BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition of Florida Public) DOCKET NO. 880558-EI
Utilities Company, Marianna Electric)
Division, to Increase its Rates and) ORDER NO. 20896
Charges.) ISSUED: 3/15/89

Pursuant to Notice, a Prehearing Conference was held on March 3, 1989, in Tallahassee, Florida, before Commissioner John T. Herndon, Prehearing Officer.

APPEARANCES:

JAMES ROBINSON, Esquire, Robinson and Eaton, Suite 301, Flagler Court Building, 215 Fifth Street, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 On behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company.

STEVEN BURGESS, Esquire, Office of Public Counsel, Florida House of Representatives, The Capitol, Tallahassee, FL 32399-1300
On behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

MARSHA E. RULE, Esquire, Florida Public Service Commission, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida, 32399-0863 On behalf of the Commission Staff.

PRENTICE P. PRUITT, Esquire, Florida Public Service Commission, 101 E. Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0861 On behalf of the Commissioners.

PREHEARING ORDER

Background

On October 3, 1988, Florida Public Utilities Company (FPUC) filed its petition for a rate increase of \$690,888 per annum for its Marianna Division. FPUC claimed a current pro forma return on investment of 5.61% and requested a return of 9.13%. In 1988, the Commission approved an historic test year ended December 31, 1987, and an attrition year ended December 31, 1989.

FPUC's proposed permanent rate schedules were suspended under Florida Statutes 366.06(3) pending the outcome of a formal hearing. Interim relief of \$473,603 was granted in accordance with Ch. 366.071, Florida Statutes. The Office of Public Counsel (OPC) intervened in this docket. Thereafter, a service hearing was held in Marianna, Florida, on January 27, 1989. No customers of the utility appeared at the hearing.

At the time of the prehearing conference, the utility modified its position as filed in its petition, and requested an annual increase of \$669,808, which it calculates will return 9.32% on its rate base.

Use of Prefiled Testimony

All testimony which has been prefiled in this case will be inserted into the record as though read after the witness has taken the stand and affirmed the correctness of the testimony and exhibits, unless there is a sustainable objection. All testimony remains subject to appropriate objections. Each witness will have the opportunity to orally summarize his testimony at the time he or she takes the stand.

Use of Depositions and Interrogatories

If any party desires to use any portion of a deposition or an interrogatory, at the time the party seeks to introduce that deposition or a portion thereof, the request will be subject to proper objections and the appropriate evidentiary rules will govern. The parties will be free to utilize any exhibits requested at the time of the depositions subject to the same conditions.

Order of Witnesses

In keeping with Commission practice, witnesses will be grouped by the subject matter of their testimony. The witness schedule is set forth below in order of appearance by the witness's name, subject matter, and the issues which will be covered by his or her testimony.

	Witness	Subject Matter	Issues
1.	James R. Dittmer (OPC)	Plant-in- service and rate base deductions; test year working capital and rate base; net opera- ting income issues.	15, 23, 24, 26, 27, 32, 34, 36, 39, 41, 42
2.	William R. Hopkins (FPUC)	Methodology for cost of service study.	51
3.	Robert S. Jackson (FPUC)	Cost of equity capital; cost of common equity.	18,19,46,47
4.	Steven F. Clinger (OPC)	Cost of capital	18,19, 46,47
5.	Janice Yecco (Staff)	Cost of capital	18,19, 46,47

	Witness	Subject Matter	Issues
6.	Darryl L. Troy (FPUC)	plant-in-service; rate base; working capital; net operating income; tax credits; cost of capital.	1-17, 20-45, 49, 50, 74
7.	Gordon O. Jerauld (FPUC)	Rate design.	52-73
		EXHIBIT LIST	

	EXHIBIT LIST	
Exhibit Number	Witness	Description
FPUC		
101	Jackson	Cost of capital
102	Hopkins	Cost of study report
103	Jerauld	Rate schedules (MFRs Section E)
104	Troy	Executive Summary Schedules (MFR Section A)
105	Troy	Rate Base Schedules (MFR Section B)
106	Troy	Net Operating Income Schedules (MFR Section C)
107	Troy	Full Case Attrition Allowance and Revenue Deficiency (MFR Section C 8-6)
108	Troy	Rate of Return Schedules (MFR Section D)

	EXHIBIT LIST		
Exhibit Number FPUC	Witness	Descripti	on
109	Troy	Miscellaneous Schedules Schedule F)	(MFR

Witness	Description
Troy	Rebuttal to office of Public Counsel Testimony (Dittmer)
Dittmer	Revenue Deficiency Summary (Schedule A)
Dittmer	Rate Base (Schedule B)
Dittmer	1989 Gross Plant-In- Service (Schedule B-1)
Dittmer	1989 Accumulated Depreciation (Schedule B-2)
Dittmer	Working Capital Development (Schedules B-3)
Dittmer	Working Cupital Development (Schedule B-3)
Dittmer	Operating Income Summary (Schedule C)
Dittmer	Historic Year Operating Income Adjustment (Schedules C-1-Cle)
Dittmer	Attrition Year Expense Adjustments (Schedule C-2)
Dittmer	Attrition Year O&M Expenses (Schedules C-2a)
Dittmer	
Dittmer	Attrition Year Depreciation Expense (Schedule C-2b)
Dittmer	Attrition Year Taxes Other Than Income (Schedule C-2c)
	Dittmer

Exhibit Number	Witness	Description
214	Dittmer	Attrition Year Income Tax Expense (Schedule C-2d)
215	Dittmer	Excess Deferred Taxes (Schedule C-2e)
216	Dittmer	Historic Year Cost of Captial Schedule (Schedule D-1)
217	Dittmer	Attrition Year Cost of Capital (Schedule D-2)
218	Clinger	Electric Index- Investment Risk Characteristics (Schedule 1)
219	Clinger	Discount Cash Flow Model (Schedule 2)
220	Clinger	Capital Asset Pricing Model (Schedule 3)
221	Clinger	Earning-Price Model (Schedule 4)
222	Clinger	Cost of Equity- Electric Index (Schedule 5)
223	Clinger	Risk Premium Analysis (Schedule 6)
224	Clinger	Market-To-Book Ratio Analysis (Schedule 7)
225	Clinger	Financial Risk Analysis (Schedule 8)
226	Clinger	Public Utility Bond Yields (Schedule 9)
227	Clinger	Weighted Average Cost of Capital (Schedule 10)
228	Clinger	Rate of Return (Schedule 11)

Exhibit Number	Witness	Description
<u>Staff</u>		
301	Hopkins Utility witness	Revised Cost Study Report
302	Hopkins Utility witness	WRH #2, Late Filed Deposition Exhibit Methology for Allo- cating Service
303	Hopkins Utility witness	WRH #3, Late Filed Deposition Exhibit for Allocating Purchased Power Demand Costs
304	Yecco	Monthly Average Bond Yields 1988 (Composite: includes Charts la and lb)
305	Yecco	AA/Aa Rated Electric Utilities Investment Risk Characteristics
306	Yecco	DCF Model Equation
307	Yecco	Non-Constant Growth Quarterly Compounded DCF Model
308	Yecco	Non-Constant Growth Quarterly Compounded DCF Analysis for AA/Aa Electric Index
309	Yecco	Estimated Monthly Risk Premiums
310	Yecco	Risk Premium Model and Analysis
311	Yecco	Comparison of FPUC to the S&P Financial Benchmarks for BBB rated Electric Utilities
312	Yecco	Comparative Size, Electric Index vs. FPUC
313	Yecco	Bond Yield Differentials

Exhibit Number	Witness	Description
314	Yecco	Ratemaking Rate of Return Model and Analysis
315	Yecco	Summary of Cost of Equity Analysis
316	Yecco	Derivation of the Non-Constant Growth Quarterly Compounded DCF Model
217	Yecco	Ratemaking Rate of Return Example
318	Utility witness	Staff's First Request for Production of Documents
319	Utility witness	(Staff Data Request Item No. 16)
320	Utility witness	(Staff Data Request Item No. 19)
321	Utility witness	(Staff Data Request Item No. 20)
322	Utility witness	(Staff Data Request Item No. 27)
323	Utility witness	(Staff Data Request Item No. 28)
324	Utility witness	(Staff Data Request Item No. 31)
325	Utility witness	(Staff Data Request Item No. 33)
326	Utility witness	Late Filed Deposition Exhibit WRH No. 6, Unit Costs of Trans- former Equipment
327	Utility witness	Late Filed Deposition Exhibit GOJ No. 3
328	Utility witness	Calculation of 1989 Customer Load Factors for Proposed GSLD Class and GSD Class

Exhibit Number	Witness	Description	
329	Utility witness	9/9/88 Letter from Claire Wolkoff to	
	wichess	Claire Wolkoff to Darryl Troy, Re:	
		Pension Expense	
		(Response to Staff's	
		Interrogatory No. 7)	
330	Utility	2/13/89 Letter from	
	witness	Claire Wolkoff to	
		Darryl Troy, Re:	
		Pension Expense	
		(Response to Staff's	
		Interrogatory No. 5)	

PARTIES' STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

STAFF: FPUC should be granted approval to raise its rates by \$585,693 annually, rather than \$669,808 as requested. An annual increase of \$585,693 will yield a fair return of 9.12% on allowable rate base.

The positions of Staff, OPC and FPUC are further shown in the schedules attached hereto.

<u>FPUC:</u> It is the Company's responsibility to provide reliable, safe service to its customers at the most economical cost possible.

Because of increased utility operating costs, increased plant replacement costs, and the need for additional plant investment, the Company is presently earning a pro forma return on its investment of approximately 5.87% and represents that a return of 5.87% does not provide reasonable compensation to the Company's stockholders and is not sufficient to attract new capital.

The Company requests approval to increase its rates by the amount of \$669,808 per annum, which amount will allow a return of 9.32% on the allowable rate base.

OPC: It is the utility's responsibility to provide reliable service to its customers at the most economical cost possible. Rates should be set to compensate the utility only for prudent expenses and to provide a reasonable return on prudent and reasonable investments in assets used to provide service to its retail customers.

STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

NOTE: Issues marked with an asterisk (*) have been stipulated.

Test Year Rate Base - Schedule 1

*1. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate amount of Plant-in-Service for the test year?

\$11,714,447, which includes \$116,614 in CWIP - Completed Not Classified.

*2. STIPULATED ISSUE: What are the appropriate test year Rate Base Deductions?

\$3,790,040 (Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization, \$3,745,227 and Customer Advances for Construction, \$44,813.)

3. <u>ISSUE</u>: The company has included prepaid pension expense in current assets in its calculation of working capital. Is this appropriate?

STAFF: Yes.

