APPEARANCES:

HARRIS R. ANTHONY, c/o Marshall M. Criser, III, 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400, Tallahassee, Florida 32301, Telephone No. (904) 222-1201, and R.

CHARLES J. BECK, Office of Public Counsel, c/o The Florida Legislature, 111 West Madison Street, Room 812, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400, Telephone No. (904) 488-9330, on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida.

NOREEN DAVIS, FPSC Division of Legal
Services, 101 East Gaines Street, Tallahassee, Florida
32399-0863, Telephone No. (904) 487-2740, appearing on
behalf of the Commission Staff.

1	Ī N D E X
2	MISCELLANEOUS
3	Page No.
4	OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. ANTHONY 7
5	OPENING STATEMENT BY MR. BECK 10
6	WITNESSES WITNESSES
7	
8	Name:
9	ELAINE ZEIF
10	Direct Statement 14
11	EDWARD "BUTCH" OWENS
12	Direct Statement 18 Cross Examination 25
13	
14	<u>EXHIBITS</u>
15	Number: Identified Admitted
16	4 (Company) Proof of Publication for Riviera
	Beach Service Hearing 7
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

PROCEEDINGS (Hearing convened at 6:05 p.m.) COMMISSIONER CLARK: We'll call this hearing 3 4 to order. Before I welcome you we have to go through a 5 few formalities, first of which is reading the notice, 6 so I'll ask the Commission Staff attorney to read the 7 notice. 8 Thank you, Commissioner. 9 MS. DAVIS: This time and place have been set for a 10 service hearing by notice issued 9-28, 1993, in the 11 consolidated Southern Bell dockets, Nos. 920260-TL, 12 910163-TL, 910727-TL, 900960-TL and 911034-TL. 13 14 COMMISSIONER CLARK: We'll take appearances. 15 MR. ANTHONY: Hank Anthony on behalf of 16 Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company. 17 MR. BECK: Charlie Beck, Office of the Public Counsel on behalf of Southern Bell's customers. 18 19 MS. DAVIS: Noreen Davis, appearing on behalf of the Commission Staff. 20 21 COMMISSIONER CLARK: At this point I'd like 22 to introduce myself. My name is Susan Clark. I'm a 23 Commissioner with the Florida Public Service 24 Commission. I will be chairing this panel today and I

do so solely because of my seniority.

1

2

25

To my left is Commissioner Lauredo.

We will be conducting this hearing for the purpose of receiving comments from the customers of Southern Bell regarding this proposed rate case. We are particularly interested in your comments about the quality of service rendered by Southern Bell.

The petition that was filed by Southern Bell is for an evaluation of their incentive regulation plan under which they have been operating for the last five years. The petition is a legal document that summarizes the reasons for the rate changes and rate schedules they are proposing.

It is accompanied by financial, engineering and rate information that supports the Company's request.

During the pendency of the proceedings, parties who have intervened in this case my solicit further information from the Company through interrogatories and depositions of company-sponsored witnesses. In this case, you all are represented by the Public Counsel, which is Mr. Charlie Beck, who is to my far right.

The Commission has held several public hearings in this case. This is one of them. There is another public hearing going on a little further south.

It is specifically designed to gather information about the quality of service. Later on at the first of this 2 year in January and February, we will be holding 3 technical hearings in Tallahassee and to gather the 4 information compiled by the Company and the intervenors 5 regarding the rates that should go into effect for this 6 7 Company. Once the hearing is held, we will meet once 8 again at a public meeting, called an agenda, to decide 9 the issues in this case. 10

As I have mentioned, we have parties who have intervened in this case and here today we have

Mr. Charlie Beck, representing the Public Counsel and

Mr. Hank Anthony representing the company.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Before I take your comments, I'm going to allow them the opportunity to address you regarding this proceeding. I would ask them both to limit their comments to five minutes, so that we may proceed directly to hearing from you about this petition and about the quality of service.

With that I'll turn it over to you, Mr. Anthony.

