



Florida Power

James P. Fama

FILE COPY

ORIGINAL

December 5, 1989

Mr. Steve C. Tribble Director of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0872

Re: Docket No. 890737-PU

Dear Mr. Tribble:

Enclosed for filing in the subject matter is an original and fifteen (15) copies of Florida Power Corporation's Petition For A Limited Proceeding On Proposed Agency Action.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by completing the form provided on the enclosed copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

	ACK	Very tru	ly yours,	langen and the second
	CAF	James P.	Fama	
JPF/emh Enclosures	CTR			
cc: Parties of				
	OPC /	•		
RECEIVED & FILED	SEC	•		

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Implementation Of	}	Docket No.	890737-PU
§ 366.8085, Florida Statutes,)		
Conservation Activities Of)		
Electric And Natural Gas)		
Utilities)		
)		

FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION PETITION FOR A LIMITED PROCEEDING ON PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION

On November 14, 1989, in the above-referenced docket the Commission issued a Notice Of Proposed Agency Action, Order On Conservation, with which Florida Power Corporation (Florida Power) was served on November 17, 1989. The order provides that any person whose substantial interests were affected by the proposed action may file, on or before December 5, 1989, a petition for a hearing. Order at 7.

Pursuant to that order and Commission Rules 25-22.029(4) and 25-22.036, Florida Power hereby petitions for an informal proceeding under Fla. Stat. § 120.57(2), limited to briefing of one issue—the lawfulness of the Commission's directive that electric utilities develop conservation programs which promote the use of natural gas. Order at 5. Florida Power requests that this case be bifurcated, such that filing and approval of all conservation programs, except those related to electric utility promotion of gas, proceed on the current schedule, and that the legal issue

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
11731 DEC -5 1939
FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

raised by this petition be considered in an independent timetable in a separate docket.

In support of this petition, Florida Power submits the following.

A. <u>Florida Power Has A Substantial Interest In The</u> Commission's Determination.

Section B of the November 14 Order states:

The direct use of natural gas in space conditioning and water heating shall be encouraged by both electric and gas utilities where such use is a cost-effective method of slowing growth in electric demand. Thus, all utilities are required to either develop cost-effective programs for the use of natural gas or provide an explanation why such programs cannot be developed.

Order at 5. Florida Power is one of the utilities ordered to comply with this directive, and therefore has a substantial interest in the decision at issue in this case. The electricity sold by Florida Power competes directly with natural gas for use in space conditioning and water heating, the two areas of the energy market to which Section B of the Commission's order is directed. Implementation of the Commission's order will therefore have a direct and substantial impact upon Florida Power's sales and revenues.

B. The Proposed Order Is Contrary To The 1989 Revision To The Florida Energy Efficiency And Conservation Act.

The Senate version of § 366.82(3) of the 1989 revision of the Florida Energy Efficiency And Conservation Act (FEECA) contained, in pertinent part, the following language:

Utility programs may include, but are not limited to, increasing the use of natural gas to reduce electric

demands when such use of natu l gas provides net benefits to both the electric cor mers and the natural gas consumers, variations in rate design, load control, cogeneration, residential energy conservation subsidy, or any other measure within the jurisdiction of the commission which the commission finds likely to be effective; (emphasis added)

<u>See</u> attached excerpted draft of Senate Bill. The final version of the bill excluded the language marked in boldface above. The pertinent part of § 366.82(3) now reads as follows:

Utility programs include variations in rate design, load control, cogeneration, residential energy conservation subsidy, or any other measure within the jurisdiction of the commission which the commission finds likely to be effective:

From this legislative history, it is plain that the legislature considered and rejected the concept of the mandatory promotion of gas usage by electric utilities. The Commission's November 14 order is therefore inconsistent with the statutory intent underlying FEECA.

C. Implementation Of The Proposed Order May Violate Florida Power's First Amendment Rights Of Freedom Of Speech.

The Constitutional guarantee of free speech extends to corporations such as Florida lower as well as to individuals. Just as the First Amendment prohibits improper restraints on the voluntary public expression of ideas, there is necessarily a concomitant freedom not to speak publicly, and to remain silent.

