

VOTE SHEET

DATE: May 16, 1995

RE: DOCKET NO. 941170-EG - Approval of Demand-Side Management Plan of FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY.
DOCKET NO. 941171-EG - Approval of Demand-Side Management Plan of FLORIDA POWER CORPORATION.
DOCKET NO. 941172-EG - Approval of Demand-Side Management Plan of GULF POWER COMPANY.
DOCKET NO. 941173-EG - Approval of Demand-Side Management Plan of TAMPA ELECTRIC COMPANY.

Issue 1: Recommendation that Florida Power and Light Company's Demand-Side Management plan be approved, including approval for cost recovery, with the following exceptions: (1) FP&L's Heating Ventilating and Air Conditioning (HVAC), Business Custom Incentive, Commercial/Industrial Load Control, and General Service Load Management programs should be set for hearing as discussed in Issue 5; and (2) FP&L should be required to file an updated monitoring plan identifying the specific approaches implemented for each program within 180 days of the final order to ensure compliance with the requirements of Rule 25-17.0021, Florida Administrative Code. Research and Development program spending should be capped at the amounts identified in Attachment 1 of staff's memorandum dated May 4, 1995. If the Commission denies staff's recommendation in Issue 5, staff would still recommend that FP&L's C/I Load Control program not be approved at this time because recent discovery responses remain to be analyzed.

MODIFIED

Approved with modification necessary to be consistent with the decision in Issue 5.

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

[Handwritten signatures in Majority column: Joe Torres, Susan Clark, J. Terry Deason, Jane K. ...]

[Handwritten signatures in Dissenting column: Joe Garcia (Issue 7), J. Terry Deason (Issue 7)]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

Commissioners Deason and Garcia dissented on Issue 7.

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

04726 MAY 16 85

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Issue 2: Recommendation that Florida Power Corporation's Demand-Side Management plan be approved, including approval for cost recovery, with the following exceptions: (1) FPC's Innovation Incentive and Commercial Energy Management programs should be set for hearing as discussed in Issue 5; (2) FPC's existing interruptible and curtailable tariffs should be closed to new customers, as of the date of the Commission's vote, because the tariffs are not cost-effective; and (3) FPC should be required to file an updated monitoring plan identifying the specific approaches implemented for each program within 180 days of the final order to ensure compliance with the requirements of Rule 25-17.0021, F.A.C.

MODIFIED

*Approved, with the exception
of Exceptions (1) and (2)*

Issue 3: Recommendation that Tampa Electric Company's Demand-Side Management plan be approved, including approval for cost recovery, with the following exceptions: (1) TECO's Commercial/Industrial Load Management and Conservation Value programs should be set for hearing as discussed in Issue 5; (2) TECO's Commercial Measures Research and Development program should be limited to three years with a cap of \$450,000 over the period, and a final report on research results should be filed at the end of the period; and (3) TECO should be required to file an updated monitoring plan identifying the specific approaches implemented for each program within 180 days of the final order to ensure compliance with the requirements of Rule 25-17.0021, F.A.C.

MODIFIED

*Approved, with the exception
of Exception (1)*

Issue 4: Recommendation that Gulf Power Company's Demand-Side Management plan be approved, including approval for cost recovery, with the following exceptions: (1) Gulf's Transtext program should not be approved unless Gulf makes the changes discussed in the staff analysis; and (2) Gulf should be required to file an updated monitoring plan identifying the specific approaches implemented for each program within 180 days of the final order to ensure compliance with the requirements of Rule 25-17.0021, F.A.C. Gulf did not propose any C/I programs that were applicable to the discussion in Issue 5.

MODIFIED

*Approved with exception of
Transtext (Advanced Energy manage-
ment). Gulf should be given the
flexibility to implement the program
as proposed, with monitoring.*

Vote Sheet
Dockets Nos. 9401170-EG, 941171-EG,
941172-EG and 941173-EG
May 16, 1995

Issue 5: Recommendation that FP&L's, FPC's, and TECO's commercial/industrial (C/I) programs identified in Issues Nos. 1, 2, and 3 be set for hearing. These C/I programs may increase both kilowatts and kilowatt-hours and, hence, may be more correctly classified as load building or load retention programs. Because of this potential, the Commission should suspend increased ECCR cost recovery for incremental program participants until either: (1) other methods of cost recovery for these programs are explored or (2) it is determined that these types of programs are, indeed, conservation programs. A September 5, 1995 hearing date has been reserved for these purposes.

DENIED

Issue 6: Recommendation that Tampa Electric Company should not be permitted to count the demand and energy savings from incremental customers who choose interruptible service (IS) over the Commercial/Industrial (C/I) Load Management Program toward its C/I DSM goal, if the Commission approves TECO's C/I Load Management Program.

APPROVED

Issue 7: Recommendation that a decision on whether Florida Power Corporation should be permitted to count demand and energy savings from one market segment towards the goals of another market segment is premature because FPC projects that it will achieve both its residential and commercial/industrial goals.

APPROVED

*Commissioners Deason
and Garcia dissented.*

Vote Sheet

Dockets Nos. 9401170-EG, 941171-EG,

941172-EG and 941173-EG

May 16, 1995

Issue 8: Recommendation that Florida Power and Light Company, Florida Power Corporation, Gulf Power Company, and Tampa Electric Company be required to submit detailed program participation standards. The four investor-owned utilities should file program participation standards within 30 days of the issuance of the order in the DSM plan dockets. These standards should be administratively approved.

MODIFIED

*Standards should be filed within
60 (rather than 30) days*

Issue 9: Recommendation that tariff revisions should become effective the date the order becomes final.

APPROVED

Issue 10: Recommendation that these dockets should remain open until after a formal hearing has been held as discussed in Issue 5. If staff's recommendation in Issue No. 5 is not approved, the dockets should be closed if no person whose substantial interests are affected files a timely objection.

APPROVED