1 2	FLORIDA 1	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
l			
3	In the Matter o	: DOCKET NO. 950387-8U	
5	Application for		
	increased Wastewate		
6	Rates by Florida C: Water Company - No:		
7	Ft. Myers Division		
8	Lee County		
9	FIRS'	T DAY - EVENING SESSION	
10			
11	no.	VOLUME 4	
12	Pa	ges 351 through 466	
13			
14	PROCEEDINGS:	HEARING	
15			
16	BEFORE:	COMMISSIONER JULIA L. JOHNSON COMMISSIONER DIANE K. KIESLING COMMISSIONER JOE GARCIA	
17			
18	DATE:	Wednesday, April 24, 1996	
	TIME:	Reconvened at 6:30 p.m.	
19 20	PLACE:	Sheraton Harbor Place Ballroom	
20		2500 Edwards Drive	
21		Fort Myers, Florida	
22			
23	REPORTED BY:	JOY KELLY, CSR, RPR Chief, Bureau of Reporting	
24	APPEARANCES:		
25	(As heretofore noted.)		
		DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE	
11	TITOT	TO A DUDITO CONTRACT OF A CONT	

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 4805 APR 29 %

INDEX WITNESSES - VOLUME 4 2 3 NAME PAGE NO. 4 5 WILLIAM BIGGERS Direct Statement 360 6 | CARL GREENE Direct Statement 8 362 9 WILLIAM MORGAN 10 Direct Statement 374 11 DAWN COWARD Direct Statement 12 382 13 HARVEY KOTTKE 14 Direct Statement 397 15 Joann Denigris 16 Direct Statement 407 17 NORM JUESCHKE 18 Direct Statement 414 19 HARRY HITCH 20 Direct Statement 415 21 BONNIE CORNELL 22 Direct Statement 418 23 24 25

1	WITNESSES - VOLUME 4 (CONTINUED):			
2	NAME PAGE NO.			
3				
4	DANA McGRATH			
5	Direct Statement 420			
6	DON ARTIS			
7	Direct Statement 423			
8	WILLIAM MORGAN (Recalled)			
9	Direct Statement 433			
10	BELLE MORROW			
11	Direct Statement 440			
12	LAURA NILSSON			
13	Direct Statement 444			
14	MARILYN MILLER			
15	Direct Statement 446			
16	DON PAIGHT			
17	Direct Statement 455			
18	PAUL ANDRESEN			
19	Direct Statement 456			
20	ROBERTE WILKE			
21	Direct Statement 459			
22	ERWIN ZIEMANN			
23	Direct Statement 461			
24				
25				
	FLORIDA DURITO CERVICE COMMISSION			

PROCEEDINGS

(Hearing reconvened at 6:30 p.m.)

(Transcript follows in sequence from Volume 3.)

commissioner Johnson: Ladies and gentlemen, welcome here tonight. We're going to reconvene our hearing. By way of background, we held our first customer hearing this morning at 10:00. Lots of participation. We ended that at about 12:00; and at 1:00, we started the technical portion of our hearings. We convened that not too long ago, and we're here again tonight to hear from you in an additional customer forum.

For purposes of your information, let me have the attorneys introduce themselves again today. They already made their appearances this morning; but oftentimes there are questions to as to who represents whom, and I think this would be an appropriate time to go and put that information on the record.

Mr. Gatlin.

MR. GATLIN: Yes. My name is B. Kenneth

Gatlin, I'm an attorney and I represent Florida Cities

Water Company.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Why don't you stand up and let them see you?

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: This is Mr. Gatlin, he represents the Utility.

MR. McLEAN: Thank you, Commissioner. My name is Harold McLean. I work for the Office of Public Counsel. It's a government agency established by the legislature. Mr. Jack Shreve, who some of you may know, is the Public Counsel. I answer to him. And Mr. Gatlin has instructed me and his office to oppose this rate increase on your behalf. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Wait a minute.

Mr. Gatlin? (Applause)

MR. McLEAN: Got my signals confused. It's been a very long day. I don't think Mr. Gatlin told me that. Mr. Shreve did.

Thank you for pointing that out, Commissioner.

MR. JAEGER: I'm Ralph Jaeger, Staff
Counsel, Public Service Commission. I'm representing
the Commission Staff.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: My name is Julia Johnson. I'm a Commissioner with the Florida Public Service Commission and I have been presiding over the hearings this morning and our technical hearings here today. To my right is Commissioner Diane Kiesling, and to my left is Commissioner Joe Garcia.

We also have several Staff members here today to assist you with any questions that you might have. I'm going to go through the names of our Staff members and give you a little bit information about their background. To the extent that you have questions that you don't want to necessarily bring before the Commission here, feel free to grab one of them and you can ask them any questions. And to the extent there are questions during the process that may need to be answered, we will probably direct those questions to our Staff members.

We have with us Mr. Marshall Willis. He is the Bureau Chief for the Water and Wastewater

Division. Ralph already introduced himself as our

Staff attorney. Cissy Galloway, she's a rate analyst with the Division of Water and Wastewater. N. D.

Walker is an accountant with our department. Tom

Walden is one of our engineers; he may not be present at the moment, but he is available for questions.

Ms. Kay Flynn is to your right back in the back, and she's with our Records and Reporting. Robby Cunningham is the man you probably met out in the front when you picked up your special report and he probably asked you if you wanted to sign up to testify. And Joy Kelly is our court reporter tonight.

She will be recording all of your statements.

Your statements will be a part of our official record. They will be statements upon which we can rely upon when we're making our final decisions. And because of that, for those of you who would like to testify, we have to swear you in. That is a formality; and it protects you, and it protects us, and it makes the information usable in an official capacity.

I'll be swearing you in -- not at this moment, because I'd just like to kind of walk you through some information and walk you through the case and how we got to where we got today.

For those of you who did not get a special report, those are available in the back and they give you a pretty good detailed outline of the case and of the issues that we will be deciding today.

Mr. Walden I had mentioned was a Staff engineer. He just walked in, he's in the back there. Mr. Walden.

Let me give you a little background on the case. On May 2nd, 1995, the Utility filed an application for increased rates pursuant to Section 367.081, Florida Statutes. That was designed to generate \$2,591,990 in annual revenues, reflecting

about a 22% overall increase.

The Utility's position was that the rate increase was needed to reflect additional investments and expenses, including an expenditure of approximately \$1,600,000 in 1995 to increase the capacity of its wastewater plant.

On November 2nd of 1995, the Commission issued a proposed agency action denying the Utility's request and approving rates that are designed to generate \$2,489,487. That's an increase of about 17%. However, that order was timely protested by 12 of your customers, some of whom are participating in our proceeding here today. And that's why we are conducting this proceeding, to determine what the rates should be, whether there should be an increase, and what is reasonable and what is not.

I hadn't had the opportunity to introduce
Ms. Walla, who I'm sure most of you probably know.

And I cannot remember how to pronounce the last name
of the other.

MR. McLEAN: Ms. Jerilyn Victor.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Ms. Victor, who will also be participating and cross examining the witnesses and testifying in the technical portion of our hearing tomorrow.

Public Counsel, do you have the list of the witnesses who will be testifying today?

MR. McLEAN: I have the individual forms that they all signed up.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Very good. For those who wish to testify today, could you please stand so I might swear you in.

(Witnesses collectively sworn.)

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you. You may be seated.

Are there any other preliminary matters
before we call the first customer? Seeing none, I
just mention one thing. When you come up there's a
seat here and microphone. If you could, speak
directly into the microphone. Although Public Counsel
will call your name, if you could restate your name
and your address, present whatever testimony you might
have to us.

After you have presented your testimony, if you could just sit for a second, there may be questions from the attorneys or the Commissioners; or to the extent you have questions that you needed to have entertained, we will attempt to entertain your questions, too.

Public Counsel, you can call your first

witness.

MR. McLEAN: Thank you, Commissioner. The first witness is Willard Biggers.

WILLIAM BIGGERS

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS BIGGERS: How do I read, all right?

I personally resent the fact that you -
COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Sir?

WITNESS BIGGERS: That our bills are based on --

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Sir? Could you give us your name and your address, please?

WITNESS BIGGERS: Oh. My name is Willard Biggers. I live in North Fort Myers.

And I think it's wrong to give a bill for water and sewage on the amount of water used. Some people use the water for watering the lawn, filling their swimming pool and everything like that. I personally have half my house feed water -- rainwater into the swimming pool so I don't need to use any other.

I have a deep well and electric pump where I

water everything, lawn, garden and everything else 2 like that. Yet my bills run up to \$90 a month. And I 3 wonder why, because it's only two of us, me and my daughter are the only ones that live in that house. 4 5 Last year they said I had a leak between the house and the meter and I had the whole piping removed 6 and replaced. Now they say I've got a leak someplace 7 8 else. They've checked it twice. 9 And I still get charged it's a tremendous 10 sewage disposal for just leakage or water usage I 11 think, and I think that's wrong. It should be based 12 upon how many people live in a house. 13 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you very much. 14 Any questions? 15 MR. GATLIN: No questions. 16 MR. McLEAN: Thank you, sir. (Witness Biggers excused.) 17 18 19 MR. McLEAN: Carl Greene. 20 21 22 23 24

25

CARL GREENE

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS GREENE: My name is Carl Greene. I live at 915 Coconut Drive, North Fort Myers.

I have two questions. Number one, I have my bills with me. You have a \$6.15 base whether you use water or not. I can understand that. My bill is, say, \$15. My sewage bill is \$28. I can't understand the difference between \$15 worth of water and my sewer bill is over double that, or we'll say double basically.

Nobody -- I have three bills that are exactly the same for three months. My water meter, I go check it. There's this much silt on the top of my water meter (Indicating). Nobody has checked this water meter.

Now four months down the road I get a bill, my bill is now \$80. These are estimated bills. I don't understand why you can't just read the meter.

This month it's \$50, this month it's \$60.

All of a sudden I get a bill when I'm paying just say

\$60 a month, and I get a bill three months later for

\$200. Well, these were estimated. Now we read it,

and you owe this amount. Can't you read it every month?

I mean, this is like to me if you have a

Visa card and I send you a bill for \$20, you pay the

\$20. This is great. I'm estimating your Visa bill.

And three months later I send you a bill and say,

Well, you owe me \$2,000.

Well, we just estimated your Visa bill, we didn't have time to read your meter, or go through your receipts, so now you owe this amount of money; and if you don't pay it, we're going to cut your water off -- or I'll cut your Visa off. To me that's ridiculous.

You want more money but yet you don't have people to go out there and read this meter. This estimating, it's the same thing. If you want to estimate things, you say you need more money —because I've read things, "Well, we don't have the personnel, it's summertime, people are on vacation, this and that. So we estimate the bill."

If I do work for you, I'm not going to estimate your bill. I'm going to say, "Here's your bill, this is what you owe me," and you'll get the money. But to sit and estimate bills -- and like I said, this much silt on top of the water meter? "Oh,

well, he probably used this much. We'll read it four months later. Oh, gee, look, now they owe me \$2,000. Pay me the money or I'll cut your water off."

I mean, to me it's ridiculous.

It's not only Florida Water, there's other companies that do it, too. But I think this is a very bad business practice. Of course, if this is the way you want to run business, that's fine. But the thing is, we're the ones that are suffering for it. Because \$50 a month, you can pay that. But then all of a sudden you get stuck with a bill for \$300, \$400, "You have to March 8th," or something like this, "to pay this or we're going to cut your water off." Its ridiculous to me.

People want more money. Because I realize every company has bills. That, you know, the price of this goes up, the price of the waste management, chemicals cost more, everything is going up. But you wait until the last minute to give it to these people out here, and a lot of us, we don't have the money. We're not rich. But you want to come in there -- and you have control of our lives.

Because you cut our water off, say, "You don't have any water." The next thing, the county comes over and says, "You don't have water in your

house, we'll condemn your home."

Because you cannot live without water. And the county will not let you live in a house if you don't have water or if you don't have electric.

They'll condemn your house. So we have no way to protest. Because we're not going to pay the bill.

You get out of house, county's going to condemn it because you don't have proper facilities in the house.

To me that's just ridiculous.

You people want to raise the bills. I'd like a breakdown that you should send to your customers what it actually costs. Not here in North Fort Myers, but you control the water over half the state. I want to see a comparison between, we'll say, Tampa, here and there. I think you should send brochures out.

