

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Proposed tariff introducing) DOCKET NO. 920065-TL
Caller ID - Multi-Line by SOUTHERN BELL) ORDER NO. 25819
TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY.) ISSUED: 2/27/92

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

THOMAS M. BEARD, Chairman
SUSAN F. CLARK
J. TERRY DEASON
BETTY EASLEY
LUIS J. LAUREDO

ORDER APPROVING TARIFF

BY THE COMMISSION:

On December 2, 1991, Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Southern Bell or the Company) filed proposed revisions to its General Subscriber Service Tariff. This revision was designed to introduce Caller ID - Multi-Line (CID-ML), a service identical to Caller ID but designed for multi-line hunt group customers.

CID-ML is designed specifically for multi-line hunt group or what are often termed rotary or hunting service customers. Over 90% of Southern Bell's hunting service customers or businesses.

The service will differ from the Company's present Bulk Calling Line Identification (BCLID) tariff. BCLID offers several call management features such as called and calling number, time of day, busy/idle status of station, etc., over a separate data line to a company's computer. CID-ML will simply transmit the calling number to the customer's hunt group like Caller ID does for individual lines.

Because the subscribers to CID-ML will be almost entirely businesses, the rate structure will be usage-sensitive, as is BCLID's. The proposed rates will be \$.02 per call for the first 50,000 calls per month, \$.015 per call for 50,001 to 400,000 calls, and \$.01 for all calls over 400,000 per month. The Company expects gross revenues of \$39,392 for the first year. Private and out of area numbers will not be billed.

Banded rates are proposed for this feature. This is consistent with other TouchStar services.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

02024 FEB 27 1992

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORDER NO. 25819
DOCKET NO. 920065-TL
PAGE 2

Incremental costs were used for this feature, and the proposed rates cover the stated incremental costs. This is consistent with other filings of this nature.

The proposed service is consistent with all of the provisions of our orders concerning Caller ID-type service. Per-Cal and per-line blocking will function as they do for Caller ID.

This tariff filing is appropriate. It is consistent with our previous actions in the Caller ID docket and will provide additional contribution to the Company from a discretionary service. Such a contribution may help relieve upward pressure on basic service charges.

Based on the foregoing, it is

ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company's tariff filing proposing to revise the Company's General Subscriber Service Tariff as described in the body of this Order is hereby approved. It is further

ORDERED that any protest of this Order must be filed pursuant to the requirements set forth below. It is further

ORDERED that if a timely protest is filed pursuant to the requirements set forth below, this tariff shall remain in effect with any increase in revenues held subject to refund pending resolution of the protest. It is further

ORDERED that if no protest is filed pursuant to the requirements set forth below, this Order shall become final and this docket shall be closed.

By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission, this 27th day of FEBRUARY, 1992.



STEVE TRIBBLE Director
Division of Records and Reporting

(S E A L)

JKA

ORDER NO. 25819
DOCKET NO. 920065-TL
PAGE 3

NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.59(4), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

The Commission's decision on this tariff is interim in nature and will become final, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed files a petition for a formal proceeding, as provided by Rule 25-22.036(4), Florida Administrative Code, in the form provided by Rule 25-22.036(7)(a)(d) and (e), Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Director, Division of Records and Reporting at his office at 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0870, by the close of business on 3/19/92

In the absence of such a petition, this Order shall become final on the day subsequent to the above date.

Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this Order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

If this Order becomes final on the date described above, any party adversely affected may request judicial review by the Florida Supreme Court in the case of an electric, gas or telephone utility or by the First District Court of Appeal in the case of a water or sewer utility by filing a notice of appeal with the Director, Division of Records and Reporting and filing a copy of the notice of appeal and the filing fee with the appropriate court. This filing must be completed within thirty (30) days of the date this Order becomes final, pursuant to Rule 9.110, Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure. The notice of appeal must be in the form specified in Rule 9.900(a), Florida Rules of Appellate Procedure.