MR. ANTHONY: Thank you, Commissioner Clark.

Just one administrative matter before I begin.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay.

MR. ANTHONY: I have the Proof of 1 Publication, if I could have that admitted as an 2 3 exhibit into the record. COMMISSIONER CLARK: All right. I think that 4 will become Exhibit 4. 5 (Exhibit No. 4 marked for identification.) 6 7 MR. ANTHONY: Thank you. Good evening. As Commissioner Clark said, 8 I'm Hank Anthony with Southern Bell. I want to thank 9 all of you for coming out this evening. I know the 10 weather isn't very good, but I appreciate the fact you 11 12 took the time to come this evening. I'm going to be 13 brief tonight because the reason we're here is to hear 14 from you, so I know you don't want to listen to me for 15 too long. But there are a few things that I think need 16 to be said tonight to set the context for the 17 discussion this evening. 18 We need to look no further than the headlines 19 of recent newspaper and news magazine articles to 20 realize that the telephone business is changing at an 21 ever-increasing pace. A recent front-page article in a 22 major Florida newspaper said --23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Slower and louder, 24 please. 25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. ANTHONY: Yes, ma'am. And I quote from

this newspaper, "In Florida the merger of Bell Atlantic and TCI may challenge Southern Bell's decades' old dominance of local telephone service," end quote. story continued that by mid-1994 the Bell Atlantic/TCI system will link living rooms in major portions of Florida with America's data superhighway through a single outlet in the home. So America, and Florida in particular, are in the middle of a communications revolution, not an evolution. Yet our traditional regulatory process never envisioned this changing market and environment.

The regulatory policies of the past do not address the changes that are occurring today let alone tomorrow's changes. And that brings me to the proposal that we have before the Public Service Commission.

That proposal is really about continuing
Florida's transition to this new world. It's about
providing you, our customers, with options. It's about
providing reasonably priced, reliable service and about
allowing Southern Bell to be able to compete in this
new world of competition.

Since we've already sent our customers a bill insert that describes our proposals in detail, and the Commission has also provided you with information this evening, I'm not going to give you all of the details

of our proposal. If you still have questions about any of those proposals, I know the Commission has people here who can answer those as does Southern Bell.

I do want to emphasize, though, three primary points about our proposal.

First, this is a rate reduction case. Since 1988, when the PSC approved Southern Bell's current incentive regulatory plan, you, our customers, have received more than \$1.4 billion, billion with a "B," in rate reductions and refunds. In our proposal that we're talking about tonight, we're suggesting another reduction in our rates of over \$26 million in addition to \$49 million in reductions that are already scheduled to take place.

Second, if Southern Bell is to be able to continue offering reasonably priced service, we must have a regulatory environment that encourages efficiency and provides the capital resources so we can bring the benefits of the Information Age to all of us.

And finally, there's been a great deal of publicity about Southern Bell's proposed expanded local service plan. Despite what you may have heard, the truth is that this is an optional plan, not a mandatory plan. It is and it is our intent, if it is approved, that it will remain an optional plan that will provide

benefits to some but not all of our customers.

If this plan doesn't provide benefits to you, you'll still have the same flat rate service that you have today. We propose no change in the flat rate service.

There are many other features of our proposal, but I did promise to be brief and tonight is about hearing from you, so I want to thank you for coming out and look forward to hearing from you. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Anthony.
Mr. Beck.

MR. BECK: Thank you, Commissioner Clark.

Mr. Anthony is certainly correct that times are

changing very quickly and one of the driving forces of

that change is technology.

Southern Bell's network that delivers the calls that you make is based mainly on computers that switch your calls in fiber-optic links between those computers. This industry is in a dramatically declining cost mode. If you watch the prices of calculators or watch the prices of computers in the stores, you know that these prices are decreasing very rapidly and have been for years. Well, just as the prices for the technology is declining, so should the

cost that you pay for your telephone service be declining.

