, 2021?**

**Stipulation:** No. The Parties agree that TECO does not intend to engage in transactions described in Paragraph 12(i)-(ii) of the proposed 2021 Settlement Agreement during that time, and that no adjustment to Asset Optimization Mechanism sharing is required (notwithstanding the 2017 Settlement Agreement). Nevertheless, the Parties agree that to the extent circumstances change, sharing can be trued-up/adjusted in a future proceeding.

### **GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES**

**ISSUE 6:**     **What are the appropriate actual benchmark levels for calendar year 2021 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?**

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:**     \$1,714,254

**FPL:**     FPL’s revised Asset Optimization Program approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-16-0560-AS-EI does not rely upon the three-year average Shareholder Incentive Benchmark specified in Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI, so it is not applicable to FPL for calendar year 2021.

**Gulf:**     FPL’s revised Asset Optimization Program approved by the Commission in Order No. PSC-16-0560-AS-EI does not rely upon the three-year average Shareholder Incentive Benchmark specified in Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI, so it is not applicable to FPL for calendar year 2021.

**TECO:**    The company did not set an actual benchmark level for calendar year 2021. Pursuant to Tampa Electric’s amended and restated settlement agreement approved by Order No. PSC-2017-0456-S-EI, the company’s Optimization Mechanism replaces the non-separated wholesale energy sales incentive.

**ISSUE 7:**     **What are the appropriate estimated benchmark levels for calendar year 2022 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?**

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:**     \$1,408,076.

**FPL:**     If the Commission approves the rate Settlement Agreement proposed in Docket No. 20210015-EI, the Asset Optimization Program contained therein does not rely upon the three-year average Shareholder Incentive Benchmark specified in Order No. PSC-00-1744-PAA-EI, so it would not be applicable to FPL for calendar year 2022.

**TECO:**    The Company did not set an estimated benchmark level for calendar year 2022. Pursuant to Tampa Electric’s amended and restated settlement agreement approved by Order No. PSC-2017-0456-S-EI, the company’s Optimization Mechanism replaces the non-separated wholesale energy sales incentive.

**ISSUE 8:**     **What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2020 through December 2020?**

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:** \$39,503,838 under-recovery, which was collected as part of DEF's Fuel Midcourse approved in Order No. PSC-2021-0328-PCO-EI.

**FPL:** \$72,891,803 under-recovery, which is being recovered as part of the midcourse correction approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0142-PCO-EI.

**FPUC:** For the period ended December 2020, the Company over-recovered \$2,937,906, reflecting an actual, end of period over recovery of \$3,235,074, as compared to the Company's projected amount.

**GULF:** \$6,085,680 over-recovery.

**TECO:** \$3,769,256 over-recovery.

**ISSUE 9:** **What are the appropriate fuel adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2021 through December 2021?**

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:** \$246,837,576 under-recovery.

**FPL:** \$288,304,271 under-recovery.

**FPUC:** The Company projects an under-recovery of \$680,436 for the 2021 period.

**GULF:** \$71,727,041 under-recovery.

**TECO:** \$4,094,674 under-recovery.

**ISSUE 10:** **What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January 2022 through December 2022?**

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:** \$123,418,788 under-recovery if the Rate Mitigation Plan is approved. Pursuant the Rate Mitigation Plan filed in Docket No. 20210158-EI, DEF will recover the total 2021 net true-up under-recovery of \$246,837,576 over two years (2022 and 2023). If the Rate Mitigation Plan is denied, the appropriate amount is \$246,837,576.

**FPL:** \$353,945,632 under-recovery.

**FPUC:** The appropriate true up amount is an over-recovery of \$2,257,470, which incorporates a \$75,358 over-recovery in the calculation to address tax savings, as well as \$677,060 associated with the settlement of COVID-19 related costs in Docket No. 20200194-PU.

**GULF:** See FPL's position stated above.

**TECO:** \$325,418 under-recovery.

**ISSUE 11:** **What are the appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost recovery amounts for the period January 2022 through December 2022?**

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:** \$1,431,565,051, which is adjusted for line losses and excludes prior period true-up GPIF amount and CEC Bill Credits.

