J. Prolity Corner General Attorney Coll Nancy H. Sims
Suite 400
150 So. Morroe Street
Talahassee, Florida 3/301
Teisphone, 305,347-5558

June 18, 1996

ORIGINAL FILE COPY

Mrs. Blanca S. Bayó Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Betty Easley Conference Center, Rm. 110 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

RE: Docket 951354-TL Price Regulation

Dear Mrs. Bayó:

OTH

Enclosed is an original and fifteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Prehearing Statement, which we ask that you file in the captioned matter.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

| ACK | | 9. Phillip Carver (BL) |
|--------------------|---|------------------------|
| AFA | Enclosures | |
| CAF | A. M. Lombardo R. G. Beatty R. Douglas Lackey | |
| E AG LEG | S RECEIVED A FILED | |
| OPC | - W | |
| RCH SEC | PSC-BUREAU PRECORDS | DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE |
| WAS | | FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING |

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 951354-TL

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by U.S. Mail this & day of June, 1996 to the following:

Mr. Tracy Batch Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2340 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

J. Philip Caru (H)

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Notice of election of price regulation by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a Southern Bell Telephone and Telegraph Company.

Docket No. 951354-TL

ORIGINAL FILE COPY

Filed: June 18, 1996

PREMEARING STATEMENT OF DELLOCATE TELECOMOUNICATIONS, INC.

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth" or "Company"), in compliance with the Order Establishing Procedure (Order No. PSC-96-0664-PCO-TL) issued May 13, 1996, submits its Prehearing Statement.

A. WITHEASES

BellSouth proposes to call the following witness to offer direct testimony on the issues indicated below:

Witness

Issues

Alfonso J. Varner

All

BellSouth further reserves the right to call rebuttal witnesses, witnesses to respond to Commission inquiries not addressed in direct or rebuttal testimony and witnesses to address issues not presently designated that may be designated by the Prehearing Officer at the prehearing conference to be held on August 1, 1996.

B. EXHIBITS

BellSouth has no exhibits at this time. BellSouth reserves the right to file exhibits to any additional testimony that may be filed under the circumstances identified in Section "A" above. BellSouth also reserves the right to introduce exhibits for cross-examination, impeachment, or any other purpose authorized by the applicable Florida Rules of Evidence and the Rules of this Commission.

C. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

Regrouping constitutes a change in the nature of a customer's service, and is not merely a price change for the same service. Section 364.051, Florida Statutes caps the prices that apply to existing exchange rate groups. It does not prohibit a price change for a customer (or an entire exchange) whose calling scope changes and should, therefore, appropriately be moved to a different rate group. In addition, to the extent that BellSouth is forced to abruptly terminate regrouping, undue discrimination will necessarily result in the prices charged to similarly situated customers.

D. BELLGOUTH'S POSITION ON THE ISSUES

Issue No. 1: Is reclassification of an exchange (rate regrouping) subsequent to election of price regulation by BellSouth, a price increase that is prohibited under Section 364.051, Florida Statutes?

Position: No. The pricing restrictions included in Section 364.051, Florida Statutes apply to the price of service in an

existing exchange rate group. Thus, if the price charged to customers for basic local service in a given rate group were raised, this would constitute a prohibited price increase. When customers move to a higher rate group, however, the nature of their service changes. It is, therefore, permissible to charge a different (higher) price for the new service (with a larger calling scope) than was charged for the old service.

Issue No. 2: If rate regrouping by BellSouth is not allowed, does any resulting disparity in prices constitute undue discrimination in violation of Section 364.051, Florida Statutes?

Position: Yes. If this Commission does not allow BellSouth to continue established regrouping procedures, this will result in probibited undue discrimination. Similarly situated customers with the same basic local calling scope will be charged different rates in some cases. In other instances, customers whose calling scopes differ - and who should, therefore, be in different rate groups - will be charged the same price. In both events, the result is undue discrimination in the prices charged to customers and the treatment of those customers.

E. STIPULATIONS

There have been no stipulations entered into by the parties at this time.

F. PENDING MOTIONS

There are no pending motions filed by BellSouth at this time.

G. OTHER REQUIREMENTS

BellSouth knows of no requirements set forth in any prehearing order with which it cannot comply.

Respectfully submitted this 18th day of June, 1996.

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

ROBERT G. BEATTY
J. PHILLIP CARVER
C/O Nancy H. Sims
400 - 150 South Monroe Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32302
(305) 347-5558

R. Douglas Cackey

R. DOUGLAS CACKEY

NANCY B. WHITE

4300 - 675 W. Peachtree Street

Atlanta, Georgia 30375

(404) 335-0710