Gulf Power Company 500 Bayfront Parkway Post Office Box 1151 Pensacola FL 32520-0770 Telephone 904 444-6365



Jack L. Haskins Manager of Rates and Regulatory Matters and Assistant Secretary

the southern electric system

January 13, 1995

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee FL 32399-0870

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for official filing in Docket No. 950007-EI are an original and fifteen copies of the following:

1. Petition of Gulf Power Company for Approval of Final Environmental Cost Recovery True-up Amounts for April 1994 through September 1994; Estimated Environmental Cost Recovery True-up Amounts for October 1994 through March 1995; Projected Environmental Cost Recovery Amounts for April 1995 through September 1995; and Environmental Cost Recovery Factors to be Applied Beginning with the Period April 1995 through September 1995.

00629-952. Prepared direct testimony and exhibit of J. O. Vick.

00630-914. Prepared direct testimony and exhibit of S. D. Cranmer.

Also enclosed is a 3.5 inch double sided, double density diskette containing the Petition in WordPerfect for Windows 6.0a format as prepared on a MS-DOS based computer.

Sincerely,

Jack & Hashen

Enclosures

cc: Beggs and Lane
Jeffrey A. Stone, Esquire

EAG Bess - 4 LES Breun LIN Dig Test X OF SEC 1 WAS _____

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

	Environmental	Cost	Recovery)	Dookst	No	950007-EI
Clause				, ,	Docker	NO.	930007-61

Certificate of Service

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished this 13th day of January 1995 by U.S. Mail or hand delivery to the following:

Martha Carter Brown, Esquire Staff Counsel FL Public Service Commission 101 East Gaines Street Tallahassee FL 32399-0863

Matthew M. Childs, Esquire Steel, Hector & Davis 215 South Monroe, Suite 601 Tallahassee FL 32301-1804

John Roger Howe, Esquire Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison St., Room 812 Tallahassee FL 32399-1400 Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esquire McWhirter, Grandoff & Reeves 315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 716 Tallahassee FL 32301

John W. McWhirter, Esquire McWhirter, Grandoff & Reeves P. O. Box 3350 Tampa FL 33601-3350

G. EDISON HOLLAND, JR.
Florida Bar No. 261599
JEFFREY A. STONE
Florida Bar No. 325953
RUSSELL A. BADDERS
Florida Bar No. 0007455
Beggs & Lane
P. O. Box 12950
Pensacola FL 32576
904 432-2451
Attorneys for Gulf Power Company

FILE COPY

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DOCKET NO. 950007-EI

PREPARED DIRECT TESTIMONY AND EXHIBIT OF S. D. CRANMER

PROJECTED ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY CLAUSE

APRIL 1995 - SEPTEMBER 1995 JANUARY 17, 1995



DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

00630 JAN 17 &

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

1		GULF POWER COMPANY
2		Before the Florida Public Service Commission Direct Testimony of
3		Susan D. Cranmer
4		Docket No. 950007-EI Date of Filing: January 17, 1995
5		
6	Q.	Please state your name, business address and
7		occupation.
8	A.	My name is Susan Cranmer. My business address is 500
9		Bayfront Parkway, Pensacola, Florida 32501. I hold
10		the position of Supervisor of Rate Services for Gulf
11		Power Company.
12		
13	Q.	Please briefly describe your educational background
14		and business experience.
15	ь.	I graduated from Wake Forest University in
16		Winston-Salem, North Carolina in 1981 with a Bachelor
17		of Science Degree in Business and from the University
18		of West Florida in 1982 with a Bachelor of Arts Degree
19		in Accounting. I am also a Certified Public
20		Accountant licensed in the State of Florida. I joined
21		Gulf Power Company in 1983 as a Financial Analyst. I
22		have held various positions with Gulf including
23		Computer Modeling Analyst and Senior Financial
24		Analyst. In 1991, I assumed the position of

1 Supervisor of Rate Services and presently serve in 2 that capacity. 3 My responsibilities include supervision of tariff administration, cost of service, calculation of cost 4 5 recovery factors, and the regulatory filing function 6 of the Rates and Regulatory Matters Department. 7 8 Have your previously filed testimony before this 0. 9 Commission in connection with Gulf's Environmental 10 Cost Recovery (ECR) Clause? 11 A. Yes, I have. 12 13 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony? 14 A. The purpose of my testimony is to present both the 15 calculation of the revenue requirements and the 16 development of the environmental cost recovery factors 17 for the period April 1995 through September 1995. 18 19 Have you prepared an exhibit that contains information Q. 20 to which you will refer in your testimony? 21 Yes, I have. My exhibit consists of four schedules, A. 22 each of which were prepared under my direction and 23 supervision. 24

1		Counsel: We ask that Ms. Cranmer's Exhibit consisting
2		of four schedules be marked as Exhibit
3		No(SDC-2).
4		
5	Q.	What environmental costs is Gulf requesting for
6		recovery through the Environmental Cost Recovery
7		Clause?
8	A.	As discussed in the testimony of J. O. Vick, Gulf is
9		requesting recovery for certain environmental
10		compliance operating expenses and capital costs that
11		are consistent with both the decision of the
12		Commission in Docket No. 930613-EI and with past
13		proceedings in this ongoing recovery docket. The
14		costs we have identified for recovery through the ECR
15		Clause are not currently being recovered through base
16		rates or any other recovery mechanism.
17		
18	Q.	Please describe Schedule 1 of your exhibit.
19	Α.	Page 1 of Schedule 1 shows the calculation of the
20		revenue requirements associated with capital
21		investment and operating expenses for the period April
22		1995 through September 1995. Pages 2 and 3 of
23		Schedule 1 show the calculation of the revenue
24		requirements associated with the energy-related and

1		demand-related investment and expenses, which I will
2		discuss later in my testimony.
3		
4	Q.	How were the Net Environmental Investment and
5		Depreciation/Amortization Expense shown on Schedule 1
6		derived?
7	A.	The Net Environmental Investment shown on line 5
8		includes plant-in-service, accumulated depreciation,
9		Construction Work In Progress-Non Interest Bearing
10		(CWIP-NIB), and working capital-allowances. Pages 1
11		through 3 of Schedule 2 provide additional detail of
12		the plant-related amounts by project. Schedule 2,
13		page 4, provides a breakdown of depreciation and
14		amortization expense by project. Depreciation expens
5		was calculated based on Gulf's latest approved
16		depreciation rates. The capital projects identified
17		for recovery through the ECR Clause are those
18		environmental projects which are not included in the
19		approved projected 1990 test year on which present
20		base rates were set.
21		
22	Q.	How was the amount of Property Taxes to be recovered
23		through the ECR Clause derived?
24	A.	Property taxes were calculated by applying the
25		applicable tax rate to taxable investment. In