**FPL:** \$3,348,601,615 unified and jurisdictionalized, excluding prior period true-ups, FPL's portion of Asset Optimization Program gains, FPL's 2022 SolarTogether Credit amount and the unified GPIF reward.

**FPUC:** The appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost recovery amount for the period January 2022 through December 2022 is \$48,707,195.

**GULF:** See FPL's position above.

**TECO:** The total recoverable fuel and purchased power recovery amount to be collected, adjusted by the jurisdictional separation factor, is \$598,798,451.

**COMPANY-SPECIFIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES**

**Duke Energy Florida, LLC.**

No company-specific GPIF issues for Duke Energy Florida, Inc. have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 12A, 12B, 12C, and so forth, as appropriate.

**Florida Power & Light Company**

No company-specific GPIF issues for Florida Power and Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 13A, 13B, 13C, and so forth, as appropriate.

**Gulf Power Company**

No company-specific GPIF issues for Gulf Power Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 14A, 14B, 14C, and so forth, as appropriate.

**Tampa Electric Company**

No company-specific GPIF issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 15A, 15B, 15C, and so forth, as appropriate.

**GENERIC GPIF ISSUES**

**ISSUE 16:** What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period January 2020 through December 2020 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:** \$2,657,279 reward.

**FPL:** \$6,390,846 reward.

**FPUC:** No position.

**GULF:** \$1,642,650 penalty.

**TECO:** A reward in the amount of \$3,673,726 for January 2020 through December 2020 performance to be applied to the January 2022 through December 2022 period.

**ISSUE 17:** What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January 2022 through December 2022 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:**

**Table 17-1  
GPIF Targets/Ranges for the period January-December, 2022**

	Plant/Unit	EAF			ANOHR		
		Target	Maximum		Target	Maximum	
		EAF (%)	EAF (%)	Savings (\$000's)	ANOHR Btu/kWh	ANOHR Btu/kWh	Savings (\$000's)
<b>DEF</b>	Bartow 4	82.04	85.08	191	7,758	8,075	6,738
	Crystal River 4	69.57	78.31	6,846	9,472	9,980	5,809
	Crystal River 5	74.10	79.02	3,714	9,802	10,397	5,895
	Hines 1	89.40	91.66	304	7,705	7,871	944
	Hines 2	89.32	89.84	194	7,550	7,664	751
	Hines 3	93.62	94.82	168	7,394	7,570	1,653
	Hines 4	85.09	86.44	<u>201</u>	7,057	7,237	<u>1,888</u>
	Totals			<u>11,620</u>			<u>23,677</u>

Source: GPIF Target and Range Summary (Exhibit MIL-1P, Page 4 of 76).

**FPL/GULF:**

**Table 17-2**  
**GPIF Targets/Ranges for the period January-December, 2022**

	Plant/Unit	EAF			ANOHR		
		Target	Maximum		Target	Maximum	
		EAF (%)	EAF (%)	Savings (\$000's)	ANOHR Btu/kWh	ANOHR Btu/kWh	Savings (\$000's)
<b>FPL</b>	Canaveral 3	81.5	84.0	61	6,726	6,804	1,321
	Ft. Myers 2	91.7	94.2	50	7,121	7,270	4,793
	Manatee 3	81.4	83.9	151	6,901	7,172	6,289
	Martin 8	87.5	90.0	113	6,967	7,061	1,782
	Port Everglades 5	82.1	85.1	409	6,597	6,685	2,622
	Riviera 5	89.8	92.3	116	6,633	6,719	1,900
	Sanford 5	92.2	94.7	33	7,275	7,438	2,837
	St. Lucie 1	81.4	84.9	4,975	10,437	10,538	372
	St. Lucie 2	93.6	96.6	4,072	10,297	10,392	294
	Turkey Point 3	92.9	95.9	3,875	10,512	10,635	441
	Turkey Point 4	85.7	88.7	3,482	10,900	11,188	1,045
	Turkey Point 5	89.1	92.1	87	7,160	7,268	2,109
	West County 1	83.5	86.0	128	7,220	7,492	3,848
	West County 2	68.4	70.9	145	7,004	7,104	1,714
	West County 3	90.1	92.6	183	6,997	7,114	2,571
	Totals*			17,880			33,938

Source: GPIF Target and Range Summary (Exhibit CRR-2, Pages 6-7 of 40).