In this case the issue is how much should Southern Bell's rates go down? Mr. Anthony mentioned the \$1.2 billion over the last five years, and that's a figure that just adds up the savings each of the five years to reach that total. But compare that to what they're composing in this case, which is a \$26 million reduction. It's very, very small compared to what's happened in the past. What Southern Bell is trying to do is to not decrease their prices as they should be, given their declining cost.

Among the things Southern Bell is asking is that their authorized profit level be unchanged today from when the Commission set it in 1988. When the Commission did it in 1988 they set the rates at a 13.2% return on equity. That's the profit level Southern Bell keeps after you've paid their taxes in your rates, so that's their after-tax profit. Then the Commission allows them to keep a portion of their profits above 14%. Southern Bell wants to keep those same rates. They are projecting to earn a 13.5% return even this year after you've paid their taxes. They are trying to keep this same profit level, even though since the time the Commission set their rates in 1988, the prices of

long-term bonds have dropped by three, four percentage points.

Well, we think their authorized profit levels ought to come down in a similar manner because we are now at a very low point in interest rates that hasn't been seen for a few decades. There's at least \$100,000,000 at stake over that the issue alone. We're also taking issue with many of the accounting adjustments that Southern Bell makes in computing their profits. And we believe their profits are actually much higher than they are saying because of some of their accounting mechanisms.

Their proposal for expanded local service has a catch to it. And they've told you it is optional, which it is, but what they have done is made a proposal that will give you lower rates for your shorter long distance calls; that's calls out to 40 miles. But you get those lower rates if, and only if, you agree to abandon your flat rate service and take a local measured service that the company is proposing.

There's a tie-in between the two. You don't get the reduced long distance rates unless you agree to take the measured rates on your local service, which would measure your local calls by the minute. We're very much opposed to that linkage.

We think the toll rates ought to come down and that their profit level certainly would support reducing their toll rate, and those toll rates ought to be available to everybody, not just to those who are willing to abandon their flat rate local service.

In addition, we're proposing that your Touch-Tone charges be eliminated. This is a service that's provided to you at no cost to the Company, and there's no rationale to keeping a Touch-Tone charge at all.

There's also an extended area service proposal before the Commission. They've deferred making a decision on that twice at the Commission pending this hearing. So if anybody wants to address that tonight, this would certainly be the time to do it.

Our bottom line is we are proposing that Southern Bell be required to reduce their rates by several hundreds of millions of dollars, and we're going to try very hard in this case to make that happen. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Beck.

We're now at the point to hear from you, the customers. Mr. Beck, you have some people that have signed up, have you not?

MR. BECK: Yes. Thank you. 1 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Because we want 2 to be able to rely on your testimony when we make our 3 decision, it's necessary for us to swear you in. what I'd like to do right now is to ask all of those 5 people who are going to present comments to stand, and 6 I will swear you all in at the same time. All those 7 people who have signed up to speak, would you please 8 raise your right-hand? 9 (Witnesses sworn collectively.) 10 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Beck, if you would 11 12 call the first person. MR. BECK: YES. Mrs. Elaine Zeif. 13 WITNESS ZEIF: Do I have to come down? 14 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Well, she needs to hear 15 you to take your testimony, so you need to come down to 16 17 the microphone, although, I can hear you perfectly. ELAINE ZEIF 18 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 19 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 20 testified as follows: 21 WITNESS ZEIF: I agree 100% with this 22 gentleman's remarks, and I'd like to go a little bit 23 further. 24 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Can I stop you once 25

more? Mr. Beck has read your name, but will you spell your name for us, so she gets it right to the record.

witness ZEIF: My last name? ZEIF, Z, as in zebra, E-I-F, as in Frank.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Go ahead.

WITNESS ZEIF: What Mr. Beck said made 1,000% sense.

In reference to some of the things he said, the constant increased profits of the telephone company is really not doing the public any good. You have a 13.5% net profit, which is much higher than a small businessman would make in a year; net we're talking about now.