OPC: No. FASB 87 requires the company to recognize a prepaid asset on its books and records as a result of the pension fund being overfunded. Likewise, if the pension fund was underfunded, the company would be required to recognize a liability on its books. The pension fund is in an overfunding situation as a result of contributions to the fund by FPUC, and interest earnings from the fund's investments. The pension fund's earnings are not available to the utility. The contributions made to the fund by the utility were recognized in operating expenses and collected from the utility's customers through base rates. It is not appropriate to require FPUC's customers to pay a return on an expense that has previously been collected from them.

Also, the overfunded balance is not an asset of the utility. Those funds must remain in the trust until such time as distributions are made to the beneficiaries for whom contributions were made. Working capital should be reduced by \$12,116.

FPUC: Yes.

4. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate test year Working Capital Allowance?

STAFF: \$2,070.

OPC: (\$10,046.)

FPUC: \$2,070.

5. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate test year rate base?

STAFF: \$7,926,477.

OPC: \$7,914,361.

FPUC: \$7,926,477.

Test Year Net Operating Income Issues - Schedule 2

6. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate amount of test year NOI?

STAFF: \$472,300.

OPC: \$465,077.

FPUC: \$465,077.

*7. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate amount of test year Operating Revenue?

\$2,636,620.

*8. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE:</u> Should FPUC amortize over a three-year period, the \$17,035.20 of Meter Retrofit Kits, Account 586 Meter Expenses, purchased in 1987 and projected for installation from 1987-1989.

Yes, a three-year period, 1987-1989, should be used to amortize the Meter Retrofit Kits at \$5,678.40 per year. This will reduce expenses \$11,357 in 1987.

*9. STIPULATED ISSUE: Should Account 923, Outside Service Expenses, for 1987, be reduced for legal fees incurred in the Blue Springs property dispute with the State of Florida?

Yes. Since this is for non-utility property, expenses should be reduced \$19,693.

*10. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE:</u> Should Account 930, Miscellaneous General Expenses, be reduced \$3,087 to remove the cost of the following items during 1987?

a. Image building advertising \$1,190
b. Chamber of Commerce dues
 (service area) \$1,274
c. Chamber of Commerce Expenses
 (West Palm Beach) \$204
d. Natural Gas dues \$418

Yes.

*11. STIPULATED ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to O&M expenses for employee newsletter expense?

Yes. The employee newsletter has been discontinued, therefore test year O&M expenses should be reduced by \$2,464 as a non-recurring expense.

*12. STIPULATED ISSUE: What is the appropriate amount of test year O&M expense?

\$1,524,137.

*13. STIPULATED ISSUE: What is the appropriate amount of the test year depreciation and amortization expense?

\$391,863.

*14. STIPULATED ISSUE: What is the appropriate income tax rate for calculating income tax expense in the 1987 test year?

40%, with the understanding that appropriate adjustment will be made in the attrition year to reflect that any revenue increase granted will be subject to the current 34% rate.

15. <u>ISSUE</u>: What is the appropriate amount of test year Operating Expenses?

STAFF: \$2,164,320.

OPC: \$2,171,543.

FPUC: \$2,171,543.

*16. STIPULATED ISSUE: What is the appropriate expansion factor to be used in calculating the 1987 revenue deficiency?

1.6322, based on the 34% federal income tax rate in effect during 1989 when the revenue will be collected, and taking into account the increased regulatory assessment fee (Schedule 4).

17. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate test year revenue increase (Schedule 5)?

STAFF: \$372,796.

OPC: \$341,510.

FPUC: \$440,216.

Test Year Cost of Capital Issues - Schedule 3

18. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the return on equity required by investors in FPUC for the historic test year ended December 31, 1987?

STAFF: 13.65%

OPC: 12.10%

FPUC: 13.50% (Jackson)

19. <u>ISSUE:</u> What return on equity should be set for FPUC so that investors will have the opportunity to earn their required return on equity for the historic test year ended December 31, 1987?

STAFF: 12.85%, which will yield 13.65% after compounding.

OPC: 11.50%, which will yield 12.10% after compounding.

FPUC: 13.50% should be used for all purposes. (Jackson)

*20. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate balance of accumulated deferred income taxes for the 1987 test year?

\$1,354,536, based on a 13 month average.

*21. STIPULATED ISSUE: What is the appropriate balance of accumulated deferred investment tax credits (ITC) for the 1987 test year?

\$433,073, based on a 13 month average.

22. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the weighted average cost of capital including the proper components, amounts, and cost rates associated with the capital structure for the historic test year ended 12/31/87?

STAFF: 8.84%.

OPC: 8.52%.

FPUC: 9.27%.

Attrition Year Rate Base Issues - Schedule 6

23. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate amount to be included in the attrition year plant-in-service?

STAFF: Agree with utility: \$13,629,689.

OPC: \$13,517,212.

FPUC: \$13,629,689. (Troy)

24. <u>ISSUE:</u> What are the appropriate attrition year Rate Base Deductions?

STAFF: \$4,446,661, consisting of Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization of \$4,427,186 and Customer Advanced for Construction of \$19,475.

OPC: \$4,473,293.

FPUC: Agree with Staff: \$4,446,661. (Troy)

25. <u>ISSUE:</u> The Company has included prepaid pension expense in the attrition year working capital. Is this appropriate?

STAFF: Yes. Working capital should be increased by \$1,164 to recognize the adjustment to pension expense.

OPC: No. Working capital should be decreased by \$33,000.

FPUC: Yes, working capital should be increased by \$1,200.

26. <u>ISSUE:</u> Is it appropriate to include unamortized rate case expense in working capital?

STAFF: No, pursuant to Commission policy as stated in Order No. 14030, issued on January 25, 1985, in Docket No. 840086-EI. This would reduce working capital by \$71,900.

OPC: No. Working capital should be decreased by \$71,900.

FPUC: Yes.

27. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate amount of storm damage reserve to be included in attrition year working capital?

STAFF: \$19,900.

OPC: The appropriate amount of storm damage reserve to be included in working capital is \$8,650. Working capital should be increased by \$10,650.

FPUC: \$19,300.

28. ISSUE: What is the appropriate working capital allowance to be used in the attrition year rate base?

STAFF: \$0.00. Staff calculated a (\$13,592) working capital allowance. Based on prior Commission decision, Staff's position is therefore \$0.00.

OPC: (\$48,064).

FPUC: \$53,300. (Troy)

29. ISSUE: What is the appropriate attrition year rate base?

STAFF: \$9,183,028.

OPC: \$8,995,856.

FPUC: \$9,236,328. (Troy)

Attrition Year Net Operating Income Issues - Schedule 7

*30. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate amount of attrition year operating revenues?

\$2,779,142, which includes \$1,661 in unbilled revenues and a reduction of \$159 to correct an error in determining sales of electricity.

*31. STIPULATED ISSUE: Should an adjustment be made to attrition year O&M expenses for property insurance?

Yes, property insurance expense should be reduced \$425.

32. <u>ISSUE:</u> Should the company be permitted to establish a Provision for Property Insurance Account, and if so, what is the appropriate annual accrual amount?

STAFF: Yes: \$39,800 (\$199,000 over 5 years).

OPC: Yes: \$17,300 (\$173,000 over 10 years).

FPUC: Yes: \$54,050 (\$216,200 over 4 years).

33. ISSUE: What is the appropriate amount of pension expense for 1989?

 $\underline{\text{STAFF:}}$ Agree with utility: pension expense for the test year should be (\$21,000) on a total company basis with (\$2,328) allocated to the Marianna Division.

OPC: (\$8,520.)

<u>FPUC:</u> Pension expense for the test year should be (\$21,000) on a total company basis and (\$2,328) allocated to the Marianna Division. (Troy)

34. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the proper level of rate case expenses to be included in operating expenses (amount and amortization)?

STAFF: \$18,322. (\$91,611 amortized over five years.)

OPC: \$18,322. (\$91,611 amortized over five years.)

FPUC: \$33,000 (\$99,000 amortized over three years).

35. <u>ISSUE:</u> Should expenses be increased to amortize over four years the cost of the depreciation study due in 1989?

STAFF: Yes, increase expenses \$2,625 (\$10,500 amortized over four years).

OPC: No.

FPUC: Agree with Staff.

36. <u>ISSUE:</u> What are the appropriate trending factors to be used in deriving attrition year operating expenses?

STAFF:

	<u>P</u>	Projection Years		
Trend Basis	<u>Key</u>	1988	1989	
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	
No Trend Basis	0	0.00%	0.00%	
Inflation Only	1	104.10%	108.89%	
Customer Growth	2	101.87%	103.90%	
Payroll Increases	3	102.81%	107.95%	
Sales/KWH	4	97.82%	102.50%	
Revenues/\$'s	5	101.56%	105.35%	
Plant	6	107.27%	116.35%	
Inflation x Cust. Growth	7 8	106.05%	113.14%	
Payroll x Cust. Growth	8	104.73%	112.16%	
Other	9			

OPC: Agree with Staff except that Plant trend basis should be as follows:

	<u>P</u>	rojection Year	rs
Trend Basis	Key	1988	1989
(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Plant	6	107.27%	115.39%

FPUC: Agree with Staff.

*37. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE:</u> Should the attrition year Operating and Maintenance Expense be adjusted for the effect of changing the trend factors?

Yes.

38. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate amount of attrition year O&M expenses?

STAFF: \$1,683,006 (Schedule 8).

OPC: \$1,622,653.

FPUC: \$1,711,934. (Troy)

39. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate amount of attrition year depreciation and amortization expense?

STAFF: \$455,083.

OPC: \$451,263.

FPUC: Agree with Staff: \$455,083.

*40. STIPULATED ISSUE: Should Taxes-Other be increased to recognize the increase in Regulatory Assessment fee from 1/12 to 1/8 of 1%?

Yes, increase expense by \$1,177.

41. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate amount of Taxes-Other for the 1989 attrition year?

 $\underline{\text{STAFF:}}$ \$210,554, after reducing expenses \$1,017 for trend effects.

OPC: \$207,552.

FPUC: Agree with Staff.

42. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate amount of income tax expense for the 1989 projected test year?

STAFF: Income tax expense should be (\$48,158).

Current tax \$(4,418)
Deferred tax (21,751)
ITC (21,989)

OPC: Total income tax expense should be (\$29,223).

Current tax \$ 58,572 Deferred tax (65,808) ITC (21,987)

<u>FPUC:</u> Income tax expense should be (\$48,887). This is a calculation based on all other adjustments to revenues and expenses.

Current tax \$(15,962)
Deferred tax (10,936)
ITC (21,989)

43. ISSUE: What is the appropriate attrition year NOI?

STAFF: \$478,657.

OPC: \$526,897.

FPUC: \$450,455. (Troy)

*44. STIPULATED ISSUE: What is the appropriate expansion factor to be used in calculating attrition year 1989 revenue requirements (Schedule 10)?

