9

SIDNEY J. WHITE, JR. General Attorney

Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company 150 South Monroe Street Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (404) 529-5094



August 24, 1992

Mr. Steve C. Tribble Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

RE: Docket No. 920260-TL

Dear Mr. Tribble:

Enclosed are an original and fifteen copies of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company's Response and Objections to Florida Interexchange Carrier Association's First Request for Production of Documents and Motion for a Protective Order. Please file these documents in the captioned docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served on the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

The state of the s			
AFA 3		Sincerely,	. , ,
APP		Sigher 1	White //n
CAF _		Diamy,	171.
CMU		Sidney J. White	e, Jr. (Pr)
CEnclosures			,
LEG / WAN M.	rties of I Lombardo Anthony	Record	
OPOD.	Lackey		
RCH			
SEC _/	RECEIVED &	FILED	
WAS	: 0	Ω .	
)TH	FPSC-BUKE	AU OF RECORDS	DOCUMENT NUM

09594 AUG 24 1932

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTAL

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 920260-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by United States Mail this 24th day of August, 1992 to:

Robin Norton
Division of Communications
Florida Public Svc Commission
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0866

Angela Green
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Svc Commission
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

Joseph A. McGlothlin
Vicki Gordon Kaufman
McWhirter, Grandoff & Reeves
Suite 200, 522 East Park Avenue
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
atty for FIXCA

Joseph Gillan
J. P. Gillan and Associates
Post Office Box 541038
Orlando, Florida 32854-1038

Patrick K. Wiggins
Wiggins & Villacorta, P.A.
Post Office Drawer 1657
Tallahassee, Florida 32302
atty for Intermedia

Floyd R. Self, Esq.
Messer, Vickers, Caparello,
Madsen, Lewis & Metz, PA
Post Office Box 1876
Tallahassee, FL 32302
atty for US Sprint

Charles J. Beck
Deputy Public Counsel
Office of the Public Counsel
Room 812, 111 W. Madison Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Michael J. Henry MCI Telecommunications Corp. MCI Center Three Ravinia Drive Atlanta, Georgia 30346-2102

Richard D. Melson Hopping Boyd Green & Sams Post Office Box 6526 Tallahassee, Florida 32314 atty for MCI

Rick Wright
Regulatory Analyst
Division of Audit and Finance
Florida Public Svc Commission
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0865

Peter M. Dunbar Haben, Culpepper, Dunbar & French, P.A. Post Office Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32301 atty for FCTA

Chanthina R. Bryant Sprint 3065 Cumberland Circle Atlanta, GA 30339

Michael W. Tye
AT&T Communications of the
Southern States, Inc.
Suite 1410
106 East College Avenue
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Dan B. Hendrickson Post Office Box 1201 Tallahassee, FL 32302 atty for FCAN Monte Belote Florida Consumer Action Network 4100 W. Kennedy Blvd. #128 Tampa, FL 33609

The American Association of Retired Persons c/o Charlotte Brayer, Esquire 275 John Knox Road, EE 102 Tallahassee, FL 32303

Sichery J. Whate fr

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Comprehensive Review of the Revenue Requirements and Rate Stabilization Plan of Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company (Formerly FPSC Docket Number 880069-TL)

Docket No. 920260-TL

Filed August 24, 1992

920260-TL t 24, 1992

SOUTHERN BELL'S RESPONSE AND OBJECTIONS TO FLORIDA INTEREXCHANGE CARRIER ASSOCIATION'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

COMES NOW BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company ("Southern Bell" or "Company"), and files, (1) pursuant to Rule 25-22.034, Florida Administrative Code, and Rules 1.280(c) and 1.350 of the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure, its Responses and Objections to the Florida Interexchange Carrier Association's ("FIXCA") First Request for Production of Documents dated July 24, 1992, and (2) Motion for Protective Order.

GENERAL RESPONSE AND OBJECTIONS

- 1. Southern Bell objects to Public Counsel's definition of "document" or "documents". Public Counsel's definition of these terms is overly broad and is objectionable pursuant to standards adopted in <u>Caribbean Security Systems v. Security Control</u>

 <u>Systems, Inc.</u>, 486 So.2d 654 (Fla. App. 3rd District 1986).
- 2. Southern Bell objects to FIXCA's instruction relating to the details of privileged documents. To the extent production is objected to due to the privileged nature of documents, the information suggested by FIXCA would similarly be privileged and is therefore prohibited.

