# BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

ORIGINAL FILE COPY

DOCKET NO. 960007-EI FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

JUNE 24, 1996

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY FACTOR

PROJECTIONS
OCTOBER 1996 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1997

**TESTIMONY & EXHIBITS OF:** 

B.T. BIRKETT W.M. REICHEL

# BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

. 1 1

DOCKET NO. 960007-EI FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

JUNE 24, 1996

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY FACTOR

PROJECTIONS OCTOBER 1996 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 1997

**TESTIMONY & EXHIBITS OF:** 

B.T. BIRKETT W.M. REICHEL

# BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

### FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

## TESTIMONY OF BARRY T. BIRKETT

## DOCKET NO. 960007-EI

## JUNE 24, 1996

1	Q.	Please state your name and address.
2	Α.	My name is Barry T. Birkett and my business address is 9250 West Flagler
3		Street, Miami, Florida, 33174.
4		
5	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
6	A.	I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) as the Manager
7		of Rates and Tariff Administration.
8		
9	Q.	Have you previously testified in this docket?
0	Α.	Yes, I have.
1		
2	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?
3	Α.	The purpose of my testimony is to present for Commission review and
4		approval proposed Environmental Cost Recovery Clause (ECRC) factors
5		for the October 1996 through September 1997 billing period, including the

costs to be recovered through the clause. In addition, I am presenting the estimated/actual costs for the April 1996 through September 1996 period together with an explanation of significant project variances.

- Q. Is this filing by FPL in compliance with Order No. PSC-93-1580-FOF-EI, issued in Docket No. 930661-EI?
- A. Yes, it is. The costs being submitted for recovery for the projected period are consistent with that order. The costs reflected in the true-up amount are those approved for recovery by the Commission in Order No. PSC-96-0361-FOF-El dated March 13, 1996.

A.

Q. Have you prepared or caused to be prepared under your direction, supervision or control an exhibit in this proceeding?

Yes, I have. It consists of fifteen forms. Form 42-1P summarizes the costs being presented for recovery at this time, Form 42-2P, reflects the total jurisdictional recoverable costs for O&M activities, Form 42-3P reflects the total jurisdictional recoverable costs for capital investment projects, Form 42-4P consists of the calculation of depreciation expense and return on capital investment, Form 42-5P gives the description and progress of environmental compliance activities and projects to be recovered through the clause for the projected period, Form 42-6P reflects the calculation of the energy and demand allocation percentages by rate class and 42-7P reflects the calculation of the ECRC factors. In addition, Forms 42-1E

1		through 42-8 E reflect the true-up and variance calculations for the prior
2		period.
3		
4	Q.	Is FPL proposing any changes to the implementation of the Environ-
5		mental Cost Recovery Clause filing?
6	A.	Yes, it is. FPL is proposing that the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
7		filing be made on an annual basis instead of the current semi-annual basis.
8		
9	Q.	Please explain why FPL is proposing this change?
10	A.	Filing on an annual basis will greatly reduce the amount of paperwork
11		produced, filed and processed by FPL, the Commission, and other parties.
12		In addition, the impact of the clause on our customers' rates will be levelized
13		since seasonal fluctuations in sales will be avoided.
14		
15	Q.	Does FPL have any concerns with an annual filing which it feels need
16		to be addressed?
17	A.	Yes. Under the current procedures for the clause, a project is to be
18		approved by the Commission prior to the expenditure of costs to be
19		recovered through the clause. If the filing is to be made on an annual basis,
20		this procedure could result in delays in the implementation of environmental
21		projects between filings due to the inability for the utility to recover the
22		project costs. In order to eliminate this problem, FPL is requesting that the
23		Commission allow the recovery of reasonable and prudent project costs

1		after they are expended, with Commission review and approval.
2		
3	Q.	Please describe Form 42-1P.
4	A.	Form 42-1P provides a summary of the costs being requested for recovery
5		through the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause. Total recoverable
6		environmental costs, adjusted by revenue taxes, amount to \$12,874,283
7		and include \$12,635,744 of environmental project costs increased by the
8		estimated/actual overrecovery of \$31,106 for the April 1996 - September
9		1996 period minus the final underrecovery of \$65,778 for the period
10		October 1995 - March 1996.
11		
12	Q.	Please describe Forms 42-2P and 42-3P.
13	A.	Form 42-2P presents the O&M activities to be recovered in the projected
14		period along with the calculation of total jurisdictional recoverable costs for
15		these activities, classified by energy and demand.
16		
17		Form 42-3P presents the capital investment projects to be recovered in the
18		projected period along with the calculation of total jurisdictional recoverable
19		costs for these projects, classified by energy and demand.
20		
21		Forms 42-2P and 42-3P present the method of classifying costs consistent
22		with Order No. PSC-94-0393-FOF-EI.

1	Q.	Are all costs listed in Forms 42-1P through 42-8P attributable to
2		Environmental Compliance projects previously approved by the
3		Commission?
4	A.	Yes they are, with the exception of the Disposal of Noncontainerized Liquid
5		Waste project reflected on Form 42-2P, line 1-17a and Form 42-3P, line 1-
6		17b. This new project is discussed in the testimony of William M. Reichel
7		
8	Q.	Please describe Form 42-6P.
9	Α.	Form 42-6P calculates the allocation factors for demand and energy a
10		generation. The demand allocation factors are calculated by determining
11		the percentage each rate class contributes to the monthly system peaks
12		The energy allocators are calculated by determining the percentage each
13		rate contributes to total kWh sales, as adjusted for losses, for each rate
14		class.
15		
16	Q.	Please describe Form 42-7P.
17	A.	Form 42-7P presents the calculation of the proposed ECRC factors by rate
18		class.
19		
20	Q.	How do the estimated/actual project expenditures for April 1996
21		through September 1996 period compare with original projections?
22	Α.	Form 42-4E shows that total O&M activities were \$81,454 greater than
23		projected and Form 42-6E shows that total capital investment projects were

\$60,003 lower than projected. Below are variance explanations for those O &M Activities and Capital Investment Projects with variances greater than \$30,000. All variances are provided in detail on Forms 42-4E and 42-6E. Return on Capital Investment, Depreciation and Taxes for each project for the estimated/actual period April 1996 through September 1996 are provided as Form 42-8E, pages 1 through 10.

## 1. Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems - O & M

Project expenditures are estimated to be \$105,611 greater than previously projected. This variance is due to more spare parts and gasses purchased than anticipated.

# Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks O&M

Project expenditures are estimated to be \$82,156 higher than previously projected. This variance is a result of changes in the timing of the work undertaken. The schedule for completing the inspections/repairs and upgrades to FPL's fuel storage tanks is dictated by seasonal fuel throughput considerations at the terminals and plant tank farms. It is also affected by the ability to take tanks out-of-service in conjunction with plant outages.

### 3. RCRA Corrective Action - O&M

Project expenditures are estimated to be \$108,499 lower than previously

1		projected. This variance is due to an accelerated work schedule performed
2		earlier than projected.
3		
4		4. Low Nox Burner Technology - Capital
5		Depreciation and Return are estimated to be \$52,449 lower than previously
6		projected. This variance is due to the timing of capital investments.
7		
8	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
9	A.	Yes, it does.
		7

# BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY

## TESTIMONY OF W.M. REICHEL

## DOCKET NO. 960007-EI

June 24, 1996

1	Q.	Please state your name and address.
2	Α.	My name is William M. Reichel and my business address is 700
3		Universe Boulevard, Juno Beach, Florida 33408.
4		
5	Q.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
6	Α.	I am employed by Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) as the
7		Manager of Operations Services in the Power Generation
8		Business Unit.
9		
10	Q.	Have you previously testified in this docket?
11	A.	Yes, I have.
12		
13	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
14	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to submit for Commission review
15		and approval a description of two new environmental compliance

activities. One, Power Generation's Disposal of Noncontainerized Liquid Waste Project, will begin shortly. The other activity is one in which FPL is not requesting recovery at this time. This latter submittal is for the purpose of reserving the right to submit these expenditures for the Commission's approval in the future. In addition, I am submitting a project description, progress status, and projected expenditures for each environmental compliance activity.

## Disposal of Noncontainerized Liquid Waste

Can you describe the law or regulation requiring this process? Q. Florida Administrative Code 62-701.300, effective January 2, A. 1994, states that noncontainerized liquid waste shall not be placed in solid waste disposal units, such as landfills. Accordingly, FPL's power plants need to dispose of their non-containerized liquid waste in a manner which meets this requirement. See Attachment 1, pp.1-5.

Q. Please generally describe the scope of these compliance
 activities.

1	Α.	FPL is required to dispose of their noncontainerized liquid waste
2		in a manner which meets Florida Administrative Code 62-
3		701.300 (10). Ash generated during the production of electric
4		power falls into this category. See Attachment 1, pp.1-5.
5		
6	Q.	Can you describe the process FPL uses in preparing their
7		noncontainerized liquid waste for disposal?
8	A.	FPL currently manages ash from heavy oil fired power plants by
9		using wet ash systems. In general, ash from the economizers
10		and dust collectors (soot hoppers) is sluiced to surface
11		impoundments (basins). In these basins the pH is adjusted to
12		precipitate metals. Sludge that accumulates in these basins are
13		then dewatered by a plate and frame filter press and transported
14		to a Class I landfill in accordance with FL Administrative Code
15		62-701.300. See Attachment 1, pp.1-5.
16		
17		The frequency of basin clean-out is a function of basin capacity
18		of sludge/ash generation. Typically, FPL generates 10,000 tons
19		(@ 50% solids) of sludge per year.
20		

Q. What are the projected expenditures associated with this

### compliance activity?

The cost to have a Vendor dewater the ash to achieve at least a 50% solid component is approximately \$58/ton based on competitive bids from five suppliers. With FPL generating approximately 10,000 tons, the expected per year expenditure will be \$600,000. FPL is evaluating the feasibility of purchasing the equipment necessary to dewater the ash using in-house resources. Current projections reflect that with the purchase of a mobile ash dewatering system for \$270,000, FPL will be able to process the sludge for approximately 50% of contractor costs resulting in an annual saving of about \$300,000. FPL is requesting recovery of \$600,000 for vendor processing of current ash stockpiles and \$270,000 (capital) to purchase the ash dewatering system if it is determined to be cost-effective. If this equipment is purchased, the annual expenditure would change to \$300,000.

17

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Α.

### St. Johns River Power Park NOx

19

- 20 Q. Which law or regulation is requiring this project?
- 21 A. Under Title IV of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, Public

Law 101-349, Phase il units must reduce NOx emissions to 0.45 lb/mbtu by the year 2000. Pursuant to 40 CFR 76.8, a unit can elect to meet Phase I limits of 0.50 lb/mbtu in 1997 and keep those limits until 2008, thus avoiding the more stringent 0.45 lb/mbtu limits in the year 2000. This is know as OPT-IN.

Α.

## Q. When is the OPT-!N advantageous for the utility?

When a unit is over, but near the 0.50 lb/mbtu limit, it is probably a good option. In this situation a less drastic and therefore less costly countermeasure can be employed to reduce NOx to achieve the more liberal 0.50 lb/mbtu limit. The countermeasure would have to be implemented in 1997 instead of 2000 but the cost could be millions of dollars less than achieving the more stringent 0.45 lb/mbtu limit. St. Johns River Power Park (SJRPP) is a good candidate for OPT-IN since the units are operating in the 0.52 to 0.54 lb/mbtu level.

## 18 Q. Please describe the NOx reduction project?

A. A pilot project was undertaken at SJRPP Unit No. 1 to determine if combustion system modifications could produce the necessary NOx reductions. The modifications included movable air hoods for the burners, instrumentation, automation of under air ports and pulverizer internals work. After several months of adjustments and monitoring, NOx levels between 0.46 and 0.50 lb/mbtu were achieved. With these results, there are plans to modify the second unit. Other options considered were Separate Overfire Air (SOFA), Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) and Selective Non-Catalytic Reduction.

A.

## Q. What is the cost of the project?

The total O&M cost of this project, for both units, is \$645,000. The cost of the alternatives ranged from \$2,000,000 to \$16,000,000, representing FPL's 20% ownership share. The estimated completion date for this project is January 1997. However, it must first be approved by the SJRPP Operating Committee in November 1996. Accordingly, FPL is not requesting recovery at this time. FPL is requesting that the Commission recognize this project for potential future recovery after completion.

- 20 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 21 A. Yes, it does.

DEP 62-701.200(46)

12/94

by the Department, and which met the Department's landfill design criteria specified in this chapter or previous versions of this chapter at the time of permitting.

- (47) "Liner" means a continuous layer of low-permeability natural or synthetic materials, under the bottom and sides of a landfill, solid waste disposal unit, or leachate surface impoundment, which controls the downward or lateral escape of waste constituents, or leachate.
- (48) "Liner system" means a system of leachate collection and liner layers comprised of natural or synthetic materials installed between the subgrade and the waste for the purpose of containing the waste and collecting and removing leachate.
- (49) "Liquid waste" means any waste material that is determined to contain free liquids as defined by Method 9095 (Paint Filter Liquids Test), as described in "Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes, Physical/Chemical Methods" (EPA Pub. No. SW-846).
- (50) "Lower explosive limit" means the lowest percent by volume of a mixture of explosive gases which will propagate a flame in air at a temperature of 25 degrees Celsius and atmospheric pressure.
- (51) "Materials recovery" means any process by which one or more of the various components in solid waste is separated and concentrated for reuse.
- (52) "Materials recovery facility" means a solid waste management facility that provides for the extraction from solid waste of recyclable materials, materials suitable for use as a fuel or soil amendment, or any combination of such materials.
- (53) "Method detection limit" means the smallest concentration of an analyte of interest that can be measured and reported with 99 percent confidence that the concentration is greater than zero. The method detection limit shall be determined pursuant to procedures outlined in Appendix B of 40 C.F.R. Part 136, which is hereby incorporated by reference.
- (54) "Monitoring wells" means strategically located wells from which water samples are drawn for water quality analysis.
- (55) "Normal farming operations" means the customary and generally accepted activities, practices, and procedures that farmers adopt, use, or engage in during the production and preparation for market of poultry, livestock, and associated farm products; and in the production, harvesting, or packaging of agricultural crops which include agronomic, horticultural, and silvicultural crops. Included is the management, collection, storage, composting, transportation, and utilization of organic agricultural waste, manure, and materials solely derived from agricultural crops.
- (56) "100-year floodplain" means the lowland and relatively flat areas adjoining inland and coastal waters, including flood-prone areas of offshore islands, that are inundated by the 100-year flood.
- (57) "On-site" means on the same or geographically contiguous property, which may be divided by a public or private right-of-way.

DEP 62-701.220(1)

12/94

facilities which were permitted or received a site certification prior to January 6, 1993, or for which a complete application was submitted and deemed complete by the Department prior to January 6, 1993, remain subject to the provisions which were in effect prior to January 6, 1993, and which were applicable to them.

- (2) This chapter applies to all solid waste and each solid waste management facility in this state, with the following exceptions:
  - (a) Surface impoundments not addressed in Rule 62-701.400(6), F.A.C.:
  - (b) Injection wells defined under and subject to the provisions of Chapter 62-28. F.A.C.; and
  - (c) Recovered materials, if:
    - A majority of the recovered materials at a facility are demonstrated to be sold, used, or reused within one year;
    - 2. The recovered materials or the products or byproducts of operations that process recovered materials are not discharged, deposited, injected, dumped, spilled, leaked, or placed into or upon any land or water so that such products or byproducts or any constituent thereof may enter other lands or be emitted into the air or discharged into any waters, including ground water, or otherwise enter the environment or pose a threat to public health and safety; and
    - 3. The recovered materials are not hazardous wastes and have not been recovered from hazardous wastes; and
  - (d) Phosphogypsum stack systems.
- (3) There are several requirements throughout this chapter that requests or demonstrations must be approved by the Department. Unless otherwise specifically stated, this means that the requests or demonstrations must be submitted to the appropriate Department district office as part of a permit application or request for permit modification. The Department will evaluate such requests or demonstrations in accordance with the applicable criteria set forth in this chapter, and will approve or modify permit conditions if those criteria are met.

Specific Authority: 403.061, 403.704, F.S. Law Implemented: 403.061, 1 403.701-403.717, F.S.

History: New 1-6-93, Amended 1-2-94, 5-19-94, Formerly 17-701.220.