1.6322

45. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate attrition year revenue increase (Schedule 11)?

<u>STAFF:</u> \$212,898 (test year increase \$372,796; total revenue increase \$585,693).

<u>OPC:</u> \$97,057 (Test year increase \$341,510; total revenue increase \$438,567).

<u>FPUC:</u> \$229,592 (Test year increase \$440,216; total revenue increase \$669,808).

Attrition Year Cost of Capital Issues - Schedule 9

46. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the return on equity required by investors in FPUC for the attrition year ending December 31, 1989.

STAFF: 13.65%.

OPC: 13.05%.

FPUC: 13.50%. (Jackson)

47. <u>ISSUE:</u> What return on equity should be set for FPUC so that investors will have the opportunity to earn their required return on equity for the attrition year ending December 31, 1989?

STAFF: 12.85%, which will yield 13.65% after compounding.

OPC: 12.30%, which will yield 13.05% after compounding.

FPUC: 13.50% for all purposes. (Jackson)

48. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the weighted average cost of capital including the proper components, amounts, and cost rates associated with the capital structure for the attrition year ending 12/31/89?

STAFF: 9.12%.

OPC: 8.844%.

FPUC: 9.32%, which includes 13.50% cost for common equity per witness Jackson, 10.31% cost for bank notes, based upon the current three month LIBOR rate plus 50 basis points, and 8.50% cost for customer deposits per Commission Rule 25-6.097. (Troy)

49. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate balance of accumulated deferred income taxes for the 1989 projected test year?

STAFF: \$1,412,965, based on a 13-month average.

OPC: \$1,384,387, based on a 13-month average.

FPUC: \$1,420,411, based on a 13-month average.

*50. STIPULATED ISSUE: What is the appropriate balance of accumulated deferred investment tax credits (ITC) for the 1989 projected test year?

\$393,829, based on a 13-month average.

Cost of Service and Rate Design Issues

*51. STIPULATED ISSUE: Are the methodologies used in the cost of service study filed by the company reasonable?

Yes, with the exception of the allocation of Account 369 on class noncoincident maximum demands. A revision of the company's cost of service study which allocates Account 369 primarily on number of customers should be approved for use in this docket.

*52. STIPULATED ISSUE: Are the company's estimated revenues for 1989 from sales of electricity based upon reasonable forecasts of customer, KW, and KWH billing determinants by rate class?

Yes. Growth in customers, KW and KWH usage is reasonable given the characteristics of each class. Variation in the GSD class is explained by the loss of a major customer and the arrival of two smaller customers in that class. (Jerauld)

53. <u>ISSUE:</u> How should the increase in revenues be spread among the rate classes?

STAFF: The increase should be spread among the rateclasses in a manner that moves class rate of return indices closer to parity. To the extent possible, increases should be limited to 1.5 times the system's average percentage increase. It may be appropriate to lower a class's rates.

OPC: No position.

FPUC: The increase should be spread among the rate classes in a manner that moves class rate of return indicies closer to parity. To the extent possible, increases should be limited to 1.5 times the system average percentage increase. No class should be decreased in this proceeding. (Jerauld)

*54. STIPULATED ISSUE: The company presently has RS and RST rate schedules. The RST rate is applicable to residential and commercial customers for water heating service. The company proposes to eliminate the RST rate schedule. Is this appropriate?

Yes. The rate is not cost-based. The RST customers' water heaters are load managed for a maximum of 30 minutes a day, 15 in the morning and 15 in the afternoon or evening. The company could not quantify the savings attributable to the load management. In addition, presently, the RST rate does not recover the costs of the RST meters and timers (Jerauld Deposition P. 39-41).

*55. STIPULATED ISSUE: FPUC proposes to implement a GSLD rate class for customers with maximum demands over 1000 KW. Is this appropriate?

No. The customer load factor of the four proposed GSLD customers does not justify creation of a separate rate class. Also, the utility has not presented any evidence to show that the costs to serve these large customers warrant a separate rate class.

56. <u>ISSUE:</u> The company and Staff have proposed the following changes in customer charges:

	Present	Company Proposed	Unit Cost at Company Proposed ROR (Revised COS)	Staff Proposed
RS	\$ 5.00	\$ 5.50	\$ 6.66	\$ 6.65
GS	6.50	6.50	9.18	9.20
GSD	15.00	25.00	40.26	22.50
GSLD		300.00	66.25	66.25

What are the appropriate customer charges?

STAFF: The customer charges should be set at customer unit cost at the class recommended rate of return subject to the Commission's established policy that no charge will increase by more than 50%.

OPC: No position.

FPUC: The customer charges should be set as proposed with the exception of the GSLD class which should be set at or near cost.

57. ISSUE: What should demand charges be?

STAFF: Demand charges should be based on unit cost, which depends on the revenue requirement set in this docket. Therefore, Staff cannot specify demand charges at this time.

OPC: No position.

FPUC: \$2.30 per KW for GSD.

*58. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE:</u> The company proposes to eliminate the 75% ratchet provision on the GSD rate schedule. Is this appropriate?

Yes. The Commission has a long-standing policy that ratchets should be eliminated from all rate schedules (see FPC Order No. 10056 from Docket No. 800119-EU, GPC Order No. 10557 from Docket No. 810136-EU, and FPC Docket No. 820100-EU.) It has been the Commission's position that ratchets ignore any benefits derived from customers who have more diversity in their peak loads.

59. ISSUE: The current GSD rate schedule has minimum charges equal to the customer charge plus the demand charge for the minimum KW to take service on that rate schedule. Is this minimum charge provision appropriate?

STAFF: No, the minimum charge provision is not appropriate. Such a minimum charge penalizes those customers whose maximum demands happen to fall near the class break point. Furthermore, the utility has not demonstrated a cost basis for imposing more of the cost burden on customers whose maximum demands fall near the break point.

OPC: No position.

FPUC: The minimum charge for 25 KW may not be appropriate, but if eliminated the KW charge in the GSD rate would have to be somewhat higher than otherwise for all GSD customers as a result of a lesser number of billing KW.

*60. STIPULATED ISSUE: The company proposes to reduce the term of service on GSD from 1 or 2 years to 1 or more years. The company also proposes to elîminate the provision calling for a written contract. Are these changes appropriate?

A 12 month minimum term of service for GSD customers is consistent with the minimum terms required by the other investor owned electric utilities in Florida. Further, it appears reasonable to eliminate the language in the tariff requiring written contracts since the utility, in practice, has not been requiring such contracts from most of its customers.

- *61. STIPULATED ISSUE: Should the Power Factor percentage goal used in the power factor clause be raised from 80% to 85%?

 Yes.
- *62. STIPULATED ISSUE: The company proposes to raise its primary voltage discount from 15¢ to 80¢ per kilowatt. Is 80¢ the appropriate voltage discount?
 - No. The company's proposed primary voltage discount removes the costs of poles, line transformers, and overhead/underground secondary distribution lines from the GSD rate. It has been past Commission policy to adjust the rates of the other investor-owned utilities' primary level customers by removing only the costs associated with transformation.
- *63. STIPULATED ISSUE: The provision for the primary voltage discount states that the company may meter at secondary voltage and add losses to adjust to primary metering. Is the adjustment appropriate and should it apply to billing KW as well as KWH?
 - No. The appropriate provision should state that bills be adjusted for customers metered at primary voltage, thereby recognizing metering losses associated with transformation to secondary voltage. Each primary level customer's billing KW and KWH should be reduced to reflect the adjustment for losses.
- *64. STIPULATED ISSUE: The provision for the primary voltage discount does not state the percentage of losses to adjust for the metering voltage. What is the appropriate percentage of losses for a metering adjustment?

The appropriate percentage of losses for metering adjustments should be 1.0%.

*65. STIPULATED ISSUE: The company's proposal for service charges is summarized as follows:

	Present	Cost	Company Proposed
Initial Connect	\$ 10.00	\$31.49	\$ 30.00
Reestablish Service (Inactive Account	7.00	14.10	15.00
Temporary Disconnect then Reconnect	7.00	25.02	25.00
Reestablish Active Service	7.00	15.74	15.00
Reconnect after Disco			
for Nonpayment	10.00	31.27	30.00
Connect and Disconnect Temporary Service	10.00	29.08	30.00

Are the company's proposed service charges appropriate?

The company's proposed service charges should be approved as they appear to be cost based.(MFR Schedule E-10, Data Request No. 27)

*66. STIPULATED ISSUE: The company has three street lighting service rate schedules, SL-1, SL-2 and SL-3. Rate Schedule SL-2 is applicable for mercury vapor street lights and SL-3 for high pressure sodium vapor lights. SL-1 is applicable to the City of Marianna for street lighting and is a significantly lower rate than SL-2 or SL-3. Should the SL-1 rate schedule be eliminated and the City of Marianna be required to take service on the same rate schedules as all other street lights?

Yes. The SL-1 rate schedule should be eliminated and a transition rate be established if moving these customers to SL-2 would result in an excessive increase.

67. ISSUE: The company's present and proposed street and outdoor lighting rates are shown on MFR Schedule E-17d. Should the proposed rates be approved?

STAFF: No. The charges for the various lighting services should recover the costs associated with such services. The non-fuel energy charge should be set at unit cost at the class approved rate of return. The non-fuel energy charge should recover non-fuel energy-related, demand-related and customer-related costs other than those related to the cost of the fixture and the maintenance of the fixture. The proposed energy charges for SL-3 and OL-2 are below the unit cost taken from the cost of service study at the classes' present rate of return.

The maintenance charges should recover the costs associated with maintenance of lights. After developing the non-fuel energy costs, the maintenance costs and the pole costs, the remainder of the street and outdoor lighting revenue requirement should come from fixture charges. The fixture charges should be set at the fixed carrying charge that would produce the remainder of the revenue requirement.

OPC: No position.

FPUC: The rates should be cost based. (Jerauld)

*68. STIPULATED ISSUE: The company made no adjustment to unbilled revenue for the effect of the rate increase. Should each class's revenue requirement be adjusted for the effect on unbilled revenue of the rate increase?

Yes. An adjustment due to unbilled revenue should be estimated based on the increase in base rates. The system increase on unbilled revenue should be allocated to the rate classes in the same proportion that the base revenue increase is allocated.

*69. STIPULATED ISSUE: FPUC purchases virtually all of the electricity to serve its customers. All purchased power costs (demand, energy and fuel charges) are recovered through the fuel clause on an equal cents per KWH except for line losses by class. Should the purchased power demand charge costs be allocated to rate classes on an appropriate demand allocator, included in base rates and subject to true-up in the fuel docket?