Florida, pollution control facilities are taxed based 1 only on their salvage value. For the recoverable 2 environmental investment located in Florida, the 3 amount of property taxes is estimated to be \$0. In 4 Mississippi, there is no such reduction in property 5 taxes for pollution control facilities. Therefore, 6 property taxes related to recoverable environmental 7 investment at Plant Daniel are calculated by applying 8 the applicable millage rate to the assessed value of 9 the property. 10 11 What capital structure and return on equity were used 12 to develop the rate of return used to calculate the 13 14 revenue requirements? The rate of return used is based on Gulf's capital 15 structure as approved in Gulf's last rate case, Docket 16 No. 891345-EI, Order No. 23573, dated October 3, 1990. 17 This rate of return incorporates a return on equity of 18 12.0% as approved by Commission Order No. PSC-93-0771-19 FOF-EI, dated May 20, 1993. The use of this rate of 20 return for the calculation of revenue requirements for 21 the ECR Clause was approved by the Commission in Order 22 No. PSC-94-0044-FOF-EI dated January 12, 1994 in 23 Docket No. 930613-EI. 24

Docket No. 950007-EI Witness: Susan D. Cranmer Page 6

How was the amount of O & M expenses to be recovered 0. 1 through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause 2 calculated? 3 Mr. Vick has provided me with projected recoverable 4 Α. O & M expenses for April 1995 through September 1995. 5 Schedule 3 of my exhibit shows the calculation of the 6 recoverable O & M expenses broken down between the 7 demand-related and energy-related expenses. All 0 & M 8 expenses associated with compliance with the Clean Air 9 Act Amendments of 1990 were considered to be energy-10 related, consistent with Commission Order No. 11 PSC-94-0044-FOF-EI. The remaining expenses were 12 broken down between demand and energy consistent with 13 Gulf's last approved cost-of-service methodology in 14 Docket No. 891345-EI. 15 16 What is the total environmental revenue requirement 17 0. for the period April 1995 through September 1995 to be 18 recovered through the Environmental Cost Recovery 19 20 Clause? Gulf is requesting approval to recover \$6,147,000, 21 Α. excluding the true-up, through the Environmental Cost 22 Recovery Clause during the period April 1995 through 23 September 1995. 24

Docket No. 950007-EI Witness: Susan D. Cranmer Page 7

- Q. What has Gulf calculated as the total true-up to be applied in the period April 1995 through September 1995?
- The total true-up for this period is a decrease of 4 A. \$384,447 as shown on Schedule la. This includes a 5 final true-up over-recovery of \$72,442 for the period 6 April 1994 through September 1994. It also includes 7 an estimated over-recovery of \$312,005 for the period 8 October 1994 through March 1995, as calculated on 9 Schedule 1b. The resulting recovery amount for the 10 period April 1995 through September 1995, including 11 the projected amounts and the total true-up including 12 revenue taxes is \$5,756,000. 13

14

- 15 Q. Please describe how the total revenue requirement was allocated to each rate case.
- 17 A. First, I determined the energy and demand components
 18 of the requested revenue requirement as shown on
 19 pages 2 and 3 of Schedule 1, respectively. Then, I
 20 allocated these amounts to rate class using the
 21 appropriate energy and demand allocators as shown as
 22 Schedule 4.

23

Docket No. 950007-EI Witness: Susan D. Cranmer Page 8

1	Q.	How was the breakdown between demand-related and
2		energy-related investment and expenses determined?
3	Α.	The net investment and expenses associated with
4		compliance with the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990
5		(CAAA) were considered to be energy-related,
6		consistent with Commission Order No.
7		PSC-94-0044-FOF-EI, dated January 12, 1994 in Docket
8		No. 930613-EI. The remaining plant-in-service,
9		CWIP-NIB, accumulated depreciation and depreciation
10		expense related to environmental compliance not
11		associated with the CAAA were allocated 12/13th based
12		on demand and 1/13th based on energy, consistent with
13		Gulf's last cost-of-service study. In order to
14		calculate the revenue requirements associated with the
15		demand-related and energy-related portions, I have
16		shown the energy-related portion of the investment,
17		depreciation expense, and property taxes on page 2 of
18		Schedule 1 and the demand-related portion on page 3 of
19		Schedule 1. Pages 2 and 3 of Schedule 1 also include
20		the energy- and demand-related O & M expenses as shown
21		on Schedule 3. I have then calculated the revenue
22		requirements associated with the energy-related and
23		demand-related investment and expenses.

How were the allocation factors calculated for use in 1 the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause? 2 The demand allocation factors used in the 3 Α. Environmental Cost Recovery Clause were calculated 4 using the 1993 load data filed with the Commission in 5 accordance with FPSC Rule 25-6.0437. The energy 6 7 allocation factors were calculated based on projected KWH sales for the period April 1995 through September 8 1995 adjusted for losses. The calculation of the 9 10 allocation factors is shown in columns A through I on 11 page 1 of Schedule 4. 12 How were these factors applied to allocate the 13 requested recovery amount properly to the rate 14 15 classes? As I described earlier in my testimony, pages 2 and 3 16 A. of Schedule 1 show the calculation of the energy and 17 demand portions of the total requested revenue 18 requirement. The energy-related recoverable revenue 19 requirement of \$3,521,000 for the period April 1995 20 through September 1995 was allocated using the energy 21 allocator, as shown in column C on page 2 of 22 Schedule 4. The demand-related recoverable revenue 23 requirement of \$2,235,000 for the period April 1995 24 through September 1995 was allocated using the demand 25

allocator, as shown in column D on page 2 of 1 Schedule 4. The energy-related and demand-related 2 recoverable revenue requirements are added together to 3 derive the total amount assigned to each rate class, 4 as shown in column E. 5 6 What is the monthly amount related to environmental 7 Q. costs recovered through this factor that will be 8 included on a residential customer's bill for 1,000 9 10 kwh? The environmental costs recovered through the clause 11 Α. from the residential customer who uses 1,000 kwh will 12 be \$1.36 monthly for the period April 1995 through 13 September 1995. 14 15 When does Gulf propose to collect these new 16 0. environmental cost recovery charges? 17 These factors will apply to April 1995 through 18 September 1995 billings beginning with Cycle 1 meter 19 readings scheduled on March 30, 1995 and ending with 20 meter readings scheduled on September 27, 1995. 21 22 Ms. Cranmer, does this conclude your testimony? 23 0.

