

VOTE SHEET

DATE: November 6, 1990

RE: DOCKET NO. 870790-TL - Request for extended area service (EAS) throughout Gilchrist County.

Issue 1: Recommendation that the Commission deny the Motion filed by Gilchrist County on September 7, 1989, which requests issuance of a proposed agency action order implementing EAS throughout Gilchrist County. As discussed in Issue No. 2, the calling rates on the non-EAS routes are not sufficient to warrant implementation of countywide EAS.

APPROVED

<u>Issue 2:</u> Recommendation that EAS should not be implemented from the Gilchrist County pocket of the Branford exchange to the Trenton, High Springs, and Newberry exchanges; and from the Gilchrist County pocket of the High Springs exchange to the Trenton exchange. The pocket traffic studies revealed that the calling rates do not justify implementation of EAS on the remaining non-EAS toll routes in Gilchrist County.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' VOTES

| APPROVED . | APPROVED WITH MODIFICATIONS | DISAPPROVED | DEFER |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| meneron | ty | | |
| man San | V | | |
| Tionas do | | | |
| Sun Bull | | | |
| Vouglasty | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| REMARKS/DISSENTING | COMMENTS: | | TO: |

PSC/RAR33(5/90)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

09942 NOV-7 1090

-coco-peropos /papostilio

Vote Sheet

Docket No. 870790-TL

November 6, 1990

Issue 3: Recommendation that if no protest is filed to the proposed agency action issued in this matter, this docket should be closed.

APPROVED