1	ORIA ORIA
2	ORILE L
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	DIRECT TESTIMONY OF GERALD C. HARTMAN
12	BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
13	ON BEHALF OF
L 4	SOUTHERN STATES UTILITIES, INC.
15	AND
16	DELTONA UTILITIES, INC.
17	DOCKET NO. 920199-W8
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

- 1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- 2 A. My name is Gerald C. Hartman. My business address
- is Hartman & Associates, Inc., Southeast Bank
- 4 Building, 201 East Pine Street, Suite 1000, Orlando,
- 5 Florida, 32801.
- 6 Q. WHAT IS YOUR POSITION WITH HARTMAN & ASSOCIATES,
- 7 INC.?
- 8 A. I am a Principal Engineer with and President of
- 9 Hartman & Associates, Inc., a consulting engineering
- 10 firm in Orlando, Florida.
- 11 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND.
- 12 A. I received my Bachelors of Science degree in Civil
- 13 Engineering from Duke University in 1975 and my
- 14 Masters of Science degree in Environmental
- 15 Engineering from Duke University in 1976. I have
- 16 published over thirty papers on water and wastewater
- 17 utility systems and have been involved in numerous
- 18 technical training sessions and seminars. In
- 19 addition, I have co-authored two books concerning
- 20 water and wastewater systems.
- 21 Q. ARE YOU A REGISTERED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER?
- 22 A. Yes, I am a registered professional engineer in the
- 23 States of Florida, Georgia, Maryland, North
- 24 Carolina, Pennsylvania and Virginia.
- 25 Q. ARE YOU A MEMBER OF ANY PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS?

Ŧ	A.	res, I am a member of the following organizations:
2		American Society of Civil Engineers
3		National Society of Professional Engineers
4		Florida Engineering Society
5		American Water Works Association
6		Florida Pollution Control Association
7		American Water Resources Association
8		Water Pollution Control Federation
9		Florida Water and Pollution Control Operators
10		Association
11		Florida Waterworks Association
12		In addition, I have served as an officer in several
13		of these organizations.
14	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING EXPERIENCE AS
15		IT PERTAINS TO WATER AND WASTEWATER UTILITIES?
16	A.	I have been the Engineer of Record for over thirty
17		water and wastewater master plans and five capital
18		improvements programs. I have been involved in over
19		fifty hydraulic model analyses of water and
20		wastewater systems. In addition, I have been
21		involved in numerous studies and investigations
22		ranging from pilot programs to value engineering
23		investigations. I have performed numerous water
24		process evaluations from simple aeration to reverse
25		osmosis (R.O.). In addition, I have performed

1	wastewater evaluations from secondary treatment to
2	advanced biological nutrient removal systems. I
3	have been involved in the design of over \$300
4	million worth of water and wastewater facilities in
5	the State of Florida.

These designs range from small single well
systems to large municipal and investor-owned
systems.

- 9 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE FLORIDA
 10 PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION REGARDING USED AND USEFUL
 11 DETERMINATIONS?
- 12 A. Yes, I have testified before the Florida Public
 13 Service Commission ("Commission") on numerous
 14 occasions.
- Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE OTHER
 REGULATORY BODIES REGARDING USED AND USEFUL
 DETERMINATION?
- 18 A. Yes, I have testified in rate proceedings in
 19 Sarasota County and Hillsborough County regarding
 20 used and useful issues.
- 21 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS
 22 PROCEEDING?
- 23 A. The purpose of my direct testimony is to briefly
 24 describe the information that is contained in the
 25 Commission's Minimum Filing Requirement Schedules

F-1 through F-10 as presented in Volumes II and III, 1 Book 11 of 11 and Book 6 of 6, respectively, of the 2 rate application. Specifically, my testimony will 3 address the F-1 through F-10 Schedules for the water and wastewater systems in the following counties: 5 Citrus, Collier, Duval, Lee/Charlotte, Marion, 6 Martin,, Volusia, and Washington counties. Mr. Gary 7 S. Morse will present direct testimony pertaining 8 to the F-1 through F-10 Schedules for the systems 9 in the following counties: Brevard, Clay, Hernando, 10 Highlands, Lake, Orange, Osceola, Pasco, Putnam, and 11 Seminole counties. In addition, I will discuss the 12 sources of the information and the rationale used 13 14 in completing these schedules.