These costs should be allocated to rate classes on a 12 CP basis and recovered through class-specific KWH charges. The specific charges for purchased power demand costs should be separately identified and not rolled into the base rates. The recovery of such costs should continue to be tracked through the fuel docket and any over or under recoveries of demand costs should be a part of the overall true-up of purchased power costs in the fuel docket. This change should be made effective at the beginning of a six-month period in the fuel docket, and not at the time that new permanent base rates are made effect in this rate proceeding.

*70. STIPULATED ISSUE: Sports fields operated by non-profit organizations and having connected loads of less than 300 KW may be served under the GS rate schedules. All other general service customers whose demands exceed 25 KW must take service on GSD. Should non-profit sports fields be allowed to take service on GS if their load exceeds 25 KW?

No. These customers should be required to take service under the GSD rate schedule. However, if moving these customers to the GSD rate schedule would excessively increase their rate, a transition rate should be established.

*71. <u>STIPULATED ISSUE:</u> The company has no policy established for determining how often a customer's demand must meet or exceed 25 KW for the customer to be classified as a GSD customer. Should the company establish such a policy?

Yes. The company should develop criteria to be consistently applied to determine which customers should be in the GSD rate class. Standardized criteria will ensure that all customers are consistently treated in the classification process.

*72. STIPULATED ISSUE: The company has no provision in its demand class for a nondemand customer to opt up to a demand rate class. Should the company incorporate such a provision into its tariff?

Yes. A goal of rate design is to group customers with homogeneous usage characteristics, the most important of which is load factor. To avoid excluding small but high load factor customers from the larger high load factor customers in the demand class, smaller nondemand customers should be allowed to opt for a demand rate if it is advantageous to them. Customers opting for a demand rate should be required to remain on that rate for at least 12 months.

73. ISSUE: Should FPUC be required to eliminate its Breakdown/Auxiliary Service provision and develop Standby/Supplemental Service rates and charges?

STAFF: Yes. PURPA and FERC regulations require the tariffs of investor-owned electric utilities to state charges and rates for standby and supplemental service. The rates and charges for Florida's other investor-owned generating utilities were developed in accordance with FPSC Order No. 17159. The utility should be required to design the rates and charges for recovery of dedicated local facilities and customer-related costs for standby/supplemental service in accordance with FPSC Order No. 17159.

Because the utility is non-generating, the rate design for the recovery of Production & Bulk Transmission costs for standby/supplemental service should be based on the standby service customer's impact on the utility's wholesale purchased power cost.

OPC: No position.

FPUC: No. FPUC is not required to develop standby/supplemental service rates at this time. The provision for Breakdown/Auxiliary Service in the Company's tariff should be removed as it is no longer necessary. The development of rates for standby/ supplemental is not a part of this rate proceeding and has no impact on base revenues herein since the Company has no such customers in the Marianna division. Order No. 17159 in Docket No. 850673-EU was directed specifically to the four generating electric utilities and did not include the Company. Whether the Company should design such rates in accordance with Order No. 17159 is a matter of Commission decision. The Company is willing to work with Staff on such rate design at the appropriate time.

*74. STIPULATED ISSUE: Should any portion of the \$473,603 interim increase granted by Order No. 20472 issued on December 20, 1988, be refunded?

A refund should be ordered if it is necessary to reduce the rate of return during the pendency of the proceeding to the same level within the range of the newly authorized rate of return which is found fair and reasonable on a prospective basis, as provided by Chapter 366.071, Florida Statutes.

STIPULATED ISSUES

The prehearing officer approved stipulations between the parties on the following issues: 1, 2, 7-14, 16, 20-22, 30, 31, 37, 40, 44, 50, and 74. The prehearing officer further approved stipulations between Staff and FPUC, which were not contested by the Office of Public Counsel, on the following issues: 51, 52, 54, 55, 58, 60-66, 68, and 69-72.

MOTIONS

There are no pending motions.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that these proceedings shall be governed by this order unless modified by the Commission.

By ORDER of Commissioner John T. Herndon, as Prehearing Officer, this <u>15th</u> day of <u>MARCH</u>, <u>1989</u>.

John T. Herndon JOHN T. HERNDON, Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

(SEAL)

MER

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES CO. - MARIANNA BBOSSA-EL BECENDER 31, 1907

COMPARATIVE MATE BASES

COMPANY: DOCKET NO.: TEST YEAR:

CO		!	COMPAN	FILING			\$100 MAT 100	PUBLIC	COUNSEL
ME ADJ. 155UE 0. NO. NO.	DESCRIPTION	SYSTEM PER BOOKS	JURISDICTIONAL PER BOOKS	ADJUSTMENTS	JUNISDICTIONAL ADJUSTED	ADJUSTMENTS	JURISDICTIONAL ABJUSTED	ADJUSTREETS	JURISDICTIONAL ADJUSTED
I PLANT IN SERVICE 2 COMMON PLANT ALLO 3 ACQUISITION ANJUS 4 5			11,440,650 153,492 3,691						
letal si	ant in service		11.597.433						
i,		······································	11,377,633		11,597,833		11,597,833		11,597,63
7 1 CORRECT 8 DEPRECIATION RESER 9 ACCUM. AMONTACQU 8 CUSTOMER ADVANCES 1 2	151110m AOJ.		3,480,978 59,631 3,491 44,813	927					
	proclation reserve		3,707,113	927	3,790,640		3,790,640		1,790,64
11.500	t in service	•	7,808,720	(927)	7,667,793		7,007,793		7,007,79
CONSTRUCTION WORK	IN PROGRESS		116,614	3.63					
Total CWI					116,614		116,614		116,616
PROPERTY HELD FOR F	utume use		•						
****	o. held for future use				•	••••••			•••••

ORDER NO. 20896 DOCKET NO. 880558-EI PAGE 27

SCHEDULE 1 PAGE 1 of 2

COMPART: DOCKET NO.: TEST TEAM:

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES CO. - MARIAMA 800550-E1 DECEMBER 31, 1987

COMPARATIVE BATE BASES

co.			COMPAN	IT FILING			(OFFE BOAT OFF	PUBLIC COURSEL		
INE MOJ. 155UE NO. NO. NO.	DESCRIPTION	SYSTEM PER BOOKS	JURISDICTIONAL PER BOOKS	21 M JAN 20160A	JUNISDICTIONAL ADJUSTED	ADJUSTNERTS	JURISDICTIONAL ADJUSTED	ADJUSTNENTS	JURISDICTIONAL AGJUSTED	
54			••••••	••••••	••••••••	***************************************	•••••••	••••••	•••••	
SI MUCLEAR FU SZ	EL (MET)									
11										
4						Salara Dali Salara Antonio				
	Total mucloar fuel								•••••	
4								·		
4										
	lot utility plant	•	7,925,334	(927)	7,924,407		7,924,407		7,924,40	
•		•••••							*,,,,,,,	
•										
BORE IRE CM	PITAL PITAL		2,070							
2										
, ,	PREPAID PENSION			•				(12,116))	
•										
5										
;										
<u>'</u>										
:										
i										
ì										
3										
5										
1										
ļ										
•		••••••		•••••	•••••	•••••	***************************************	••••	•••••	
	stal working capital	•	2,070	•	2,070	•	2,070	(12,116)	(10,046	
		••••••	•••••		•••••	•••••		•••••	•••••	
!				400004		702	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	19.00000000	V=2=1/974 V(110=17	
TOTAL PATE	1451	•	7,927,404	(927)						
		************	***************************************	***************************************	***************************************	***************************************	***************************************			

ORDER NO. DOCKET NO. PAGE 29

20896 880558-EI

SCHEDULE 2 PAGE 1 of 2

COMPANT: FLORIDA PUBLIC WITLITIES CO. - BARTANNA BOCSET NO.: BOOSSO-EI TEST YEAR: BECENDER 31, 1907

COMPANATIVE HET OPENATING INCOME

CO.		!	CONPART	FILING .		COMPANY S	IPULATION	· \$1	ME	PUBLIC	COURSEL
ME AOJ. ISSUE O. MO. MO.	MESCALPTION	PER BOOKS	JURISDICTIONAL PER BOOKS	ADJUSTMENTS	ADJUSTED	ADJUSTMENTS	JURISDICTIONAL ADJUSTED	MOJUSTNENTS	JURISDICTIONAL ADJUSTED	ADJUSTICATS	JUR ISBECTIONAL
2 Elic 3 Elic 4 Elic 5 Reve	SALES OF ELECTRICITY pinate fuel revenues sinate fuel everreceveries sinate conservation revenues smue taxes - fuel & conservation umbilled revenue		12,759,348	(10,000,100) 109,437 (53,972) (163,075) (1,444)							
,	l sales of electricity		12,759,348	(10,197,004)	2,562,344		2,562,344	•	2,562,344		2,562,36
O L OTHER OPERATION S	G REVENUES		74,276								
6 Tota	l other operating revenues	•	74,276		14,216	•	74,276	•	74.276	•	74,21
† Teta	l operating revenues		12,033,424	(10,197,004)	2,636,620		2,434,420	•	2,434,420	•	2,636,6
5 Elia 6 Elia 7 Unco 8 B Notor 9 9 Logal 0 10 Advor 1 10 Chad 2 10 Betur			11,607,862	(9,915,234) (85,374) (85,972) 1,456		(11,357) (19,452) (1,190) (1,479) (418) (2,444)		(11,357) (19,451) (1,490) (1,479) (410) (2,444)		(11,357) (19,492) (1,190) (1,479) (410) (2,444)	
	operation & maintenance		11,609,862	(10,049,124)	1,560,730	(36,601)	1,524,137	(34,601)	1,524,137	(14,44)	1,524,13
DEPRECIATION AN	O AMORTIZATION oct error it common plant depreciation		386,100	6,023 (260)	T= *						
Total	depreciation and asortization	•	386,100	5,763	391,063	•	391,863	•	391,843	•	391,86
OECOMMISSIONING					/						
	formulasioning	•	•	•			•	•	•	4	

COMPANY: BOCKET NO.: TEST TEAM:

COMPARATIVE MET OPERATING INCOME

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES CO. - MARIANNA BOOSSA-EI DECEMBER 31, 1907

