BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Application of Peoples Gas System, Inc. for a rate increase. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU ORDER NO. 23481 ISSUED: 9-14-90

Pursuant to Notice, a prehearing Conference was held on September 6, 1990, before Commissioner Gerald L. Gunter, Prehearing Officer.

APPEARANCES:

ANSLEY WATSON, JR., Esquire, and JAMES W. GOODWIN, II, Esquire, MacFarlane, Ferguson, Allison & Kelly, P. O. Box 1531, Tampa, Florida 33601, on behalf of Peoples Gas System, Inc.

JOHN W. MCWHIRTER, JR., Esquire, Lawson, McWhirter, Grandoff & Reeves, 201 E. Kennedy Boulevard, Suite 800, Post Office Box 3350, Tampa, Florida 33601-3350, and

VICKI GORDON KAUFMAN, Lawson, McWhirter, Grandoff & Reeves, 522 E. Park Avenue, Suite 200, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, on behalf of Florida Industrial Gas Users.

PAUL SEXTON, Esquire, Richard A. Zambo, P.A., 211 South Gadsden Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, on behalf of Tropicana Products, Inc.

CHARLES REHWINKLE, Esquire and JOHN ROGER HOWE, Esquire, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-2400, on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

MICHAEL A. PALECKI, Esquire, Florida Public Service Commission, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0863, on behalf of the Commission Staff.

MARTHA BROWN, Esquire, Office of the General Counsel, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0861, Counsel of the Commissioners.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08230 SEP 14 ISSO

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

PREHEARING ORDER

Background

On April 12, 1990, Peoples Gas System (Peoples) filed a petition requesting authority to increase rates and charges to add revenues of \$14,283,034. That would allow Peoples to earn an overall rate of return of 10.64%, or 13.75% return on equity. The Company did not request an interim increase.

On July 3, 1990, we issued Order No. in Docket No. 891353-GU, suspending Peoples' proposed permanent rates pending the outcome of a formal hearing. This order was issued pursuant to Section 366.06(3), Florida Statutes, for the purpose of conducting further review of the data and calculations submitted by Peoples, and in order to obtain additional support and information in these proceedings.

Use of Prefiled Testimony

All testimony which has been prefiled in this case will be inserted into the record as though read after the witness has taken the stand and affirmed the correctness of the testimony and exhibits, unless there is a sustainable objection. All testimony remains subject to appropriate objections. Each witness will have the opportunity to orally summarize his testimony at the time he or she takes the stand.

Use of Depositions and Interrogatories

If any party seeks to introduce an interrogatory or a deposition, or a portion thereof, the request will be subject to proper objections and the appropriate evidentiary rules will govern. The parties will be free to utilize any exhibits requested at the time of the depositions, subject to the same conditions.

Order of Witnesses

The witness schedule is set forth below in order of appearance by the witness' name, subject matter, and the issues which will be covered by his or her testimony.

	Witness	Subject Matter Is	sues
(Di	irect)		
1.	Jack E. Uhl (PGS)	General Company information; capital expenditures; need for rate increase; capital structure; interest coverage ratios.	3, 19, 25, 72
2.	Francis J. Sivard (PGS)	Rate base, net operating income, and adjustments thereto (historic base year and projected test year); acquisition adjustment; cost of service study.	1-50, 52-59, 61-70, 72-76
3.	Dr. Roger A. Morin (PGS)	Cost of capital and return on common equity.	71, 72
4.	Daniel R. Pountney (PGS)	Sources of gas supply; risks created by open access on FGT System; adequacy of gas supply and pipeline capacity; projected therm sales and transportation; rate design; rate schedules and tariff modifications.	51, 60 76-92
5.	Dr. Alan Rosenberg (FIGU)	All rate design issues.	76-92
6.	Norris Elswick (Tropicana)	Tropicana's gas usage and fuel purchasing strategy; its past and current experience with local distribution companies; its current goals in its relationship with Peoples Gas System; and the factor leading to Tropicana's consideration of bypass.	s

1	Witness	Subject Matter	Issues
(Di	rect)		
7.	Kent D. Taylor (Tropicana)	Retention of Rate Schedule LIS for 76-88 service to Tropicana as an equit- 89-80 able means of avoiding "rate shock" and utility bypass; proposed language changes to Peoples tariffs to improve flexibility to respond to bypass and reduce non-price incentives for bypass; and the industrial management implications of utility bypass.	
8.	Avis H. Payne (OPC)	Acquisition adjustment for the purchase of Southern Gas Company.	
9.	Stephen F. Clinger (OPC)	Rate of Return.	
10.	Kathryn D. Brown (Staff)	Customer Complaint Activity.	
11.	Andrew L. Maurey (Staff)	Cost of Common equity capital and appropriate point for setting rates.	
12.	Thomas E. Stambaugh (Staff)	Staff Audit report. (need not ap agreement of parties	pear by
13.	S. Ronald Mayes (Staff)	Supplemental Staff Audit Report.	
(Re	buttal)		
14.	Jack E. Uhl (PGS)	Acquisition adjustment.	3, 19, 25, 72
15.	Francis J. Sivard (PGS)	Acquisition adjustment.	1-50, 52-59 61-70 72-76

Wit	ness		Subject Matter I	ssues
16.	Daniel R. (PGS)	Pountney	Rate schedules and tariff modifications.	51, 60 76-92
17.	Dr. Roger (PGS)	A. Morin	Cost of capital and return on common equity.	71-72

EXHIBIT LIST

EXHIBIT	Witness	Description
(JEU-1)	Uhl (Peoples)	Calculated average return on capital (9/30/91)
(JEU-2)	Uhl	Natural gas industry acquisition summary
(JEU-3)	Uhl	Ratio of Market to Book Value, Common Stock - May 31, 1990
(JEU-4)	Uhl	Propane industry acquisition summary
(FJS-1)	Sivard (Peoples)	Minimum Filing Requirements (except MFR Schedule #-9)
	Pountney (Peoples)	MFR Schedule E-9
(FJS-2)	Sivard	Revenue effect and justification for acquisition adjustment
(FJS-3)	Sivard	Comparison of customer rates - Southern Gas vs. Peoples Gas
(DRP-1)	Pountney	Effect of rate restructuring

EXHIBIT	Witness	Description
(RAM-1)	Morin (Peoples)	Resume, publications, etc.
(RAM-2)	Morin	DCF Model - Quarterly timing adjustment
(RAM-3)	Morin	Required market return - illustrative DCF calculation
(RAM-4)	Morin	Required market return and measures of risks for gas distribution utilities (5-year historical growth and analysis growth forecasts
(RAM-5)	Morin	Flotation cost allowance
(RAM-6)	Morin	Moody's gas distribution utilities risk premium analysis (1984-1989)
(RAM-7)	Morin	Peoples Gas System, Inc. composite cost of average total capital September 30-1991
(RAM-8)	Morin	Quarterly DCF model
(RAM-9)	Morin	Index of gas utilities, growth rates and expected return on equity
(RAM-10)	Morin	Summary Statistics of Annual Returns (1926-1988)
(RAM-11)	Morin	Arithmetic vs. geometric means for forecasting based on historical benchmark returns
AR-1	Rosenberg (FIGU)	Composite Exhibit

	****	B
EXHIBIT	Witness	Description
KDT-1	Taylor	Professional Credentials of Kent D. Taylor
KDT-2	Taylor	Cost per Therm of Proposed Tropicana Bypass Facility
KDT-3	Taylor	Revenue Requirement Reconciliation of Current Rate Schedule LIS to Proposed Rate Schedule ISLV-1. (Corrected 8/22/90)
KDT-4	Taylor	Proposed Language Changes to Rate Schedule CTS
AP-1	Payne (OPC)	Peoples Gas "Revenue Effect and Justification for Acquisition Adjustment"
AP-2	Payne	Peoples Gas "Transactions with Affiliated Companies" year ending 9/30/89.
AP-3	Payne	Southern Gas Company Previous Acquisitions and Intercompany Charges. Docket No. 891260-GU.
AP-4	Payne	Southern Gas Outside Professional Services
AP-5	Payne	Peoples Gas Rate Case Expense
AP-6	Payne	Peoples Gas Outside Professional Services
AP-7	Payne	Peoples Gas Outside Professional Services
AP-8	Payne	Staff Recommendation - Docket No. 891309-WS

EXHIBIT	Witness	Description
SCH. 1	Clinger (OPC)	Investment Risk Characteristics - Gas Index
SCH. 2	Clinger	Discounted Cash Flow Model
SCH. 3	Clinger	Capital Asset Pricing Model
SCH. 4	Clinger	Risk Premium Analysis
SCH. 5	Clinger	Cost of Equity - Gas Index
SCH. 6	Clinger	Market-to-Book Ratio Analysis
SCH. 7	Clinger	Bond Yields
SCH. 8	Clinger	Key to Moody's Corporate Bond Ratings
KDB-1	Brown (Staff)	Logged complaints charts
KDB-2	Brown	Complaint Rate by Type
KDB-3	Brown	Gas Utility Complaints
ALM-1	Maurey (Staff)	Interest and Inflation Rates Stock Market Performance
ALM-2	Maurey	Moody's Natural Gas Distribution Index Investment Risk Characteristics

EXHIBIT	Witness	Description
ALM-3	Maurey	DCF Model Equation
ALM-4	Maurey	Non-Constant Growth Annual Compounded DCF Model
ALM-5	Maurey	Estimated Monthly Risk Premiums
ALM-6	Maurey	DCF Analysis, Moody's Natural Gas Distribution Index
ALM-7	Maurey	Estimated Monthly Risk Premiums
ALM-8	Maurey	Risk Premium Analysis
ALM-9	Maurey	Peoples Gas, Therm Sales and Revenues
ALM-10	Maurey	Moody's Natural Gas Distribution Index Revenue Breakdown
ALM-11	Maurey	Bond Yield Differentials
TES-1	Stambaugh (Staff)	Staff audit report of Peoples Gas System, Inc. for 12 months ending 9/30/89.
SRM-1	Mayes (Staff)	Staff audit report of Peoples Gas System, Inc. for year ending 9/30/89.
Staff-1	(Staff)	Deposition Transcript of Francis J. Sivard, Pgs. 29, 32-33
Staff-2	(Staff)	Staff Interrogatories-75, 78, 79 (F.J. Sivard)

EXHIBIT	Witness	Description	
Staff-3	(Staff)	Deposition Exhibits 8, 15, 16 (F.J. Sivard)	
Staff-4	(Staff)	Staff Interrogatories Nos. 54,	63

Parties Statements of Basic Positions

STAFF: Peoples Gas System, Inc. has not sufficiently supported its request for an increase in revenues of \$14,283,034. It has supported an increase of \$6,993,662. Staff has not taken a position on several policy issues, pending the testimony of parties at hearing.

<u>PEOPLES</u>: The present rates and charges of the Company are insufficient to yield reasonable compensation for the services which it provides. The Commission should approve new rates developed in accordance with the method used in Attachment 6, so as to permit the Company to recover an additional revenue requirement of \$9,392,000, such additional revenue requirement being based on an overall rate of return of 10.56% (within a range of 10.14% to 10.98%) on the projected September 30, 1991 adjusted average net investment rate base of \$192,033,000.

FIGU: FIGU recommends setting rates based upon cost of service. It identifies a mistake in the Peoples Gas System cost of service study and derives a proper revenue allocation based upon the amended cost of service study.

The FIGU testimony also addresses open access transportation on the Peoples Gas System. FIGU explains why open access is beneficial to the system and recommends certain tariff modifications that will eliminate unnecessary barriers to a workable and attractive transportation program. (Rosenberg)

TROPICANA: For many years, Tropicana was a major customer of Southern Gas, which was recently purchased by Peoples Gas. Peoples Gas is now proposing a 170% increase in Tropicana's non-gas energy charge, which, if accepted by the Commission, will result in a substantial rate shock to Tropicana and will jeopardize Tropicana's willingness to accept service from Peoples Gas. Tropicana is currently being served under Rate Schedule LIS and should remain under that rate schedule as a matter of fairness and to avoid jeopardizing utility service. Additionally, language changes should be made to Rate Schedules LIS, CIS and CTS and other transportation schedules to provide Peoples Gas with the flexibility to negotiate rates to preserve utility service and to eliminate non-price disincentives to continued service.

OPC: Citizen's basic position is that Peoples Gas Systems, Inc. should be allowed the opportunity to earn a reasonable return on and of its reasonable, and prudently incurred investment and operating expenses, that are necessary to provide reliable service to its customers.

POSITIONS OF PARTIES

2. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should adjustments be made to Account 392.03 Aircraft and its related Accumulated Depreciation to allocate additional non-utility related plant?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: No. The company is unwilling to agree to any additional allocation as proposed by Staff. Staff's methodology results in an unrealistic and unreasonable allocation. (Sivard)

OPC: Accept Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. Account 392.03 should be reduced by \$326,781, and its related Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by \$121,319 to allocate additional non-utility related plant.

3. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should the Company's proposed acquisition adjustment of \$2,253,000 in the projected test year rate base be accepted?

POSITIONS:

<u>PEOPLES</u>: Yes. The full amount of the Company's proposed acquisition adjustment should be approved because of the savings and benefits which will accrue to the former Southern Gas ratepayers as a result of People's acquisition of Southern's gas distribution system. (Sivard, Uhl)

OPC: No. The Company has not shown or demonstrated extraordinary circumstances that would warrant the recovery of an acquisition adjustment. A mere showing of decreases in certain costs does not demonstrate extraordinary circumstances. The acquisition adjustment and related amortization should be disallowed.

STAFF: No position at this time pending further discovery at the Hearing Conference. For the preliminary purpose of calculating the rate base, Staff has not adjusted the Company's acquisition adjustment amount.

4. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should Account 374, Land be reduced in the projected test year to reflect the original cost of a parking lot which was purchased during the acquisition of Southern Gas Company?

POSITIONS:

<u>PEOPLES</u>: The Company does not oppose Staff's adjustment. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. Account 374, Land should be reduced by \$98,756 in the projected test year to reflect the original in-service cost of a parking lot located in Sarasota.

15. <u>ISSUE</u>: Has the purchase of the natural gas assets of Southern Gas been booked by Peoples at the appropriate costs and

reserve amounts to plant-in-service and accumulated depreciation?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes. (Sivard)

OPC: As adjusted by issues 4, 8, 13, and 21. (It may be appropriate to delete this issue).

STAFF: Yes. As adjusted by Issues 4, 8, 13, and 21

6. <u>ISSUE</u>: What it the appropriate amount of projected test year Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: \$104,600,000. (Sivard)

OPC: \$104,389,532.

STAFF: The appropriate amount of projected test year Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization is \$104,479,663. (This number does not include Customer Advances for Construction in the amount of \$1,002,000 which would be included in Total Deductions on Attachment 1.) This amount will depend on Staff's position on Issue 3.

19. ISSUE: Should "Other Investments" in the amount of \$2,035,000 be included in the projected year working capita.?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes. Both the asset and related accrued liability should be included in working capital. (Uhl, Sivard)

OPC: No. This amount represents life insurance and supplemental retirement for certain selective executives. Working capital should be reduced by \$2,035,000 and pension

and benefit reserve should be reduced by \$1,592,000 to remove all effects from rate base.

STAFF: Yes.

29. <u>ISSUE</u>: What is the appropriate amount of projected test year working capital allowance? (This is a calculation based on the resolution of the preceding working capital issues.)

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Agrees with Staff. (Sivard)

OPC: Working Capital - \$10,448,780.

STAFF: The appropriate amount of projected test year working capital allowance is \$10,891,780.

30. <u>ISSUE</u>: What is the appropriate rate base to be used for the projected test year ended September 30, 1991? (This is a calculation based on the resolution of the preceding rate base issues.)

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: \$192,033,000. (Sivard)

OPC: Ratebase - \$189,221,666.

STAFF:

COMPARATIVE RATE BASE

Projected Test Year Ending 9/30/91

Company
As Filed Staff

Utility Plant-In-Service Acquisition Adjustment Accumulated Depreciation \$286,913,000 \$277,727,418 4,240,000 4,240,000

and Amortization	106,134,000	104,479,663
Net Utility Plant-In-Service	185,019,000	177,487,755
Construction Work-In-Progress	4,450,000	4,450,000
Customer Advances for		
Construction	1,002,000	1,002,000
Net Utility Plant	188,467,000	180,935,755
	Company As Filed	Staff
Working Capital Allowance	15,251,000	10,891,780
Total Rate Base	\$203,718,000	\$191,827,535

32. <u>ISSUE</u>: What are the appropriate trend factors to be used in deriving the projected test year operating and maintenance expenses?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Does not oppose staff's adjustments. (Sivard)

OPC: Citizens agree with Staff.

STAFF: The appropriate trend factors to be used to derive the projected test year operating and maintenance expenses are as follows:

FACTOR	HBY + 1	PTY
Payroll Only	4.35%	4.19%
Payroll x Customer Growth	4.89%	4.73%
Customer Growth x Inflation	5.45%	4.84%
Inflation Only	4.90%	4.30%

By applying these factors, the following adjustments are required:

PTY AMOUNT
<pre>\$ 273,760 decrease in expense \$ 13,185 decrease in expense \$ 9,648 increase in expense</pre>

41. <u>ISSUE:</u> Should the projected test year expenses be reduced by \$73,388 to remove legal expenses incurred to represent the Company in Sunset Legislation?

POSITIONS:

<u>PEOPLES:</u> No. The staff's proposed adjustment results in total legal expenses which are unrealistic. Legal expense after making this adjustment is less than the Company's actual legal expense for the first nine months of the base year plus 1. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year expenses should be reduced by \$73,388 to eliminate this non-recurring item.

47. <u>ISSUE:</u> Should an adjustment be made to eliminate the projected test year employee activity costs?

POSITIONS:

<u>PEOPLES:</u> No. The \$125,088 adjustment proposed by staff relates primarily to the appreciation dinners and safety picnics for all employees which the company considers to be a form of non-cash compensation. (Sivard)

OPC: Agree with Staff.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year expenses should be reduced by \$125,088 to eliminate employee activity costs.

49. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should pension expense be adjusted for the projected test year 1991?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Agrees with Staff. (Sivard)

OPC: Citizens accept Staff adjustments.

STAFF: Yes. pension expense should be decreased by \$100,324 and working capital should be increased by \$50,162.

50. <u>ISSUE</u>: What is the appropriate amount of rate case expense to be included in the projected year?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: \$94,063. (Sivard)

OPC: Agree with Staff.

STAFF: The appropriate amount of rate case expense to be included in the projected test year \$94,063 as filed by the Company.

51. <u>ISSUE</u>: What is the appropriate amount of AGA dues to be included in the projected test year?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: It is Peoples' position that AGA dues in the amount of \$168,978.00 are appropriately included in the projected test year. The Staff has taken the position that 42.6% of the AGA dues paid by the Company are allocated by the AGA to Conservation, Customer Eduction, Promotion of Consumption, Institutional and Environmental Advertising as well as AGA Lobbying efforts that should not be allowed for purposes of cost recovery. Peoples does not agree with this Staff position.

The American Gas Association (AGA) has proposed a 1991 advertising budget of \$11 million supported in total by member dues. Of this total AGA has requested approval for \$4 million to be spent toward an environmental campaign designed to promote natural gas as part of an energy solution designed to promote natural gas as an environmentally safe energy replacement source.

In order to expand these dollars AGA has solicited major manufacturers to provide cooperative dollars over and above

the \$11 million to provide additional advertising of new technology designed to promote energy efficiency and conservation. AGA's position is supported by NARUC, since the major objectives of AGA's new campaign are (1) to increase the public's preference for gas when involved in personal decisions on energy for homes, commercial use and for industry, and (2) to encourage the public to philosophically endorse a national energy policy favoring increased use of natural gas. The campaign will consist of print media directed at thought leaders and upscale consumers using magazines and periodicals such as the "Wall Street Journal", "Business Week", "Fortune", "U.S. News", "Smithsonian", etc. and television where the ads will appear on major sporting events, new broadcasts and other prime time viewing.

The themes of the three ads that have been produced for television are directed at industrial air pollution, natural gas for power generation, and the need for natural gas vehicles. A copy of each of these ads is available on video should the Commission be willing to view them.

The Staff's proposed reduction is based on a 1989 NARUC study of AGA expenditures funded by member dues for the year ended December 31, 1988. The total of such expenditure in 1988 was \$27,091,581.00.

It is Staff's position that there was \$11,553,783.00 included in these 1988 expenditures that should not be included in costs for rate determination purposes. The \$11,553,783.00 was made up of the following:

Advertising:

Energy Conservation	\$ 1,297,096.00
Customer Education	\$ 8,806,256.00
Promote Gas Use	\$ 621,909.00
Institutional	\$ 140,902.00
Environmental	\$ 493,274.00
Lobbying	\$ 194,301.00
	\$11,553,738.00 = 42.6%
	\$27,091,581.00

The 1991 AGA planned budget is not finalized, but it is anticipated a similar total dollar amount will be allocated differently to provide an advertising thrust toward showing natural gas as the domestic environmentally sound energy alternative to foreign oil consumption - which is a message in keeping with the national energy policy.