- Q. WERE THESE SUMMARIES AND SCHEDULES PREPARED BY YOUR
 OR UNDER YOUR DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION?
- 17 A. Yes, they were.
- Q. WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE "F" SCHEDULES CONTAINED IN

 VOLUME II, BOOK 11 ENTITLED ENGINEERING

 INFORMATION (WATER)?
- A. Book 11 of Volume II presents Schedules F-1 through
 F-10 of the Minimum Filing Requirements for each
 water system. Schedule F-1 is entitled "Gallons of
 Water Pumped, Sold, and Unaccounted For." Column
 of this schedule indicates the "Total Gallons

Pumped" for the historic test year period January 1, 1991 through December 31, 1991. These numbers are taken directly from the monthly Water Treatment Plant Operation Report submitted to the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation ("FDER"). These reports are provided in Volume IV, Books 5 and 6, Additional Engineering information.

Column 3 of Schedule F-1, entitled "Gallons Purchased", is applicable only to a select few systems where water is purchased to either supplement our supply or is the sole source of supply for the water system. The data in this column comes from the bills received from the supplier each month.

Column 4 of Schedule F-1, entitled "Gallons Sold", is derived from information contained in the billing analysis.

Column 5 of Schedule F-1 is entitled "Other Uses" and is expressed in thousands of gallons. As indicated on the bottom of the table, "Other Uses" is broken into Flushing of lines, Utility Use, Water Main Breaks, Unmetered and Stuck Meters, and Fire Dept. Use.

Columns 6 and 7 of Schedule F-1 show the resulting "Unaccounted For Water" in thousands of

- 1 gallons and as a percentage, respectively.
- The unaccounted for water information is sponsored by Mr. Charles Sweat and is further
- 4 discussed in his direct testimony.
- 5 Q. WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE INFORMATION CONTAINED ON 6 SCHEDULE F-3 IN VOLUME II, BOOK 11 (WATER)?
- Schedule F-3 is entitled "Water Treatment Plant 7 Α. 8 Data. " Part 1 of the schedule shows the rated plant 9 capacity. The course of this data is the FDER 10 permit. I have added a line to include the firm 11 reliable capacity of the treatment plant based on 12 standard engineering design criteria. Part 2 requests the maximum day demand which is defined as 13 14 being the single day with the highest pumpage rate 15 for the test year. The source of this data is the 16 monthly FDER Water Treatment Plant Operation 17 Reports. Part 3 requests information on the "Five-18 Day Max Month" demand, which is defined as "the five days with the highest pumpage rate from the month 19 20 with the highest pumping rate during the test year." 21 The average of these five figures is also requested, 22 but has no real bearing upon the planning and/or 23 design of a water system. The average of the five 24 maximum consecutive days of the maximum month of the 25 historic test year may be a significant factor in

the planning of a very large system; however, this information is not requested in Schedule F-3. Part 4 requests information on the "Five-Day Max Year" demand, which is defined as "the five days with the highest pumpage rate from any one month in the test year." Here also, the monthly FDER Water Treatment Plant Operation Reports were the source of this Part 5 requests the "Average Daily Flow" data. during the test year which is a calculated value. Its source is again the monthly FDER Water Treatment Plant Operation Reports. Part 6 is the "Required Fire Flow" for the water system. Typically, the source of this data is the Insurance Services Office "Fire Suppression Rating Schedule" dated June, 1980 or the County Fire Ordinance Code. Copies of local county ordinances, where applicable, are included in the Appendix of Volume II, Book 11 of 11.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

- Q. WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE "F" SCHEDULES CONTAINED IN

 VOLUME III, BOOK 6 ENTITLED-ENGINEERING INFORMATION

 (WASTEWATER)?
- A. Book 6 of Volume III presents Schedules F-2, F-4,

 F-6, F-7, F-8 and F-10 of the Minimum Filing

 Requirements for each wastewater system.
- Q. WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE INFORMATION CONTAINED ON

 SCHEDULE F-4 IN VOLUME III, BOOK 6 (WASTEWATER)?