			■POT CATHANAGA CONTROL NA CA		FILING	era an 1.072/2015 de 3000 1000 100	COMPARY ST		\$14			COMISEL
CO. LIME AOJ. NO. NO.	ISSUE BO.	DESCRIPTION	STSTEM PER BOOKS	JURISDICTIONAL PER BOOKS	ADJUSTMENTS	JURISDICTIONAL ADJUSTED	ADJUSTMENTS	JURISDICTIONAL ADJUSTED	AGJUSTNERTS	ADJUSTED	MOJUSTREETS	ANTISOTCTIONAL MOJUSTED
60	Reves	ue taxes - fuel			(167,263)							
61		ue taxes - conservation			(832)							
62		ate gen. office property taxes	1		3,799							
63 64	Bon-s	tility property taxes			(1,653)							
45	B/A Effec	t of other adjustments										
66		Control Control State Control	•••••		•••••		•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
67	Tetal	taxes other than income	0	348,172	(160,949)	187,223	•	187,223	•	187,223	•	187,223
48			•••••		•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••		•••••		•••••
69												
\$2000 PM 10 PM 117		REBILT PATABLE	•	134,773								
71		f period adjustment			(33,169)							
		est expense reconciliation			10,856	74			(7,223)			
	B/A Effec	t of other adjustments			3,164		15,040		13,648		15,848	
11			•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	***************************************	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••
76	Total	income taxes - current	•	134,773	(19,149)		15,848	131,472	8,625	124,249	15,848	131,472
79			•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•	•••••
80		Particular Laborator										
		TARES (DET)	•	(41,678)					127			
82	Out o	f period adjustment			513				•			
88	20000000		•••••	•••••		•••••	•••••			••••••		***************************************
89	Total	deferred income taxes (net)	•	(41,678)		(41,165)	•	(41,165)		(41,143)	•	(41,163)
90			•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	••••••		•••••
11		CONTROL VALUE VIII		97712000								
		CREDIT (MET)		(16,792)								
13	Out o	f period adjustment			(5,195)							
16	1210000											(21,967)
99	Tetal	investment tax credit (net)	•	(16,792)		(21,987)		(21,987)		(21,967)		(41,707)
100			•••••			••••••	•••••					
101		202										
102 (GAIR))\r022 04	SALE.						500000000000000000000000000000000000000				
110												•
111	Tetal	(gain)/loss on sale	•		•	•	3.0		The second second			
112			•••••			••••••		••••••	•••••			
113			- 1	12 122 122					/42 41/1	2,164,320	(20,753)	2,171,543
114	Total	operating expenses	•	12,420,437	(10,228,141)		(20,753)		(27,976)		(20,133)	2,171,343
115			•••••	••••••	•••••	•••••			•••••			
116	2.0		72	100					41 41	472,300	20,753	445,077
117	Bet of	perating income	•	413,107	31,137	444,324	20,753	465,077	27,976			
110			*************		11111111111111111	**************	**************	***************************************	***************************************	***************************************	***************************************	

SCHEDULE 2 PAGE 2 of 2

COMPANT: DOCKET NO.: IEST YEM:

becemen 31, 1987

			COMPANY I	TLING			STAFF RECOM	E BOAT I ON	
LINE				••••••	ME I CHIED			••••••	KIGIE)
NO.	COMPONENT	AROUST	DATIO	COST RATE	COST	THUORA	RATIO	COST BATE	COST
1	Long Tara Debt	2,120,663	26.75%	10.01%	2.701	2,139,024	26.998	7,491	2.611
2	Short Tora Bobt	784,372	9,901	7.021	0.771		10.60%	7.821	0.833
3	Preferred Stock	114,354	1.40	4.76%			1.458	4.763	0.071
4	Customer deposits	358,462	4.523	8.839	0.401		4.521	8.823	0.481
5	Common Equity	2,761,017	34.83%	13.50%	4.701		31.07%	12.05%	4.338
6	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	1,354,536	17.07%	0.001	0.001		17.09%	0.00%	0.001
7	Deferred IIC - Jero Cost	15,123	0.198	0.00%	0.001		0.193	0.00%	0.000
	Deferred IIC - Deighted Cost	417,950	5.278	11.05%	0.621		5.278	10.79%	0.57%
•		***************************************			•••••				
10		7,926,477	100.00%		9.27%	7,926,477	100,000		8.843
11			***************************************			***************************************			
12									
13									
14									
15			PUBLIC C	DUNSEL					

PUBL !	1	COL	erı

16		!			!
17					ME ICHIED
18	COMPONENT	AROURT	MATIO	COST RATE	COST
19	***************************************	•••••	••••••	••••••	••••••
20	Long Tarm Debt	2,170,663	26.75%	10.071	2.70%
21	Short Term Debt	784,372	7.701	7.02%	0.77%
22	Preferred Stock	114,354	1.44	4.761	0.07%
23	Customer deposits	358,462	4.528	0.033	0.40%
24	Common Equity	2,761,017	34.83%	11.50%	4.01%
25	Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	1,354,536	17.01%	0.001	0.00%
26	Deferred ITC - Zero Cost	15,123	0.173	0.001	\$00.0
27	Deferred LTC - Weighted Cost	417,950	5.271	10.74%	0.57%
28	The Market Carrier of the Court		•••••		
29		7,926,477	100.00%		0.51%
30		11111111111111111			

SCHEDULE

w

COMPANY: DOCKET NO.: TEST YEAR:

I COMPARISON OF REVENUE EXPANSION FACTORS

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION	COMPANY	STAFF	PUBLIC COUNSEL
1 2	Revenue Requirement	100.000000	100.00000	100.000000
2 3 4	Uncollectible Accounts	(0.143800)	(0.143800)	(0,143800)
5	Gross Reciepts Tax	(1.500000)	(1.500000)	(1.500000)
6 7 8	Regulatory Assessment Fee	(0.125000)	(0.125000)	(0.125000)
8 9 10	Net Before Income Taxes	98.231200	98.231200	98.231200
11	State Income Tax Rate	5.5000	5.5000%	5.5000
13	State Income Tax	5.402716	5.402716	5.402716
15	Net Before Federal Income Taxes	92.828484	92.828484	92.828484
17	Federal Tax Rate	34.0000	34.0000%	34.00001
19	Federal Income Tax	31.561685	31.561685	\$1.561685
21	Net Operating Income	61.266799	61.266799	61.266799
23 24 25	Net Operating Income Multiplier	1.6322	1.6322	1.6322

ORDER NO. DOCKET NO. PAGE 32 24.5 20896 880553-EI

COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES CO.
DOCKET NO.: 880558-EI
TEST YEAR: DECEMBER 31, 1987

COMPARATIVE REVENUE REQUIREMENTS

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION [1]	COMPANY FILING [2]	COMPANY STIPULATION [3]	STAFF RECOMMENDATION [4]	PUBLIC COUNSEL [5]
1 2	Adjusted Jurisdictional Rate Base	\$7,926,477	\$7,926,477	\$7,926,477	\$7,914,361
3 4 5	Required Rate of Return	9.27	9.27	8.84%	8.52
6	Required Net Operating Income	734,784	734,784	700,701	674,304
8 9 10	Adjusted Achieved Test Year Jurisdictional Net Operating Income	444,324	465,077	472,300	465,071
11	Jurisdictional NOI Deficiency	290,460	269,707	228,401	209,233
13	Revenue Expansion Factor	1.632200	1.632200	1.632200	1.632200
15 16 17 18 19	Revenue Increase - Test Year	474,089	440,216	372,795	341,509
20 21 22					
23 24 25 26					

COMPANY: BOCKET NO.: TEST YEAR:

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES CO. - MARIAMA 880558-E1 BECEMBER 31, 1987

COMPARATIVE RATE BASES ATTRITION YEAR

SSUE	ţ	CORPANY FILING						COUNSEL
NO. DESCRIPTION	SYSTEM PER BOOKS	JURISDICTIONAL PER BOOKS	ADJUSTMENTS	JURISDICTIONAL ADJUSTED	ADJUSTMENTS	JURISDICTIONAL ABJUSTED	ABJUSTNENTS	JURISDICTIONAL ABJUSTED
IN SERVICE Plant Alocated Ition adjustment		13450479 175519 3691					-112477	
Total plant in service	0	13629689		13629689		13429489	-112477	13517217
OMS; ATION PESERVE-PLANT IN SERVICE ATION RESERVE-COMPON PLANT AMONT, ACQUISITION ADJ. R ADVANCES FOR CONST.		434004E E3447 3471 14475					24432	
Total depreciation reserve	•	444661		444441	;	44441	2412	447329
Met plant in service		9183028	•	9183028		9183428	-139100	904371

CTION WORK IN PROGRESS		•						
Total CUIP		0	•		•		•	•
MELB FOR FUTURE USE	X-1070-17	0						
Tatal area, hald for future use	-							
TOTAL DIOT. HELD FOR FUTURE USE,								
	Total plant in service ORS; ATION RESERVE-PLANT IN SERVICE ATION RESERVE-COMPON PLANT AMORIACQUISITION ADJ. R ADVANCES FOR CONST. Total depreciation reserve Met plant in service CTION MORE IN PROGRESS	Total plant in service 0 ORS: ATION RESERVE-PLANT IN SERVICE Total depreciation reserve 0 Met plant in service 0 Total CUIP 0 HELD FOR FUTURE USE	175319 1	Total plant in service	175319 1	173319 1	Total plant in service	Total plant in service

ORDER NO. DOCKET NO. PAGE 34

. 20896). 880558-EI

SCHEDULE 6 PAGE 1 of 2

COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES CO. - MARIARMA
BOCKET NO.: 880358-E!
TEST YEAR: DECEMBER 31, 1987

COMPARATIVE RATE BASES ATTRITION YEAR

CO		111 2 11.	COMPANY				DENIATION	PUBLIC	COUNSEL
NO. NO	. ISSUE	SYSTEM PER BOOKS	JURISBICTIONAL PER BOOKS	ADJUSTMENTS	JURISDICTIONAL ADJUSTED	ADJUSTMENTS	JURISDICTIONAL ABJUSTED	ADJUSTMENTS	JURISDICTIONAL ABJUSTED
SI MUC	LEAR FUEL (MET)	***************************************		•••••			***********		•
52									
22									
54 55	484000000000000000000000000000000000000	***************************************							
33 56	Total nuclear fuel	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
57			•••••		•••••				
34	Not utility plant		9183028		9183020		9183028	-139109	9943919
39	and animal plant	***************************************	***************************************		***************************************		7163026	-137147	1943111
44									
41 WOR	IIS CATIFAL		47200						
62									
43	25 PREPAIR PERSION			1200		1114		-33000	
и	26 UGANGATIZED NATE CASE EXPENSE			4900		-71900		-71900	
45	27 STORM BAMAGE RESERVE					-600		10430	
	35 UNAMORTIZED DEPRECIATION STUDY					7186		•	
67	37 TREM EFFECTS					1230		-1016	
70									
71									
72									
73									
74									
73									
74									
77									
78									
79									
80	Total working capital	•	47200	4100	53300	-60792		-93264	-48044
81									
12									
83 101	AL RATE BASE		9230228	6100	9234328	-40792	1183028	-234373	8995833
		***********		***********	************	***********	***************	-	**********

