MACFARLANE AUSLEY FERGUSON & McMullen

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302)
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(904) 224-9115 FAX (904) 222-7560

HI MADISON STREET, SUITE 2300 P.O. BOX 1531 (ZIP 33601) TAMPA, FLORIDA 33602 (813) 273-4200 FAX (813) 273-4396

September 29, 1995

400 CLEVELAND STREET
P. O. BOX 1669 (ZIP 34617)
CLEARWATER, FLORIDA 34615
(813) 441-8966 FAX (813) 442-8470

IN REPLY REFER TO:

Tallahassee

BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Number Portability; Docket No. 950737-TP

Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above-styled docket are the original and fifteen (15) copies of United Telephone Company of Florida and Central Telephone Company of Florida's Objections to MCIMETRO's First Request for Production and Motion for Protective Order.

Please acknowledge receipt and filing of the above by stamping the duplicate copy of this letter and returning the same to this writer.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely

Wahlen

JJW/csu

cc: All Parties of Record

Enclosure

jjw\utd\950737.byo

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

09697 SEP 29 %

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Investigation into temporary local telephone number portability solution to implement competition in local exchange telephone markets))))	DOCKET NO. 950737-TE FILED: 9/29/95
--	------------------	--

UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA AND CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA'S OBJECTIONS TO MCIMETRO'S FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION AND MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

United Telephone Company of Florida ("Sprint/United") and Central Telephone Company of Florida ("Sprint/Centel") (collectively the "Companies"), pursuant to Rule 25-22.034, Florida Administrative Code, Florida Rule of Civil Procedure 1.350, and Order No. PSC-95-0896-PCO-TP, issued by the Florida Public Service Commission (the "Commission") in the above-styled docket on July 25, 1995, hereby submit the following Objections and Motion for Protective Order with respect to MCIMETRO's First Request for Production of Documents to United Telephone Company of Florida and Central Telephone Company of Florida.

General Objections

The Companies make the following objections to MCIMETRO's First Request for Production of Documents:

1. The Companies have interpreted the request to apply to the Companies' regulated intrastate operations in Florida and will limit their responses accordingly. To the extent that any request is intended to apply to matters other than the Florida intrastate operations subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission, the

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

09697 SEP 29 K

Companies object on the basis that such requests are irrelevant, overly broad, unduly burdensome and oppressive.

- 2. The Companies object to each and every request to the extent that such requests call for information which is exempt from discovery by virtue of the attorney-client privilege, work product privilege or other applicable privilege.
- 3. The Companies object to each and every request insofar as the requests are vague, ambiguous, overly broad, imprecise or utilize terms that are subject to multiple interpretations but are not properly defined or explained for purposes of the requests. Any responses provided by the Companies to the request will be provided subject to, and without waiver of, the foregoing objection.
- 4. The Companies object to each and every request insofar as the requests are not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence and are not relevant to the subject matter of this action. The Companies will attempt to note each instance where this objection applies.
- 5. The Companies object to producing documents, records and information to the extent that such information is already in the public record before the Florida Public Service Commission.
- 6. The Companies object to each and every request insofar as they are unduly burdensome, expensive, oppressive, or excessively time-consuming as written.
- 7. The Companies object to each and every request to the extent that the information requested constitutes "trade secrets"

which are privileged pursuant to Section 90.506, Florida Statutes. To the extent that the request seeks proprietary confidential business information which is not subject to the "trade secrets" privilege, the Companies will make such information available to counsel for MCIMETRO pursuant to an appropriate Protective Agreement, subject to any other general or specific objections contained herein.

8. In the course of the Companies' business activities, they create many documents that are not subject to the Florida Public Service Commission's or Federal Communications Commission's retention of records requirements. These records are kept at numerous locations and are frequently moved from site to site as employees change jobs or as the business is reorganized. Therefore, it is possible that not every conceivable document will be provided in response to the request. Rather, the Companies responses will provide all the documents obtained by the Companies after a reasonable and diligent search conducted in connection with this discovery request. To the extent the discovery request purports to require more, the Companies object on the grounds that compliance would impose an undue burden or expense.

Motion for Protective Order

The Companies submit their objections to MCIMETRO's First Request for Production of Documents pursuant to the authority contained in <u>Slatnik v. Leadership Housing Systems of Florida</u>, <u>Inc.</u>, 368 So.2d 79 (Fla. 3d DCA 1979). To the extent that a Motion

for Protective Order is required, the objections set forth herein are to be construed as a request for protective order.

DATED this 29th day of September, 1995.

LEE L/ WILLS and

J. JEFFRY WATEN

Macfarlane Ausley Ferguson

& McMullen

P. O. Box 391

Tallahassee, Florida 32302

(904) 224-9115

ATTORNEYS FOR UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA AND CENTRAL TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U. S. Mail or hand delivery (*) this 29th day of September, 1995, to the following:

Monica M. Barone *
Division of Legal Services
Florida Public Service Comm.
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Laura Wilson Charles F. Dudley Florida Cable Telecomm. 310 North Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Peter M. Dunbar Charles W. Murphy Pennington Law Firm Post Office Box 10095 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Anthony P. Gillman Kimberly Caswell GTE Florida Incorporated Post Office Box 110, FLTC0007 Tampa, FL 33601-0110

Floyd Self Messer Law Firm Post Office Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302

C. Everett Boyd, Jr. Ervin, Varn, Jacobs et al. Post Office Drawer 1170 Tallahassee, FL 32302 J. Philip Carver c/o Nancy H. Sims BellSouth Telecommunications 150 S. Monroe St., Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Richard Rindler Swidler & Berlin 3000 K St., NW #300 Washington, DC 20007

Richard D. Melson *
Hopping Boyd Green & Sams
Post Office Box 6526
Tallahassee, FL 32314

Michael W. Tye AT&T 106 E. College Ave., Suite 1400 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Charles J. Beck Office of Public Counsel 111 W. Madison St., Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Tony H. Key Sprint Corporation 3100 Cumberland Circle Atlanta, GA 30339

Jill Butler Florida Regulatory Director 2773 Red Maple Ridge Tallahassee, FL 32301

jjw\utd\950737.mci