### 62-701.300 Prohibitions.

- (1) General prohibition.
  - (a) No person shall store, process, or dispose of solid waste except at a permitted solid waste management facility or a facility exempt from permitting under this chapter.

DEP 62-701.300(1)(b)

12/94

- (b) No person shall store or dispose of solid waste in a manner or location that causes air quality standards to be violated or water quality standards or criteria of receiving waters to be violated.
- (2) Disposal. Unless authorized by a Department permit or site certification in effect on January 6, 1993, no solid waste shall be stored or disposed of by being placed:
  - (a) In an area where geological formations or other subsurface features will not provide support for the solid waste;
  - (b) In any area where the absence of geological formations or subsurface features would allow for the unimpeded discharge of waste or leachate to ground or surface water. A person may dispose of solid waste in such an area upon demonstration to the Department that permanent leachate control methods will result in compliance with water quality standards under Chapters 62-302 and 62-520, F.A.C.;
  - (c) Within 500 feet of an existing or approved potable water well unless disposal takes place at a facility for which a complete permit application was filed or which was originally permitted before the potable water well was in existence. This prohibition shall not apply to any renewal of an existing permit that does not involve lateral expansion, nor to any vertical expansion at a permitted facility;
  - (d) In a dewatered pit unless the pit is lined and permanent leachate containment and special design techniques are used to ensure the integrity of the liner;
  - (e) In an area subject to frequent and periodic flooding unless flood protection measures are in place;
  - (f) In any natural or artificial body of water including ground water;
  - (g) Within 200 feet of any natural or artificial body of water, including wetlands within the jurisdiction of the Department, except bodies of water contained completely within the property boundaries of the disposal site, which do not discharge from the site to surface waters. A person may dispose of solid waste within the 200 foot setback area upon demonstration to the Department that permanent leachate control methods will result in compliance with water quality standards under Chapters 62–302 and 62–520, F.A.C. Stormwater control methods shall meet stormwater requirements of Chapter 62–25, F.A.C. However, nothing contained herein shall prohibit the Department from imposing conditions necessary to assure that solid waste disposed of within the 200 foot setback area will not cause pollution from the site in contravention of Department rules;
  - (h) On the right-of-way of any public highway, road, or alley; and
  - (i) Within 1,000 feet of an existing or approved potable water well serving a community water system as defined in Rule 62-550.200(9), F.A.C., unless disposal takes place at a facility for which a complete permit application was filed or which was originally permitted before the water well was in existence. It is the intent of the Department that this provision shall be repealed on the effective date of any rule promulgated by the Department which regulates wellhead protection areas generally. This prohibition

DEP 62-701.300(2)(i)

12/94

shall not apply to any renewal of an existing permit that does not involve lateral expansion, nor to any vertical expansion at a permitted facility.

- (3) Burning. Open burning of solid waste is prohibited except in accordance with Rule 62-701.520(2), F.A.C. Controlled burning of solid waste is prohibited except in a permitted incinerator, or in a facility in which the burning of solid waste is authorized by a site certification order issued under Chapter 403, Part II, F.S.; clean vegetative and wood wastes may be burned in an air curtain incinerator in accordance with Rule <sup>2</sup> 62-2.500(1)(e), F.A.C.
- (4) Hazardous waste. No hazardous waste shall be disposed of in a solid waste management facility unless such facility is permitted pursuant to Chapter 62-730, F.A.C.
- (5) PCBs. No person may dispose of liquids containing a polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) concentration of 50 parts per million or greater, or non-liquid PCBs at concentrations of 50 parts per million or greater in the form of contaminated soil, rags, or other debris, in any solid waste disposal unit in this state.
- (6) Biohazardous waste. Biohazardous waste shall be properly incinerated so that little or no organic material remains in the ash residue, or shall be processed by a method approved by the Department pursuant to Chapter 62-712, F.A.C. No untreated biohazardous waste shall be knowingly deposited in any landfill.
- (7) Class I surface waters. The Department shall not issue a construction permit for a landfill within 3,000 feet of Class I surface waters.
- (8) Special wastes for landfills. No person who knows or who should know of the nature of such solid waste shall dispose of the following wastes in any landfill:
  - (a) Lead-acid batteries;
  - (b) Used oil, except as provided in Chapter 62-710, F.A.C;
  - (c) Yard trash, except in unlined landfills classified by Department rule;
  - (d) White goods; and
  - (e) Whole waste tires, except as provided in Chapter 62-711, F.A.C.
- (9) Special wastes for waste-to-energy facilities. No person who knows or who should know of the nature of such solid waste shall dispose of lead-acid batteries in any waste-toenergy facility.
- (10) Liquids restrictions.
  - (a) Noncontainerized liquid waste shall not be placed in solid waste disposal units unless:
    - 1. The waste is household waste other than septic waste; or

DEP 62-701.300(10)(a)2.

12/94

- 2. The waste is leachate or gas condensate derived from the solid waste disposal unit, or byproducts of the treatment of such leachate or gas condensate, and the solid waste disposal unit is lined and has a leachate collection system.
- (b) Containers holding liquid waste shall not be placed in a solid waste disposal unit unless:
  - 1. The container is a small container similar in size to that normally found in household waste:
  - 2. The container is designed to hold liquids for use other than storage; or
  - 3. The waste is household waste.
- (c) Containers or tanks twenty gallons or larger in capacity shall either have one end removed or cut open, or have a series of punctures around the bottom to ensure the container is empty and free of residue. The empty container or tank shall be compacted to its smallest practical volume for disposal.

Specific Authority: 403.061, 403.704, F.S.

Law Implemented: 403.021, 403.061, 403.087, 403.702, 403.704, 403.705, 403.707, 403.708,

F.S.

History: Formerly 10D-12.06, 10D-12.07, Amended 10-1-74, 5-24-79, 5-27-82, 12-10-85, Formerly 17-7.04, 17-7.040, Amended 6-25-90, Formerly 17-701.040, Amended 1-6-93, 1-2-94, 5-19-94, Formerly 17-701.300.

## 62-701.310 Approval of Alternate Procedures and Requirements.

- (1) Applicability. Any person subject to the provisions of this chapter or Chapters 62-702 through 62-720, F.A.C., may request in writing a determination by the Secretary that a requirement shall not apply, and shall request approval of alternate procedures or requirements.
- (2) Criteria. The request shall set forth at a minimum the following information:
  - (a) The specific facility for which an exception is sought;
  - (b) The specific provisions from which an exception is sought;
  - (c) The basis for the exception;
  - (d) The alternate procedure or requirement for which approval is sought and a demonstration that the alternate procedure or requirement provides an equal degree of protection for the public and the environment; and
  - (e) A demonstration of the effectiveness of the proposed alternate procedure.

Copyright 1994 REGfiles, inc., Tallahassee, Florida

# APPENDIX I

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY COMMISSION FORMS 42-1P THROUGH 42-7P PROJECTED PERIOD OCTOBER 1996 - SEPTEMBER 1997

> DOCKET NO. 960007-EI FPL WITNESS: B. T. BIRKETT EXHIBIT\_\_\_\_

> > PAGES 1- 51 JUNE 24, 1996

### Florida Power & Light Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
Total Jurisdictional Amount to Be Recovered

# For the Projected Period October 1996 to September 1997

No.	Energy (\$)	Demand (\$)	Total (\$)
1 Total Jurisdictional Rev. Req. for the projected period			
a Projected O&M Activities (FORM 42-2P, Page 2 of 2, Lines 7,8 & 9)	3,463,307	3,447,357	6,910,664
b Projected Capital Projects (FORM 42-3P, Page 2 of 2, Lines 7,8 & 9)	4,551,449	1,173,631	5,725,080
c Total Jurisdictional Rev. Req. for the projected period (Lines 1a + 1b)	8,014,756	4,620,988	12,635,744
2 True-up for Estimated Over/(Under) Recovery for the			
current period April 1996 - September 1996			
(FORM 42-2E, Lines 5 + 6 + 10)	19,124	11,982	31,106
3 Final True-up Over/(Under) for the period October 1995 - March 1996			
(FORM 42-1A, Line 3)	(40,473)	(25,305)	(65,778)
4 Total Jurisdictional Amount to be Recovered/(Refunded)			
in the projection period October 1996 - September 1997 (Line 1 - Line 2 - line 3)	8,036,105	4,634,311	12,670,416
5 Total Projected Jurisdictional Amount Adjusted for Taxes			
(Line 4 x Revenue Tax Multiplier)	8,165,406	4,708,877	12,874,283

### Notes:

Allocation to energy and demand in each period are in proportion to the respective period split of costs indicated on lines 7 & 8 of Forms 42-5 & 42-7 of the estimates and actuals.

True-up costs are split in proportion to the split of demand-related and energy-related costs from respective projection periods.

### Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount October 1996-September 1997

### O&M Activities (in Dollars)

Line	_	stimated OCT	E	Stimated	Estimated DEC		Estimated JAN	Estimated FEB	E	Estimated	6-Month Sub-Total
1 Description of O&M Activities											
1 Air Operating Permit Fees-O&M	\$	5,023	\$	4,773	\$ 4,773	\$	5,023	\$ 1,841,650	\$	4,773	\$ 1,866,015
3a Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems-O&M		60,500		49,500	77,000		46,500	19,500		165,000	418,000
4a Clean Closure Equivalency-O&M		0		0	0		0	0		0	0
5a Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks-O&M		150,000		150,000	150,000		100,000	100,000		90,000	740,000
5c Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks-Spill Abatement		0		0	0		0	0		0	0
8a Oil Spill Cleanup/Response Equipment-O&M		50,000		50,000	31,805		6,000	6,000		6,000	149,805
9 Low-Level Radioactive Waste Access Fees-O&M		0		0	0		0	0		0	0
13 RCRA Corrective Action-O&M		0		0	0		400,000	400,000		450,000	1,250,000
14 NPDES Permit Fees-O&M		0		0	0		123,600	0		0	123,600
17a Disposal of Noncontainerized Liquid Waste-O&M		0		0	50,000		50,000	50,000		50,000	200,000
2 Total of O&M Activities	\$	265,523	\$	254,273	\$ 313,578	\$	731,123	\$ 2,417,150	\$	765,773	\$ 4,747,420
3 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$	115,523	\$	104,273	\$ 163,578	\$	107,523	\$ 1,917,150	\$	225,773	\$ 2,633,820
4 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	\$	150,000	\$	150,000	\$ 150,000	\$	623,600	\$ 500,000	\$	540,000	\$ 2,113,600
5 Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	8	8.22320%	8	8.22320%	98.22320%		98.22320%	98.22320%	1	98.22320%	
6 Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor	8	7.33111%	9	7.33111%	97.33111%		97.33111%	97.33111%	1	97.33111%	
7 Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (A)	\$	113,470	\$	102,420	\$ 160,672	\$	105,613	\$ 1,883,086	\$	221,761	\$ 2,587,022
8 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (B)	\$	145,997	\$	145,997	\$ 145,997	\$	606,957	\$ 486,656	\$	525,588	\$ 2,057,192
9 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for O&M Activities (Lines 7 + 8)	S	259,467	<u>\$</u>	248,417	\$ 306,669	\$.	712.570	\$ 2,369,742	Š	747.349	\$ 4.644.214

### Notes

- (A) Line 3 x Line 5
- (B) Line 4 x Line 6

# Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount October 1996-September 1997

### O&M Activities (in Dollars)

Line	Estimated APR	Estimated MAY	Estimated JUN	Estimated JUL	Estimated AUG	Estimated SEP	6-Month Sub-Total	12-Month Total	Method of C Demand	Energy Energy
1 Description of O&M Activities										
1 Air Operating Permit Fees-O&M	\$5,023	\$4,773	\$4,773	\$5,023	\$4,773	\$4,773	\$29,138	\$1,895,153		\$1,895,153
3a Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems-O&M	20,500	20,500	165,000	20,500	20,500	280,000	527,000	945,000		945,000
4a Clean Closure Equivalency-O&M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5a Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks-O&M	90,000	90,000	90,000	90,000	90,000	90,000	540,000 0	1,280,000	1,280,000	
5c Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks-Spill Abatement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ba Oil Spill Cleanup/Response Equipment-O&M	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000	36,000	185,805		185,805
9 Low-Level Radioactive Waste Access Fees-O&M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13 RCRA Corrective Action-O&M	377,657	377,657	77,657	77,657	(22,343)	0	888,285	2,138,285	2,138,285	
14 NPDES Permit Fees-O&M	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	123,600	123,600	
17a Disposal of Noncontainerized Liquid.Waste-O&M	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	300,000	500,000		500,000
2 Total of O&M Activities	\$ 549,180	\$ 548,930	\$ 393,430	\$ 249,180	\$ 148,930	\$ 430,773	\$ 2,320,423	\$7,067,843	\$3,541,885	\$ 3,525,958
3 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$ 81,523	\$ 61,273	\$ 225,773	\$ 81,523	\$ 81,273	\$ 340,773	\$ 892,138	\$ 3,525,958		@
4 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	\$ 467,657	\$ 467,657	\$ 167,657	\$ 167,657	\$ 67,657	\$ 90,000	\$ 1,428,285	\$3,541,895		
5 Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	98.22320%	98.22320%	98.22320%	98.22320%	98.22320%	98.22320%				
6 Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor	97.33111%	97.33111%	97.33111%	97.33111%	97.33111%	97.33111%				
7 Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (A)	\$ 80,074	\$ 79,829	\$ 221,761	\$ 80,074	\$ 79,829	\$ 334,718	\$ 876,285	\$ 3,463,307		
8 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (B)	\$ 455,176	\$ 455,176	\$ 163,182	\$ 163,182	\$ 65,851	\$ 87,598	\$ 1,390,165	\$ 3,447,357	2	
9 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for O&M Activities (Lines 7 + 8)	\$ 535,250	\$ 535,005	\$ 384.943	\$ 243,256	\$ 145,680	\$ 422,316	\$ 2,266,450	\$ 6,910,664		

Notes:

(A) Line 3 x Line 5

(B) Line 4 x Line 6

### Florida Power & Light Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount October 1996-September 1997

# Capital Investment Projects-Recoverable Costs (in Dollars)

_	Line	Estimated OCT	Estimated NOV	Estimated DEC	Estimated JAN	Estimated FEB	Estimated MAR	6-Month Sub-Total
	1 Description of Investment Projects (A)							
	2 Low NOx Burner Technology-Capital	\$ 227,888	\$ 226,471	\$ 225,814	\$ 225,156	\$ 224,498	\$ 223,840	\$ 1,353,667
	3b Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems-Capital	165,268	164,255	163,807	163,360	162,912	162,465	982,067
	4b Clean Closure Equivalency-Capital	690	686	684	682	681	679	4,102
	5b Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks-Capital	67,966	68,126	68,533	71,855	75,169	78,743	430,392
	7 Relocate Turbine Lube Oil Underground Piping to Above Ground-Capital	353	351	350	349	348	347	2,098
	8b Oil Spill Cleanup/Response Equipment-Capital	10,019	9,940	9,875	10,403	10,522	10,453	61,212
	10 Relocate Storm Water Runoff-Capital	1,303	1,295	1,293	1,290	1,288	1,285	7,754
	NA SO2 Allowances-Negative Return on Investment	(7,815)	(7,815)	(7,815)	(7,815)	(7,815)	(7,815)	(46,890)
	12 Scherer Discharge Pipeline-Capital	9,731	9,673	9,652	9,631	9,611	9,590	57,888
	16 St. Lucie Turtle Net-Capital	6,427	6,391	6,378	6,367	6,354	6,343	38,260
	17b Disposal of Noncontainerized Liquid Waste-Capital	360	1,309	2,634	3,189	3,183	3,177	13,852
	2 Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	\$ 482,190	\$ 480,682	\$ 481,205	\$ 484,467	\$ 486,751	\$ 489,107	\$ 2,904,402
	3 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$ 392,791	\$ 390,433	\$ 389,453	\$ 388,682	\$ 387,837	\$ 386,999	\$ 2,336,195
	4 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	\$ 89,399	\$ 90,249	\$ 91,752	\$ 95,785	\$ 98,914	\$ 102,108	\$ 568,207
	5 Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	98.22320%	98.22320%	98.22320%	98.22320%	98.22320%	98.22320%	
	6 Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor	97.33111%	97.33111%	97.33111%	97.33111%	97.33111%	97.33111%	
	7 Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (B)	\$ 385,812	\$ 383,496	\$ 382,533	\$ 381,776	\$ 380,946	\$ 380,123	\$ 2,294,686
	8 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (C)	\$ 87,013	\$ 87,840	\$ 89,303	\$ 93,229	\$ 96,274	\$ 99,383	\$ 553,042
	9 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for Investment Projects (Lines 7 + 8)	\$ 472,825	\$ 471,336	\$ 471,836	\$ 475,005	\$ 477,220	\$ 479,506	\$ 2,847,728

