## 12

## Ausley, McMullen, McGehee, Carothers & Proctor Attorneys at Law

Washington Square Building 227 S. Calhoun Street P. O. Box 391

Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Telephone 904 224-9115
Telecopier 904 222-7560 Main Building
Telecopier 904 222-7139 Real Estate
Telecopier 904 222-7952 Utilities

March 20, 1992

Kenneth R. Hart
Margaret Ausley Hoffman
E. Martin McGehee (Retired)
Carolyn D. Olive
R. Stan Peeler
Robert A. Pierce
H. Palmer Proctor
M. Julian Proctor, Jr.
Staven P. Seymoe
William M. Smith
Deborah J. Stephens
James Harold Thompson
J. Jeffry Wahlen
Emily S. Waugh
C. Gary Williams
Lee L. Willia

## HAND DELIVERED

Mr. Steven C. Tribble, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 FILE COON

Re: Territorial Dispute Between Okefenoke Rural Electric Membership Corporation, and the Jacksonville Electric Authority of the City of Jacksonville, in Duval County;
FPSC Docket No. 911141-EU

Dear Mr. Tribble:

Charles S. Ausley (1907-1972) John C. Ausley (1912-1980) D. Fred McMullen (1904-1980)

Gerald T. Hart (1948-1991)

DuBose Ausley

James D. Bessley

C. Graham Carothers

Kevin J. Carroll Robert N. Clarke, Jr.

J. Marshall Conred Timothy B. Elliott Stephen C. Emmanuel John P. Fons

Van P. Geeker

Michael J. Glazer Carla A. Green Jann Johnson Hart

Enclosed for filing in the above docket on behalf of Okefenoke Rural Electrical Membership Corporation are the original and fifteen (15) copies of the Rebuttal Testimony and Exhibit of Robert C. Dew, Jr.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning same to this writer.

ACK	WIICEI.	
AFA	Thank you for your assistance	e in connection with this matter.
APP		Yours truly,
CAF	* Production and the same	$\Lambda \Lambda / \Lambda \Lambda$
CMU	With the professional contracts the same	( W) ( wold
CTR	***************************************	J. Jeffry Wahlen
EAG	JJW/csu	UV/S
LIN	© Enclosures	
OPC	cc: All Parties of Record w/enc.	
RCH	and the second sequences of th	
SEC	RECEIVED & FILED	
WAS	S	DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
OTH	FPO-BUREAU OF RECORDS	02799 MAR 20 1992
	13.00	FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Mr. Steven C. Tribble, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission Page 2

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that true and correct copies of the Rebuttal Testimony and Exhibit of Robert C. Dew, Jr. have been furnished by Overnight Express or Hand Delivery\* this 20th day of March, 1992 to the following:

Martha Carter Brown\*
Florida Public Service Commission
Division of Legal Services
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301

Bruce Page
Assistant General Counsel
Office of the General Counsel
1300 City Hall
Jacksonville, FL 32202

ATTORNE

02799 MAR 20 1992

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		PREPARED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY
3		OF
4		ROBERT C. DEW, JR.
5		
6	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS.
7		
8	A.	My name is Robert C. Dew, Jr. and my business address is 1800
9		Peachtree Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia.
10		
1.1	Q.	ARE YOU THE SAME ROBERT C. DEW, JR. WHO PREPARED DIRECT
1.2		TESTIMONY IN THIS DOCKET WHICH WAS FILED FEBRUARY 7, 1992?
13		
1.4	A.	Yes, I am.
15		
16	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?
17		
18	A.	The purpose of my rebuttal testimony is to respond to certain
19		portions of the direct testimony of Sheldon R. Ferdman.
20		Specifically, I would like to address two points covered by
21		Mr. Ferdman in his direct testimony. These points include:
22		1. The number of members served by OREMC along Airport Road;
23		and
2.4		2. Duplication of Service in the Airport Road area.
25		
		DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

Q. MR. FERDMAN STATED IN HIS TESTIMONY THAT JEA SERVES ALL OTHER
HOTELS ALONG AIRPORT ROAD AND ALL OTHER ESTABLISHMENTS EXCEPT

FOR A SEWER TREATMENT FACILITY AND THE HOLIDAY INN SIGN. DO
YOU AGREE WITH THIS STATEMENT?

A. No. Based on my physical inspection of the area and review of

OREMC's business records, I disagree with this statement.

OREMC serves two car rental facilities located along Airport

Road. My Exhibit No. \_\_\_ (RD-8a), includes a picture of the

street lighting at one of the car rental facilities served by

OREMC on Airport Road.

