Steel Hector & Davis

Tallahassee, Florida

Matthew M. Childs, P.A. (904) 222 - 4448

July 21, 1994

Martha Brown, Esq. Legal Division Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee, FL 32399

RE: DOCKET NO. 940001-BI

Dear Ms. Brown:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and fifteen (15) Copies of Florida Power & Light Company's Prehearing Statement in

Also enclosed is a formatted double sided high density 3.5 inch diskette containing Florida Power & Light Company's Prehearing

ACK ~	
AFA)	RECEIVED & FILEDA
APP_	_ M1000
CAF	EPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS
CHILL	

Very truly yours,

Matthew M. Childs, P.A.

All Parties of Record

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

Tallahassee Office 215 South Monroe Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301 - 1804 (904) 222 - 2300 Fax: (904) 222-8410

2775 -

4000 Southeast Financial Center Mars, FL 33131 - 2398 (305) 577 - 7000 Fax: (305) 358 - 1418

1900 Phillips Point West 777 South Flagler Drive West Palm Beach, FL 33401-6198 (407) 650 - 7200 Fax: (407) 655 - 1509

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: Investigation Of Fuel) Cost Recovery Clauses Of Electric Companies

DOCKET NO. 940001-EI FILED: JULY 21, 1994

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-94-0818-PCO-EI, issued July 5, 1994, establishing the prehearing procedure in this docket, Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") hereby submits its Prehearing Statement.

A. APPEARANCES

Matthew M. Childs, P.A. Steel Hector & Davis 215 South Monroe Street Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301

102		ISSUES
B. MITHESSES	SUBJECT MATTER	1,2,3 4,5,6,7,7a
MITNESS	Fuel Adjustment, True-Up and Projections	4,5,6,7,1a
R. SILVA D. C. POTERALSKI B. T. BIRKETT		8,9
R. SILVA	GPIF, True-Up and Projections	10,11
B. T. BIRKETT	Oil Backout, True-Up and Projections	14,15,16, 17,18,18a,
B. T. BIRKETT	Capacity Cost Recovery, True-Up and Projections	18b

C. EXHIBITS		DESCRIPTION
EXHIBITS	WITNESS B. T. BIRKETT	Appendix I/Fuel Cost True-Up Calculation
(BTB-1)		Appendix II/Capacity Colculation
(BTB-2)	B. T. BIRKETT	Appendix III/Oil Backout Cost Recovery True-Up Calculation
(BTB-3)	B. T. BIRKETT	Appendix IV/A Schedules October 1993 - March 1994 October 1993 - March 1994
(BTB-4)	B. T. BIRKETT	October Total Cost Recovery
(RS-1)	R. SILVA	Forecast Cost Recovery
(BTB-5)	B. T. BIRKETT	Calculation
(BTB-6)	B. T. BIRKETT	True-Up Calculation
(BTB-7)	B. T. BIRKETT	Appendix IV/Capacity Costors Recovery Calculation of Factors
(BTB-8)	B. T. BIRKETT	Recovery No. 1/GPIF Results
(RS-2)	R. SILVA	Decument No. 1/GPIF 1425
(RS-3)	R. SILVA	and Range
(BTB-9)	B. T. BIRKETT	Fuel Cost Recovery Calculation of Factor Revised

D. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

None Necessary.

B. STATEBOUT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

1. What is the final fuel true-up amount for the period October 1, 1993 through March 31, 1994?

FPL: \$2,066,794 overrecovery. (BIRKETT)

2. What is the estimated/actual fuel true-up amount for the period April 1, 1994 through September 30, 1994 which are based upon two months actual and four months revised estimates?

FPL: \$32,451,868 overrecovery. (BIRKETT)

3. What is the total fuel true-up to be refunded during the period October 1, 1994 through March 31, 1995?

FPL: \$34,518,662 overrecovery.

4. What should be the effective date of the new fuel adjustment charge, oil backout cost recovery charge, conservation cost recovery charge, and capacity cost recovery charge for billing purposes?

FPL: The Company is requesting that these new charges become effective starting with meter readings scheduled to be read on or after October 1, 1994 (Cycle Day 3) and continue through March 31, 1995 (Cycle Day 2). Billing cycles may start before October 1, 1994, and the last cycle may be read after March 31, 1995 (Cycle May Start Defore October 1, 1994, and the last cycle may be read after March 31, 1995 (Cycle May be read after March 31, 1995). 1995, so that each customer is billed for six months regardless of when the adjustment factor became effective. (BIRKETT)

5. What are the appropriate levelized fuel adjustment factors for the period October 1, 1994 through March 31, 1995?

FPL: 1.567 cents/kwh is the levelized recovery charge for non-time differentiated rates and 1.673 cents/kwh and 1.525 cents/kwh are the levelized fuel recovery charges for the onpeak and off-peak periods, respectively, for the differentiated rates. (BIRKETT) 6. What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to

PPL: See response to Issue 7.