Peoples believes that the membership dues paid AGA make a significant contribution toward energy conservation and customer education and are appropriately recoverable in rates in this rate case as they have been in prior rate cases.

The Florida Commission would be unique in a disallowance of over 40% of AGA dues when compared to other states over the past 3 years. During that period, when the issue was considered in California, Connecticut, Georgia, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania and Wisconsin, the highest percentage of dues disallowed was 13.85%. (Pountney)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: The appropriate amount of AGA dues to be included in the projected test year is \$94,440. A reduction of \$74,538 should be made to the projected test year operating expenses.

58. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should an adjustment be made to sales promotion expenses to remove chamber of commerce dues, expenditures on community activities, posting errors, and various non-utility advertising and promotional expenditures?

POSITIONS:

<u>PEOPLES:</u> Yes. AN Adjustment should be made reducing sales promotion expenses by \$167,247. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. An adjustment should be made reducing sales promotion expenses by \$210,340 for the following expenses:

Tampa Bay Buck Tickets	\$ 1,092
Non-utility Advertising & Promo Expenditures	183,214
Chamber of Commerce Dues	14,638
Community Activities	3,397
Posting Errors	2,761
Booth and Sign at Fair	3,141
Civic Dues	2,097
Total Adjustment	\$ 210,340

60. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should there be an adjustment to Account 913 removing \$400,000 for conservation and image enhancing advertising?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: No. It is Peoples' position that if the natural gas industry is to make a significant impact on Florida's future energy requirements it will need to speak to the environmental, safety, availability and advantages of natural gas through advertising. Because of this Peoples has included advertising dollars in the projected test year that would assist the Company to attach new high priority customers to its system. It is intended that the advertising dollars be spent to promote consumer awareness of natural gas as an energy alternative and to provide educational information about the use and availability of natural gas. It is further intended that this budget would provide the vehicle for the delivery of safety and positive environmental information about natural gas.

The extreme cold weather surrounding the 1989 Christmas Holiday weekend, which caused severe electrical production shortfall throughout Peninsula Florida, also pointed to the void of alternatives available to customers. In response to the '89 holiday electric energy shortfall, Governor Bob Martinez said "there's just a lot of things you can do, a lot needs to be done to work with the public." PSC Chairman Michael Wilson added "...residents need to be told to conserve energy" (Miami Herald report 1/16/90). Joe Jenkins, PSC Director of Electric and Gas Division, commenting on the PSC's leadership, noted it "will encourage greater use of alternatives to electricity" (Tampa Tribune 12/26/89).

Bob Trapp, Assistant Director of Electric and Gas Division, indicated "In the coming weeks, the PSC staff plans to examine what went wrong, how to improve customer notification and whether Florida should reduce its dependence on electric heat by encouraging more widespread use of natural gas." He also observed there is a need for "better education of the public..." (St. Petersburg Times 12/31/89). The Orlando Sentinel on 1/4/90 observed that "the (electric) utilities said the only way to prevent such severe power outages is to inform customers to conserve."

The Florida House of Representatives Speaker's Task Force on Emergency Preparedness' final report, April 1990, also recognizes the need to increase public awareness. The first recommendation of the Task Force's Subcommittee on Public Awareness and Education is that "a comprehensive public awareness and educating program should be statutorily created and funded." Additionally, the Florida Electrical Emergency Contingency Plan prepared in response to the PSC's request places high priority on communication with the public throughout the plan.

Because natural gas is a logical energy alternative for space conditioning and water heating, we believe that new efforts should be made to encourage the use of natural gas within the framework of energy conservation programs as well as outside of that framework. We believe that such advertising, if conducted within specific guidelines, whereby we (i) identify the problem of energy alternative selection, (ii) offer solutions to the problem, (iii) provide direction on where the solutions can be found, and (iv) make certain that such advertising is primarily for consumer awareness, education, safety and environmental, would be cost effective for Florida.

It is vital that natural gas play a more active role in Florida's energy future. Modern gas appliances are extremely efficient -- and the gas requirements for space and water conditioning are very low in Florida -- making feasibility for such growth marginal. By providing consumer awareness/educational advertising, Peoples would be positioned to play a more significant role in meeting Florida's future energy requirements.

Peoples' position is supported by the timely and relevant comments of Edward F. Burke, former President of NARUC, which appeared in the June 7, 1990, issue of "Public Utilities Fortnightly" at pages 16-20 (see Answer to Interrogatory No. 134, Staff's 4th Set, pages 3-7).

The historic regulatory objection to allowing base rate recovery of gas utility advertising is based on the concept that the gas utility is a pure monopoly and that ratepayers pay a cost (advertising) with no associated benefit. However;

- --The natural gas industry must compete against an alternate fuel at every level residential, commercial and industrial. It is not a "pure monopoly".
- -- There are 12 million electric customers in Florida compared to 500,000 natural gas customers.
- --The electric industry spends almost 5 times more than the gas industry to advertise on a national basis and in Florida it spends 9 times more than the gas industry.
- --Florida does not have excess electric generation capacity and needs alternate energy opportunities.
- --Present Energy Conservation Advertising guidelines are now restrictive and lack flexibility that would allow messages designed to attract new gas users.
- -- The addition of new gas users benefits all Florida ratepayers. (Pountney)
- OPC: Yes. The base year expenses include amounts for conservation awareness and educational advertising. The Company's request of an additional \$400,000 over and above the historical expenses actually incurred and trended to the projected test year should be disallowed.
- STAFF: Yes. The Company's request for an additional \$400,000 over historic base year expenditures to be used for additional conservation, promotional, educational, and image enhancing advertising should be denied.

63. <u>ISSUE</u>: What is the appropriate amount of the projected test year depreciation and amortization expense?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Agree with Staff. (Sivard)

OPC: Depreciation and amortization - \$13,681,680.

STAFF: The appropriate amount of the projected test year depreciation and amortization expense is \$13,771,811. This amount will depend on Staff's position on Issue 3.

66. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should adjustments be made to current income taxes, interest reconciliation, and the parent debt adjustment for the effect of changes to the projected test year net operating income and capital structure?

POSITIONS:

<u>PEOPLES:</u> Yes. The following adjustments should be made for the effect of changes to the projected test year operating income and capital structure:

Current Income Tax Expense:		
State Income Tax	\$119,000	increase
Federal Income Tax	696,000	increase
Interest Reconciliation	116,000	increase
Parent Debt Adjustment	267,465	decrease
Total Adjustments	\$663,535	increase
and the state of t	(Siva	ard)

OPC: Yes. The following adjustments should be made:
Current Income Tax \$1,114,542
Interest Reconciliation \$ (197,000)
Parent Debt Adjustment \$ (238,000)

STAFF: Yes. The following adjustments should be made for the effect of changes to the projected test year operating income and capital structure:

Current Income Tax Expense:

State Income Tax \$156,875 increase Federal Income Tax

Interest Reconciliation Parent Debt Adjustment

916,437 increase 46,691 decrease 267,465 decrease

Total Adjustments

\$759,156 increase

ISSUE: What is the appropriate amount of income tax expense to be included in the projected test year?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: \$2,278,000. (Sivard)

OPC: Income Tax Expense - \$2,293,542.

STAFF: \$2,373,156

ISSUE: What is the appropriate amount of the projected test 68. year net operating income?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: \$14,454,000. (Sivard)

OPC: NOI - \$15,233,302.

STAFF: \$15,075,523.

ISSUE: What is the appropriate cost of common equity to be used to calculate the projected test year overall cost of capital?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: 13.75%. (Morin)

OPC: 11.60%.

STAFF: The appropriate cost of equity to be used in calculating the projected test year overall cost of capital is 12.80%.

72. <u>ISSUE</u>: What is the weighted average cost of capital including the proper components, amounts, and cost rates associated with the capital structure for the projected test year ending September 30, 1991?

POSITIONS:

<u>PEOPLES:</u> 10.56%. The reconciliation of the capital structure to the rate base should be done on a pro-rata basis except for Investment Tax Credits which should reduce Equity and Sinking Fund payments and Unamortized D.D.&E. which should reduce Long Term Debt. (See Witnesses, also see Attachment A for Chart)

OPC: 9.60%.

STAFF: The weighted average cost of capital for the projected test year ending September 30, 1991, is 10.12%.

74. <u>ISSUE</u>: What is the appropriate amount of the projected test year deficiency?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: \$9,392,000. (Sivard)

OPC: Revenue Deficiency - \$4,788,541.

STAFF: The appropriate amount of the projected test year deficiency is \$6,993,662.

76. <u>ISSUE</u>: What is the appropriate cost of service methodology to be used in allocating costs to the various rate classes?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts staff's position. (Sivard)

TROPICANA: The cost of service methodology should utilize direct assignment of costs whenever possible and use the peak and average method where direct assignment is not feasible. (Taylor)

FIGU: All specific costs that can be directly attributable to identifiable customers or customer classes should be directly assigned. All joint investment should be allocated on the underlying principle of cost-causation. Where possible, generally-accepted conventional methods of cost allocation should be utilized. Operation and maintenance expenses and depreciation expenses should be allocated on the "cost follows plant" principle. (Rosenberg)

OPC: No position.

STAFF: Staff's cost of service study as modified for Peoples Gas System.

77. ISSUE: Should the Company's proposed revenue requirement allocation be approved?

POSITIONS:

<u>PEOPLES:</u> No. Revenue requirements have changed due to prior adjustments. The revenue requirements should be allocated by the method used in Attachment 6. (Pountney)

TROPICANA: No. (Taylor)

FIGU: No. The proposed revenue requirement should be alocated with the objective of bring all classes to their cost of service as measured by the appropriate cost-of-service study. The corrected cost-of-service study sponsored by FIGU witness Rosenberg, as well as the study put forward by Staff (Attachment 6), indicates that the small interruptible and interruptible classes are well above cost of service at current rates and are consequently subsizing other customers. The current rates for these classes should be decreased. (Rosenberg)

OPC: No position.

> STAFF: No. Revenue requirements have changed due to prior adjustments by staff. The revenue requirements should be allocated as shown in Attachment 6.

Should the Company's proposed restructured rate 78. ISSUE: schedule classification be approved?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Agrees with staff's position. (Pountney)

TROPICANA: No. Rate schedule LIS should be retained. charges under this schedule most properly recognize Tropicana's cost occurrence behavior and will help preserve utility service to Tropicana. Further, there is no need to establish separate rate schedules for the ISLV customers. (Taylor).

FIGU: FIGU has no objections to the Company's proposed rate classifications. However, customers with multiple locations should be allowed to aggregate usage requirements for purposes of rate classification eligibility. (Rosenberg)

OPC: No position.

STAFF: Yes. However, the names of the rate schedules should be as follows:

FROM

TO

Residential General Service Firm Industrial Wholesale Small Interruptible Interruptible Interruptible Large Volume 1 Interruptible Large Volume Interruptible Large Volume 2

Residential General Service General Service Large Volume General Service Large Volume 1 General Service Large Volume 2 Wholesale Small Interruptible Interruptible

79. <u>ISSUE</u>: What should the rates and charges be for Peoples Gas System?

POSITIONS:

<u>PEOPLES:</u> Rates and charges should be those resulting from the approved total revenue requirement, based on the method used in Attachment 6. (Pountney)

TROPICANA: The rates for Tropicana should be those currently specified in Rate Schedule LIS. (Taylor)

FIGU: Rates and charges should be those resulting from the approved total revenue requirement of Peoples in the proposed revenue requirement allocation as outlined in Issue 77. Based on Mr. Rosenberg's cost-of-service study, the following are the maximum rates that should be approved:

Small		Large	
Interruptible		Interruptible	
Customer Charge	\$150.00	\$225.00	
Per Therm Charge	\$0.03276	\$0.02390	

OPC: No position.

STAFF: The rates shown on Attachment 6.

80. <u>ISSUE</u>: How should the revenue deficiency be allocated between customer classes?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Agree with Staff. (Pountney)

TROPICANA: No position at this time. (Taylor)

OPC: No position.

FIGU: No. The proposed revenue requirement should be allocated with the objective of bringing all classes to their

cost of service as measured by the appropriate cost-of-service study. The corrected cost-of-service study sponsored by FIGU witness Rosenberg, as well as the study put forward by Staff (Attachment 6), indicates that the small interruptible and interruptible classes are well above cost of service at current rates and are consequently subsidizing other customers. The current rates for these classes should be decreased. (Rosenberg)

STAFF: The revenue increase should be allocated between rate classes so as to move toward equal rates of return for all classes as much as possible.

81. <u>ISSUE</u>: What are the billing determinants to be used in the projected test year?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Agrees with staff. (Pountney)

TROPICANA: In the case of Tropicana Products, 57,000,000 therms per year. (Taylor)

FIGU: Those that are most representative of forecast usage for the projected test year. (Rosenberg)

OPC: No position.

STAFF: As used in Attachment 6.

STAFF: No.

83. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should the Company's proposed transportation rate schedules be approved?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes, with the following modifications:

A. The definition of the "currently applicable firm rate" on Sheet No. 7.804 (Rate Schedule CTS) should be modified to read:

"The 'currently applicable firm rate' as used herein means the non-gas energy charge prescribed in the otherwise applicable firm rate schedule, adjusted pursuant to the Competitive Rate Adjustment Clause set forth in Sheets Nos. 7.164 and 7.105."

- B. "Bypass opportunities" should be added to the list of competitive conditions (on Sheet No. 7.804) to be used by the Company in determining the dollar amount of the transportation charge under Rate Schedule CTS.
- C. Language on all transportation rate schedules, making the rates in such schedules subject to the Energy Conservation Cost Recovery Adjustment Clause, should be deleted pursuant to the Commission's decision on August 23, 1990 in Docket No. 900002-EG, exempting interruptible customers from energy conservation cost recovery charges.
- D. Language requiring the customer to have available and ready for use a suitable alternate fuel should be deleted from all transportation rate schedules (See Issue 82).
- E. Special Condition 5 in Rate Schedule CTS and Special Condition 4 in Rate Schedules SITA, ITS and ITSLV, should be changed to read: The Company makes no guarantee against and assumes no liability for interruptions of service."
- F. The provisions of Special Condition 10 in Rate Schedule CTS, and Special Condition 9 in Rate Schedules SITS, ITS and ITSLV, relating to nomination and scheduling of receipts and deliveries, should be modified as proposed by Tropicana.
- G. In Special Condition 15 of Rate Schedule CTS, and in Special Condition 14 of Rate Schedules SITS, ITS and ITSLV, delete the first sentence and insert the following:

"As between Company and Customer, Customer shall be deemed to be in control and

> possession of the gas until it have been delivered to Company for transportation at the Receipt Point (PGS Gate Station). shall thereupon be deemed to be in control and possession of the gas until the gas shall have been delivered to Customer at the Delivery Point (Customer's Meter), after which Customer be deemed to be in control shall have possession. Customer responsibility with respect to any gas after has been delivered to Company at the Receipt Point (PGS Gate Station) on account of anything which may be done, happen or arise with respect to said gas, until said gas is delivered to Customer at the Delivery Point (Customer's Meter). Company shall have no responsibility with respect to said gas prior to its delivery to Company at the Receipt (PGS Gate Station), or after its delivery to Customer at the Delivery Point (Customer's Meter), or on account of anything which may be done, happen or arise with respect to said gas prior to such receipt or after such delivery."

H. Delete Special Condition 17 from both Rate Schedule ITSLV and Rate Schedule ISLV. (Pountney)

TROPICANA: Not as filed. See Issues 84, 85, 88 and 91. (Taylor)

FIGU: Yes. The company's proposed transportation rates should be approved with the modifications suggested by FIGU relating to pricing for overtenders (Issue 84), balancing provisions (Issue 85), penalties for customer imbalances (Issue 91), and the establishment of standby charges (Issue 92).

OPC: No position at this time.

STAFF: Yes.

84. ISSUE: How should Peoples establish pricing for overtenders?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: The Company's proposed transportation tariffs provide, among other things, that actual daily receipts of natural gas by the Company for transportation (less retainage for transportation shrinkage) shall equal actual daily deliveries by the Company to the Customer. Difference between actual receipts and actual deliveries are considered to be "imbalances." As proposed by the Company, the Rate Schedule provides that when imbalances result from undertenders (because monthly deliveries exceed monthly receipts), the excess deliveries will be deemed to have been purchased from Peoples under the otherwise applicable sales rate schedule. When imbalances result from overtenders (actual receipts exceed actual deliveries for the month) by more than 5% of deliveries, the excess receipts will be deemed to have been purchased by the Company at the lowest of three possible prices.

Intervenors have suggested that imbalances resulting from both undertenders and overtenders be permitted, not to exceed 5% of receipts or deliveries, as applicable, that the remaining imbalance in either case be carried forward to the following month, and that the as filed alternative prices payable by the Company to the customer in the event of an overtender be eliminated.

Peoples agrees with the 5% "cushion" suggested by intervenors with respect to imbalances which result from overtenders. However, imbalances which result from undertenders must be deemed to have been purchased out of Peoples' system supply at the applicable sales rate. Permitting a monthly variance of 5% with respect to undertenders would permit customers to "hedge" their gas purchases on the FGT and Peoples systems. The FGT system is capacity constrained and neither system has storage capability or peak shaving plants. In periods of declining prices from month to month, there would be a tendency on the part of transportation customers to undertender up to 5%. If the transporting customers on the Peoples system undertendered in the aggregate by 5%, Peoples

could be placed in the position of exceeding its allocation of firm purchase capacity from FGT (under FGT Rate Schedule G).

TROPICANA: Peoples should be granted the option to either purchase the excess over 105% of actual deliveries at the customer's cost of gas or carryover any excess over 105% of actual deliveries to the following month as an imbalance and that gas will be first through the meter the following month. Tropicana's goal is to remain whole. Whether or not Peoples might enjoy some financial advantage by purchasing Tropicana's gas is irrelevant. (Taylor)

OPC: No position.

FIGU: For imbalances which result in overtenders, PGS will buy the excess (outside the tolerance level) at a price equal to the lowest commodity rate which PGS paid for other sources during that month. (Rosenberg)

STAFF: Transportation customers may experience overtenders (actual gas receipts into the pipeline exceed actual deliveries to the customer in a given month). In such circumstances, to be eligible for reimbursement by Peoples, customers should be required to provide suitable evidence of their purchase price, including third party transportation charges (contract or similar documentation).

85. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should the Company modify its transportation rate schedules to reflect more closely the balancing provisions of its tariff to that of Florida Gas Transmission Company?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes. Peoples' transportation rate schedules should be modified as indicated under Peoples' position on Issue 83. (Pountney)

TROPICANA: Yes. However, the effect of aggregation should be recognized, so that the net effect on the pipeline is recognized. (Taylor)

FIGU: Yes.

OPC: No position.

STAFF: Yes.

86. <u>ISSUE:</u> Should Peoples Gas retain the existing LIS Rate Schedule for service to Tropicana?

POSITIONS:

<u>PEOPLES:</u> No. Peoples does not believe that retention of the LIS schedule is warranted. (Pountney)

TROPICANA: Yes. Tropicana occupies a unique situation on the Bradenton/Sarasota system and retaining the LIS Rate Schedule will provide an equitable means of avoiding rate shock to Tropicana, as well as helping to preserve Tropicana's willingness to accept service from Peoples Gas. (Elswick, Taylor)

FIGU: No position.

OPC: No position.

STAFF: No, retention of the LIS schedule is not warranted. See Issue 78 for proposed schedules.

87. <u>ISSUE:</u> Should the CTS/CIS Rate Schedules be modified to provide Peoples with the flexibility to avoid bypass?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes; as indicated in paragraph B of Peoples'
position on Issue 83. (Pountney)

TROPICANA: Yes. Tropicana's proposed changes should be adopted to enhance Peoples Gas' ability to preserve utility service. (Taylor)

FIGU: Yes, if no other customers are adversely affected. (Rosenberg)

OPC: Agree with FIGU.

STAFF: No position at this time.

88. <u>ISSUE:</u> Should the Peoples Gas operating procedures under all transportation rate schedules be modified as proposed by Tropicana?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes; as indicated in paragraph F of Peoples' position on Issue 83. (Pountney)

TROPICANA: Yes. Mr. Taylor's testimony outlines Tropicana's proposed changes. (Taylor)

FIGU: FIGU supports those modifications which are cost-based and foster user flexibility. (Rosenberg)

OPC: No position.

STAFF: No position at this time.

89. <u>ISSUE:</u> Should the price of alternative fuels be used as a rationale for charging customers more than Peoples cost of service?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes. At the time Peoples' CIS/CTS schedules were approved by the Commission it was clearly stated in the Commission Order and readily recognizable by the industrial intervenors that if the Company were caused to discount its rate as a result of alternate fuel pricing such discount would be absorbed by all other rate classes. In the event such alternate fuel price increased above the cost of service applicable rate then the Company would be allowed to follow such comparable rate up to 90% of its firm gas (GSLV) rate. This condition, designed to make other rate classes whole, recognizes the value of service concept vs. cost of service

application and is appropriate in the case of the CIS/CTS schedule. (Pountney)

TROPICANA: No position.