SCHEDULE 6 PAGE 2 of 2

COMPARATIVE NET OPERATING INCOME ATTRITION YEAR

COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES CO. - MARIAMMA BOCLET NO.: BB0338-E1 TEST YEAR: DECEMBER 31, 1987

co.			COMPANY FILING				COMPANY STIPULATION		\$1MF 		PUBLIC COUNSEL	
IÆ ADJ.		DESCRIPTION	SYSTEM PEN BOOKS	JURISDICTIONAL PER BOOKS	ABJUSTMENTS	JURISDICTIONAL ABJUSTED	ABJUSTMENTS	JURISBICTIONAL ADJUSTED	ADJUSTMENTS	JURISBICTIONAL ABJUSTED	ADJUSTNERTS	JURISBICTIONAL ABJUSTED
1 2 3 4 5 4		REVENUE FROM SALES OF ELECTRIS MBILLED REVENUES DARECT ERROR		2714479			1661 -159		1441 -139		1641 -139	•••••
, 6 ,	ti	etal sales of electricity	•	2714670	0	2714470	1502	2716172	1302	2716172	1502	271617
2 3 4	OPERAT	TIMO REVERNES		62970								
	To	otal other operating revenues		62970		62970	0	62970		62970		6297
	te	otal operating revenues	0	2777640	0		1507	2779142	1502	2779142	1502	277914
OFERA!	31 PP 32 PP 33 PE 34 RA 35 DE	PENSESI ANIMENANCE ODERIY INSUMANCE EIPENSE ODVISION FOR PROPERTY INSUMANCE UNSION EIPENSE INSUM EIPENSE PRECIATION STUDY END EFFECTS		1749881			-425 -2128 2443 2425 -40282		-475 -16750 -2178 -12715 7473 -40782		-475 -34790 -829 -17713 -61314	
	To	tal operation & maintenance	0	1749881	0	1749881	-37947	1711934	-64873	1683006	-127226	167265
		AND AMORTIZATION FFERANCES DUE TO LOWER PLANT IN SE	RVICE	422083		,				453043	-3820	
	Tol	tal depreciation and amortization		455083		455083		455083		455083	-3820	45126

ORDER NO. 20896 DOCKET NO. 880558-EI PAGE 36

SCHEDULE 7 PAGE 1 of 3

20896 880558-EI

SCHEDULE 7 PAGE 2 of 3

COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES CD. - MARIANNA DOCRET UD.: 880558-E1 TEST YEAR: BECERBER 31, 1987

COMPARATIVE NET OPERATING INCOME

co.			COMPANY		AND SOCIETY OF THE STATE OF	CORPANY S	TIPULATION	\$11	et.	PUBLIC	COUNSEL
NE ADJ. 155UE 10. NO. NO. DE	SCRIPTION	PER BOOKS	JURISBICTIONAL PER BOOKS	ADJUSTMENTS	JURISBICTIONAL ADJUSTED	ADJUSTMENTS	JURISDICTIONAL ADJUSTED	ABJUSTMENTS	JURISDICTIONAL ADJUSTED	ADJUSTMENTS	JURISDICTIONAL ABJUSTED
SI DECORNISSIONING	••••••	*****************		••••••	•••••	••••					
52 53			- 32.0			۰					
14											
5											
4 Total decommis	sioning	0			•	•	•	•		•	
1			•••••	••••••		•••••	•••••				
TATES OTHER THAN INCOME			210394								
	LATORY ASSESSMENT FEE		•			1177		1177		1177	
AT THEMS EFFECTS						-1017		-1017		-4019	
3											
4											
5 N/A Effect of other	adjustments										
7 Total tares ath	er than income	•	210394	0	210394	140	210554	160	210554	-2942	207332
		•••••		•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••			
INCOME TAXES CURRENTLY PA	TABLE		-24048								
M/A Interest essens 42 Effect of other	adjustments					2024		19430		82420	
Total income ta			-24048	•	-24048	8084	-12:95	19430	-4418	82420	50377
	•			••••••			•••••				
DEFERRED INCOME TAIES INC 2	11	•	-1093&					-10613		-34072	
		•••••	••••••		•••••						
	ncoor tases (net)	0	-10934		-10934		-10434	-10013	-21751	-54877	-63808
INVESTMENT THE CREDIT INC	0		-21989								
	150										
						•••••					
eent	tas credit (met)	•	-21989			•	-71999	•	-21989		-21989

114

COMPARATIVE MET OPERATING INCOME ATTRITTOR YEAR

COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES CO. - MARIANNA BOCKET NO.: BB0358-E1 TEST YEAR: BECEMBER 31, 1987

CO.			!		Y FILING			TIPULATION		MF	PUBLIC	COUNSEL
LINE ASJ. 1 NO. NO.		DESCRIPTION	SYSTEM PER BOOKS	JURISDICTIONAL PEA BOOKS	ADJUSTRENTS	JURISDICTIONAL ADJUSTED	ADJUSTMENTS	JURISDICTIONAL ADJUSTED	ABJUSTMENTS	JUNISDICTIONAL ADJUSTED	ABJUSTNERTS	JURISBICTIONAL ABJUSTED
101					•				•	•••••	·····	
102 (SAIR)	/LOSS ON SALE											
103												
164												
164												
106												
107												
106												
109												
110												
111	Total Igar	s)/loss on sale			٠		•		•			
112				***************************************								
112 113												.,
114	Total oper	rating expenses		2358385		2358385	-29701	2329494	-37900	2300485	-106100	2252245
115									-37700	230743	-100140	2131113
116												
117	Bet operat	ing income		419255		419255	31703	450458	39402	478437	107642	524897
110		e mentioners and a service	***********	*************	*************	*************		************	************	***************		210011

ORDER NO. 20896 DOCKET NO. 880558-EI PAGE 38

Schedule C-160 (Projections)

STAFF POSITION NON-FUEL OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES ATTRITION YEAR DECEMBER 31.1989

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

EIPLANATION: For the projected test veirs, provide projected

Page 1 of 9

COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES

and prior year data by primary account.

Historic Test Year Ended 12/31/87 Projection Years 1980 and 1989

MARIANNA BIVISION

Witness: IRGY

Type of Bata Shows:

DOCKET MG. 1 880558-E1

		PROJECT	ION TEARS
TREND BASIS		1988	1787
***************************************		***************************************	
No Trend Dasis	0	0.00Z	0.001
Inflation only	1	104.102	108.871
Custoper Growth	2	101.972	103.901
Pavroll Increases	3	102.812	107.951
Sales / KWH		97.821	102.501
Revenues / S	3	101.541	105.351
Plant		107.271	116.351
Inflation I Customer Growth	1	106.031	113.141
Payroll I Custoser Growth		104.731	112.141
Other	•		

•								
17	ACCT	OPERATION EXPENSES	TEST YEAR	PROJECT	ION TEARS	TREMS		
20	MO.	PRIMARY ACCOUNTS	1987	1788	1989	DASIS	1700	1787
21								
22		HYDRAULIC POWER SENERATION						
23								
24	338	Electric Espenses						
25		Payroll Trended	2.041	2.018	2.203		107.811	107.951
26		Non Payroll Trended	765	796	833	- 1	104.102	100000000000000000000000000000000000000
27		Other Irended		′′•	•33	:	0.001	
28						•	0.001	0.001
29		Total	2.804	2.894	3.034			
30				4.011	3,036			
31	539	Risc Hede Por Son Esa						
32		Payroll Trended	2,569	2.640	2.772		102.811	107.951
33		Mon Payroll Trended	670	697	7:0	i	104.101	108.871
34		Other Irended	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0			0.001	0.001
33			***************************************			•		*
34		Total	3.230	3.337	3.502			
37			***************************************	*****	3,302			
38		Subtotal	4.044	6.231	6.538			
20		no contractor.	-	•••••	(100 T)			

Sussorting Schedules:

Recas Schedules:

274/5CHC168

ORDER NO. DOCKET NO. PAGE 39

20896 880558-EI

	ACCT NO.	PRIMARY ACCOUNTS	TEST YEAR 1987	PROJEC 1988	1134 IFARS 1989	TREND BASIS			
		OTHER POWER SUPPLY	•	•••••		••••••		()	
1		***************************************		4.					
3									
	557	Other Escenses							
		Fa-roll Trended	•	•	0	•		0.00Z	
		Non Payroll Trended	24	25	26	1		108.871	
		Other Trended		٠	•	•	0.001	0.00Z	
8		****	••••••						
		Total	24	25	26				
		*****	••••••						
1		Subtotal	24	25	24				
3									
;									
;		DISTRIBUTION EXPENSES							
i		DISTRIBUTION EXPENSES							
;	500	Operation Supervision/Engr							
•	200	Payroll Transed	46.335	47.437		-			
,		Non Parroll Trended	14.802	15.409	50.019	3		107.951	
		Other Trended	14,802	13,407	10,110			108.872	
i		other in sales				•	0.00Z	0.001	
ż		Tetal	41,137	43.044	66.137				
;			*1,137						
	582	Station Expenses							
,		Payrell Trended	71	73	17	3	107.012	107.932	
		Non Payroll Trended	67	70	73	ī		100.872	
7		Other Trended			0		0.002	0.001	
						-			
•		Total	138	143	150				
•									
1	283	Operation of Overhead Line							
2		Payroll Trended	24.826	26.000	27.845		104.732	117.161	
3		Res Payroll Trended	1,710	1.013	1,935	7	106.052	113.102	
1		Other Trended			•	•	0.001	0.00Z	
3		12020		••••••	•••••				
		Total	26,536	27,813	29,780				
				••••••	•••••				
	284	Underground Line Esp		2	121	27	20200		
		Payroll Trended	•			•	0.001	0.00T	
		Non Payroll Trended	131	137	140	,	104.051		
		Other Trended		•	•		0.00I	0.001	
		Total		139					
		10(4)	131		148				
		. 12							
		.500							

ORDER NO. 20896 DOCKET NO. 880558-EI PAGE 40

> SCHEDULE 8 PAGE 2 of 9

20896 880558-EI

SCHEDULE 8 PAGE 3 of 9

274/SCHC168

ACCT		•••••			••••••			 	
MO.	PRIMARY ACCOUNTS	TEST YEAR 1907	1968	1104 TEARS 1989	BASIS				
			••••••	•••••	••••••				
282	Street Lighting/Signal Svs Pavroll Trended			100 E22 1	120	10000000000	55.535		
	Non Payroll Trended	1.103	1.239	1.327	•		117.162		
	Other Trended	3.107	3,295	3,515	,		113.142		
	other if entes				•	0.001	0.001		
	Total	4,290	4.534	4.842					
584	Meter Espenses								
	Pavroll Trended	61.701	64.619	49,204		104.732	112.162		
	Mon Payroll Trended	25,769	27.328	29,155	1	106.052	113.142		
	Other Trended				•	0.001	0.001		
	fotal	87,470	11.947	98.359					
587	Customer Install Era	***************************************	••••						
	Payroll Trended	3.064	3.711	3.439		104.732	112.162		
	Non Payroll Trended	1.931	2.048	2.185	i	106.051			
	Other Trended		0	0	•		0.001		
	Total	4,997	5,259	5,674					
588	Misc Bistr Erpense			••••••					
	Payroll Trended	29.553	30.951	33.147		104.731	112.111		
	Non Payroll Trended	7.991	8.474	7.041	i	106.052			
	Other Trended		•	•	•		0.001		
	Total	37,544	39,425	42,188					
589	Bents	***************************************		•••••					
	Payroll Trended	•				0.00I	0.00I		
	Mon Payroll Trended	1.349	1.362	1.374	•	101.001	77773		
	Other Trended	100000	•		•	0.001	0.001		
	Total	1.349	1.362	1.374					
	1500000								
	Subtotal	273.592	233,448	248.604					