09584 AUG 24 1992 FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTED

- 3. Southern Bell objects to the instruction as to information that is to be provided for any document not in the possession of Southern Bell. This request for an extensive narrative as to the "disposition" of these documents is not properly encompassed with a Request for Production, and is, further, unreasonable and burdensome.
- 4. Southern Bell objects to FIXCA's Request for Production of Documents to the extent that it seeks documents which are not relevant to the subject matter of this proceeding nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence relevant to the subject matter of this proceeding. This objection relates, among other things, to documents which contain other states' information. Consistent with prior decisions of the Commission and in order to facilitate discovery in this proceeding, Southern Bell will produce, subject to the other objections contained herein, those documents which are responsive and which contain both relevant and irrelevant information, with the irrelevant information removed.
- 5. Southern Bell objects to producing some of the documents requested by FIXCA on the basis that the documents sought contain proprietary and confidential business information regarding, among other things, Southern Bell's relationship with its vendors, market and competitive analyses, other competitively sensitive information, and information regarding competitive services provided by Southern Bell. Consequently, Southern Bell moves the Prehearing Officer to issue a Protective Order

directing that discovery not be had with respect to the proprietary and confidential business documents referenced more specifically herein, or that discovery only be had under certain conditions. Southern Bell would be willing to entertain negotiations regarding producing certain of these documents to the attorneys representing FIXCA upon the execution of an appropriate protective agreement.

SPECIFIC RESPONSES

- 6. In response to Request No. 1, Southern Bell did not refer to any documents not produced with its answers to FIXCA's First Set of Interrogatories. Therefore, there are no documents responsive to Item No. 1.
- 7. In response to Request No. 2, Southern Bell will produce responsive documents that are in its possession, custody, or control at a mutually convenient time and place.
- 8. In response to Request No. 3, Southern Bell objects to this request as being overbroad, unduly burdensome and framed in a manner which would call for the discovery of other states' information and other irrelevant information. Further, some of the documents responsive to this request contain proprietary and confidential business information regarding Southern Bell's competitive responses to 1+ presubscription. Therefore, Southern Bell moves for a Protective Order as set forth herein. In the alternative, the Company would agree to negotiate an appropriate protective agreement controlling its production of proprietary and confidential documents which can reasonably be deemed to be

responsive. Upon the execution of a protective agreement, such documents in its possession, custody, or control could be made available for FIXCA's attorneys' review at a mutually convenient time and place.

- 9. In response to Request No. 4, Southern Bell objects to producing the documents requested on the basis that the correspondence between the Company and its vendors contains the details of proprietary and confidential business activity between a customer and its supplier, and further that certain of the information contained in those documents has been shared with Southern Bell pursuant to non-disclosure agreements with such vendors. Consequently, Southern Bell moves for a Protective Order as set forth herein directing that discovery not be had as to the documents requested in this Item, or that any production of such documents occur only after the execution of an acceptable protective agreement.
- 10. In response to Request No. 5, Southern Bell objects to this request on the basis that some of the documents responsive to this request contain proprietary and confidential business information relating to, among other things, specific prices and delivery schedules as well as the details of customer/vendor relationships between Southern Bell and its suppliers.

 Consequently, Southern Bell moves for a Protective Order as set forth herein directing that discovery not be had as to the proprietary and confidential business documents requested in this

Item, or that any production of such documents occur only after the execution of an acceptable protective agreement.

- 11. In response to Request No. 6, Southern Bell will produce responsive documents that are in its possession, custody, or control at a mutually convenient time and place.
- 12. In response to Request No. 7, all documents responsive to this request were provided in response to Request No. 6.
- 13. In response to Request No. 8, Southern Bell has no documents responsive to this request.
- 14. In response to Request No. 9, Southern Bell objects to this request on the basis that some of the responsive documents contain proprietary and confidential business information related to, among other things, projected market share, cost, revenue and demand information, as well as general competitive analysis relating to intraLATA toll and private line services.

 Consequently, Southern Bell moves for a Protective Order as set forth herein directing that discovery not be had as to the documents requested in this Item, or that any production of such documents occur only after the execution of an acceptable protective agreement.
- 15. In response to Request No. 10, Southern Bell objects to the request on the basis that it is overbroad, overly burdensome, and seeks documents not relevant to this docket. However, in an attempt to be responsive, Southern Bell will provide the documents which have been provided to Staff in this docket

relating to the extended calling plan described by Tony Lombardo in his pre-filed testimony.

- 16. In response to Request No. 11, Southern Bell objects to this request on the basis that it is overbroad and unduly burdensome, and some of the documents responsive to this request contain proprietary and confidential business information related to, among other things, toll, local, and access demand information, price elasticity information, and other competitive analysis. Consequently, Southern Bell moves for a Protective Order as set forth herein directing that discovery not be had as to the documents requested in this Item, or that any production of such documents occur only after the execution of an acceptable protective agreement.
- 17. In response to Request No. 12, the responsive documents are voluminous and will be produced in Atlanta, where such documents are currently maintained, at a mutually convenient time.

Respectfully submitted this 24th day of August, 1992.

SOUTHERN BELL TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH COMPANY

HARRIS R. ANTHONY PHILLIP J. CARVER

c/o Marshall M. Criser

Suite 400

150 South Monroe Street

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

(305) 530-5555

R. DOUGLAS LACKEY

SIDNEY J. WHITE, JR.

4300 Southern Bell Center 675 West Peachtree St., N.E.

Atlanta, Georgia 30375

(404) 529-3862

(404) 529-5094