#### Notes

- (A) Each project's Total System Recoverable Expenses on Form 42-4P, Line 9
- (B) Line 3 x Line 5
- (C) Line 4 x Line 6

### Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount October 1996-September 1997

### Capital Investment Projects-Recoverable Costs (in Dollars)

		Estimated	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated	Estimated	6-Month	12-Month	The Contraction of the Contracti	lassification
1	ine	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	Sub-Total	Total	Demand	Energy
	1 Description of Investment Projects (A)										
	2 Low NOx Burner Technology-Capital	\$ 223,182	\$ 222,524	\$ 221,867	\$ 221,209	\$ 220,551	\$ 219,893	\$ 1,329,226	\$ 2,682,893		\$ 2,682,893
	3b Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems-Capital	162,018	161,570	161,123	160,676	160,228	159,781	965,396	1,947,463		1,947,463
	4b Clean Closure Equivalency-Capital	677	675	674	672	670	668	4,036	8,138	7,512	626
	5b Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel	82,317	82,146	81,974	81,802	84,780	87,750	500,769	931,161	859,533	71,628
	Storage Tanks-Capital										
	7 Relocate Turbine Lube Oil Underground Piping to Above Ground-Capital	352	351	350	349	348	347	2,097	4,195	3,872	323
	8b Oil Spill Cleanup/Response Equipment-Capital	10,385	10,316	10,247	10,475	10,498	10,428	62,349	123,561	114,056	9,505
	10 Relocate Storm Water Runoff-Capital	1,283	1,280	1,278	1,275	1,273	1,270	7,659	15,413	14,227	1,186
6	NA SO2 Allowances-Negative Return on Investment	(7,815)	(7,815)	(8,284)	(8,752)	(8,752)	(8,752)	(50,170)	(97,060)		(97,060)
	12 Scherer Discharge Pipeline-Capital	9,570	9,548	9,527	9,507	9,486	9,466	57,104	114,992	106,146	8,846
	16 St. Lucie Turtle Net-Capital	6,330	6,319	6,306	6,294	6,281	6,269	37,799	76,059	70,208	5,851
	17b Disposal of Noncontainerized Liquid Waste-Capital	3,171	3,164	3,158	3,152	3,145	3,139	18,929	32,78	30,259	2,522
	2 Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	\$ 491,470	\$ 490,078	\$ 488,220	\$ 486,659	\$ 488,508	\$ 490,259	\$ 2,935,194	\$ 5,839,596	\$ 1,205,813	\$ 4,633,783
	3 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$ 386,161	\$ 385,032	\$ 383,438	\$ 381,865	\$ 380,989	\$ 380,101	\$ 2,297,586	\$ 4,633,781		
	4 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	\$ 105,309	\$ 105,046	\$ 104,782	\$ 104,794	\$ 107,519	\$ 110,158	\$ 637,608	\$ 1,205,815		
	5 Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor	98.22320%	98.22320%	98.22320%	98.22320%	98.22320%	98.22320%				
	6 Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor	97.33111%	97.33111%	97.33111%	97.33111%	97.33111%	97.33111%				
	7 Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (B)	\$ 379,300	\$ 378,191	\$ 376,625	\$ 375,080	\$ 374,220	\$ 373,347		\$ 4,551,449		
	8 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (C)	\$ 102,498	\$ 102,242	\$ 101,985	\$ 101,997	\$ 104,649	\$ 107,218	\$ 620,589	\$ 1,173,631		
	9 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for	\$ 481,798	\$ 480,433	\$ 478,610	\$ 477,077	\$ 478,869	\$ 480,565	\$ 2,877,352	\$ 5,725,080		

- (A) Each project's Total System Recoverable Expenses on Form 42-4P, Line 9
- (B) Line 3 x Line 5

Investment Projects (Lines 7 + 8)

(C) Line 4 x Line 6

#### Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount October 1996 through March 1997

# Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Low NOx Burner Technology (Project No. 2) (in Dollars)

Line		Beginning of Period Amount	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Six Month Subtotal
1.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		00	\$0	\$0	\$0	90	60	\$0
2. 3. 4.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$17,656,511 869,294 0	17,656,511 939,534 0	17.656,511 1,009,774 0	17,656,511 1,080,014 0	17,656,511 1,150,254 0	17,656,511 1,220,494 0	17,656,511 1,290,734 0	n/a n/a O
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	16,787,217	\$16,716,977	\$16,646,737	\$16,576,497	\$16,506,257	\$16,436,017	\$16,365,777	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		16,752,097	16,681,857	16,611,617	16,541,377	16,471,137	16,400,897	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component grossed up for tax b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387%:		110,967 46,681	109,818 46,413	109,356 46,218	108,893 46,022	108,431 45,827	107,969 45,631	655,434 276,792
8.	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)		70,240	70,240	70,240	70,240	70,240	70,240	421,440
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines	17 & 8)	\$227,888	\$226,471	\$225,814	\$225,156	\$224,498	\$223,840	\$1,353,667

### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant in Service during the month.

Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.

# Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount April 1997 through September 1997

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Low NOx Burner Technology (Project No. 2) (in Dollars)

Line		Beginning of Period Amount	Projected Arril	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	12 Month Total
1.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		80	80	\$0	80	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. 3.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)	\$17,656,511 1,290,734	17,656,511 1,360,974	17,656,511	17,656,511	17,656,511 1,571,694	17,656,511 1,641,934	17,656,511 1,712,174	n/a n/a
4.	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	16,365,777	\$16,295,537	\$16,225,297	\$16,155,057	\$16,084,817	\$16,014,577	\$15,944,337	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		16,330,657	16,260,417	16,190,177	16,119,937	16,049,697	15,979.457	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x		107,506 45,436	107,044 45,241	106,581 45,045	106,119 44,850	105,657 44,654	105,194 44,459	1,293,536 546,477
8.	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement		70,240	70,240	70,240	70,240	70,240	70,240	842,880
	d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)								
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7		\$223,182	\$222,524	\$221,867	\$221,209	\$220,551	\$219,893	\$2,682,893

#### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equit (D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month.

# Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount October 1996 through March 1997

# Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Continuous Emissions Monitoring (Project No. 3b) (in Dollars)

Line		of Period Amount	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Six Month Subtotal
1.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		#0	\$0	#0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. 3. 4.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$13,519,504 1,009,814 0	13,519,504 1,057,582 0	13,519,504 1,105,350 0	13,519,504 1,153,118 0	13,519,504 1,200,886 0	13,519,504 1,248,654 0	13,519,504 1,296,422 0	n/a n/a 0
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	12,509,690	12,461,922	12,414,154	12,366,386	12,318,618	12,270,850	12,223,082	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		12,485,806	12,438,038	12,390,270	12,342,502	12,294,734	12,246,966	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment  a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C)  b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x 1/12)		82,707 34,793	81,881 34,606	81,566 34,473	81,252 34,340	80,937 34,207	80,623 34,074	488,966 206,492
8.	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)		47,768	47,768	47,768	47,768	47,768	47,768	286,608
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)	-	\$165,268	0164,255	\$163,807	\$163,360	\$162,912	0162,465	\$982,067

#### Notes:

- (A) N/A
- (B) N/A
- (C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.
- (D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month.

  Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.
- (E) N/A

# Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount April 1997 through September 1997

# Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Continuous Emissions Monitoring (Project No. 3b) (in Dollars)

Designation

	Line		of Period Amount	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	12 Month Total
	1.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		60	60	\$0	\$0	\$0	90	10
	2. 3. 4.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	13,519,504 1,296,422 0	13,519,504 1,344,190 0	13,519,504 1,391,958 0	13,519,504 1,439,726 0	13,519,504 1,487,494 0	13,519,504 1,535,262 0	13,519,504 1,583,030 0	n/a n/a O
	5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	12,223,082	12,175,314	12,127,546	12,079,778	12,032,010	11,984,242	11,936,474	n/a
	6.	Average Net Investment		12,199,198	12,151,430	12,103,662	12,055,894	12,008,126	11,960,358	
10	7.	Return on Average Net Investment  a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C)  b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x 1/12)		80,308 33,941	79,994 33,808	79,680 33,675	79,365 33,543	79,051 33,410	78,736 33,277	966,100 408,146
	8.	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement		47,768	47,768	47,768	47,768	47,768	47,768	573,216
		d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)						19		
	9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$162,018	\$161,570	\$161,123	\$160,676	\$160,228	\$159,781	\$1,947,462

#### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month.

Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.

# Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount October 1996 through March 1997

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Clean Closure Equivalency (Project No. 4b)
(in Dollars)

	ne	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Six Month Subtotal
1	a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
3	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base Less; Accumulated Depreciation (B) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$58,866 5,414 0	58,866 5,602 0	58,866 5,790 0	58,866 5,978 0	58,866 6,166 0	58,866 6,354 0	58,866 6,542 0	n/a n/a O
5	6. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$53,452	\$53,264	\$53,076	\$52,888	\$52,700	\$52,512	\$52,324	n/a
1 6	3. Average Net Investment		53,358	53,170	52,982	52,794	52,606	52,418	
7	7. Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C) b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x 1/12)		353 149	350 148	349 147	348 147	346 146	345 146	2,091 883
8	Investment Expenses     a. Depreciation (D)     b. Amortization     c. Dismantlement     d. Property Expenses     e. Other (E)		188	188	188	188	188	188	1,128
9	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$690	\$685	\$684	\$682	\$681	\$679	\$4,102

#### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month.

Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.

# Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount April 1997 through September 1997

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Clean Closure Equivalency (Project No. 4b)
(in Dollars)

Line		Beginning of Period Amount	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	12 Month Total
7.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		\$0	\$0	90	\$0	80	\$0	\$0
2. 3. 4.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation (8) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$58,866 6,542 0	58,866 6,730 0	58,866 6,918 0	58,866 7,106 0	58,866 7,294 0	58,866 7,482 0	58,866 7,670 0	n/a n/a 0
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$52,324	\$52,136	\$51,948	\$51,760	\$51,572	\$51,384	\$51,196	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		52,230	52,042	51,854	51,666	51,478	51,290	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment  a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C)  b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x 1/12)		344 145	343 145	341 144	340 144	339 143	338 143	4,136 1,747
8.	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Expenses		188	188	188	188	188	188	2,256
	e. Other (E)								
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$677	9675	\$674	\$672	\$670	\$668	\$8,139

#### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

<sup>(</sup>C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

<sup>(</sup>D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month.

Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.

# Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount April 1997 through September 1997

# Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Maintenance of Above Ground Storage Tanks (Project No. 5b) (in Dollars)

Line		Beginning of Period Amount	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	12 Month Total
1.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	\$0	\$1,970,000
2. 3. 4.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$6,882,264 \$43,285 \$0	6,882,264 61,639 0	6,882,264 79,993 0	6,882,264 98,347 0	6,882,264 116,701 0	7,382,264 135,868 0	7,382,264 155,847 0	n/a n/a O
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$6,838,979	\$6,820,625	\$6,802,271	\$6,783,917	\$6,765,563	\$7,246,396	\$7,226,417	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		6,829,802	6,811,448	6,793,094	6,774,740	7,005,979	7,236,406	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (6 b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x 1/		44,961 19,002	44,840 18,951	44,720 18,900	44,599 18,849	46,121 19,492	47,638 20,133	502,510 212,308
8.	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)		18,354	18,354	18,354	18,354	19,167	19,979	216,344
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7	§ B)	\$82.317	\$82.146	\$81,974	\$81.802	\$84,780	\$87,750	3931,162

. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines / & C

\$82,317 \$82,146 \$81,974 \$81,802 \$84,780 \$87,750 \$931,162

### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month. Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.

# Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount October 1996 through March 1997

# Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Relocate Turbine Oil Underground Piping (Project No. 7) (in Dollars)

	Line	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Six Month Subtotal
	Investments     a. Expenditures/Additions     b. Clearings to Plant     c. Retirements     d. Other (A)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base     Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)     CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$31,030 3,358 0	\$31,030 3,445 0	\$31,030 3,533 0	\$31,030 3,621 0	\$31,030 3.709 0	\$31,030 3,797 0	\$31,030 3,885 0	n/a n/a 0
	5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$27,672	\$27,585	\$27,497	\$27,409	\$27,321	\$27,233	\$27,145	n/a
	6. Average Net Investment		28,156	28,068	27,980	27,892	27,804	27,716	
i	<ol> <li>Return on Average Net Investment</li> <li>a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C)</li> <li>b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x 1/12)</li> </ol>		187 78	185 78	184 78	184 78	183 77	182 77	1,105 466
	Investment Expenses     a. Depreciation (D)     b. Amortization     c. Dismantlement     d. Property Expenses     e. Other (E)		88	88	88	88	88	88	528
	9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$353	\$351	\$350	\$349	\$348	\$347	\$2,100

### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month. Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.

Florida Power & Light Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount April 1997 through September 1997

# Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Relocate Turbine Oil Underground Piping (Project No. 7) (in Dollars)

Line		Beginning of Period Amount	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	12 Month Total
1.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. 3. 4.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$31,030 3,885 0	\$31,030 3,973 0	\$31,030 4,061 0	\$31,030 4,149 0	\$31,030 4,237 0	\$31,030 4,325 0	\$31,030 4,413 0	n/a n/a 0
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$27,145	\$27,057	\$26,969	\$26,881	\$26,793	\$26,705	\$26,617	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		28,156	28,068	27,980	27,892	27,804	27,716	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C) b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x 1/12)		185 78	185 78	184 78	184 78	183 77	182 77	2,208 933
8.	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Expenses		88	88	88	88	88	88	1,055
9.	e. Other (E)  Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$352	\$351	\$350	#349	\$348	\$347	\$4,197

#### Notes:

- (A) N/A
- (B) N/A
- (C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.
- (D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month. Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month and closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.
- (E) N/A

# Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount October 1996 through March 1997

# Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Oil Spill Cleanup/Response Equipment (Project No. 8b) (in Dollars)

Line		of Period Amount	Projected October	Projected November	Projectad December	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Six Month Subtotal
1.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		80	90	\$6	\$40,000	\$0	\$0	\$40,000
2. 3. 4.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$575,741 243,672 0	575,741 250,599 0	575,741 257,526 0	575,741 264,453 0	615,741 271,788 0	615,741 279,123 0	615,741 286,458 0	n/a n/a 0
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$332,069	\$325,142	\$318,215	\$311,288	\$343,953	\$336,618	\$329,283	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		328,606	321,679	314,752	327,621	340,286	332,951	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C) b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x 1/12)		2,177 916	2,118 895	2.072** 876	2,157 912	2,240 947	2,192 926	12,955 5,471
8.	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)		6,927	6,927	6,927	7,335	7,335	7,335	42,786
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$10,019	\$9,940	\$9,875	\$10,403	\$10,522	\$10,453	\$61,212

### Notes:

(A) N/A

B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month.

Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.

## Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount April 1997 through September 1997

### Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Oil Spill Cleanup/Response Equipment (Project No. 8b) (in Dollars)

Lin	ne .	of Period Amount	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	12 Month Total
7	. Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		\$0	\$0	80	\$20,000	90	80	\$60,000
2 3 4	. Less: Accumulated Depreciation (8)	\$615,741 286,458 0	615,741 293,793 0	615,741 301,128 0	615,741 308,463 0	635,741 316,002 0	635,741 323,541 0	635,741 331,080 0	n/a n/a 0
5	. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$329,283	\$321,948	9314,613	\$307,278	\$319,739	\$312,200	\$304,661	n/a
6	Average Net Investment		325,616	318,281	310,946	313,509	315,970	308,431	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment     a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C)     b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x 1/12)		2,144 906	2,095 886	2,047 865	2,064 872	2,080 879	2,030 858	25,415 10,737
8.	a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)		7,335	7,335	7,335	7,539	7,539	7,539	87,408
9	. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$10,385	\$10,315	\$10,247	\$10,475	\$10,498	\$10,428	\$123,561

Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month.

Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.

## Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount October 1996 through March 1997

### Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Relocate Storm Water Runoff (Project No. 10) (in Dollars)

Line		Beginning of Period Amount	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Six Month Subtotal
1.	Investments  a. Expenditures/Additions  b. Clearings to Plant  c. Retirements  d. Other (A)		\$0	\$0	60	\$0	\$0	\$0	60
2. 3. 4.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$117,794 7,913 0	117,794 8,183 0	117,794 8,453 0	117,794 8,723 0	117,794 8,993 0	117,794 9,263 0	117,794 9,533 0	n/a n/s O
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$109,881	\$109,611	\$109,341	\$109,071	\$108,801	\$108,531	\$108,261	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		109,746	109,476	109,206	108,936	108,666	108,396	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment  a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes  b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x		727 306	721 305	719 304	717 303	715 302	714 302	4,313 1,821
8.	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Expenses		270	270	270	270	270	270	1,620
9.	e. Other (E)  Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7)	7 & 8)	\$1,303	\$1,295	\$1,293	\$1,290	\$1,288	\$1,285	\$7,754

#### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month.

Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.

## Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount April 1997 through September 1997

### Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Relocate Storm Water Runoff (Project No. 10) (in Dollars)

Line		Beginning of Period Amount	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	12 Month Total
1.								ENG	
	a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retizements d. Other (A)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	80	\$0	\$0
2.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base	\$117,794	117,794	117,794	117,794	117,794	117,794	117,794	n/a
3. 4.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation (8) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	9,533	9,803	10.073	10,343	10,613	10,883	11,153	n/a O
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$108,261	\$107,991	\$107,721	\$107,451	\$107,181	\$106,911	\$106,641	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		108,126	107,856	107,586	107,316	107,046	106,776	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment								
	<ul> <li>Equity Component grossed up for taxe</li> <li>Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x</li> </ul>		712 301	710 300	708 299	706 299	705 298	703 297	8,557 3,615
8.	Investment Expenses								
	a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement		270	270	270	270	270	270	3,240
	c. Dismantlement d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)								
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines	7 & 8)	\$1,283	\$1,280	\$1,278	81,275	\$1,273	\$1,270	015,413

#### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month.

Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.

Form 42-4P Page 15 of 22

#### Florida Power & Light Company Schedule of Negative Return on Deferred Gain on Sales of Emission Allowances For the Projected Period October 1996 through March 1997

Line No.	Description	Beginning of Period	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Six Month Subtotal	Line No.
1	Additions									
2	Net Investment	(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)		1
3	Average Net investment		(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)	n/a	2
4	Return on Average Net Investment (a)									3
	a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (A)		(5,494)	(5,494)	(5,494)	(5,494)	(5,494)	(5,494)	(32,962)	4
	b. Debt Component (Line 3 x 3.3367% /12)		(2,322)	(2,322)	(2,322)	(2,322)	(2,322)	(2,322)	(13,931)	
5	Total Return Requirements (Line 4b + 4c)		(7,815)	(7,815)	(7,615)	(7,815)	(7,815)	(7,815)	(45,890)	5

#### Notes:

In accordance with FPSC Order No. PSC-94-0393-FOF-EI, FPL has recorded the sales of emissions allowances as a regulatory liability. This schedule reflects the return on that regulatory liability.

<sup>(</sup>A. The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.88524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

Form 42-4P Page 16 of 22

#### Florida Power & Light Company Schedule of Negative Return on Deferred Gain on Sales of Emission Allowances For the Projected Period April through September 1997

Line No.	Description	Beginning of Period	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projetted September	12 Month Total	Line No.
1	Additions				(\$100,000)					
2	Net investment	(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)	(\$934,501)	(\$934,501)	(\$934,501)	(\$934,501)		140
3	Average Net Investment		(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)	(\$884,501)	(\$934,501)	(\$934,501)	(\$934,501)	n/a	2
4	Return on Average Net Investment (a)									3
	a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (A)		(5,494)	(5,494)	(5,823)	(6,152)	(6,152)	(6,152)	(68,227)	4
	b. Debt Component (Line 3 x 3.3387% /12)		(2,322)	(2,322)	(2,461)	(2,600)	(2,600)	(2,600)	(28,835)	
5	Total Return Requirements (Line 4b + 4c)		(7,815)	(7,815)	(8,264)	(8,752)	(8,752)	(8,752)	(97,060)	5

#### Notes:

<sup>(</sup>A. The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.88524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

In accordance with FPSC Order No. PSC-94-0393-FOF-EI, FPL has recorded the sales of emissions allowances as a regulatory liability. This schedule reflects the return on that regulatory liability.

## Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount October 1996 through March 1997

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Scherer Discharge Pipeline (Project No. 12) (in Dollars)

	Line		Beginning of Period Amount	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Six Month Subtotal
	1.									
		a. Expenditures/Additions				-7 70	174.50			
		b. Clearings to Plant		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
		c. Retirements								
		d. Other (A)								
	2.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base	\$864,261	864,261	864,261	864,261	864,261	864,261	864,261	n/a
	3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)	61,621	63,809	65,996	68,182	70,368	72,554	74,740	n/a
	4.	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$802,640	\$800,452	\$798,265	\$796,079	\$793,893	\$791,707	\$789,521	n/a
	6.	Average Net Investment		801,546	799,359	797,172	794,986	792,800	790,614	
2	7.	Return on Average Net Investment								
~	1.	a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C)		5,309	5,262	5,248	5,233	5,219	5,205	31,477
		b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x 1/12		2,234	2,224	2,218	2,212	2,206	2,200	13,293
		b. Debt Component (Line o x 3.3367/6 x 1712	4.1	2,234	2,224	2,210	2,212	2,200	2,200	13,203
	8.	Investment Expenses								
		a. Depreciation (D)		2,188	2,187	2,186	2,186	2,186	2,186	13,119
		b. Amortization								
		c. Dismantlement								
		d. Property Expenses								
		e. Other (E)								
	9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 &	8)	\$9,731	\$9,673	\$9,652	\$9,631	\$9,611	\$9,590	\$57,888

#### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month.

Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.

## Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount April 1997 through September 1997

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Scherer Discharge Pipeline (Project No. 12) (in Dollars)

Line		Beginning of Period Amount	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	12 Month Total
1.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)	9,5	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. 3. 4.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$864,261 74,740 0	864,261 76,926 0	864,261 79,111 0	864,261 81,295 0	864,261 83,479 0	864,261 85,663 0	864,261 87,847 0	n/a n/a O
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$789,521	\$787,335	\$785,150	\$782,966	\$780,782	\$778,598	\$776,414	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		788,428	786,243	784,058	781,874	779,690	777,506	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C. b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x 1/1		5,190 2,194	5,176 2 188	5,162 2,181	5,147 2,175	5,133 2,169	5,118 2,163	62,403 26,363
8.	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)		2,186	2,185	2,184	2,184	2,184	2,184	26,226
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 &	8)	\$9,570	\$9,548	\$9,527	\$9,507	\$9,486	\$9,456	\$114,991

#### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month.

Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.

## Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount October 1998 through March 1997

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: St. Lucie Plant Turtle Nets (Project No. 16) (in Dollars)

Lin		Beginning of Period Amount	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Six Month Subtotal
7	a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2 3 4	Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)	\$555,202 9,180 0	555,202 10,475 0	555,202 11,771 0	555,202 13,066 0	555,202 14,362 0	555,202 15,657 0	555,202 16,953 0	n/a n/a 0
5	. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	546,022	\$544,727	\$543,431	\$542,136	\$540,840	\$539,545	\$538,249	n/a
6	. Average Net Investment		545,374	544,079	542,783	541,488	540,192	538,897	
7	Return on Average Net Investment     a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C)     b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x 1/12)		3.613 1,520	3,582 1,514	3,573 1,510	3,565 1,507	3,556 1,503	3,548 1,499	21,436 9,052
8	a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)		1,295	1,296	1,295	1,296	1,295	1,296	7,773
9			\$6,427	\$6,391	\$6,378	\$6,367	\$6,354	\$6,343	\$38,260

#### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month. Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.

#### Florida Power & Light Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount April 1997 through September 1997

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: St. Lucie Plant Turtle Nets (Project No. 16) (in Dollars)

Lin		Beginning of Period Amount	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	12 Month Total
٦.	Investments  a. Expenditures/Additions  b. Clearings to Plant  c. Retirements  d. Other (A)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
2. 3. 4.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$555,202 16,953 0	555,202 18,248 0	555,202 19,544 0	555,202 20,839 0	555,202 22,135 0	555,202 23,430 0	555,202 24,725 0	n/a n/a 0
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	538,249	\$536,954	\$535,658	\$534,363	\$533,067	\$531,772	\$530,477	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		537,601	536,306	535,010	533,715	532,419	531,124	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C) b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x 1/12)		3,539 1,496	3,531 1,492	3,522 1,489	3,513 1,485	3,505 1,481	3,496 1,478	42,542 17,973
8.	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)		1,295	1,296	1,295	1,296	1,295	1,295	16,545
9.			\$6,330	\$6,319	\$6,306	\$6,294	\$6,281	\$6,269	\$76,059

#### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month.

Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.

## Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount October 1996 through March 1997

### Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Disposal of Noncontainerized Liquid Wastes (Project No. 17) (in Dollars)

Lin	10	Beginning of Period Amount	Projected October	Projected November	Projected December	Projected January	Projected February	Projected March	Six Month Subtotal
7	. Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		\$50,000	\$100,000	\$120,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$270,000
2 3 4	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Buse Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$0 0 0	50,000 125 0	150,000 500 0	270,000 1,175 0	270,000 1,850 0	270,000 2,525 0	270,000 3,200 0	n/a n/a O
5	. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	0	\$49,875	\$149,500	\$268,825	\$268,150	\$267,475	\$266,800	n/a
6	. Average Net Investment		24,938	99,688	209,163	268,488	267,813	267,138	
7	Return on Average Net Investment     Equity Component grossed up for taxes     Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x 1		165 69	656 277	1,377 582	1.767 747	1,763 745	1,759 743	7,487 3,164
8	a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)		125	375	675	675	675	675	3,200
9	. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7	& 8)	\$360	\$1,309	\$2,634	\$3,189	\$3,183	\$3,177	\$13,B5Z

#### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month.

Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.

## Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Projected Period Amount April 1997 through September 1997

### Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Cisposal of Noncontainerized Liquid Wastes (Project No. 17) (in Dollars)

Lin		Beginning of Period Amount	Projected April	Projected May	Projected June	Projected July	Projected August	Projected September	12 Month Total
1.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$270,000
2. 3. 4.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$270,000 \$3,200 0	270,000 3,875 0	270,000 4,550 0	270,000 5.225 0	270,000 5,900 0	270,000 6,575 0	270,000 7,250 0	n/a n/a 0
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	266,800	\$266,125	\$265,450	\$264,775	\$264,100	\$263,425	\$262,750	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		266,463	265,788	265,113	264,438	263,763	263,088	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment  a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C  b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3387% x 1/1)		1,754 741	1,750 739	1,745 738	1,741 736	1,736 734	1,732 732	17,946 7,584
8.	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantiement		675	675	675	675	675	675	7,250
	d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)								
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 &	(8)	\$3,171	\$3,164	\$3,158	\$3,152	\$3,145	\$3,139	\$32,780

#### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant in Service during the month.

Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.

Project Title: Air Operating Permit Fees - O&M

#### **Project Description:**

The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, Public Law 101-549, and Florida Statutes 403.0872, require each major source of air pollution to pay an annual license fee. The amount of the fee is based on each source's previous year's emissions. It is calculated by multiplying the applicable annual operation license fee factor (\$25 per ton for both Florida and Georgia) by the tons of each air pollutant emitted by the unit during the previous year and regulated in each unit's air operating permit, up to a total of 4,000 tons per pollutant. The major regulated pollutants at the present time are sulfur dioxide (\$O<sub>2</sub>), nitrogen oxides (\$NO<sub>x</sub>) and particulate matter. The fee covers units in FPL's service area, as well as Unit 4 of Plant Scherer located in Juliette, Georgia, within the Georgia Power Company service area. Scherer Unit 4's annual air operating permit fee is currently \$75,008. FPL's share of ownership of that unit is 76.36%. The fees for FPL's units are paid to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) generally in February of each year, whereas FPL pays its share of the fees for Scherer Unit 4 to Georgia Power Company on a monthly basis.

#### Project Accomplishments:

The 1995 air operating permit fees for FPL were calculated in January 1996 utilizing 1995 operating information. They were paid to the FDEP in February 1996. FPL paid to Georgia Power Company \$4,773 per month for its share of the air operating permit fees for Scherer 4. This represents an ownership interest of 76.36%.

#### Project Fiscal Expenditures:

The estimated/actual project fiscal expenditures for the period April 1996 through September 1996 are expected to be \$28,260 compared to an original estimate of \$28,638.

#### Project Progress Summary:

The 1995 air operating permit fee for FPL's power plants was paid in February 1996. FPL is continuing monthly payments to Georgia Power Company for its share of the air operating permit fee for Unit 4 of Plant Scherer. Project expenditures for October 1996 through September 1997 are estimated to be \$1,895,153.

Project Title: Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems - O & M

#### Project Description:

The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, Public Law 101-549, established requirements for the monitoring, record keeping and reporting of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions, as well as volumetric flow and opacity data from affected air pollution sources. FPL has 33 units which are affected and which must install CEMS to comply with these requirements.

40 CFR Part 75 includes the general requirements for the installation, certification, operation and maintenance of CEMS and specific requirements for the monitoring of pollutants, opacity and volumetric flow. Periodically, these systems extract and analyze gaseous samples for each power plant stack and have automated data acquisition and reporting capability. Operation and maintenance of these systems in accordance with the provisions of 40 CFR Part 75 will be an ongoing activity following their installation.

#### Project Accomplishments:

Twelve sites completed initial NO<sub>x</sub> certification testing. Seventeen relative accuracy test audits were conducted in addition to sixty six linearity checks, as required by federal law. Software upgrades to version 2.3 accomplished, meeting January 1, 1996 deadline for new EPA reporting formats.

#### Project Fiscal Expenditures:

The estimated/actual project fiscal expenditures for the period April 1996 through September 1996 are expected to be \$293,361 compared to an original estimate of \$187,750. The 56.3% variance of \$105,611 was due to more spare parts and gasses purchased than anticipated.

#### Project Progress Summary:

This is an ongoing project and each reporting period will include the cost of quality assurance activities and gases and spare parts purchases. Project expenditures for October 1996 through September 1997 are estimated to be \$945,000.

Project Title: Clean Closure Equivalency Demonstration (CCED) - O&M

#### Project Description:

In compliance with 40 CFR 270.1(c)(5) and (6), FPL had been preparing CCED's for nine FPL power plants to demonstrate to the U.S. EPA that no hazardous waste or hazardous constituents above levels which represent a threat to human health or the environment remain in the soil or water beneath the basins which had, in the past, been used to treat corrosive hazardous waste. The basins, which are still operational as part of the wastewater treatment systems at these plants, are no longer used to treat hazardous waste.

To demonstrate clean closure, soil sampling and ground water monitoring plans, implementation schedules and related reports and analytical data must be submitted to the EPA. The cost of complying are those costs associated with developing the plans and reports, installing monitoring wells, and sampling and analyzing soil samples and quarterly ground water samples.

#### Project Accomplishments:

Activities on the CCED's for the Putnam, Martin and Manatee Plants began prior to April 13, 1993. The final CCED report for Martin was submitted to the U.S. EPA in December 1994.

Preparation of the final CCED report for the St. Lucie Plant was initiated during the April 1995 through September 1995 period, and work was continued to complete the report during the October 1995 through March 1996 period. Third and fourth quarter CCED sampling and analysis report preparation for the Sanford, Cape Canaveral, Port Everglades Plants continued during the April 1995 through September 1995 period, with these reports completed during the October 1995 through March 1996 period.

In September 1995, the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) approved FPL's request for RCRA status change to generator and confirmed their acceptance of FPL's 1988 clean closures. Consequently, it will no longer be necessary to continue the CCED activities.

#### Project Fiscal Expenditures:

Total fiscal expenditures for the April 1996 through September 1996 period are expected to be \$ (9,719) vs. an original estimate of \$0. The variance of \$(9,719) is a result of a material purchase misclassification reversal, and invoices processed after March 1996.

#### Project Progress Summary:

In September, 1995, FPL discontinued initiating any new CCED activities based on the FDEP's final decision to approve FPL's request for facility status change to generator. The approval was based on FDEP's previous acceptance of FPL's 40 CFR 264 clean closures which were completed in 1988. Work in progress was completed during the October 1995 through March 1996 period.