When you have your parent company having so much excess profits, looking to buy, to go in business with QVC to buy Paramount, I would say there's no need for any increased rates of any kind, anywhere, for many years to come, with a lot more money coming back to the public on their bills; not that little bit that is there now, but a lot more. If this company is making the kind of profit that Southern Bell is making, that's a disgrace. This is a public utility. That's a disgrace. And it's small people who are now unemployed — this is a recession. We're supposed to be coming out of it. You're making your profits, and these

people are starving and having difficulty having 2 incomes. Okay. We did that. Now, you also have 3 people on fixed incomes. Their social security 4 increase does not equal that of inflation. It is less. 5 It's 2-point-something and inflation -- what is it for 6 '93, do you remember? Do you know what it's going to 7 8 be? 9 MR. BECK: Less than three. WITNESS ZEIF: Whatever it is, the social 10 security raise this year is 2-point-something. It is 11 not the same as inflation. 12 Also on here you have, in reference to where 13 14 it says your premium rates, what do you consider a few phone calls? Why are there no specifics? 15 16 MR. ANTHONY: Are you asking me, ma'am. 17 WITNESS ZEIF: Yes, sir, I am. 18 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Can you answer that 19 question? 20 MR. ANTHONY: We have some people who can 21 tell you exactly how it works out. But it would depend 22 on your calling patterns. You could figure it out, it's two cents --23 24 WITNESS ZEIF: One to ten, five to ten, ten 25 to 20? Why is that not spelled out here for the

general public?

MR. ANTHONY: Because we tried to keep it as simple as we could for the general public, but when you have to answer that question --

WITNESS ZEIF: Not giving numbers is not keeping it simple; you're confusing people.

MR. ANTHONY: Well, we certainly have no intent to confuse anybody.

witness zeif: Also, somewhere in here it says about you losing revenues. Now, do you really expect the public to believe that you're going to reduce customer's rates by 26.8 million or permanent rate reductions of 48.2 million in certain services? Do you expect the public to believe that you're going to stay in business and lose money? We're not stupid. We're dumb sometimes, but we're not that stupid to believe that you're going to stay in business with that kind of reduction. Your profits are going to be less. That's about all, if that.

Okay. The only -- as Mr. Beck pointed out, the dollar surcharge for these Touch-Tone and other things that actually cost the Company no money, and with your new fiber-optics, means you have less employees. But that also saves you a great deal of money. Less employees is less social security for you

ı	to your share, less health benefits, less pensions,
2	less workmen's comp, less unemployment taxes. In
3	essence, less expenditures all the way around, no
4	freebies, no cars. That's it.
5	MR. ANTHONY: Thank you.
6	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Just a minute. Are
7	there any questions? Commissioner Lauredo?
8	COMMISSIONER LAUREDO: No, ma'am.
9	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you very much.
.0	(applause)
.1	(Witness Zeif excused.)
.2	MR. BECK: Mr. Butch Olsen.
L 3	EDWARD "BUTCH" OLSEN
4	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of
15	the State of Florida and, having been first duly sworn,
L6	testified as follows:
L7	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Would you give your name
18	again and then spell it?
L9	WITNESS OLSEN: My name is Edward "Butch"
20	Olsen. I live at 3100 Southeast St. Lucie Boulevard in
21	Stuart. My telephone number is 283-5548. I'm a
22	self-employed fisherman.
23	I'm what you might describe as a disgruntled
24	ex-employee. I was terminated by the Company November
25	1990 and the company's actions in my termination are

currently under litigation.

I'm not here tonight to discuss my

termination. The purpose of my remarks is to tell you,

the Public Service Commission, about Southern Bell's

failure to provide quality of service that West Palm

Beach customers need and deserve. You may also be

aware that I recently exposed Southern Bell's

grassroots letter-writing campaign to elected

representatives and the PSC.

I worked for Southern Bell for 20 years. In that time, I worked my way up from janitor to managing half of the outside plant repair facility in the West Palm Beach area. There were over a hundred supervisors and crafts persons in my organization. Southern Bell is fortunate to have a group of employees who are dedicated and hard-working.

