Swafford:

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Fletcher Building 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

MEMORANDUM

JUNE 20, 1991

TO : DIVISION OF RECORDS AND REPORTING

FROM : DIVISION OF COMMUNICATIONS [WILLIAMS, STRONG]

DIVISION OF LEGAL SERVICES [KURLIN] &

RE : DOCKET NO.910501-TI; APPLICATION OF CORPORATE

TELEMANAGEMENT GROUP, INC. FOR A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY AUTHORIZING OPERATION AS AN

INTEREXCHANGE TELEPHONE COMPANY IN FLORIDA.

AGENDA: JULY 2, 1991 - CONTROVERSIAL - PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION

- PARTIES MAY PARTICIPATE

PANEL : FULL COMMISSION

CRITICAL DATES : NONE

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: NONE

DISCUSSION OF ISSUES

ISSUE 1: Does CORPORATE TELEMANAGEMENT GROUP, INC.'s interexchange carrier application meet the Commission's requirements for certification?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes, CORPORATE TELEMANAGEMENT GROUP, INC.'s interexchange carrier application meets the Commission's requirements for certification.

STAFF ANALYSIS: Rule 25-24.470, Florida Administrative Code, Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Required, and Rule 25-24.471, Florida Administrative Code, Application for Certificate, establish the requirements for certification as an interexchange telephone company (IXC).

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06200 JUN 20 1991

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

On February 15, 1991, CORPORATE TELEMANAGEMENT GROUP, INC. (the company) filed an application for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity to operate as an interexchange telephone company (IXC).

The company, located at 430 Woodruff Road, Suite 450, Greenville, SC 29607 (P.O.Box 25219, Greenville, SC 29616-0219), is a foreign corporation authorized to do business in Florida. At present, they are only certificated as an IXC in South Carolina and have an application pending in Kentucky. The company states that it has not been denied a certificate in any state where filed; no regulatory penalties have ever been imposed; nor has it been involved in any civil court proceedings with IXCs, local exchange companies (LECs) or other telecommunications entities. The company also agrees to comply with the Commission's EAEA requirements, LEC bypass restrictions, payment of regulatory assessment fees and all other applicable Commission rules and orders.

The company is a non-facilities based company which leases switching and transmission capacity, depending upon traffic demand. The company initially plans to offer resold long distance service in all the exchange areas of Florida.

The company's application has satisfied our standard filing requirements.

ISSUE 2: Does CORPORATE TELEMANAGEMENT GROUP, INC.'s proposed interexchange carrier tariff meet the Commission's requirements?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes, CORPORATE TELEMANAGEMENT GROUP, INC.'s proposed interexchange carrier tariff meets the Commission's requirements.

STAFF ANALYSIS: Rule 25-24.485, Florida Administrative Code, requires that each interexchange carrier wishing to do business in Florida maintain a tariff on file with this Commission of particular format and content. The Company's tariff is of proper format and contains all the provisions of this rule

The Company's tariff offers the following services:

- X MTS with statewide flat rates per minute (i.e. not distance sensitive)
 - ___ Method of access is FGA
 - __ Method of access is FGB
 - X Method of access is FGD Method of access is 800
- X 800 Service Toll (Toll Free)

Docket No. 910501-TI June 20, 1991

- X WATS type service (Bulk or volume discount)
 X Method of access is via dedicated facilities
 X Method of access is via switched facilities
- X Travel Service
 Method of access is 950
 X Method of access is 800
- X Operator Services

 X Available to presubscribed customers

 Available to non presubscribed customers (for ex., to patrons of hotels, students in Universities, patients in hospitals.)

 Available to inmates

Services included are:

- X Station assistance
- X Person to Person assistance
- X Directory assistance
- X Operator verify and interrupt
- X Conference Calling

The Company's tariff meets our standard filing requirements.

ISSUE 3: Should CORPORATE TELEMANAGEMENT GROUP, INC. be required to show cause in writing why it should not be fined for violation of Chapter 25-24.470, Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Required, Florida Administrative Code, for providing intrastate communications without first obtaining a certificate of public convenience and necessity from the Commission?

<u>RECOMMENDATION:</u> Yes, CORPORATE TELEMANAGEMENT GROUP, INC. should be required to show cause in writing why it should not be fined for violation of Chapter 25-24.470, Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Required, Florida Administrative Code, for providing intrastate communications without first obtaining a certificate of public convenience and necessity from the Commission.

Docket No. 910501-TI June 20, 1991

CUSTOMERS, ON February 15, 1991, staff first learned that CORPORATE TELEMANAGEMENT GROUP, INC. (CTG) was conducting telecommunications business in Florida without the proper authorization. Additionally CTG admitted in their application, filed April 16, 1991, that they provided facilities of certified carriers available to commercial accounts in June-July of 1990. Some of the services that have been performed since July, 1990, are 1+, 800 and travel service.

Since we do know CTG was conducting business in Florida since June, 1990, staff believes that it is appropriate for the Commission to require CTG to show cause in writing why it should not be fined for violation of Chapter 25-24.470, Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity Required, Florida Administrative Code, for providing intrastate communications without first obtaining a certificate of public convenience and necessity from the Commission.

ISSUE 4: Should a certificate be granted to CORPORATE TELEMANAGEMENT GROUP, INC. to operate as an interexchange telephone company in Florida, and should this Docket be closed?

RECOMMENDATION: Yes, a certificate should be granted to CORPORATE TELEMANAGEMENT GROUP, INC. to operate as an interexchange telephone company in Florida.

If a show cause order is issued, this docket should remain open for that proceeding. If no show cause order is issued, this docket should be closed after the effective date of the proposed agency action (PAA) order, assuming no protest is received.

STAFF ANALYSIS: The Company's application and tariff have satisfied our certification requirements.

If a show cause is issued then this docket should remain open for that proceeding. If not, then this docket should be closed after the effective date of the consummating order.