Co-op does. Co-op lets you know this is a breakdown on this and that. Florida Cities Waters doesn't. They just say, "We're going to charge you more money and that's it."

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: There may be some questions.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: First of all, do you want an answer to why your wastewater bill is higher than your water bill?

1 WITNESS GREENE: That would be nice.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay, I'm attempt to answer that.

The cost on a per gallon basis of treating -- of pumping and treating potable water is much lower than the cost on a per gallon basis of pumping to the treatment plant and then treating sewage. The equipment costs more, the chemicals cost more. And that's why it may all be the same gallon of water to you, but the cost of treating it and the facilities to do that are more expensive.

WITNESS GREENE: Well, everything that goes through my sink, my toilet or my bathtub, goes to the same pipes. Goes right out into the sewer, the sewer line.

You're saying that it costs less to do this and this. It goes in the same sewer line. Do you separate everything when it gets there?

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: No. No. I don't think you understood me. Potable water, what you drink --

WITNESS GREENE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: -- what comes out of the taps in your house costs less to pump and treat than it does to treat the sewage that comes out of

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

your house. So that's why on a per gallon basis it costs more to treat sewage than it does to make potable water.

WITNESS GREENE: I realize that. That you have -- where I work, we have our own processing plant that we have to do that. And trucks come in to pump it out of our waste treatment plant.

The thing is, everything -- I realize this costs more than this and that. Why does the sewer thing keep going up and up?

I have no -- I don't know about the other people, I have no complaints about the water. If I use 100 gallons, whatever, you know, I'm going to pay for that. But there are so many people that are still on -- have city water, but they are on the septic tank, they don't have to pay. Which is -- you know they have septic tanks; they're trying to change it over so everybody gets on the sewer. Which I think that's great, you should be on a sewer, septic tanks can be bad.

But you're charging people. They want up to \$2,800 to hook up when it's mandatory, if they put a sewer through some place and telling people that they have to hook up to it. You cannot use your septic tank anymore, you have to hook up. It's \$2,800 to

hook up to it.

Plus you have to pay from your house to the sewer line. That's the customer's problem there. You have to pay a plumber to come and do this. But to tap into that sewer line, it costs you so much. And these people aren't on sewer. And what it seems like to me -- now, I'm not, I may be wrong. But it seems like to me these increases and increases are going for the Company to force people to put sewer lines in where they're getting the money for it and we're paying for other projects that are going on.

I've tried to get a brochure from Florida
Cities, just a breakdown on prices on what it costs
here, what it costs there. I've called them. They
won't send me anything. I'd like -- you know, I don't
mind paying my share, but I'd like to know exactly
where it's going to.

Co-op, the electric company, they'll send me a brochure and say, "Well, we'll send you," and they give us a breakdown on what it costs here, what it cost on this -- and why this is, why this person pays this, why this person pays that. For some reason, the water company won't.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: I was just trying to answer your question that you asked originally.

WITNESS GREENE: Well, I'm asking you a question. Why won't Florida Water send out -- if somebody gives me a breakdown on what it costs here, an actual breakdown -- and shows me that, "Well, the cost of this goes up, the cost of this goes up," I have no problem with that. But they refuse to do it.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I understand what you're saying.

I think with respect to the same question that you have with respect to why do they deserve this increase or why they are asking if they can prove it, I think that's what our technical proceedings are about. And I know you're saying as a customer you'd like to see the same information that they are providing to us.

WITNESS GREENE: Right.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Because they have to show us with respect to the expenditures that they are saying are necessary, the advance water treatment facility, they have to show us and our Staff and our engineers, we look into that, all of those costs, to make sure they were prudent costs and they weren't just throwing away money. I under you're saying you'd like to see those numbers --

WITNESS GREENE: I'd like to see them, too,

and I'm sure everybody else would, too. Because they are spending our money. And I don't mind if it's a beneficial thing and it needs to be done. That's fine. Show me the bill. Show me this breakdown. They won't do it.

that the Company could make available to the customers to let them -- I know you filed the MFRs at the library. Is there anything else you have done or could do to try to help educate the customers on the costs that you believe are necessary and the justification for the rate increase, or would you just direct them to the MFRs?

MR. GATLIN: No. The Company has put on two or three customer meetings and attempted to explain the rate increase and why we think it ought to be granted. And the Company continually sends out information to the customers regarding the cost of operation. And if there's some particular questions that Mr. Greene has, I think we'll be glad to try to answer them.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Mr. Greene, I do know they shouldn't be backbilling you all of a sudden that way in that manner. And maybe you should speak to our

Staff and get back with them on when they did that just so that we know.

The Company shouldn't be doing that. They have service personnel who are supposed to do that, and that shouldn't be going on for three or four months at a time.

WITNESS GREENE: Okay. Like I said, like I told her, the bills are exactly the same. Now, to me, looking at bills, it's like, "Well, I used exactly the same amount, I'm really doing good here," you know. And when you look at your water meter and there's this much silt on it, nobody's been reading it.

And then you get a bill three months, four months down the road that they are making up -- not making up, I don't mean, you know, they are making up something. They are saying, "Well, they really used more water than I estimated so now we're going to send them this bill." And I don't think it's fair.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: We'll have a Staff member get with you. Because the issue of reliability and predictability with respect to what your bill is going to be on any given month I think is a legitimate concern; and we need to check into why you have been charged the way you have been charged with the ups and downs and see if at all possible there could be some

consistency and verify that they are at some point in time looking at usage and doing this as accurately as possible.

Before you leave today, then, we'll have a Staff member get with you and see if we can at least try to help you with that issue.

witness greene: I appreciate it. Like I say, if somebody shows me the cost of something, I have no -- if I can see the breakdown on it, I have no objection. But as to being charged for something I don't know where it's going.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you very much.

MR. JAEGER: Could I just ask one question before he goes?

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Mr. Greene, got a question for you.

MR. JAEGER: I know it's clear to you they are estimating, but did the Utility itself admit that they were estimating your bill? Did they tell you that, "We estimated for those months," or is that just was clear?

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Mr. Greene, sit down in the chair and talk in the mike so we can all hear it. Thank you.

WITNESS GREENE: No, they didn't say they

were estimating the bill. But I think it's kind of 1 obvious when you go out and there's this much -- when 2 3 you look in the water meter and there's this much dirt and silt over it and the bills are exactly the same, I 4 don't think somebody has to say you're estimating the 5 bill. 6 7 MR. JAEGER: Commissioners, you didn't want 8 it on the record which months it was, just do that on 9 the side? 10 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I think we can -we'll get that information from you. 11 12 WITNESS GREENE: Okay. 13 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I think he's 14 prepared. 15 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: But let me just say this to Staff. If it turns out to be the same three 16 17 months that the gentleman testified about this morning, I'd like to know that, too. 18 19 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Just to clarify that, we had a witness testify earlier that the same thing 20 21 had happened, that he had gotten the exact same 22 billing three months in a row. 23 Don't forget, we're going to have somebody

Mr. Walker is going to.

try to talk to you. Thank you.

24

25

(Witness Greene excused.)

2

1

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Next customer.

4

3

MR. McLEAN: Mr. William Morgan, please.

5

WILLIAM MORGAN

7

6

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,

8

testified as follows:

9 10

WITNESS MORGAN: Good evening. My name is William Morgan. I live at 4773 Orange Grove Boulevard

11

over in Lochmoor Villa.

12

My wife and I just recently moved here and I

One of the things I was pretty much bothered

13

have yet to receive a water bill. We just had at

about what happened was the fact that I was charged

\$140 deposit, which the woman told me that would be

kept for the next 23 months and I would receive 6%

and due to the construction and the distances that I

was from the Florida Cities building there behind the

Brown Derby, I missed approximately two hours worth of

interest on that money periodically.

14 water turned on, that's how new we are to this area.

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

241

work.

There was no verification of my Social

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

The other thing was I had to leave work --

Security number taken. She didn't look at my driver's license. I signed a form, gave her a check for \$140 and was told that this would be held for 23 months. Which bothers me greatly. Because one, the cost of moving here was very expensive. The water company is the only utility -- or anyone else in the state of Florida so far -- who has not checked into our past, some sort of credit check.

No one else has charged us a deposit of any kind whatsoever.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. No one?

WITNESS MORGAN: No one else has charged me a deposit whatsoever. That's electric, phone, nobody. We have previous and have -- I mean we're in our 40s, we have paid our bills, we have good credit. No one else has charged us any money.

And the other thing is holding it for 23 months. And we bought the property, it's not like we're going to move.

So if anybody can put any light on those three things: Why I had to miss work to come down; why it couldn't be done over the phone like everyone else in utilities, and mail a check; why is it being held for 23 months?

And if I wanted some sort of an investment,

there are other investments I can receive more than 6 %. And I'm sure that Florida utilities, if they are doing this to lot of people, I'm sure they're reinvesting that money someplace else and making more many on us which is not reflected just allowing these rate increases.

So your turn.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: I'll take a stab at this one, too.

All I can tell you is that there are provisions in our rules and regulations governing when they can get deposits, how long they can keep them, what interest they have to pay on them, and they are authorized to do that.

Don't ask me -- I can't explain why others didn't do it. All I can tell you is that they are operating within our rules and regulations in doing that.

WITNESS MORGAN: Then why did somebody set up rules and regulations to hold up money for 23 months? That's two years.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: I wasn't on the Commission when that rule got passed, so I couldn't tell you. It's been there for a while.

WITNESS MORGAN: Can anybody shed light on

it?

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Perhaps Staff can help. Is there any discretion with respect to actually taking a deposit, or maybe -- and I'm not sure if Staff knows that information. But if there is discretion based on someone's credit record or credit --

WITNESS MORGAN: Oh, they didn't check anything. It wasn't a matter of that. That's what I'm saying.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Yeah, that's what I'm wondering, if they could have checked --

WITNESS MORGAN: Well, yeah. I said, "Do you want some credit card numbers?" I mean, you know.

MR. WILLIS: Our rules don't require every utility to take deposits. Our rules basically require if you do require deposits from your customers, then you may only hold those for 23 months. And once that customer has had good payment records for 23 months, you must refund that and you must also pay 6% interest on those deposits during that time period.

There are many utilities around the state who vary on their practices. Just like you said, there are some who just do credit checks. That costs them money to do credit checks. There are other

companies that require deposits. It just depends on where you are and the practice of the company.

witness Morgan: Well, again, I have been an established homeowner since I was 21 years old when I came back from the service. I have had nobody, no matter where we moved, whether it be state or within that state to another county, where anyone has ever charged us any money. It was just preference: Did you have Bell before? Did you have electric before? Yeah. Who was it? Blah, blah, blah. You still have gas? Whatever it may be.

The 23 months, I need some insight on why it has to be held. And nobody has answered the fact that that money is, I am sure, being invested at a much higher rate and they are making money on that. So they are making money on my money and giving me a meager pittance.

Of course, \$140 isn't the issue. The main thing was I had to miss work to go down and give them this money, and then to find out that it was to be held for that long a period of time when everything could have been handled over the phone. Because nothing was verified.

And to the other -- I understand there are four. It took me four tries to get the right water

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

company for where I live. Do the other three water companies in this area also take that money and hold it for that long?

MR. WILLIS: I can't tell you the practices of Lee County and some of the others. But there are other utilities in Lee County that actually do require deposits. I don't know about Lee County municipal system itself. I can tell you the places I have lived in Florida I have had to pay utility deposits on water and wastewater. I don't think I have been on a system where I didn't have to put down a deposit.

In the past, I can tell you that before the Commission put a rule on customer deposits, the utilities would be allowed to keep those deposits for quite a long time and the Commission decided that -- well, they kept it for perpetuity until you left the system.

The Commission decided there had to be a time constraint on that for customers with good payment records. Therefore, they came down with a rule that said you can keep those customer deposits no more than 23 months.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: But I think one of the things to be noted is what Staff said, is that's not a requirement of the Public Service Commission and

it's within the discretion of the Company. So to the extent that there is a Company policy that you as a customer disagree with, to the extent that customers inform the Company -- we can't micromanage them and tell them that they have to do something or how to do it. We just set out parameters. But the law does allow them to do something different.