24

A.

Yes, it does.

AFFIDAVIT

STATE OF FLORIDA)
COUNTY OF ESCAMBIA)

Docket No. 950007-EI

Before me the undersigned authority, personally appeared Susan D. Cranmer, who being first duly sworn, deposes, and says that she is the Supervisor of Rate Services of Gulf Power Company, a Maine corporation, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of her knowledge, information, and belief. She is personally known to me.

Susan D. Cranmer Supervisor of Rate Services

Sworn to and subscribed before me this ______ day of ______, 1995.

Notary Public, State of Florida at Large



LINDA C. WEBB Hotory Public-State of FL Comm. Exp: May 31,1998 Comm. No: CC 382703 Docket No. 970001-EI Susan D. Cranmer Exhibit No. Environmental Cost Recovery Schedules

INDEX

	Titl	<u>e</u>	Page
Schedule	1:	Total Recoverable Revenue Requirements	1
Schedule	2:	Plant Schedules	8
Schedule	3:	Recoverable Operation and Maintenance Expenses	12
Schedule	4:	Calculation of ECR Factors	13

Quif Polinet Company
Environmental Cost Recovery (ECR) Clause Projection Filmg
Total Recoverable Revenue Requirements.
April 1995 - September 1995
(\$000's)

3	The second secon	Source	March 1995 (A)	April 1995	May 1995	June 1995	July 1995	August 1995	September 1995 Apr 95 - Sep 95	Apr. 95 - Sep. 95
_	Environmental investment Plant in Service	Sch 2.p. 1	7117		66,739	051/99	192,99	57,172	67,179	
2	Less: Accumulated Depreciation	Sch. 2, p. 3	(2,576)	(2,766)	(2,956)	(3,146)	(3,336)			
	Construction Work in Progress Non Interest Rearing	Sch 2 b 2	300		88				450	
	Working Capital - Allowances		(101)		(26)			1		0
	Not Environmental Investment		64334	64,263	64,191	64,317	64,141	8		-1
10 1	Average Environmental Investment			64,299	64,227	64.255	64,230	64,054	1080830	
-	x Rate of Hetam 112 x Revenue Expansion Factor		The second second	1,01609	1,01609	101609	1,01609	1,0160		200
	Total Revenue Requirement Related to Investment	nerit		285	58	88	8	8		
	Enviconmental Expenses	;				#	2			
	December of the Community of the Famous	Sch 2 n 4		180	180	190	190		191	1,141
2										
2	Total Experses			453	453	453	20	18		
3	x Rawanus E snansion Factor			1,01609	1.01609	1,01609	1,01609	101106	101609	
2	Total Revenue Reat Related to Expenses			994	460	460	194	8	*	288
9	Total Revenue Requirements (Linux 9 + 15)			1,942	1,041	1,942	1,942	13	1,040	6,373
=	Retail Jurisdictional Factor			¥	2	2	¥	¥	*	N. KA
10	Jurisdictional Revenue Requirement	6		1,005	1,004	1,005	1005		1,125 1,000	6,147
2	True-Up (Including Revenue Taxes)	Sch ta, fin	Sch 1a, line 3 x 1 01609							(160)
8		A	•							5756

1

March 1955 data provided for calculation of average environmental investment on line 6. Sum of line 18 on page 2 of Schedule 1, and line 18 on page 3 of Schedule 1. Notes.

Docket No 950007-E1 Exhibit No (SDC-2) Schedule 1 Page 2 of 3

Gulf Dwer, Company

Cause Projection Fring

Total Energy Related Recovery (ECR) Cause Projection Fring

Total Energy Related Recoverable Revenue Requirements (CAA & Other)

April 1995 - September 1995

(\$000x)