Prior to September, 1995, one CCED was completed, submitted to the EPA, and approved. Two plants were approximately 97% through the CCED process, four plants were approximately 80% through the CCED process, and two plants were at the beginning of the process.

Project Title: Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks - O&M

#### Project Description:

Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.) Chapter 17-762, which became effective on March 12, 1991, provides standards for the maintenance of stationary above ground fuel storage tank systems. These standards impose various implementation schedules for inspections/repairs and upgrades to fuel storage tanks.

The O&M expenditures relate to required inspections and repairs of the tanks and maintenance of additional equipment.

#### Project Accomplishments:

Work continued on a number of projects involving the cleaning, inspection or testing and repair of above ground fuel storage tank and pipe systems. The m. jor projects which will be completed during the period April 1996 through September 1996 are the inspection and repair of the Port Everglades Plant light oil tanks 903 & 904 and recoating the exterior of the Manatee Terminal tanks A & B.

#### Project Fiscal Expenditures:

Actual/estimated project fiscal expenditures for the period April 1996 through September 1996 are expected to be \$636,154 compared to an original estimate of \$553,998. The 14.8% variance of \$82,156 is a result of changes in the timing of the work undertaken. The schedule for completing the inspections/repairs and upgrades to FPL's fuel storage tanks is dictated by seasonal fuel throughput considerations at the terminals and plant tank farms. It is also affected by the ability to take tanks out-of-service in conjunction with plant outages.

#### Project Progress Summary:

FPL has completed the inspection and upgrade of approximately 65 % of its tanks.

Estimated project fiscal expenditures for period October 1996 through September 1997 are expected to be \$1,280,000.

Project Title: Oil Spill Cleanup/Response Equipment - O&M

#### Project Description:

The Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA '90) mandates that all liable parties in the petroleum handling industry file plans by August 18, 1993. In these plans, a liable party must identify (among other items) its spill management team, organization, resources and training. Within this project, FPL developed the plans for ten power plants, five fuel oil terminals, three pipelines, and one corporate plan. Additionally, FPL purchased the mandated response resources and provided for mobilization to a worst case discharge at each site.

#### Project Accomplishments:

Plan development started in 1992 and continued through August 1993. Updates will continue to be filed for all sites as required. Future costs will be incurred to meet maintenance requirements of the equipment, training of site and corporate teams, site drills and equipment deployment exercises, corporate table top exercises, major equipment deployment drills and periodic updates to all plans.

#### Project Fiscal Expenditures:

Actual/estimated project fiscal expenditures for the period April 1996 through September 1996 are expected to be \$40,360 compared to an original estimate of \$36,000.

#### Project Progress Summary:

All deadlines, both state and federal, have been met. Ongoing costs will be annual in nature and will consist of plan updates, drills, exercises and equipment upgrades/replacements.

#### Project Projections:

Estimated project fiscal expenditures for the period October 1996 through September 1997 are expected to be \$185,805.

Project Title: Low Level Waste Access Fees - O & M

#### Project Description:

Florida Power & Light Company has been required to pay Low Level Waste Access Fees for the development of a second regional disposal facility in order to be able to dispose of its low level radioactive waste at the Barnwell South Carolina Low Level Waste Disposal Site. No other disposal sites were available to FPL for disposal of low level radioactive waste.

Until recently, the Low Level Waste Access Fees were invoiced and paid quarterly. The fees were calculated and assessed according to a fixed formula that was applied to all Southeast Compact low level waste generators. The amount of the fee depended upon the volume of low level waste that FPL disposed of at the Barnwell Low Level Waste Disposal Facility vs. the volume of low level waste disposed of at Barnwell by all Southeast Compact generators.

#### Project Accomplishments:

The Low Level Waste Access Fees were authorized to be assessed and collected from Southeast Low level waste generators through 1995 under a resolution enacted by the Southeast Compact Commission.

On August 22, 1995, in response to requests by low level waste generators, the Southeast Compact Commission adopted a motion rescinding the 1995 Regional Low Level Waste Access Fees for the first and second quarters of 1995. Furthermore, due to the withdrawal of South Carolina from the Southeast Compact, the commission rescinded the Regional Low Level Waste Access Fees for the remainder of 1995.

#### Project Fiscal Expenditures:

There are no project fiscal expenditures planned for the period April 1996 through September 1996 which agrees with the original projection.

#### Project Progress Summary:

No Regional Low Level Waste Access Fees were paid during 1995. At this time, FPL is not projecting to pay any access fees.

Project Title: RCRA Corrective Action - O & M

#### Project Description:

Under the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 (amending the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, or RCRA), the U.S. EPA has the authority; to require hazardous waste treatment facilities to investigate whether there have been releases of hazardous waste or constituents from nonregulated units on the facility site. If contamination is found to be present at levels that represent a threat to human health or the environment, the facility operator can be required to undertake "corrective action" to remediate the contamination. In April 1994, the U.S. EPA advised FPL that it intended to initiate RCRA Facility Assessments (RFA's) at FPL's nine former hazardous waste treatment facility sites. The RFA is the first step in the RCRA Corrective Action process. At a minimum, FPL will be responding to the agency's requests for information concerning the operation of these power plants, their waste streams, their former hazardous waste treatment facilities and their non-regulated Solid Waste Management Units (SWMU's). FPL may also conduct assessments of human health risk resulting from possible releases from the SWMU's in order to demonstrate that any residual contamination does not represent an undue threat to human health or the environment. Other response actions could include a voluntary clean-up or compliance with the agency's imposition of the full gamut of RCRA Corrective Action requirements, including RCRA Facility Investigation, Corrective Measures Study, and Corrective Measures Implementation.

#### **Project Accomplishments:**

Source removal and RFA's are complete at Martin, Cape Canaveral, Putnam, and Fort Myers sites. On-going clean-up activities continue at Sanford, Port Everglades, and Manatee sites.

#### Project Fiscal Expenditures:

The estimated/actual expenditures for the period April 1996 through September 1996 are expected to be \$405,160 compared to an original projection of \$513,659. The -21.1% variance of (\$108,499) is due to an accelerated work scheduled performed earlier than projected verses the expected April through September schedule.

#### Project Progress Summary:

This is an ongoing project. Source removal activities continue at Port Everglades, which is the next RFA site in September 1996. Also, source removal activities for St. Lucie are planned to begin later this year or early next year.

Estimated project fiscal expenditures for the period October 1996 through September 1997 are expected to be \$2,138,285.

Project Title: NPDES Permit Fees - O & M

#### Project Description:

In compliance with State of Florida Rule 62-4.052, Florida Power & Light Company is required to pay annual regulatory program and surveillance fees for any permits it requires to discharge wastewater to surface waters under the National Pollution Discharge Elimination System. These fees meet the Florida legislature's intent that the Florida Department of Environmental Protection's (FDEP) costs for administering the NPDES program be borne by the regulated parties, as applicable. The fees for each permit type are as set forth in the rule, with an effective date of May 1, 1995, for their implementation. After the first year, annual fees are due and payable to the FDEP by January 15th of each year.

#### Project Accomplishments:

Following receipt of invoices from the FDEP, FPL paid the 1996 NPDES permit fees.

#### Project Fiscal Expenditures:

Estimated/actual expenditures for the period April 1996 through September 1996 are expected to be \$4,159 compared to an original estimate of (\$856). The variance of \$5,015 is primarily the result of additional permit fees.

#### Project Progress Summary:

The 1996 NPDES permit fees were paid to the FDEP during the month of January. Project expenditures for the period October 1996 through September 1997 are estimated to be \$123,600.

Project Title: Disposal of Noncontainerized Liquid Waste - O&M

#### Project Description:

Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.) Rule 62-701.300, effective January 2, 1994, states that noncontainerized liquid waste shall not be placed in solid waste disposal units, such as landfills. Accordingly, FPL's power plants need to dispose of their non-containerized liquid waste in a manner which meets this requirement.

The O&M expenditures relate to the disposal of noncontainerized liquid waste in a manner which meets the F.A.C. Rule 62-701.300 (10). Ash generated during the production of electric power falls into this category.

#### Project Projections:

Estimated project fiscal expenditures for the period October 1996 through September 1997 are expected to be \$500,000.

Project Title: Low NO, Burner Technology (LNBT) - Capital

#### Project Description:

Under Title I of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, Public Law 101-349, utilities with units located in areas designated as "non-attainment" for ozone will be required to reduce NO<sub>x</sub> emissions. The Dade, Broward and Palm Beach county areas were classified as "moderate non-attainment" by the EPA. FPL has six units in this affected area.

LNBT meets the requirement to reduce NO<sub>x</sub> emissions by delaying the mixing of the fuel and air at the burner, creating a staged combustion process along the length of the flame. NO<sub>x</sub> formation is reduced because peak flame temperatures and availability of oxygen for combustion is reduced in the initial stages.

#### Project Accomplishments:

All six units are in service and operational.

#### Project Fiscal Expenditures:

The estimated/actual expenditures (depreciation plus return) for the period April 1996 through September 1996 are expected to be \$1,375,447 compared to an original estimate of \$1,427,896. The 3.7% variance of is due to the timing of capital investments.

#### Project Progress Summary:

Dade, Broward and Palm Beach Counties have now been redesignated as "attainment" for ozone with air quality maintenance plans. This redesignation still requires that all controls, such as LNBT, placed in effect during the "non-attainment" be maintained.

The LNBT burners are installed at all of the six units and design enhancements are almost complete. Turkey Point Unit #2 is still on hold for gas testing, due to the unavailability of gas, however, gas should be available for testing in May or June and the project will be complete following "successfu!" acceptance testing.

Estimated project fiscal expenditures for the period October 1996 through September 1997 are expected to be \$2,682,893.

Project Title: Continuous Emission Monitoring System (CEMS) - Capital

#### Project Description:

The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, Public Law 101-549, established requirements for the monitoring, record keeping and reporting of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub> and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions, as well as volumetric flow and opacity data from affected air pollution sources. FPL has 36 units which are affected and which must install CEMS to comply with these requirements.

40 CFR Part 75 includes the general requirements for the installation, certification, operation and maintenance of CEMS and specific requirements for the monitoring of pollutants, opacity and volumetric flow. These regulations are very comprehensive and specific as to the requirements for CEMS, and in essence, they define the components needed and their configuration. Periodically, these systems extract and analyze gaseous samples for each power plant stack and have automated data acquisition and reporting capability.

#### Project Accomplishments:

All units are complete.

#### Project Fiscal Expenditures:

The estimated/actual expenditures (depreciation and return) for the period April 1996 through September 1996 are expected to be \$999,646 compared to an original estimate of \$985,050. All future period expenditures will represent depreciation and return.

#### Project Progress Summary:

Installation of hardware and required construction is complete on all units.

Project Title: Clean Closure Equivalency Demonstration (CCED) - Capital

#### Project Description:

In compliance with 40 CFR 270.1(c)(5) and (6), FPL had been preparing CCED's for nine FPL power plants to demonstrate to the U.S. EPA that no hazardous waste or hazardous constituents remain in the soil or water beneath the basins which had been used in the past to treat corrosive hazardous waste. The basins, which are still operational as part of the wastewater treatment systems at these plants, are no longer used to treat hazardous waste.

To demonstrate clean closure, soil sampling and ground water monitoring plans, implementation schedules, and related reports must be submitted to the EPA. Capital costs are for the installation of monitoring wells (typically four per site) necessary to collect ground water samples for analysis.

#### Project Accomplishments:

Expenditures for the monitoring wells for the Putnam, Martin, Manatee and Sanford Plants were made prior to April 13, 1993, and are therefore not included for recovery in the Environmental Cost Recovery Clause.

Monitoring wells for the Cape Canaveral, Port Everglades and St. Lucie Plants were completed during the October 1993 through March 1994 period. Monitoring wells for the Turkey Point Plant were completed during the October 1994 through March 1995 period.

No additional wells were installed during the April through September 1995, and October 1995 through March 1996 periods.

#### Project Fiscal Expenditures:

The estimated/actual (depreciation plus return) for the period April 1996 through September 1996 are expected to be \$4,171 compared to the original estimate of \$4,142. All future period expenditures will represent depreciation and return.

#### Project Progress Summary:

In September, 1995, FPL discontinued CCED activities based on the FDEP's final decision to approve FPL's request for facility status change to generator. The approval was based on FDEP's previous acceptance of FPL's 40 CFR 264 clean closures which were completed in 1988. Prior to September 1995, monitoring wells were completed at eight of the plants.

Project Title: Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks - Capital

#### Project Description:

Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.) Chapter 17-762, which became effective on March 12, 1991, provides standards for the maintenance of stationary above ground fuel storage tank systems. These standards impose various implementation schedules for inspections/repairs and upgrades to fuel storage tanks.

The capital project associated with complying with the new standards include the installation of items for each tank such as liners, cathodic projection systems and tank high-level alarms.

#### Project Accomplishments:

The following major projects were, or are expected to be placed in-service during the period April 1996 through September 1996:

Manatee Plant Tank B Liner Turkey Point Plant East Light Oil Tank Liner Turkey Point Plant West Light Oil Tank Liner

#### **Project Fiscal Expenditures:**

Actual/estimated project fiscal expenditures (depreciation and return) for the period April 1996 through September 1996 are expected to be \$375,986 compared to an original estimate of \$388,755. All future period expenditures represent depreciation and return.

#### Project Progress Summary:

FPL has completed inspection and upgrade of approximately 65% of its tanks.

Project Title: Relocate Turbine Lube Oil Underground Piping to Above Ground - Capital

#### Project Description:

In accordance with criteria contained in Chapter 17-762 of the Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.) for storage of pollutants, FPL initiated the replacement of underground Turbine Lube Oil piping to above ground installations at the St. Lucie Nuclear Power Plant.

#### Project Accomplishments:

The piping relocation on Unit 1 was completed in May, 1993. Approximately 200 feet of small bore pipe was installed above ground. The Unit 2 piping relocation project was cancelled after a system review. The analysis identified the turbine lube oil piping system as piping associated with a flow through process storage tank system, rendering it exempt from Chapter 17-762 F.A.C. requirements

#### Project Fiscal Expenditures:

The estimated/actual expeditures (depreciation and return) for the period April 1996 through September 1996 are expected to be \$2,102 compared to an original estimate of \$2,087.

#### Project Progress Summary:

This project is complete.

Project Title: Oil Spill Cleanup/Response Equipment - Capital

#### Project Description:

The Oil Pollution Act of 1990 (OPA '90) mandates that all liable parties in the petroleum handling industry file plans by August 18, 1993. In these plans, a liable party must identify (among other items) its spill management team, organization, resources and training. Within this project, FPL developed the plans for ten power plants, five fuel oil terminals, three pipelines, and one corporate plan. Additionally, FPL purchased the mandated response resources and provided for mobilization to a worst case discharge at each site.

#### Project Accomplishments:

Plan development started in 1992 and continued through August 1993. Updates will continue to be filed for all sites as required. Equipment to meet mandated response capability were originally going to be funded through a industry limited partnership by March 1993. Prior to March 1993, the industry partnership was abandoned, and FPL determined the least cost alternative to be ownership of its own equipment. Future costs will be incurred to meet maintenance requirements of the equipment, training of site and corporate teams, site drills and equipment deployment exercise, corporate table top exercises, major equipment deployment drills and periodic updates to all plans.

#### Project Fiscal Expenditures:

Actual/estimated expenditures (depreciation and return) for the period April 1996 through September 1996 are expected to be \$61,262 compared to an original estimate of \$77,489. The 20.9% variance of (\$16,227) is the result of the equipment purchases being deferred to later in the year.

All future period expenditures represent depreciation and return.

#### Project Progress Summary:

All deadlines, both state and federal, have been met. Ongoing costs will be annual in nature and will consist of plan updates, drills, exercises and equipment upgrades/replacements.

Project Title: Relocate Storm Water Runoff - Capital

#### Project Description:

The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit, Permit No. FL0002206, for the St. Lucie Plant, issued by the U.S. EPA contained new effluent discharge limitations for industrial-related storm water from the plant and land utilization building areas. The new requirements become effective on January 1, 1994. As a result of these new requirements, the affected areas were surveyed, graded, excavated and paved as necessary to redirect the storm water runoff. The storm water runoff was collected and discharged to existing water catchment basins on site in lieu of discharge to surface waters.