FIGU: No.

OPC: No position at this time, pending testimony at the hearing.

STAFF: No position at this time.

90. <u>ISSUE:</u> Is Peoples' existing sales rate excluding the cost of gas a proper surrogate for cost-based transportation rates?

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes. (Pountney)

TROPICANA: Yes. Except for working capital related cost of service items which show the monthly gas cost carrying costs. (Taylor)

FIGU: At the present time, FIGU accepts these rates as reasonable surrogates. Nevertheless, FIGU reserves the right to advocate more exact cost-based transportation rates in the future as experience is gained with this service. (Rosenberg)

OPC: No position.

STAFF: Yes, until more experience is gained under transportation procedures.

91. <u>ISSUE:</u> Are Peoples' proposed penalties for customer imbalances appropriate?

POSITIONS:

<u>PEOPLES:</u> Peoples' disagrees with Tropicana's proposal to eliminate language relating to scheduling penalties. The Company has no storage facilities whatsoever. The Company's

language as filed in the tariff relates to the scheduling of deliveries versus actual deliveries at the Company's Receipt Points (Peoples Gate Stations). Tropicana is suggesting by its proposed added language that the only issue regarding scheduling relates to scheduling imbalances and associated penalties imposed by an upstream pipeline (e.g., FGT). Tropicana's modification to the tariff language completely misses the point that Tropicana has an obligation to properly nominate and schedule volumes to be received by Peoples at its Gate Stations and to assure that volumes scheduled to flow into Peoples' Receipt Point Gate Stations are as close as possible to actual deliveries. When such actual deliveries are expected to change, Tropicana needs only to properly change its nomination to Peoples to reschedule more closely to the level of actual volumes expected to flow into Peoples.

Since the Company has no storage whatsoever all volumes received by Peoples must be dispatched on a daily and hourly basis form each of its 34 gate station receipt points to its customers, and adjust its takes from its upstream pipeline (FGT) and also its deliveries to customers, where necessary, and/or reroute gas flows so as to maintain the operational integrity of its own distribution system.

Proper scheduling goes beyond the issue of possible penalties imposed by an upstream pipeline. The scheduling penalties which can be imposed by Peoples in regard to its own Receipt Points are of the same design and percent tolerance as the penalties FGT can impose in regard to FGT receipt points. It is entirely reasonable and appropriate that Peoples provide in its tariff for scheduling penalties in order to maintain a reasonable degree of control over the operational integrity, reliability and safety of its diverse distribution pipeline system. (Pountney)

TROPICANA: No. Only the pass-through of actually incurred penalties and charges directly related to the Customer's behavior are acceptable. The effects of aggregation should also be considered. Peoples should not plan to provide low quality service. (Taylor)

FIGU: Yes, provided that the customer is given a 5% tolerance limit and a 45-day make-up period to resolve the imbalance.

OPC: No position.

STAFF: No position at this time.

92. <u>ISSUE:</u> How should Peoples establish standby charges for transportation customers?

POSITIONS:

<u>PEOPLES</u>: When a customer contractually agrees to pay the demand charges associated with reserving capacity on the FGT system for either FTS-1 firm transportation capacity or G firm resale then Peoples has an obligation to provide gas service to that customer in the event the customer requires standby service. If a customer of Peoples does not elect such service, Peoples has no obligation to provide standby service in the event of a failure of such customer's gas supply. (Pountney)

TROPICANA: Standby service charges should be a flow-through of upstream demand charges related to the Customer's standby volume requirements. (Taylor)

FIGU: The principles underlying the establishment of standby charges should be the same as those underlying any other rates, i.e. cost of service. Because transportation on Peoples' system is still in its infancy, there is currently insufficient information with which to ascertain a standby charge. Furthermore, regardless of the levels of these charges, it should be made clear that their payment is at the option and discretion of the transportation customer and that the only consequence of not paying the standby charges is the relegation of such a customer to the status of any new sales customer.

OPC: No position.

STAFF: No position at this time.

STIPULATED ISSUES

The parties have stipulated to those issues noted herein.

 ISSUE: Should adjustments be made to Plant-In-Service, Accumulated Depreciation and the related Depreciation Expense to reflect changes in non-utility allocations?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: The company does not oppose the staff's adjustments.
(Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. Adjustments should be made to the following accounts to reflect changes in non-utility allocations:

ACCOUNT	PLANT	ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	DEPRECIATION EXPENSE
374	(64,453)	0	0
375	(223,021)	(40,095)	(5,987)
390	(9,328)	(8,939)	(987)
То	\$(296,802)	\$(49,034)	\$(6,974)

5. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should adjustments be made to Utility Plant, Accumulated Depreciation, and Depreciation Expense in the projected test year to reflect the 13-month average effect of over-capitalization of A&G overhead expense?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's position. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. Utility Plant should be reduced by \$2,395,238, Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by \$137,628, and Depreciation Expense should be reduced by \$108,504.

6. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should the projected test year Plant-in-Service, Accumulated Depreciation, and the related Depreciation Expense be reduced to remove liability insurance capitalized in accordance with Staff Advisory Bulletin 33?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's position. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year Plant-in-Service, Accumulated Depreciation, and the related Depreciation Expense be reduced by \$805,445, \$48,110, and \$36,487 respectively.

7. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should Account 374, Land be reduced by \$100,000 in the projected test year to remove a budgeted land purchase in the Sarasota division which will not occur?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

<u>STAFF</u>: Yes. An adjustment should be made to Account 374, Land in the projected test year to remove a budgeted land purchase in the Sarasota division.

8. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should adjustments be made to remove plant items purchased during the Southern Gas Company acquisition which will subsequently be sold back to Southeast Propane?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year Utility Plant should be reduced by \$51,194, Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by \$24,917, and Depreciation Expense should be reduced by \$2,956 to remove items which will be sold back to Southeast Propane.

9. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should projected test year adjustments be made to Account 375, Structures & Improvements to reflect overbudgeted items in the Sarasota division and to remove nonutility improvements in the St. Petersburg division?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's position. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. The Account 375, Structures and Improvements should be reduced by \$395,621, Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by \$11,632, and the related Depreciation Expense should be reduced by \$9,983 to remove over-budgeted structures and non-utility improvements.

10. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should a non-utility allocation be made to Account 375, Structures & Improvements and the related depreciations accounts in the projected test year to reflect common plant usage at the budgeted N. Miami office building?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's position. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. Non-utility allocations should be made to Account 375, Structures and Improvements in the amount of \$114,231, Accumulated Depreciation in the amount of \$833 and Depreciation Expense in the amount of \$3,094 to reflect common plant usage at a budgeted N. Miami office building.

11. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should adjustments be made to the projected test year Plant-in-Service, Accumulated Depreciation, and Depreciation Expense to reflect over-projections in the company's construction budgets?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's position. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. Adjustments are necessary to the following accounts to reflect over-projections in the company's construction budgets.

Account	Plant	Accumulated Depreciation	Depreciation Expense
376 & 376.02	(2,238,684)	(237,364)	(70,155)
378	(63,920)	(435)	(2,435)
385	(111,405)	422	(3,008)
391	(189,682)	(4,333)	(10,253)
391.02	(230,544)	(57,552)	(38,244)
TOTAL	\$(2,834,235)	\$(299,242)	\$(124,095)

12. <u>ISSUE</u>: What, if any, adjustments are appropriate to Utility Plant-in-Service, Accumulated Depreciation, and Depreciation Expense in the projected test year to reflect additional non-utility allocations to common plant items?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's position. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Adjustments are appropriate in the following accounts to reflect additional non-utility allocations:

Account	Plant	Accumulated Depreciation	Depreciation Expense
391	(260,277)	(82,690)	(13,795)
391.01	(491,565)	(260,596)	(81,600)
391.02	(108,760)	(50,237)	(8,701)
397	(178,239)	(76,112)	(13,368)
TOTAL	\$(1,038,841)	\$(469,625)	\$(117,464)

13. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should the computer lease purchased during the Southern Gas Company acquisition be removed from utility rate base to reflect a non used and useful capitalized lease?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's position. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. The computer lease located in the Sarasota division should be removed from rate base to reflect a non

used and useful capitalized lease. This requires adjustments to reduce Account 390 by \$162,541, the related Accumulated Amortization by \$38,384, and Amortization Expense by \$4,064.

14. <u>ISSUE</u>: What, if any, adjustments are necessary to reflect the effect of retiring inactive service lines which have been inactive for five years or more?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's position. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Utility Plant should be reduced by \$565,897, Accumulated Depreciation should be reduced by \$453,613, and Depreciation Expense should be reduced by \$33,831 to reflect the effect of retiring inactive service lines which have been inactive for five years or more.

17. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should Accounts Receivable - Gas be reduced in the projected test year working capital calculation to reflect a change in the company's trending methodology?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accept Staff's position. (Sivard)

OPC: Accept Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. Accounts Receivable - Gas should be reduced by \$371,000 to reflect a change in the Company's trending methodology for the projected test year.

18. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should the projected test year working capital allowance be reduced to remove non-utility related Accounts Receivable - Other?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Does not oppose Staff's position. (Sivard)

OPC: Accept Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year working capital allowance should be reduced by \$324,000 to remove non-utility related Accounts Receivable - Other.

20. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should Unbilled Competitive Rates be removed from the projected test year calculation since these unbilled revenues are recovered in the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) docket?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. Unbilled Competitive Rates should be removed from the projected test year thereby reducing the working capital calculation by \$2,695,000.

22. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should material and supplies be reduced in the projected test year working capital to reflect a change in the Company's methodology in trending?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Does not oppose Staff's adjustments. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. Operating material and supplies should be reduced by \$474,000 in the projected test year working capital to reflect a change in the Company's methodology in trending.

21. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should an adjustment be made to operating material and supplies in the projected test year to remove non-utility related inventory?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's position.

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. An adjustment should be made to the projected test year operating material and supplies thereby reducing working capital by \$80.350.

23. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should Prepayments - Insurance be reduced in the projected test year to reflect a change in the method of trending used by the Company?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Does not oppose Staff's adjustments. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. Prepayments - Insurance should be reduced by \$108,000 in the projected test year to reflect Staff's change in the method the Company trended this account.

24. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should an adjustment be made to allocate non-utility insurance recorded in the projected test year Prepayments -Insurance?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's position. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. A non-utility allocation of \$263,160 should be made to Prepayments - Insurance to remove non-utility related insurance in the projected test year.

25. <u>ISSUE</u>: What is the appropriate amount of "Environmental - WIP" to be included in working capital?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: \$3,618,000. (Uhl, Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: The appropriate 13-month average of "Environmental - WIP" to be included in the projected year working capital is \$3,618,000.

26. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should Accounts Payable - Gas be increased in the working capital calculation to reflect a change in trending methodology?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's adjustments. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. Accounts Payable - Gas should be increased by \$114,000 in the projected test year working capital calculation thereby reducing working capital.

27. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should the projected test year Working Capital and Amortization Expense be reduced to reflect a gain on the sale of utility related property which was inadvertently omitted in the Company's calculations?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's adjustments. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year Working Capital should be reduced by \$56,872 and Amortization Expense should be reduced by \$22,737 to reflect a gain on the sale of utility related property which was omitted in the Company's calculations.

28. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should Miscellaneous Current Liabilities be reduced, thereby increasing working capital, to remove non-utility related liabilities and pipeline refunds addressed in the Purchased Gas Adjustment (PGA) docket?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes, by \$77,000. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. Miscellaneous Current Liabilities should be reduced by \$77,000 in the working capital calculation thereby increasing working capital.

31. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should the projected test year net operating income be increased for sales tax collections?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year net operating income should be increased \$31,401 for sales tax collections.

33. <u>ISSUE:</u> Should adjustments be made for the effect of the Company exceeding the O & M benchmark?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts staff's adjustments. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. The following reductions should be made to the projected test year operating and maintenance expense for the effect of benchmark variances:

General and Administrative Salaries \$ 107,897 Customer Records and Collections \$ 48,612

34. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should an adjustment of \$2,725 be made to reduce the projected test year operating expense for the elimination of non-recurring rent expense?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year operating expenses should be reduced by \$2,725 to remove non-recurring rent expense included in Account 881.

35. <u>ISSUE:</u> Should Account 921 be increased by \$18,100 for the effect of projected postage increases?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. Account 921 should be increased by \$18,100 for the effect of upcoming postal increases.

36. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should the projected test year expenses be reduced to eliminate strike costs incurred in the historic base year?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes, by \$40,391. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year expenses should be reduced by \$40,391 to eliminate the effect of strike costs.

37. <u>ISSUE:</u> Should Account 922 be adjusted for the effect of increased data processing expenses.

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts staff's adjustments. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's adjustments.

STAFF: Yes. Account 922 (a contra expense account) should be increased by \$49,235 to properly account for the incremental increase in data processing costs. Since this is a contra expense account, this adjustment has the effect of reducing the projected test year expenses.

38. <u>ISSUE:</u> Should an adjustment be made to allocate a portion of general outside expenses to non-utility operations?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Does not oppose staff's adjustments. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's adjustments.

STAFF: Yes. General outside services expenses should be reduced by 45,843 to allocate a portion of these costs to non-utility operations.

39. <u>ISSUE:</u> Should an adjustment be made to allocate a portion of internal audit fees to non-utility operations?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts staff's adjustments. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's adjustments.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year expenses should be reduced by \$39,137 to allocate a portion of these costs to non-utility operations.

40. <u>ISSUE:</u> Should an adjustment of \$34,370 be made to allocate general legal expenses to non-utility operations?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts staff's adjustments. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's adjustments.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year general legal expenses should be reduced by \$34,370.

42. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate amount of FERC counsel expense to be included in the projected test year?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Does not oppose staff's adjustments. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's position.

STAFF: The appropriate amount of FERC counsel expense to be included in the projected test year is \$154,739 which requires that a reduction of \$91,735 be made to the projected test year expenses.

43. <u>ISSUE:</u> Should an adjustment be made to allocate a portion of property insurance expense to non-utility operations?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts staff's adjustments. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. A reduction of \$30,699 should be made to the projected test year property insurance expense to allocate a portion of these costs to non-utility operations?

44. <u>ISSUE:</u> What is the appropriate amount of liability insurance expense to be included in the projected test year?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts staff's position. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's position.

STAFF: The appropriate liability insurance to be included in the projected test year expenses is \$2,048,355. An adjustment of \$203,779 should be made to increase the projected test year liability insurance expense.

45. <u>ISSUE:</u> Should an adjustment be made to allocate a portion of life insurance expense to non-utility operations?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts staff's position. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year expenses should be reduced by \$88,290 to allocate a portion of life insurance expense to non-utility operations.

46. <u>ISSUE:</u> Should an adjustment be made to reduce the projected amount of salary savings expense?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts staff's adjustments. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year salary savings expense should be reduced by \$20,451.

48. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should an adjustment be made to reduce the amount of the projected test year health care expenses?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Does not oppose Staff's adjustment. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's adjustment.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year health care expense should be reduced by \$290,664.

52. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should an adjustment be made to allocate a portion of employee relocation expenses?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's adjustment. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's adjustment.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year expenses should be reduced \$11,996 for the allocation of employee relocation expenses to non-utility operations.

53. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should an adjustment be made to reduce the projected test year rent expense for additional non-utility allocations?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's adjustment. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's adjustment.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year rent expense should be reduced \$84,020 for additional non-utility allocations.

54. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should an adjustment be made to reduce the projected test year general maintenance expense?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's adjustment. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's adjustment.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year general maintenance expense should be reduced \$45,041 for the allocation of maintenance expense and the removal of non-recurring maintenance expense.

55. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should the projected test year expenses be reduced for the effect of changing the trend factors applied to portions of Accounts 870, 871, 874, 877, 879, 887, 889, 903, 905, 912, 920, 921, 925, and 926?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's adjustment. (Sivard)

OPC: Citizens agree with Staff.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year expenses should be reduced \$72,592 for the effect of changing the trend factors applied to portions of operating and maintenance expense accounts.

56. <u>ISSUE</u>: What is the appropriate A&G transfer expense adjustment to be used in calculating the projected test year expenses.

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's adjustment. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's adjustment.

STAFF: The appropriate A&G transfer expense adjustment is \$1,006,501. The Company's adjustment of \$1,043,000 should be reduced by \$27,499.

57. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should an adjustment be made to Account 887, Maintenance of Mains, to normalize the effect of out of period and non-recurring adjustments made in the historic base year?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts Staff's position. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts Staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. The following non-recurring and out of period expense items should be removed from Account 887:

Out of Period Inventory Adjustment \$14,072
Out of Period Accrual of Accounts Payable 5,026
Non-recurring Repair Costs 2,016
Total Adjustment \$21,114

59. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should an adjustment be made to Account 886, Maintenance of Structures and Improvements, to remove maintenance expenses on the portion of common plant allocated to non-utility operations?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts staff's position. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's adjustments.

STAFF: Yes. It is proper to apportion maintenance costs between utility and non-utility operations when the facilities being maintained are used in both utility and non-utility operations. The same non-utility percentage used to allocate common plant (17.25%) has been applied to Account 886, to derive Staff's recommended adjustment of \$71,820.

61. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should any specific adjustments be made to the projected test year expenses relating to Southern Gas?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Agrees with Staff.

OPC: Agrees with Staff.

STAFF: No. The projected test year net operating income issues incorporate the effect of Southern Gas.

62. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should an adjustment be made to eliminate a duplication of acquisition amortization expense included in the projected test year?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes. (Sivard)

OPC: Yes.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year amortization expense should be reduced by \$90,000.

64. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should an adjustment be made to the projected test year payroll taxes for the effect of Staff's reductions in payroll expense and change in projection methodology?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts staff's adjustments. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. The projected test year payroll tax expense should be reduced by \$28,892 for the effect of reducing the projected payroll expense.

65. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should the Company be allowed to eliminate gross receipts tax from base rates and state the tax as a separate line item on the customer's bill?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Yes. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's position.

STAFF: Yes. The Company should be allowed to eliminate gross receipts tax from base rates. The projected test year operating revenues should be reduced by \$1,818,998 and the projected test year taxes-other expense should likewise be reduced by \$1,818,998. Although these adjustments do not effect the projected test year operating income, the projected

revenue deficiency would be lower because the revenue expansion factor would not be grossed up for this tax.

69. <u>ISSUE</u>: What is the appropriate amount of investment tax credits to be included in the capital structure?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: \$4,678,000. (Sivard)

OPC: Agrees with Staff.

STAFF: \$4,678,000.

70. <u>ISSUE</u>: What is the appropriate amount of deferred income taxes to be included in the projected test year capital structure?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: \$19,412,000. (Sivard)

OPC: Agrees with Staff.

STAFF: \$19,412,000.

73. <u>ISSUE</u>: What is the appropriate revenue expansion factor to be used in calculating the projected test year revenue deficiency?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts staff's position. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's position.

STAFF: The appropriate revenue expansion factor that should be used to calculate the projected test year revenue deficiency is 1.6214%.

75. ISSUE: What should the miscellaneous service charges be?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: Accepts staff's position. (Sivard)

OPC: Accepts staff's position.

STAFF:

Initial Connection Residential	\$25.00
Reconnection Residential	25.00
Initial Connection Commercial	50.00
Change of Account	10.00

Check charge

5% or 10.00

82. <u>ISSUE</u>: Should customers served under the interruptible rate schedules be required to have available and ready for use a suitable alternate fuel as a special condition for service?

STIPULATED

POSITIONS:

PEOPLES: No. (Pountney)

TROPICANA: No. (Taylor)

OPC: No position.

FIGU: No.

DOCKET NO. 891353-GU ORDER NO. 23481 PAGE 61

MOTIONS

None

OTHER MATTERS

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that these proceedings shall be governed by this order unless modified by the Commission.

By Order of Commissioner Gerald L. Gunter, Prehearing Officer, this __14th__ day of ____SEPTEMBER_____, 1990.