- Schedule F-4 is entitled "Wastewater Treatment A. 1 Plant Data" and indicates the overall rated 2 capacity of the wastewater treatment facilities and 3 some basic information concerning the flows during the historic 1991 test year. The treatment plant 5 capacity is that which is approved by the FDER and 6 noted on the operating permit. Copies of the 7 current FDER operating permits are provided in 8 Volume IV of the rate filing. 9
- 10 Q. WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE INFORMATION CONTAINED ON
 11 SCHEDULE F-5 IN VOLUME II, BOOK 11 (WATER)?
- Schedule F-5 is entitled "Used and 12 A. Calculations - Water Treatment Plant." As the title 13 indicates, Schedule F-5 presents the used and useful 14 15 analysis proposed by the Company for water supply, 16 treatment (if any), storage, pumping facilities, and 17 the water distribution system for the 1991 test 18 year. The used and useful methodology is described in detail in the introduction section at the front 19 20 of Volume II.
- Q. WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE INFORMATION CONTAINED ON SCHEDULE F-6 IN VOLUME III, BOOK 6 (WASTEWATER)?
- 23 A. Schedule F-6 is entitled "Used and Useful Calculations-Wastewater Treatment Plant." As the title indicates, Schedule F-6 presents the used and

useful analysis proposed by the Company for wastewater treatment plants, the effluent disposal systems, and the collection systems. Data specific to the treatment plant is shown at the top of the Schedule and is referred to as Input Data. This data includes some basic information contained in the FDER operating permits, the average daily flow during the maximum month of the test year, a determination of usage per equivalent residential connection ("ERC") and the average number of ERCs connected to the system. For those particular systems requiring a margin reserve, the margin reserve flow and margin reserve growth are shown on lines 21 and 22, respectively. The resulting used and useful determination with the margin reserve taken into consideration is shown on line 23 for the wastewater plant, line 24 for the effluent disposal system, and line 25 for the collection system.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE INFORMATION CONTAINED ON SCHEDULE F-7 IN VOLUME II, BOOK 11 AND VOLUME III, BOOK 6 FOR THE WATER AND WASTEWATER SYSTEMS?
- 22 A. Schedule F-7 is entitled "Used Useful and Calculation-Water 23 Distribution and Wastewater 24 Collection Systems." As the title indicates, this 25 schedule is generic to both water and wastewater

- systems. However, the used and useful determination for the water distribution systems is shown on Schedule F-5 and the used and useful determination for wastewater collection systems is shown on Schedule F-6.
- 6 Q. WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE INFORMATION CONTAINED ON
 7 SCHEDULE F-8 IN VOLUME II, BOOK 11 AND VOLUME III,
 8 BOOK 6 FOR THE WATER AND WASTEWATER SYSTEMS?
- is entitled "Margin Reserve Schedule F-8 A. 9 Calculations" and is generic to both water and 10 wastewater systems. A description of the margin 11 contained in the reserve determination is 12 introduction at the front of Volume II, Book 11 for 13 water systems and Volume III, Book 6 for wastewater 14 The margin reserve is computed for an 15 16 eighteen month period of time for treatment plants 17 and one year for distribution and collection systems. 18

19 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF A MARGIN RESERVE?

