J. Phillip Carver General Attorney

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

c/o Nancy H Sims Suite 400 150 So. Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone 305 347-5558

June 7, 1996

Ms. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Betty Easley Conference Center, Rm. 110 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

RE: Docket No. 941281-TL Groveland Exchange EAS

Dear Mrs. Bayó:

Enclosed please find an original and fifteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications Inc.'s Notice of Corrected Post Hearing Statement of Issues and Positions, which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely yours,

elip Carve (Bt)

J. Phillip Carver

All Parties of Record

R. G. Beatty

A. M. Lombardo

ENDOT R. Douglas Lackey

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

06208 JUN-78

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 941281-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by U.S. Mail this day of une, 1996 to the following:

Donna Canzano Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Alan N. Berg Senior Attorney United Telephone Co. of Florida Post Office Box 5000 Altamonte Spgs, FL 32715-5000

J. Phillip Carver (St)

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition by subscribers) of the Groveland exchange for) extended area service (EAS) to) the Orlando, Winter Garden, and) Windermere exchanges.

Docket No. 941281-TL

Filed: June 7, 1996

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S NOTICE OF CORRECTED POST HEARING STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth or Company"), hereby files its Notice of Corrected Post Hearing Statement of Issues and Positions and states the following:

Page two of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Post Hearing Statement of Issues and Positions, filed May 28, 1996, contains an error. Specifically, the statement of BellSouth's position on Issue 1 includes a sentence that states, "If the Commission orders an alternative plan, the MECS Plan is the most appropriate." That sentence should have referred to the ECS Plan, not to the MECS Plan. Accordingly, BellSouth hereby files a corrected page two of the Post Hearing Brief (attached hereto), which deletes the above referenced sentence and replaces it with the sentence "If the Commission orders an alternative plan, the ECS Plan is the most appropriate."

Respectfully submitted this 7th day of June, 1996.

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

ROBERT G. BEATTY

J. PHILLIP CARVER C/O Nancy H. Sims

150 South Monroe St., Room 400

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(305) 347-5555

DOCUMENT NUMBER - DATE

06208 JUN-7 #

FPSC-RECOPUS/REPORTING

best alternative. Further, customers should be surveyed regarding any plan that is proposed.

In addition to the foregoing, BellSouth states, pursuant to Rule 25-22.056(3)(a), the following as its specific positions on the issues that have been identified for resolution in this docket.

BELLSOUTH'S POSITION ON THE IDENTIFIED ISSUES

Issue 1: Is there a sufficient community of interest from the Groveland exchange to the Orlando, Winter Garden, and Windermere exchanges to justify surveying for nonoptional extended area service as currently defined in the Commission rules, or implementing an alternative interLATA toll plan?

<u>Position</u>: BellSouth has no pertinent traffic data and can, therefore, take no position as to whether a community of interest exists to justify surveying for non-optional flat rate EAS. If the Commission orders an alternative plan, the ECS Plan is the most appropriate.

Issue 2: What other community of interest factors should be considered in determining if either an optional or nonoptional toll alternative should be implemented on these routes?

Position: BellSouth has no position.

Issue 3: If a sufficient community of interest is found on any of these routes, what is the economic impact of each plan on the customer and the company (summarize in chart form and discuss in detail)?

EAS with 25/25 plan and regrouping;