Company Co Co Co Co Co Co Co C	Š		Source	March 1995 (A)	April 1995	May 1995	June 1995	July 1995	August 1995	Total September 1995 Apr 95 - Sep 95	Apr 95 - Sep 95
Leas Accumulated Deprecation (C) (2.36) (2.55) (2.66) (2.834) (2.993) (3.133) (3.53) (3.63) (3.634) (2.993) (3.133) (3.23) (3.634) (3.993) (3.133) (3.23) (3.634) (3.993) (3.133) (3.23) (3.634) (3.634) (3.993) (3.133) (3.23) (3.634) (3.993) (3.133) (3.23) (3.23) (3.634) (3.993) (3.133) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.23) (3.		Environmental Investment	•	50 755	50.756	50.757	50,758	50,759			
Construction With in Progress (I) 115 215 315 431 431 31 35 35 35 35 35 35	-	Less Accumulated Depreciation	9	(2,386)	(2,535)	(2,685)	(2,834)	(2,983)			
Non-Interest Beams		Construction Work in Progress									
1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007 1007	n	Non Interest Bearing	6	115			431	5			
Average Enveronmental Investment 48,337 48,337 48,237 48,237 48,237 48,237 48,237 48,237 48,237 48,237 48,237 48,237 48,237 48,237 48,237 48,237 48,237 48,237 48,237 48,306 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,006 47,00	-	Working Capital - Allowances		(101)			(87)	(84)	(80		
Ameriage Environmental Investment * Rate of Return # 12 * Rate of	10	Net Environmental Investment		48,377			48,268	48,123	47,977		
# Revenue Expansion Factor Total Revenue Expansion Factor Total Revenue Requirement Related to Investment Empreormental Expansion **Expansion Factor **Colar Revenue Requirement Related to Investment **Expansion Factor **Expansion Factor **Expansion Expansion Factor **Expansion	10	Average Environmental Investment			48.357	48,316	48,282	48.196	48,050		
101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 1	-	# Rate of Beturn / 12			%906g 0	#3068 0	%,906B 0	#906# 0	W 906% 0		
Total Revenue Requirement Related to Investment Assistance Assis	-	x Revenue Expansion Factor			1 01609	1 01609	1 01609	1 01609	1 01609		
Extremental Expenses O & M Expenses O & M Expenses (E) 3. Live 15 O & M Expenses (E) 4 6 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (E) 4 6 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (E) 4 6 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (E) 4 6 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (E) 4 6 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (E) 4 6 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (E) 4 6 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (E) 4 6 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (E) 5 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (E) 6 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (E) 6 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 4 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O & M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O M Expenses (C) 6 7 777 6 150 O M Expenses (C) 6 7 7 777 6 150 O M Expenses (C) 6 7 7 777 6 150 O M Expenses	CD .	Total Revenue Requirement Related to Invest	ment	•	438	437	437	438	438		2617
O & M Expense Sch 3, Line 15 36 35 36 35 36 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 35 4 4 5 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149 149		Environmental Expenses									
Commence	. 0		Sch 3, Line 15		*	36	35	36	159		
Property Taxes 5		0	(E)		149	149	149	149	149		988
Total Expenses 1 New Francis	12	Property Taxes			9	9	A COLUMN TO SERVE	49			September 1
State Revenue Expansion Factor 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 101609 1016	12	Total Expenses			190	189	188	190	21.5		
Total Revenue Requirements (Lines 9 - 15) 631 629 629 629 753 626 Total Revenue Requirements (Lines 10 × 1 0014 line boses) (F) 0 9642336 0 9642336 0 9642336 0 9642339 0 9642339 0 9642339 0 9642339 Total Jumpictional Revenue Requirement (Line 16 x Line 17 x 1 0014 line boses) 609 607 727 604 Tine -Lip (Including Revenue Taxes) (G) (G) (G) 727 604	12	4 Revenue Expansion Factor			1 01609	1 01609	1 01609	1 01609	1 01600	F 200 CO	1 01609
Total Revenue Requirements (Lines 9 • 15) 631 629 629 629 753 636 Read JumpGotomal Factor (F) 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.	22	5 Total Revenue Reqt Retated to Expenses			193	192	161	193	316	182	1279
Retail Jurisdictional Factor (F) 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 0.9642336 <td>2</td> <td>Total Revenue Requientents (Lines 9 - 15)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>109</td> <td>623</td> <td>829</td> <td>629</td> <td>75</td> <td></td> <td>3,656</td>	2	Total Revenue Requientents (Lines 9 - 15)			109	623	829	629	75		3,656
Total Junishtional Revenue Requirement (Line 16 x Line 17 x 1 0014 line tosses) 609 607 607 727 The Lip (Including Revenue Taxes) (G)	- 2		9		0.9642336	0.9642336	0 9642336	0.9642336	0 9642336		0 9642336
True-Up (Including Revenue Taxes)	=			1 0014 line losses)	609	209	999	607	m		3,760
	- =	True-Up (Including Revenue Taxes)	5								(862)

Notes.

2

March 1995 data provided for calculation of average environmental investment on line 6 SECEEE

Total Jurisdictional Amount to be Racovered (Line 18 • Line 19)

19 True-Up (Including Revenue Taxes)

2

3,521

Schedule 2, page 1, line 17 + line 27/13 Schedule 2, page 3, line 17 + line 27/13 Schedule 2, page 2, line 16 + line 25/13 Schedule 2, page 4, line 17 + line 27/13 Calculation of Jurisdictional Separation Factor

96 42336% 3 57664% 100,00000% Apr 95-Sep 95 KWH Sales 4 609-477-112 170-979-27 4.780-456-539 Retail Wholesale Total

Allocated Letween demand and energy based on the ratio of recoverable demand and energy costs to the total recoverable costs on line 18 0 Docket No 950007-E1 Exhibit No (SDC-2) Schedule 1 Page 3 of 3

Gulf Power, Compeny Environmental Cost Recovery (ECR) Clause Projection Filtra Demand Related Recoverable Revenue Requirements April 1995 - September 1995 (\$000%)

997	Source	March 1995 (A)	April 1995	May 1995	June 1995	July 1995	August 1995	September 1995 Apr 95 - Sep 95	W 1995
	Environmental Investment Plant-in-Sorvice (8)	15,962	15,972	15,982		16,002	16,013	*	16,019
	Less Accumulated Depreciation (C)	(180)		(271)	(312)	(323)	(362)		3
	Construction Work in Progress Non-Interest Bearing (D)	185	185	185	300	300	369		5.0
	Working Capital - Allowances	18061	15 926	15.896	16.049	16,018	15,989	16,000	18
	THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY OF THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO A PARTY							1010	3
	Average Environmental Investment		15,942	15.911	0.8906%	0 8906%	16,004	X,9058 0	S 14
	a Revenue Expension Factor		1 01609	1 01609	1 01609	1 01609	1 01609		92
	Total Revenue Requirement Rataled to Investment		141	144	145	145	145	34	
9	Environmental Expenses		981	187	9	180	187		
	cofficient Considera						•		_
			×	*	37	×	*	33	160
	Total Expansos		263	792	265	264	2	Attended	-
	x Revenue Expension Factor		1 01609	1 01500	1 01609	1 01609	1 01609	Spiconia.	
2	Total Rovenue Rad Ratated to Expenses		787	200	552	368	38	392	
9	Total Revenue Requirements (Lines 9 + 15)		Ę	44	#	C	413	1 414	
17	Ratal Amedictional Factor (F)		0.9639659	0.9639859	0 9639659	0.9639639	0 9839850	0 0 9639859	-
2	Total Jurisdictional Revenue Requirement (Line 16 x Line 17)		82	181	399	380	8	2	- 000
2	True-Up (Including Revenue Taxes) (G)								95077

N SECOME

5

March 1995 data provided for calculation of average environmental investment on line 6

Schedule 2, page 1 line 27*12/13
Schedule 2, page 1 line 27*12/13
Schedule 2, page 3 line 25*12/13
Schedule 2, page 3 line 25*12/13
Calculation of Jurisdictional Separation Factor

Based on 1993 actual data

Altocated between demand and energy based on the ratio of recoverable demand and energy costs to the total recoverable costs on line 18 ĝ

Docket No. 950007-EI Exhibit No. ____(SDC-2) Schedule 1a

Gulf Power Company
Environmental Cost Recovery (ECR) Clause Projection Filing
Calculation of True-Up
April 1995 - September 1993
(\$'s)

Line

1	Estimated Over/(Under)-Recovery, October 1994 - March 1995 (Schedule 1b, sum of lines 19, 20, and 24-28)	312,005
2	Final True-Up, April 1994 - September 1994 (Exhibit No (SDC-1) filed May 20, 1994)	71,672
2a	Adj to Final True-Up to reflect revisions to the over/under recovery calculation for March 1994 and prior months	770
3	Total Over/(Under)-Recovery	384.447
4	Jurisdictional KWH Sales, April 1995 - September 1995	4,609,477,000
5	True-Up Factor (Line 3/Line 4) x 100, ¢ per KWH	0.0083