GERALD L. GUNTER, Commissioner and Prehearing Officer

PEOPLES.bmi

MAP: bmi

ATTACHMENT A
ORDER NO. 23481
DOCKET NO. 891353-GU
PAGE 62
SEE PEOPLES GAS ISSUE 72

		ADJUSTMENTS	PRORATA ADJUSTMENTS	ADJUSTED	RATIO	COST RATE	WEIGHTED
COMMON ECULTY	\$87,169	(\$4,578)	(4,077)	\$80,412	41.872	13.752	5.75772
LONG TERM DERT	80,774	(345)	(3,883)	76,566	39.872	10.662	4.25032
SHORT TERM DEBT	49	0	(2)	47	0.021	10.251	0.00251
CUSTOMER DEPOSITS	12,674		(613)	12,081	6.291	8.761	0.55112
DEFERRED TAJES	19,412		(937)	18,475	9.621		
CREDIIS		4,678	(226)	4,452	2.321		
AL	\$202,118	(\$345)	(19,740)	\$192,033	100.007		10.56167

(Uhl, Sivard, Morin)

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU COMPARATIVE AVERAGE RATE BASES PTY 9/30/91

ATTACHMENT 1 SEPTEMBER 7, 1990

		COMPANY		5	STAFF
ADJ	TOTAL	JURIS.	COMPANY	JURIS.	ADJ.
10	PER BOOKS	ADJUST.	ADJUSTED	ADJUST.	JURIS.
autical Control of the Control of th				9.9,1	
PLANT IN SERVICE	289,521,000			,-	
UTILITY PLANT	269,521,000	(2 200 000)		(296,802)	
1 COMMON PLANT ALLOCATION		(2,388,000)		(326,781)	
2 AIRCRAFT ALLOCATION		(220,000)		(320,701)	
CONSTRUCTION WORK IN PROGRESS	3 4,450,000				
3 ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT	4,240,000				
PLANT HELD FOR FUTURE USE	229,000	(229,000)			
ACCT, 374 SARASOTA PARKING LOT				(98,756)	
A&G CAPITILIZATION				(2,395,238)	
LIABILITY CAPITILIZATION				(805,445)	
ACCT. 374 SARASOTA BUDGET				(100,000)	
ACQUIRED PLANT ITEMS RESOLD				(51,194)	
ACCT, 375 OVERBUDGET & NONUTILI	TY			(395,621)	
0 ACCT. 375 N. MIAMI ALLOCATION				(2,834,235)	
1 BUDGET OVERPROJECTIONS 2 OTHER COMMON PLANT ALLOCATION	ue			(1,038,841)	
The second secon	45			(162,541)	
3 ACCT, 390 COMPUTER LEASE 4 INACTIVE SERVICE LINES				(565,897)	
TOTAL PLANT	298,440,000	(2,837,000)	295,603,000	(9,185,582)	286,417,418
ACCUM, DEPREC, & AMORT.					
ACCUM, DEPR PLANT	106,833,000				
COMMON PLANT ALLOCATION		(699,000)		(49,034)	
CUSTOMER ADV. FOR CONSTRUCT.	1,002,000				
2 AIRCRAFT ALLOCATION				(121,319)	
ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT				(107.000)	
A&G CAPITILIZATION				(137,628)	
6 LIABILITY CAPITILIZATION				(48,110) (24,917)	
ACQUIRED PLANT ITEMS RESOLD	TV			(11,632)	
ACCT. 375 OVERBUDGET & NONUTILI O ACCT. 375 N. MIAMI ALLOCATION	1.1			(633)	
1 BUDGET OVERPROJECTIONS				(299,242)	
2 OTHER COMMON PLANT ALLOCATION	NS			(469,625)	
3 ACCT. 390 COMPUTER LEASE				(38,384)	
4 INACTIVE SERVICE LINES				(453,613)	
6 TOTAL DEDUCTION	107,835,000	(699,000)	107,136,000	(1,654,337)	105,481,66
NET UTILITY PLANT	190,605,000	(2,138,000)	188,467,000	(7,531,245)	180,935,75
9 WORKING CAPITAL	(1,234,000)	16,485,000	15,251,000	(4,359,220)	10,891,78
30 TOTAL RATE BASE	\$189,371,000	\$14,347,000	\$203,718,000	(\$11,890,465)	\$191,827,535
			AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN		PRODUCTION AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU COMPARATIVE WORKING CAPITAL COMPONENTS PTY 9/30/91

ATTACHMENT 1A SEPTEMBER 7, 1990

			COMPANY			STAFF
AD	J	TOTAL	JURIS.	COMPANY	JURIS.	ADJ.
NO		PER BOOKS	ADJUST.	ADJUSTED	ADJUST.	JURIS.
	WORKING CAPITAL	(1,234,000)				
	SINKING FUNDS		(50,000)			
	RECEIVABLE ASSOC. COMPANY		(233,000)			
	UNAMORT DD&E		(295,000)			
	UNRECOVERED GAS COSTS		(1,381,000)			
	NOTES PAYABLE		49,000			
	ACCOUNTS PAY, ASSOC COMPANY		5,601,000			
	CUSTOMER DEPOSITS		12,614,000			
	INACTIVE DEPOSITS		80,000			
	CONSERVATION COST TRUE-UP		100,000			
17	ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE - GAS				(371,000)	
18	ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE - OTHER				(324,000)	
20	UNBILLED COMPETITIVE RATE				(2,695,000)	
21	MATERIAL & INVENTORY - SARASOTA				(80,350)	
22	OPERATING MATERIAL & SUPPLIES				(474,000)	
23	PREPAYMENTS - INSURANCE				(108,000)	
24	PREPAYMENTS - NON-UTILITY				(263,160)	
26	ACCOUNTS PAYABLE - GAS				(114,000)	
27	GAIN ON PROPERTY				(56,872)	
49	PENSIONS & BENEFITS RESERVE				50,162	
28	MISC. CURRENT LIABILITIES				77,000	
29	TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL	(\$1,234,000)	\$16,485,000	\$15,251,000	(\$4,359,220)	\$10,891,780

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU COMPARATIVE NOIS PYE 9/30/91

ATTACHMENT 2

ORDER NO. 23481 DOCKET NO. 891353-C" PAGE 65

COMPANY

STAFF

			COMPANY		SIAIT	
ADJ		PER BOOKS	ADJUST.	COMPANY	ADJUSTS.	ADJUSTED JURIS.
NO		PERBOOKS	A03031.	7,0000.120		
	OPERATING REVENUES	229,373,000				
	ADJ FUEL RELATED REVS		(151,174,000)			
31	ADJ FOR SALES TX COLLECTIONS				31,401	
55	ADJ OUT GROSS RECEIPTS TAX				(1,818,998)	70 411 402
	TOTALS	229,373,000	(151,174,000)	78,199,000	(1,787,597)	76,411,403
	OPERATING EXPENSES:	190,338,000				
	ADJ OUT COST OF GAS		(149,147,000)			
	CAP. OF OFFICER'S SAL		1,034,000			
12-60	STAFF ADJS / SCH 2A				(2,263,197)	
	TOTALS	190,338,000	(148,113,000)	42,225,000	(2,263,197)	39,961,803
	DEPRECIATION & AMORTIZATION	13,373,000				
	ADJ OUT NON-UTILITY		(136,000)			
	ADJ FOR INCR ENVIRON.		1,005,000			
	AMORT OF ACQ ADJUSTMENT		90,000			
1	COMMON PLANT ALLOCATION				(6,974)	
3	ACQUISITION ADJ					
5	A&G ALLOCATION				(108,504)	
5	LIABILITY CAPITALIZATION				(36,487)	
	ACQUIRED PLT ITEMS RESOLD				(2,956)	
	ACCT 375 - OVER BUDGET/NON-UTILTY	1			(9,983)	
10	ACCT 375 - N. MIAMI ALLOCATION				(3,094)	
11	ADJ BUDGET OVER PROJECTIONS				(124,095)	
12	COMMON PLANT ALLOCATIONS				(117,464)	
13	COMPUTER LEASE - ACCT 390				(4,064)	
14	INACTIVE SERVICE LINES AMORT				(33,831)	
27	ADJ GAIN ON PROPERTY				(22,737)	
62	ELIMINATE DUPLICATION OF AMORT				(90,000)	
63	TOTALS	13,373,000	959,000	14,332,000	(560,189)	13,771,811
	TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME	9,104,000				
	ADJ OUT FUEL RELATED TXS		(2,027,000)			
64.	ADJ PAYROLL TAXES				(28,892)	
65	ADJ OUT GROSS RECEIPTS TAX				(1,818,998)	
	TOTALS	9,104,000	(2,027,000)	7,077,000	(1,847,890)	5,229,110
	CURRENT INC TAXES - FEDERAL	1,304,000				
	CO ADJ FOR EFFECT OF ABOVE		(640,000)			
66	STAFF ADJ FOR EFFECT OF ABOVE				916,437	
	TOTALS	1,304,000	(640,000)	664,000	916,437	1,580,437
	CURRENT INC TAXES - STATE	225,000				
	ADJ FOR EFFECT OF ABOVE		(110,000)			
66	STAFF ADJ FOR EFFECT OF ABOVE				156,875	
	TOTALS	225,000	(110,000)	115,000	156,875	271,875
	DEFERRED INCOME TAXES - FED	412,000				
	DEFERRED INCOME TAXES - ST	108,000				
	TOTALS	520,000	0	520,000	0	520,000
	INTEREST RECONCULATION		403,000	403,000	(46,691)	356,309
66	PARENT DEBT ADJ		(88,000)	(88,000)	(267,465)	(355,465
-	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	214,864,000	(149,616,000)	65.248.000	(3,912,120)	61,335,880
					\$2,124,523	\$15,075,523
68	NET OPERATING INCOME	\$14,509,000	(\$1,558,000)	\$12,951,000	\$2,124,523	¥13,013,323

\$39,961,803

(\$2,263,197)

\$42,225,000

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU O&M ADJUSTMENTS FOR THE PTY ENDING 9/30/91

ORDER NO. 23481 DOCKET NO. 891353-GU PAGE 66

			STAFF	
ADJ NO.		COMPANY PER FILING	ADJUSTMENTS	ADJUSTED JURIS
	OPERATING EXPENSES:	\$42,225,000		
32	ADJ FOR PAYROLL FACTOR		(273,760)	
32	ADJ FOR CUST GRWTH X INFL		(13,185)	
32	ADJ FOR INCREASED INFLATION RATE		9,648	
33	BENCHMARK - G&A SALARIES		(107,897)	
33	BENCHMARK - CUST RECORDS		(48,612)	
34	NON-RECURRING RENT		(2,725)	
35	POSTAL INCREASES		18,100	
36	ADJ FOR STRIKE COSTS		(40,391)	
37	ADJ ACCOUNT 922 - DP EXPENSE		(49,235)	
38	ADJ NON-UTILITY GEN OUTSIDE SERV		(45,843)	
39	ADJ NON-UTILITY INTERNAL AUDIT		(39,137)	
40	ADJ NON-UTILITY GENERAL LEGAL		(34,370)	
41	ADJ FOR SUNSET COUNSEL EXP		(73,388)	
42	ADJ FERC COUNSEL EXPENSE		(91,735)	
43	ADJ NON-UTILITY PROPERTY INSUR		(30,699)	
4	ADJ LIABLITY INSUR		203,779	
5	ADJ NON-UTILITY LIFE INSUR		(88,290)	
46	ADJ SALARY SAVINGS EXP PROJECTION		(20,451)	
47	ELIMINATE EMPLOYEE ACTIVITY COSTS		(125,088)	
48	ADJ HEALTH INSUR PROJECTION		(290,664)	
49	ADJ PENSION EXPENSE		(100,324)	
50	RATE CASE EXPENSE ADJUSTMENT		0	
51	ADJ FOR AGA DUES		(74,538)	
52	ADJ NON-UTILITY EMPLY RELOCATION		(11,966)	
53	ADJ NON-UTILITY RENT EXPENSE		(84,020)	
54	ADJ NON-UTILITY GEN MAINT		(45,041)	
55	ADJ FOR TRENDS APPLIED		(72,592)	
56	ADJ A&G CAPITALIZED		(27,499)	
57	ADJ NON-UTILITY MAINTENANCE OF MAINS		(21,114)	
58	ADJ NON-UTILITY ADVERTISING		(210,340)	
59	ADJ NON-UTILITY MAINTENANCE EXP		(71,820)	
60	ADJ PROJECTED ADVERTISING EXP		(400,000)	

TOTAL

ORDER NO. 23481 DOCKET NO. 891353-GU PROJECTED PAGE 67 BASE YEAR TEST YEAR STAFF + 1 9/30/91 TREND RATES: 9/30/90 4.19% PAYROLL ONLY 4.35% 4.89% 4.73% **CUST GRWTH X PAY** #2 5.45% 4.84% CUST GRWTH X INFL #3 4.30% 4.90% # 4 INFLATION ONLY) FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES CUSTOMER GROWTH 0.5200% 0.5200% TREND TOTAL **PROJECTED** BASIS COMBINED BASE YEAR APPLIED **TEST YEAR** BASE YEAR +1 ACCOUNT DISTRIBUTION EXPENSE 160,497 167,221 1 870 Payroll-trended 153,806 3 23,457 22,374 Other trended 21,218 52,020 49,876 Other trended 47,546 Other not trended 0 0 0 232,747 242,699 222,570 Total 2 95,419 100,085 104,819 871 Payroll-trended 3 12,011 12,592 Other trended 11,390 94,496 99,126 103,389 4 Other trended Other not trended 0 0 0 220,800 Total 201,305 211,222 1,701,670 2 874 Payroll-trended 1,549,067 1,624,816 3 630,350 Other trended 570,175 601,250 Other trended 243,163 255,078 266,046 0 Other not trended 2,598,067 2,362,405 2,481,144 Total 53,764 875 Payroll-trended 49,451 51,602 1 26,552 24,268 25,457 Other trended 0 Other not trended 0 Total 73,719 77,059 80,316 876 Payroll-trended 141,370 147,520 153,701 1 Other trended 19,943 20,920 21,820 Other not trended 0 0 175,520 Total 161,313 168,440 \$3,317,402 SUB-TOTAL \$3,021,312 \$3,170,612

	STAFF TREND RATES:	BASE YEAR + 1 9/30/90	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 9/30/91		ORDER NO. 23481 DOCKET NO.891353-GU PAGE 68
	DAVDOLL ONLY	4.35%	4.19%		N. C.
# 1	PAYROLL ONLY CUST GRWTH X PAY	4.89%	4.73%		
#2	CUST GRWTH X INFL	5.45%	4.84%		
# 4	INFLATION ONLY	4.90%	4.30%		
	CUSTOMER GROWTH	0.5200%	0.5200%) FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
		TOTAL			TREND
		COMBINED	BASE YEAR	PROJECTED	BASIS
		BASE YEAR	+1	TEST YEAR	APPLIED
1000	INT	BASE TEAR		TEOT TEAT	THE CO.
DISTR	IBUTION EXPENSE				
077	Dayroll translad	25,598	26,712	27,831	1
8//	Payroll-trended Other trended	12,147	12,809	13,429	3
	Other trended	6,397	6,710	6,999	4
_	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	44,142	46,231	48,259	-
070	Dowell transfed	1,685,861	1,768,300	1,851,940	2
878	Payroll-trended Other trended	848,098	894,319	937,604	3
	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	2,533,959	2,662,619	2,789,545	
879	Payroll-trended	1,286,314	1,349,215	1,413,033	2
0.3	Other trended	327,470	345,317	362,030	3
	Other trended	210,942	221,278	230,793	4
	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	1,824,726	1,915,810	2,005,856	_
880	Payroll-trended	375,306	391,632	408,041	1
	Other Trended	308,179	323,280	337,181	4
	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	683,485	714,912	745,222	
881	Payroll-trended	0	0	0	
	Other trended	63,863	66,992	69,873	4
	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	63,863	66,992	69,873	
	TOTAL DISTR EXP	\$8,171,487	\$8,577,176	\$8,976,156	-

	STAFF TREND RATES:	BASE YEAR + 1 9/30/90	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 9/30/91		ORDER NO. 23481 DOCKET NO. 891353-GU PAGE 69
# 1	PAYROLL ONLY	4.35%	4.19%		
#2	CUST GRWTH X PAY	4.89%	4.73%		
#3	CUST GRWTH X INFL	5.45%	4.84%		
# 4	INFLATION ONLY	4.90%	4.30%		
	CUSTOMER GROWTH	0.5200%	0.5200%	FOR INFORMA	TIONAL PURPOSES
		TOTAL			TREND
		COMBINED BASE YEAR	BASE YEAR + 1	PROJECTED TEST YEAR	BASIS APPLIED
ACCOL	TNU				-
	ENANCE EXPENSE				
885	Payroll-trended	88,224	92,062	95,919	1
003	Other trended	17,472	18,424	19,316	3-
	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	105,696	110,486	115,235	
886	Payroll-trended	132,346	138,818	145,384	2
	Other trended	176,870	186,509	195,536	3
	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	309,216	325,327	340,920	and
887	Payroll-trended	831,582	872,246	913,504	2
	Other trended	789,133	832,141	872,416	3
	Other not trended	088Y0	0	0	
	Total	1,620,715	1,704,387	1,785,920	
889	Payroll-trended	80,918	84,875	88,889	2
	Other trended	35,437	37,368	39,177	3
	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	116,355	122,243	128,066	
890	Payroll-trended	123,202	129,227	135,339	2
	Other trended	49,579	52,281	54,811	3
	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	172,781	181,508	190,150	
	SUB-TOTAL	\$2,324,763	\$2,443,951	\$2,560,292	

ATTACHMENT 2B

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. O&M FORECAST WORKSHEET -- PROJECTED TEST YEAR CALCULATION

# 1 PAYROLL ONLY	. 891353-GU
# 2 CUST GRWTH X PAY # 3 CUST GRWTH X INFL # 4 INFLATION ONLY CUSTOMER GROWTH TOTAL COMBINED BASE YEAR COMBINED BASE YEAR # 1 TEST YEAR TEST YEAR # 2 CUST GRWTH X INFL # 3 CUST GRWTH X INFL # 4 INFLATION ONLY TOTAL COMBINED BASE YEAR # 4 PROJECTED BASIS APPLIED ACCOUNT MAINTENANCE EXPENSE # 1 Payroll-trended	
# 3 CUST GRWTH X INFL # 4 INFLATION ONLY 4.90% 4.30% CUSTOMER GROWTH 0.5200% 0.5200%) FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOS TOTAL COMBINED BASE YEAR PROJECTED BASIS APPLIED ACCOUNT MAINTENANCE EXPENSE 891 Payroll-trended 53,465 56,079 58,732 2 2 0ther trended 25,158 26,529 27,813 3 0ther not trended 0 0 0 0 0 Total 78,623 82,609 86,545	
# 4 INFLATION ONLY 4.90% 4.30% CUSTOMER GROWTH 0.5200% 0.5200%) FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOS TOTAL COMBINED BASE YEAR COMBINED BASE YEAR H 1 TEST YEAR ACCOUNT MAINTENANCE EXPENSE 891 Payroll-trended 53,465 56,079 58,732 2 Other trended 25,158 26,529 27,813 3 Other not trended 0 0 0 0 Total 78,623 82,609 86,545	
TOTAL COMBINED BASE YEAR PROJECTED BASIS APPLIED ACCOUNT MAINTENANCE EXPENSE 891 Payroll-trended 53,465 56,079 58,732 2 Other trended 25,158 26,529 27,813 3 Other not trended 0 0 0 0 Total 78,623 82,609 86,545	
COMBINED BASE YEAR PROJECTED APPLIED ACCOUNT MAINTENANCE EXPENSE 891 Payroll-trended 53,465 56,079 58,732 2 Other trended 25,158 26,529 27,813 3 Other not trended 0 0 0 0 Total 78,623 82,609 86,545	ES
ACCOUNT MAINTENANCE EXPENSE 891 Payroll-trended 53,465 56,079 58,732 2 Other trended 25,158 26,529 27,813 3 Other not trended 0 0 0 0 Total 78,623 82,609 86,545	
ACCOUNT MAINTENANCE EXPENSE 891 Payroll-trended 53,465 56,079 58,732 2 Other trended 25,158 26,529 27,813 3 Other not trended 0 0 0 0 Total 78,623 82,609 86,545	
891 Payroll-trended 53,465 56,079 58,732 2 Other trended 25,158 26,529 27,813 3 Other not trended 0 0 0 Total 78,623 82,609 86,545	
891 Payroll-trended 53,465 56,079 58,732 2 Other trended 25,158 26,529 27,813 3 Other not trended 0 0 0 0 Total 78,623 82,609 86,545	
Other trended 25,158 26,529 27,813 3 Other not trended 0 0 0 Total 78,623 82,609 86,545	
Other not trended 0 0 0 Total 78,623 82,609 86,545	
Total 78,623 82,609 86,545	
892 Payroll-trended 450,238 472,255 494,592 2	
MAN I MILWIN WINNESS TO THE PARTY OF THE PAR	
Other trended 227,734 240,146 251,769 3	
Other not trended 0 0 0	
Total 677,972 712,400 746,361	
893 Payroll-trended 315,309 330,728 346,371 2	
Other trended 522,181 550,640 577,291 3	
Other not trended 0 0	
Total 837,490 881,367 923,662	
894 Payroll-trended 45,100 47,305 49,543 2	
Other trended 63,027 66,462 69,679 3	
Other not trended 0 0	
Total 108,127 113,767 119,222	
TOTAL MAINT EXP \$4,026,975 \$4,234,095 \$4,436,082	