And I think that the procedure to perhaps discuss that with the Company to get them to change it is to do just that, to complain to the Company about the process and suggest another process that they may want to follow.

As a Staff member suggested, we kind of did our rule as a safeguard to try to limit what they had been doing in the past and to require at least a certain amount of interest and a maximum amount of time within which they could hold the money. But as regulators, we can't micromanage them and your complaint should be addressed — it's noted for the record and should be addressed to the Utility because they have the discretion to change that policy.

WITNESS MORGAN: Okay. That's it then.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you. Sorry we couldn't help you directly on that.

WITNESS MORGAN: Okay.

1	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Any other questions?			
2	MR. GATLIN: No questions.			
3	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you,			
4	Mr. Morgan.			
5	(Witness Morgan excused.)			
6				
7	MR. McLEAN: Dawn Coward, please.			
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Excuse me. If we			
9	have we have a question, can we ask?			
10	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Yes, sir. But you'll			
11	have to ask at a microphone and we'll have to swear			
12	you in. Or maybe you could sneak around and ask			
13	Public Counsel and they could perhaps as your			
14	representative			
15	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: About the gas. It			
16	was just a simple question how do they determine \$140?			
17	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: that way we'll			
18	answer it through the process.			
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Swear me in.			
20	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Yes, sir. It's just			
21	to keep the record clear and straight.			
22	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I understand.			
23	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you. Was it			
24	Ms. Coward?			
2.5				

DAWN COWARD

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS COWARD: My name is Dawn Coward. I live at 951 Tropical Palm Avenue, North Fort Myers.

I do have seven copies of my testimony. I'm not going to go over everything, but I'd like to distribute copies to the Commissioners, two to the Staff and one to the recorder, if I may.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Please do. And we'll make sure we put that in the correspondence side of the record.

(Hands out document.)

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Go ahead.

WITNESS COWARD: The following is the type of service that I experienced for a year being a Florida Cities customer.

In a meeting with Mr. Dick on February 12th, 1996, Mr. Dick stated that I had had poor service since December 1994, after becoming a Florida Cities water customer. We were there trying to solve some problems I was having.

Number one, after only two weeks of moving into my house, I received a bill for the basic rate of

\$30.52. This was I had moved in December 31st, 1994. I had not been there a full month, yet I was charged for a month on the basic rate.

After I called, the customer service clerk stated they should have prorated the basic amount only after I was there for a full month. The bill of February 8th, 1995, shows the credit adjustment. All of the bills are in here, by the way.

On my bill of June 8th, 1995, my meter was read incorrectly at 269 instead of 267; therefore, overcharging my account 2,000 gallons.

I called Lorenda on June 10th, 1995, and told her they made a mistake on reading my meter.

Lorenda asked me to call back Wednesday, June 13th, and she would have my new reading and amount due available. I did so. She stated that the meter reader read the meter incorrectly and should be only charged 2,000 gallons, not the 4,000 I was mistakenly charged.

I immediately sent the amount of \$46.06. Lorenda said was my amount due for the June 8th billing.

On July 5th, 1995, I received a notice Florida Cities Water was going to shut off my water for a nonpayment of \$15.54, which was the amount

1

2

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

Lorenda stated was to be credited to my account from the mistaken reading of my water meter on June 5th, 1995.

I called Mary on July 9th, 1995, at 3:05 p.m. regarding the notice to shut off my water. Mary stated the credit had not been placed on my account. Florida Cities Water had dropped the ball and failed to do their job.

On my bill of July 11th, 1995, the amount of \$15.54 was again billed to me. Mary had not credited my account on July 9th, 1995.

On July 12th, 1995, I called Lorenda and asked about being charged for the 15.54, which should have been credited to my account or July 9th. Lorenda said she would do it personally, take care of crediting my account that day. That was July 12th, 1995.

My bill for September 12th, 1995, had a zero usage reading. I called Florida Cities Water on September 14th and spoke to Lorenda. She said she would send Don, a meter reader, out the next day, September 15th, to read my meter and they would send me a corrected bill.

However, I did call again on September 16th and spoke to Lorenda regarding my correct reading and

amount due. Lorenda told me 2,000 gallons was my usage and \$46.06 was the amount due. I did not receive my new bill as Lorenda said I would, so I mailed the amount she said was due with my old bill.

On October 5th, 1995, I received a notice from Florida Cities Water Company. They were going to shut off my water again for nonpayment of \$30.52. Not for the amount of 46.05 that should have been my new corrected bill.

I called Verna Russell on October 9th regarding my corrected bill I never received, and if they had received my payment of 46.06? Which Lorenda said was my correct amount due for which I never received another corrected bill on October 6th.

Verna also stated Florida Cities Water Company made another mistake in not entering the code after the reread of my meter. I should have received a corrected bill and should not have received a red tag. Verna stated she would remove the late payment off my record.

On February 23rd, 1996, I received through the mail the notification that Florida Cities Water Company was going to relocate my water meter and that of my neighbors on Tropical Palm Avenue off Coconut Drive. The notification states they are

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

3

1

2

5

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

moving the lines because they are located in the backyard and/or in the easements, so the lines will be relocated to the public right-of-way along the roadway. They will also replace the galvanized pipes.

My property is abutted by a public park,
Moody Park. And, therefore, there are no restrictions
of fences to enclose the meter. It seems to me
Florida Cities Water Company would save money just to
replace the existing pipes instead of going to all the
work of digging up people's property to lay new lines
down where none have lain before.

The water lines on the east side of Tropical Palm Avenue is more likely to be located to the right-of-way along the roadway than the property abuts the public park with plenty of access.

The letter states that the contractor will work quickly. This has not been the case. The contractor started the project and have only worked on the project three times.

The letter also states the contractor will restore the condition of the property as it was before the project. They have not done so. The contractor has laid two strips of sod in the right-of-way around the roadway. However, they damaged my property more than that when they repaired -- than what they

repaired.

I challenge, if you looked at the photos supposedly taken before the work started and my property now, you would see that it was not restored to the same condition, nor that of my neighbors.

The work is still not completed. What is the holdup? It has been over a month, over a month and a half now. Why doesn't the contractor work on one street at a time and complete each area before beginning a new street for the consideration of the customers?

At the Public Service Commission meeting on July 26th, 1995, much testimony by Florida Cities Water customers was given and 1,065 signed letters against the rate increase was turned over to the Public Service Commission. What happened to that information and why was that information not forwarded to the hearing as evidence?

Mr. Shreve stated to me that all of the testimony and signed letters that were introduced as evidence does not count. I was shocked at that. Plus the letters we had mailed through the mail when this first happened.

My original question still stands of how a private, out-for-profit company could get to be in

25 private,

charge of a basic water right.

We have no other competitive company, water company, to take our business to. And from my observation for one year, the Public Service Commission clearly doe not have our interest of the public in mind.

The Public Service Commission is getting approximately \$94,000 for this rate increase. How can we be sure that the Public Service Commission are honest and for the people when there is a large profit to be made from the rate increase of Florida Cities Water?

I strongly suggest that an investigation into the operation of the Public Service Commission be started by a nonpartisan committee that is made up of government and ordinary North Fort Myers citizens. The record clearly shows that the Public Service Commission has never said no to any rate increase requested by Florida Cities Water Company in the past. Not even a 200% increase from 1992 and after.

Why not let the stockholders absorb some costs instead of making profits off increases in the customers' bills?

Furthermore, has the Public Service

Commission looked into how effective the management of

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

the Company is? In one year's time I have seen mismanagement at every turn.

My neighbor just stated to me yesterday -he was supposed to come down and testify, I don't know if he's here. He's retired. He stands around and does yard work. Every month he sees the water meter man come by and can't find the water meters. He has to point them out to him or they read two and leave the other two alone and just go on.

On my bill of February 7th, 1996, I received a bill for 4,000 gallons of usage after using only 1,000 gallons usage for my October, November, December and January bills.

I met with Bob Dick at his office on Monday, February 12th, 1996, to discuss my bill. We found out that the meter reader was not actually reading my meter, but guessing what the meter reading should be. He did not note on the paperwork that the readings were an estimation.

Mr. Dick explained to me that the procedures were set up that if a meter reader could not locate the meter that he should code it as such and a supervisor would locate the meter so a reading could be done.

Mr. Dick said that the Florida Cities Water

Company hired contract workers to read the meters and that Florida Cities Water Company had no control over the way they read the meters. Mr. Dick and I discussed this further and Mr. Dick realized Florida Cities Water was responsible if their contract people

After further investigation, Mr. Dick discovered the meter reader had not read my meter for four months. All of a sudden, my meter was read and I seem to have used 4,000 gallons in one month after using only 2,000, 1,000 to 2,000 gallons since January 1995.

I'm one person in one house. I don't think
I could use 4,000 gallons in one month.

Mr. Dick did credit my account.

On Friday, February 23rd, 1996, Florida

Cities Water Company changed out my meter. The meter should have been set at zero. I read my meter on Sunday, February 25th. The reading was 410 gallons.

On the weekend I do laundry and do some other chores but not 410 gallons worth if I'm just using 1,000 gallons a month usually.

I called Mr. Dick on February 26th to discuss this very high reading. Mr. Dick said he would look into the matter. After talking to

were negligent.

Mr. Benson, who had been with Florida Cities Water Company for 18 years, Mr. Dick said the meter had been set at zero on Friday; and although 410 gallons seemed like a lot of water for my particular usage, it was a mystery to him. Mr. Dick said he would look into the

matter further.

The weekend of March 2nd, my neighbor power washed my house and shed. The water used for this was only 240 gallons. In talking with my neighbor, who is a housewife and is usually home all day, she told me that four workers, four of them, changed out the meters on Friday, February 23rd. My neighbor stated that the four young men were having great fun laughing and joking around all day while changing out the meter. She also stated one of the men told her that she almost had a flood in her backyard because they had broke a water line and could not fix it for a while.

They must not have told their supervisor about their incident or Mr. Benson would have told Mr. Dick about the lost water.

Also my neighbor said they left an \$80 valve key on the site over the weekend. Anyone could have stolen that tool with that kind of negligence and then the customer indirectly would have paid for that

missing tool.

My neighbor's husband called Florida Cities water on Monday, February 25th, to have someone come out and retrieve the valve key before someone stole it.

After I learned of the negligence of the lost water and supervisor not being informed, I called Mr. Dick to let them know about the water line breakage. Mr. Dick looked into the matter and credited my account.

Only a couple more things, and then I thank you for listening.

If you'll turn to where the letter is to

Public Service Commission, I don't have the page

number, I'm sorry, it's June 25th. This is a letter I

wrote. And probably they received many, many letters.

And this is where I ask, you know, it's most urgent

and I state again that it concerns another increase

for wastewater rates. It goes on -- do I need to read

the letter or? Should I read it or no? The letter.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: You don't have to read it. We have this and we will put it in the correspondence; but if you wanted to make a comment based on the letter, please feel free to do that.

WITNESS COWARD: Okay. This letter

basically states that I've lived all around the United States pretty much and I've never ever went to a place where I had to go check on the utilities because I didn't think I could afford them. I didn't do it in this case. I love my house. I like my neighbors. I'm one of the fortunate ones.

If I would have known my water bill would have been this much I might have taken a second guess, you know, at buying my home -- as I'm sure people, if I tried to sell it and they are smart, they may not want to buy my home either.

And it scares me. I say in the letter, with the 200% raise increase, Avatar, one company holds 99% of the common stock of Florida Cities Water. That makes me nervous. Avatar is an out-for-profit company. I've seen it in my personal life working for Cape Coral Utilities and their housing where they sell houses over and over again. I've seen it on the news, as we all have, a company -- private companies are out for profit, period. Basically they're not people-oriented, they just want to look at the bottom line and what their stockholders are going to get.

From my investigations, there have been no significant hookups in five years looking into this.

And I just basically state, practicality, common sense

it was a poor idea to let a private company who is main goal is to make a profit monopolize the water and sewer systems to which a basic need of water for drinking, washing clothes, bathing and keeping houses clean is a necessity.

Water, which should always be used conservatively, should not have to become a luxury as it has now become, thanks to Florida Cities Water Company. Unfortunately, we do not have the choice to chose another water company -- I said this back in June of '95 -- when prices get too high.

If we refuse to pay the ridiculously high water and sewer rates, Florida Cities Water has threatened to place liens on our homes as they did a few years back.

