

VOTE SHEET

DATE: February 6, 1996

RE: DOCKET NO. 920199-WS - Application for rate increase in Brevard, Charlotte/Lee, Citrus, Clay, Duval, Highlands, Lake, Marion, Martin, Nassau, Orange, Osceola, Pasco, Putnam, Seminole, Volusia, and Washington Counties by Southern States Utilities, Inc.; Collier County by Marco Shores Utilities (Deltona); Hernando County by Spring Hill Utilities (Deltona); and Volusia County by Deltona Lakes Utilities (Deltona).

Issue 1: Should SSU's Request for Oral Argument be granted?
Recommendation: Yes. Oral argument should be permitted at the agenda conference, but argument should be limited to fifteen minutes for each side.

DEFERRED

Issue 2: Should the Commission grant Putnam County's Petition for Leave to Intervene?
Recommendation: No. Putnam County's Petition for Leave to Intervene should be denied.

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

*To the February 20,
Commission Conf.*

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

01430 FEB-7 96

FFSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Vote Sheet
Docket No. 920199-WS
February 6, 1996

Issue 3: Should the Commission grant The City of Keystone Heights' Petition for Leave to Intervene?

Recommendation: No. Keystone Heights' Petition for Leave to Intervene should be denied.

Issue 4: Should Sugarmill Woods Civic Association's Motion to Strike Affidavits and Portions of Motion for Reconsideration be granted?

Recommendation: Sugarmill Woods' motion as it relates to the request to strike affidavits should be granted. Sugarmill Woods' motion as it relates to the request to strike portions of the motion for reconsideration should be denied.

Issue 5: Should SSU's Motion for Reconsideration of Order No. PSC-95-1292-FOF-WS be granted?

Recommendation: SSU's motion for reconsideration should be granted in part and denied in part as set forth in staff's memorandum dated January 30, 1996.

Vote Sheet
Docket No. 920199-WS
February 6, 1996

Issue 6: Should SSU's Motion for Reconsideration be granted as it relates to the interest required on the refund?

Recommendation: No. SSU's motion as it relates to the interest on refunds should be denied.

Alternate Recommendation: Yes. SSU's motion as it relates to the interest on refunds should be granted. Further, staff recommends that the Commission modify Order No. PSC-95-1292-FOF-WS to reflect that refunds should be made without interest.

Issue 7: Should SSU's Motion for Leave to File Reply be granted?

Recommendation: No. SSU's Motion for Leave to File Reply should be denied.

Issue 8: Should Docket No. 920199-WS be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. The docket should be closed administratively after the order disposing of reconsideration has been issued, upon staff's verification that the refunds have been made, and after all other requirements of Order No. PSC-95-1292-FOF-WS have been met.