	STAFF TREND RATES:	BASE YEAR + 1 9/30/90	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 9/30/91	ORDER NO. 23481 DOCKET NO. 891353-GU PAGE 71		
# 1	PAYROLL ONLY	4.35%	4.19%		- A	
# 2	CUST GRWTH X PAY	4.89%	4.73%			
#3	CUST GRWTH X INFL	5.45%	4.84%			
# 4	INFLATION ONLY	4.90%	4.30%			
	CUSTOMER GROWTH	0.5200%	0.5200%	FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES		
		TOTAL			TREND	
		COMBINED	BASE YEAR	PROJECTED	BASIS	
		BASE YEAR	+ 1	TEST YEAR	APPLIED	
ACCOL	JNT					
	OMER ACCT, & COLLEC.					
901	Payroll-trended	20,903	21,925	22,962	2	
	Other trended	43	45	48	3	
	Other not trended	0	0	0		
	Total	20,946	21,971	23,010		
902	Payroll-trended	916,771	961,601	1,007,085	2	
	Other trended	128,810	135,830	142,404	3	
	Other not trended	0	0	0		
	Total	1,045,581	1,097,431	1,149,489		
903	Payroll-trended	2,807,997	2,945,308	3,084,621	2	
	Other trended	1,071,499	1,129,896	1,184,583	3	
	Other trended	93,106	97,668	101,868	4	
	Other not trended	437,601	443,465	513,300		
	Total .	4,410,203	4,616,337	4,884,372	-	
904	Payroll-trended	0	0	0		
-	Other trended	327,024	344,847	361,537	3	
	Other not trended	0	0	0		
	Total	327,024	344,847	361,537		
905	Payroll-trended	31,655	33,203	34,773	2	
	Other trended	40,003	42,183	44,225	3	
	Other not trended	223,362	234,307	244,382		
	Total	295,020	309,693	323,380	-	
	TOTAL CUST SERV EXP	\$6,098,774	\$6,390,278	\$6,741,788		

ATTACHMENT 2B

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. O&M FORECAST WORKSHEET - PROJECTED TEST YEAR CALCULATION

	STAFF TREND RATES:	BASE YEAR + 1 9/30/90	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 9/30/91		ORDER NO. 23481 DOCKET NO. 891353-GU PAGE 72
# 1	PAYROLL ONLY	4.35%	4.19%		
#2	CUST GRWTH X PAY	4.89%	4.73%		
#3	CUST GRWTH X INFL	5.45%	4.84%		
# 4	INFLATION ONLY	4.90%	4.30%		
	CUSTOMER GROWTH	0.5200%	0.5200%) FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES	
		TOTAL			TREND
		COMBINED	BASE YEAR	PROJECTED	BASIS
		BASE YEAR	+ 1	TEST YEAR	APPLIED
ACCOL	UNT				
SALES	PROMOTION EXPENSE				
911	Payroll-trended	96,243	100,430	104,638	1
	Other trended	4,392	4,607	4,805	4
	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	100,635	105,037	109,443	•
912	Payroll-trended	1,094,138	1,141,733	1,189,572	1
_	Other trended	213,405	223,862	233,488	4
	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	1,307,543	1,365,595	1,423,060	
913	Payroll-trended	2,308	2,421	2,535	2
	Other trended	45,977	48,230	50,304	4
	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	48,285	50,651	52,839	
916	Payroll-trended	84,287	88,409	92,590	2
	Other trended	125,743	132,596	139,014	3
	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	210,030	221,005	231,604	
	TOTAL SELLING EXP	\$1,666,493	\$1,742,287	\$1,816,946	_

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. O&M FORECAST WORKSHEET - PROJECTED TEST YEAR CALCULATION

	STAFF TREND RATES:	BASE YEAR + 1 9/30/90	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 9/30/91		ORDER NO. 23481 DOCKET NO. 891353-0 PAGE 73
# 1	PAYROLL ONLY	4.35%	4.19%		
# 2	CUST GRWTH X PAY	4.89%	4.73%		
#3	CUST GRWTH X INFL	5.45%	4.84%		
# 4	INFLATION ONLY	4.90%	4.30%		
	CUSTOMER GROWTH	0.5200%	0.5200%) FOR INFORMAT	TIONAL PURPOSES
		TOTAL COMBINED BASE YEAR	BASE YEAR	PROJECTED TEST YEAR	TREND BASIS APPLIED
ACCO	UNT	BASE TEAR		TEOT TEAT	711 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
920	Payroll-trended	4,034,892	4,210,410	4,386,826	1
52.0	Other trended	116,288	121,347	126,431	1
	Other not trended	0	0	263,250	
	Other not trended			1,006,763	
	Total	4,151,180	4,331,756	5,783,270	
921	Payroli-trended	239,349	249,761	260,226	1
	Payroll-trended	276,000	289,496	303,190	2
	Other trended	764,821	806,504	845,539	3
	Other trended	1,273,699	1,336,110	1,393,563	4
	Other not trended	473,054	457,216	544,012	
	Other not trended	1,911,204	2,889,700	3,184,900	
	Total	4,938,127	6,028,787	6,531,429	
922	Payroll-trended	0	0	0	
	Other trended	(4,114,698)	(4,338,949)	(4,548,954)	3
	Other not trended	0	0	(49,235)	
	Total	(4,114,698)	(4,338,949)	(4,598,189)	
923	Payroll-trended	0	0	0	
	Other trended	470,845	493,916	515,155	4
	Other not trended	349,807	240,071	250,394	
	Total	820,652	733,987	765,549	
924	Payroll-trended	0	0	0	
	Other not trended	133,478	140,018	146,039	4
	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	133,478	140,018	146,039	
925	Payroll-trended	316,839	330,621	344,475	1
	Other trended	86,253	90,954	95,356	3
	Other trended	101,382	106,350	110,923	4
	Other not trended	1,607,631	1,728,960	2,048,355	
	Total	2,112,105	2,256,885	2,599,108	
	SUB-TOTAL	\$8,040,844	\$9,152,485	\$11,227,206	

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. O&M FORECAST WORKSHEET - PROJECTED TEST YEAR CALCULATION

	STAFF TREND RATES:	BASE YEAR + 1 9/30/90	PROJECTED TEST YEAR 9/30/91	1	ORDER NO. 23481 DOCKET NO. 891353-GU PAGE 74
#2	PAYROLL ONLY CUST GRWTH X PAY CUST GRWTH X INFL INFLATION ONLY	4.35% 4.89% 5.45% 4.90%	4.19% 4.73% 4.84% 4.30%		
	CUSTOMER GROWTH	0.5200%	0.5200%) FOR INFORMATI	ONAL PURPOSES
		TOTAL COMBINED BASE YEAR	BASE YEAR + 1	PROJECTED TEST YEAR	TREND BASIS APPLIED
ACCOL		10			
ADMIN	ISTRATIVE & GENERAL				
926	Payroll-trended Other trended	3,310	3,454 0	3,599 0	1
	Other trended	659,540	688,230	717,067	1
1	Other trended	315,725	331,196	345,437	4
	Other not trended	2,783,126	3,760,954	4,490,393	
1	Total	3,761,701	4,783,834	5,556,495	
928	Payroll-trended	0	0	0	
	Other trended	0	0	94,063	
	Other not trended	56,256	129,131		
	Total	56,256	129,131	94,063	
930	Payroll-trended	0	0	0	
	Other trended	267,529	280,638	292,705	4
	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	267,529	280,638	292,705	
931	Payroll-trended	0	0	0	
-	Other not trended	676,976	710,148	740,684	4
	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	676,976	710,148	740,684	
932	Payroli-trended	9,389	9,797	10,208	1
	Other trended	63,490	66,601	69,465	4
	Other not trended	0	0	0	
	Total	72,879	76,398	79,673	
	TOTAL A & G EXP	12,876,185	15,132,634	17,990,827	
	TOTAL O&M EXPENSES	\$32,839,914	\$36,076,469	\$39,961,798	

ATTACHMENT 3

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEMS, INC.
DOCKET NO. 891353-GU
COST OF CAPTIAL - 13 MONTH AVERAGE
TEST YEAR ENDING 9/30/91
STAFF POSITION

ORDER NO. 23481 DOCKET NO. 891353-GU PAGE 75

DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS

		ADJUS	TMENTS			.%	% WEIGHTEI	
CAPITAL COMPONENT	COMPANY	SPECIFIC	PRO RATA	PRO RATA ADJUSTED		RATE	COST	
COMMON EQUITY	89,169	(8,367)	(4,617)	76,185	0.3972	12.80	5.0836	
LONG-TERM DEBT	80,794	(345)	(4,596)	75,853	0.3954	10.66	4.2152	
SHORT-TERM DEBT	49	5,368	(309)	5,108	0.0266	10.25	0.2729	
CUSTOMER DEPOSITS	12,694	0	(725)	11,969	0.0624	8.76	0.5466	
DEFERRED TAXES	19,412	0	(1,109)	18,303	0.0954	0.00	0.0000	
TAX CREDITS	0	4,678	(267)	4,411	0.0230	0.00	0.0000	
TOTAL	202,118	1,334	(11,624)	191,828	1.0000		10.1182	

THE OVERALL WEIGHTED AVERAGE COST OF CAPITAL TO BE USED FOR RATEMAKING PURPOSES FOR THE PROJECTED TEST YEAR IS 10.12%.

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU NET OPERATING INCOME MULTIPLIER PYE 9/30/91

ATTACHMENT 4

ORDER NO. 23481 DOCKET NO. 891353-GU PAGE 76

NO NO	DESCRIPTION	COMPANY	STAFF
	REVENUE REQUIREMENT	100.0000%	100.0000%
	GROSS RECEIPTS TAX RATE	1.5000%	0.0000%
	REGULATORY ASSESSMENT FEE	0.3750%	0.3750%
	BAD DEBT RATE	0.1869%	0.1869%
	NET BEFORE INCOME TAXES	97.9381%	99.4381%
	STATE INCOME TAX RATE	5.5000%	5.5000%
	STATE INCOME TAX	5.3866%	5.4691%
	NET BEFORE FEDERAL INCOME TAXES	92.5515%	93.9690%
	FEDERAL INCOME TAX RATE	34.0000%	34.0000%
	FEDERAL INCOME TAXES	31.4675%	31.9495%
	REVENUE EXPANSION FACTOR	61.0840%	62.0195%
73	NET OPERATING INCOME MULTIPLIER	1.6371%	1.6124%

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU PROJECTED TEST YEAR INCREASE PTY 9/30/91

ORDER NO. 23481 DOCKET NO. 891353-GU PAGE 77

ISSUE NO.			COMPANY PER FILING		STAFF
RATE BASE (AVER			203,718,000	19	1,827,535 10.1200%
RATE OF RETURN REQUIRED NOI		X	10.6400% \$21,675,595	\$1	9,412,947
Operating Reven	ues		\$78,199,000	\$7	6,411,403
Operating Expen	ses:				
Operation & Ma	intenance		42,225,000	3	9,961,803
Depreciation &	Amortization		14,332,000	1	3,771,811
Taxes Other Th	an Income Taxes		7,077,000		5,229,110
Current Income	Taxes - Federal		664,000		1,580,437
- 5	State		115,000		271,875
Deferred Incom	e Taxes		520,000		520,000
Interest Recond	dilation		403,000		356,309
Parent Debt Ad	justment		(88,000)	_	(355,465)
Total Operation	g Expenses		65,248,000		51,335,880
ACHIEVED NOI			\$12,951,000	\$	15,075,523
NOI DEFICIENCY			\$8,724,595		\$4,337,424
				×	1.6124
NOI MULTIPLIER 74 REVENUE INCRE	ASE	X	1.6371 \$14,283,034		\$6,993,662

NOTE: THE STAFF CALCULATED DEFICIENCY IS \$107,135 LOWER DUE TO ELIMINATING THE EFFECT OF GROSS RECEIPTS TAX. AS STATED IN ISSUE 65, THE COMPANY WOULD STATE THE GROSS RECEIPTS TAX AS A SEPARTATE LINE ITEM ON THE CUSTOMER'S BILL.

ATTACHMENT 6

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY

COMPANY NAME: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC.

PROPOSED RATE DESIGN

DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

				COMMERCIAL.	COMMERCIAL		SMALL.		INTERRUPT	
	TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	LARGE VOL.1	LARGE VOL.2	WHOLESALE	INTERRUPT	INTERRUPT	LARGE VOL	
PRESENT RATES (projected test year)										
GAS SALES (due to growth)	75,170,325	19,568,375	13,080,113	23,336,235	2,744,175	12,387	4,760,054	8,605,137	3,063,849	0
OTHER OPERATING REVENUE	1,210,112	726,067	484,045	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	76,380,437	20,294,442	13,564,158	23,336,235	2,744,175	12,387	4,760,054	8,605,137	3,063,849	0
RATE OF RETURN	7.90%	-9.80%	2.99%	15.09%	10.58%	5.18%	27.13%	44.59%	96.52%	ERR
INDEX	1.00	-0.01	0.00	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.03	0.06	0.12	ERR
PROPOSED RATES										
GAS SALES	81,363,460	23,585,284	15,752,393	26,513,298	3,117,932	15,406	4,760,054	6,683,418	935,675	0
OTHER OPERATING REVENUE	2,010,200	1,206,120	804,080	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	83,373,660	24,791,404	16,556,473	26,513,298	3,117,932	15,406	4,760,054	6,583,418	935,675	0
TOTAL REVENUE INCREASE	6,993,223	4,496,962	2,992,315	3,177,063	373,757	3,019	0	(1,921,719)	(2,128,174)	0
PERCENT INCREASE	9.16%	22.16%	22.06%	13.61%	13.62%	24.379	0.00%	-22.334	-69.46%	ERR
RATE OF RETURN	10.12%	-1.00%	10.02%	17.01%	12.69%	6 10.179	6 22.61%	23.60%	4.39%	ERR
INDEX	1.00	-0.10	0.99	1.68	1.25	1.01	2.23	2.33	0.43	ERR

COMPANY NAME: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM,	INC.			COST OF SER	VICE SUMMAI	RY			ATTACHMENT	6	
DOCKET NO. 891353-GU			c	ALCULATION OF	PROPOSED RATE	ES					
				COMMERCIAL.	COMMERCIAL	70VA - 1	SMALL.		INTERRUPT		
	TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	LARGE VOL.1	LARGE VOL.2	WHOLESALE	INTERRUPT	INTERRUPT	LARGE VOL.		
PROPOSED TOTAL TARGET REVENUES	83,373,660	24,791,404	16,556,473	26,513,298	3,117,932	15,406	4,760,054	6,683,418	935,675	0	
LESS OTHER OPERATING REVENUE	2,010,200	1,206,120	804,080	0	0	0	0	0	۰	0	
LESS-CUSTOMER CHARGE REVENUES											
PROPOSED CUSTOMER CHARGES TIMES NUMBER OF BILLS	2,114,520	\$7.00 1,864,800	\$17.00 195,996	\$26.00 51,480	\$75.00 960	\$0.00 24	\$150.00 996	\$225.00 240	\$225.00 24	\$0.00 0	
EQUALS CUSTOMER CHARGE REVENUES	18,004,812	13,053,600	3,331,932	1,338,480	72,000	0	149,400	54,000	5,400	0	
LESS OTHER NON-THERM-RATE REVENUES											
EQUALS: PER-THERM TARGET REVENUES	63,358,648	10,531,684	12,420,461	25,174,818	3,045,932	15,406	4,610,654	6,629,418	930,275	0	
DIVIDED BY:NUMBER OF THERMS	574,510,338	40,078,000	52,719,000	138,676,900	23,241,150	158,000	78,545,455	148,968,833	92,125,000	0	
EQUALS:PER-THERM RATES(UNRNDED)		0.262780	0.235597	0.181536	0.131058	0.098756	0.058700	0.044502	0.010098	ERR	
PER-THERM RATES(RNDED)		0.26278	0.23560	0.18154	0.13106	0.09876	0.05870	0.04450	0.01010	ERR	
PER-THERM-RATE REVENUES(RNDED RATES)	63,359,283	10,531,697	12,420,596	25,175,404	3,045,985	15,407	4,610,618	6,629,113	930,463	ERR	
										H H O	

COMPANY NAME: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC.

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY

ATTACHMENT 6

DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

CALCULATION OF PROPOSED RATES

			COMMERCIAL.	COMMERCIAL		SMALL		INTERRUPT	
SUMMARY PROPOSED TARIFF RATES	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	LARGE VOL.1	LARGE VOL.2	WHOLESALE	INTERRUPT	INTERRUPT	LARGE VOL	
CUSTOMER CHARGES	\$7.00	\$17.00	\$26.00	\$75.00	\$0.00	\$150.00	\$225.00	\$225.00	\$0.00
ENERGY CHARGES									
NON-GAS (CENTS PER THERM)	26.278	23.560	18.154	13.106	9.876	5.870	4.450	1,010	ERR
PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
TOTAL (ENCLUDING PGA)	26.278	23.560	18.154	13,106	9.876	5.870	4.450	1.010	ERR
SUMMARY PRESENT TARIFF RATES									
CUSTOMER CHARGES	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
ENERGY CHARGES			SEE ATTACHM	ENT FOR I	RATE CON	MPARISON			
NON-GAS (CENTS PER THERM)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
PURCHASED GAS ADJUSTMENT	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
TOTAL (INCLUDING PGA)	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0,000	0.000	0.000

SUMMARY:OTHER OPERATING REVENUE PRESENT PROPOSED CHARGE REVENUE CHARGE REVENUE \$25.00 \$1,325,050 \$15.00 \$915,030 RESIDENTIAL CONNECTION/RECONNECTION \$50.00 \$380,150 \$25.00 \$190,082 COMMERCIAL CONNECTION/RECONNECTION \$10.00 \$100,000 \$10.00 \$100,000 CHANGE OF ACCOUNT \$200,000 \$10.00 BILL COLLECTION IN LIEU OF DISCONNECTION \$0.00 \$0 \$5,000 \$10.00 \$5,000 RETURNED CHECK CHARGE \$10.00

ORDER NO. 23481 DOCKET NO. 891353-0 PAGE 80

GU

PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU	RATE COMPARI	SON	ATTACHMENT 6		
			STAFF		
RATE SCHEDULE	PRESENT RATE	RATE INCREASE	PROPOSED RATE		
RESIDENTIAL (Peoples)					
CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$6.00	\$1.00	\$7.00		
ENERGY CHARGE (costs/been)	22.2440	4.03	26.2780		
RESIDENTIAL (Southern)					
CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$5.00	\$2.00	\$7.00		
ENERGY CHARGE (conta/thorns)	21.7400	4.54	26.2780		
GENERAL SERVICE (Proplet)					
CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$16.00	\$1.00	\$17.00		
ENERGY CHARGE (conts/decree)	19,5000	4.06	23.5600		
GENERAL SERVICE (Southern)	*10.00	****	*** ***		
CUSTOMER CHARGE	20.012	\$7.00	\$17.00		
ENERGY CHARGE (costs/theres)	21.3700	2.19	23.5600		
GENERAL SERVICE LARGE VOLUME I (Pooples)					
CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$25.00	\$1.00	\$26.00		
ENERGY CHARGE (contachorse)	16.2680	1.89	18.1540		
GENERAL SERVICE LARGE VOLUME 1 (Southern from I	ndustrial)				
CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$20.00	\$6.00	\$26.00		
ENERGY CHARGE (contableom)	20.7500	-2.60	18.1540		
GENERAL SERVICE LARGE VOLUME 2 (Pooples)					
CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$100.00	(\$25.00)	\$75.00		
ENERGY CHARGE (conta/decas)	9.0000	4.11	13.1060		
CENERAL SERVICE LARGE MOLITAGE A. L. C. COLOR					
GENERAL SERVICE LARGE VOLUME 2 (Peoples from G: CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$25.00	00.022	\$75.00		
UNLEGY CHARGE (centa/thoras)	16.2680	-3.16	13.1060		
GENERAL SERVICE LARGE VOLUME 2 (Southern from In CUSTOMER CHARGE	CONTRACTOR AND	*****	£74 00		
ENERGY CHARGE (costs/therm)	\$40.00 8.5300	\$35.00 4.58	\$75.00 13.1060		
CHIPOT CHAROL (CONTINUE)	6.3300	4.30	13,1000		
WHOLESALE SERVICE (Posplet)					
CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		
ENERGY CHARGE (costs/bcrss)	8.1330	1.74	9.8760		
SMALL INTERRUPTIBLE (Peoples)					
CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$100.00	\$50.00	\$150.00		
EMERGY CHARGE (contablems)	9.0000	-3.13	5.8700		
SMALL INTERRUPTIBLE (Pusplon from ISLV)					
CUSTOMER CHARGE	\$225.00	(\$75.00)	\$150.00		
ENTERGY CHARGE (complusion)	5.8800	0.01	5.8700		
INTERRIPTIBLE (Posples) CUSTOMER CHARGE	£115.00	for mo	\$725.00		
ENTROPY CHARGE (conteNector)	\$225.00 5.8800	\$0.00 -1.43	4.4500		
INTERRUPTIBLE LARGE VOLUME (Southern from Large CUSTOMER CHARGE)	\$35,264.00	ef 15 (210 cm)	£224 (III)		
INDEGY CHARGE (contableons)	0.0000	(\$35,039.00)	\$225.00 1.0000		
	0.000	1.00	1.000		
INTERRUPTIBLE LARGE VOLUME, (Pondes from Large to	THE RESERVE OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE PERSON NAMED IN				
CUSTOMERCHARIE	\$225.00	\$0.00	\$225.00		
E 200 ARC LY C VER ARCH. School of the rest	5.8800	4.88	1 0000		