The average Southern Bell worker wants to provide the very best service possible to the public. But the problem that the employees have in providing good service is that priorities that the Company places on earnings or its budget. During recent years, the Company has continued to reduce its head count to the extent that there aren't enough people on the payroll in the basic servicing jobs to provide adequate levels of service. I recall that after divestiture in one

fell swoop I lost over 20% of my cable repair force to adjustments. There was no explanation by higher management. The work content was excessive in repair at the time, and the only thing that my people could do was change out facilities. Higher management's attitude towards the refurbishment towards outside plant facilities is a disgrace.

Work authorizations for the rehabilitation of customer services, plant, has been allowed to stack up and sit in files until they are too old and then closed out.

As a member of Southern Bell's management structure, it was very clear to me throughout my career that top management in the company set up its budget to provide a specific return to its investors. When revenues were down, we had to cut our hours and the quality of service we were providing. Whenever there was a choice we had to make between the budget or good serviced to the public, then good service was always the second choice. After the breakup of AT&T and the Bell Operating Companies, there was a time when we were able to provide the quality of our outside plant facilities, and we were given enough people to provide good service. But during recent years, the Company's attention has shifted away from service and the

1

financial results have become more important.

2

While cutting the forces necessary to do the

3

job, top management has continued to put pressure on

lower management to produce satisfactory results, no

5

matter what it takes.

6

One division manager would bring in his first level managers who failed to meet the numerical

7

objectives set forth for them for what he called

8 9

love-ins. He would intimidate them to provide

10

acceptable but unrealistic results. Productivity is

11

the highest priority. Anyone who doesn't meet the

12

expectation for productivity can count on a poor

13

appraisal, small raises and plenty of browbeating.

14

outside plant servicing job has declined in recent

15

17

16

facilities, technicians are forced to change out cable

years. Instead of fixing troubles in outside plant

I'm personally aware that the quality of the

18

pairs and move on to the next trouble report. Quality

19

is sacrificed for economics. And ultimately the

21

customer is the one who pays because there aren't 20

22

job. For years repair techs have been loaned to

23

construction when there wasn't an adequate work force

enough people on the force to deliver a quality repair

24

in either group.

25

This creates a downward spiral in that

rehabilitation of customer service plant cannot be accomplished adequately.

I'm personally aware that our construction forces in West Palm Beach were so undermanned that their backlog of unworked construction jobs was over two years old. These are jobs that would have replaced old worn out cable with new high-quality facilities capable of providing high-quality service. I'm personally aware that in order to achieve their indexes, the construction organization simply canceled jobs and junked new cable.

One manager, who was a close friend of mine, quit in part due to frustration because the Company refused to devote the attention it needed to improve the quality of its facilities.

Throughout the company, I'm aware of numbers of lower level managers who are expected to produce good results, but they are not given the tools to get the job done. During recent years, achieving the various numerical goals of the company have become even more important than in past years. I believe that incentive regulation had something to do with this, since we were given the opportunity for higher earnings, but we still had to maintain these same objectives established by the Commission. In addition,

the Company's team incentive awards provided bonuses, provided the whole state met the financial and service goals of the Company. Those factors put added emphasis on achieving certain goals or indexes. While the Company has adequate management appraisal programs, they are seldom effective. The team incentive award program overrides all reality. When, as a manager, you are lumped with your peers for pay treatment, you don't want to be the one that doesn't make it. So the rule is do whatever it takes to make the corporate goals.

The problem with all of this is that numbers or indexes have become more important than providing good service. Under great pressure to produce good results low level managers and craft persons have had no choice but to cut corners and bend the rules. These are good people, but if given no choice between having a job or bending the rules, there are few among us who would not bend the rules. That's what's happened in Southern Bell.

For this Commission I believe you should deliver a strong message to the Company to ensure that customer service comes first. Southern Bell customers in West Palm Beach pay well for the services of the Company. I understand that the Company revenues are up to 3 billion a year, billion with a "B."