\*May not compute due to rounding.

**TECO:**

**Table 17-3**  
**GPIF Targets/Ranges for the period January-December, 2022**

	Plant/Unit	Target	Maximum		Target	Maximum	
		EAF (%)	EAF (%)	Savings (\$000's)	ANOHR Btu/kWh	ANOHR Btu/kWh	Savings (\$000's)
<b>TECO</b>	Big Bend 4	71.7	75.6	1,396.6	10,726	11,828	3,563.3
	Polk 1	87.7	89.9	160.0	8,855	10,440	2,111.3
	Polk 2	89.3	90.3	1,595.5	6,841	7,764	16,725.7
	Bayside 1	77.4	78.9	592.7	7,339	7,510	1,417.9
	Bayside 2	92.7	93.6	458.8	7,695	7,971	3,855.2
		Totals			4,203.60		

Source: GPIF Target and Range Summary (Exhibit PAB-2, Document 1, Page 4 of 32).

## FUEL FACTOR CALCULATION ISSUES

**ISSUE 18:** What are the appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery and Generating Performance Incentive amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:** \$1,568,750,867 if the Rate Mitigation Plan is approved. \$1,692,131,754 if the Rate Mitigation Plan is denied. Both amounts include CEC Bill Credits and the prior period true-up.

**FPL/GULF:** \$3,824,311,080 including separate prior period true-ups for FPL and Gulf, FPL's portion of Asset Optimization gains, FPL's 2022 SolarTogether Credit amount and the unified GPIF reward.

**FPUC:** The appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery and Generating Performance Incentive amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2022 through December 2022 is \$46,449,725, which includes prior period true-ups.

**TECO:** The projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery amount to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2022 through December 2022, adjusted by the jurisdictional separation factor, is \$598,798,451. The total recoverable fuel and purchased power cost recovery amount to be collected, including the true-up, optimization mechanism, and GPIF, adjusted for the revenue tax factor, is \$604,515,118.

**ISSUE 19:** What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period January 2022 through December 2022?

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:** Pursuant to the 2021 Settlement approved in Order No. PSC-2021-0202-AS-EI, DEF has removed the Regulatory Assessment Fee from the 2022 Projection Filing and included it with the Gross Receipts Tax on customer bills.

**FPL/GULF:** The revenue tax factor is comprised of the Regulatory Assessment Fee ("RAF"). FPL's 2021 Settlement Agreement proposes to remove the RAF from base and clause rates and collect it in the Gross Receipts Tax line item. As such, FPL's unified 2022 FCR Factors do not include a revenue tax factor.

**FPUC:** The appropriate tax revenue factor is 1.00072.

**TECO:** The appropriate revenue tax factor is 1.00072.

**ISSUE 20:** **What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period January 2022 through December 2022?**

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:** 3.986 cents/kWh (adjusted for jurisdictional losses) if the Rate Mitigation Plan is approved. 4.300 cents/kWh (adjusted for jurisdictional losses) if the Rate Mitigation Plan is denied.

**FPL/GULF:** FPL is proposing a unified levelized factor of 3.132 cents/kWh.

**FPUC:** The appropriate factor is 4.580¢ per kWh.

**TECO:** The appropriate factor is 3.052 cents per kWh before any application of time of use multipliers for on-peak or off-peak usage. (Sizemore)

**ISSUE 21:** **What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class?**

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:**

**Table 21-1**  
**DEF Fuel Recovery Line Loss Multipliers**  
**for the period January-December, 2022**

Group	Delivery Voltage Level	Line Loss Multiplier
A	Transmission	0.98
B	Distribution Primary	0.99
C	Distribution Secondary	1.00
D	Lighting Service	1.00

Source: Schedule E1-F, Exhibit GPD-3, Part 2.

