Legal Department

NANCY B. WHITE General Attorney

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. 150 South Monroe Street Suite 400 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (404) 529-5387



September 1, 1995

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayo Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399

RE: Docket No. 950737-TP

Dear Mrs. Bayo:

Enclosed are an original and fifteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Direct Testimony of Frank R. Kolb. Please file these documents in the captioned docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served on the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

AFA Enclosures

APP cc: All Parties of Record

CAF A. M. Lombardo

CMD Taylor R. G. Beatty

R. D. Lackey

EAG

LEG

LIN

OPC

RECEIVED & FILED

EPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

OTH ____

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08589 SEP-IR

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 950737-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a copy of the foregoing has been furnished by Federal Express this 1st day of September, 1995 to:

C. EVERETT BOYD ERVIN, VARN, JACOBS
ODOM & ERVIN 305 S. GADSDEN STREET TALLAHASSEE, FL 32302 904-224-9135 904-222-9164

F. BEN POAG SPRINT/UNITED TELEPHONE OF AUSLEY, MCMULLEN, MCGEHEE, FLORIDA 315 S. CALHOUN STREET SUITE 740 TALLAHASSEE, FL 32316 904-681-3100

813-224-4001

ASSOCIATION, INC. 310 N. MONROE STREET TALLAHASSEE, FL 32301 904-681-1990

MS. JILL BUTLER FLORIDA REGULATORY DIRECTOR TIME WARNER COMMUNICATIONS 2773 RED MAPLE RIDGE TALLAHASSEE, FL 32301 904-942-1181

DAVID B. ERWIN YOUNG, VAN ASSENDERP,
VARNADOE & BENTON, P.A.
225 S. ADAMS STREET SUITE 200 TALLAHASSEE, FL 32301 904-222-7206

CHARLES BECK OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES THE CAPITOL TALLAHASSEE, FL 32301 904-488-9330

LEE L. WILLIS J. JEFFERY WAHLEN CAROTHERS & PROCTOR 227 S. CALHOUN STREET TALLAHASSEE, FL 32301 904-224-9115

PETER M. DUNBAR, ESQ.

KIMBERLY CASWELL, ESQ. CHARLES W. MURPHY, ESQ.

GTE FLORIDA, INCORPORATED PENNINGTON & HABEN, P.A.

201 N. FRANKLIN STREET 215 S. MONROE STREET

TAMPA, FL 33602 SECOND FLOOR TALLAHASSEE, FL 32301 904-222-3533

LAURA L. WILSON, ESQ.

CHARLES F. DUDLEY, ESQ.

FLORIDA CABLE

TELECOMMUNICATIONS

**CSOCIATION. INC.

**CSOCIATION. INC. TALLAHASSEE, FL 32301 904-222-0720

> WILLIAM H. HIGGINS, ESQ. CELLULAR ONE SUITE 900 250 S. AUSTRALIAN AVENUE WEST PALM BEACH, FL 33401 407-655-7447

ALAN N. BERG UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY 555 LAKE BORDER DRIVE APOPKA, FL 32703 407-889-6000

TONY H. KEY SPRINT 3100 CUMBERLAND CIRCLE ATLANTA, GA 30339 404-859-5145

TIMOTHY DEVINE MFS COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, INC. 6 CENTURY DRIVE SUITE 300 PARSIPPANY, NJ 07054 201-938-7300

RICHARD M. RINDLER JAMES C. FALVEY SWIDLER & BERLIN, CHARTERED 3000 K STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON, DC 20007 202-424-7500

MICHAEL J. HENRY MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP. 3100 BONNET CREEK ROAD 780 JOHNSON FERRY ROAD SUITE 700 ATLANTA, GA 30342 404-

