

Michael W. Tye Sr. Attorney

· · · ·

December 11, 1995

OCIGINAL FILE CODY

Suite 700 101 N. Monroe Street Tailahassee, FL 32301 904 425-6360 FAX: 904 425-6361

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayo, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 950984-TP

Dear Mrs. Bayo:

Enclosed for filing in the above referenced docket are an original and fifteen (15) copies of AT&T's Prehearing Statement.

ACK _____ Copies of the foregoing are being served on all parties AFA _____ of record in accordance with the attached Certificate of Service.

Yours truly,

W. De

Michael W. Tye

Attachments

 Non cc:
 J. P. Spooner, Jr.

 SUB_____
 Parties of Record

 WAS_____

01H _____

CAF

CTR ____

LEG _____

RECEIVED & FILED

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE



BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

)

)

)

)

1

In re: Resolution of Petition(s) to establish nondiscriminatory rates, terms, and conditions for resale involving local exchange companies and alternative local exchange companies pursuant to Section 364.161, Florida Statutes.

DOCKET NO. 950984-TP Filed: 12/11/95

AT&T'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. (hereinafter "AT&T"), pursuant to Rule 25-22.038, Florida Administrative Code, and order of the Florida Public Service Commission (hereinafter the "Commission") hereby submits its Prehearing Statement in the above-referenced docket.

A. <u>Witnesses</u>

AT&T intends to sponsor the testimony of the following witnesses:

1. <u>Mike Guedel</u>: Mr. Guedel's direct testimony responds to Issues 1 through 4. His testimony describes, in a generic sense, the concept of unbundling and its role in interconnection arrangements. His testimony further demonstrates why it is necessary for the incumbent LECs to unbundle their local networks and recommends specific guidelines for the technical arrangement and pricing of the local unbundled network elements.

DOCUMENT HUMBER-DATE

127

2. Joseph Gillan: Mr. Gillan will present rebuttal testimony which responds to the direct testimonies of BellSouth's witnesses Scheye and Bannerjee. His testimony specifically responds to the BellSouth's suggestion that anything less than full availability of the BellSouth network to its local competitors at cost-based rates will provide Florida consumers with a choice of local service providers.

AT&T further reserves the right to call any additional witnesses and present any additional evidence that might be necessary to respond to matters which are raised for the first time at the hearings in this docket.

B. Exhibits.

AT&T has not prefiled any exhibits in this case. However, AT&T reserves the right to present any exhibits that may be necessary to cross-examine opposing witnesses or to respond to matters which are raised for the first time at the hearings in this proceeding.

C. Basic Position.

AT&T submits that attempts to promote the development of local exchange competition serve the public interest, but it must be recognized that the general availability of facilities-based competition, while desirable, is not likely to develop in the near term. Therefore, to encourage the development of potential local competition, and to encourage

128

.

2

the breadth of competitive availability, the Commission must order BellSouth to unbundle its services into underlying Basic Network Functions (hereinafter "BNFs".) The unbundled BNFs should be offered to new entrants under the same basic arrangements and with the same technical capabilities as they are used by BellSouth in the provision of its services. To further encourage the potential development of competition, the unbundled elements should be priced at the Total Service Long Run Incremental Cost (hereinafter "TSLRIC") incurred by BellSouth in providing each element.

D. Fact Issues.

See Attachment 1 (AT&T's Positions on Issues).

E. Legal Issues.

See Attachment 1 (AT&T's Positions on Issues).

F. Policy Issues.

See Attachment 1 (AT&T's Positions on Issues).

G. Position on Issues.

See Attachment 1 (AT&T's Positions on Issues).

H. <u>Stipulated Issues.</u>

AT&T is not aware of any issues that have been stipulated to by the parties.

I. Pending Motions.

• . '

3

AT&T is not aware of any pending motions.

J. Other Requirements.

.

.

AT&T is not aware of any requirements set forth in the Order on Prehearing Procedure with which it is unable to comply.

Respectfully submitted this 11th day of December, 1995.

Michael W. Tye 101 N. Monroe St. Suite 700 Tallahassee, FL 32301 (904) 425-6360

Dunsa long

Robin D. Dunson 1200 Peachtree St., NE Promenade I, Room 4038 Atlanta, Georgia 30309 (404) 810-8689

ATTORNEYS FOR AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHERN STATES, INC.