Every time the citizens of North Fort Myers turn on their faucet, they know each drop of water is now the price of gold. In Florida Cities Water gets the next raise, people who can barely afford the water bill now will certainly have their water shut off because they cannot afford to put more profit in Avatar's hands. (Applause)

Common sense depicts that no private company should be in charge of a basic water right.

(Applause)

I enclosed a comparison where around the area and Florida Cities Water is by far the highest rate. We are paying, taking up the slack for people who do not have sewer, who do not have water. They have wells and septic tanks. There's not very many.

I also stated that most of the people when they were doing this were up north. We had no access to those other 1,000 people who were snowbirds and up north. (Applause)

Only half of us were fighting and some of those were 80-year-old people, 70-year-old people that could not get out of their house. Certainly some of them can't make it all the way downtown Fort Myers when we all live in North Fort Myers. (Applause)

I do say here that, doesn't this rate -doesn't this raise a flag of warning somewhere?

Aren't bells and sirens going off to warn of a
possible problem?

When Florida Cities Water representatives were asked about their rate comparison in the June 22nd meeting, they stated it was no concern to them what the average charge for water and sewer in that area was. I remember that.

That's basically what the letter says. And there was a chart in there showing what the rates are

in this area.

We got a notice in the mail that customer statements at the public service meetings that they wanted to hear it and they were going to place a value on it. I don't know that they've done that.

And my closing statement. Again, they are moving -- this is one of the things I don't understand -- they are moving the lines, water lines, to the front of my house. To me, there doesn't seem to be a reason why they should do that. They have public access to it. It abuts Moody Park, there are no fences.

They are digging up our yards. For what purpose? Yes, I agree they should change the lines out, the galvanized lines out, every ten years. But why move them on only one side of the street when that side of the street has public access with no fences and it's against a park? It doesn't make any sense to me but wasteful spending.

Thank you. (Applause)

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Any questions for Ms. Coward? Any questions?

MR. McLEAN: None.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: And I think, with respect to the last question that you asked, I think

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

moving the lines to the front part as opposed to the back where there was public access, could we have maybe Tom or someone, one of my Staff members can meet with you afterwards to see if we can try to investigate that, too, and better understand the process of what was done to determine whether or not 6 7 that was a prudent thing to do. WITNESS COWARD: Thank you very much. 8 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you. 10 (Witness Coward excused.) 11 12 MR. McLEAN: Harvey Kottke, please. 13 HARVEY KOTTKE 14 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 15 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 16 testified as follows: 17 WITNESS KOTTKE: I'm Harvey Kottke from 18 4773-C-7 Orange Grove Boulevard. 19 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Could you spell your last name? 20 21 WITNESS KOTTKE: Pardon? COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Could you spell your last name? 23 WITNESS KOTTKE: K-O-T-T-K-E. 25 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you.

2

3

4

5

9

22

24

witness kottke: And my complaint is we live in a complex of about 116 units, and a lot of our people are snowbirds. I go up north myself, my wife and I, for about five months, we have grandchildren up there. And we're here seven months a year. We own our place. And there's a lot of people there that are down here for three to four months only.

We get billed every month for sewer and water while we're gone. I'd like to know why. It's shut off completely; why do we have to pay that fee when we're not using it?

Lee County gives a bill \$5 a month. Shut everything off. But here we're paying the sewer and water and not using the service at all. I'd like to know why.

There are a lot of snowbirds who are really up in the air about this. We've got lots of them only three months a year but they keep billing them for a service we're not using.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Marshall, do you want to explain the rate structure.

WITNESS KOTTKE: We're getting mighty fed up with it, believe me.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Sure.

MR. WILLIS: Let me try and explain why the

,

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Company charges. The Company charges you a base facility charge while you're gone.

WITNESS KOTTKE: Yes. Close to \$32.

MR. WILLIS: That's correct. The base facility charge is made up of fixed costs for the Company. It's made up of costs that don't change no matter how much water is used by the Company. And if the Company did not charge that charge to all of its customers regardless of whether you were there or not, they would have to raise the rate they charge to the customers here all year round to make up for that cost --

WITNESS KOTTKE: Baloney. You're getting cream there. You're getting cream there, mister.

That's cream.

MR. WILLIS: I'm telling you the facts.

WITNESS KOTTKE: I'll tell you. How come the electric company doesn't charge us a big rate like you do? \$5 a month. I don't mind one iota for bookkeeping. But you start charging me for sewer and water \$32 a month while we're gone, figure it out five months a year, some people are only here three months a year, they are paying that, too.

That's a rip-off as far as I'm concerned.

Its a rip-off. (Applause)

25 Its a rip-off. (Applause)

I think we should really look into this.

Because we're all fed up out there. Not only us, but all of these, they're all fed up the way you're socking it to us.

We're not using your facilities at all until we come back and turn everything on. Everything is shut off. There's nothing being used, so then you keep right on charging us.

commissioner Johnson: Maybe you don't understand. This gentleman is one of the Bureau Chiefs in the Commission's Division of Water and Wastewater. He's simply giving you an explanation of how base facility charges are calculated. He's not collecting the money.

Mr. Gatlin over there, he represents the Utility.

WITNESS KOTTKE: We have a daughter and a son-in-law, they've got three children. They bath every day, they wash every day, their water bill is half of ours. Explain that to me.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Sir, one thing, if you could, the court reporter is recording this -- WITNESS KOTTKE: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: -- so it can be a part of the record. And I understand that you're

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

4

2

5

7

6

8 9

11

10

12 13

14

15

16 17

19

20 21

22

23

25

upset; but if you could slow down so we can make sure we have all of that on the record --

WITNESS KOTTKE: This has been brewing for a long time for a lot of us.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I can tell. But if you would, because I want to make sure that she gets all of your statements and that she can understand all of your concerns.

And with respect to the audience, I know that you all share his concerns. She can't record the applause, and the applause can oftentimes break up the process and she can't hear what he's saying when you're applauding.

If you can hold the applause to the end of this, or we may -- and it's your prerogative. But we can be here all night if you want to keep breaking up the process like that. But I'd like to hear from the customer that's trying to testify and let us know what his concerns are this moment.

WITNESS KOTTKE: Another thing is like you have these meetings in June before. Well, all your snowbirds go home, around the 1st of April they are And the few people that are here, there aren't many complaining because all the snowbirds have gone back up north. They aren't here complaining.

so these meetings should be the end of March or the first of April while they are still here. A lot of them gone back home, stayed three months, four months, they are gone.

To me it's cream, I'll tell you. And a lot of them are upset about that whole thing, I'll tell you.

You start figuring out water bills we're paying while we're gone, my electric bill was half of what my water bill is, believe it or not. We have all electrical appliances, everything. I tell you, it's gold coming out of that faucet. It's the truth.

I'm just a man on Social Security, our salary don't go up. We've got to try to make ends meet. We have 23 grandchildren we have to have once in a while. You keep raising your salaries up; ours don't go up anymore. I'm from the old school yet. You get a raise but we have got to pay for it.

We'd like to know what are you going to do about it?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Sir, let me try, because I think everyone else has tried.

Sir, we're doing the sewer case right now.

We're going through this -- some of the customers sat

through -- it's certainly not interesting material,

but we spent most of the morning and most of the afternoon doing some of that work and tomorrow we'll continue.

We're looking at those costs. And we have to structure our decision based on the laws of the state, and we're going to do our best to try to keep you in mind. That's why we're listening to your testimony.

WITNESS KOTTKE: We won't -- go ahead.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: If you will let me finish.

I can't stand up here and say I agree with you, the Company should be shut down, because then I wouldn't be able to judge this case. And that's what we're doing.

We have to look at all of the facts. And as much as my heart may go out to you and I feel the problems that you're facing, it's neither here nor there in terms of what I can say to you. It's part of what we're taking into account when we make our decision.

Clearly, your opinions and those of your neighbors affect the outcome of this case. And we understand your concern. And we'll try to do our best when we look at this best -- we will not try, we will

do our best when we look at this material. And hopefully the outcome will be to everyone's benefit.

WITNESS KOTTKE: I don't mind paying this bill. But when we're gone and not using one drop of water, I can't stomach that.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Our Staff tried to explain it. I'll try to put it in terms -- and clearly, when you're on the receiving end of the pain on this, it's much more difficult.

But you have to realize that these calculations are done by putting the cost all out. And as much as you may not agree with those costs and how they have been determined in the past, if we were to change those costs the way that you want them done, that would mean that those people -- and I guess I could make an argument for you as a hypothetical argument, someone who lives here with a family all year long would be paying for some of the service that you receive when you're here. Because the costs that are taken into that base facility charge are fixed costs.

In other words, a pipe is a pipe is a pipe.

And whether you get water twice a year or 365 days a
year, the pipe costs the same thing. And so that's
how it's built into the system.

WITNESS KOTTKE: Okay. Explain this to me.

Utility companies, they're allowed expenses hurricanes and everything. Lee County Electric or Florida Power and Light, they don't sock it to you like that. They have a lot of expenses, too, by the way, and they don't sock it to you. \$5 a month we pay. That's all we pay.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And in many of those cases we are also looking at those costs and we make those determinations.

witness kottke: I think that Avatar, big stockholder in that company, controls it all; that's what I think. They haven't got too good a track record, by the way.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: That's your opinion -- WITNESS KOTTKE: That's on television, too.

Up north we rent an apartment, we pay for sewer and water, we pay for five months it might shock you, \$6.30 all I pay. So there's something rotten in Denmark someplace.

I'd like to know where -- when I'm not using your service at all, get a new meter, we are charged for it. Then you're telling me you're keeping up the lines. We pay for it.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Sir, I am going to try

again. I'm not keeping up the lines. I don't own the lines, I don't profit by this rate case. None of our Staff does.

We look at the numbers. Those are numbers that are audited that the Company gives us. Our Staff audits the Company. You have your attorney, which also goes through it with their accountants. In this particular case, you even have a customer who is participating on their own.

This is how that the process is set up. I wish I can tell you, you know, because I feel for you, your water is free from now on. I can't do that.

what I can tell you is that in the sewer part of this case we're looking at all of the issues that are being brought before us and we're going to try to maybe an outcome that is fair, just and reasonable for everyone involved.

WITNESS KOTTKE: Over there, like they said, they told me, I'll come for you, comes in this ear -- first it goes in this ear, out the ear is all the satisfaction I get.

Out there, "Well, we've got to keep up the lines." Well, this complex is 15 years old. I've never seen them repairing a line out there yet. So there has been no expensive repairing out there.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Τ6

That's just a cop out. 1 So that's all I've got to say. But 2 something had better be done because it's really 3 boiling the pot, all these condo complexes. I'll tell 4 5 you. Thank you. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Thank you. (Applause) 6 (Witness Kottke excused.) 7 8 MR. McLEAN: JoAnn DeNigris, please. 9 Commissioners, there are 16 more customers 10 11 that are signed up at this point. 12 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. 13 JOANN DENIGRIS was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 15 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 16 testified as follows: 17 WITNESS DeNIGRIS: My name is JoAnn DeNigris. I live at 983 Narcissus Street, North Fort 18 Myers. 19 20 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Could you spell your last name? 21 WITNESS DeNIGRIS: D-e-N-I-G-R-I-S. 22 23 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you. 24 WITNESS DeNIGRIS: I concur with some of the people that have testified previously that it's very

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

convenient to schedule a meeting when most of the snowbirds are up north. It seems to happen all the time. We can't get testimony from these people. They are up north, they probably don't even know the meeting is being held. I don't even know if they were

mailed a notice.

I would like to state that one month we received a zero usage on our billing. We were still billed for the basic water and sewer rate due to a misread meter which was subcontracted. And I just want to say that the money was taken up front for that basic water.

We also, we had problems with our sewer back in February of '96. We had a backup of sewage in our home. We contacted Florida Cities Water. They came out, assessed the situation. There was a blocked pipe in the street.

Evidently, this is an outdated gravity sewer system. This is what we were told. They have not maintained this sewer line since we've lived there.

We spoke with several authorities there. I have not seen any maintenance on these lines. I am one of the participants that went out and got signatures from a lot of the people in my area. In speaking with them, they have experienced problems

with flushing their toilets. When they came out to assess the situation, they found quite a bit of sand in the lines; due to this being a gravity system, most naturally there's going to be sand out there.

