



# Public Service Commission

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

---

**DATE:** February 17, 1993  
**TO:** Steve Tribble, Director of Records and Reporting  
**FROM:** Charles Rehwinkel  
Assistant to Commissioner Deason  
**RE:** Intercepted Communication From an Interested Party Received in  
Docket 920199.

---

This office has received the following correspondence. The correspondence has not been viewed or considered in any way by Commissioner Deason. Under the terms of the advisory opinion from the Commission on Ethics (issued July 24, 1991 as CEO 91-31-July 19, 1991), the following letter does not constitute an ex parte communication by virtue of the fact that it was not shown to the Commissioner. Because it is not deemed to be an ex parte communication, it does not require dissemination to parties pursuant to the provisions of Section 350.042, Fla. Statutes. However; in such cases Commissioner Deason has requested that a copy of the correspondence and this memo be, as a matter of routine, placed in the correspondence side of the file in this docket.

CJR/gs

1922

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE  
01939 FEB 18 88  
FLD-RECORDS/REPORTING

# Cambridge Management Services, inc.

1412 West Colonial Drive, Suite 200 • Orlando, FL 32804 • Phone (407) 422-3080 • Fax (407) 425-2430  
February 12, 1993

Chairman J. Terry Deason  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Division of Water and Waste Water  
101 East Gaines Street  
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Commissioner Thomas M. Beard  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Division of Water and Waste Water  
101 East Gaines Street  
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Commissioner Susan F. Clark  
Florida Public Service Commission  
Division of Water and Waste Water  
101 East Gaines Street  
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

**Re: Rate Increase to be Voted on February 16, 1993  
Submitted by Southern States Utilities**

Mr. Chairman and Commissioners:

A final rate proposal for Southern States Utilities (SSU) is before you, therefore I would like to bring to your attention a situation recently presented to us.

We have just been informed by SSU that they have incorrectly been billing the Cozumel Apartment community since it was built six (6) years ago.

We purchased Cozumel Apartments, a 224 unit community, on October 9, 1992. Prior to the purchase we examined the utility bills for the previous years and called the utility who confirmed the rates being charged on the bills and gave us deposit information.

This claim of incorrect billing will result in additional utility charges in excess of \$60,000.00 this year.

We relied upon the information given us by SSU and feel we were misinformed. It is our understanding that we can be billed according to the tariffs of the utility and we are presently checking to be sure the billing is correct.

We consider the rate structure applied for excessive and wonder if other SSU customers are being misbilled.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,



Jacqueline C. Coscia  
President

1923