Docket No 950007 E1 Exhibit No (SDC-2) Schedule 1b Page 1 of 3

Gulf Power Company
Environmental Cert Recovery (ECR) Cause Projection Filing
Calculation of Estimated True-Lip
Cotober 1984 - March 1985
Total Recoverable Environmental Costs

5		Actual September 1994	Estimated October 1994	Actual October 1994	Estimated November 1994	Projected December 1994	Projected January 1925	Projected Esbruary 1995	Projected March 1995	Iotal
-~	City of the Service Less Accumulated Depreciation	31,360,954 (1,789,330)	11,360,954	31,896,702	31,896,702	(2,052,000)	65,690,000	66,706,000 (2,365,000)	(2.576,000)	
	Construction Work in Progress Non Interest Bearing	22,142,131	22	21,821,541	12,536,541	0	0	0	300,000	
• •	Notice Commental Investment	51,584,571	52,350,916	51,715,074	52,345,419	64,493,000	64,373,000	64,706,000	64.334,000	
0 ~ 0	Average Environmental Investment x Rate of Return 112 Total Recoverable Costs Related to Investment		51,972,244 0.8906% 462,865		52,030,247 0.8906% 463,381	58,419,210 0.8906% 520,262	64.433,000 0.8906% 573,840	64.289.500 0.8906% 572.562	64.270,000 0.8906% 572,386	3.165,318
. 2	Environmental Expenses O & M Expense Depresident/Amortization Expense		110,768		78,565	125,015	214.154	190,000	214,432	1,307,139
= 2	Property Taxes Total Recoverable Expenses		205,802		30.580		390,273	795 324	41,119	2308 906
2	Total Recoverable Costs (Lines 8 • 12)		668,860		657,181	769,237	972,113	1,367,886	1,018,939	5,474,274
z	Rutal Jurestational Factor		2		2	\$	2	2	*	¥
2	Total Juradictional Recoverable Costs (A)		665.276		633.695	142.227	938 418	1,318.564	902.416	\$200.796
202	ECR Revenues (not of Revenue Taxes) (6) True-Up Provision ECR Revenues Applicable to Period		906,152 42,467 946,619		757,886 42,467 600,353	42,466 42,466 986,389	1,000,028	613,452 42,467 655,919	42,466	254,800
9	Overführder) Racovery (Line 18 - Line 15)		283,343		105,450	244,162	104.017	(462,645)	(78,767)	256,628
222	Interest Provision (C) Bapinning Balance Total Net True-up True-up Collected/(Fahrnded)		2,085 327,242 (42,467)		3,066 599,823 (42,467)	3,709 734,155 1 (42,466)	4,310 933,560 [42,467]	1,005,480	1,968 903,712 (42,466)	18,482 327,342 (254,800)
2	Unadjusted End of Period Total Nat True-Up (Sum of Lines 19 - 22)	um of Lines 19 - 22)	\$70,203		726,880	839,560	1,005,480	503,712	384.447	347,552
2222	Adjustments (Including Inferest) Actualize Prox Morth's Plant in Service and CMSP-NiB Dalete Expenditures Included in Emor Adjust to & M Expense Update Demand Allocation for October 1994 Exclude P.E. 1558 from CWIP NIB (Interest Bearing Project)	MSP-NIB	26.076 1.572 (26)		(236) (236) 213 6815					28.559 1.572 (264) 213 6.815
8	End of Period Total Nat True Up (Sum of Lines 23 - 28)	23 - 26)	599,623		821.42	939,560	1,005,480	503,712	X4.447	3447

Notes.

(A) Sum of line 15 on page 2 of Schedule 1b, and line 15 on page 3 of Schedule 1b

(B) Projected December - March ECR Revenues calculated based on retail kinh sales (p. 2 of Sch. 1b) in 143 g per kinh / 1.01609

(C) Interest calculated for December through March at November's rate of .4442% per month

Actual Estimated Actual Estimated Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected Projected ISBN October 1924 Oct	25,728,661 25,728,661 26,216,194 26,216,194 50,752,000 50,753,000 50,754,000 50,755,000 (1,817,409) (1,817,409) (1,817,409) (1,817,409) (1,817,409) (1,817,409) (1,817,409) (1,817,409) (1,817,409) (1,817,409)	21,952,602 20,750,393 20,856,393 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 (129,154) (129,154) (129,000) (115,000)	48,544,000 48,403,000 48		NAME OF THE OWNER	21,547 27,854 27,491 76,081 79,510 114,000 2,552 2,352 4,776		508,106 501,061 526,962 578,072 963,697 613,228 3,692,126	0.9646131 0.9623990 0.9623997 0.9649067 0.9625777 0.9649065	4×10014) 490,812 483,398 506,677 559,532 926,932 591,316 3,562,667	636,406,662 563,563,095 670,707,000 710,577,000 cra out out out
Environmental Investment	2 ciation (2	MAIL ETWEOMMONIAR BYWESTMENS	Average Environmental Investment x Rate of Return / 12 Total Recoverable Costs Related to Investment		Environmental Expenses O & M Expense Dejvectation/Amortization Expense Proposty Taxes Froposty Taxes	The second secon	Total Recoverable Costs (Lines 8 + 12)	14 Roball Jurisdictional Factor (Line 16)	15 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Cost.; (Line 13 x Line 14 x	Calculation of Retail Jurisdictional Factor Retail KWH Sales
ŝ		-				0210		144	-	400	400

Gulf Power Company
Environmental Cost Recovery (ECR) Clause Projection Filing
Calculation of Estimated True-Up
October 1994 - March 1995
Demand-Related Recoverable Environmental Costs