You need to make sure that the reports the company sends to you are honest reports and that the first priority of the entire Company is good service, not the budget.

During the past several years the quality of service haves gone down in West Palm Beach, but I understand earnings have not. You should ask how this happened. Believe me, they had a choice, good service or good earnings. They made their choices and the customers have suffered.

You, the Public Service Commission, are the only ones who can change the priorities of this company. For the customers of West Palm Beach and the state of Florida, I hope you will. Don't listen to what they say. The words are always sugar-coated. Watch what they do closely, that's the secret. Should you or your Staff wish to follow up on any of my comments, please feel free to get in touch with me.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Olsen.

Just a minute. Are there any questions?

MR. BECK: No questions.

MR. ANTHONY: I think I may have a few.

1	25
1	CROSS EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. ANTHONY:
3	Q Mr. Olsen, did I understand you correctly
4	that you said you were fired from Southern Bell in
5	November of 1990?
6	A That's right.
7	Q And so you haven't worked for the Company for
8	three years.
9	A No, I have not.
10	Q So you don't have any firsthand knowledge, do
11	you, of the Company's construction budget or the number
12	of employees on the payroll in West Palm Beach or any
13	other indicia of service, do you?
14	A That's true, only my own experiences.
15	Q Which ended three years ago.
16	A That's right. November 19th, 1990, Mr.
17	Anthony.
18	Q And you were discharged for your involvement
19	in a sales problem; is that correct?
20	A That's correct.
21	Q And the allegation was that you had bent the

Q And the allegation was that you had bent the rule with regard to the sale of services; is that correct? Sale of services to customers without their knowledge?

22

23

24

25

A I was not given a reason for my dismissal.

You have litigation pending over that Q 1 dismissal you said; is that correct? 2 Yes, I do. Α 3 And do you know today the reason that was stated for your dismissal. 5 No, I do not. 6 Α You don't know that it was for your alleged 7 involvement in improper sales? 8 No, I do not. 9 Α MR. ANTHONY: Thank you. That's all I have. 10 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Anthony. 11 Thank you for coming out, Mr. Olsen, and we 12 do have your name and number should we need to follow 13 up on it. You are probably aware that the quality of 14 service, and specifically customers getting service, 15 being billed for service they didn't ask for is an 16 issue in this case and whether or not repairs were done 17 on time. I know the Commission Staff is looking into 18 it vigorously and Public Counsel is looking into it 19 vigorously. And now that they have your name, which I 20 sort of suspect they already know about the lawsuit and 21 know where to contact you should they need to. And I 22 appreciate your coming here today to this hearing. 23 Yes. Thank you. 24 WITNESS OLSEN:

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Beck.

25

MR. BECK: That's all the customers we've had sign up ahead of time, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask right now, is there anyone who has not signed up, but would, nonetheless. like to add their comments.

Why don't you come forward, and I'll swear you in and then you can give us your name. And spell it for us.

(Witness Brown sworn.)

BESSIE BROWN

appeared as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS BROWN: My name is Bessie Brown, B-R-O-W-N.

Within the last two weeks someone has vandalized my house. They cut my telephone wires at the time and I had no service. I had one phone, they just didn't cut one line. It took me two days -- I was told it would be taken care of by 5:00 the following day. I got no calls from them; and then when -- I kept calling myself because I'm home and I have no service. Then when I finally get a call, I'm told that, "Well, you know it will cost you \$46 the first 15 minutes and \$13 each 15 minutes after. You know, why is it that

customers don't know these charges?

COMMISSIONER CLARK: You mean for someone to come out and reconnect the line, they said it would be --

WITNESS BROWN: 46.50 the first 15 minutes and 13.50 every 15 minutes after that.

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Let me ask you this: Was your phone line cut outside of your house?

witness brown: It was on the outside. It was on the outside.

Okay. That happened on a Wednesday morning. Monday, the following Monday, the same thing happened to me, they cut all four of them this time, and I had to call again. This time I got service like in the afternoon. I went home about 1:00, so I had to have the Police Department call Southern Bell for me this time, because I had no way of calling. I didn't want to leave my house. They had actually come in my house and left.