I would like to know why they have not maintained the lines? What are we paying for? I know we're paying for sewage to go out, but they need to maintain these lines as well.

I would like some of those questions answered.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let me ask you a question. You said you had a sewer break within your house and it was -- was it your fault, was it the Company's fault?

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: That's not what she said. She said there was a sewage backup into her house and it was a problem with the sewer line out under the street.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: What did the Company do about that?

WITNESS DeNIGRIS: They came out and assessed the situation. Them found a lot of sand. Evidently, they subcontract out to a company that has to pump out the lines. They noted that there was quite a bit of sand in the lines.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

And as I have, you know, gone out this week to get signatures, a lot of the residents in our area are experiencing flushing problems. That sand is going to build back up in that system again, and they said it would happen again.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Are you still having problems with your line?

WITNESS DeNIGRIS: To date, no. I'm just afraid we're going to have a backup of sewage again in the home.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: If anybody does have a problem, there's a 1-800 number there of the Commission where you can call us. It's on that yellow sheet you all have. Okay.

Just like if you're here and don't want to come up here, you don't necessarily have to do that.

Just speak to one of our Staff; and while we're here, they can get your address and we can take care of that problem.

WITNESS DeNIGRIS: I want to know why the lines weren't maintained? What are we paying for? Is that part of the maintenance?

I've lived in the neighborhood -- I was not there when they put the sewer lines in. The subdivision was built back in the '70s.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And that's what the 1 Company told you, right, that the lines weren't being 2 maintained? They told you that? 3 WITNESS DeNIGRIS: The line was not 4 5 maintained. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay. And they said 6 7 they had contract --WITNESS DeNIGRIS: They pumped out quite a 8 bit of sand in the line. 9 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well, we'll make sure 10 to ask the Company tomorrow during the proceedings. 11 WITNESS DeNIGRIS: I'd appreciate it. You 12 know, our rates are high. And, you know, if we're 13 paying for sewage to go out, they should be able to 14 15 maintain the system. And I will quote, "It is an 16 outdated gravity sewer system." I wouldn't know that 17 in layman's term myself, I'm quoting someone. 18 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right. 19 WITNESS DeNIGRIS: It's a gravity system. 20 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Let me add one thing about the timing of the meeting last summer that our 21 Staff came down here and held. 22 We are required by statute to go from an 23 application for a rate increase through the end of the 25 case within eight months. We don't have any

discretion on that. The Florida Legislature set that time period for us to do it. They also set out some of the requirements, like holding that customer hearing.

And we also have no control over when a Company files their application for a rate increase. So if they file it at a time that then puts that customer hearing in the middle of the summer, there's nothing we can do to alter that time frame. Only the legislature can change that.

WITNESS DeNIGRIS: I'm not saying it's any control of yours. It's just very convenient that they've done this. And they know what they are doing when they schedule these meetings.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Okay. But I just wanted to be clear --

WITNESS DeNIGRIS: Yeah, I know there's no control --

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: -- I mean, we're only doing what we can do.

WITNESS DeNIGRIS: I understand that. I just wanted to make the point that, you know, it's very convenient for them to schedule when most of the residents in North Fort Myers are not full-time residents.

I also want to comment on a resident that 1 lives in our neighborhood. He's an elderly gentleman 2 has been a Florida Cities Water customer for over 20 3 years. The gentleman did not receive a bill for his 4 utility. They shut his water off. There was some 5 dispute there whether he had received it or not. 6 He had to physically go down to Florida 7 Cities Water and pay the bill to get the water turned 8 Unfortunately, this is a 20-year customer. 9 back on. They wouldn't work with him. They shut him off. 10 11 He did not receive the bill. You know, 12 whether he misplaced it or they did not mail it, 13 that's here nor there. But to shut off a 20-year 14 customer, they have no value in customer service. 15 Thank you. (Applause) 16 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Thank you. 17 (Witness DeNigris excused.) 18 19 MR. McLEAN: Norm Jueschke, please. 20 21 22 23 24 25

_1

T.7

NORM JUESCHKE

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS JUESCHKE: I'm Norm Jueschke. I live at 5800 Inverness Drive in North Fort Myers.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Spell your last name, please.

WITNESS JUESCHKE: J-U-E-S-C-H-K-E.

My biggest concern is the double billing that I'm getting for the service facility. This is ridiculous. In all my years of business I never heard of a customer being billed for a supplier's facility? You pay for their service. You do not pay for their facility. You do not own their facility.

I was very much interested tonight when I found out that Avatar is the owner of Florida Cities Water Company. And with their reputation, I can understand why they're pulling this scam. (Applause)

A few months ago we attended a similar meeting at North Fort Myers High School regarding the rate increase. At that time we were assured that this would cover their expenses and they could operate without a further increase. After that, we get this double billing for their facility; and now again we're

faced with another rate increase. 1 2 Frankly, I do not think that the Florida Public Service Commission is doing an adequate job. 3 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That's right. 4 WITNESS JUESCHKE: And I would like people 5 here to stand up if they agree with that. Do you 6 7 agree that Florida --8 THE AUDIENCE: (Complies) (Applause) 9 WITNESS JUESCHKE: There's your answer. I'm 10 not alone in this. Believe me, when it's time to vote 11 we're not going to forget what's happened here. 12 We, I think, are justified in having better 13 service and better treatment from the Florida Public 14 Service Commission. Thank you. 15 MR. WILLIS: Thank you. (Witness Jueschke excused.) 16 17 18 MR. McLEAN: Harry Hitch, please. 19 HARRY HITCH 20 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 21 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 22 testified as follows: 23 WITNESS HITCH: My name is Harry Hitch. live at 5899 Guest Court, right across the street from 24 25 the water plant.

I've got a hat on that says "No to Drugs" and I also want to say "No to Avatar."

As far as some of the billings go, I've got recent bills, like I got one here for 61.60. And then it goes to -- that's for four units. I've got one unit on the next bill, 38.29. Then I don't use any water. I don't know, I really held my water a long time. (Laughter) And at my age that's not hard.

Then I get a bill the following month for \$80.29. You know, it's up and down. When you're on a fixed income like I am, you like to know what your expenses are each month.

I've complained about this and they tell me it's my fault. Yeah. Because if my bill fluctuates, I should complain about it. I says, "Well, I am complaining about it." You know, I don't know what they're trying to tell me. They give me a lot of double talk.

But I get a bill here for 66.26, 59.61, then it jumped up to 87.66. There's the wife and I living in the house. That's all. Just the two of us. We do the same thing day in and day out. (Laughter)

Nothing changes. We got a routine. How can the bill go up and down when we're doing the same thing?

(Laughter)

I really think that Avatar, like they've 1 said here, is out for profit. They don't care one 2 fiddly damn about me or anybody else in this room. 3 think if they -- over the years, that if the money 4 5 that was taken in was properly used, we would have the facilities that are needed to take care of the 6 7 wastewater. 8 I don't think it's ever been managed properly to begin with. I think they made sure that 9 10 all of the stockholders got good dividends and probably the presidents and all of the vice presidents got nice salaries. But as far as we're concerned, I 12 13 didn't think they care one little bit about us. 14 That's all I have to say. 15 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Sir, could you see 16 someone on Staff about the bills so they can look into it for you? Just show someone from our Staff, Cissy, 17 18 the bills real quick. 19 WITNESS HITCH: All right. 20 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Okay? Thank you. 21 (Applause) 22 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you. 23 (Witness Hitch excused.) 24 25 MR. McLEAN: Bonnie Cornell.

BONNIE CORNELL

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS CORNELL: My name is Bonnie Cornell.

I live the 5936 Little Stone Court.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Last name again?
WITNESS CORNELL: Cornell.

Okay. I'm here more or less representing some of the landlords in North Fort Myers. I sold my home in Indiana, moved down here, bought a triplex.

The triplex is my home. I live there and I rent the other two units, which is my income. Okay.

I've always had excellent tenants, never had a problem. It's getting to the point now where I am so embarrassed with the water bill that I have now agreed to pay their garbage bill; but they are very good tenants, so I pick that up monthly.

The last people I got in my apartments, they could not afford the \$150 that's required. They are very good tenants, paid on time. Excellent people. I loaned them the money for the \$150 because that was the only deterrent they had to that property.

I have had more trouble renting the property in the last year than I ever have, and it's because of

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

the water bill. Everybody that comes up to me is saying, "We cannot afford North Fort Myers' water 2 bill." 3 My question is this: Is this what North 4 Fort Myers wants? We're driving people away. They 5 don't want to rent -- and these are nice people. 6 don't want to rent in our area, they can't afford it. 7 Is this what we want for North Fort Myers? 8 We're not going to have any new people come 9 in. Because, I mean, they just say the water people 10 11 in North Fort Myers, we cannot afford. So I'm 12 speaking for landlords, not landlords that are absentee landlords. I live on my property. 13 14 I love Little Stone Court and I want to see it maintained the way it is, but we're not going to 15 get the quality of people if they cannot afford their 16 17 water. 18 And that was just a statement I wanted to 19 make. 20 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Thank you. 21 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you very much. 22 (Applause) 23 (Witness Cornell excused.) 24 25 MR. McLEAN: Dana McGrath, please.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

DANA MCGRATH

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS McGRATH: Commissioners, for the record, I am Dana McGrath, the owner of Gem Real Estate located in North Fort Myers. I am not a customer of Avatar Florida Cities, thank God.

(Applause)

I'd first like to commend the Public Service
Commission for extending the decision until everybody
has a chance to do this. This is the first time I've
seen that done and I'm pleased to see it.

I wonder how many of the sitting

Commissioners here were here two years ago when the

200% increase was passed? I believe, Ms. Johnson, you

were here; is that correct?

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: I don't think I participated in that case but I was serving on the Commission I think at the time.

WITNESS McGRATH: Yes. Yes. I was here, as well. I wasn't a customer then either, thank God.

I've read the testimony of two of the witnesses, which I'm sure you have, Ms. Walla and I believe it is Ms. Dismukes. I don't know how to

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

pronounce it, and I apologize.

In my humble opinion, if this testimony is found to be at all factual, this whole proceeding ought to stop on the basis of the faulty application. I think that's the first thing the PSC has to determine is whether the original application by Florida Cities was flawed and, if so, by what design.

As the owner of a real estate business which serves these hostage camps, I've just yesterday met with another couple in the area captured to discuss the selling of their home. I can tell you after 18 years I'm sick to death of people running from this utility company.

One of the big reasons they are selling is the item before you this evening. They were newlyweds. I sold them the home. You gave them the 200% increase immediately after. For that I have to thank you. They then made the mistake of having a child -- I've made that mistake myself, I know how that goes.

They weren't quite prepared for the water and sewer use of a new baby, and so they are going to sell the home that they've painted, they've sodded and the sod died because they couldn't water their lawn.

You would have to be crazy to water your lawn with

these water bills.

They're concerned because of the recent incredible increase -- in '93 to them is recent -- made living difficult. The proposed increase may be impossible to pay. That's a heck of a reason to have to leave your home, I believe.

But you need to know that people are out there living like this as a result of your decisions and the decisions of this Company.

The effect of such high utility costs I was happy to explain to them, you can imagine how happy, will directly affect the resale value of their home; because the next newlywed couple has to look at the utility bills as immediate costs before they can service a mortgage payment -- which reduces the amount of mortgage they qualify for, which reduces the amount these people will get for their house.

Worry not, they are going to blame me. I don't blame them. I didn't do it.

when the cost of water and sewer service is nearly as much or more than the electric service, something must be done, people. That's asinine.

THE AUDIENCE: Yes. (Applause)

WITNESS McGRATH: In addition to the incredibly high cost, this proposal at its best

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

increases rates by 17% with no end in sight and only perhaps begrudging acceptance forthcoming from the 3 Company. In the event the PSC grants even a 17% 4 5 increase this soon after the last incredible increase, it will be a travesty worthy of some investment of 6 7 time and monies into the motivation of such a 8 decision. 9 Thank you for your time. May God help you make the right decision for these people. Are there 10 11 any questions? 12 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Any questions? 13 you, sir. WITNESS McGRATH: Oh, I am surprised. Thank 14 15 you very much. (Applause) (Witness McGrath excused.) 16 17 MR. McLEAN: Don Artis, please. 18 DON ARTIS 19 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 20 21 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 22 23 WITNESS ARTIS: My name is Don Artis, 24 A-R-T-I-S. I live at 40185 Yarmouth Court, North Fort 25 Myers.