Florida Power has a constitutional right not to associate with speech with which it disagrees. The Commission's order is unlawful on that ground alone. However, the order goes well beyond association with objectionable speech, and indeed contemplates

Florida Power's adoption of such specta as its own. This idea, that Florida Power's goodwill and energy expertise should be utilized to market natural gas, is particularly objectionable. While Florida Power does not seek to avoid debate over which energy form a customer should use, Florida Power does have a constitutional right to be free from regulation which requires Florida Power to enhance the voice of its competitor.

The Commission's order could be valid if it were narrowly tailored and served a compelling state interest. However, the order fails on both counts. As discussed above, the legislature has decided that there is no compelling state interest furthered by electric utilities advocating the use of natural gas. Further, broadening conservation programs to encourage gas usage in a way far beyond the marketing efforts of the gas utilities themselves, is not a narrowly tailored means of regulation. In short, from a constitutional perspective, marketing gas should be left to gas utilities, not electric utilities.

D. Implementation Of The Proposed Order Constitutes Restraint Of Trade, Which May Be Unlawful.

The proposed order mandates that the "direct use of natural gas in space conditioning and water heating shall be encouraged" by electric utilities when it is a cost-effective means of slowing electric demand. Implementation of this order involves Florida Power encouraging its customers to refrain from purchasing its product, but to instead purchase the product offered by Florida Power's competitor. If Florida Power not only surrenders markets

to its competitors, but in fact, affirmatively markets gas on their behalf, a restraint of trade arises, which may be unlawful.

E. Any Gas Use Program Developed By Electric Utilities Has To Be Cost Effective For Gas Utilities As Well.

Assuming, arguendo, that it is lawful for the Commission to mandate electric utility conservation programs which promote natural gas, there currently is no way for the Commission to evaluate such programs adequately. While there exists a methodology to measure the cost-effectiveness of such programs with respect to electric utilities, no corresponding methodology exists to measure their cost-effectiveness to gas utilities. Until this shortcoming has been remedied, no gas usage conservation programs should be filed.

FEECA requires that conservation programs be cost effective to both electric and gas utilities: "The Legislature further finds and declares that §§ 366.80-366.85 and 403.519 are to be liberally construed in order to meet the complex problems of...increasing the overall efficiency and cost-effectiveness of electricity and natural gas production and use..." Fla. Stat. § 366.81. The Commission's rules are consistent with this statutory directive: "The Florida Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act requires increasing the efficiency of the electric and natural gas systems of Florida and the end use of these sources of energy..."

Rule 25-17.001(2). See also Rule 25-17.001(3): "The general goals and methods for increasing the overall efficiency of the bulk electric power system and natural gas system of Florida...are an

ongoing part of the practice of ev. / well managed utility's programs..."

The cost-effectiveness methodology specified in Commission Rule 25-17.008 applies only to electric utilities. See Rule 25-17.008(1). No corresponding methodology currently exists to assess the cost effectiveness of an electric utility or gas utility program with respect to the efficient use of gas. A program which increases gas consumption may be cost-effective to Florida Power, but may not meet the gas cost-effectiveness part of this two part cost-effectiveness test. For example, conversion of space heating from electric to gas might be cost-effective for Florida Power, but if it required extensive expansion of gas transmission and distribution lines, such a program may result in the uneconomic duplication of electric facilities.

The Commission recently instituted a cost-effectiveness docket, which is the proceeding where a gas utility cost-effectiveness test should be developed. Assuming, arquendo, that it is lawful to require Florida Power to promote gas use in its conservation programs, these programs should be filed only after a gas cost-effectiveness test has been developed against which such programs can be evaluated.

F. Florida Power Requests Bifur tion Of This Case To Allow Prompt Filing And Implementation Of All Conservation Programs Except Those Is olving Electric Utility Promotion Of Natural Gas, And Separate Briefing Of The Legal Issue Raised By This Petition.