			4									
#	=		7:	50		6	UR		4	2	-	2
Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs	Environmental Expenses O & M Expense Depreciation/Amortization Expense Property Taxes Total Recoverable Costs (Lines 8 + 12) Retail Jurisdictional Factor				Total Recoverable Costs Related to Investment	Average Environmental Investment x Rate of Return / 12	Environmental Investment Plant in Service Less: Accumulated Depreciation Construction Work in Progress Non Interest Bearing Working Capital - Allowances Net Environmental Investment					
					merd		6,633,819	0	1,049,529	(48,003)	5,632,293	Actual September 1994
174,464	0.9651588	180,762	121,719	84,918	59,043	6,629,533	6,625,246	0	1,049,529	(56,576)	5,632,793	Estimated October 1994
							6,695,080	0	1,071,148	(56,576)	5,680,508	Actual October 1994
150,497	0.9639859	156,120	93,820	57,018	62,300	6,995,293	7,295,506		1,680,148	(65,150)	5	Estimated November 1994
7 233,550	9 0.9639859	0 242,275	0 139,239		103,036	0.8906%	15,843,000		0) (/9,000)	15	Projected December 1994
378,886	0.9639859	393,041	752,006	79,000	141,035	0.8906%	15,829,000	0			15,937,000	Projected January 1995
369.632	0.9639859	404,189		185,960	140.857	- 15	15,603,000			(149,000)	15	Projected Esbruary 1995
391,100	0.9639859	405,711		186,941	141.427	0.8906%	1000/100/0		185,000	(non)nen)	15,962,000	Projected March 1995
1,718,129	0.9639859	1,782,098	1,134,400	798,690 141,997	647,698							Iotal

Docket No. 950007-EI Exhibit No. ____ (SDC-2) Schedule 2 Page 1 of 4

Gulf Power Company
Environmental Cost Recovery (ECR) Clause Projection Filing
Plant-in-Service
April 1995 - September 1995
(\$000's)

	Une No.	PE No.	Description	March 1995	April 1995	May 1995	June 1995	July 1995	August 1995	September 1995
			CLEAN AIR ACT:							
	1	1006	Air Quality Assurance Testing	239	239	239	239	239	239	239
	2	1119/6138	Crist 5 Precipitator Upgrade	0	0	0	0	0	400	400
	3	1216	Crist 7 Precipitato Upgrade	10,964	10,964	10,964	10,964	10,964	10,964	10,964
	4	1228	Crist 7 Flue Gas Conditioning	2,179	2,179	2,179	2,179	2,179	2,179	2,179
	5	1236	Crist 7 Low NOx Burners	8,608	8,608	8,608	8,608	8,608	8,608	8,608
	6	1240	Crist 7 CEMs	624	624	624	624	624	624	624
	7	1242	Crist 6 Low NOx Burners	7,703	7,703	7,703	7,703	7,703	7,703	7,703
	8	1243	Crist 6 Precipitator Replacement	14,703	14,703	14,703	14,703	14,703	14,703	14,703
	9	1245	Crist 6 CEMs	597	597	597	597	597	597	597
	10	1286/6216	Crist 1 CEMs	300	300	300	300	300	300	300
	11	1289/6219	Crist 4 CEMs	492	492	492	492	492	492	
	12	1290/6220	Crist 5 CEMs	275	275	275	275	275	275	
or	13	1323	Scholz 1 CEMs	906	906	906	906	906	906	
V.	14	1459	Smith 1 CEMs	839	839	839	839	839	839	
	15	1460	Smith 2 CEMs	423	423	423	423	423	423	
	16	1558	Daniel CEMs	573	573	573	573	573	573	
	17		Subtotal Clean Air Act	49,425	49,425	49,425	49,425	49,425	49.825	49.825
			OTHER PROJECTS (NON-CAA):							
	18	1232	Crist Cooling Tower Call	907	907	907	907	907	907	
	19	1248	Crist 1-5 Dechlorination	306	306	306	306	306	306	
	20	1270	Crist Diesel Fuel Oil Remediation	48	48	48	48	48	48	
	21	1271	Crist Bulk Tanker Unload Sec Contain Struc	214	214	214	214	214	214	
	22	1275	Crist IWW Sampling System	65	65	65	65	65	65	
	23	1446	Smith Stormwater Collection System	2,347	2,347	2,347	2,347	2,347	2,347	
	24	1466	Smith Waste Water Treatment Facility	170	170	170	170	170	170	
	25	1535	Daniel Ash Management Project	12,910	12,910	12,910	12,910	12,910	12,910	
	26	4397	Underground Fuel Tank Replacement	325	336	347	358	369	380	
	27		Subtotal Other Projects	17,292	17,303	17,314	17,325	17,336	17,347	17,354
	28		TOTAL PLANT-IN-SERVICE	66,717	66,728	66,739	66,750	66,761	67,172	67,179

Docket No. 950007-EI Exhibit No. ____ (SDC-2) Schedule 2 Page 2 of 4

Gulf Power Company
Environmental Cost Recovery (ECR) Clause Projection Filing
Construction Work-in-Progress Non-Interest Bearing
April 1995 - September 1995
(\$000's)

	Line No.	PE No.	Description	March 1995	April 1995	May 1995	June 1995	July 1995	August 1995	September 1995
			CLEAN AIR ACT;							
	1	1006	Air Quality Assurance Testing	0	0	0	0			7.0
	2	1119/6138	Crist 5 Precipitator Upgrade	100	200	300	400	400	0	0
	3	1216	Crist 7 Precipitator Upgrade	0	0	300	400		0	0
	4	1228	Crist 7 Flue Gas Conditioning	ŏ	0	0	0	0	0	0
	5	1236	Crist 7 Low NOx Burners	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	6	1240	Crist 7 CEMs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	7	1242	Crist 6 Low NOx Burners	0	0	0		0	0	0
	8	1243	Crist 6 Precipitator Replacement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	9	1245	Crist 6 CEMs	0	0	0		0	0	0
	10		Crist 1 CEMs	0	ő	0		0	0	0
	11	1289/6219	Crist 4 CEMs	o o	0	0	0	0	0	0
	12	1290/6220	Crist 5 CEMs	0	ő	0	0	0	0	0
-	13	1323	Scholz 1 CEMs	0	0		0	0	0	0
	14	1459	Smith 1 CEMs	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	15	1460	Smith 2 CEMs	0		0	0	0	0	0
	16		Subtotal Clean Air Act	100	200	0	0	0	0	Q
				TAA	ZVV	300	400	400	0	0
			OTHER PROJECTS (NON-CAA):							
	17	1232	Crist Cooling Tower Cell		0			THE STATE		
	18	1248	Crist 1-5 Dechlorination	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	19	1270	Crist Diesel Fuel Oil Remediation		0	0	0	0	0	0
	20	1271	Crist Bulk Tanker Unload Sec Contain Struc	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	21	1275	Crist IWW Sampling System	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	22	1446	Smith Stormwater Collection System	200	THE PARTY OF THE P	0	0	0	0	0
	23	1466	Smith Waste Water Treatment Facility	200	200	200	400	400	400	450
	24	4397	Underground Fuel Tank Replacement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	25		Subtotal Other Projects	200	0	0	0	0	0	0
			Tropocts	200	200	200	400	400	400	450
	26		TOTAL CWIP-NIB	300	400	500	800	800	400	450