1 I'd like to ask the Commissioners before I 2 make any comments, are we addressing tonight the original 23% increase, or the proposed interim 3 increase of 18%? The letter come out just said "rate increase." I'm not sure which increase we're 5 6 addressing tonight. 7 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: It's really the 8 entire rate -- entire case. 9 WITNESS ARTIS: | The original increase that was asked for? 10 11 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: 12 WITNESS ARTIS: Which was 23%, in that 13 range? Is that what we're talking about tonight? 14 What are we talking about? 15 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Yeah, we're addressing their proposal for rate increase, which was the 23%. 17 18 WITNESS ARTIS: Is Florida Cities Water 19 proposing another increase? 20 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: No, it's the same one they proposed. 21 22 WITNESS ARTIS: The same one. 23 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Right. 24 WITNESS ARTIS: The one we addressed at our 25 meeting at North Fort Myers High School July 26th,

195.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Right, uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Correct.

WITNESS ARTIS: I gave testimony that night, and I'm prepared to give the same exact testimony tonight. I would presume that my testimony of July 26th, 1995, is a matter of record, but I'll be very happy to go through my statement again.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Since none of the Commissioners were there, that was our Staff that was conducting that one, I personally would like you to given it to me again so that, you know, I can respond to anything that you may want, or a question.

WITNESS ARTIS: Okay. And this testimony was based on the facts at that time. And I'm going to read this statement to you.

I've already given you my name. resided at this address for seven-and-a-half years now. in order to put this request -- and I'm referring to the 23% rate increase -- into proper perspective, it is necessary to refer to the 174.5% increase which Public Service Commission approved which became effective July 1, 1992.

If the proposed 23% increase is granted, it will mean we will have had our wastewater rates

increased about 200% in three short years. It will also mean that customers in the North Fort Myers Division will be paying monthly, on average based on 5,000 gallons of water used, the following: \$36.77 more than their Barefoot Bay Division. \$23.94 more than their Golden Gate Division. \$30.47 more than their South Fort Myers Division.

Also I understand we will be the highest cost area in the state of Florida for wastewater.

There should not be this much disparity.

who benefited from the 1992 increase? I have not seen any change or improvement in the quality of service to my home. Most people feel that if you pay more for something you're going to get more in exchange, or at least see some tangible evidence of improvement in service or product. To my knowledge, the North Fort Myers customers have not benefited in any way whatsoever.

Florida Cities Water told us at one of their informational meetings that we got a renovated, upgraded, state-of-the-art wastewater treatment plant. We did not get anything. Florida Cities Water got it and we, the customers, are paying for it.

Now they tell us this 23% increase is necessary so they can pay for expanding the wastewater

treatment by 25%. They say this expansion is required pursuant to Florida Department of Environmental Protection capacity analysis requirements. They also state that one of the main reasons for the rate increase is the projected deterioration of the Division's rate of return.

Florida Cities Water tells us they need to expand the plant from 1 million gallons per day to 1.250 million gallons per day. We also have been told they cannot release more than 1 million gallons of treated water per day into the Caloosahatchee River, so the plant has to be modified to allow it to send the additional 250 gallons of treated water per day to Lochmoor Country Club for irrigation purposes.

I ask the Commission representatives and Staff to look very carefully at this arrangement. You almost have to have a home at Lochmoor or play golf there on a regular basis to appreciate that Lochmoor cannot pour an additional 250,000 gallons of water on the golf course on a daily basis.

Whenever we have rainy season, as we have almost every summer, the golf course is so wet you cannot even take golf carts off the cart paths. It has been a sloppy, wet, muddy mess during rainy season. There is no way they are going to irrigate

the golf course and pour another 250,000 gallons of treated wastewater on to an already over-wet, soggy golf course.

The ponds and small lakes of Lochmoor Golf Course also overflow during the rainy season. So this idea of pouring all of that extra water in there just doesn't make sense at all.

I'd also like to say -- since part of their expansion was to put a line in from the wastewater plant down to one of the ponds at Lochmoor, and it's very visible -- I live about 150 yards from where this line comes in; and when we play golf, it's right there by the tee. And since that line has been in, I don't think it's been observed more than two or three times that any water has been pumped into there.

Yesterday I rode and played in a foursome with the owner of Lochmoor. And we also talked to the golf course superintendent, and he confirmed there has not been that much water brought in from the wastewater plant.

Now, somebody has got to have records of this. And it makes you wonder if this expansion was developed based on this theory and there's no need to pump that water in there because they're not expelling that much flow.

Anyhow, I think that's a major point that somebody should check into to see if that was really necessary. I understand it probably costs about \$600,000 to run that line down there.

practically all businesses and industries operate in a competitive environment where competition is the real driving force for establishing rates and pricing. Not so here. Florida Cities Water is a monopoly. They have no competition. The customers in the North Fort Myers Division are a captive group. We have no other water company to turn to.

It is all well and good that the environmentalists mandate upgrading or enlarging facilities, but they apparently give no consideration as to who is going to pay for it. Certainly, Florida Cities Water has not objected to the environmentalists about the cost of their mandates about they have been able to pass all of this expense to the poor captive customers. (Applause) And neither has the Public Service Commission seemed to have any concern as to sticking the customer through rate increases.

Something is missing in this entire procedure. Consideration should be given to the cost impact on the customer. Florida Cities Water and/or the Public Service Commission should stand up to the

environmentalists and tell them that the customers cannot continue to pick up the tab.

Has Florida Cities Water or the Public Service Commission done a demographic study of the customer base in North Fort Myers? Does either the Company or the Commission know what the average median income is of this customer group? I think you would find a rather low income level, and the mix would be predominantly retirees living on fixed income of Social Security and/or pension. I also think you would find a lot of low income couples with children. Also a demographic study of the entire county would show that residential growth has been south, not north.

You all don't seem to understand it. We are protesting this rate increase but we feel it has reached the point where we simply cannot afford it.

Personally, my working career spanned a period of 42 years working for companies in an industry that was regulated by either the Interstate Commerce Commission, the Federal Maritime Commission or the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. From time to time I was involved in ratemaking and requesting rate increases from the regulatory bodies. However, we were never able to base a rate increase on capital

improvements such as building new facilities or renovating or enlarging facilities, or making major purchases of machinery or equipment. Reserves for a built up from operating income in order to make major capital improvements. We never heard of a regulatory body guaranteeing a company their rate of return. Prudent and efficient management determined how good your rate of return would be.

And in this rate case I believe the

Commission is wanting to ensure Florida Cities Water

something like 9.8% return. And that's good in any

business. You know, who would not want to be in

business if somebody would guarantee you were going to

get that rate of return?

We certainly hope you take the people's protest seriously and we hope this is not an already done deal. Thank you. (Applause)

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Mr. Artis, just so you understand, we do not guarantee a rate of return to anyone. We allow them the opportunity to earn that return if they can do so through good management. So, you know, we don't guarantee it.

WITNESS ARTIS: I'm sorry, but some of the documentation that I have in here -- maybe it doesn't say "guarantee," but it might use the word "ensures"

that they get a rate of return. 1 2 I'm sorry, I disagree with you on that. But 3 in some of this document documentation it says that their rate of return would be ensured. 4 5 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: That's a surprise to Because the statute and our regulations and our 6 7 practice all say they have an opportunity to earn that 8 rate of return, not that they are guaranteed it. 9 WITNESS ARTIS: Okay. Thank you. (Witness Artis excused.) 10 11 12 THE AUDIENCE: Florida Cities maintained the increase was necessary in order to maintain a fair and 13 reasonable return of 9.08%. Is that what you --14 15 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: That's not on the 16 record, sir. If you'd like to --17 THE AUDIENCE: I'm asking for my own --COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: You cannot ask unless 18 you are sitting here sworn to for purposes of the 19 You can come back; and if the other witnesses 21 don't mind you coming ahead of them and testifying again, you can do that. 22 23 THE AUDIENCE: Sure. 24 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Could I have your 25 name?

WITNESS MORGAN: Morgan. William Morgan.

2

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

25

WILLIAM MORGAN

resumed the stand on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been previously sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS MORGAN: I've never attended one of these. I thought you all was the bad guy, and you were the good guys. But he's the bad guy, you're on our side, and you all just like trying to find out, sort through the facts or something, and you're going to make a determination if this over a three-year period 200% rate increase is fair. Is that right?

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: No. We aren't looking at 200% rate increase.

WITNESS MORGAN: '92.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: We're not going back to the '92.

WITNESS MORGAN: Oh. So this is just the 22 that was asked, shooting it down to 17.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Right. But as the gentleman asked before, this isn't just the -- we're not going to just looking at the 17. What happened is we issued an order, a proposed agency action. was appealed by the customers. But they weren't just

appealing the 17, they were appealing the rate increase. So we're looking at the entire increase 2 that was proposed. 3 WITNESS MORGAN: Yeah. Because I picked up 4 on that drift. 5 So I get 6% on my \$140. I get 6%, they make 6 7 9.8. (Applause) But anyhow, this says, "to obtain a fair and 8 reasonable return -- is necessary in order to obtain a 9 fair and reasonable return of 9.8%." So that's what 10 11 the gentleman prior to me being here was discussing, that that's the guaranteed 9% profit due to Florida 12 13 Cities. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Let me try to explain 14 15 it without being technical what the -- let me try to 16 explain it without being technical. That's what they 17 are allowed as a maximum. 18 WITNESS MORGAN: As a maximum. What's the minimum? 19 20 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: There is none. 21 they lose money, it's out of their pocket and their shareholders'. 22 23 WITNESS MORGAN: Doesn't sound like they are losing money. 24 25 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Well, no, no, I'm

trying to explain it so you'll understand. That's how it works. They are taking a risk with the money. If they were, let's say, to make a mistake in the running of the Company and that was not justified in the proper running and functioning of that Company, that's out of their hide, not yours.

WITNESS MORGAN: But then they come to you ask for a rate increase.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: And they don't get it.

WITNESS MORGAN: Well, then, why are they
getting the one now? If I understood correctly, they
were only allowed to pump a million.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I'm sorry?

WITNESS MORGAN: How much water -- what is being talked, I personally don't have any facts on salaries, amount of water gallons and so on and so forth, or anything else. What the cost not reading meters and/or reading meters.

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: That's filed.

WITNESS MORGAN: You've got that?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: We have that.

commissioner KIESLING: It's also available in your public library. They are required to file a copy of their minimum filing requirements at the public library so any of you all can go in and look at

that. WITNESS MORGAN: Okay. 2 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: I also just wanted 3 to indicate that what it says here is Florida Cities 4 5 maintained that the increase was necessary. It 6 doesn't say we found that. It's what they are saying. 7 They're alleging that it is. 8 WITNESS MORGAN: Yeah. That's what I said. 9 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Yeah. They can say anything they want. It doesn't mean that that's what 10 we're going to decide or it doesn't mean that they 11 define what the standard is. 12 WITNESS MORGAN: So that has not been 13 determined yet? 14 15 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Correct. COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Right. That's what 16 17 we're sitting here trying to do. 18 WITNESS MORGAN: But they are shooting for the maximum. 19 20 COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No. I mean, they can 21 shoot for whatever they want. That doesn't 22 necessarily mean they're going to get it. They have to file their records and they have to justify that. 23 24 WITNESS MORGAN: Oh. I thought you said the

25

maximum they could get +-

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: No, no, no. Whatever we approve.

Let's say we did approve something. Let's

pick out a number, 10%, that we said that was a fair, just -- fair rate of return for them. That would be the maximum they could earn on their investment in this Company in running this utility.

If something went wrong, if the Company broke down through their negligence, that cost would be theirs, not yours. In other words, they couldn't come back to us and say, "I want another 50% to pay for something I did wrong." They don't get that.

WITNESS MORGAN: Hypothetically, what would happen to us? They would turn off our water?

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Hypothetically, they can't get it from you, period.

No, they have to keep operating the franchise. They have to keep running the Company, because that's part -- it's like all other types of utility. In other words, the service they have to continue to provide.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: They have to get it from the shareholders. It's the shareholders who would lose if that would occur.