So same charges. You know, there was nothing offered as, "Why don't we move these wires and put them inside." You know, that's creating a problem, so I said, "Why don't you, you know, -- is there anything you can do for me?" You know, you just don't get anything. "Well, we'll just put them right in here, tighten them up a little bit. You know, they could

come back and cut them again. You know --

COMMISSIONER CLARK: Your phone line, outside phone lines need to be more secure.

That is a problem we have wrestled with, because other people have asked us about moving those phone line inside. It does create a problem when there has to be service, but what I would like to do is have one of our Staff people meet with you and get the specifics of what happened, and see if there isn't a way to resolve your problem so that maybe it can be put in a area where it's not subject to being vandalized or it's not as easy to do that.

witness brown: Well, I have a garage, you know, they could just come in, you know, inside. I asked because if you put in an alarm system, there's only me and my daughter at home. Cut the wires, what good is the alarm system. You know? And someone has been to my twice within like six days, you know, and I'm just told, "Well, it will cost you." You know, I'm not interest in the cost at the time. I'm interested in getting service in my house. I'm sitting here, sleeping in there for three nights, you know, no service and someone has already been in. And then I called the Company, and they said, "Well, you have to call customer service and do a complaint, but I

sympathize with you that they didn't do it." Then when I called customer service, it was about the fourth call and I said, "Let me tell you something, can you help me before I repeat the story again?" So at the time I repeat the story again. They say, "Well, you'll have to call 611, maintenance." Then I get the same person that I just finished talking to. They told me to call customer service. She said, "Well, Ms. Brown, what do you want me to do?" You know, to listen, to hear that. I said, "What I would like for you to do is to discipline the employee that came by on the day that he was supposed to fix my wires, and did not fix them, did not call me, and told me that there was no call back number." I said, I want you to take care of your employees, then I wouldn't be going through this hardship," you know, and stuff like that. And then when I asked who was her supervisor, you know, I asked because I would go on. I'd go as far as I have to, you know, I have paid my bill for over 21 years, see, and I don't appreciate, you know, going through the hassles. All I wanted them to do is call me, give me the service. You know, if I have a charge, tell me the charge and go ahead. But, you know, it was just like back and forth and all of that, and I just wasn't in a good frame of mind.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER CLARK: I would like someone on 1 our Staff to review what has happened with respect to 2 your service and maybe help you reach a compromise with 3 the Company as to a place the wires might possibly be 4 moved. I'm not promising you it can be done. We have 5 some people from our customer service, the gentleman 6 back there that's standing up. If you would talk to 7 him about that, he'll work with you and see if we can't 8 resolve this, so you won't have to tell this story 9 10 again. Thank you. WITNESS BROWN: Okay. Because it was so 11 recent, I'd just like to let you all know what was 12 happening. 13 14 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you. 15 WITNESS BROWN: Thank you. 16 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Is there anyone else who 17 would like to add their comments about the quality of 18 service? Or any other issue in this case? 19 Well, am I correct that the notice says we 20 will continue this hearing until the last witness is 21 heard? 22 MS. DAVIS: That's correct, Commissioner. 23 COMMISSIONER CLARK: I think what we're going 24 to do is maybe take a five-minute break. We will