RICHARD D. MELSON HOPPING GREEN SAMS & SMITH 123 S. CALHOUN STREET TALLHASSEE, FL 32301 904-222-7500

HARRIET EUDY ALLTEL FLORIDA, INC. 206 WHITE AVENUE LIVE OAK, FL 32060

DEWAYNE LANIER GULF TELEPHONE 115 WEST DREW STREET PERRY, FL 32347 904-584-2211

DANIEL V. GREGORY QUINCY TELEPHONE COMPANY 107 W. FRANKLIN STREET QUINCY, FL 32351 904-875-5000

JOHN MCGLEW NORTHEAST FLORIDA TELEPHONE 130 N. 4TH STREET MACCLENNY, FL 32063 904-259-2261

FERRIN SEAY FLORALA TELECOMMUNICATIONS CO. 522 N. 5TH STREET FLORALA, AL 36442 334-858-3211

CHARLES L. DENNIS INDIANTOWN TELECOMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS, INC. 15925 S.W. WARFIELD BOULEVARD INDIANTOWN, FL 34956 407-597-2111

JAMES W. TYLER VISTA-UNITED TELECOMMUNICATIONS LAKE BUENA VISTA, FL 32830 407-827-2000

JOHN VAUGHAN ST. JOSEPH TELEPHONE AND TELEGRAPH CO. 502 5TH STREET
PORT ST. JOE, FL 32456 904-229-7231

> MICHAEL W. TYE T106 E. COLLEGE AVENUE SUITE 1410 TALLAHASSEE, FL 32301 904-425-6360

J. P. GILLAN J. P. GILLAN & ASSOCIATES 121 N.W. IVANHOE BOULEVARD ORLANDO, FL 32804 407-649-9161

ROBIN D. DUNSON 1200 PEACHTREE STREET PROMENADE I, ROOM 4038 ATLANTA, GA 30309

MONICA M. BARONE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FL 32399 904-413-6199

Mancy B. White

| 1 | BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC. |
|-------|--|
| 2 | DIRECT TESTIMONY OF FRANK R. KOLB, JR. |
| 3 | BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |
| 4 | DOCKET NO. 950737-TP |
| 5 | SEPTEMBER 1, 1995 |
| 6 | |
| 7 | FLORIDA TEMPORARY NUMBER PORTABILITY |
| 8 | |
| 9 Q. | Please state your name, business address and |
| 10 | occupation. |
| 11 | |
| 12 A. | My name is Frank R. Kolb, Jr. My business address |
| 13 | is 3535 Colonnade Parkway, Birmingham, Alabama. I |
| 14 | am a Director in the Economic Cost organization of |
| 15 | BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. My area of |
| 16 | responsibility is Economic Analysis Planning. |
| 17 | |
| 18 Q. | Please state your educational background. |
| 19 | |
| 20 A. | I received a Bachelor of Science degree in |
| 21 | Electrical Engineering from Louisiana State |
| 22 | University and am a Registered Professional |
| 23 | Engineer. I have approximately twenty years |
| 24 | experience in coordinating the development of |
| 25 | economic models for application in |

1 telecommunications cost for pricing studies and in general costing of telecommunications resources. 2 3 What is the purpose of your testimony? 5 6 A. The purpose of my testimony is to address issues 3, 7 4, 5, and 8 concerning the Remote Call Forwarding 8 (RCF) solution for temporary number portability. 9 What are the advantages and disadvantages 10 0. 11 associated with the RCF temporary number 12 portability arrangement stipulated to by the parties that will be available by January 1,1996? 13 14 (Issue #3) 15 16 A. The number portability standards group stipulated to the availability of RCF on January 1, 1996. The 17 following are the significant advantages and 18 19 disadvantages of the RCF alternative: 20 21 Advantages: 22 RCF will be provisioned using existing translation 23