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. The margin reserve is the additional water and wastewater facilities necessary to meet the customer demands while additional facilities are being constructed. The Commission realizes that a utility must construct facilities beyond the needs of its current customers and has an obligation to

- do so, since the utility's customer base is a continuously growing and dynamic element while the construction of facilities takes a great deal of time.
- Q. YOU MENTIONED AN "RIGHTEEN MONTH PERIOD OF TIME FOR
 TREATMENT PLANTS"? WHAT DOES THIS MEAN AND DO YOU
 THINK IT IS APPROPRIATE?
- An "eighteen month margin reserve" is the period of A. 8 time that the Commission believes is the appropriate 9 time to consider for the addition of additional 10 capacity to serve future customers of water .and 11 wastewater systems. In other words, the Commission 12 believes that a utility with a growing customer 13 base, such as many of the SSU systems, should 14 provide adequate capacity to meet the demands of 15 that customer base eighteen months beyond the test 16 being considered for 17 vear period ratemaking 18 purposes.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In most instances today, if a utility must construct additional capacity to keep ahead of the customer demands, it needs more than eighteen months to complete the process. For a relatively "clean" process in which there are no permitting, financing or construction delays, two years is about the minimum time period in which additional capacity can

1	be provid	ed. Below I have briefly outlined a step
2	by step p	rocess for the addition of water treatment
3	capacity:	
4	1.	In house review of records, capacity,
5		customer commitments, etc., and the
6		determination of the abilities and
7		manpower to complete the work.
8	2.	Request for a proposal, review of
9		qualifications and selection of an outside
10		consultant to perform the work.
11	3.	Determination of the needed capacity
12		increase to meet the demands of the
13		current and future customers via a
14		planning document.
15	4.	Study of the various raw water supply
16		alternatives and the required treatment
17		facilities necessary to produce potable
18		water.
L9	5.	Selection of the raw water supply and
20		treatment alternative that provide the
21		highest quality product for the lowest
22		customer price.
23	6.	Determination of the source of supply and
24		the sizing of treatment facilities taking
25		into account economies of scale and used

1		and useful analysis.
2	7.	Preliminary planning level engineering,
3		estimate of planning, financing, design
4		permitting, construction and startup costs
5		including overhead expenses, capitalized
6		interest, etc.
7	8.	Study of complete financing alternatives
8		and determination of lowest cost financing
9		alternative considering all aspects.
10	9.	Preliminary approval of selected financing
11		alternative by financial institution,
12		local government, etc.
13	10.	Consumptive Use Permit (CUP) application
14		preparation with supporting documentation.
15	11.	Water Management District (WMD) review and
16		request for additional information.
17	12.	Complete request for additional
18		information.
19	13.	WMD review and staff report.
20	14.	WMD Board approval, noticing and CUP
21		issuance.
22	15.	Design wells and local government
23		approval.
24	16.	Bidding, evaluation and award well

drilling contract.

1	17.	Finalization of financing for the well
2		drilling contract.
3	18.	Well construction and testing.
4	19.	Water sampling and analysis.
5	20.	Determination of water quality and its
6		applicability to the treatment process.
7		At this point, project redesign may be
8		necessary causing significant delays.
9	21.	Water treatment facilities design
10		completion.
11	22.	Application for FDER construction permit.
12	23.	FDER review and request of additional
13		information.
14	24.	Complete request for additional
15		information.
16	25.	FDER review and notice of intent.
17	26.	FDER construction permit noticing and
18		permit issuance if no objections.
19	27.	Local government review and permitting.
20	28.	Final design completion and preparation
21		of bidding documents.
22	29.	Bidding, evaluation and award of
23		construction contract.
24	30.	Finalization of financing for the water
25		plant construction contract.

