## )RIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMM1 (NON

### VOTE SHEET

### DATE: December 5, 1995

RE: DOCKET NO. 870248-TL - Resolution by Holmes County Board of County Commissioners for extended area service in Holmes County, Florida. DOCKET NO. 870790-TL - Request by Gilchrist County Commissioners for extended area service throughout Gilchrist County. DOCKET NO. 900039-TL - Resolution by Orange County Board of County Commissioners for extended area service between the Mount Dora exchange and the Apopka, Orlando, Winter Garden, Winter Park, East Orange, Reedy Creek, Windermere, and Lake Buena Vista exchanges. DOCKET NO. 910022-TL - Resolution by Bradford County Commission requesting extended area service within Bradford County and between Bradford County, Union County and Gainesville. DOCKET NO. 910029-TL - Request by Volusia County Council for Extended Area Service between the Sanford exchange (Osteen and Deltona) and the Orange City and Deland exchanges. DOCKET NO. 910528-TL - Request by Putnam County Board of County Commissioners for extended area service between the Crescent City, Hawthorne, Orange Springs, and Melrose exchanges, and the Palatka exchange. DOCKET NO. 910529-TL - Request by Pasco County Board of County Commissioners for extended area service between all Pasco County exchanges. DOCKET NO. 911185-TL - Request for extended area service between all exchanges within Volusia County by Volusia County Council. DOCKET NO. 920642-TL - Resolution by City Council of Port Richey for extended area service between the Hudson exchange and Tarpon Springs, Clearwater, St. Petersburg, and Tampa exchanges; also between the New Port Richey and Clearwater, St. Petersburg, and Tampa exchanges. DOCKET NO. 921193-TL - Resolution by the Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners for extended area service between all exchanges in Palm Beach County.

# COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

## COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY	DISSENTING
( Stan	
Asan I Clark	
J. Jen Ken	

**<u>REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:</u>** 

PSC/RAR33(5/90)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

17

Vote Sheet December 5, 1995

DEFERRED

DOCKET NO. 930172-TL - Petition by residents of Boca Grande requesting extended area service (EAS) between Boca Grande and North Ft. Myers, North Port, Venice, Englewood, Pine Island, North Cape Coral and Cape Coral. DOCKET NO. 930235-TL - Resolution by the Taylor County Board of Commissioners for countywide extended area service (EAS) within Taylor County.

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission, based on its previous actions in Dockets Nos. 870248-TL, 870790-TL, 900039-TL, 910022-TL, 910029-TL, 910528-TL, 910529-TL and 951185-TL, ballot the exchanges listed in Table A, Column 1 of staff's memorandum dated November 20, 1995, for a modified extended calling service (MECS) plan?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes, customers in the exchanges listed in Table A, Column 1 of staff's memorandum should be balloted for the modified extended calling service plan to the exchanges indicated in Column 2. The survey will pass if a majority of the customers responding vote in favor of the modified expanded calling service plan. Residential and business customers should be balloted at the rates provided in Table B, column 5 of staff's memorandum using a 10% additive. Residential customers will have a call allowance equal to the amount of the 10% additive divided by a per call rate of \$.25 with all residential calls over the allowance rated at \$.25 per call. Business calls will be rated at \$.10 for the first minute and \$.06 for each additional minute. Business customers will have a usage allowance equal to the amount of the additive.

The survey should be conducted within forty-five (45) days of the date the order from this recommendation becomes final. Southern Bell, GTEFL, United, Centel and ALLTEL should submit the newspaper advertisement for staff's review prior to publication. The survey letter and ballot should be submitted to staff for review prior to distribution to customers. In addition, Southern Bell, GTEFL, United, Centel and ALLTEL should provide staff with a copy of the published newspaper advertisement and the dates run.

> The item was defend for further action by staff.

Vote Sheet DOCKETS NOS. 870248-TL, 70790-TL, 900039-TL, 910022-TL, 910029-TL, J528-TL, 910529-TL, 911185-TL, 920642-TL, 921193-TL, 930172-TL, and 930235-TL December 5, 1995

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should the Commission, based on its previous actions in Dockets Nos. 920642-TL, 921193-TL, 930172-TL, and 930235-TL, ballot the exchanges listed in Table C, Column 1 of staff's memorandum for a modified extended calling service (MECS) plan?

Recommendation: Yes, customers in the exchanges listed in Table C, Column 1 of staff's memorandum should be balloted for the modified extended calling service plan to the exchanges indicated in Column 2. The survey will pass if a majority of the customers responding vote in favor of the modified expanded calling service plan. Residential and business customers should be balloted at the rates provided in Table D, column 5 of staff's memorandum using a 10% additive. Residential customers will have a call allowance equal to the amount of the 10% additive divided by a per call rate of \$.25 with all residential calls over the allowance rated at \$.25 per call. Business calls will be rated at \$.10 for the first minute and \$.06 for each additional minute. Business customers will have a usage allowance equal to the amount of the additive.

The survey should be conducted within forty-five (45) days of the date the order from this recommendation becomes final. Southern Bell and United should submit the newspaper advertisement for staff's review prior to publication. The survey letter and ballot should be submitted to staff for review prior to distribution to customers. In addition, Southern Bell and United should provide staff with a copy of the published newspaper advertisement and the dates run.

<u>Issue 3</u>: Should any of the dockets in this recommendation be closed? <u>Recommendation</u>: No. If no person whose substantial interests are affected files a protest within 21 days of the issuance date of the order from this recommendation, the order shall become final. A protest of the decision in one docket does not prevent the decision in the other dockets from becoming final. These dockets should remain open pending the outcome of the customer surveys.