24

25

routines and can be delivered directly from an end

office to the ALEC. RCF is also a known and well

1 understood offering generally available. 2 Disadvantages: 3 4 5 Two directory numbers are required for each portable number arrangement using RCF. Remote Call 6 Forwarded calls would not allow for full CLASS 7 8 feature transparency, and a potential call set-up additional delay of .5 to 5 seconds is possible 9 depending upon the network configuration and 10 11 signaling protocols. The engineered capability of a given switch may pose a problem in regard to the 12 number of call forwarded calls the switch can 13 14 handle at a given time. Finally, certain call flow scenarios would require additional trunking. 15 16 What costs have been identified for the RCF 17 0. temporary number portability solution? (Issue #4) 18 19 Three major categories of Long Run Incremental Cost 20 A. (LRIC) have been identified: 21 22 (1) Service implementation 23 24 (2) Central office equipment and software

1 2 (3) Interoffice networking 3 4 0. What uncertainties exist relative to the costs for 5 the RCF arrangement for temporary number 6 portability? 7 8 A. Various methods and procedures have yet to be 9 defined (e.g., demand, ALEC billing, handling calls 10 to E911, etc.). The costs were developed based on 11 a uniform distribution of demand across BST's 12 Further, the costs assume that RCF is an network. 13 interim solution and will not be utilized for the 14 long term. 15 16 0. Please describe the costs associated with service 17 implementation. 18 19 A. Service orders are written by a service 20 representative who takes the order from the 21 customer (ALEC). The orders then flow through the 22 various mechanized systems to the departments 23 involved in establishing the service. Upon receipt

of the order, the Network department must perform

translations in the central office to forward the

24

affected calls to the ALEC's central office.

2

3 O. Please describe the central office costs.

4

- 5 A. The central office requirements include software,
- 6 use of the processor and dedicated equipment.
- 7 Specific software is required to obtain the feature
- 8 functionality from the processor. Processor memory
- 9 is used to store information which correlates the
- 10 BellSouth and ALEC telephone numbers. Processor
- time is consumed when calls are received which
- 12 require forwarding to the ALEC office. Also,
- dedicated line terminating equipment is required
- 14 for forwarding numbers.

15

16 Q. Please describe the interoffice networking costs.

17

- 18 A. Forwarded calls are routed through additional local
- 19 interoffice trunking facilities in order to reach
- the ALEC's central office. Costs incurred in the
- 21 local interoffice network include trunk
- terminations, transport facilities, and signaling
- 23 functions.

24

25 Q. What are BST's best cost estimates of the remote

- call forwarding solution for temporary number
- portability?

3

- 4 A. The non-recurring costs have been estimated to be
- 5 \$24.84 and the recurring monthly costs have been
- 6 estimated to be \$1.11.

7

- 8 Q. What is the appropriate method to recover the costs
- 9 associated with the temporary number portability
- 10 solution? (Issue #5)

11

- 12 A. BellSouth believes that the long run incremental
- 13 costs of the RCF arrangement for temporary number
- 14 portability should be recovered directly from the
- 15 carriers or customers who make use of these
- arrangements. The prices established for the RCF
- 17 arrangement for temporary number portability
- solutions should be LEC specific. The above long
- run incremental cost should be used to establish a
- price floor, however, it should be noted that the
- long run incremental cost does not include
- 22 contribution to the shared and common cost.

- 24 Q. How should the appropriate price for the RCF
- 25 arrangement for temporary number portability be

determined? 1 2 3 A. The Florida Statute provides that "The prices and rates shall not be below cost." Section 364.16(4). 4 This same portion of the Statute provides that 5 6 "[i]f the parties are unable to successfully negotiate the prices, terms and conditions of a 7 temporary number portability solution, the 8 9 Commission shall establish a temporary number portability solution by no later than January 1, 10 1996." This clearly means that, in the first 11 instance, the parties should be allowed to 12 negotiate the price. These negotiations are 13 underway and only if these negotiations are not 14 successful, will the Florida Public Service 15 Commission be required to set the price. 16 17 Should this docket remain open ? (Issue #8) 18 0. 19 While BellSouth supports a continuing effort 20 A. No. by the number portability standards group to 21 investigate and develop the appropriate costs, 22 parameters, and standards for a permanent number 23

for this purpose.

24

25

portability solution, a new docket should be opened

2 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

4 A. Yes, it does.