#### Project Accomplishments:

The rerouting of the storm water runoff was substantially completed and placed in-service in January 1994. The remaining elements of the project were completed in April 1994.

#### Project Fiscal Expenditures:

The estimated/actual expenditures (depreciation and return) for the period April 1996 through September 1996 are expected to be \$7,859 compared to an original estimate of \$7,800.

#### Project Progress Summary:

The rerouting of the storm water runoff project is complete.

Project Title: Sulfur Dioxide (SO2) Allowances

#### Project Description:

The Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990, Public Law 101-549 Section 416, established a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) tracking system for managing domestic air pollution sources emitting sulfur dioxide, a regulated pollutant. In brief, historical power plant operating data regarding fuel type and quantity burned are used to determine the tons of annual SO<sub>2</sub> emissions that may be emitted from a facility or generating system. Each ton of SO<sub>2</sub> to be emitted corresponds to one EPA SO<sub>2</sub> emissions "allowance". These allowances may be freely bought and sold, within certain constraints, to minimize the cost of environmental compliance using a free market-based approach. FPL was allocated allowances for its use beginning in the year 2000. However, the law established a mechanism for an annual auction to assure the availability of these required allowances to parties that had no historical emissions, or that needed to increase their total annual emissions now or in the future. To establish a "pool" of available allowances for the auction, EPA withheld a percentage of all allowances, with compensation for the original allowance holder to be made following their sale to the highest bidder at the annual auction.

#### Project Accomplishments:

Auctions of emission allowances were conducted by the U.S. EPA in March of 1993 through and including March of 1996. FPL has received the revenues for the allowances sold at the 1993 through 1996 auctions and is recording the proceeds as negative return on investment in accordance with the Commission's order dated April 6, 1994.

#### Project Fiscal Expenditures:

Negative return on investment for the period April 1996 through September 1996 are expected to be (\$44,767) vs. an original estimate of (\$47,509).

#### Project Progress Summary:

Revenues from the four auctions of allowances held to date have been received and are being recorded in accordance with the Commission's order.

#### Project Projections:

Projections of anticipated revenues from any future auctions are problematic due to the nature of the auction process. The amount of the proceeds are determine by the selling price of allowances which is not known ahead of time. Based upon prior experience, however, FPL could expect to receive approximately \$ 90,000. The estimated negative return on investment for the period October 1996 through September 1997 is expected to be (\$97,060).

Project Title: Scherer Discharge Pipeline - Capital

#### Project Description:

On March 16, 1992, pursuant to the provisions of the Georgia Water Quality control Act, as amended, the Federal Clean Water Act, as amended, and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, the Georgia Department of Natural Resources issued the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for Plant Scherer to Georgia Power Company. In addition to the permit, the Department issued Administrative Order EPD-WQ-1855 which provided a schedule for compliance by April 1, 1994 with new facility discharge limitations to Berry Creek. As a result of these new limitations, and pursuant to the order, Georgia Power Company was required to construct an alternate outfall to redirect certain wastewater discharges to the Ocmulgee River. Pursuant to the ownership agreement with Georgia Power Company for Scherer Unit 4, FPL is required to pay for its share of construction of the discharge pipeline which will constitute the alternate outfall.

#### Project Accomplishments:

The discharge pipeline was placed in-service in February 1994.

#### Project Fiscal Expenditures:

Estimated/actual expenditures (depreciation plus return) for the period April 1996 through September 1996 are expected to be \$58,732 compared to the original estimate of \$58,302. All future period expenditures will represent depreciation and return.

#### Project Progress Summary:

Installation of the discharge pipeline is complete, and it was placed in-service in February 1994.

Project Title: St. Lucie Plant Sea Turtle Barrier - Capital

#### Project Description:

Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act requires federal agencies to consult with the Department of the Interior or the Department of Commerce to ensure that their activities are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any endangered or threatened species. Since 1991, the numbers of sea turtles entrained in the ocean intake water of the St. Lucie Nuclear Plant has increased significantly. Prompted by concern over the effects of continued plant operation on the endangered sea turtles and pursuant to Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission requested a consultation from the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) of the Department of Commerce. The NMFS recommended a new 5 inch mesh barrier net be installed in addition to the existing 8 inch mesh barrier net.

#### Project Accomplishments:

The project to install the new 5 inch mesh barrier net in the intake canal system at the St. Lucie Nuclear Plant was placed in-service on December 28, 1995.

#### Project Fiscal Expenditures:

The estimated/actual expenditures (depreciation and return) for the period April 1996 through September 1996 are expected to be \$38,121 compared to an original estimate of \$34,550.

#### Project Progress Summary:

This project is complete.

Project Title: Disposal of Noncontainerized Liquid Waste - Capital

#### Project Description:

Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.) Rule 62-701.300, effective January 2, 1994, states that noncontainerized liquid waste shall not be placed in solid waste disposal units, such as landfills. Accordingly, FPL's power plants need to dispose of their non-containenzed liquid waste in a manner which meets this requirement.

The capital expenditures relate to the disposal of noncontainerized liquid waste in a manner which meets the F.A.C. Rule 62-701.300 (10). Ash generated during the production of electric power falls into this category.

#### Project Projections:

Estimated project fiscal expenditures for the period October 1996 through September 1997 are expected to be \$32,781.

#### Florida Power & Light Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause

#### Calculation of the Energy & Demand Allocation % By Rate Class

October 1996 to September 1997

(4) Demand CP Loss Expansion Factor  1.083175791 1.083175791 1.083103456 1.083103456 1.08413589 1.081662033 1.071305922	1.067196356	(6) Projected Sales at Generation (KWH)  44,629,191,243 5,250,697,520 19,100,465,432 21,890,158 7,759,033,872	(7) Projected Avg 12 CP at Generation (kW)  8,487,172 897,136 2,589,763 2,686 1,108,062	(8) Percentage of KWH Sales at Generation (%) 53.20547% 6.25971% 22.77095% 0.02610% 9.25007%	(9) Percentage of 12 CP Demand at Generation (%) 60.85590% 6.43277% 18.56948% 0.01926% 7.94518%
Expansion Factor  1.083175791 1.083175791 1.083103456 1.083103456 1.054413589 1.081662033	Loss Expansion Factor 1.067486100 1.067479781 1.044406598 1.067196356	Sales at Generation (KWH) 44,629,191,243 5,250,697,520 19,100,465,432 21,890,158 7,759,033,872	Avg 12 CP at Generation (kW) 8,487,172 897,136 2,589,763 2,686	KWH Sales at Generation (%) 53.20547% 6.25971% 22.77095% 0.02610%	12 CP Demand at Generation (%) 60.85590% 6.43277% 18.56948% 0.01926%
Expansion Factor 153 1.083175791 246 1.083175791 058 1.083103456 548 1.054413589 107 1.081662033	Expansion Factor 1.067486100 1.067479781 1.044406598 1.067196356	Generation (KWH) 44,629,191,243 5,250,697,520 19,100,465,432 21,890,158 7,759,033,872	at Generation (kW) 8,487,172 897,136 2,589,763 2,686	at Generation (%) 53.20547% 6.25971% 22.77095% 0.02610%	at Generation (%) 60.85590% 6.43277% 18.56948% 0.01926%
Factor 153 1.083175791 1.083175791 1.083103456 1.083103456 1.054413589 1.081662033	1.067486100 1.067486100 1.067479781 1.044406598 1.067196356	(KWH) 44,629,191,243 5,250,697,520 19,100,465,432 21,890,158 7,759,033,872	(kW) 8,487,172 897,136 2,589,763 2,686	(%) 53.20547% 6.25971% 22.77095% 0.02610%	60.85590% 6.43277% 18.56948% 0.01926%
1.083175791 1.083175791 1.083103456 1.083103456 1.054413589 1.081662033	1.067486100 1.067486100 1.067479781 1.044406598 1.067196356	44,629,191,243 5,250,697,520 19,100,465,432 21,890,158 7,759,033,872	8,487,172 897,136 2,589,763 2,686	53.20547% 6.25971% 22.77095% 0.02610%	60.85590% 6.43277% 18.56948% 0.01926%
1.083175791 1.083103456 548 1.054413589 1.07 1.081662033	1.067486100 1.067479781 1.044406598 1.067196356	5,250,697,520 19,100,465,432 21,890,158 7,759,033,872	897,136 2,589,763 2,686	6.25971% 22.77095% 0.02610%	6.43277% 18.56948% 0.01926%
1.083103456 548 1.054413589 107 1.081662033	1.067479781 1.044406598 1.067196356	19,100,465,432 21,890,158 7,759,033,872	2,589,763 2,686	22.77095% 0.02610%	18.56948% 0.01926%
548 1.054413589 107 1.081662033	1.044406598 1.067196356	21,890,158 7,759,033,872	2,686	0.02610%	0.01926%
1.081662033	1.067196356	7,759,033,872			
1.081662033			1,108,062	9.25007%	
	1.062656678	4 007 440 440			
	1.00000010	1,687,118,112	236,571	2.01133%	1.69629%
76 1.029467667	1.024433539	776,582,220	110,231	0.92582%	0.79039%
136 1.083175791	1.067486100	2,469,535	148	0.00294%	0.00106%
1.029467667	1.024433539	105,587,996	24,746	0.12588%	0.17744%
1.068724765	1.052872337	74,864,213	5,924	0.08925%	0.04248%
313 1.075614838	1.063603766	2,689,328,130	317,965	3.20613%	2.27992%
352 1.029467667	1.024433539	1,146,618,780	132,649	1.36696%	0.95114%
196 1.054413589	1.044406598	90,633,557	14,968	0.10805%	0.10733%
556 1.083175791	1.067486100	468,178,143	9,267	0.55815%	0.06645%
359 1.083175791	1.067486100	78,173,321	9,055	0.09320%	0.06493%
ana .		83,880,832,231	13,946,343	100.00%	100.00%
1	613 1.075614838 852 1.029467667 196 1.054413589 556 1.083175791 359 1.083175791	613 1.075614838 1.063603766 852 1.029467667 1.024433539 196 1.054413589 1.044406598 556 1.083175791 1.067486100	613     1.075614838     1.063603766     2,689,328,130       852     1.029467667     1.024433539     1,146,618,780       196     1.054413589     1.044406598     90,633,557       556     1.083175791     1.067486100     468,178,143       359     1.083175791     1.067486100     78,173,321	613     1.075614838     1.063603766     2,689,328,130     317,965       852     1.029467667     1.024433539     1,146,618,780     132,649       196     1.054413589     1.044406598     90,633,557     14,968       556     1.083175791     1.067486100     468,178,143     9,267       359     1.083175791     1.067486100     78,173,321     9,055	613       1.075614838       1.063603766       2,689,328,130       317,965       3.20613%         852       1.029467667       1.024433539       1,146,618,780       132,649       1.36696%         196       1.054413589       1.044406598       90,633,557       14,968       0.10805%         556       1.083175791       1.067486100       468,178,143       9,267       0.55815%         359       1.083175791       1.067486100       78,173,321       9,055       0.09320%

#### Notes:

- (2) Projected KWH sales for the period October 1996 through September 1997
- (3) Calculated: (Col 2)/(8,760 \* Col 1)
- (4) Based on 1995 demand losses
- (5) Based on 1995 energy losses
- (6) Col 2 \* Col 5
- (7) Col 3 \* Col 4
- (8) Col 6 / total for Col 6
- (9) Col 7 / total for Col 7

#### Florida Power & Light Company

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
Calculation of Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Factors
October 1996 to September 1997

Rate Class	(1) Percentage of KWH Sales at Generation (%)	(2) Percentage of 12 CP Demand at Generation (%)	(3) Energy Related Cost (\$)	(4) Demand Related Cost (\$)	(5) Total Environmental Costs (\$)	(6) Projected Sales at Meter (KWH)	(7) Environmental Cost Recovery Factor (\$/KWH)
RS1	53.20547%	60.85590%	\$4,344,440	\$2,865,630	\$7,210,070	41,807,749,293	0.00017
GS1	6.25971%	6.43277%	\$511,131	\$302,911	\$814,042	4,918,750,249	,249 0.00017
GSD1	22.77095%	18.56948%	\$1,859,341	\$874,414	\$2,733,755	17,893,046,568	0.00015
OS2	0.02610%	0.01926%	\$2,131	\$907	\$3,038	20,959,421	0.00014
GSLD1/CS1	9.25007%	7.94518%	\$755,306	\$374,129	\$1,129,435	7,270,483,851	0.00016
GSLD2/CS2	2.01133%	1.69629%	\$164,233	\$79,876	\$244,109	1,587,641,754	0.00015
GSLD3/CS3	0.92582%	0.79039%	\$75,597	\$37,218	\$112,815	758,060,128	0.00015
ISST1D	0.00294%	0.00106%	\$240	\$50	\$290	2,313,412	0.00013
SST1T	0.12588%	0.17744%	\$10,279	\$8,355	\$18,634	103,069,640	0.00018
SST1D	0.08925%	0.04248%	\$7,288	\$2,000	\$9,288 71,104,7		0.00013
CILC D/CILC G	3.20613%	2.27992%	\$261,794	\$107,359	\$369,153	2,528,505,648	0.00015
CILC T	1.36696%	0.95114%	\$111,618	\$44,788	\$156,406	1,119,271,028	0.00014
MET	0.10805%	0.10733%	\$8,823	\$5,054	\$13,877	86,779,954	0.00016
OL1/SL1	0.55815%	0.06645%	\$45,575	\$3,129	\$48,704	438,580,084	0.00011
SL2	0.09320%	0.06493%	\$7,610	\$3,057	\$10,667	73,231,231	0.00015
TOTAL			\$8,165,406	\$4,708,877	\$12,874,283	78,679,547,000	0.00016

Notes: There are currently no customers taking service on Schedule ISST1(T). Should any customer begin taking service on this schedule during the period, they will be billed using the ISST(D) Factor,

- (1) From Form 42-6P, Col 8
- (2) From Form 42-6P, Col 9
- (3) Total Energy \$ from Form 42-1P, Line 5 x Col 1
- (4) Total Demand \$ from Form 42-1P, Line 5 x Col 2
- (5) Col 3 + Col 4
- (6) Projected KWH sales for the period October 1996 through September 1997
- (7) Col 5 / 6 x 100

#### APPENDIX II

ENVIRONMENTAL COST RECOVERY COMMISSION FORMS 42-1E THROUGH 42-8E CURRENT (ESTIMATED/ACTUAL) PERIOD APRIL 1996 - SEPTEMBER 1996

PAGES 1-18 JUNE 24, 1996

# Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Current (Estimated/Actual) Period True-Up April 1996 to September 1996

Line No.		Period Amount (\$)
1.	Over/(Under) Recovery for the current period (PSC/EAG FORM 42-2E, Line 5)	53,359
2.	Interest Provision (PSC/EAG FORM 42-2E, Line 6)	(22,253)
3.	Sum of Current Period Adjustments (PSC/EAG FORM 42-2E, Line 10)	0
4.	Current Period True-up Amount to be refunded/(recovered) in the projection period October 1996 - September 1997 (Lines 1 + 2 + 3)	31,106

#### Florida Power & Light Company Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Estimated/Actual True-up Amount For the Period April 1996 through September 1996

								End of
Lin		April Actual	May Actual	June Estimated	July Estimated	August Estimated	September Estimated	Period Amount
1	ECRC Revenues (Net of Revenue Taxes)	\$796,537	\$822,520	\$931,791	\$1,030,019	\$1,026,519	\$999,722	\$5,607,10
2	True-up Provision (Order No. PSC-95-1051-FOF-EI)	(239,672)	(239,672)	(239,672)	(239,672)	(239,672)	(239,672)	(1,438,033)
3	ECRC Revenues Applicable to Period (Lines 1 + 2)	556,865	582,848	692,118	790,347	786,847	760,050	4,169,075
4	Jurisdictional ECRC Costs							
	a - O&M Activities (Form 42-5A, Line 9)	111,644	162,450	212,902	286,173	284,666	235,843	1,293,678
	b - Capital Investment Projects (Form 42-7A, Line 9)	466,760	471,036	465,394	471,305	474,214	473,330	2,822,038
	c - Total Jurisdictional ECRC Costs	578,404	633,486	678,296	757,478	758,880	709,173	4,115,716
ω 5	Over/(Under) Racovery (Line 3 - Line 4c)	(21,539)	(50,638)	13,822	32,869	27,967	50,877	53,359
6	Interest Provision (Form 42-3A, Line 10)	(6,334)	(5,389)	(4,417)	(3,254)	(2,053)	(806)	(22,253)
7	Beginning Balance True-Up & Interest Provision	(1,438,033)	(1,226,234)	(1,042,589)	(793,511)	(524,224)	(258,638)	(1,438,033)
	<ul> <li>a - Deferred True-Up from April through September 1995</li> <li>(Form 42-1A, Line 3)</li> </ul>	(65,778)	(65,778)	(65,778)	(65,778)	(65,778)	(65,778)	(65,778)
8	True-Up Collected /(Refunded) (See Line 2)	239,672	239,672	239,672	239,672	239,672	239,672	1,438,03
9	End of Period True-Up (Lines 5 + 6 + 7 + 7a + 8)	(1,292,012)	(1,108,367)	(859,289)	(590,002)	(324,416)	(34,673)	(34,673)
10	Adjustments to Period Total True-Up Including Interest	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11	End of Period Total Net True-Up (Lines 9 + 10)	(\$1,292,012)	(\$1,108,367)	(\$859,289)	(\$590,002)	(\$324,416)	(\$34,673)	(\$34,673)