The Commission's rules governing Decisions Determining Substantial Interests are to be construed to secure the speedy and inexpensive determination of every proceeding. Rule 25-22.025. Florida Power submits that the best way to carry out this mandate is to bifurcate this case such that filing and approval of all conservation programs except those related to electric utility promotion of natural gas use proceed on the current schedule, and the legal issue raised by this petition be considered on an independent timetable in a separate docket.

While under this rule the Commission usually conducts full hearings, which include the filing of testimony and cross-examination, such an approach is unnecessary when Florida Power does not dispute any issues of material fact in this case. We concede that there are conservation programs encuraging the use of natural gas which may be cost effective to Florida Power. There is no reason to convene a fact-finding hearing to decide whether that is the case. The parties simply should proceed directly to legal briefing of the single issue discussed above. Such an abbreviated method of dealing with challenges to decisions affecting substantial interests is provided for in Fla. Stat.

§ 120.57(2)2, which gives affected persons an opportunity to submit

"a written statement challenging the grounds upon which the agency has chosen to justify its action or inaction."

Bifurcation is the most logical and most efficient way to proceed with this case. The alternative route—keeping this docket together for the sake of a dispute over one issue—will unnecessarily delay the filing and implementation of non-gas-related conservation programs. These programs are not only unopposed, but indeed are heartily supported by the Commission, the staff, and all parties. Further, any approach which delays conservation programs, the implementation of which the legislature in § 366.81 of FEECA deemed "critical," would hasten the need for the next plant addition in Florida. This idea is also diametrically opposed to the Commission's conservation goals contained in Rule 25-17.001.

The current Case Assignment And Scheduling Record (CASR) calls for post-hearing briefs on April 2, 1990. As no hearing is necessary, briefing can occur much earlier than originally scheduled. Florida Power proposes that briefs be due within 30 days of the Commission's order on this petition. Assuming that the Commission takes a full 15 days to act on this petition, until December 20, 1989, briefs can be filed on January 19, 1990, 73 days earlier than the currently established briefing date. Thus, Florida Power's request for a hearing does not engender delay, but in fact would accelerate the Commission's current hearing schedule.

Florida Power is well aware of the Commission's interest in moving forward expeditiously with the implementation of new

conservation programs. The Novem r 14 order calls for these programs to be submitted within 90 days, on February 12, 1990. Florida Power is willing and able to meet this deadline with respect to all programs that do not involve the promotion of gas. Florida Power intends to continue to be a leader in utility conservation efforts in Florida, and plans to file numerous new conservation programs on February 12.

If the Commission is unwilling to bifurcate this case, in the alternative, Florida Power requests that this entire docket be set for hearing, which hearing should consist solely of briefing of the legal issue raised by this petition.

WHEREFORE, for all of the reasons discussed above, Florida Power respectfully petitions the Commission to bifurcate this case and set for briefing the issue of the lawfulness of the Commission's directive that electric utilities develop conservation programs to ercourage the use of natural gas.

Dated this 5th day of December, 1989.

Respectfully Submitted,

OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION

JAMES P. FAMA Corporate Counsel

P.O. Box 14042

St. Petersburg, FL 33733 813/866-5786

311-1622-89

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

Division of the second

A bill to be entitled An act relating to the regulation of public utilities; amending s. 366.02, F.S.; revising the definition of the term "public utility"; correcting the definition for the term "commission"; amending s. 366.04, F.S.; revising the jurisdiction of the Florida Public Service Commission with respect to the sale and issuance of securities by public utilities; giving the commission jurisdiction over the assumption by a public utility of liabilities or obligations as quarantor, endorser, or surety; expanding commission jurisdiction with respect to territorial agreements and disputes; defining a territorial dispute; amending s. 366.05, P.S.; revising the commission's authority to address inadequacies in the energy orid; authorizing the commission to require necessary reports from utilities and their affiliated companies; creating s. 366.051, RECEIVED P.S.; setting forth the rights and obligations NOV 30 1989 of utilities and the jurisdiction of the ! CGAL commission regarding the sals, purchase, and DEPT. transmission of power produced by cogenerators or small power producers; amending s. 366.07, P.S.; requiring the commission to investigate the earnings of a public utility under certain circumstances; amending s. 366.072, F.S., relating to rate adjustment orders; revising a cross-reference; amending s. 366.093, F.S.;

1

expanding the commission's access to records;

meet the complex problems of sducing and controlling the growth rates of electric consumption and reducing the growth rates of weather-sensitive peak demand; increasing the overall efficiency and cost-effectiveness of electricity and natural gas production and use; encouraging further development of waste-heat conservation facilities; and conserving expensive resources, particularly petroleum fuels.