**FPL/GULF:** The appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class are shown in Issue No. 22.

**FPUC:** The appropriate fuel recovery line loss multiplier to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class is 1.00000.



**TECO:**

**Table 21-2**  
**TECO Fuel Recovery Line Loss Multipliers**  
**for the period January-December, 2022**

Delivery Voltage Level	Line Loss Multiplier
Transmission	0.98
Distribution Primary	0.99
Distribution Secondary	1.00
Lighting Service	1.00

Source: Schedule E1-D.

**ISSUE 22:** What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:** If the Rate Mitigation Plan is approved, the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses for the period January 2022 through December 2022, are shown in Table 22-1(a) below:

**Table 22-1(a)**  
**Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022**

Group	Delivery Voltage Level	Fuel Cost Recovery Factors (cents/kWh)			Time of Use (cents/kWh)		
		First Tier	Second Tier	Levelized	On-Peak Multiplier 1.281	Off-Peak Multiplier 0.984	Super Off-Peak Multiplier 0.732
A	Transmission	--	--	3.912	5.011	3.849	2.864
B	Distribution Primary	--	--	3.952	5.063	3.889	2.893
C	Distribution Secondary	3.681	4.751	3.992	5.114	3.928	2.922
D	Lighting Service	--	--	3.700	--	--	--

Source: Schedule E1-E (Exhibit GPD-3, Part 2, Page 1 of 1).

If the Rate Mitigation Plan is denied, the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses for the period January 2022 through December 2022, are shown in Table 22-1(b) below

**Table 22-1(b)**  
**Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022**

Group	Delivery Voltage Level	Fuel Cost Recovery Factors (cents/kWh)			Time of Use (cents/kWh)		
		First Tier	Second Tier	Levelized	On-Peak Multiplier 1.281	Off-Peak Multiplier 0.984	Super Off-Peak Multiplier 0.732
A	Transmission	--	--	4.220	5.406	4.152	3.089
B	Distribution Primary	--	--	4.263	5.461	4.195	3.121
C	Distribution Secondary	3.995	5.065	4.306	5.516	4.237	3.152
D	Lighting Service	--	--	3.991	--	--	--

Source: DEF's Response to Staff's 1<sup>st</sup> POD No. 1; Alternative Schedule E1-E (Page 1 of 1).

**FPL:** The appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses for the period January 2022 through December 2022, are shown in Tables 22-2 and 22-3 below:

**Table 22-2**  
**FPL Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022**

Fuel Recovery Factors – By Rate Group (Adjusted for Line Losses)				
Group	Rate Schedule	Avg. Factor (cents/kWh)	Fuel Recovery Loss Multiplier	Fuel Recovery Factor (cents/kWh)
A	RS-1, first 1,000 kWh	3.132	1.00291	2.822
	RS-1, all additional kWh	3.132	1.00291	3.822
	GS-1, SL-2, GSCU-1, WIES-1	3.132	1.00291	3.141
A-1	SL-1, OL-1, PL-1	3.069	1.00291	3.078
B	GSD-1	3.132	1.00284	3.141
C	GSLD-1, CS-1	3.132	1.00173	3.137
D	GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2, MET	3.132	0.99371	3.112
E	GSLD-3, CS-3	3.132	0.97168	3.043
A	GST-1 On-Peak	3.445	1.00291	3.455
	GST-1 Off Peak	2.997	1.00291	3.006
	RTR-1 On-Peak			0.314
	RTR-1 Off-Peak			(0.135)
B	GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), HLFT-1 (21-499 kW) On-Peak	3.445	1.00284	3.455