Notes:

### Interest Provision (in Dollars)

	April	May	June	July	August	September	Period Amount
Beginning True-Up Amount (Form 42-2A, Lines 7 + 7s + 10)	(\$1,503,811)	(\$1,292,012)	(\$1,108,367)	(\$859,289)	(\$590,002)	(\$324,416)	(\$5,677,897)
Ending True-Up Amount before Interest (Line 1 + Form 42-2A, Lines 5 + 8)	(1,285,678)	(1,102,978)	(854,872)	(586,748)	(322,363)	(33,867)	(4,186,506)
Total of Beginning & Ending True-Up (Lines 1 + 2)	(\$2,789,489)	(\$2,394,990)	(\$1,963,239)	(\$1,446,037)	(\$912,365)	(\$358,283)	(\$9,864,403)
Average True-Up Amount (Line 3 x 1/2)	(\$1,394,745)	(\$1,197,495)	(\$981,620)	(\$723,019)	(\$456,183)	(\$179,142)	(\$4,932,202)
Interest Rate (First Day of Reporting Month)	5.50000%	5.40000%	5.40000%	5.40000%	5.40000%	5.40000%	N/A
Interest Rate (First Day of Subsequent Month)	5.40000%	5.40000%	5.40000%	5.40000%	5.40000%	5.40000%	N/A
Total of Beginning & Ending Interest Rates (Lines 5 + 6)	10.90000%	10.80000%	10.80000%	10.80000%	10.80000%	10.80000%	N/A
Average Interest Rate (Line 7 x 1/2)	5.45000%	5.40000%	5.40000%	5.40000%	5.40000%	5.40000%	N/A
Monthly Average Interest Rate (Line 8 x 1/12)	0.45417%	0.45000%	0.45000%	0.45000%	0.45000%	0.45000%	N/A
Interest Provision for the Month (Line 4 x Line 9)	(\$6,334)	(\$5,389)	(\$4,417)	(\$3,254)	(\$2,053)	(\$806)	(\$22,253)
	(Form 42-2A, Lines 7 + 7a + 10)  Ending True-Up Amount before Interest (Line 1 + Form 42-2A, Lines 5 + 8)  Total of Beginning & Ending True-Up (Lines 1 + 2)  Average True-Up Amount (Line 3 x 1/2)  Interest Rate (First Day of Reporting Month)  Interest Rate (First Day of Subsequent Month)  Total of Beginning & Ending Interest Rates (Lines 5 + 6)  Average Interest Rate (Line 7 x 1/2)  Monthly Average Interest Rate (Line 8 x 1/12)	Beginning True-Up Amount (Form 42-2A, Lines 7 + 7s + 10) (\$1,503,811)  Ending True-Up Amount before Interest (Line 1 + Form 42-2A, Lines 5 + 8)  Total of Beginning & Ending True-Up (Lines 1 + 2)  Average True-Up Amount (Line 3 x 1/2)  Interest Rate (First Day of Reporting Month)  Interest Rate (First Day of Subsequent Month)  Total of Beginning & Ending Interest Rates (Lines 5 + 6)  Average Interest Rate (Line 7 x 1/2)  Monthly Average Interest Rate (Line 8 x 1/12)  0.45417%	Beginning True-Up Amount (Form 42-2A, Lines 7 + 7a + 10) (\$1,503,811) (\$1,292,012)  Ending True-Up Amount before Interest (Line 1 + Form 42-2A, Lines 5 + 8)  Total of Beginning & Ending True-Up (Lines 1 + 2) (\$2,789,489) (\$2,394,990)  Average True-Up Amount (Line 3 x 1/2) (\$1,394,745) (\$1,197,495)  Interest Rate (First Day of Reporting Month) 5.5000% 5.40000%  Interest Rate (First Day of Subsequent Month) 5.4000% 5.4000%  Total of Beginning & Ending Interest Rates (Lines 5 + 6) 10.9000% 10.80000%  Average Interest Rate (Line 7 x 1/2) 5.45000% 5.40000%  Monthly Average Interest Rate (Line 8 x 1/12) 0.45417% 0.45000%	Beginning True-Up Amount (Form 42-2A, Lines 7 + 7s + 10) (\$1,503,811) (\$1,292,012) (\$1,108,367)  Ending True-Up Amount before Interest (Line 1 + Form 42-2A, Lines 5 + 8)  Total of Beginning & Ending True-Up (Lines 1 + 2) (\$2,789,489) (\$2,394,990) (\$1,963,239)  Average True-Up Amount (Line 3 x 1/2) (\$1,394,745) (\$1,197,495) (\$981,620)  Interest Rate (First Day of Reporting Month) 5.5000% 5.4000%  Total of Beginning & Ending Interest Rates (Lines 5 + 6) 10.9000% 10.80000%  Average Interest Rate (Line 7 x 1/2)  Monthly Average Interest Rate (Line 8 x 1/12) 0.45417% 0.45000% 0.45000%	Beginning True-Up Amount (Form 42-2A, Lines 7 + 7a + 10) (\$1,503,811) (\$1,292,012) (\$1,108,367) (\$859,289)  Ending True-Up Amount before Interest (1,285,678) (1,102,978) (854,872) (586,748)  Total of Beginning & Ending True-Up (Lines 1 + 2) (\$2,789,489) (\$2,394,990) (\$1,963,239) (\$1,446,037)  Average True-Up Amount (Line 3 x 1/2) (\$1,394,745) (\$1,197,495) (\$981,620) (\$723,019)  Interest Rate (First Day of Reporting Month) 5.50000% 5.40000% 5.40000% 5.40000%  Interest Rate (First Day of Subsequent Month) 5.40000% 5.40000% 5.40000% 5.40000%  Total of Beginning & Ending Interest Rates (Lines 5 + 6) 10.90000% 10.80000% 10.80000% 10.80000% 5.40000%  Average Interest Rate (Line 7 x 1/2) 5.45000% 5.4000	Beginning True-Up Amount (Form 42-2A, Lines 7 + 7a + 10) (\$1,503,811) (\$1,292,012) (\$1,108,367) (\$859,289) (\$590,002)  Ending True-Up Amount before Interest (Line 1 + Form 42-2A, Lines 5 + 8) (1,285,678) (1,102,978) (\$2,789,489) (\$2,394,990) (\$1,963,239) (\$1,446,037) (\$912,365)  Average True-Up Amount (Line 3 x 1/2) (\$1,394,745) (\$1,197,495) (\$981,620) (\$723,019) (\$456,183)  Interest Rate (First Day of Reporting Month) 5.5000% 5.4000% 5.4000% 5.4000% 5.4000%  Interest Rate (First Day of Subsequent Month) 5.4000% 5.4000% 5.4000% 5.4000% 5.4000%  Average Interest Rate (Line 7 x 1/2) 5.4500% 5.4000% 5.4000% 5.4000% 5.4000% 5.4000%  Average Interest Rate (Line 7 x 1/2) 5.45000% 5.4000% 5.4000% 5.4000% 5.4000% 5.4000% 6.4	Beginning True-Up Amount (Form 42-2A, Lines 7 + 7a + 10) (\$1,503,811) (\$1,292,012) (\$1,108,387) (\$859,289) (\$590,002) (\$324,416) (\$1,603,811) (\$1,292,012) (\$1,108,387) (\$859,289) (\$590,002) (\$324,416) (\$1,603,811) (\$1,292,012) (\$1,108,387)

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
Calculation of the Estimated/Actual True-Up Amount for the Period
April 1996 - September 1996

Variance Report of O&M Activities (in Dollars)

		F	(1) Estimated		(2) Original		(3) Varian	(4) ce
Line			Actual		rojection		Amount	Percent
1	Description of O&M Activities							
	1 Air Operating Permit Fees-O&M	s	28,260	s	28,638	s	(378)	-1.3%
	. [일] : [기 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 : 1 :	*		4	187,750	9	105,611	56.3%
	3a Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems-O&M		293,361		0.000.000.000.000.000.000.000.000		(9,719)	100.0%
	4a Clean Closure Equivalency-O&M		(9,719)		0			
	5a Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks-O&M		636,154		553,998		82,156	14.8%
	5c Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks-Spill Abatement		2,908		0		2,908	100.0%
	8a Oil Spill Cleanup/Response Equipment-O&M		40,360		36,000		4,360	12.1%
	9 Low-Level Radioactive Waste Access Fees-O&M		0		0		0	0.0%
	13 RCRA Corrective Action-O&M		405,160		513,659		(108,499)	-21.1%
	14 NPDES Permit Fees-O&M		4,159		(856)		5,015	-585.9%
2	Total O&M Activities	\$	1,400,643	\$	1,319,189	\$	81,454	6.2%
3	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$	361,981	s	252,388	\$	109,593	43.4%
4	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	s	1,038,662	\$	1,066,801	5	(28,139)	-2.6%

#### Notes

Column(1) is the End of Period Totals on Form 42-5E

Column(2) is the approved Estimated/Actual amount in accordance with

FPSC Order No. PSC-96-0361-FOF-EI

Column(3) = Column(1) - Column(2)

Column(4) = Column(3) / Column(2)

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause

### Calculation of the Estimated/Actual True-Up Amount for the Period

April 1996 - September 1996

O&M Activities (in Dollars)

Lin	e		APR		MAY		JUN		JUL		AUG		SEP		End of Period Total	Method of C		sification Energy
	-	_		_		_		-		-		-		_			-	31
	1 Description of O&M Activities																	
	1 Air Operating Permit Fees-O&M	\$	4,773	\$	3,645	\$	5,023	\$	5,273	\$	4,773	\$	4,773	\$	28,260		\$	28,260
	3a Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems-O&M		13,441		37,320		57,000		60,500		51,100		74,000		293,361			293,364
	4a Clean Closure Equivalency-O&M		(11,014)		1,295		0		0		0		0		(9,719)	(9,719)		- (89)
	5a Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks-O&M		68,556		17,598		100,000		150,000		150,000		150,000		636,154	636,154		. •
	5c Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks-Spill Abatement		2,908		0		0		0		0		0		2,908	2,908		*
	8a Oil Spill Cleanup/Response Equipment-O&M		1,322		6,360		6,000		6,678		10,000		10,000		40,360			40,360
	9 Low-Level Radioactive Waste Access Fees-O&M		0		0		0		0		0		0		0			0
	13 RCRA Corrective Action-O&M		34,613		100,779		49,768		100,000		100,000		20,000		405,160	405,160		
	14 NPDES Permit Fees-O&M		0		(441)	1	200		4,400		0		0		4,159	4,159		
	2 Total of O&M Activities	\$	114,599	\$	166,556	\$	217,991	5	326,851	\$	315,873	\$	258,773	\$	1,400,643	\$ 1,038,662	\$	361,981
	3 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	S	19,536	\$	47,325	\$	68,023	\$	72,451	\$	65,873	\$	88,773	\$	361,981			
	4 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	\$	95,063	\$	119,231	\$	149,968	\$	254,400	\$	250,000	\$	170,000	\$	1,038,662			
	5 Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor		98.23871%	9	8.23871%		98.23871%		98.23871%		98.23871%		98.23871%					
	6 Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor		97.25530%	9	7.25530%		97.25530%		97.25530%		97.25530%		97.25530%					
	7 Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (A)	\$	19,192	\$	46,491	\$	66,825	\$	71,175	\$	64,713	\$	87,209	5	355,605			
	8 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (B)	\$	92,454	\$	115,958	\$	145,852	\$	247,417	\$	243,138	\$	165,334	\$	1,010,153			
	9 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for O&M		*** ***		100 4/0		040.000				007.051		050 5 :0		. 225 252			
	Activities	- \$	111,646	\$	162,449	\$	212,677	\$	318,592	2	307,851	2	252,543	\$	1,365,758			

Notes:

(A) Line 3 x Line 5

(B) Line 4 x Line 6

Environmental Cost Recovery Clause
Calculation of the Estimated/Actual True-Up Amount for the Period
April 1996 - September 1996

Variance Report of Capital Investment Projects-Recoverable Costs (in Dollars)

		(1) Estimated	(2) Original		(3) Variar	(4)
Line		Actual	Projection	_	Amount	Percent
	Description of Investment Projects					
1	Description of Investment Projects 2 Low NOx Burner Technology-Capital	\$ 1,375,447	\$ 1,427,896	s	(52,449)	-3.7%
	그는 사람이 가지를 살았다. 그리고 있다면 하는 그렇게 그 바다를 하는 것이 되었다. 그리고 있다는 것이 없는 것이 없다면 하는 것이 없다면 하는 것이 없다면 하는 것이다.	999,646	985.050		14,596	1.5%
	3b Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems-Capital	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	7.		29	0.7%
	4b Clean Closure Equivalency-Capital	4,171	4,142			979770
	5b Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel	375,986	388,755		(12,769)	-3.3%
	Storage Tanks-Capital	0.400	0.007		15	0.70/
	<ol> <li>Relocate Turbine Lube Oil Underground Piping to Above Ground-Capital</li> </ol>	2,102	2,087		15	0.7%
	8b Oil Spill Cleanup/Response Equipment-Capital	61,262	77,489		(16,227)	-20.9%
	10 Relocate Storm Water Runoff-Capital	7,859	7,800		59	0.8%
	NA SO2 Allowances-Negative Return on Investment	(44,767)	(47,509)		2,742	-5.8%
	12 Scherer Discharge Pipeline-Capital	58,732	58,302		430	0.7%
	16 St. Lucie Plant Turtle Nets-Capital	38,121	34,550		3.571	10.3%
2	Total Investment Projects-Recoverable Costs	\$ 2,878,559	\$ 2,938,562	\$	(60,003)	-2.0%
3	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$ 2,372,498	\$ 2,409,525	\$	(37,027)	-1.5%
4	Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	\$ 506,061	\$ 529,037	\$	(22,976)	-4 3%

### Notes:

Column(1) is the End of Period Totals on Form 42-7E

Column(2) is the approved Estimated/Actual amount in accordance with

FPSC Order No. PSC-96-0361-FOF-EI

Column(3) = Column(1) - Column(2)

Column(4) = Column(3) / Column(2)

Capital Investment Projects-Recoverable Costs (in Dollars)

													End of Period	Method of C	Classification
Line		APR	_	MAY	JUN	_	JUL		AUG		SEP		Total	Demand	Energy
1 Description of Investment Projects (A)															
2 Low NOx Burner Technology-Capital		\$229,854		\$231,168	\$226,795		\$229,871		\$229,210		\$228,549		\$1,375,447		\$1,375
3b Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems-Capital		166,563		167,516	167,066		166,617		166,167		165,717		999,646		999,
4b Clean Closure Equivalency-Capital		694		699	697		695		694		692		4,171	3,850	321
5b Maintenance of Stationary Above Ground Fuel Storage Tanks-Capital		58,320		59,490	59,363		63,354		67,572		67,887		375,986	347,064	28,922
7 Relocate Turbine Lube Oil Underground Piping to Above Ground-Capital		350		352	351		350		350		349		2,102	1,940	162
8b Oil Spill Cleanup/Response Equipment-Capital		10,189		10,345	10,279		10,216		10,149		10,084		61,262	56,550	4,712
10 Relocate Storm Water Runoff-Capital		1,306		1,316	1,312		1,312		1,308		1,305		7,859	7,254	605
NA SO2 Allowances-Negative Return on Investment		(6,913)		(6,912)	(7,383)		(7,853)		(7,853)		(7,853)		(44,767)		(44,767)
12 Scherer Discharge Pipeline-Capital		9,767		9,835	9,812		9,794		9,772		9,752		58,732	54,214	4,518
16 St. Lucie Plant Turtle Nets-Capital	7	5,795		5,492	6,476		6,465		6,452		6,441		38,121	35,189	2,932
2 Total Investment Projects - Recoverable Costs	\$	475,925	\$	480,301	\$ 474,768	\$	480,821	\$	483,821	\$	482,923		\$2,878,559	\$506,061	\$2,372,498
3 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Energy	\$	396,151	\$	398,582	\$ 393,270	\$	395,725	s	394,932	\$	393,836	\$	2,372,496		
4 Recoverable Costs Allocated to Demand	\$	79,774	\$	81,719	\$ 81,498	\$	35,096	\$	88,889	\$	89,087	\$	506,063		
5 Retail Energy Jurisdictional Factor		98.23871%		98.23871%	98.23871%		98.23871%		98.23871%		98.23871%				
6 Retail Demand Jurisdictional Factor		97.25530%		97.25530%	97.25530%		97.25530%		97.25530%		97.25530%				
7 Jurisdictional Energy Recoverable Costs (B)	\$	389,174	\$	391,562	\$ 386,343	\$	388,755	\$	387,976	\$	386,899	\$	2,330,709		
8 Jurisdictional Demand Recoverable Costs (C)	\$	77,584	\$	79,476	\$ 79,261	\$	82,760	\$	86,449	\$	86,642	\$	492,172		
9 Total Jurisdictional Recoverable Costs for					105.004	_	174 646		171 155		172 511		2 022 004		
Investment Projects	\$	466,758	\$	471,038	\$ 465,604	\$	471,515	3	474,425	2	4/3,541	2	2,822,881		

### Notes:

(A) Each project's Total System Recoverable Expenses on Form 42-8E, Line 9

(B) Line 3 x Line 5

(C) Line 4 x Line 6

Totals may not add due to rounding.