WITNESS MORGAN: And at the library there is

1	a printed record of how much the shareholders would
2	receive then?
3	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Well, there's also a
4	printed record of every single cost that they are
5	alleging they should receive higher rates to cover
6	broken down by what their salaries are, everything.
7	Everything you're asking.
8	WITNESS MORGAN: And you're all aware of
9	this
10	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Oh, yes.
11	WITNESS MORGAN: and you have the power
12	to determine whether what they are attempting to do is
13	fair and reasonable, versus what the people who have
14	to pay that feel is fair and reasonable, versus the
15	total
16	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: This is why we're
17	listening to your testimony.
18	WITNESS MORGAN: versus the total gross
19	profit of Florida Cities?
20	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Exactly.
21	WITNESS MORGAN: Okay. I think I got this a
22	little bit. Thank you, sir.
23	COMMISSIONER GARCIA: Our pleasure.
24	(Applause)
25	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Our court reporter
- 1	

1 has been going for two hours now. We're going to take 2 a 15-minute break in order for her to rest her fingers for a while. 3 (Brief recess taken.) 4 5 6 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Ladies and gentlemen, 7 we're going to reconvene the hearing. Public Counsel, 8 could you call your next customer. 9 MR. McLEAN: Yes, ma'am. Belle Morrow. (Pause) Commissioner, I'm sure Ms. Morrow is here 10 11 because she just spoke to me on an issue or two. 12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Belle is going to come in in just a moment. Somebody has gone to get 13 14 her right now. 15 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. 16 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Why don't you just 17 take the next one and we'll take her after that. 18 MR. McLEAN: Good suggestion. Ms. Katwyn Smith. Oh, here's Ms. Morrow now. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

BELLE MORROW

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS MORROW: My name is Belle Morrow. I live at 691 Camellia Drive, North Fort Myers. I have lived in October will be 33 years.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Ma'am, excuse me.

Could you talk a little more into the mike? Because I can hardly here you and I don't know that anyone in the back could.

WITNESS MORROW: Oh. Well, usually I talk so loud I don't need a mike.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Drown us out.

WITNESS MORROW: I was just going to come up here and kind of reiterate some of the things I read in the depositions by Cheryl Walla and Jeri Victor.

Mostly, I was really curious about the \$30 lunches and \$65 dinners, and I was wondering how many martinis you get for that? And I hope you will look at it very closely.

Like I said, that was all I was going to really talk about. But listening to all of these other people, I have made notes and I hope I will be able to make sense out of them to sound a little

logical to you.

For one thing, I was on the Board of
Trustees for Lee County Electric Co-op for six years.

And in that six years, I sat on their Finance, Audit
and Rate Committee, so I think I do know a little bit
about what goes on.

one of the things we always did was to look very closely at the budget. We would cut the budget, and we certainly did not allow \$65 dinners for anybody. Or \$30 lunches. Even when the trustees would go out of town on meetings, we were told to stay around \$25 for the day. Not for one meal, for the day.

I think that Florida Cities Water definitely needs to look into their budget.

I would like to know what they do about their bidding procedures when they put out for work. Do they go for low bids? Or do they do like other companies, do they hire people that they know? Do they hire companies to do their work that they own or have some stock in? Are they making a double profit on us by doing that? And I sincerely hope that you people will look into those things.

And I really want to commend Jeri and Cheryl on all of the research they have done. Because I have

given a little bit of time by going down to the law library and looking things up but I feel like a real piker compared to the work that those two gals have done.

And as far as some of the other complaints about the work force, I often see one and two and three water trucks sitting together under a shade tree doing what I don't know. Maybe listening for the radio to see if there's a complaint. I have no idea.

And on the meter reading, from having been there for six years on the board, I know if they are having trouble with their meter readers they could call the co-op, make arrangements and get bids from the co-op to read the water meters at the same time they are out reading the electric meters. And I guarantee you the electric meters get read every month.

And some gentleman said about printing out information and the co-op sending it out? They do. Every month we get a newsletter with your co-op bill. And the Florida Cities Water, it might be three, perhaps four months now, they've come up with a new billing form and they have sent out little fliers with those bills. But it has not been an ongoing for a long time thing. It has been very recent.

4

2

3

6

5

7 |

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

21

22

25

I think perhaps they need to look into downsizing in their executive staff. It has worked at the co-op. The co-op has cut about a hundred people off of their staff in the last year or two years and the plant is still working just as efficiently as it ever did.

I believe I have covered all my notes. And I think what everyone else has covered here has been well thought out. Dawn Coward has done an excellent job, too, in garnering information to present to you people. And all I can say really for all of us is please look into all of the cracks and crevices before you make a decision against the general public.

And I'm going to say something here that you may not approve of. It is not for the record. This is just a personal statement I would like to make if I may.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: If you're testifying it's on the record. We can't just decide to --

WITNESS MORROW: Okay. I will say it
anyway, then, it doesn't really matter. But to all
the people who are here, Cheryl and Jeri have put a
lot of time and money of their own into this
investigation to present a case for you, and I think
we should pass the hat to help them defray the

11	
1	expenses they have had.
2	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you very much.
3	(Applause)
4	(Witness Morrow excused.)
5	
6	MR. McLEAN: Ms. Katwyn Smith, please.
7	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Katwyn Smith?
8	(No response.)
9	MR. McLEAN: Linda Momsen.
10	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Repeat that one.
11	MR. McLEAN: Yes, ma'am. I believe it's
12	Linda Momsen, 1003 A-P-E-L Lane.
13	Mr. William Momsen, same address.
14	(No response.)
15	Laura Nilsson.
16	
17	LAURA NILSSON
18	was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of
19	the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn,
20	testified as follows:
21	WITNESS NILSSON: My name is Laura Nilsson.
22	It's N-I-L-S-S-O-N. I live 930 Narcissus Street in
23	North Fort Myers.
24	I bought a house back in 1992, I qualified
25	for HUD. I'm a single parent. I have been single no

for ten years; my son is over here; he's 12 year old. 2 And the first rate increase with the water I 3 I called the water company; they said, opposed. "Okay, thank for calling, we'll write it down. Maybe 4 if enough people call it will make a difference." 5 Well, it didn't make a difference. 6 Then they proposed another rate increase and 7 8 I thought, "Well, I'll just stop watering my lawn but 9 I'm still making ends meet." 10 Well, last month I spent \$6.50 on water usage. I got a \$52 water bill. It's like I can't cut 11 12 back any more. That is it. 13 Now somebody brought it up that they are 14 driving young people out, and they are. You are. are driving me out of my house. I don't even wash my 15 16 car anymore. My grass is dead. You can go down 17 Narcissus Street, you'll know my house, my lawn looks like sand. That's my house. 18 And I just wanted to come forward to let you 19 20 people know there's people like me out there. 21 that's all I have to say. (Applause) 22 (Witness Nilsson excused.) 23

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. McLEAN: Marilyn Miller.

24

25

MARILYN MILLER

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS MILLER: Marilyn Miller. And I live the 2161 Lochmoor Circle in North Fort Myers.

I have lived in North Fort Myers in my house since 1983. And I have always paid my bills on time. And initially -- and until last year, my water bill routinely came due on the 30th day of the month and I can count on that.

For some reason, since this rate increase has been requested, my bill is being backed up. I am now back to the 26th. I don't know the reason for that. But I can tell you I have saved all of my bills since 1983; I've routinely paid on the 30th; it's now being backed up for some reason.

The other thing that I have noticed since this rate increase was requested is that I'll plan to pay the bill a couple of days before it's due because I really don't want Florida Cities to have the money any sooner when I'm paying sometimes \$120 a month for a water bill. I will send the bill out a couple of days before it's due. I routinely now get cutoff notices in the mail. And that has to cost something.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

_ _

If they look back at the record of how long
I have been a customer and how I have routinely paid,
they do not need to send those notices and increase
the charges that are obviously being passed on to us.

The other thing I want to comment on is I got a copy of the notice of proposed agency action that was issued back in November. And I thought there was some real interesting points in there then and I'm wondering to what extent the Commission has looked into these issues.

It's stated in there that the expansion that is the current cause, I guess, of the rate increase was required only three years after this plant came on line in 1982 and that there's another expansion projected in the year 2000.

The expansion that's stated in here is allegedly necessitated by growth. But I've lived there since 1983, I have not seen growth in our area. There have been no major developments. I'm wondering where this increased effluent is coming from.

If you look at the increase in the customers versus the increase in the effluent, it doesn't appear to be consistent. It appears that the effluent far exceeds the customers in this area.

The conclusion I come to is that you have to

question then whether the increase in capacity is being necessitated by growth or whether it's possibly problems with infiltration of storm water in the system.

And I thought it was interesting to hear a lot of the customers who, like me, have lived here for a long period of time who, like me, have never ever seen anyone out maintaining the lines. And I doubt that many of the lines were even installed by Florida Cities Water. I would guess that in my subdivision it was developed by a developer, the developer put in the collection system. And I think that needs to really be looked into. Because if the problems with the increased effluent causing the need to expand the plant are due to lack of maintenance, that obviously is not a good prudent business practice that they've just let the lines deteriorate to the extent where there's been a lot of infiltration.

"Factors which are increasing the investment in the operating plant are not matched by concomitant growth in customers." And again I think that kind of raises a red flag, at least in my mind, as to are there other problems, and are those problems something that Florida Cities Water could have done something about

to avoid this need for increased capacity?

I also have a real problem with the fact that the parent company of Florida Cities Water is Avatar. Avatar has significant property holdings in adjacent areas, namely Cape Coral. But if you look, they have absolutely no property other than the property that their facilities are located on in the service area.

The excessive rate increases we have endured over the past years has devalued our property, as you are hearing people -- the realtor testified he's having a difficult time selling property in our area.

But yet indirectly the fact that our property is being devalued is actually enhancing Avatar's holdings in Cape Coral. You can go over there and you can have a reasonable water bill, you have a reuse water that you pay, I think now it's \$7 a month, and you can water your lawn as much as you want. We don't have that luxury. I pay \$120 to water my lawn.

I'm wondering, too, if the PSC has compared the rate increases of the Florida Cities Water divisions in areas where Avatar does have significant land holdings? I'm wondering and I wouldn't be surprised if there --

COMMISSIONER GARCIA: I'm sorry, could you repeat that? I missed that.

witness miller: I'm wondering if the PSC has compared rate increases of those Florida Cities water Company divisions that are in areas where Avatar does have significant land holdings, where they are developing and attempting to market their property, and compare that to an area such as ours, where they have no invested interest, no land they are developing and trying to market.

any increase when it expressed, quote, "concern over the Florida Cities Water planning process and directed Florida Cities Water to file a current master plan with the Commission." And I'm wondering what the result of that was, if they have filed the master plan and what that revealed.

And this kind of comes in line with some of the previous speakers have said. I feel that there's something fundamentally unfair about a government who supposedly is our protector allowing a monopoly to a private, for-profit, nonpublicly traded Company and then giving them -- actually guaranteeing them -- a rate of return provided they jump through all of the hoops.

There's no incentive for a company such as Florida Cities Water Company to do anything to streamline their operations with an eye toward lowering their operating costs. And I think a lot of the testimony we've heard here tonight indicates they don't really care about streamlining their operation, about making it more responsive to the customer, making it a leaner company.

There's something fundamentally wrong with allow a rate increase to a company that ignores the Clean Water Act by allowing unpermitted discharge into the Caloosahatchee River, thereby exposing itself to liability for millions of dollars in fines.

I spoke earlier today with an attorney with the Department of Justice in Washington, D.C. because I was aware of the EPA action that has been pending against Florida Cities Water. He told me that recently there was an eight-day-long bench trial here in Fort Myers in federal court regarding these violations. And in addition to the violations at the waterwaste plant, there has also been violations at other plants known as Barefoot Bay and Carrollwood.

The Department of Justice attorney informed me that Avatar had six attorneys representing them in this eight-day trial. And I'm just wondering if that

is reasonable and if we, the customers, are going to end up somehow paying for that in a rate base.

The judge, I was informed, has not yet issued a decision on the amount of the fine; but the

Department of Justice attorney advised me that he --

they requested a \$5 million fine.