Recap Schedules:

Supporting Schedules:

	•	•						Page 4 of 9
ACCT NO.	PRIMARY ACCOUNTS	TEST TEAR 1987	1768	1989 #0113	IRENO BASIS			
•••••	CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS	•••••••••••	•••••	••••••	······			
901	Supervision							
	Pavroll Trended	30.302	31.153	32.711	3	102.812	107.951	
	Non Payroll Trended	8.859	9.222	9,447	1	104.102	108.891	
	Other Trended		•	٠	٥	0.002	0.00I	
	Total	39,161	40,375	42.358				
102	Meter Reading Esp	•••••		************				
	Payroll Trended	31,496	51,932	57.758		104,732		
	Non Payroll Trended	27.720	28.867	30.797	;	104.732		
	Other Trended	•*****		20	,		0.001	
		***************************************	-		•	4.001	0.001	
	Total	78,716	82,799	88.555				
103	Cust Rec & Coll Ese	***************************************	••••••	•••••				
	Favroll Trended	142.148	***					
	Bon Payroll Trended	42.652	45.232	159.433	•	104.732		
	Other Trended	75.864	29.599	48.254 31.293	,	106.051	113.141	
	and ments	22.001						
	Total	210.664	223.703	238.982				
04	Uncoll Accts							
	Pavroll Trended	•		٥		0.001	0.001	
	Non Favroll Trended	18.504	18,795	17.496	3	101.561	105.352	
	Other Tranded		0	0	٠	0.001	0.001	
	21.2							
	Total	18,504	18,795	19,494				
05	Black Cost Australia	••••••		•••••				
••	Risc Cust Accts Exp Payroll Trended	-	240	5.0				
	Mon Payroll Trended	40 13.467	41	43	3	107.812		
	Other Irendes	13.467	16,096	16.037	;	104.101		
	CLIEF ITEMATE		0		۰	0.001	0.00E	
	Total	15.302	14.137	16.890				
	2777							
	Subtotal	362.549	381.809	406.271				
					27			
					,			

500-M

ORDER NO. DOCKET NO. PAGE 42

20896 . 880558-EI

SCHEDULE 8 PAGE 4 of 9

Supporting Schedules:

Poryn Crhedaloc.

374 /CFHF118

20896 880558-EI

SCHEDULE 8 PAGE 5 of 9

274/SCHC168

ACCT NO.	PRIMARY ACCOUNTS	TEST YEAR 1987	PROJEC 1988	TION YEARS 1989	TREMO BASIS			
	CUSTOMER SERVICE & INFORMATION							
110	Risc Cost Svc & Info Esa							
	Payroll Trended	•	•	•	•	0.002	0.001	
	Mon Payroll Trended Other Trended	35	37	40	7	0.001	0.001	
	Total	35	37	40				
	Sektotal	25	37	40				
	SALES	••••••						
912	Demonstr & Selling Exp							
	Pavroll Trended Non Pavroll Trended	1.178 254	1232 298	1.293	3		107.751	
	Other Trended		•	311	i	0.001		
	Total	1,484	1,530	1,604				
913	Advertising Ess							
1500	Payroll Trended			0	0	0.001	0.001	
	Non Payrull Trended	679	707	739	1	104.101	108.892	
	Other Trended		0	0	٠	0.001	0.001	
	Total	679	707	739				
	Subtetal	2,163	2.237	2.343				
	ADMINISTRATIVE & GENERAL EIPENSES							
920	Adoin & Gen Ers							
	Payroll Trended	139.467	143.412	150.792	3	102.812	107.952	
	Non Payroll Trended	34.11441	0	0		0.001		
	Other Trended		1,420	3.756	. •			
	Total	139,487	145,032	154,048				

Supporting Schedules:

Recas Schedules:

••••••		•••••						Page 6 of 9
ACCT RO.	PRIMARY ACCOUNTS	TEST YEAR 1987	1988	TION YEARS 1989	TREMO BASIS			
970.1	Undistributed Payroll	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••••	••••••			
	Pavroll Trended	3,300	3.393	3.562	3	102.812	107.952	
	Mon Pavroll Trended		0	0		0.001		
	Other Trended		0	0	•	0.001		
	Total	3,300	3.393	3,562				
	115-115 115-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-			3,367				
921	Ofc Supply & Exp							
	Payroll Trended		•	•	•	0.00Z	0.001	
	Non Payroll Trended	27,430	20,555	29,869	1	104.102	100.892	
	Other Trended		0		•	9.00Z	0.00I	
	Total	27,430	70.555	29.869				
922	Admin Ero Trans-Cr		•••••	•••••	1			
744	Payroll Irended				121		nu-sean	
	Mon Payroll Trended	(40,078)			•	0.002	0.001	
	Other Transed	(10,012)	(47.073)	(44,623)				
		•••••				0.002	0.00I	
	Total	(40,078)	(42,073)					
923	A 1-14- C C	•••••	•••••	••••••				
123	Outside Svcs Employed Pavroll Trended						7272521	
	Mon Payroll Trended	48.769			•	0.002	0.001	
	Other Trended	44,747	50.748	52.540	:	104.102		
				2.625	•	0.001	0.061	
	Total	48.769	50.248	55.185				

924	Property Insurance							
	Parroll Trended	•	•	0	0	0.001	0.00Z	
	Non Payroll Trended	7,805	9,432	9,805	•			
	Other Treaded		0	39,800	•	O.GGI	0.00I	
	Total	9,605						
	100.00	7,003	9,432	47,605				
925	Injuries & Danages							
	Payroll Trended			•		0.001	0.00I	
	Non Payroll Trended	197.920	161.952	150.214	•			
	Other Trended			•		9.002	0.001	
		••••••						
	Total	197.920	161.952	150.216				
		***************************************		••••••				

Supporting Schedules:

500-0

DOCKET NO. PAGE 44

20896). 880558-EI

> SCHEDULE 8 PAGE 6 of 9

ACCT	······	TEST YEAR		CIION YEARS		••••••	••••••	
NO.	PRIMARY ACCOUNTS	1987	1968	1989	TREND BASIS			
926.1	Pension	•••••••	•••••	••••••	••••••			
	Payroll Trended						121222	
	Non Payroll Trended	(7.735)	0.75	(2.378)	•	0.001	0.00I	
	Other Trended	11,1331	111,2337	(2.3.8)	,			
					0	0.001	0.001	
	Total	(7,735)	(11.233)					
926.2	Ensloyee Benefits	***************************************	•••••	•••••				
	Pavroll Trended							
	Non Payroll Trended			0	0	0.001	0.001	
	Other Trended	41,438	57,305	57.213	,	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000		
	other treases	1.644	1,711	1,790	1	104,101	108.891	
	Total	43.062	59.014	59.003				
728	Resulatory Come Esa	***************************************	•••••	•••••				
W. 2020	Payroll Trended	•					20222	
	Non Payroll Trended	2.430	2.530	2.646	•		0.00T	
	Other Irended	2,134	2,330	18.322	1	104.102	108.871	
		•••••		10.322				
	Total	2.430	2.530	20.968				
***	2000/2002	***************************************		•••••				
930	Hisc Sen Era							
	Payroll Trended		0	0	0	0.001	0.001	
	Non Payroll Trended	17,939	19.024	20.294	1	104.052	113.142	
	Other Trended		•	•	0	0.00I	0.001	
	2012	••••••		•••••				
	Total	17.939	19,024	20,296				
931	Rents		•••••	•••••				
	Payroll Trended					A 007	0.001	
	Non Payroll Trended	21.638	21.854	22.071	· i	101.001		
	Other Trended					0.001	0.001	
		***************************************			•	V. VV.	4.002	
	Total	21.638	21.854	22.071				

	Subtotal	483.447	447,730	517.872				
	2	•••••						
	Total Operation Expense	1.078.074	1,071.737	1.181.694				
		***********		*********				
					63			

Supporting Schedul

SCHEDULE 8 PAGE 7 of 9

ORDER NO. 20896 DOCKET NO. 880558-EI PAGE 45

1334		TEST YEAR		TION YEARS	TREND			 	
NO.	FRIRARY ACCOUNTS	1987	1988	1989	BASIS				
	MAINTENANCE EXPENSES		••••••						
	PRIMARY ACCOUNTS								
	HYDRAULIC POWER SEMERATION								
542	Maint of Structures								
	Payroll Trended	159	163	172	3		107.951		
	Mon Payroll Trended	02	85	87	1	104.101	108.872		
	Other Trended		٥		•	0.001	0.001		
	Tetal	241	248	261					
343	Raint of Asrers/Baos/Utrave	***************************************	**********						
	Payroll Trended	141	145	152	3	102.812	107.951		
	Non Payroll Trended	85	88	93	î		108.891		
	Other Trended	0	0	0	0	0.001	0.001		
		•••••							
	Total	226	233	245					
544	Maint of Elec Plant	***************************************		•••••					
3400	Payroll Trended	587	603	634	3	102.812	107.951		
	Non Payroll Trended	134	142	149	1	104.101	108.871		
	Other Tranded		0	0	•	0.001	0.001		
	Tetal	723	745	792					
	Subtotal	1,190	1,226	1,298					
		2 30 3000 2							
	DISTRIBUTION								
190	Maint Supervision/Engr								
	Payroll Irended	15.421	16.060	16.863	3	102.612	107.951		
	Non Payroll Trended	4.534	4.722	4.939	i		108.891		
	Other Trended		0	0	•		0.001		
	Total	20,157	20,782	21,802					
103	Raint of Overhead Lines	••••••							
,,,	Payroll Trended	149,839	177.872	190.491		104.732	117.142		
	Non Payroll Irended	204,438	216.004	231.301	;		113.141		
	Other Trended	204,430	716.000	231,301		100.034			
	Arrest 17 August								
	Total	374.277	394.478	421.792					