My Exhibit No. \_\_\_\_\_ (RD-10) shows the OREMC's primary distribution facilities and consumers near the Holiday Inn on Airport Road. From this exhibit it is clear that OREMC serves more than a single sewer treatment facility and one sign in the Airport Road area. It is also interesting to note that OREMC is providing street lighting service to the Admiral Benbow Inn while JEA serves the rest of the motel itself.

To summarize, OREMC serves two sewer treatment facilities, the Holiday Inn, three highway signs, security lights for the Admiral Benbow Inn and two rental car facilities, all of which are located on Airport Road.

Q.	WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF YOUR EXHIBIT NO (RD-10)?
A.	This exhibit was prepared under my direction and supervision
	using Mr. Ferdman's Exhibit No (SRF-4) as a background
	since it had JEA's facilities and roads shown together on a
	single drawing. The information concerning OREMC's facilities
	is based on my field inspections.
Q.	FROM AN ENGINEERING PERSPECTIVE, ARE SECURITY LIGHTS
	CONSIDERED "GOOD" LOAD?
A.	No. The fact the JEA serves the buildings and main business
	account at the Admiral Benbow Inn, while leaving OREMC to
	serve the less desirable "security light" load, appears to be
	another example of JEA's practice of "cherry picking" the good
	loads. Based on my physical inspection of the Admiral Benbow
	Inn site and review of how OREMC's system developed over time,
	I believe that OREMC could have provided all of the Admiral
	Benbow Inn's electric service needs. For that matter, OREMC
	could have efficiently provided service to many of the other
	businesses in the Airport Road area.
	Α.

Q. MR. FERDMAN HAS IMPLIED THAT ALLOWING JEA TO PROVIDE PERMANENT

SERVICE TO THE HOLIDAY INN WILL ELIMINATE DUPLICATION OF

FACILITIES. DO YOU AGREE?

1 In order to continue providing service to the Holiday 2 Inn's sign and sewer treatment plant, OREMC will be required 3 to maintain all of their facilities which are capable to serving the Holiday Inn. Having both JEA and OREMC with three 5 phase underground cable running along the east side of the Holiday Inn is a blatant example of uneconomic duplication of facilities. Uneconomic duplication of facilities occurs when a second utility constructs distribution facilities adjacent to or near the first utility's already existing facilities. 10 When duplication occurs, an important question becomes which 11 utility had facilities in place first. The fact that OREMC 12 was first to install facilities to serve the Holiday Inn, and 13 the fact that the JEA duplicated OREMC's facilities at the Holiday Inn, should be considered by the FPSC when resolving 14 15 this dispute.

16

17 Q. HOW DO YOU KNOW THAT JEA HAS DUPLICATED OREMC'S FACILITIES AT
18 THE HOLIDAY INN?

19

A. Based on Mr. Pete J. Gibson's Direct Testimony and Exhibit

No. \_\_\_\_\_ (PJG-1), OREMC has had a contract for service with

the Holiday Inn since July 3, 1968 and has never terminated

the service contract nor disconnected the Holiday Inn from its

system. Mr. Ferdman's testimony states that the Holiday Inn

became a customer of JEA on October 25, 1991.

Q. MR. FERDMAN'S TESTIMONY IMPLIES THAT OREMC HAS DUPLICATED JEA
IN AND AROUND THE AIRPORT ROAD AREA. IS THIS TRUE?

3

A. No. During my inspections of JEA's facilities and the OREMC's 5 facilities, I observed that the brands (birthmarks) on JEA's 6 poles east of Ranch Road along Airport Road (see my Exhibit 7 No. \_\_\_\_\_ (RD-10)) are dated after 1968 when OREMC had distribution facilities in the vicinity and available to serve 8 9 the Holiday Inn. So, based on my observations, JEA has 10 duplicated OREMC's facilities at this end of Airport Road, not 11 the other way around. In my opinion, this duplication of 12 facilities is not in the public interest and has an adverse 13 impact on electric consumers both inside and outside Duval 14 County. These facts should be considered by the FPSC when 15 resolving this dispute.

16

17 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY.

18

19 A. Contrary to the testimony of Mr. Ferdman, the OREMC provides electric service to more than two businesses in the Airport 20 21 Road area. The JEA has systematically duplicated OREMC's 22 facilities in the Airport Road area by providing electric 23 service to customers which could have easily been served by 24 OREMC. This duplication of facilities is not in the interest 25 of the public, either inside or outside of Duval County.

1 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR REBUTTAL TESTIMONY?

2

3 A. Yes, it does.

jjw\pld\dew.rbt