Q

### Environmental Cost Recovery Clause Calculation of the Estimated/Actual Amount for the Period

### April 1996 through September 1996

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Low NOx Burner Technology (Project No. 2)
(in Dullars)

Line		Beginning of Period Amount	April Actual	May Actual	June Estimated	July Estimated	August Estimated	September Estimated	End of Period Amount
1.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		\$10,135	\$3,801	\$0	\$0	\$O	\$0	\$13,936
2. 3. 4.	Plant-in-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$17,642,575 447,893 0	17,652,710 518,101 0	17,656,511 588,334 0	17,656,511 658,574 0	17,656,511 728,814 0	17,656,511 799,054 0	17,656,511 869,294 0	n/a n/a 0
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$17,194,682	\$17,134,609	\$17,068,177	\$16,997,937	\$16,927,697	\$16,857,457	\$16,787,217	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		17,164,645	17,101,393	16,650,827	16,962,817	16.892,577	16,822,337	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment  a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C)  b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3439% x 1/12)		111,979 47,668	113,281 47,654	110,198 46,357	112,363 47,268	111,898 47,073	111,432 46,877	671,151 282,897
8.	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Expenses		70,208	70,233	70,240	70,240	70,240	70,240	421,401
	e. Other (E)								
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$229,854	\$231,168	\$226,795	\$229,871	\$229,210	\$228,549	\$1,375,447

- (A) N/A
- (B) N/A
- (C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8826% reflects a 12% return on equity.
- (D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month.

  Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.
- (E) N/A

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Continuous Emissions Monitoring (Project No. 3b)
(in Dollars)

Line	-	Beginning of Period Amount	April Actual	May Actual	June Estimated	July Estimated	August Estimated	September Estimated	End of Period Amount
1.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)	= 7	00	60	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
2.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base	\$13,519,504	13,519,504	13,519,504	13,519,504	13,519,504	13,519,504	13,519,504	n/a
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)	723,204	770,972	818,741	866,509	914,278	962,046	1,009,814	n/a
4.	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$12,796,300	\$12,748,532	\$12,700,763	\$12,652,995	\$12,605,226	\$12,557,458	\$12,509,690	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		12,772,415	12,724,647	12,676,879	12,629,111	12,581,342	12,533,574	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment								
	a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C)		83,325	84,289	83,972	83,656	83,340	83,023	501,605
	<ul> <li>Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3439% x 1/12)</li> </ul>		35,470	35,458	35,325	35,192	35,059	34,926	211,430
8.	Investment Expenses								
	a. Depreciation (D)		47,768	47,768	47,768	47,768	47,768	47,768	286,610
	b. Amortization								
	c. Dismantiement								
	d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)								
0	Total Coston Bossesship France (I are 7 8 0)		******	*******	*****	******	1100 103	1100 313	1000 010
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$166,563	\$167,516	\$167,066	\$166,617	\$166,167	\$165,717	\$999,646

- (A) N/A
- (B) N/A
- (C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8826% reflects a 12% return on equity.
- (D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant in Service during the month.

  Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.
- (E) N/A

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Clean Closure Equivalency (Project No. 4b) (in Dollars)

Line		Beginning of Period Amount	April Actual	May Actual	June Estimated	July Estimated	August Estimated	September Estimated	End of Period Amount
1.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Piant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	*0
2.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base	\$58,366	58,866	58,866	58,866	58,866	58,866	58,866	n/a
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)	4,287	4,475	4,663	4,851	5,038	5,226	5,414	n/a
4.	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$54,579	\$54,391	\$54,203	\$54,015	\$53,828	\$53,640	\$53,452	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		54,485	54,297	54,109	53,922	53,734	53,546	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment								
	a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C)		355	360	358	357	356	355	2,141
	<ul> <li>Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3439% x 1/12)</li> </ul>		151	151	151	150	150	149	903
8.	Investment Expenses								
	a. Depreciation (D)		188	188	188	188	188	188	1,127
	b. Amortization								
	c. Dismantlement								
	d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)								
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$694	\$699	\$697	\$695	\$694	\$692	\$4,171

### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8826% reflects a 12% re
(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to

### Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Maintenance of Above Ground Storage Tanks (Project No. 5b) (in Dollars)

Line		Beginning of Period Amount	April Actual	May Actual	June Estimated	July Estimated	August Estimated	September Estimated	End of Period Amount
1.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		\$118,995	(\$21,887)	\$0	\$675,000	\$40,000	\$40,000	#852,108
2.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base	\$4,560,156	4,679,151	4,657,264	4,657,264	5,332,264	5,372,264	5,412,264	n/a
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)	(102,597)	(114,017)	(123,236)	(108,793)	(93,394)	(76,992)	(60,497)	n/a
4.	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$4,662,752	\$4,793,168	\$4,780,500	\$4,766.057	\$5,425,658	\$5,449,256	\$5,472,761	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		4,727,960	4,786,834	4,773,278	5,095,857	5,437,457	5,461,008	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment								
	a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C)		30,844	31,708	31,619	33,755	36,018	36,174	200,119
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3439% x 1/12)		13,130	13,339	13,301	14,200	15,152	15,218	84,340
8.	Investment Expenses								
	a. Depreciation (D)		14,345	14,443	14,443	15,399	16,402	16,495	91,527
	b. Amortization								
	c. Dismantlement								
	d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)							**	
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$58,320	\$59,490	\$59,363	\$63,354	\$67,572	\$57,887	\$375,986

- (A) N/A
- (B) Reserve was adjusted by (\$25,766) for Cost of Removal in April and (\$638) for Cost of Removal in May.
- (C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%, the monthly Equity Component of 4.8524% reflects a 12% return on equity.
- (D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant in Service during the month.

  Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.
- (E) N/A

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes

For Project: Relocate Turbine Oil Underground Piping (Project No. 7)

(in Dollars)

Line		Beginning of Period Amount	April Actual	May Actual	June Estimated	July Estimated	August Estimated	September Estimated	End of Period Amount
1.	Investments  a. Expenditures/Additions  b. Clearings to Plant		90	80	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
	c. Retirements d. Other (A)								
2.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base	\$31,030	31,030	31,030	31,030	31,030	31,030	31,030	n/a
3. 4.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	2,830	2,918	3,006	3,094	3,182	3,270	3,358	n/a O
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$28,200	\$28,112	\$28,024	\$27,936	\$27,848	\$27,760	\$27,672	n/a
6.	Average Net investment		28,156	28,068	27,980	27,892	27,804	27,716	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment								
	<ul> <li>Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C)</li> </ul>		184	186	185	185	184	184	1,107
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3439% x 1/12)		78	78	78	78	77	77	467
8.	Investment Expenses								
	a. Depreciation (D)		88	88	88	88	88	88	528
	b. Amortization								
	c. Dismantlement								
	d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)								
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$350	\$352	\$351	\$350	\$350	\$349	\$2,102

- (A) N/A
- (B) N/A
- (C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8826% reflects a 12% return on equity.
- (D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant in Service during the month.

  Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.
- (E) N/A

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Oil Spill Cleanup/Response Equipment (Project No. 8b)
(In Dollars)

Line		Beginning of Period Amount	April Actual	May Actual	June Estimated	July Estimated	August Estimated	September Estimated	End of Period Amount
1.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Ratirements d. Other (A)	The state of	\$38,829	013	80	\$0	80	\$0	\$38,842
2.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base	\$536,899	575,728	575,741	575,741	575,741	575,741	575,741	n/a
3.	Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)	202,112	209,039	215,966	222,892	229,819	236,746	243,672	n/a
4.	CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$334,787	\$366,690	\$359,776	\$352,849	\$345,923	\$338,996	\$332,069	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		350,737	363,233	356,313	349,386	342,459	335,532	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment								
	a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C)		2,288	2,406	2,360	2,314	2,268	2,223	13,860
	b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3439% x 1/12)		974	1,012	993	974	954	935	5,842
8.	Investment Expenses								
	a. Depreciation (D)		6,927	6,927	6,927	6,927	6,927	6,927	41,560
	b. Amortization								
	c. Dismentlement d. Property Expenses								
	d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)								
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)	_	\$10,189	\$10,345	\$10,279	\$10,216	\$10,149	\$10,084	\$61,262

- (A) N/A
- (B) N/A
- (C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8826% reflects a 12% return on equity.
- (D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month.

  Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.
- (E) N/A

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: Relocate Storm Water Runoff (Project No. 10) (in Dollars)

Lin		Beginning of Period Amount	April Actual	May Actual	Juna Estimated	July Estimated	August Estimated	September Estimated	of Period Amount
1.	Investments a. Expenditures/Additions b. Clearings to Plant c. Retirements d. Other (A)		\$0	\$0	80	\$0	\$0	6C	80
2. 3. 4.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$117,794 6,292 0	117,794 6,562 0	117,794 6,832 0	117,794 7,102 0	117,794 7,372 0	7,643 0	117,794 7,913 0	n/a n/a 0
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$111,502	\$111,232	\$110,962	\$110,692	\$110,422	\$110,151	\$109,881	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		111,367	111,097	110,827	110,557	110,287	110,016	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment  a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C)  b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3439% x 1/12)		727 309	736 310	734 309	732 308	731 307	729 307	4,388 1,850
8.	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)		270	270	270	270	270	270	1,621
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$1,306	\$1,316	\$1,312	\$1,312	\$1,308	\$1,305	\$7,859

#### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8826% reflects a 12% return on equity.

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant in Service during the month. Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.

### Florida Power & Light Company Schedule of Negative Return on Deferred Gain on Sales of Emission Allowances For the Estimated/Actual Period April through September 1996

Line No.	Description	Beginning of Period	Actual April	Actual May	Estimated June	Estin-ated July	Estimated August	Estimated September	End of Period Total	Line No.
1	Additions .			\$0	(\$100,000)					
2	Net Investment	(\$734,501)	(\$734,501)	(\$734,501)	(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)		
3	Average Net Investment		(\$734,501)	(\$734,501)	(\$784,501)	(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)	(\$834,501)	n/a	2
4	Return on Average Net Investment									3
	a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (A)		(4,865)	(4,865)	(5,197)	(5,528)	(5,528)	(5,528)	(31,511)	4
	b. Debt Component (Line 3 x 3.3439% /12)		(2,047)	(2,047)	(2,186)	(2,325)	(2,325)	(2,325)	(13,256)	
5	Total Return Requirements (Line 4a + 4b)		(\$6,913)	(\$6,912)	(\$7,383)	(\$7,853)	(\$7,853)	(\$7,853)	(\$44,767)	5

#### Notes:

16

(A) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8826% reflects a 12% return on equity.

in accordance with FPSC Order No. PSC-94-0393-FOF-EI, FPL has recorded the sales of emissions allowances as a regulatory liability. This schedule reflects the return on that regulatory liability.

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes
For Project: Scherer Discharge Pipeline (Project No. 12)
(in Dollars)

Line		Beginning of Period Amount	April Actual	May Actual	June Estimated	July Estimated	August Estimated	September Estimated	End of Period Amount
1.	Investments  a. Expenditures/Additions  b. Clearings to Plant  c. Retirements  d. Other (A)		(\$69)	80	00	\$0	00	00	(#69)
2. 3. 4.	Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B) CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	\$864,330 48,490 0	864,261 50,680 0	864,261 52,869 0	864,261 55,057 0	864,261 57,245 0	864,261 59,433 0	864,261 61,621 0	n/a n/a 0
5.	Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$815,839	\$813,580	\$811,392	\$809,204	\$807,016	\$804,828	\$802,640	n/a
6.	Average Net Investment		814,710	812,486	810,298	808,110	805,922	803,734	
7.	Return on Average Net Investment  a. Equity Component grossed up for taxes (C)  b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3439% x 1/12)		5,315 2,263	5,382 2,264	5,367 2,258	5,353 2,252	5,338 2,246	5,324 2,240	32,080 13,522
8.	Investment Expenses a. Depreciation (D) b. Amortization c. Dismantlement d. Property Expenses e. Other (E)		2,190	2,189	2,188	2,188	2,188	2,188	13,131
9.	Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lines 7 & 8)		\$9,767	\$9,835	\$9,812	\$9,794	\$9,772	\$9,752	\$58,732

#### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8826% reflects a 12% return on equity

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month. Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month

Return on Capital Investments, Depreciation and Taxes For Project: St. Lucie Plant Turtle Nets (Project No. 16) (in Dollurs)

Line	Beginning of Period Amount	April Actual	May Actual	June Estimated	July Estimated	August Estimated	September Estimated	end of Period Amount
1. Investments								
a. Expenditures/Additions								
b. Clearings to Plant		\$114,640	(\$3,202)	80	80	9.0	\$0	\$111,438
c. Retirements			15.0 (0.500 0.000)					ALCOHOLD BOOK
d. Other (A)								
2. Plant-In-Service/Depreciation Base	\$443,764	558,404	555,202	555,202	555,202	555,202	555,202	n/a
3. Less: Accumulated Depreciation (B)	1,560	2,714	3,998	5,293	6,589	7,884	9,180	n/a
4. CWIP - Non Interest Bearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5. Net Investment (Lines 2 - 3 + 4)	\$442,204	\$555,690	\$551,204	\$549,909	\$548,613	0547,318	\$546,022	n/a
6. Average Net Investment		498,947	553,447	550,556	549,261	547,965	546,670	
7. Return on Average Net Investment								
a. Equity Component grossed up for to	exes (C)	3,255	3,666	3,647	3,638	3,630	3,621	21,457
<ul> <li>b. Debt Component (Line 6 x 3.3439)</li> </ul>	6 x 1/12)	1,386	1,542	1,534	1,531	1,527	1,523	9,043
8. Investment Expenses								
a. Depreciation (D)		1,154	1,284	1,295	1,296	1,295	1,296	7,620
b. Amortization								
c. Dismantlement								
d. Property Expenses								
e. Other (É)								
9. Total System Recoverable Expenses (Lin	es 7 & 8)	\$5,795	\$6,493	\$6,476	\$6,465	\$6,452	\$6,441	\$38,121

#### Notes:

(A) N/A

(B) N/A

(C) The gross-up factor for taxes uses 0.61425, which reflects the Federal Income Tax Rate of 35%; the monthly Equity Component of 4.8826% reflects a 12% return on equity.

(D) Depreciation expense is calculated using the appropriate site and account rates. Half month depreciation is calculated on additions closing to Plant In Service during the month.

Depreciation and return are calculated and recorded on a one month lag due to the timing of the month end closing. Amounts recorded and shown above apply to prior month activity.