SCHEDUL

ORDER NO. DOCKET NO. PAGE 46

20896 . 880558-EI

20896 . 880558-EI

> SCHEDULE 8 PAGE 9 of 9

	·····	***************************************	••••••					Page 9 of 9	
ACCT NO.	PRIMARY ACCOUNTS	TEST YEAR 1987	PROJEC 1988	IION YEARS 1989	TREND BASIS				
94	Maint of Underground Lines	••••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••				
	Pavroll Trended	781	294	315		104.732	112.141		
	Mon Payroll Trended	907	962	1.026	, ,		113.142		
	Other Trended		•	0	•		0.0GI		
	Total	1,185	1,256	1,341					
593	Maint of Line Transforcers	•••••		•••••					
	Pavroll Trended	10.366	10.856	11.627		104.732	112.162		
	Non Payroll Trended	16,182	17.161	18.308	1		113.142		
	Other Trended		0		•		0.001		
	Total	26,548	28,017	29.935					
76	Maint of Street Lighting		***************************************	•••••					
202710	Payroll Irended	4,747	4.972	5.324					
	Non Payroll Trended	6.435	4.824	7.281	;		112.162		
	Other Trended	55 550	•	0		104.05Z 0.00Z	0.001		
	Tetal	11.192	11.796	12,605					
97	Maint of Meters	•••••	••••••	•••••					
C.T.	Payroll Irended	352		•••					
	Non Payroll Trended	114	349	395	•	104.731			
	Other Irended		121	129	?	104.052			
		•••••			0	0.001	0.001		
	Total	444	490	524					
	Sebtotal	433,818	457,019	487,999					
	ADMINISTRATIVE & GENERAL EIPENSES								
32	Raint of General Plant								
	Payroll Trended	1,321	1,358	1.426	3	102.812	187 957		
	Non Payroll Trended	9,734	10,133	10.599	i	104,102			
	Other Trended	•		•	i		0.001		
	Total	17.055	11,491	12,025					
	Subtotal	11.055	11,491	17.025					
	fotal Paintenance Expense	446.063	469,736	501,312					
	TOTAL O & M ESPENSES .	1.524.137							

Supporting Schedules:

F.CAIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES CO. - MARIANNA BROSSE-EI DECEMBER 31, 1987

COMPARISON OF COST OF CAPITAL POSITIONS ATTRITION YEAR

		COMPANY FILING			COMPANY ABJUSTES					
LINE				ME LEHTED		,		·		ME I GHTED
MO.	COMPONENT	ARDUNT	RATIO	COST RATE	COST	AROUNT	RATIO	COST	RATE	COST
1	Long Tera Debt	3643610	39.471	9.812	3.871	3643610	39,472		7.812	3,871
2	Short Tera Bebt	577955	6.762	7.002	0.541	577955	6.761		10.301	0.641
2	Preferred Stock	115237	1.751	4.751	0.062	115237	1.251		4.752	0.041
•	Customer deposits	410440	4.452	5.972	. 0.271	410440	4.431		8.441	0.381
3	Common Equity	2668746	28.911	13.502	3.901	2449744	20.911		13.501	3,901
	Accumulated Beferred Income Taxes	1420411	15.392	0.001	0.001	1420411	13.372		0.001	0.001
,	Deferred ITC - Zero Cost	11609	0.131	0.002	0.001	11409	0.131		0.002	0.001
;	Seferred IIC - Meighted Cost	392220	4.142	11.251	0.472	382220	4.141	40	11.251	0.471
10		9230228	100.001		9.132	9230228	100,001			9.371
				_			1017111 MILLOUIS			7.324 2000arranassa
11		************							•	
13 14		••••••••••••••••					- 1744-11-0		•	
13			CIACC SCENA	SEMBATION			Bush 18	COUNSEL		
13 14				SEMBATION			Bush 18	OUSEL		
13 14 15 16	COMPONENT		CIACC SCENA	SEMBATION			Bush 18	COST	MIE	WEIGHTED COST
13 14 15 16 17	COMPONENT Long Tera Beht	1	STAFF RECOM	COST RATE	REIGHIED COSI	AMQUINT	PUBLIC I		MIE	WEIGHTED COST
13 14 15 16 17 18	***************************************	:AMOUNT	STAFF RECORM PATIO 39,471	COST RATE 7.812	2.872 3.872	ARQUNT 3529282	PUBLIC (P. 021	WEIGHTED COST
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Long Tera Debt	AMOUNT 35/4978 575000	STAFF RECOMM RATIO 39,471 4.281	COST RATE 9.812 10.302	WEIGHTED COST 3.872 0.642	APQURT 3570282 558393	PUBLIC (RATIO 39.302		9.021 0.131	UE I SMTE 8 COST 3.941 0.511
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Long Tera Bebt Short Tera Bebt	AMOUNT 3624978 575000 114648	STAFF RECORM RATIO 39.471 6.261 1.251	COST RATE 7.811 10.302 4.751	#EIGHIED COS1 3.872 0.641 0.062	ARGUNT 3529282 558393 111334	PUBLIC (RATIO 39.301 4.251 1.242		9.021 9.131 9.731	UE I GMT E B COST 3.841 0.511 0.041
13 14 15 16 17 18 17 20 21 22	Long Tera Beht Short Tera Beht Preferred Stock	:	STAFF RECORM RATIO 39,471 4.281 1.251 4.451	COSI RATE 9.812 10.302 4.752 8.761	3.871 0.641 0.041 0.371	AMOUNT 3520282 558393 111334 410440	PUBLIC (RATIO 39.302 4.252 1.242 4.581		9.021 9.131 6.731 9.951	UEI SMIEB COST 3. 961 9.511 0.662 0.412
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Long Tera Beht Short Tera Beht Preferred Stock Customer demonsts	ARGUNT 3624978 373000 114448 408342 2441330	STAFF RECOMM RATIO 39.471 4.281 1.251 4.451 28.981	COST RATE 7.812 10.302 4.752 8.262 12.853	WEIGHTED COST 3.871 0.641 0.071 3.721	3570282 558145 111314 410440 2578415	PUBLIC (RATIO 39.301 4.251 1.241 4.381 20.791	COST	9.021 0.131 4.731 0.951	UEISHTEB COST 3.841 0.311 0.041 0.412 3.341
13 14 15 16 17 18 17 20 21 22 23 24 25	Long Tera Bebt Short Tera Bebt Preferred Stock Custoerr decosits Concon Equity Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes	AMOUNT 3524778 575000 114648 408342 2641330 140887	STAFF RECOMM PATIO 39.472 4.251 1.251 4.451 28.981 15.322	COST RATE 10.302 4.751 8.761 12.851 0.002	#EIGHIED COST 3.871 0.441 0.041 0.372 3.772 3.727	3570282 558193 111336 410440 2578413 138187	PUBLIC (RATIO 37,301 4.231 1.242 4.591 28,791 13,441	COST	9.021 8.131 4.731 0.931 12.301	WEIGHTED COST 3.861 0.311 0.041 3.341 0.00
13 14 15 16 17 18 17 20 21 27 23 24 25 26 27	Long Tera Bebt Short Tera Bebt Preferred Stock Custower deposits Comeon Equity	ARGUNT 3624978 373000 114448 408342 2441330	STAFF RECOMM RATIO 39.471 4.281 1.251 4.451 28.981	COST RATE 7.812 10.302 4.752 8.262 12.853	WEIGHTED COST 3.871 0.641 0.071 3.721	ARGURIT 3370282 538193 111334 410440 2578415 1384387 11409 382220	PUBLIC (RATIO 39.301 4.251 1.241 4.381 20.791	COST	9.021 0.131 4.731 0.951	UEISHTEB COST 3.841 0.311 0.041 0.412 3.341
13 14 15 16 17 18 17 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Long Tera Beht Short Tera Beht Preferred Stock Custoner deposits Common Equity Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes Beferred ITC - Jero Cost	3524978 375000 11444E 408342 7441530 140887 11350	STAFF RECORM 39,471 6,261 1,251 4,451 28,981 15,321 0,131	COST RATE 9.812 10.302 4.752 8.261 17.852 0.601 0.001	3.871 0.641 0.041 0.041 0.371 3.771 0.001	ARGURT 3320282 358193 111334 410440 2578415 1384387 11409	PUBLIC (RATIO 39.301 6.251 1.241 4.381 20.792 13.441 6.131	COST	9.021 8.131 4.731 9.731 12.302 9.001	UEIDHTEB COST 3.841 0.511 0.041 3.541 0.001 0.001

SCHEDULE 9

ORDER NO. 20896 DOCKET NO. 880558-EI PAGE 48

00

FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES CO. - MARI BUOSSE-EI R DECEMBER 31, 1987

I COMPARISON OF REVENUE EXPANSION FACTORS ATTRITION YEAR

L INE NO.	DESCRIPTION	COMPANY	STAFF	PUBLIC COUNSEL
1 2	Revenue Requirement	100,000000	100.000000	100.000000
3	Uncollectible Accounts	(0.143800)	(0.143800)	(0.143800)
5	Gross Reciepts Tax	(1.500000)	(1.500000)	(1.500000)
7	Regulatory Assessment Fee	(0.125000)	(0.125000)	. (0.125000)
9	Net Before Income Taxes	98.231200	98, 231200	78.231200
10	State Income Tax Rate	5.5000%	5.5000X	5.50007
12	State Income Tax	5.402716	5.402716	5.402716
14	Net Before Federal Income Taxes	92.828484	92.828484	92.828484
16				
17 18	Federal Tax Rate	34.0000%	34.0000%	34.0000
19 20	Federal Income Tax	31.561685	31.561685	31.561685
21	Net Operating Income	61.266799	61.266799	61.266799
23	Net Operating Income Multiplier	1.632205	1.632205	1.632205
25	her operating income northire	=======================================	**********	

ORDER NO. 20896 DOCKET NO. 880558-EI PAGE 49

COMPANY: FLORIDA PUBLIC UTILITIES CO. - MARICOMPARATIVE BEVENUE REQUIREMENTS
DOCKET NO.: 800558-E1 ATTRITION YEAR
TEST YEAR: DECEMBER 31, 1987

LINE NO.	DESCRIPTION (1)	COMPANY ABJUSTED (3)	STAFF RECORRENDATION (4)	COUNSEL
1 2	Adjusted Jurisdictional Rate Base	69,234,320	£7.183.028	18,995.855
3	Resulted Bate of Return		9.12	8.80
5 6 7	Required Not Operating Income	840826	837492	795593
10	Adjusted Achieved Test Tear Jurisdictional Net Operating Income		478657	
11	Jurisdictional MOI Deficiency		358835	
13	Revenue Espansion Factor	1.437705	1.632205	1.432205
15 16 17	Total Revenue Increase	667804		438548
10 17 20	1987 Test Year Increase		372795	
21 22 23	1989 Increase		212898	₹705 ₹
24 25		SU		
26 27	1987 Test Year Increase Schedule No.	440214	372795	341509
28 29	1989 Increase - Line 21	229588	212898	
30	Total Increase- Line 15	469804	585693	