**Table 22-2**  
**FPL Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022**

Fuel Recovery Factors – By Rate Group (Adjusted for Line Losses)				
Group	Rate Schedule	Avg. Factor (cents/kWh)	Fuel Recovery Loss Multiplier	Fuel Recovery Factor (cents/kWh)
	GSDT-1, CILC-1(G), HLFT-1 (21-499 kW) Off-Peak	2.997	1.00284	3.006
C	GSLDT-1, CST-1, HLFT-2 (500-1,999 kW) On-Peak	3.445	1.00173	3.451
	GSLDT-1, CST-1, HLFT-2 (500-1,999 kW) Off-Peak	2.997	1.00173	3.002
D	GSLDT-2, CST-2, HLFT-3 (2,000+ kW) On-Peak	3.445	0.99399	3.424
	GSLDT-2, CST-2, HLFT-3 (2,000+ kW) Off-Peak	2.997	0.99399	2.979
E	GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), ISST-1(T) On-Peak	3.445	0.97168	3.347
	GSLDT-3, CST-3, CILC-1(T), ISST-1(T) Off-Peak	2.997	0.97168	2.912
F	CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) On-Peak	3.445	0.99429	3.425
	CILC-1(D), ISST-1(D) Off-Peak	2.997	0.99429	2.980

Source: Schedule E1-E, (Exh. RBD-5, Appendix II – 2022 FCR Projections, Page 7 of 143).

**Table 22-3**  
**FPL Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022**

Seasonal Demand Time of Use Rider (SDTR) Fuel Recovery Factors				
Group	Rate Schedule	Average Factor (cents/kWh)	Fuel Recovery Loss Multiplier	Fuel Recovery Factor (cents/kWh)
B	GSD(T)-1 On-Peak	3.834	1.00284	3.845
	GSD(T)-1 Off-Peak	3.041	1.00284	3.050
C	GSLD(T)-1 On-Peak	3.834	1.00173	3.841
	GSLD(T)-1 Off-Peak	3.041	1.00173	3.046
D	GSLD(T)-2 On-Peak	3.834	0.99399	3.811
	GSLD(T)-2 Off-Peak	3.041	0.99399	3.023

Source: Schedule E1- E, (Exh. RBD-5, Appendix II – 2022 FCR Projections, Page 8 of 143).

**FPUC:** The appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses for the period January 2022 through December 2022, are shown in Tables 22-4, 22-5, and 22-6 below:

**Table 22-4**  
**FPUC Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022**

Fuel Recovery Factors – By Rate Schedule		Fuel Recovery Factors – By Rate Schedule	
Rate Schedule		Levelized Adjustment (cents/kWh)	
RS			7.346
GS			7.389
GSD			6.795
GSLD			6.531
LS			4.957

Source: Schedule E1, Page 3 of 3 (Exhibit CDY-3, Page 3 of 8).

**Table 22-5**  
**FPUC Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022**

Step Rate Allocation For Residential Customers (RS Rate Schedule)	
Rate Schedule and Allocation	Levelized Adjustment (cents/kWh)
RS Rate Schedule – Sales Allocation	7.346
RS Rate Schedule with less than or equal to 1,000 kWh/month	6.989
RS Rate Schedule with greater than 1,000 kWh/month	8.239

Source: Schedule E1, Page 3 of 3 (Exhibit CDY-3, Page 3 of 8).

**Table 22-6**  
**FPUC Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022**

Fuel Recovery Factors for Time Of Use – By Rate Schedule		
Rate Schedule	Levelized Adjustment On Peak (cents/kWh)	Levelized Adjustment Off Peak (cents/kWh)
RS	15.389	3.089
GS	11.389	2.389
GSD	10.795	3.545
GSLD	12.531	3.531
Interruptible	5.031	6.531

Source: Schedule E1, Page 3 of 3 (Exhibit CDY-3, Page 3 of 8).