Section 11. Subsections (1), (2), (3), and (5) of section 366.82, Florida Statutes, are amended to read:

366.82 Definition; goals; plans; programs; annual reports; energy audits.--

- "utility" means any person or entity of whatever form which provides electricity or natural gas at retail to the public, specifically including municipalities or instrumentalities thereof and cooperatives organized under the Rural Electric Cooperative Law and specifically excluding any person or entity providing natural gas at retail to the public whose annual sales volume is less than 100 million therms or any person or entity providing electricity at retail to the public whose annual sales to end-use customers is less than 500 gigawatt-hours.
- (2) The commission shall adopt appropriate goals for increasing the efficiency of energy generation and consumption, specifically including goals designed to increase the conservation of expensive resources, such as petroleum fuels, and to reduce and control the growth rates of electric consumption, and to reduce the growth rates especially of weather-sensitive peak demand. The Executive Office of the Governor shall be a party in the proceedings to adopt goals. The initial-goals-shall-be-adopted-no-later-than-September-ly

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

13

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

1 1988y-for-the-succeeding-5-ye. e-period: The commission may change the initial goals for reasonable cause and may reset the time period for accomplishing the goals. After the programs and plans to meet those goals are completed, the commission shall determine what whether further goals, programs, or plans are warranted and, if so, shall adopt them,

(3) Pollowing adoption of goals pursuant to subsection (2), the commission shall require each utility to develop plans and programs a-plan to meet the overall goals within its service area. If any plan or program includes loans, collection of loans, or similar banking functions by a utility and the plan is approved by the commission, the utility shall perform such functions, notwithstanding any other provision of the law. The commission may pledge up to \$5,000,000 of the Plorida Public Service Regulatory Trust Fund to guarantee such loans. However, no utility shall be required to loan its funds for the purpose of purchasing or otherwise acquiring conservation measures or devices, but nothing herein shall prohibit or impair the administration or implementation of a utility plan as submitted by a utility and approved by the commission under this subsection. Plans-to-meet-the-initial goals-mast-be-submitted-to-the-commission-no-later-than November-ly-1988---The-commission-shall-approve-or-disapprove each-plan-no-later-than-December-ly-1980v If the commission disapproves a plan, it shal' specify the reasons for disapproval, and the utility whose plan is disapproved shall resubmit its modified plan within 30 days. Bech-plan-shalf commence-denuery-ir-1981: Prior approval by the commission shall be required to modify or discontinue a plan, or part thereof, which has been approved. If any utility has not implemented its programs plan and is not substantially in

2 [

3 |

5

б

7

₿

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

compliance with the provisions of its approved plan at any time after-danuary-ly-1982, then the commission shall adopt programs a-program-which-will-be required for that utility to achieve the overall goals. Utility programs, which may include, but are not limited to, increasing the use of natural gas to reduce electric demands when such use of natural gas provides net benefits to both the electric consumers and the natural gas consumers, variations in rate design, load control, cogeneration, residential energy conservation subsidy, or any other measure within the jurisdiction of the commission which the commission finds likely to be effective; this provision shall not be construed to preclude these measures in any plan or program.