ATTACHMENT 1 DOCKET NO. 950984-TP

AT&T'S POSITIONS ON ISSUES

- ISSUE 1: What elements should be made available buy BellSouth to MFS and MCImetro on an unbundled basis (e.g., loop elements, port elements, loop concentration, and loop transport)?
- ATET'S POSITION: BellSouth should be required to unbundle local loops and local switching ports.

The local loop functions to connect an end user premises to the service wire center of the local exchange company. The traditional local loop facility can be divided onto three sub-elements: loop distribution, loop multiplexing and concentration, and loop feeder.

The local switch functions to create on demand temporary paths connecting local loops to other local loops or local loops to interoffice transport facilities. Typical switching functions include: 1) recognizing service requests, 2) obtaining call specific information, 3) data analysis, 4) route selection, 5) call completion, 6) testing and recording, etc. Further local switching BNFs must include access to unbundled Advanced Intelligent Network (AIN) triggers. These triggers will offer a new entrant certain call control capability within the LEC switch allowing it to customize its end user offerings without having to duplicate the LEC switch.

AT&T WITNESSES: Mike Guedel Joseph Gillan

ISSUE 2: What are the appropriate technical arrangements for the provision of such unbundled elements?

AT&T'S POSITION: The overarching guideline should be to provide the unbundled elements in such a manner as to not inhibit the new entrant from providing the same quality of service as the incumbent LEC. That means that the technical arrangements used to connect the

unbundled elements(s) to a new entrant's network should be equal to those currently used to connect the elements(s) within the LEC's own network. New entrants should have cooperatively engineered interconnection arrangements, equal service quality or performance parity, and the opportunity to interconnect at the same points or virtually the same points where practicable as the incumbent LEC.

AT&T WITNESSES: Mike Guedel Joseph Gillan

- **ISSUE 3:** What are the appropriate financial arrangements for each such unbundled element?
- ATGT'S POSITION: The target price for the unbundled elements should be the Total Service Long Run Incremental Cost (TSLRIC) that the LEC incurs in providing them. Pricing at TSLRIC will simultaneously ensure that the incumbent LEC recovers all of the costs that it incurs in providing the unbundled element(s) (including cost of money), while it encourages the potential development of competition by offering the unbundled element(s) (at least from a price perspective) in a competitively neutral manner.

AT&T WITNESSES: Mike Guedel Joseph Gillan

- ISSUE 4: What arrangements, if any, are necessary to address other operational issues?
- AT&T'S POSITION: AT&T takes no position on this issue at this time.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

DOCKET NO. 950984-TP

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U. S. Mail or hand-delivery to the following parties of record this ///L day of $Mumh_{max}$, 1995:

Charles Beck, Esq. Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

C. Everett Boyd, Jr., Esq. Ervin Varn Jacobs & Odom Post Office Drawer 1170 Tallahassee, FL 32302

Michael J. Henry, Esq. MCI Telecommunications Corp. 780 Johnson Ferry Rd., Suite 700 Atlanta, GA 30342

Kenneth Hoffman, Esq. Rutledge Ecenia et al 215 S. Monroe St., Ste. 420 Tallahassee, FL 32301

James Falvey, Esq. Swidler & Berlin 3000 K St., NW, Ste. 300 Washington, DC 20007

Lee Willis, Esq. Jeffry Wahlen, Esq. Macfarlane Ausley et al 228 S. Calhoun Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Donna Canzano, Esq. Division of Legal Services Florida Public Service Comm. 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0863

Floyd Self, Esq. Messer Vickers et al 215 S. Monroe St., Ste. 701 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Richard D. Melson, Esq. Hopping Green Sams & Smith 123 South Calhoun Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Peter Dunbar, Esq. Pennington Law Firm 215 S. Monroe St., Ste 200 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Laura Wilson, Esq. FL Cable Telecommunications 310 N. Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

Anthony P. Gillman, Esq. Kimberly Caswell, Esq. GTE Florida, Incorporated 201 N. Franklin Street Tampa, FL 33601 Nancy H. Sims Southern Bell Telephone 150 S. Monroe St., Ste. 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301

.

Lynn B. Hall Vista-United Telecommunications 3100 Bonnett Creek Parkway Lake Buena Vista, FL 32830 David B. Erwin, Esq. Young, VanAssenderp, Varnadoe 225 S. Adams St., Ste 200 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Michael W. Tye