7. What are the appropriate Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for each rate group adjusted for line losses?

FPL:

GROUP	SCHEDULE	FUEL RECOVERY LOSS MULTIPLIER	FUEL RECOVERY FACTOR	
A-1*	RS-1,GS-1,SL-2	1.00210	1.570	
В	SL-1,OL-1 GSD-1	1.00210	1.552	
С	GSLD-1 & CS-1	1.00204	1.570	
D	GSLD-2, CS-2, OS-2	1.00089	1.568	
P	& MET	0.99443	1.558	
E	GSLD-3 & CS-3	0.96091	1.506	
	RST-1,GST-1 ON-PEAK OFF-PEAK	1.00210	1.677	
В	GSDT-1 & ON-PEAK CILC-1(G) OFF-PEAK	1.00204	1.529	
С	GSLDT-1 & ON-PEAK CST-1 OFF-PEAK	1.00089	1.528	
D	GSLDT-2 & ON-PEAK CST-2 OFF-PEAK	0.99443	1.527	
E	GSLDT-3, CST-3, ON-PEAK	0.96091	1.517	
	CILC-1(T) OFF-PEAK & ISST-1(T)	0.96091	1.608	
F	CILC-1(D) ON-PEAK ISST-1(D) OFF-PEAK ISST-1(D)	0.99758 0.99758	1.669 1.522 (BIRKETT)	

COMPANY SPECIFIC FUEL ISSUES

7a. Should FPL's request to change to a weighted kWh allocation method for Fuel Cost Recovery be approved?

PPL: Yes. FPL is proposing to change the method of allocating fuel costs among the rate classes. The current method charges all rate classes the same average cost per kWh. In the Company's proposal the kWh's consumed in each hour are such that kWh's consumed in hours with higher loads are allocated a higher proportion of fuel costs and vice versa.

FPL believes this allocation method is more appropriate because this method addresses the fact that costs of each kWh consumed are not the same during every hour of the day due to the differences in prices between fuels and efficiencies between generating units.

FPL agrees with Staff to defer this issue to the February 1995 hearings. FPL's fuel factors included in this Prehearing Statement have been revised to reflect this deferral. (BIRKETT)

GPIF ISSUES

8. What should the GPIF Rewards/Penalties be for the period of October 1, 1993 through March 31, 1994?

FPL: \$3,107,919 reward. (SILVA)

9. What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period of October 1, 1994 through March 31, 1995?

FPL:

PLANT/UNIT	EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR (%)	AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE (BTU/KWH)
CAPE CANAVERAL 1 CAPE CANAVERAL 2 LAUDERDALE 4 LAUDERDALE 5 FORT MYERS 2 MANATEE 2 PORT EVERGLADES 3	92.4 89.9 92.6 92.7 93.3 95.7	9291 9338 7225 7198 9294 9758 9307

PLANT/UNIT	EQUIVALENT AVAILABILITY FACTOR (%)	AVERAGE NET OPERATING HEAT RATE (BTU/KWH)
PUTNAM 1 ST. JOHNS RIVER 1 ST. JOHNS RIVER 2 RIVIERA 3 RIVIERA 4 SANFORD 4 SANFORD 5 TURKEY POINT 3 TURKEY POINT 4 ST. LUCIE 1 ST. LUCIE 2 SCHERER 4	94.2 76.8 95.1 90.9 82.8 94.6 94.1 93.6 60.6 60.6 91.6 84.3	8670 9336 9375 9713 9672 9755 9696 10965 11002 10854 10763 9833
GPIF SYSTEM WEIGHTE	D AVERAGE HR	9698 (SILVA)

OIL BACKOUT ISSUES

10. What is the final Oil Backout True-Up amount for the period October 1, 1993 through March 31, 1994?

FPL: \$257,863 overrecovery. (BIRKETT)

11. What is the estimated/actual Oil Backout True-Up amount for the period April 1, 1994 through September 30, 1994?

FPL: \$250,389 overrecovery. (BIRKETT)

12. What is the total Oil Backout True-Up amount to be collected during the period October 1, 1994 through March 31, 1995?