(5) By-January-17-1981, The commission shall require each utility to offer, or to contract to offer, energy audits to its residential customers. This requirement need not be uniform, but may be based on such factors as level of usage, geographic location, or any other reasonable criterion, so long as all eligible customers are notified by-April-17-1981. The commission may extend this requirement to some or all commercial customers if-such-audits-are-required-pursuant-to federal-law. The commission shall set the charge for audits by rule, not to exceed the actual cost, and may describe by rule the general form and content of an audit. In the event one utility contracts with another utility to perform audits for it, the utility for which the audits are performed shall pay the contracting utility the reasonable cost of performing the audits. Each utility over which the commission has ratesetting authority shall estimate its costs and revenues for audits, conservation programs, and implementation of its 31 plan for the immediately following 6-month period. Reasonable

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

where the second conjugate is a transmission of λ . The algorithms to

In re: Implementation of)
§ 366.80-.85, Florida Statutes)
Conservation Activities Of)
Electric and Natural Gas)
Utilities

Docket No. 890737-PU

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Ray Maxwell
Reedy Creek Improvement Dist.
P.O. Box 10170
Lake Buena Vista, FL 32830

Ms. Ann Carlin, Esquire
Gainesville Regional Utilities
700 S.E. 3rd Street, Room 100
P.O. Box 490, Station 52
Gainesville, FL 32602

Frederick M. Bryant, Esquire William J. Peebles, Esquire Attorneys for Fla. Municipal Power Agency
P.O. Box 1169
Tallahassee, FL 32302

Matthew Childs, Esquire 215 South Monroe First Florida Bank Building Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1804

Florida Keys Electric Coop. Attn: Charles A. Russell P.O. Box 377 Tavernier, FL 33070

Cogeneration Program Manager Governor's Energy Office 301 Bryant Building Tallahassee, FL 32301

Florida Rural Electric Coop.
Attn: John Horne
P.O. Box 590
Tallahassee, FL 32302

a come a la comitación de la comitación de

Mike Peacock
Fla. Public Utilities Co.
P.O. Box 610
Marianna, FL 32446

James Beasley, Esquire
Lee Willis, Esquire
Attorneys for TECO
Ausley, McMullen, McGehee
Carothers and Proctor
P.O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Edward C. Tannen, Esquire
Assistant Counsel
Jacksonville Electric
1300 City Hall
Jacksonville, FL 32202

Quincy Municipal Electric Light Department P.O. Box 941 Quincy, FL 32351

City of Chattahoochee Attn: Superintendent P.O. Drawer 188 115 Lincoln Drive Chattahoochee, FL 32324

Roy Young, Esquire P.O. Box 1833 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1833

and the second second section of the second section is a second section of

Gail P. Fels, Esquire 111 N.W. First Street Suite 2810 Miami, FL 33128-1993 Seminole Electric Coop. Attn: Gary Tipps P.O. Box 272000 Tampa, FL 33688-2000

F

Philip Barefoot P.O. Box 960 Winter Haven, FL 33882-0960

Frank C. Cressman, President P.O. Drawer C West Palm Beach, FL 33402

J. P. Martin, President P.O. Box 69-J Miami, FL 33169

J. E. McIntyre, President 301 Maple Avenue Panama City, FL 32402

Russell B. Deegan, Jr. P.O. Box 1128
Gainesville, FL 32602

Ansley Watson, Jr., Esquire P.O. Box 1531 Tampa, FL 33601-1531

Philip Barefoot 607 South Evers Street Plant City, FL 33566

Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esquire 522 East Park Avenue - Suite :00 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Many the strong out to earlier the second of the second

Robert S. Goldman, Esquire P.O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Paul Sexton, Esquire 211 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Edi un Holland, Jr., Esq. P.O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576

Jack Shreve, Esquire
Office of the Public Counsel
c/o House of Representatives
The Capitol
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1300

John McLelland, Gen. Manager 565 State Street Sarasota, FL 33577

John H. Hickman, Chairman P.O. Box 30787 Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410

Timer Powers, President P.O. Box 8 Indiantown, FL 33456

R. M. Fleisher, Vice President 9301 S.W. 56th Street Miami, FL 33165

S. W. Langer, President 955 E. 25th Street Hialeah, FL 33013-3498

Stuart L. Shoaf, Reg. Affairs P.O. Box 549
Port St. Joe, FL 32456-0549

John T. Butler, Esquire 4000 Southeast Financial Ctr. Miami, FL 33131-2398

Richard A. Zambo, Esquire P.O. Box 856 Brandon, FL 33511

JAMES P. FAMA