COMPANY NAME: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

SCHEDULE - A (COST OF SERVICE) CLASSIFICATION OF RATE BASE (Page 1 of 2:PLANT)

	TOTAL	CUSTOMER	CAPACITY	COMMODITY	CLASSIFIER
LOCAL STORAGE PLANT	0		0		100% capacity
INTANGIBLE PLANT:	412598		412598		
PRODUCTION PLANT	0		0		
DISTRIBUTION PLANT:					
374 Land and Land Rights	1476461		1476461		•
375 Structures and Improvements	9269390		9269390		•
376 Mains	155367691		155367691		
377 Comp.Sta.Eq.	0		0		
378 Meas. 6 Reg.Sta.EqGen	1485149		1485149		
379 Meas. 6 Reg. Sta. EqCG	1572042		1572042		•
180 Services	58646404	58646404			100% customer
381-382 Meters	18665582	18665582			
383-384 House Regulators	5850997	5850997			
385 Industrial Meas. & Reg.Eq.	3702330	303077	3702330		100% capacity
[10]	0	0	0	0	ac 374-385
386 Property on Customer Premises	675216	219317	455899	0	ac 374-386
367 Other Equipment Total Distribution Plant	256711262	83382300	173328962	0	256711262
ERAL PLANT:	20603415	10301708	10301708		50% customer,50%, capacity
PLANT ACQUISITIONS:	4240000		4240000		100% capacity
GAS PLANT FOR FUTURE USE:	0		0		
CVIP:	4450000	1445403	3004597	0	dist.plant
TOTAL PLANT	286417275	95129410	191287865	0	286417275 checksum

COMPANY NAME: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

SCHEDULE - A (COST OF SERVICE)
CLASSIFICATION OF RATE BASE
(Page 2 of 2:ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION)

	TOTAL	CUSTOMER	CAPACITY	COMMODITY	
445.04.75.75.75.75.75.75.75.75.75.75.75.75.75.					CLASSIFIER
LOCAL STORAGE PLANT:	0	0	0	0	related plant
INTANGIBLE FLANT:	250515	0	250515	0	rel.plant account
PRODUCTION PLANT	0		0		
DISTRIBUTION PLANT:					
375 Structures and Improvements	1546837	0	1546837	0	
376 Maine	49171475	0	49171475	0	
377 Compressor Sta. Eq.	0	0	0	0	
378 Meas. 4 Reg.Sta. EqGen	411347	0	411347	0	**
379 Meas. & Reg.Sta. EqCG	611138	0	611138	0	**
380 Services	29027568	29027568	0	0	
381-382 Meters	8601448	8601448	0	0	**
381-384 House Regulators	1929320	1929320	0	0	**
385 Indust. Meas. 4 Reg. Sta. Eq.	835540	0	835540	0	**
386 Property on Customer Premises	0	0	0	0	
387 Other Equipment	247164	80281	166883	0	**
Total A.D. on Dist. Plant	92381837	39638617	52743220	0	92381837 checksum
GENERAL PLANT:	10278085	5139043	5139043	0	general plant
PLANT ACQUISITIONS:	1912053	0	1912853	0	plant acquisitions
RETIREMENT WORK IN PROGRESS:	-343770	-111660	-232110	0	distribution plant
TOTAL ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION	104479520	44666000	59813520	0	104479520 checksum
			****	********	
NET PLANT (Plant less Accus.Dep.)	181937755	50463410	131474345	0	161937755 checksum
less:CUSTOMER ADVANCES	-1002000	-501000	-501000		50% cust 50% cap
plus:WORKING CAPITAL	10891760	7425058	3281796	184926	oper. and maint. exp
equals:TOTAL PATE BASE	191827535	57387468	134255141	184926	191827535 checksum

COMPANY NAME: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU SCHEDULE - B (COST OF SERVICE) CLASSIFICATION OF EXPENSES (Page 1 of 2)

OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES	TOTAL	CUSTOMER	CAPACITY	COMMODITY		
	1 2 1 2	1161				CLASSIFIER
LOCAL STORAGE PLANT:	0	0	0	0		ac 301-320
PRODUCTION PLANT	0		0			100% capacity
DISTRIBUTION:						ac 871-879
870 Operation Supervision & Eng.	242699	143731	98968	0		
871 Dist.Load Dispatch	220800		220800			100% capacity ac 377
872 Compr.Sta.Lab. & Ex.	0	0	0	0		
673 Compr.Sta.Fuel & Power	0			0		100% commodity
874 Mains and Services	2598067	711950	1886117	0		ac376+ac380
875 Meas. 6 Reg. Sta.EqGen	80316	0	80316	0		ac 378
876 Meas.4 Reg. Sta.EqInd.	175520	0	175520	0		ac 365
877 Meas. 6 Reg. Sta.EqCG	48259	0	48259	0		ac 379
878 Meter and House Reg.	2789545	2789545	0	0		ac381+ac383
879 Customer Instal.	0	0	0	0		ac 386
880 Other Expenses	2751078	1395630	1355448	0		ac 387
B1 Rents	69873		69873			100% capacity
35 Maintenance Supervision	115235	45803	69432	0		ac886-894
86 Maint, of Struct, and Improv.	340920	0	340920	0		ac375
87 Maintenance of Mains	1785920	0	1785920	0		ac376
888 Maint. of Comp.Sta.Eq.	0	0	0	0		ac 377
889 Maint, of Meas. 6 Reg. Sta.EqG	128066	0	128066	0		ac 378
890 Maint, of Meas.4 Reg. Sta.EqI	190150	0	190150	0		ac 385
891 Maint. of Meas.4 Reg.Sta.EqCG	86545	0	86545	0		ac 379
892 Maintenance of Services	746361	746361	0	. 0		ac 380
893 Maint. of Meters and House Reg.	923662	923662	0	0		ac381-383
	119222	47387	71835	0		ac387
894 Maint. of Other Equipment Total Distribution Expenses	13412236	6804068	6608170	0		13412238 che
CUSTOMER ACCOUNTS:						
	23010	23010				100% customer
901 Supervision						"
902 Meter-Reading Expense	1149489	1149489				
903 Records and Collection Exp.	4884372	4884372		224500		100% commodity
904 Uncollectible Accounts	374608	1		374608		100% commodity
905 Misc. Expenses	323380	323380				100% customer
Total Customer Accounts	6754859	6380251	0	374608		
(907-910) CUSTOMER SERV.4 INFO. EXP.	0	0				**
(911-916) SALES EXPENSE	1816946	1816946				
(9)2) MAINT. OF GEN. PLANT	79673	39837	39837	0		general plant
(920-931) ADMINISTRATION AND GENERAL	17911154	12210250	5396800	304104	0	OSM excl. ASG
TOTAL OLM EXPENSE	39974870	27251352	12044806	678712		39974870 che

SCHEDULE - B (COST OF SERVICE) CLASSIFICATION OF EXPENSES

(Page 2 of 2)

ATTACHMENT 6

COMPANY NAME: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZATION EXPENSE:	TOTAL	CUSTOMER	CAPACITY	COMMODITY	REVENUE	CLASSIFIER
Depreciation Expense	12365612	3429805	8935807	0		net plant
Amort, of Other Gas Plant	0		0			100% capacity
Amort. of Property Loss	1194263	•	1194263			100% capacity
Amort, of Limited-term Inv.	112936	0	112936	0		intangible plan
Amort, of Acquisition Adj.	99000	33395	65605	0		intan/dist/gen
Amort, of Conversion Costs	0			0		100% commodity
Total Deprec. and Amort. Expense	13771811	3463200	10308611	0	0	13771811 che
TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES:						100% revenue
Revenue Related	480171			21	480171	
Other	4743764	1315760	3428004	0		net plant
Total Taxes other than Income Taxes	5223935	1315760	3428004	0	480171	
MEV.CRDT TO COS(NEG.OF OTHR OPR.REV)	-2010200	-2010200				100% customer
RETURN (REQUIRED NOI)	19412960	5807616	13586630	18714		rate base
INCOME TAXES	4990084	1492842	3492431	4811	0	return(noi)
TOTAL OVERALL COST OF SERVICE	81363460	37320571	42860481	702237	480171	81363460 che

ORDER NO. 23481

PAGE 86

DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

COMPANY NAME: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC.										
DOCALL NO. 891531-GU									William Control of the Control of th	
CUSTOMER COSTS	TOTAL	COMPACIAL COMMERCIAL LARGE VOL. 1	COMMERCIAL	LANGE VOL. 1	LANGE VOL. 2	WHOLESALE	INTERNOPT	INTERGUPT	LARGE VOL.	
No. of Customers	176210	155400	16333	4290	90	2	63	20	2	0
Weighting	NA	1.0	3.5	0.4	0.4	3.8	0.4	0.4	1.0	0.0
Weighted No. of Customers	230467	155400	57166	17160	320	•	332	0.8	2	0
Allocation Factors	**	0.674282793	0.24804191	1 0.674282793 0.24804191 0.074457482	0.00138848	0.000032	0.001440	0.0003471	0.00000	0
Peak & Avg. Month Sales Vol. (therms) 106338607	106338607	9927092	10307036	26583983	4057515	20575	14224292	26765063	14453051	0
Allocation Factors	-	0.093353602	0.09692656	0	0.03815655	0.000193	0.133764	0.2516965	0.135915	0
	1	0.195045835	0.20251091	1 0.195045835 0.20251091 0.522317628	0.07972137	0.000404				
COMMODITY COSTS										
Annual Sales Vol. (therms)	574510338	40078000	52719000	138676900	23241150	156000	78545455	148968833	92125000	0
Allocation Factors	-	0.069760276	0.09176336	1 0.069760276 0.09176336 0.241382775	0.04045384	0.000271	0.136717	0.2592970	0.160353	0
PEVENUE-RELATED COSTS										
Tax on Cust, Cap, & Commod.	303312	120453	59025	78556	10547	57	13095	17462	4116	0
Allocation Factors	,	0.397125321	0.19460257	1 0.397125321 0.19460257 0.258993278	0.03477207	0.000189	0.043173	0.0575725	0.013571	0

SCHEDULE - D (COST OF SERVICE)
ALLOCATION OF RATE BASE TO CUSTOMER CLASSES

ATTACHMENT 6

ORDER NO. 23481 DOCKET NO.891353-PAGE 87

COMPANY NAME: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

OTAL	191827535	61705016	35829377	63073465	9094202	47514	7965808	11814870	2297284	
Total	184926	12900	16969	44638	7481	50	25283	47951	29654	
All Other	184926	12900	16969	44638	7481	50	25283	47951	29654	
Account #	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Account #	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Account #	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Commodity										
Total	134255141	21719375	22550650	59047899	9012484	45701	7863505	11748360	2267167	
All Other	24118333	2670636	2772850	7151755	1091573	5535	5208209	4470174	747601	
Mains	106196216	18948495	19673720	50742604	7744847	39273	2038072	6116789	892415	
Meas.&Reg.Sta.EqGen.	1073602	100243	104080	268444	40973	208	143636	270272	145946	
Industrial Meas.& Reg. Sta. Eq.	2866790	0	0	885096	135092	685	473588	891125	481204	
Capacity										
Total	57387468	39972740	13261757	3980928	74236	1763	77020	18559	464	
All Other	13782821	9293519	3418717	1026234	19137	455	19855	4784	120	
Services	- 29618836	19971471	7346713	2205344	41125	977	42667	10281	257	
House Regulators	3921677	3921677	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Meters	10064134	6786072	2496327	749350	13974	332	14498	3493	87	
Customer										
IRECT AND SPECIAL ASSIGNMENTS:										
ATE BASE BY CUSTOMER CLASS	TOTAL R	ESIDENTIAL (COMMERCIAL	LARGE VOL.1	LARGE VOL.2	WHOLESALE	INTERRUPT	INTERRUPT	LARGE VOL.	
				COMMERCIAL	COMMERCIAL		SMALL		INTERRUPT	9

COMPANY N.ME: PEOPLES CAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-QU

SCHEDULE - E (COST OF SERVICE) ALLOCATION OF COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSES (Page 1 of 3)

				COMMERCIAL	COMMERCIAL		SMALL		INTERRUPT	
	TOTAL I	MERIDENTIAL O	COMMERCIAL L	ANDE VOL.1	LANCE VOL.2	VHOLESALE	INTERMEPT	INTERMUTT	LANCE VOL.	
Customer	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Capacity	0	0	0						۰	
Connedity			0						0	
Perence										٥
Total .	0	0	0	0					0	
OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSE:										
DIRECT AND SPECIAL ASSIGNMENTS:										
Customer										
878 Maters and House Regulators	2789545	1880942	691924	207702	3873	92	4018	968	24	
893 Maint. of Meters & Nouse Reg.	923662	622609	229107	68774	1282	30	1331	321		
874 Hains & Services	711950	480055	176393	53010	989	23	1026	247		
892 Maint. of Services	746361	503258	185129	35572	1036	25	1075	259		
All Other	22079834	14888052	5476724	1644009	30658	728	31007	7664	192	
Total	27251352	18375118	6759477	2029067	37838	899	39257	9460	216	
Capacity										
876 Measuring & Reg. Sta. Eq I	175520	0	0	54190	8271	42	28996	34359	29462	
890 Maint. of Mess.: Reg.Sta.EqI	190150	0	0	58707	8960	45	31412	39107	31918	
874 Maine and Services	1885117	176076	182815	471517	71968	365	252295	474729	256352	
887 Maint, of Maine	1785920	318660	330856	853347	130246	660	34275	102867	15008	
All Other	8007099	886530	920565	2374327	362394	1838	1729085	1484063	248198	
Total	12044806	1361366	1434236	3812089	581839	2950	2076061	2175326	580937	
Conmodity										
Account /	0	0	0	0						
Account *		0	0	0						
Account #	0	ò	0	0	0	٥	0			
All Other	678712	47347	62281	163829	27457	184	92792	175988	108834	
Total	678712	47347	62281	163829	27457	184	92792	175988	108834	
TOTAL OSH	39974870	19803831	8255994	6004985	647134	4033	2208111	2360773	690008	

COMPANY NAME: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

SCHEDULE - E (COST OF SERVICE) ALLOCATION OF COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSES (Page 2 of 3)

ATTACRMENT 6

				COMMERCIAL	COMMERCIAL		SMALL		INTERRUPT	
	TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	LARGE VOL.1	LARGE VOL.2	WHOLESALE	INTERRUPT	INTERRUPT	LARGE VOL.	
DEPRECIATION EXPENSE:										
Customer	3429805	2312658	850735	255375	4762	113	4941	1191	30	0
Capacity	8935807	1594408	1655432	4269701	651685	3305	171492	514693	75092	0
Total	. 12365612	3907066	2506167	4525076	656447	3418	176433	515884	75121	0
AMORT. OF GAS PLANT:										
Capacity			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
AMORT. OF PROPERTY LOSS:										
Capacity	1194263	213091	221247	570642	87097	442	22920	68788	10036	0
AMORT OF LIMITED TERM INVEST.										
Capacity	112936	20151	20922	53963	8236	42	2167	6505	949	0
AMORT. OF ACQUISITION ADJ.:										
Customer	33399	22518	9283	2487	46	1	48	12	0	0
Capacity	65605	11706	12154	31347	4785	24	1259	3779	551	0
Total	99000	34224	20437	33834	4831	25	1307	3790	552	0
AMORT. OF CONVERSION COSTS:										
Commodity				0	0	0	0	0	0	0

ORDER NO. 23481 DOCKET NO.891353-PAGE 89 COMPANY MARE! PEOPLES GAS STETCH, INC.

SCHEDULE - E (COST OF SERVICE)

ATTACEMENT 6

ALLOCATION OF COST OF SERVICE TO CUSTOMER CLASSES DOCKET NO. 891353-00

(Fage 3 of 3) COMMERCIAL COMMERCIAL

SHALL INTERRUPT

	TOTAL R	ESIDENTIAL O	COMMERCIAL L	ARGE VOL.1	LARGE VOL. 2	MIGLESALE	INTERMUPT	INTERMUNT	LARGE VOL.	
TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME TAXES:				-			**********			
Customer	1315760	887195	326364	97968	1827	43	1895	457	11	
Capacity	3428004	611656	635066	1637966	250003	1268	65789	197449	28807	
Subtotel	4743764	1498850	961430	1735935	231830	1311	67684	197906	20010	
Revenue	480171	190688	93443	124361	16697	91	20730	27645	6517	
Total	. 5223935	1689538	1054872	1860296	268526	1402	88415	225551	35335	0
RETURN (NOI)										
Customer	5807616	4045244	1342091	402870	7513	178	7794	1878	47	
Capacity	13586630	2198002	2282127	5975651	912064	4525	795787	1100935	229437	0
Commodity	18714	1306	1717	4517	757		2559	4853	3001	0
Total	19412960	6244552	3625935	6383039	920334	4808	005140	1195666	232465	0
INCOME TAXES										
Customer	1492842	1039826	344983	103557	1931	46	2004	483	12	
Capacity	3497431	564995	586619	1536036	234445	1189	204556	305615	58977	٥
Cormodity	4811	336	441	1161	195	1	658	1247	771	0
Total	4990084	1605156	932043	1640754	236571	1236	207218	307345	39760	٥
REVENUE CREDITED TO COS:										
Cuetomer	-2010200	-1206120	-804080	0	0	٥	. 0	0	0	٥
TOTAL COST OF SERVICE:										
Cuetones	37320571	25476439	8827854	2891324	53917	1281	55939	13479	337	0
Capacity	42850481	6595375	6847803	17887396	2730154	13844	1340033	4451089	984787	
Commodity	102237	48988	64440	169508	28408	191	96008	182088	112606	٥
Subtotal	80883289	32120802	15740096	20948228	2812480	15315	3491980	4656657	1097730	
Revenue	480171	190688	93443	124361	16697	91	20730	27645	6517	۰
Total	81363450	32311490	15833539	21072589	2829177	15406	3512711	4684301	1104247	

SCHEDULE - F (COST OF SERVICE)

COMPANY NAME: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC.

DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

DERIVATION OF REVENUE DEFICIENCY

COST OF SERVICE BY CUSTOMER CLASS	TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	COMMERCIAL LARGE VOL.1	COMMERCIAL LARGE VOL.2	WHOLESALE	SMALL INTERRUPT	INTERRUPT	INTERRUPT LARGE VOL.	
CUSTOMER COSTS	37,320,571	25,476,439	8,827,854	2,891,324	53,917	1,281	55,939	13,479	337	0
CAPACITY COSTS	42,860,481	6,595,375	6,847,803	17,887,396	2,730,154	13,844	3,340,033	4,461,089	984,787	0
COMMODITY COSTS	702,237	48,988	64,440	169,508	28,408	191	96,008	182,088	112,606	. 0
REVENUE COSTS	480,171	190,688	93,443	124,361	16,697	91	20,730	27,645	6,517	0
TOTAL .	81,363,460	32,311,490	15,833,539	21,072,589	2,629,177	15,406	3,512,711	4,684,301	1,104,247	0
	75170324	473522	316517	564698	66405	300	115185	208230	74140	0
less:REVENUE AT PRESENT RATES (in the projected test year)	75,170,325	19,568,375	13,080,113	23,336,235	2,744,175	12,367	4,760,054	8,605,137	3,063,849	0
equals: GAS SALES REVENUE DEFICIENCY	6,193,135	12,743,115	2,753,426	(2,263,646)	85,002	3,019	(1,247,343)	(3,920,836)	(1,959,602)	0
plus:DEFICIENCY IN OTHER OPERATING REV.	800,088	480,053	320,035	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
equals:TOTAL BASE-REVENUE DEFICIENCY	6,993,223	13,223,168	3,073,461	(2,263,646)	85,002	3,019	(1,247,343)	(3,920,836)	(1,959,602)	0
UNIT COSTS:						γ				
Customer	17.649665	13.661754	45.040990	56.164026	56.164026	53.355825	56.164026	56.164026	14.041006	ERR
Capacity	0.403057	0.664381	0.664381	0.672864	0.672864	0.672864	0.234812	0.166676	0.068137	ERR
Commodity	0.001222	0.001222	0.001222	0.001222	0.001222	0.001222	0.001222	0.001222	0.001222	ERR

COMPANY NAME: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU SCHEDULE - G (COST OF SERVICE) RATE OF RETURN BY CUSTOMER CLASS (Page 1 of 2:FRESENT RATES)

ATTACRMENT 6

	TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	COMMERCIAL	COMMERCIAL LARGE VOL.1	LANGE VOL. 2	MICEBALE	SMALL INTERAUPT	INTERMUPT	INTERMUTT LANGE VOL.	
REVENUES: (projected test year)										
Cas Sales (due to growth)	75,170,325	19,568,175	13,000,113	23,336,235	2,744,175	12,307	4,760,054	8,605,137	3,063,849	
Other Operating Revenue	1,210,112	726,067	484,045	٥	0	0		0		
Total	76,380,437	20,294,442	13,564,158	23,336,235	2,744,175	12,387	4,760,054	8,605,137	3,043,849	0
EXPENSES	•									
Purchased Gas Cost	0	0	0	0						
Cam Expenses	39,974,870	19,803,831	8,255,994	6,004,985	647,134	4,033	2,200,111	2,360,773	690,008	
Depreciation Expenses	12,365,612	3,907,066	2,506,167	4,525,076	656,447	3,418	176,433	515,884	75,121	
Amortization Expenses	1,406,199	267,466	262,607	658,439	100,164	509	26,394	79,084	11,537	
Taxes Other Than Income Fixed	4,743,764	1,498,850	961,430	1,735,935	251,830	1,311	67,684	197,905	28,818	
Taxes Other Than Income Pavenue	281,889	73,381	49,050	87,511	10,291	46	17,050	32,269	11,499	
Total Expees excl. Income Taxes	58,772,334	25,550,595	12,035,248	13,011,946	1,665,866	9,317	2,496,473	3,185,916	816,974	
INCOME TAXES:	2,458,456	790,810	459,188	808,348	116,551	609	102,090	131,419	29,442	
									.,,	
NET OPERATING INCOME:	15,149,647	(6,046,96)) 1,069,722	9,515,942	961,756	2,461	2,161,492	5,267,802	2,217,433	0
MATE BASE:	191,827,535	61,705,016	35,829,377	63,073,465	9,094,202	47,514	7,065,808	11,814,870	2,297,284	0
RATE OF RETURN	0.078975	-0.097998	0.029856	0.150871	0.103755	0.051788	0.771346	0.445862	0.955241	130

ORDER NO. 23481 DOCKET NO. 891353-GU PAGE 92 COMPANY NAME: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

SCHEDULE - G (COST OF SERVICE)

RATE OF RETURN BY CUSTOMER CLASS (Page 2 of 2:PROPOSED RATES)

COMMERCIAL COMMERCIAL SMALL INTERBUPT TOTAL RESIDENTIAL COMMERCIAL LARGE VOL. 1 LARGE VOL.2 WHOLESALE INTERRUPT INTERRUPT LARGE VOL. REVENUES: Gas Sales 81,363,460 23,585,284 15,752,393 26,513,298 3,117,932 15,406 4,760,054 6,683,418 935,675 Other Operating Revenue 2,010,200 1,206,120 804,080 0 0 0 0 Total 83,373,660 24,791,404 16,556,473 26,513,298 3,117,932 15,406 4,760,054 6,683,418 935,675 EXPENSES: Purchased Gas Cost 0 0 0 0 0 0 OAM Expenses 39,974,870 19,803,831 8,255,994 6,004,985 647,134 4,033 2,208,111 2,360,773 690,008 0 656,447 3,418 176,433 515,884 Depreciation Expenses 12,365,612 3,907,066 2,506,167 4,525,076 75,121 0 509 267,466 262,607 658,439 100,164 26,394 79,084 11,537 ò Amortization Expenses 1,406,199 251,830 1,311 67,684 197,906 28,818 1,498,850 961,430 1,735,935 Ö Taxes Other Than Income -- Fixed 4,743,764 11,692 58 17,850 25,063 3,509 Taxes Other Than Income -- Revenue 480,171 88.445 59,071 99,425 0 Total Expses excl. Income Taxes 58,970,616 25,565,659 12,045,269 13,023,860 1,667,267 9,329 2,496,473 3,178,709 808,993 PRE TAX NOI: 24,403,044 (774,255) 4,511,204 13,489,438 1,450,665 6,077 2,263,581 3,504,709 126,682 0 25,905 0 296,641 1,243 462,871 716,664 INCOME TAXES: 4,990,084 (158,324) 922,479 2,758,403 4,835 1,800,710 2,788,044 100,777 0 1,154,024 NET OPERATING INCOME: 19,412,960 (615,930) 3,588,726 10,731,035 2,297,284 O 191,827,535 61,705,016 35,829,377 63,073,465 9,094,202 47,514 7,965,808 11,814,870 RATE BASE: RATE OF RETURN -0.009982 0.100162 0.170135 0.126897 0.101750 0.226055 0.235978 0.043868 ERR 0.101200

> ORDER NO. 23481 DOCKET NO.891353-GU PAGE 93

ATTACHMENT 6

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY RATE COMPARISON

COMPANY: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. 891353-GU DOCKET NO.

RATE SCHEDULE:

RESIDENTIAL

PEOPLES

PRESENT RATES

Customer Charge 6.00

PROPOSED RATES

Customer Charge 7.00

Energy Charge

Energy Charge

Beginning therms	Ending therms	cents per therm	Beginning therms	Ending therms p	cents er therm
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	N/A	22.244	0	N/A	26.278

GAS COST CENTS/THERM 26,172

	Pi	RESENT		1	PROPOSED		
	monthly	monthly	monthly	monthly	percent	percent	
therm	bil1	bill	bill	bi11	increase	increase	Dollar
usage	w/o fuel	with fuel	w/o fuel	with fuel	w/o fuel	with fuel	Increase
0	6.00	6.00	7.00	7.00	16.67	16.67	1.00
10	8.22	10.84	9.63	12.25	17.06	12.94	1.40
20	10.45	15.68	12.26	17.49	17.29	11.52	1.81
30	12.67	20.52	14.88	22.74	17.44	10.77	2.21
40	14.90	25.37	17.51	27.98	17.54	10.30	2.61
50	17.12	30.21	20.14	33.23	17.62	9.99	3.02
60	19.35	35.05	22.77	38.47	17.68	9.76	3.42
70	21.57	39.89	25.39	43.72	17.73	9.59	3.82
80	23.80	44.73	28.02	48.96	17.76	9.45	4.23
90	26.02	49.57	30.65	54.21	17.80	9.34	4.63
100	28.24	54.42	33.28	59.45	17.82	9.25	5.03
110	30.47	59.26	35.91	64.70	17.85	9.18	5.44
120	32.69	64.10	38.53	69.94	17.87	9.11	5.84
130	34.92	68.94	41.16	75.19	17.88	9.06	6.24
140	37.14	73.76	43.79	80.43	17.90	9.01	6.65
150	39.37	78.62	46.42	85.68	17.91	8.97	7.05
160	41.59	63.47	49.04	90.92	17.92	8.93	7.45
170	43.61	66.31	51.67	96.17	17.93	8.90	7.86
180	46.04	93.15	54.30	101.41	17.94	8.87	8.26
190	48.26	97.99	56.93	106.66	17.95	8.84	8.66
* 30	40.20	-					

ATTACHMENT 6

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY RATE COMPARISON

COMPANY: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. 891353-GU DOCKET NO.

RATE SCHEDULE:

COMMERCIAL

PEOPLES

PRESENT BATES

Customer Charge 16.00

0

PROPOSED RATES

Customer Charge 17.00

Energy Charge

Ending cents therms per therm Beginning therms 0 0 0

N/A 19.5 Energy Charge

Ending cents Beginning therms therms per therm 0 0 0 N/A 23.560 0

GAS COST CENTS/THERM 26.172

THERM USAGE INCREMENT 50

PROPOSED PRESENT monthly percent bill increase monthly monthly bill percent monthly increase Dollar bi11 bill therm w/o fuel with fuel w/o fuel with fuel Increase usage w/o feel with fuel 17.00 28.78 17.00 6.25 6.25 1.00 16.00 . 0 16.00 3.03 11.77 7.80 50 25.75 38.64 41.87 14.25 66.73 8.20 5.06 61.67 40.56 35.50 100 7.09 9.12 91.60 15.67 8.39 150 45.25 84.51 52.34 107.34 64.12 75.90 116.46 16.58 8.50 200 55.00 141.33 17.22 11.15 8.57 250 64.75 130.18 17.69 8.61 13.18 153.02 87.68 166.20 74.50 300 99.46 18.05 8.65 15.21 175.85 191.06 350 84.25 17.24 18.34 8.68 400 94.00 198.69 111.24 215.93 221.52 19.27 18.57 123.02 240.79 6.70 450 103.75 134.80 18.77 8.72 21.30 244.36 265.66 18.93 500 113.50 267.20 23.33 8.73 146.58 290.53 123.25 550 315.39 19.07 340.26 19.19 8.74 25.36 158.36 600 133.00 290.03 312.67 8.75 27.39 142.75 170.14 650 181.92 365.12 19.29 8.76 29.42 335.70 152.50 700 19.38 193.70 31.45 389.99 8.77 750 162.25 358.54 381.38 19.47 33.48 8.78 205.48 414.86 800 172.00 19.54 19.60 439.72 8.78 35.51 217.26 850 181.75 404.21 427.05 37.54 8.79 229.04 464.59 900 191,50 8.80 39.57 240.82 489.45 19.66 449.88 950 201.25

ATTACHMENT 6

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY RATE COMPARISON

COMPANY: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

RATE SCHEDULE:

COMMERCIAL LARGE VOLUME 1

PEOPLES

PRESENT RATES

PROPOSED RATES

Customer Charge

25.00

Customer Charge 26.00

Energy Charge

Energy	Charge

Beginning therms	Ending therms	cents per therm	Beginning therms	Ending therms pe	cents or therm
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	N/A	16.268	0	N/A	18.154

GAS COST CENTS/THERM 26.172

THERM USAGE INCREMENT

100

	PF	ESENT			PROPOSED		
	monthly	monthly	monthly	monthly	percent	percent	
herm	bill	bill	bill	bill	increase	increase	Dollar
sage	w/o fuel	with fuel	w/o fuel	with fuel	w/o fuel	with fuel	Increase
0	25.00	25.00	26.00	26.00	4.00	4.00	1.00
100	41.27	67.44	44.15	70.33	6.99	4.26	2.89
200	57.54	109.88	62.31	114.65	6.29	4.34	4.77
300	73.80	152.32	80.46	158.98	9.02	4.37	6.66
400	90.07	194.76	98.62	203.30	9.49	4.39	8.54
500	106.34	237.20	116.77	247.63	9.81	4.40	10.43
600	122.61	279.64	134.92	291.96	10.05	4.40	12.32
700	138.86	322.08	153.08	336.28	10.23	4.41	14.20
800	155.14	364.52	171.23	380.61	10.37	4.41	16.09
900	171.41	406.96	189.39	424.93	10.49	4.42	17.97
1000	187.68	449.40	207.54	469.26	10.58	4.42	19.86
1100	203.95	491.84	225.69	513.59	10.66	4.42	21.75
1200	220.22	534.28	243.85	557.91	10.73	4.42	23.63
1300	236.48	576.72	262.00	602.24	10.79	4.42	25.52
1400	252.75	619.16	280.16	646.56	10.84	4.43	27.40
1500	269.02	661.60	298.31	690.89	10.89	4.43	29.29
1600	285.29	704.04	316.46	735.22	10.93	4.43	31.18
1700	301.56	746.48	334.62	779.54	10.96	4.43	33.06
1800	317.62	788.92	352.77	823.87	11.00	4.43	34.95
1900	334.09	631.36	370.93	868.19	11.03	4.43	36.83

ATTACHMENT 6

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY RATE COMPARISON

COMPANY: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

RATE SCHEDULE: COMMERCIAL LARGE VOLUME 2

PEOPLES PRESENT RATES

Customer Charge 100.00

PROPOSED RATES

Customer Charge 75.00

Energy Charge

cents Ending Beginning therms per therm therms 0 0 9 0 N/A

Energy Charge

Beginning Ending therms per therm therms 0 0 13.106 N/A

GAS COST CENTS/THERM 26.172

THERM USAGE INCREMENT 500

PROPOSED PRESENT percent monthly percent monthly monthly monthly Dollar increase bill increase bill bill b111 therm Increase with fuel with fuel w/o fuel with fuel w/o fuel w/o fuel usage (25.00) -25.00 (25.00) 75.00 100.00 75.00 100.00 0 (3.08) (1.62) -4.47 140.53 271.39 145.00 275.86 16.06 500 3.56 467.78 8.45 206.06 451.72 190.00 1000 5.83 36.59 15.57 664.17 271.59 627.58 235.00 1500 57.12 7.93 8.50 7.11 20.40 337.12 860.56 280.00 803.44 77.65 2000 23.89 402.65 1,056.95 979.30 325.00 2500 98.18 26.54 1,253.34 1,155.16 468.18 3000 370.00 118.71 8.92 28.60 1,449.73 533.71 415.00 1,331.02 3500 139.24 9.24 1,646.12 30.27 599.24 1,506.88 31.64 9.49 4000 460.00 159.77 1,682.74 664.77 1,842.51 505.00 4500 9.70 180.30 32.78 730.30 2,038.90 550.00 1,858.60 5000 200.83 9.87 2,235.29 33.75 2,034.46 795.83 10.01 595.00 5500 221.36 34.59 2,431.68 861.36 2,210.32 640.00 6000 241.89 10.14 2,628.07 35.31 10.24 926.89 2,386.18 685.00 6500 262.42 35.95 992.42 2,824.46 2,562.04 730.00 7000 10.33 282.95 3,020.85 36.51 1,057.95 2,737.90 775.00 10.49 10.55 10.61 7500 303.48 37.01 3,217.24 2,913.76 1,123.48 820.00 8000 324.01 3,413.63 37.46 1,189.01 3,089.62 865.00 8500 344.54 37.86 1,254.54 3,610.02 3,610.02 37.86 3,806.41 38.23 3,265.48 9000 910.00 365.07 1,320.07 955.00 3,441.34 9500

ATTACHMENT 6

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY RATE COMPARISON

COMPANY: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

RATE SCHEDULE:

WHOLESALE

PEOPLES PRESENT RATES

Customer Charge 0.00

Energy Charge

Beginning Ending cents therms per therm 0 0 0 0 0 0 N/A 8.133

Energy Charge

PROPOSED RATES

Customer Charge

0.00

Beginning Ending cents therms per therm

GAS COST CENTS/THERM 26.172 THERM USAGE INCREMENT

PROPOSED PRESENT monthly monthly percent percent bill bill increase increase monthly monthly bill bill bill bill increase increase
w/o fuel with fuel w/o fuel with fuel Dollar therm Increase w/o fuel with fuel usage ERR 0.00 ERR 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 9.88 36.05 21.43 5.08 19.75 72.10 21.43 5.08 29.63 108.14 21.43 5.08 39.50 144.19 21.43 5.08 49.38 180.24 21.43 5.08 1.74 0 34.31 8.13 3.49 100 68.61 16.27 200 5.23 24.40 102.92 300 32.53 137.22 6.97 400 49.38 59.26 8.71 40.67 171.53 48.80 205.83 49.38 180.24 21.43 5.08 10.46 59.26 216.29 21.43 5.08 12.20 69.13 252.34 21.43 5.08 12.20 79.01 288.38 21.43 5.08 13.94 68.68 324.43 21.43 5.08 15.69 500 600 56.93 240.14 65.06 274.44 700 800 324.43 21.43 5.08 360.48 21.43 5.08 396.53 21.43 5.08 432.58 21.43 5.08 466.62 21.43 5.08 504.67 21.43 5.08 540.72 21.43 5.08 576.77 21.43 5.08 73.20 306.75 900 17.43 98.76 343.05 81.33 1000 377.36 19.17 108.64 89.46 1100 20.92 411.66 118.51 97.60 1200 22.66 105.73 445.97 128.39 1300 24.40 138.26 480.27 113.86 1400 26.15 148.14 514.58 122.00 1500 27.89 5.08 158.02 548.88 130.13 1600 612.82 21.43 5.08 648.86 21.43 5.08 684.91 21.43 5.08 5.08 29.63 167.89 138.26 146.39 583.19 31.37 1700 617.49 177.77 5.08 1800 33.12 187.64 154.53 651.80 1900

ATTACHMENT 6

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY RATE COMPARISON

COMPANY: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

RATE SCHEDULE: SMALL INTERRUPTIBLE

PEOPLES PRESENT RATES

Customer Charge 100.00

PROPOSED RATES

Customer Charge 150.00

Energy Charge

Ending cents Beginning therms per therm therms 0 0 0 0 N/A

Energy Charge

Ending cents Beginning therms per therm therms 0 0 0 N/A 5.870 0

GAS COST CENTS/THERM 26.172

THERM USAGE INCREMENT 1000

PROPOSED PRESENT monthly percent bill increase percent monthly monthly monthly increase Dollar b111 bill bill therm with fuel w/o fuel with fuel Increase w/o fuel with fuel w/o fuel usage 150.00 50.00 100.00 50.00 50.00 150.00 100.00 4.14 18.70 470.42 9.84 451.72 190.00 1000 -12.60 (1.57) (4.50) 803.44 267.40 790.84 2000 280.00 1,111.26 (11.86) (3.80) -43.90 1,155.16 326.10 370.00 3000 -75.20 (4.99) 1,506.88 384.80 (16.35) 1,431.68 460.00 (5.73) 4000 -106.50 1,752.10 (19.36) 443.50 550.00 1,858.60 5000 -137.80 2,072.52 (21.53) (6.23) 2,210.32 502.20 640.00 6000 (6.60) -169.10 (23.16) 730.00 2,562.04 560.90 2,392.94 7000 (6.88) -200.40 2,713.36 (24.44) 619.60 820.00 2,913.76 8000 -231.70 3,033.78 (25.46) (7.10) 3,265.48 678.30 9000 910.00 (7.27) -263.00 737.00 3,354.20 (26.30) 3,617.20 1,000.00 10000 -294.30 (7.42) 3,674.62 (27.00) 795.70 1,090.00 3,968.92 11000 (27.59) -325.60 (7.54) 3,995.04 854.40 4,320.64 1,180,00 12000 -356.90 (7.64) (28.10) 913.10 4,315.46 1,270.00 4,672.36 13000 4,635.88 (28.54) -388.20 (7.73) 271.60 1,360.00 5,024.08 14000 -419.50 (28.93) (7.80) 5,375.80 1,030.50 4,956.30 1,450.00 15000 (7.87) -450.80 5,276.72 (29.27) 5,727.52 1,089.20 1,540.00 16000 -482.10 (29.58) (7.93) 5.597.14 6,079.24 1,147.90 1,630.00 17000 (7.98) -513.40 (29.85) 1,206.60 5,917.56 1,720.00 6,430.96 18000 -544.70 1,265.30 6,237.98 (30.09) (8.03) 6,782.68 1,810.00 19000

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH

ATTACHMENT 6

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY RATE COMPARISON

COMPANY: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

RATE SCHEDULE:

INTERRUPTIBLE

PEOPLES

PRESENT RATES

Customer Charge 225.00

PROPOSED RATES

Customer Charge 225.00

Energy Charge

Ending cents Beginning therms per therm thorms

0 0 5.88 N/A

Energy Charge

0

cents Beginning Ending therms per therm therms 0 0 0 4.450 N/A

GAS COST CENTS/THERM 26.172

THERM USAGE INCREMENT 50000

PROPOSED PRESENT monthly monthly monthly monthly percent percent bill increase Dollar increase bill bill b111 therm w/o fuel with fuel w/o fuel Increase with fuel with fuel w/o fuel usage 0.00 0.00 225.00 225.00 225.00 225.00 0.00 .0 2,450.00 (22.59) -715.00 15,536.00 (4.40) 3,165.00 16,251.00 50000 30,847.00 32,277.00 4,675.00 48,303.00 6,900.00 (4.43) ~1430.00 6,105.00 (23.42) 100000 -2145.00 46,158.00 (23.71) (4.44) 150000 9.045.00 (23.86) -2860.00 64,329.00 9,125.00 61,469.00 (4.45) 11,985.00 200000 80,355.00 -3575.00 11,350.00 76,780.00 (23.95) (4.45) 250000 14,925.00 96,381.00 13,575.00 -4290.00 92,091.00 (24.01) (4.45) 300000 17,865.00 -5005.00 (4.45) 20,805.00 112,407.00 15,800.00 107,402.00 (24.06) 350000 128,433.00 18,025.00 144,459.00 20,250.00 -5720.00 23,745.00 128,433.00 (4.45) 122,713.00 (24.09) 400000 (4.45) -6435.00 (24.11) 138,024.00 450000 26,685.00 (4.46) -7150.00 29,625.00 160,485.00 22,475.00 153,335.00 (24.14) 500000 -7865.00 168,646.00 (24.15) (4.46) 32,565.00 176,511.00 24,700.00 550000 (4.46) -8580.00 35,505.00 192,537.00 26,925.00 183,957.00 (24.17) 600000 (4.46) -9295.00 208,563.00 29,150.00 199,268.00 (24.18) 650000 38,445.00 (4.46) -10010.00 (4.46) -10725.00 41,365.00 224,569.00 31,375.00 214,579.00 (24.19) 700000 240,615.00 33,600.00 (24.20) 229,890.00 750000 44,325.00 35,825.00 (24.20) (4.46) -11440.00 47,265.00 256,641.00 245,201.00 800000 (4.46) -12155.00 38,050.00 260,512.00 (24.21) 50,205.00 272,667.00 850000 275,823.00 (24.22) 291,134.00 (24.22) 53,145.00 288,693.00 40,275.00 56,085.00 304,719.00 42,500.00 (4.46) -12870.00 53,145.00 288,693.00 900000 (4.46) -13585.00 950000