Docket No 950007-EI Exhibit No ____ (SDC-2) Schedule 2 Page 3 of 4

Gulf Power Company
Environmental Cost Recovery (ECR) Clause Projection Filing
Accumulated Depreciation
April 1995 - September 1995
(\$000's)

	Line No.	PE.No.	Description	March 1995	April 1995	May 1995	June 1995	July 1995	August 1995	September 1995
			CLEAN AIR ACT:							
	1	1006	Air Quality Assurance Testing	43	46	49	52	55	58	61
	2	1119/6138	119/6138 Crist 5 Precipitato: Upgrade		0	0	0	0	0	1
	3	1216	Crist 7 Precipitator Upgrade	1,414	1,446	1,478	1,510	1,542	1,574	1,606
	4	1228	Crist 7 Flue Gas Conditioning	155	161	167	173	179	185	191
	5	1236	Crist 7 Low NOx Burners	441	466	491	516	541	566	591
	6	1240	Crist 7 CEMs	27	29	31	33	35	37	39
	7	1242	Crist 6 Low NOx Burners	55	77	99	121	143	165	187
	8	1243	Crist 6 Precipitator Replacement	107	150	193	236	279	322	365
	9	1245	Crist 6 CEMs	26	28	30	32	34	36	38
	10	1286/6216	Crist 1 CEMs	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	11	1289/6219	Crist 4 CEMs	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
	12	1290/6220	Crist 5 CEMs	7	8	9	10	11	12	
A SERVI	13	1323	Scholz 1 CEMs	36	39	42	45	48	51	54
	14	1459	Smith 1 CEMs	33	36	39	42	45	48	51
	15	1460	Smith 2 CEMs	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
	16	1558	Daniel CEMs	3	4	5	6	1	8	9
	17		Subtotal Clean Air Act	2.370	2.516	2.662	2,808	2.954	3,100	3,247
			OTHER PROJECTS (NON-CAA):							
	18	1232	Crist Cooling Tower Cell	67	70	73	76	79	82	85
	19	1248	Crist 1-5 Dechlorination	2	3	- 4	5	6	7	
	20	1270	Crist Diesel Fuel Oil Remediation	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
	21	1271	Crist Bulk Tanker Unload Sec Contain Struc	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
	22	1275	Crist IWW Sampling System	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	23	1446	Smith Stormwater Collection System	19	26	33	40	47	54	
	24	1466	Smith Waste Water Treatment Facility	4	5	6	7	8	9	
	25	1535	Daniel Ash Management Project	104	134	164	194	224	254	284
	26	4397	Underground Fuel Tank Replacement	5	6	- 1	8	9	10	
	27		Subtotal Other Projects	206	250	294	338	382	426	470
	28	TOTAL ACC	UMULATED DEPRECIATION	2.576	2.766	2.956	3.146	3.336	3.526	3.717

LU

Docket No. 950007-E1 Exhibit No. ____ (SDC-2) Schedule 2 Page 4 of 4

Gulf Power Company
Environmental Cost Recovery (ECR) Clause Projection Filing
Depreciation and Amortization Expense
April 1995 - September 1995
(\$000's)

	-1		1994 Depr			1 1005		A 1 100F	September 1995	Total
Line No.	PE.No.	Description	Rate (%)	April 1995	May 1995	June 1995	July 1995	Vndnar 1503	Sebiemper 1993	VDUI - 240 1933
		CLEAN AIR ACT:								
1	1006	Air Quality Assurance Testing	7-Yr Amort	3	3	3	3	3	3	18
2	1119/6138	Crist 5 Precipitator Upgrade	3.47	0	0	0	0	0	7.	1
3	1216	Crist 7 Precipitator Upgrade	3.47	32	32	32	32	32	32	192
4	1228	Crist 7 Flue Gas Conditioning	3.47	6	6	6	6	6		36
5	1236	Crist 7 Low NOx Burners	3.47	25	25	25	25	25		150
6	1240	Crist 7 CEMs	3.47	2	2	2	2	2		12
7	1242	Crist 6 Low NOx Burners	3.47	22	22	22	22	22		132
8	1243	Crist 6 Precipitator Replacement	3.47	43	43	43	43	43	43	258
9	1245	Crist 6 CEMs	3.47	2	2	2	2	2	2	12
10	1286/6216	Crist 1 CEMs	3.47	1	1	1	1		1	6
11	1289/6219	Crist 4 CEMs	3.47	1	1	1	1		1	6
12	1290/6220	Crist 5 CEMs	3.47	1	1	1	1		1	6
13	1323	Scholz 1 CEMs	3.71	3	3	3	3	Flowing - 3	3	18
14	1459	Smith 1 CEMs	3.66	3	3	3	3	1	3	18
15	1460	Smith 2 CEMs	3.66	1	1	1	1		1	6
16	1558	Darsiel CEMs	2.79	1	1	1	1	TO THE TEN	1	6
17		Subtotal Clean Air Act		146	146	146	146	146	147	877
		OTHER PROJECTS (NON-CAA):								
18	1232	Crist Cooling Tower Cell	3.47	3	3	3	3	THE RESERVE	3	18
19	1248	Crist 1-5 Dechlorination	3.47	1	1	1	1	Maria .	1	6
20	1270	Crist Diesel Fuel Oil Remediation	3.47	0	0	0	0	(0	0
21	1271	Crist Bulk Tanker Unload Sec Contain Strux	3.47	1	1	1	1		1	6
22	1275	Crist IWW Sampling System	3.47	0	0	0	0		0	0
23	1446	Smith Stormwater Collection System	3.66	7	7	7	7		7	42
24	1466	Smith Waste Water Treatment Facility	3.66	1	1	1	1		1 1	6
25	1535	Daniel Ash Management Project	2.79	30	30	30	30	30	30	180
26	4397	Underground Fuel Tank Replacement	3.8	1	1	1	1	31000000	1	6
27		Subtotal Other Projects		44	44	44	44	4	1 11	264
28		TOTAL DEPRECIATION AND AMORTIZAT	ION EXPENSE	190	190	190	190	19	191	1.141