1	31.	Water treatment plant construction and
2		disinfection.
3	32.	Substantial completion inspection and
4		certification.
5	33.	Punch list determination and completion
6		of items.
7	34.	Start up, operator training and operation
8		and maintenance manual review.
9	35.	Final walk through and inspection and
10		completion of final punch list items.
11	36.	Final payment to contractor and project
12		close-out.
13	37.	Final FDER certification and preparation
14		of as built drawings.
15	38.	Adjustment of rates to include costs of
16		new facilities.
17	It sl	hould be noted that the above list is not
18	all inclus	ive and outlines only the major activities
19	in the add	ition of additional water system capacity.
20	Also, this	outline assumes a relatively simple water
21	treatment	facility with no major delays in the
22	permitting	design or construction processes. If
23	this were	a complicated process, for example an R.O.
24	facility w	ith an injection well, the permitting and
25	constructi	on time would more than likely be extended

by at least one year. Hartman & Associates, Inc. recently completed an R.O. facility which utilized an existing injection well and which was on an extremely fast track, and the design, permitting and construction took more than two years. A similar result is also occurring in the wastewater industry. A currently ongoing wastewater treatment expansion is expected to take approximately two years to design, permit and construct. It should be noted that both of these projects were relatively straightforward since there were basically no treatment alternatives thus eliminating the first five steps previously outlined.

Q. WHY HAVE YOU PROPOSED ONLY AN EIGHTEEN MONTH MARGIN RESERVE IN SCHEDULE F-5?

A. To my knowledge, the eighteen month margin reserve time has never been disputed in a rate application and I therefore thought it inappropriate to present anything different in this instant application. My whole point is that if the Commission truly intends the margin reserve time period to account for the time required for a utility to implement its next phase of water and/or wastewater treatment capacity, that it consider a margin reserve time period much greater than eighteen months, and that it be a

- 1 function of the source of supply and the complexity of the water and/or wastewater treatment process and 2 the effluent disposal methods. With the continued 3 increased cost of constructing facilities conjunction with stricter environmental regulations, 5 it is very important that the utility be allowed 6 adequate time to study the various alternatives and 7 determine which will produce the lowest rates to its 8 customers while meeting all regulatory issues and 9 requirements. 10
- Q. WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN

 SCHEDULE F-9 IN VOLUME II, BOOK 11 FOR WATER

 SYSTEMS?
- 14 A. Schedule F-9 is entitled" Equivalent Residential 15 Connections-Water." This schedule provides the beginning of year, end of year, and average number 16 17 of ERCs for each of the last five years, including 18 the test year. The source of the data is the 19 company's billing records for actively metered 20 customers. The average growth for the last five years is calculated in column 9 as required. 21
- Q. WOULD YOU DESCRIBE THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN

 SCHEDULE F-10 IN VOLUME III, BOOK 6 FOR WASTEWATER

 SYSTEMS?
- 25 A. Schedule F-10 is entitled "Equivalent Residential

1 Conne	ections-Sewer."	This	schedule	provides	the	same
---------	-----------------	------	----------	----------	-----	------

- basic information for the wastewater systems as
- 3 contained in Schedule F-9 for the water systems.
- 4 The source of the data is the company's billing
- 5 records.
- 6 Q. IS THERE A SUMMARY OF THE USED AND USEFUL
- 7 PERCENTAGES AND THE ASSET ACCOUNTS TO WHICH THEY ARE
- 8 APPLIED FOR THE WATER AND WASTEWATER SYSTEMS?
- 9 A. Yes. A summary of the non-used and useful
- 10 percentages by asset account is contained in Volume
- I, Book 1 of 4 behind tabs "W-Schedule F" and "WW-
- 12 Schedule F".
- 13 Q. DID YOU CALCULATE THE NON-USED AND USEFUL
- 14 PERCENTAGES CONTAINED IN THE SUMMARY?
- 15 A. Yes, I did.
- 16 Q. MR. HARTMAN, DO YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL TOPICS YOU
- 17 WISH TO DISCUSS?
- 18 A. Yes. I wish to discuss the service life of R.O.
- 19 permeators as they relate to the Burnt Store water
- 20 system. Typically, R.O. permeators would be
- 21 classified in NARUC Account 320.3, Treatment Plant
- 22 Equipment, which has a depreciation life of twenty
- two years. R.O. permeators should be accounted for
- in a separate NARUC Account 320.35 and a five year
- 25 depreciation life should be authorized.