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

reconvene to see if anyone else has arrived who would

like to speak, or if anyone else in the audience has determined they have something to say. If we don't 2 have any further witnesses, then we will adjourn the 3 hearing. So we'll take a five-minute break. 4 (Brief recess.) 5 6 COMMISSIONER CLARK: Ladies and gentlemen, 7 we're going to reconvene this hearing, and I'm going to 8 ask one more time, is there anyone here who would like 9 to present their comment on this petition or on the 10 11 quality of service provided by Southern Bell? 12 Mr. Beck, you haven't had anyone sign up, 13 have you? 14 MR. BECK: No, we haven't. 15 COMMISSIONER CLARK: That being the case, 16 there are no further witnesses to be heard at this time 17 and we'll adjourn the hearing. Thank you very much. 18 (Whereupon, the hearing adjourned at 6:50 19 p.m.) 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON)
3	
4	I, JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR, Chief, Bureau of Reporting
5	
6	DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the service hearing in this cause, Docket No. 920260-TL, was heard by the Florida Public Service Commission at the time and place
7	herein stated; it is further
8	CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision, and that this
9	transcribed under my direct supervision, and that this transcript, consisting of 32 pages, constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings;
10	DATED this 13th day of December, A. D., 1993.
11	Don Hell
12	JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR Chief, Bureau of Reporting
13	Florida Public Service Commission (904) 488-5981
14	(500)
15	
16	STATE OF FLORIDA)
17	COUNTY OF LEON)
18	COOKIT OF ELON ,
19	The foregoing certificate was acknowledged before me this 13th day of December, 1993, by JOY
20	KELLY, who is personally known to me.
21	
22	Patricia a. Church
23	Notary Public - State of Florida COM. NO. CC-90785
24	
25	

THE PALM BEACH POST EXH 4

J.J. Hollenbeck

Published Daily and Sunday West Palm Beach, Palm Beach County, Florida

PROOF OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF FLORIDA COUNTY OF PALM BEACH

Before the undersigned authority personally appeared

8			
who on oath says that she/he is	Class. Adv. Mgr. of The Palm Beach Post,		
a daily and Sunday newspaper	published at West Palm Beach in Palm Beach County,		
	of advertising, being a <u>Notice</u>		
in the matter of	order no. 3319003		
in the	Court, was published in said newspaper in		
the issues of	November 18, 1993		
in said Palm Beach County. Flo continuously published in said Pa entered as second class mail matt County, Florida, for a period of or copy of advertisement; and affian any person, firm or corporation as	id The Post is a newspaper published at West Palm Beach, orida, and that the said newspaper has heretofore been alm Beach County, Florida, daily and Sunday and has been er at the post office in West Palm Beach, in said Palm Beach he year next preceding the first publication of the attached at further says that she/he has neither paid nor promised my discount, rebate, commission or refund for the purpose or publication in the said newspaper.		
Sworn to and subscribed before	me this 18 day of November A.D. 19 93		
OFFICIAL NOTARY SEAL KAREN M MCLINTON	I fla M Thinton		
NOTARY PURILS STATE OF FLORI CHANGE TO SUBJECT CHIPSES (1.15.1)	i and the second second second		
Personally known XX or Produ	iced Identification		
Type of Identification Produced			

LEGAL NOTICE NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

The Florida Public Service Commission has scheduled a public hearing in Docket No. 920260-TL. The purpose of this docket is to review Southern Bell's operations under its incentive sharing plan and to consider Southern Bell's proposal for extension of that plan. It will also consider the Company's proposed rate changes, including the proposed optional Expanded Local Service Plan, reductions in intraLATA access charges and certain custom calling feature rates, a restructuring of service order charges and a reduction of certain business line rates.

For the convenience of the public, the Florida Public Service Commission has also scheduled service hearings as follows:

. Wednesday, December 1, 1993-6:00 PM Suncoast High School Auditorium 600 West 28th Street Riviera Beach, Florida

Wednesday, December 1, 1993-6:00 PM Watson B. Duncan Theatre Palm Beach Community College 4200 Congress Avenue Lake Worth, Florida

At the above times and places, members of the public may appear to testify as to their interest in this matter. All persons desiring to present testimony at the hearings should, if possible, bring bills or other documentations regarding their telephone service. Customers are urged to attend on time. If no customers are present, the hearings will be adjourned. The Public Counsel, the citizens' representative in matters before the commission, will be available at least 30 minutes prior to each hearing in order to meet members of the public who wish to testify. Prior to that time, inquiries should be directed to The Office of Public Counsel, c/o Florida House of Representatives, The Capitol, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1300.