FPL: \$508,252 overrecovery. (BIRKETT)

13. What is the Projected Oil Backout Cost Recovery Factor that should be used by the Company for the period October 1, 1994

FPL: .011 cents/kwh. (BIRKETT)

CAPACITY COST RECOVERY ISSUES

14. What is the final capacity true-up amount for the period October 1, 1993 through March 31, 1994?

PPL: \$8,570,760 overrecovery. (BIRKETT) 15. What is the estimated/actual capacity true-up amount for the parind April 1 1994 through September 30 1994 which are based period April 1, 1994 through september 30, 1994, which are based upon two months actual and four months revised estimates?

FPL: \$8,210,602 overrecovery. (BIRKETT)

16. What is the total capacity true-up amount to be collected during the period October 1, 1994 through March 31, 1995?

PPL: \$16,781,361 overrecovery. (BIRKETT)

17. What is the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amount to be included in the recovery factor for the period October 1994 through March 1995?

FPL: \$152,074,783 (BIRKETT)

18. What are the appropriate Capacity Cost Recovery Factors for

RATE CLASS	PPL:	ractors for
RS1 GS1 GSD1 OS2 GSLD1/CS1 GSLD2/CS2 GSLD3/CS3	CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (\$/RW)	CAPACITY RECOVERY PACTOR (\$/KWH)
	1.76 1.78 1.76	0.00517 0.00458 0.00286
	7	

		CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (\$/KWH)
	CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (\$/KM)	· ·
RATE CLASS	1.68 1.60 1.83	0.00135
CILCD/CILCG CILCT NET OL1/SL1	- OVERY	CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (SDD) (\$/KW)
SL2	CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (RDC) (\$/KN)	.11
	.23 .22 .23	.11
ISSTID SSTIT SSTID	COMPANY SPECIFIC CAPACITY	ISSUES
	COMPANY SPECIAL	nication of the

Should FPL's proposal to change the application of the capacity charge from the current reservation or contract demand capacity charge from the current reservation or contract demand charge to a reservation component and a daily demand component for the charge to a reservation component and a daily demand component of the contract of the c charge to a reservation component and a daily demand component for standby customers (taking service under Schedule SST-1 or ISST-1) FPL proposes to change the method by be approved?

which capacity costs are recovered from standby customers taking service under schedule SST-1 or ISST-1. would change the application of the capacity FPL: Yes. charge from the current reservation or contract demand charge to a combination of a reservation component and a daily demand component. FPL believes this recovery method is appropriate because the proposed method of is appropriate because the proposed method of daily demand component the same way as it was approved in reservation component and our base rates by the Commission in Docket recovery No. 850673-EU, Order No. 17159, reservation demand component. (BIRKETT)

18b. Was it appropriate for FPL to change the amount of the annual capacity credit associated with the St. Johns River Power Park from \$63,975,761 to \$56,945,5922 \$63,975,761 to \$56,945,592?

FPL: Yes. Consistent with Order No. PSC-93-0047-FOF, issued on January 12, 1993, the credit was adjusted to reflect all capacity revenues and expenses included in base rates. (BIRKETT)

F. STIPULATED ISSUES

None at this time.

G. MOTIONS

FPL is aware of no outstanding Motions at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

STEEL HECTOR & DAVIS
215 South Monroe Street
Suite 601
Tallahassee, FL 32301-1804
Attorneys for Florida Power
& Light Company

BY: Matthew M. Childs, P.A.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 940001-EI

Light Company's Prehearing Statement has been furnished by Hand Delivery* or U. S. Mail this 21st day of July, 1994, to the following:

Martha Brown, Esq.**
Division of Legal Services
FPSC
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esq. John W. McWhirter, Jr., Esq. Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esq. 315 S. Calhoun Street Suite 716 Tallahassee, FL 32301

G. Edison Holland, Esq. Jeffrey A. Stone, Esq. Beggs and Lane P. O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576

Floyd R. Self, Esq.
Messer, Vickers, Caparello,
Madsen, Lewis, Goldman &
Metz, P.A.
P. O. Box 1876
Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Richard A. Zambo, P.A. 598 S.W. Hidden River Ave. Palm City, FL 34990 John Roger Howe, Esq. Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399

Lee L. Willis, Esq.
James D. Beasley, Esq.
Macfarlane Ausley Ferguson
& McMullen
P. O. Box 391
Tallahassee, FL 32302

James A. McGee, Esq. Florida Power Corporation P. O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733

Prentice P. Pruitt, Esq.**
Division of Legal Services
FPSC
101 East Gaines Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399

Matthew A. Kane, Jr., Esq. Tropicana Products, Inc. P. O. Box 338 Bradenton, FL 34206

Matthew M. Childs, P.A.