**TECO:** The appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses for the period January 2022 through December 2022, are shown in Table 22-7 below:

**Table 22-7**  
**TECO Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022**

Metering Voltage Level	Fuel Cost Recovery Factors (cents per kWh)		
	Levelized Fuel Recovery Factor	First Tier (Up to 1,000 kWh)	Second Tier (Over 1,000 kWh)
<b>STANDARD</b>			
Distribution Secondary (RS only)	--	2.745	3.745
Distribution Secondary	3.057		
Distribution Primary	3.026		
Transmission	2.996		
Lighting Service	3.008		
<b>TIME OF USE</b>			
Distribution Secondary- On-Peak	3.318		
Distribution Secondary- Off-Peak	2.944		
Distribution Primary- On-Peak	3.285		
Distribution Primary- Off-Peak	2.915		
Transmission – On-Peak	3.252		
Transmission – Off-Peak	2.885		

Source: Schedule E1-E.

## **II. CAPACITY ISSUES**

### **COMPANY-SPECIFIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES**

**Duke Energy Florida, LLC.**

**ISSUE 23A:** What adjustment amounts should the Commission approve to be refunded through the capacity clause in 2022 associated with the SoBRA III project, specifically Plants Santa Fe and Twin Rivers approved in Docket No. 20200245-EI?

**Stipulation:**

The Commission should approve credits of \$257,563 and \$355,679 through the capacity clause for the final cost true ups for the Santa Fe and Twin Rivers projects, respectively. The Commission should also approve credits of \$386,291 and \$533,447 for the reduction of the revenue requirements for Santa Fe and Twin Rivers, respectively, in lieu of reflecting these reductions in base rates. In addition, the Commission should approve \$7,386,099 in credits for the unexpected delay in the Charlie Creek and Sandy Creek in-service dates.

**ISSUE 23B:** What is the appropriate amount of costs for the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) that DEF should be allowed to recover through the capacity cost recovery clause pursuant to DEF's 2017 Settlement?

**Stipulation:**

\$6,885,232.

**Florida Power & Light Company**

**ISSUE 24A:** What is the appropriate true-up adjustment amount associated with the 2019 SOBRA projects to be refunded through the capacity clause in 2022?

**Stipulation:** \$85,034.

**ISSUE 24B:** What is the appropriate true-up adjustment amount associated with the 2020 SOBRA projects to be refunded through the capacity clause in 2022?

**Stipulation:** \$119,716.

**ISSUE 24C:** What are the appropriate Indiantown non-fuel base revenue requirements to be recovered through the Capacity Clause pursuant to the Commission's approval of the Indiantown transaction in Docket No. 160154-EI for 2022?

**Stipulation:** Per the rate Settlement Agreement proposed in Docket No. 20210015-EI, which proposes to discontinue the recovery of Indiantown non-fuel revenue requirements through the Capacity Clause and instead proposes to recover Indiantown site revenue requirements through base rates, FPL has not included Indiantown non-fuel base revenue requirements in the 2022 Capacity Clause.

**ISSUE 24D: What is the appropriate true-up adjustment amount associated with Okeechobee Clean Energy Center Generation Limited Scope Adjustment as required by Order NO. PSC-2016-0560-AS-EI?**

**Stipulation:** \$5,055,917.

**Gulf Power Company**

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Gulf Power Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they will be numbered 25A, 25B, 25C, and so forth, as appropriate.

**Tampa Electric Company**

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they will be numbered 26A, 26B, 26C, and so forth, as appropriate.

**GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES**

**ISSUE 27: What are the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2020 through December 2020?**

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:** \$6,533,167 over-recovery.

**FPL:** \$3,863,612 over-recovery.

**GULF:** \$838,127 over-recovery.

**TECO:** \$3,354,779 under-recovery.

**ISSUE 28: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2021 through December 2021?**

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:** \$3,814,896 under-recovery.

**FPL:** \$4,916,997 over-recovery.

**GULF:** \$1,687,693 over-recovery.

**TECO:** \$ 5,739,145 over-recovery.

**ISSUE 29:** What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected/refunded during the period January 2022 through December 2022?

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:** \$2,718,273 over-recovery.

**FPL/GULF:** \$11,306,429 over-recovery.

**TECO:** \$25,180 under-recovery.

**ISSUE 30:** What are the appropriate projected total capacity cost recovery amounts for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:** \$402,634,269.