Docket No. 950007-EI Exhibit No. ____(SDC-2) Schedule 3

Gulf Power Company
Environmental Cost Recovery (ECR) Clause Projection Filing
Recoverable Operation and Maintenance Expenses
April 1995 - September 1995
(\$)

Line	No.	FERC Account	Allocator	April 1995	May 1995	June 1995	July 1995	August 1995	September 1995	6-Monun Total
1	1 3	502	Energy	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	12,000
2		506	Energy Demand	18,193 116,573	18,193 116,573	18,193 116,573	18,193 116,573	141,693 116,573	18,193 116,573	232,658 699,438
4		512	Energy	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	12,000
5	,	514	Energy	13,284	13,284	13,284	13,284	13,284	13,284	79,704
6	3	562	Demand	(34,003)	(34,003)	(34,003)	(34,003)	(34,003)	(34,003)	(204,018)
7	,	569	Demand	9,065	9,065	9,065	9,065	9,065	9,065	54,390
	3	591	Demand	81,585	81,585	81,585	81,585	81,585	81,585	489,510
-	,	595	Demand	1,250	1,250	1,250	1,250	1,250	1,250	7,500
1	0	920	Demand	7,012	7,245	7,012	7,245	7,245	7,012	42,771
1	1	921	Demand	2,018	2,018	2,018	2,018	2,018	2,018	12,108
1	2	923	Demand	83	83	83	83	83	83	498
1	3	935	Demand	3,125	3,125	3,125	3,125	3,125	3,125	18,750
1	14	Total		222,185	222.418	222,185	222,418	345,918	222,185	1,457,309
		Summary	ot Breakdow	n Between Demar	nd and Energy:					
1	15 16 17		rgy-Related sand-Related	35,477 186,708 222,185	35,477 186,941 222,418	35,477 186,708 222,185	35,477 186,941 222,418	158,977 186,941 345,918	186,708	336,362 1,120,947 1,457,309

Docket No 950007-E1 Exhibit No ____(SDC-2) Schedule 4 Page 1 of 2

Gulf Power Company
Environmental Cost Recovery (ECR) Clause Projection Filing
Calculation of ECR Factors
April 1995 - September 1995

	Α.	В	С	D	E	F	G	н	1
Rate Class	Average 12 CP Load Factor _at Meter_	at Meter	Projected Avg 12 CP KW at Meter Col B / 4,380 hours x Col	Demand Loss Expansion _Factor_ A)	Energy Loss Expansion Factor	April -Sept 95 Projected KWH Sales at Generation Col B x Col E	Projected Avg 12 CP KW at Generation Col C x Col D	Percentage of KWH Sales at Generation Col F / Total Col F	Percentage of 12 CP KW Demand at Generation Col G / Total Col G
RS, RST	57.126207%	2,098,877,434	838,837.00	1.1019333	1.0766175	2,259,688,176	924,342.42	45.98978%	55.46143%
GS, GST	58.469577%	123,830,280	48,352.93	1.1019255	1.0766135	133,317,351	53,281.33	2.71331%	3.19693%
GSD, GSDT	76.711657%	1,067,310,774	317,654.80	1.1016647	1.0764011	1,148,854,491	349,949.08	23.38180%	20.99728%
LP, LPT,SBS (1)	86.657515%	930,880,458	245,252.57	1.0601470	1.0444167	972,227,096	260,003.78	19.78703%	15.60048%
PX, PXT,SBS (2)	106.636161%	347,950,396	74,496.99	1.0313379	1.0235079	356,129,979	76,831.57	7.24805%	4 60997%
OS-I, OS-II	NA	29,520,928	0.00	1.1020255	1.0766162	31,782,709	0.00	0.64685%	0.00000%
OS-III	101.474026%	8,997,470	2,024.38	1.1024447	1.0766529	9,687,152	2,231.77	0.19716%	0.13391%
OS-IV	NA NA	1,643,731	0.00	1.1024447	1.0766529	1,769,728	0.00	0.03602%	0.00000%
TOTAL	69.056977%	4,609,011,471	1,526,618,67			4.913.456.682	1,666,639,95	100.00000%	100.00000%

Notes:

Col A - Average 12 CP load factor based on actual 1993 load research data

Col C - 4,380 is the number of hours in € months.

(1) Includes Rate Schedule SBS customers with a Contract Demand in the range of 500 to 7,499 KW

(2) Includes Rate Schedule SBS customers with a Contract Demand over 7,499 KW

Docket No. 950007-EI Exhibit No ____(SDC-2) Schedule 4 Page 2 of 2

Gulf Power Company Environmental Cost Recovery (ECR) Clause Projection Filing Calculation of ECR Factors April 1995 - September 1995

	Α	В	С	D ,	E	F	G
Rate Class	April - Sept 95 Percentage of KWH Sales at Generation Page 1, Col H	Percentage of 12 CP KW Demand at Generation Page 1, Col I	Energy- Related Costs (\$)	Demand- Related Costs (\$)	Total Environmental Costs (\$) Col C + Col D	April - Sept 95 Projected KWH Sales at Meter Page 1, Col B	Environmental Cost Recovery Factors (# / KWH) Col E / Col F x 100
RS, RST	45.98978%	55.46143%	1,619,300	1,239,563	2,858,863	2,098,877,434	0.136
GS, GST	2.71331%	3.19693%	95,536	71,451	166,987	123,830,280	0.135
GSD, GSDT	23.38180%	20.99728%	823,273	469,289	1,292,562	1,067,310,774	0.121
LP, LPT,SBS (1)	19.78703%	15.60048%	696,701	348,671	1,045,372	930,880,458	0.112
PX, PXT,SBS (2)	7.24805%	4.60997%	255,204	103,033	358,237	347,950,396	0.103
OS-I, OS-II	0.64685%	0.00000%	22,776	0	22,776	29,520,928	0.077
OS-III	0.19716%	0.13391%	6,942	2,993	9,935	8,997,470	0.110
OS-IV	0.03602%	0.00000%	1.268	Q	1,268	1,643,731	0.077
TOTAL	100.00000%	100.00000%	\$3,521,000	\$2,235,000	\$5,756,000	4.609.011.471	0.125

Notes:

Col C - Schedule 1, page 2 of 3, line 20.

Col D - Schedule 1, page 3 of 3, line 20

Includes Rate Schedule SBS customers with a Contract Demand in the range of 500 to 7,499 KW
 Includes Rate Schedule SBS customers with a Contract Demand over 7,499 KW