I feel that Avatar is a company that will do anything for a profit. There have been a lot of press recently about the land development schemes where property is sold for several times its value to unsophisticated out-of-town people. This Company should not be in the utility business. And we, the residents in the Waterway Estates service areas, should not be saddled with one of the highest rates from the state for a service that is a necessity.

That's all I have. (Applause)

MR. GATLIN: Do you recall the name of the DOT attorney you talked with?

WITNESS MILLER: Yes. Anthony Quinn.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Any relation?

MR. GATLIN: Are you sure?

WITNESS MILLER: He had a letter but he goes by Anthony. It was like T. Anthony Quinn.

MR. GATLIN: Thank you. That's all I have.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you,

1	Ms. Miller.
2	(Witness Miller excused.)
3	
4	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Next customer.
5	MR. McLEAN: Marilyn Miller.
6	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: That was Marilyn
7	Miller.
8	MR. GATLIN: He's one behind.
9	MR. McLEAN: I'm not too good with names
10	tonight. And Mr. Gatlin again straightened me out.
11	Don Paight.
12	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Is it Paton?
13	WITNESS PAIGHT: Paight. P-A-I-G-H-T. Don
14	Paight.
15	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Mr. Paight, were you
16	sworn in?
17	WITNESS PAIGHT: No, I was not.
18	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Ms. Miller, were you
19	here when we swore in the witnesses?
20	WITNESS MILLER: No, I was not.
21	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: So you have not been
22	sworn?
23	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: How about Laura
24	Nilsson, were you sworn in?
25	WITNESS NILSSON: Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Yes? Okay. So at
2	least we know where the defining line is.
3	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: How many more
4	witnesses do we have?
5	MR. McLEAN: Mr. Paight, and Mr. Andresen
6	after that. And that's all.
7	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Mr. Paight and Mr
8	why don't we have and Ms. Miller, we'll swear you
9	in now. If you could stand, please. And there was
10	one other name I think you said?
11	MR. McLEAN: Mr. Miller, Mr. Paight and
12	Mr. Andresen.
13	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Okay. If you could
14	raise your right hand. (Sworn)
15	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Ms. Miller, now that
16	you're sworn, do you just reaffirm your testimony?
17	WITNESS MILLER: I reaffirm the testimony
18	that I gave.
19	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you very much.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

12|

DON PAIGHT

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

WITNESS PAIGHT: I just wanted to reiterate --

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Give your name and address, please.

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Don Paight.

P-A-I-G-H-T, 4622 Mackinaw Avenue, North Fort Myers.

And again I just wanted to reiterate what already has been said regarding the high rates take we pay in North Fort Myers.

I recently attended a public hearing for a rate increase for City of Fort Myers Utilities. In that public hearing, they did a study by an independent consultant, I believe it was Burton and Associates, looking into rates throughout South Florida area for water and sewer utilities.

From the water and sewer utilities from

Florida Cities Water that was shown in that rate study

were the highest of any in this area. Not just by a

little; but over the City of Fort Myers, I believe the

figure was 72% higher than what is being paid there.

Significant increases.

1 Again, just wanted to state my opposition to 2 the rate increase based on the amount we're being charged compared to other utility companies throughout 3 | our area. And really don't understand why the rates are so much higher. It's not just a few percent but 5 significantly higher than anybody else that's 6 7 providing utility services in our service area 8 throughout Lee County. 9 Thank you. 10 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you very much, 11 sir. (Applause) 12 (Witness Paight excused.) 13 14 MR. McLEAN: Mr. Paul Andresen. 15 PAUL ANDRESEN 16 was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 17 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 18 testified as follows: 19 WITNESS ANDRESEN: Good evening. My name is 20 Paul Andresen. I reside at 4610 DeLeon Street, Fort 21 Myers. 22 Wastewater treatment and service existence 23 infrastructure to accounts receivable and liability schedule sentence and plenteous volume absence and 24

maladaptive, ill disciplined, unpreparedness,

25

incompetency and pillar-to-post inefficiency to industrial manufacture commercial and residential capacitization of utility availability of management forthgoing, conduct and present reflection, to wit, the influential impression of our state's economy and citizen's establishment and stability in weighty and grave procedural discourse.

The examples herein is a generously verbiaged profundity of glibly, oh, so officiously, can't miss and wouldn't steer you wrong misdirection, which a turn around in conscious demeanor is eminently evidenced innuendo of lack of leadership callowly undisciplined, false, magnified in proffer and nonperformance of upkeep of municipal infrastructure where basis transpires might create the law componentially in manipulativeness and red herring.

The exaltations of balance sheets, accounts liability for our abrupt departure from manifest direction by the weighing present and proceed a degredation of lackeyish servitude instills the concept ordinary service liability satisfaction recipients are the purpose. Keep with the dimension for the existence of a utility corporation and functions which accentuate tangible dimension parameters of service.

1	The league of incumbent, mindless rampant
2	sacrifice beyond our awares tactic, which culminate of
3	eschewalment gilt-edged elite proffering one hand is
4	observed gilting the lily while the mate soft-pedals
5	of Rome's Nero's bed partners sense of conscience and
6	above-board. Ethically ensusiants, closed holdings,
7	the penurious tenure of ratepayers illustration and
8	ill-managed gambit collusion forswear embezzlement
9	with depreciatory, revulsive making away with the
10	goods.
11	MR. GATLIN: No questions.
12	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Are there any
13	questions?
14	MR. GATLIN: No questions.
15	MR. McLEAN: No, ma'am, thank you.
16	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you.
17	MR. McLEAN: Thank you, sir.
18	(Witness Andresen excused.)
19	
20	COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Any other customers
21	to testify tonight?
22	MR. McLEAN: No, ma'am, that concludes the
23	customers who have signed up thus far.
24	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Anybody could just
25	address the Commission?

you in, and then you can approach the bench and ask any question and make any statements you might want to make.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Ma'am, this has nothing to do with truth, it has to do with logic.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: We still have to swear you in so we can make it a part of the official record. There's another gentleman that's going to, why don't you just both stand.

(Witnesses collectively sworn.)

ROBERT WILKE

was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you, you may be seated. You can ask your question. Your name and your address?

WITNESS WILKE: My name is Robert Wilke, W-I-L-K-E. And I live at 4318 South Pacific Circle.

I think we just heard the real reason for why we have the mismanagement and we have the extremely high cost of our water. I lived every place in the country; you never had to pay water bills like

this, you know.

Over here she stated the reason. I always think when there's something that's not quite up to par, things are not functioning the way they should be, we're having gross mismanagement, look at an economic reason. And the economic reason I think here is that -- excuse me, I'm nervous talking in front of a microphone.

Anyhow, the reason I see here is that we in North Fort Myers are paying the highest in the state -- I think the second highest in the nation as far as water utilities go -- is because the Avatar is making a higher profit off of this one and selling their profit -- I hope I can get this straight for you folks -- they are selling their properties over in Cape Coral and wherever else they have their holdings as far as property to our detriment because our properties are being devaluated simply because we have the highest water bill of anybody around, the second highest in the state if not in the nation.

That's the only comment I have to make.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you very much.

Any questions?

MR. McLEAN: No, ma'am. Thank you.

MR. GATLIN: None. (Applause)

(Witness Wilke excused.) 1 2 3 ERWIN ZIEMANN was called as a witness on behalf of the Citizens of 4 the State of Florida and, having been duly sworn, 5 testified as follows: 61 7 WITNESS ZIEMANN: My name is Erwin Ziemann, Z-I-E-M-A-N-N. 8 9 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Would you spell that again into the mike? I couldn't hear you. 10 11 WITNESS ZIEMANN: My name is Erwin Ziemann, Z-I-E-M-A-N-N. I live at 4290 Glasgow Court, North 12 Fort Myers. 13 I have several questions I want to ask. One 14 is who audits the books for Avatar on the operation of 15 the water system? 16 17 COMMISSIONER KIESLING: Our Staff audits the books of Florida Cities Water Company, who is the 19 Utility. 20 WITNESS ZIEMANN: After you have heard today about the slipshod operation, you think that you're 21 22 getting a good audit? I doubt it.

23

24

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

WITNESS ZIEMANN: My second question is:

COMMISSIONER KIESLING: All right.

there anyone in the Engineering Staff here?

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Mr. Walden.

WITNESS ZIEMANN: You heard earlier in the testimony of one of the witnesses here that they found sand in the water line, their sewage line, which is a definite indication of very much leakage.

that we don't know about that we're paying for? And there's no way that we can take care of it. Their operation certainly is lacking as far as maintenance and knowing what is going on. And we're being billed for it by them expanding a system that we don't even know if it is necessary. And they admitted that the system was bad in that area.

Who's going to do the checking to make sure that we're really getting our money's worth?

MR. WALDEN: I can't give you a definitive answer to who is going to do the checking but I'll tell you this: From the testimony that's come out this evening and also today, earlier today, from the customers, I believe that it's been brought to the attention of the Company in front of the Commission that they do have a significant problem with maintenance of some of their lines and that the customers are up in arms about it. And I believe the Company will be doing some follow-up on this.

In addition to that, I intend to correspond with the Company and do some of my own inspections to find out what different steps they are going to take that they haven't taken already to take care of the sand problems in the lines.

I'm just going to say one other thing, since you weren't here earlier today. There was a significant amount of testimony on the technical portion of the hearing about allegations of infiltration and inflow. That's generally where sand comes from, and it's something that is a very important part of this proceeding.

WITNESS ZIEMANN: My last question is, if

Avatar decides to get out of the business and sell the
plant, who gets the plant? Who gets the profit?

After all, we are the ones that are paying for all this expansion and everything else. They're making a profit on it so they can double dip and make a profit on selling the plant; is that correct?

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Marshall, do you want to handle that one?

We are answering it. Hold on one second please.

MR. WILLIS: It all depends on who they sell the plant to.

WITNESS ZIEMANN: I am sorry, I can't hear 1 2 you. 3 MR. WILLIS: It depends on who they sell the 4 plant to. And let me explain that. 5 Because if they sell the plant to another 6 utility regulated by the Commission, basically the 7 Commission only allows the investment for the new company purchasing the plant that this Company has in 8 9 the system, so there will be no real profit that you will have to fork out. 11 If they sell it to a municipal system, such as Lee County or some other unregulated company like 12 13 that, we have no control over what happens at that point. That goes out of our hands and that becomes 14 Lee County's problem and what they do with it. 15 16 WITNESS ZIEMANN: Thank you. 17 MR. McLEAN: No questions. COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: No questions? 18 19 MR. GATLIN: No questions. (Applause) (Witness Ziemann excused.) 20 21 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Ms. Miller? 22 23 WITNESS MILLER: I just have something that this gentleman's testimony made me think about also. With respect to the EPA action, I don't know 25

how familiar the Public Service Commission is with that. But it's interesting that the United States of America has not only sued Florida Cities Water for the Clean Water Act violations but they have also sued Avatar Holdings, Inc. And the judge is going to decide whether to hold Avatar Holdings liable for that fine because Avatar Holdings, in their opinion, so pervasively controls Florida Cities Water that it's basically an alter ego type situation.

So I think the Commission should also look into that and, as the gentleman said, probably audit Avatar Holdings, too.

COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Thank you for the information. (Applause)

Ladies and gentlemen, that ends our public testimony aspect of this hearing. Tomorrow we will reconvene the technical portion of the hearing. The hearing starts at 8:00 a.m. in the morning and we will go throughout the day until we conclude the technical portions.

We will be issuing an order or a decision on this case July 16th. You will receive notice of that -- we usually issue a press release and the press generally covers that. To the extent that there are questions, you do have the 1-800 number and you can

1 reach us through that number. 2 I'd like to thank all of you for coming out 3 tonight. I know it's been a long one, but we 4 appreciate all of you comments. We want you to know 5 that your comments and your testimony do make a 6 difference. 7 Thank you again. 8 MR. JAEGER: Commissioner Johnson, could I explain something? 9 10 COMMISSIONER JOHNSON: Sure. 11 MR. JAEGER: The agenda conference is 12 July 16th and it will probably take a few weeks to get 13 the order out, but there will be a press release. the order probably won't one be out until August. 14 15 (Thereupon, the hearing adjourned at 9:15 p.m. to reconvene at 8:00 a.m., Thursday, April 25, 16 17 1996, at the same address.) 18 19 (Transcript continues in sequence in 20 Volume 5.) 21 22 23 24 25