- Q. WHY IS FIVE YEARS A MORE APPROPRIATE DEPRECIATION

 8ERVICE LIFE THAN TWENTY-TWO YEARS?
- It is the intent of depreciation to recover invested A. 3 capital in a particular asset over the useful life According to Section 25-30.140, of the asset. 5 F.A.C., Account 320 has an "accepted service life" 6 of twenty two years for a "Large Utility (Class A This accepted service life grossly & B)." 8 overstates the "useful life" for R.O. permeators 9 which must be considered in deriving depreciation 10 11 expense.
- 12 Q. YOU STATE THAT FIVE YEARS IS A MORE APPROPRIATE

 13 USEFUL LIFE FOR R.O. PERMEATORS. WHAT EVIDENCE DO

 14 YOU HAVE IN SUPPORT OF THIS ASSERTION?
- 15 A. First, the average service life of R.O. permeators
 16 is a site specific condition and is subject to the
 17 recommendation of the permitting engineer and the
 18 manufacturer of the permeators.
- 20 ENTITLED "FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
 21 METHODOLOGY FOR DETERMINING THE AVERAGE SERVICE LIFE
 22 FOR R.O. PERMEATORS." WAS THIS EXHIBIT PREPARED BY
 23 YOU OR UNDER YOUR DIRECTION AND SUPERVISION?
- 24 A. Yes, it was.
- 25 Q. COULD YOU BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THIS EXHIBIT?

1	A.	Yes, this exhibit is a copy of a letter from Mr.
2		Robert J. Crouch, Engineering Supervisor of the
3		Florida Public Service Commission which confirms
4		that "the 22 years average life for NARUC account
5		320.3 Water Treatment Equipment is not appropriate
6		for Reverse Osmosis equipment." R.O. permeators can
7		have a useful life of three to eight years depending
8		on the type of reverse osmosis process. The useful
9		life is primarily a function of the quality of the
10		raw water and numerous other quantitative and
11		qualitative factors. In the case of Burnt Store,
12		I recommend that a five year service life be used.
13	Q.	DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE AVERAGE SERVICE LIFE IS FOR
13 14	Q.	DO YOU KNOW WHAT THE AVERAGE SERVICE LIFE IS FOR R.O. PERMEATORS USED BY OTHER UTILITIES?
	Q.	
14		R.O. PERMEATORS USED BY OTHER UTILITIES?
1 4 15		R.O. PERMEATORS USED BY OTHER UTILITIES? Yes, I have contacted various investor-owned and
14 15 16		R.O. PERMEATORS USED BY OTHER UTILITIES? Yes, I have contacted various investor-owned and publicly owned utilities which operate R.O.
14 15 16 17		R.O. PERMEATORS USED BY OTHER UTILITIES? Yes, I have contacted various investor-owned and publicly owned utilities which operate R.O. facilities in Florida. The following is a summary
14 15 16 17		R.O. PERMEATORS USED BY OTHER UTILITIES? Yes, I have contacted various investor-owned and publicly owned utilities which operate R.O. facilities in Florida. The following is a summary of the results of those contacts.
14 15 16 17 18		R.O. PERMEATORS USED BY OTHER UTILITIES? Yes, I have contacted various investor-owned and publicly owned utilities which operate R.O. facilities in Florida. The following is a summary of the results of those contacts. Palm Coast Utilities Corp. 5 years
14 15 16 17 18 19		R.O. PERMEATORS USED BY OTHER UTILITIES? Yes, I have contacted various investor-owned and publicly owned utilities which operate R.O. facilities in Florida. The following is a summary of the results of those contacts. Palm Coast Utilities Corp. 5 years Sailfish Point Utilities 4 years
14 15 16 17 18 19 20		R.O. PERMEATORS USED BY OTHER UTILITIES? Yes, I have contacted various investor-owned and publicly owned utilities which operate R.O. facilities in Florida. The following is a summary of the results of those contacts. Palm Coast Utilities Corp. 5 years Sailfish Point Utilities 4 years City of Sarasota 5 years

6 years

Indian River Co.