**FPL/GULF:** \$291,876,857 unified for the period January 2022 through December 2022, excluding separate current and prior period true-ups for FPL and Gulf, the OCEC LSA and 2019 and 2020 SoBRA projects true-up credits.

**TECO:** The projected total capacity cost recovery amount for the period January 2022 through December 2022 is \$5,184,806.

**ISSUE 31:** What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:** \$406,801,229.

**FPL/GULF:** The unified projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amount to be recovered over the period January 2022 through December 2022 is \$275,309,761, including current and prior period true-ups, the OCEC LSA and 2019 and 2020 SoBRA projects true-up credits.

**TECO:** The total recoverable capacity cost recovery amount to be collected, including the true-up amount, adjusted for the revenue tax factor, is \$5,128,028.

**ISSUE 32:** What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues and costs to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2022 through December 2022?

**Stipulation:**

**DEF:** Base – 92.865%, Intermediate – 88.321%, Peaking – 90.678%, consistent with the 2021 Settlement approved in Order No. PSC-2021-0202-AS-EI.



**FPL/GULF:**

ENERGY

Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor - Base/Solar	95.8917%
Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor - Intermediate	94.7558%
Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor - Peaking	95.7721%

DEMAND

Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Transmission	90.2581%
Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Base/Solar	95.9314%
Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Intermediate	95.4287%
Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Peaking	95.1837%
Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor - Distribution	100.0000%

GENERAL PLANT

Retail General Plant Jurisdictional Factor - Labor	96.9001%
--	----------

**TECO:** The appropriate jurisdictional separation factor is 1.0000000.

**ISSUE 33: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2022 through December 2022?****Stipulation:**

**DEF:** The appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2022 through December 2022 is shown in Tables 33-1A and 33-1B below:

**Table 33-1A  
DEF Capacity Cost Recovery Factors for the period January 2022 - April 2022**

Rate Class	Capacity and ISFSI Cost Recovery Factors	
	¢/kWh	\$/kW-month
Residential (RS-1, RST-1, RSL-1, RSL-2, RSS-1) At Secondary Voltage	1.103	
General Service Non-Demand (GS-1, GST-1)		
At Secondary Voltage	0.966	
At Primary Voltage	0.956	
At Transmission Voltage	0.947	
General Service (GS-2)	0.683	
Lighting (LS-1)	0.285	
General Service Demand (GSD-1, GSDD-1, SS-1)		
At Secondary Voltage		2.85
At Primary Voltage		2.82
At Transmission Voltage		2.79
Curtaileable (CS-1, CST-1, CS-2, CST-2, CS-3, CST-3, SS-3)		
At Secondary Voltage		1.19
At Primary Voltage		1.18
At Transmission Voltage		1.16
Interruptible (IS-1, IST-1, IS-2, IST-2, SS-2)		
At Secondary Voltage		2.26
At Primary Voltage		2.24
At Transmission Voltage		2.21
Standby Monthly (SS-1, 2, 3)		
At Secondary Voltage		0.274
At Primary Voltage		0.271
At Transmission Voltage		0.268
Standby Daily (SS-1, 2, 3)		
At Secondary Voltage		0.130
At Primary Voltage		0.129
At Transmission Voltage		0.127

Source: Schedule E12-E (Exhibit GPD-3, Part 3, Page 3 of 3).