ATTACHMENT 6

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY RATE COMPARISON

COMPANY: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

RATE SCHEDULE:

INTERRUPTIBLE LARGE VOLUME

PEOPLES PRESENT RATES

Customer Charge 225.00

PROPOSED RATES

Customer Charge 225.00

Energy Charge

Energy Charge

Beginning therms	Ending therms	cents per therm	Beginning therms	Ending therms pe	cents r therm
0	0	0	0	0	0
0	N/A	5.88	0	N/A	1.000

GAS COST CENTS/THERM 26.172

		PRESENT		1	ROPOSED		
	monthly	monthly	monthly	monthly	percent	percent	
therm	b111	bill	bill	bill	increase	increase	Dollar
usage	w/o fuel	with fuel	w/o fuel	with fuel	w/o fuel	with fuel	Increase
0	225.00	225.00	225.00	225.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
500000	29,625.00	160,485.00	5,225.00	136,085.00	(82.36)	(15.20)	-24400.00
1000000	59,025.00		10,225.00	271,945.00	(82.68)	(15.21)	-48800.00
1500000	88,425.00		15,225.00	407,805.00	(82.78)	(15.22)	
2000000	117,825.00		20,225.00	543,665.00	(82.83)	(15.22)	
2500000	147,225.00		25,225.00	679,525.00	(82.87)	(15.22)	-122000.00
3000000	176,625.00		30,225.00	815,385.00	(82.89)	(15.22)	
3500000		1,122,045.00	35,225.00	951,245.00	(82.90)	(15.22)	-170800.00
4000000		1,282,305.00	40,225.00	1,087,105.00	(82.91)	(15.22)	-195200.00
4500000		1,442,565.00	45,225.00	1,222,965.00	(82.92)	(15.22)	
5000000		1,602,825.00	50,225.00	1,358,825.00	(82.93)	(15.22)	-244000.00
5500000		1,763,085.00	55,225.00	1,494,685.00	(82.94)	(15.22)	-268400.00
6000000		1,923,345.00	60,225.00	1,630,545.00	(82.94)	(15.22)	-292800.00
6500000		2,083,605.00	65,225.00	1,766,405.00	(82.94)	(15.22)	-317200.00
7000000		2,243,865.00	70,225.00	1,902,265.00	(82.95)	(15.22)	-341600.00
7500000		2,404,125.00	75,225.00	2,038,125.00	(82.95)	(15.22)	-366000.00
8000000		2,564,385.00	80,225.00	2,173,985.00	(82.95)	(15.22)	
6500000		2,724,645.00	85,225.00	2,309,845.00	(82.96)	(15.22)	-414800.00
9000000		2,884,905.00		2,445,705.00	(82.96)	(15.22)	-439200.00
9500000		3,045,165.00		2,581,565.00	(82.96)	(15.22)	-463600.00

ATTACHMENT 6

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY RATE COMPARISON

COMPANY: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

RATE SCHEDULE:

RESIDENTIAL

SOUTHERN PRESENT RATES

Customer Charge 5.00

PROPOSED RATES

Customer Charge 7.00

Energy Charge

Ending cents Beginning therms per therm therms 0 0 N/A 21.74 Energy Charge Beginning

therms per therm therms 0 0 N/A 26.278 0

Ending

cents

GAS COST CENTS/THERM 26.172

	PF	ESENT		1	ROPOSED		
	monthly	monthly	monthly	monthly	percent	percent	
therm	bill	bi11	bill	bill	increase	increase	Dollar
usage	w/o fuel	with fuel	w/o fuel	with fuel	w/o fuel	with fuel	Increase
0	5.00	5.00	7.00	7.00	40.00	40.00	2.00
10	7.17	9.79	9.63	12.25	34.20	25.06	2.45
20	9.35	14.58	12.26	17.49	31.10	19.94	2.91
30	11.52	19.37	14.88	22.74	29.17	17.35	3.36
40	13.70	24.16	17.51	27.98	27.86	15.79	3.82
50	15.87	28.96	20.14	33.23	26.90	14.74	4.27
60	18.04	33.75	22.77	38.47	26.17	13.99	4.72
70	20.22	38.54	25.39	43.72	25.60	13.43	5.18
80	22.39	43.33	28.02	48.96	25.14	12.99	5.63
90	24.57	40.12	30.65	54.21	24.77	12.64	6.08
100	26.74	52.91	33.28	59.45	24.45	12.36	6.54
110	28.91	57.70	35.91	64.70	24.18	12.12	6.99
120	31.09	62.49	38.53	69.94	23.95	11.91	7.45
130	33.26	67.29	41.16	75.19	23.75	11.74	7.90
140	35.44	72.08	43.79	60.43	23.57	11.59	8.35
150	37.61	76.87	46.42	85.68	23.42	11.46	8.81
160	39.78	81.66	49.04	90.92	23.28	11.34	9.26
	41.96	86.45	51.67	96.17	23.15	11.24	9.71
170	44.13	91.24	54.30	101.41	23.04	11.14	10.17
180	46.31	96.03	56.93	106.66	22.94	11.06	10.62

ATTACHMENT 6

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY RATE COMPARISON

COMPANY: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

RATE SCHEDULE:

COMMERCIAL

BOUTHERN PRESENT RATES

Customer Charge

PROPOSED RATES

Customer Charge 17.00

Energy Charge

Beginning Ending cents therms therms per therm 0 ٥ n 0 N/A 21.37 Energy Charge

Beginning Ending Cents therms therms per therm 0 0 0 N/A 23.560

GAS COST CENTS/THERM 26.172

THERM USAGE INCREMENT 50

PRESENT PROPOSED monthly monthly monthly monthly percent percent therm bi11 bill bill bill increase increase Dollar usage w/o fuel with fuel w/o fuel with fuel w/o fuel with fuel Increase . 0 10.00 10.00 17.00 17.00 70.00 70.00 7.00 50 20.69 33.77 28.78 41.87 39.13 23.97 8.10 57.54 100 31.37 40.56 66.73 29.30 15.97 9.19 150 42.06 52.34 61.31 91.60 24.46 12.65 10.28 200 52.74 105.08 64.12 116.46 21.58 10.83 11.38 250 63.43 128.86 75.90 141.33 19.67 9.68 12.47 300 74.11 152.63 87.68 166.20 18.31 8.89 13.57 350 84.80 176.40 99.46 191.06 17.29 8.31 14.66 400 95.48 200.17 111.24 215.93 16.51 7.87 15.76 450 106.17 223.94 123.02 240.79 15.88 7.53 16.85 500 116.85 134.80 247.71 265.66 15.36 7.25 17.95 550 127.54 271.48 146.58 290.53 14.93 7.02 19.04 600 138.22 295.25 158.36 315.39 14.57 6.82 20.14 650 148.91 319.02 170.14 340.26 14.26 6.66 21.23 700 159.59 342.79 181,92 365.12 13.99 6.51 22.33 750 170.28 366.57 193.70 389.99 13.76 6.39 23.42 800 180.96 390.34 205.48 414.86 13.55 6.28 24.52 850 191.65 414.11 217.26 439.72 13.37 6.19 25.61 900 202.33 437.88 229.04 464.59 13.20 6.10 26.71 950 213.02 461.65 240.82 489.45 13.05 6.02 27.50

ATTACHMENT 6

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY RATE COMPARISON

COMPANY: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

RATE SCHEDULE:

COMMERCIAL LARGE VOLUME 1

SOUTHERN PRESENT RATES

PROPOSED RATES

Customer Charge

20.00

Customer Charge 26.00

Energy Charge

Energy	Charge
--------	--------

Beginning	Ending	cents	Beginning	Ending	per therm
therms	therms	per therm	therms	therms	
0	0 N/A	0 20.75	0 0	O N/A	0 18.154

GAS COST CENTS/THERM 26.172

	PF	ESENT			ROPOSED		
therm	bill bill	monthly bill with fuel	monthly bill w/o fuel	monthly bill with fuel	percent increase w/o fuel	increase with fuel	Dollar Increase
usage	270 1001				20.00	30.00	6.00
0	20.00	20.00	26.00	26.00	30.00	5.09	3.40
100	40.75	66.92	44.15	70.33	6.35	0.71	0.61
200	61.50	113.84	62.31	114.65	1.31		-1.79
300	82.25	160.77	80.46	158.98	(2.17)	(1.11)	-4.38
400	103.00	207.69	98.62	203.30	(4.26)	(2.11)	-6.98
500	123.75	254.61	116.77	247.63	(5.64)	(2.74)	-9.58
600	144.50	301.53	134.92	291.96	(6.63)	(3.18)	
700	165.25	348.45	153.08	336.28	(7.37)	(3.49)	-12.17
800	186.00	395.38	171.23	380.61	(7.94)	(3.74)	-14.77
900	206.75	442.30	189.39	424.93	(8.40)	(3.93)	-17.36
1000	227.50	489.22	207.54	469.26	(8.77)	(4.08)	-19.96
1100	248.25	536.14	225.69	513.59	(9.09)	(4.21)	-22.56
	269.00	583.06	243.85	557.91	(9.35)	(4.31)	-25.15
1200	289.75	629.99	262.00	602.24	(9.58)	(4.40)	-27.75
1300		676.91	280.16	646.56	(9.77)	(4.48)	-30.34
1400	310.50	723.83	298.31	690.89	(9.94)	(4.55)	-32.94
1500	331.25	770.75	316.46	735.22	(10.10)	(4.61)	-35.54
1600	352.00		334.62	779.54	(10.23)	(4.66)	-38.13
1700	372.75	817.67	352.77	823.67	(10.35)	(4.71)	-40.73
1800	393.50	864.60		868.19	(10.46)	(4.75)	-43.32
1900	414.25	911.52	370.93	200.19	(.0.40)		

ATTACHMENT 6

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY RATE COMPARISON

COMPANY: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

RATE SCHEDULE:

COMMERCIAL LARGE VOLUME 2

SOUTHERN

PRESENT RATES

Customer Charge 40.00

PROPOSED RATES

Customer Charge 75.00

Energy Charge

Ending cents therms per therm Beginning therms

0 0 0 N/A 8.53 0

Energy Charge

0

cents Ending Beginning therms per therm therms 0 0 N/A 13.106

GAS COST CENTS/THERM 26.172

THERM USAGE INCREMENT 500

PROPOSED PRESENT monthly monthly monthly monthly percent percent Dollar increase bill bill therm bill with fuel Increase with fuel w/o fuel with fuel w/o fuel w/o fuel usage 35.00 87.50 75.00 87.50 40.00 75.00 40.00 57.88 140.53 27.11 271.39 70.03 213.51 82.65 500 80.76 20.67 387.02 206.06 64.45 467.78 1000 125.30 103.64 664.17 18.49 61.71 560.53 271.59 167.95 1500 126.52 17.24 60.08 210.60 734.04 337.12 253.25 907.55 402.65 860.56 2000 149.40 16.46 1,056.95 58.99 2500 253.25 15.94 172.28 1,253.34 58.22 1,081.06 468.18 3000 295.90 57.65 15.56 195.16 1,449.73 1,254.57 533.71 338.55 3500 218.04 15.27 1,428.08 599.24 1,601.59 664.77 57.20 1,646.12 381.20 4000 240.92 15.04 56.84 1,842.51 4500 423.85 14.86 263.80 1,775.10 2,038.90 56.55 730.30 5000 466.50 286.68 14.71 2,235.29 56.31 795.83 1,948.61 5500 509.15 14.59 309.56 2,431.68 56.10 861.36 551.80 2,122.12 6000 332.44 2,628.07 55.92 14.48 2,295.63 926.89 594.45 6500 355.32 2,469.14 992.42 2,642.65 1.057.95 55.77 14.39 2,824.46 7000 637.10 378.20 14.31 3,020.85 55.64 679.75 7500 401.08 3,217.24 55.52 14.24 2,816.16 1,123.48 8000 722.40 14.18 423.96 55.42 2,989.67 1,189.01 3,413.63 765.05 8500 14.13 446.84 3,610.02 55.32 3,163.18 1,254.54 9000 807.70 55.24 14.08 469.72 3,806.41 1,320.07 850.35 3,336.69 9500

ATTACHMENT 6

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY RATE COMPARISON

COMPANY: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

RATE SCHEDULE:

SMALL INTERRUPTIBLE

SOUTHERN PRESENT RATES

Customer Charge 40.00 PROPOSED RATES

Customer Charge 150.00

Energy Charge

Beginning Ending cents therms per therm

O O O O O O O N/A 8.53

Energy Charge

Beginning Ending cents therms therms per therm

O O O O N/A 5.870

GAS COST CENTS/THERM 26.172

	PI	ESENT			PROPOSED		
	monthly	monthly	monthly	monthly	percent	percent	
therm	bill	bill	bill	bill	increase	increase	Dollar
usage	w/o fuel	with fuel	w/o fuel	with fuel	w/o fuel	with fuel	Increase
0	40.00	40.00	150.00	150.00	275.00	275.00	110.00
1000	125.30	387.02	208.70	470.42	66.56	21.55	83.40
	210.60	734.04	267.40	790.84	26.97	7.74	56.80
2000	295.90	1,081.06	326.10	1,111.26	10.21	2.79	30.20
3000		1,428.08	384.60	1,431.68	0.94	0.25	3.60
4000	361.20	1,775.10	443.50	1,752.10	(4.93)	(1.30)	-23.00
5000	466.50	2,122.12	502.20	2,072.52	(8.99)	(2.34)	-49.60
6000	551.80		560.90	2,392.94	(11.96)	(3.09)	-76.20
7000	637.10	2,469.14	619.60	2,713.36	(14.23)	(3.65)	-102.80
8000	722.40	2,816.16	676.30	3,033.78	(16.02)	(4.09)	-129.40
9000	807.70	3,163.18			(17.47)	(4.44)	-156.00
10000	893.00	3,510.20	737.00	3,354.20		(4.73)	-182.60
11000	978.30	3,657.22	795.70	3,674.62	(18.67)		-209.20
12000	1,063.60	4,204.24	854.40	3,995.04	(19.67)	(4.98)	
13000	1,148.90	4,551.26	913.10	4,315.46	(20.52)	(5.18)	-235.80
14000	1,234.20	4,898.28	971.80	4,635.88	(21.26)	(5.36)	-262.40
15000	1,319.50	5,245.30	1,030.50	4,956.30	(21.90)	(5.51)	-289.00
16000	1,404.80	5,592.32	1,089.20	5,276.72	(22.47)	(5.64)	-315.60
17000	1,490.10	5,939.34	1,147.90	5,597.14	(22.96)	(5.76)	-342.20
18000	1,575,40	6,286.36	1,206.60	5,917.56	(23.41)	(5.07)	-368.80
19000	1,660.70	6,633.38	1,265.30	6,237.98	(23.81)	(5.96)	-395.40
17500	21000110			15 4 4 5 7 7 7 7 7			

ATTACHMENT 6

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY RATE COMPARISON

COMPANY: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. DOCKET NO. 891353-GU

RATE SCHEDULE:

INTERRUPTIBLE

SOUTHERN PRESENT RATES

Customer Charge 40.00

PROPOSED RATES

Customer Charge 225.00

Energy Charge

Energy Charge

Beginning	Ending	cents	Beginning	Ending	cents
therms	therms	per therm	therms	therms per	r therm
0	0 N/A	0 8.53	0	O N/A	0 4.450

GAS COST CENTS/THERM 26.172

	PI	ESENT		P	ROPOSED		
	monthly	monthly	monthly	monthly	percent	percent	of the December
therm	bill	bill	bill	bill	increase	increase	Dollar
usage	w/o fuel	with fuel	w/o fuel	with fuel	w/o fuel	with fuel	Increase
				225 00	462.50	462.50	185.00
0	40.00	40.00	225.00	225.00		(1.07)	-19.00
5000	466.50	1,775.10	447.50	1,756.10	(4.07)	4	-223.00
10000	893.00	3,510.20	670.00	3,287.20	(24.97)	(6.35)	
15000	1,319.50	5,245.30	892.50	4,818.30	(32.36)	(8.14)	-427.00
20000	1,746.00	6,980.40	1,115.00	6,349.40	(36.14)	(9.04)	-631.00
25000	2,172.50	8,715.50	1,337.50	7,880.50	(38.43)	(9.58)	-835.00
30000	2,599.00	10,450.60	1,560.00	9,411.60	(39.98)	(9.94)	-1039.00
	3,025.50	12,185.70	1,782.50	10,942.70	(41.08)	(10.20)	-1243.00
35000		13,920.80	2,005.00	12,473.80	(41.92)	(10.39)	-1447.00
40000	3,452.00	15,655.90	2,227,50	14,004.90	(42.57)	(10.55)	-1651.00
45000	3,878.50		2,450.00	15,536.00	(43.09)	(10.67)	-1855.00
50000	4,305.00	17,391.00	2,672.50	17,067.10	(43.52)	(10.77)	-2059.00
55000	4,731.50	19,126.10	2,895.00	18,598.20	(43.87)	(10.85)	-2263.00
60000	5,158.00	20,861.20		20,129.30	(44.18)	(10.92)	-2467.00
65000	5,584.50	22,596.30	3,117.50		(44.44)	(10.98)	-2671.00
70000	6,011.00	24,331.40	3,340.00	21,660.40	(44.66)	(11.03)	-2875.00
75000	6,437.50	26,066.50	3,562.50	23,191.50			-3079.00
80000	6,864.00	27,801.60	3,785.00	24,722.60	(44.86)	(11.07)	-3283.00
85000	7,290.50	29,536.70	4,007.50	26,253.70	(45.03)	(11.11)	
90000	7,717.00	31,271.80	4,230.00	27,784.60	(45.19)	(11.15)	-3487.00
95000	8,143.50	33,006.90	4,452.50	29,315.90	(45.32)	(11.18)	-3691.00

ATTACHMENT 6

COST OF SERVICE SUMMARY RATE COMPARISON

COMPANY: PEOPLES GAS SYSTEM, INC. 891353-GU DOCKET NO.

RATE SCHEDULE:

INTERRUPTIBLE LARGE VOLUME

SOUTHERN PRESENT RATES

Customer Charge

35264.00

PROPOSED RATES

Customer Charge 225.00

Energy Charge

0

Ending Beginning therms therms

N/A

per therm 0 Energy Charge

Ending cents Beginning therms per therm therms 0 0 0 N/A 1.000 0

GAS COST CENTS/THERM 26.172

		PRESENT		P	ROPOSED		
	monthly	monthly	monthly	monthly	percent	percent	
therm	bi11	bi11	bill	bill	increase	increase	Dollar
usage	w/o fuel	with fuel	w/o fuel	with fuel	w/o fuel	with fuel	Increase
	35,264.00	35,264.00	225.00	225.00	(99.36)	(99.36)	-35039.00
0		166,124.00	5,225.00	136,085.00	(85.18)	(18.08)	-30039.00
500000	35,264.00	나는 이번 경기에게 보다면 안 되었습니다.	10,225.00		(71.00)	(8.43)	-25039.00
1000000	35,264.00		15,225.00	407,805.00	(56.83)	(4.68)	-20039.00
1500000	35,264.00		20,225.00	543,665.00	(42.65)	(2.69)	-15039.00
2000000	35,264.00		25,225.00		(28.47)	(1.46)	-10039.00
2500000	35,264.00		30,225.00		(14.29)	(0.61)	-5039.00
3000000	35,264.00		35,225.00		(0.11)	(0.00)	-39.00
3500000	35,264.00			1,087,105.00	14.07	0.46	4961.00
4000000		1,082,144.00		1,222,965.00	28.25	0.82	9961.00
4500000		1,213,004.00		1,358,825.00	42.43	1.11	14961.00
5000000		1,343,864.00			56.60	1.35	19961.00
5500000		1,474,724.00		1,494,685.00	70.78	1.55	24961.00
6000000		1,605,584.00		1,630,545.00	84.96	1.73	29961.00
6500000		1,736,444.00		1,766,405.00	99.14	1.67	34961.00
7000000		1,867,304.00		1,902,265.00	113.32	2.00	39961.00
7500000		1,998,164.00		2,038,125.00	127.50	2.11	44961.00
8000000		2,129,024.00		2,173,985.00		2.21	49961.00
8500000		2,259,884.00		2,309,645.00	141.68	2.30	54961.00
9000000		2,390,744.00		2,445,705.00	155.86	2.38	59961.00
9500000	35,264.00	2,521,604.00	95,225.00	2,581,565.00	170.03	2.30	39901.00