1	Q.	I SHOW YOU EXHIBIT (GCH-2) UNDER COVER PAGE
2		ENTITLED "LETTER FROM PALM COAST UTILITIES
3		CORPORATION." DO YOU RECOGNIZE THIS LETTER?
4	A.	Yes, I do.
5	Q.	COULD YOU BRIEFLY DESCRIBE EXHIBIT (GCH-2)?
6	A.	Exhibit (GCH-2) is a copy of a letter to me
7		from Palm Coast Utilities Corporation which confirms
8		that the use of the five year service life for R.O.
9		permeators is appropriate. As you can see, the
10		recommended five year service life is a reasonable
11		period to account for the depreciation of the
12		reverse osmosis permeators.
13	٥.	DOES THAT CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?
14	A.	Yes, it does.
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

EXHIBIT (GCH-1)
Cover Page

PLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

METHODOLOGY FOR DETERMINING THE

AVERAGE SERVICE LIPE FOR R.O. PERMEATORS

State of Florida

Commissioners:
THOMAS M. BEARD, CHAJRMAN
BETTY EASLEY
J. TERRY DEASON
SUSAN F. CLARK
LUIS J. LAUREDO



Gerald C. Hartman Exh. No. 1 Page 1 of 1 Exh. No. (GCH-1)

DIVISION OF WATER & WASTEWATER CHARLES HILL, DIRECTOR (904) 488-8482

Public Service Commission

April 7, 1992

Chuck Bliss, Hartman and Associates, Inc. 210 E. Pine Street
Suite 1000
Orlando, Florida 32801

Dear Mr. Bliss,

We in the Florida Public Service Commission engineering staff recoginze that the reverse osmosis permeators have a limited life span. Depending upon the applications and the permeator, the average service life typically ranges from 3 to 8 years. Other treatment plant components have service lives more closely approximating the guidelines set forth in the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissions (NARUC) system of accounts. We believe that the engineer of record should consider information which would enable us to compute the average service life for each application on a case by case basis. The FPSC engineering staff recognized that the 22 years average life for NARUC account 320.3 - Water Treatment Equipment is not appropriate for Reverse Osmosis equipment. Our recommended service life takes into consideration the manufacturers suggested service life as well as the operating conditions of the particular water treatment system under study. (see FPSC Order No. 25092, Page 23 dated 9/23/91, Sailfish Point Utility Corp)

The NARUC system of accounts must be updated and new account numbers assigned to Reverse Osmosis equipment. More realistic service life estimates must be created. Until that time, the FPSC must make engineering decisions on service life based upon our best engineering judgement.

Sincerely,

Robert J. Crouch, P.E. Engineering Supervisor

EXHIBIT	(GC	CH-2)
	Cover	Page

LETTER FROM PALM COAST UTILITIES CORPORATION

Docket No. 920199-WS Gerald C. Hartman Exh. No. 2 Page 1 of 1 Exh. No. (GCH-2)

Palm Coast Utility Corporation

A Subsidiary of ITT Corporation

April 7, 1992

Jerry Hartman Hartman and Associates 201 East Pine Street Suite 1000 Orlando, FL 32801

Dear Jerry:

In discussions with Chuck Bliss about our new Membrane Softening Water Treatment Facility the question arose as to the life we would be expecting from the membrane filters. Based upon information provided by the membrane manufacturer "Filmtec" we expect the membranes to have a working life of five years. We will be depreciating the membrane filters over the expected five year period.

If you need additional information or have questions please contact me at your convenience.

Sincerely,

James A. Perry

Vice President of Finance

✓cc: C. Bliss

(JHARTMAN.LTR)