**Table 33-1B****DEF Capacity Cost Recovery Factors for the period May 2022 - December 2022**

Rate Class		Capacity and ISFSI Cost Recovery Factors	
		¢/kWh	\$/kW-month
Residential (RS-1, RST-1, RSL-1, RSL-2, RSS-1) At Secondary Voltage		1.181	
General Service Non-Demand (GS-1, GST-1)			
	At Secondary Voltage	1.044	
	At Primary Voltage	1.034	
	At Transmission Voltage	1.023	
General Service (GS-2)		0.730	
Lighting (LS-1)		0.304	
General Service Demand (GSD-1, GSDDT-1, SS-1)			
	At Secondary Voltage		3.04
	At Primary Voltage		3.01
	At Transmission Voltage		2.98
Curtable (CS-1, CST-1, CS-2, CST-2, CS-3, CST-3, SS-3)			
	At Secondary Voltage		1.26
	At Primary Voltage		1.25
	At Transmission Voltage		1.23
Interruptible (IS-1, IST-1, IS-2, IST-2, SS-2)			
	At Secondary Voltage		2.40
	At Primary Voltage		2.38
	At Transmission Voltage		2.35
Standby Monthly (SS-1, 2, 3)			
	At Secondary Voltage		0.292
	At Primary Voltage		0.289
	At Transmission Voltage		0.286
Standby Daily (SS-1, 2, 3)			
	At Secondary Voltage		0.139
	At Primary Voltage		0.138
	At Transmission Voltage		0.136

Source: Schedule E12-E (Exhibit GPD-3, Part 3, Page 1 of 3).

**FPL/GULF:** The appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2022 through December 2022 is shown in Table 33-2 below:

**Table 33-2  
FPL Capacity Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022**

Rate Schedule	2022 Capacity Cost Recovery Factors			
	\$/kW	\$/kWh	Reservation Demand Charge (RDC) \$/kW	Sum of Daily Demand Charge (SDD) \$/kW
RS1/RTR1	-	0.00239	-	-
GS1/GST1	-	0.00248	-	-
GSD1/GSDT1/HLFT1/GSD1-EV	0.81635	-	-	-
OS2	-	0.00144	-	-
GSLD1/GSLDT1/CS1/CST1/HLFT2/GSLD1-EV	0.90050	-	-	-
GSLD2/GSLDT2/CS2/CST2/HLFT3	0.90087	-	-	-
GSLD3/GSLDT3/CS3/CST3	0.81843	-	-	-
SST1T	-	-	0.10	0.05
SST1D1/SST1D2/SST1D3	-	-	0.11	0.05
CILC D/CILC G	0.91616	-	-	-
CILC T	0.88907	-	-	-
MET	0.77422	-	-	-
OL1/SL1/SL1M/PL1/OSI/II	-	0.00018	-	-
SL2/SL2M/GSCU1	-	0.00160	-	-

Source: Appendix IV – 2022 CCR Projections (Exhibit RBD-7, Page 4 of 30).

**TECO:** The appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2022 through December 2022 is shown in Table 33-3 below:

**Table 33-3  
TECO Capacity Cost Recovery Factors for the period January-December, 2022**

Rate Class and Metering Voltage	2022 Capacity Cost Recovery Factors	
	¢/kWh	\$/kW
RS	0.031	-
GS and CS	0.027	
GSD, RSD Standard		
Secondary		0.09
Primary	-	0.09
Transmission		0.09
GSD Optional		
Secondary	0.022	-
Primary	0.022	
Transmission	0.022	
GSLDPR/GSLDTPR/SBLDPR/SBLDTPR		0.08
GSLDSU/GSLDTSU/SBLDSU/SBLDTSU	-	0.07
LS-1, LS-2	0.004	-

Source: Exhibit MAS-3, Document Number 1, Page 3 of 4 (amended filing 10/1/2021).

### **III. EFFECTIVE DATE**

**ISSUE 34:** What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

**Stipulation:** The new factors should be effective beginning with the first billing cycle for January 2022 through the last billing cycle for December 2022. The first billing cycle may start before January 1, 2022, and the last cycle may be read after December 31, 2022, so that each customer is billed for twelve months regardless of when the recovery factors became effective. The new factors shall continue in effect until modified by this Commission.

**ISSUE 35:** Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?

**Stipulation:** Yes. The Commission should approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding. The Commission should direct Staff to verify that the revised tariffs are consistent with the Commission decision.

ORDER NO. PSC-2021-0442-FOF-EI

DOCKET NO. 20210001-EI

PAGE 30

**ISSUE 36:**    **Should this docket be closed?**

**Stipulation:**    This is a continuing docket and should remain open.