

VOTE SHEET

DATE: July 30, 1996

RE: DOCKET NO. 960305-WS - Application for water and wastewater certificates in Sumter County by Little Sumter Utility Company.

Issue 1: Recommendation that Little Sumter Utility Company should be granted Certificates Nos. 580-W and 500-S to serve the territory described in Attachment A of staff's memorandum dated July 18, 1996. The utility should file an executed and recorded copy of the warranty deeds within thirty days of the issuance date of the order granting the certificates.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: Full Commission

COMMISSIONERS' SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING

[Handwritten signatures of majority commissioners]

[Handwritten signature of dissenting commissioner]

REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

Commissioner Deason dissents

PSC/RAR33 (5/90)

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

07993 JUL 31 88

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

Issue 2: Recommendation that an inclining block rate structure should be implemented for the residential customer class described in the staff analysis. An escrow account should be established prior to implementation of the rates in accordance with the terms set forth in the staff analysis. Any requests for withdrawals from the escrow account should be accompanied by an explanation of the specific use of the funds, and documentation that the funds will be used to further the conservation program approved by the water management district for this utility. Further, the utility should be required to file quarterly reports with the Commission staff which contain the following information for the months included in the quarter: number of customer bills, gallons billed, and revenue collected, separated by usage block. This information should be filed for each customer class and meter size. The utility should file this information for a period of two years from the effective date of the rates.

MODIFIED

*Approved as modified by Staff
at the conference.*

Issue 3: Recommendation that a reuse rate should not be established for Little Sumter Utility Company at this time. However, the utility should be put on notice that, prior to providing any reuse service, it must file a proposed reuse rate with the Commission along with a reuse cost analysis, a discussion of the utility's alternatives for effluent disposal, and irrigation alternatives available to the potential reuse customers. Further, as part of the subsequent filing addressing a reuse rate, the utility should be required to provide an analysis of whether and how much of the costs associated with the reuse facilities should be spread to its water customers, and the impact on the utility's wastewater rates.

APPROVED

Issue 4: Recommendation that the water and wastewater rates set forth in the staff analysis in staff's memorandum are appropriate and the return on equity should be established at 11.88%. The utility should file tariff sheets reflecting the approved rates and charges within thirty days of the effective date of the order. The rates should be effective for services rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets.

APPROVED

Vote Sheet

Docket No. 960305-WS

July 30, 1996

Issue 5: Recommendation that the service availability charges set forth within the staff analysis are appropriate. The charges should be effective for connections made on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets.

APPROVED

Issue 6: Recommendation that an annual AFUDC rate of 10.60% should be approved with a discounted monthly rate of 0.843100%. The approved rate should be applicable for eligible construction projects beginning on the date the certificate of authorization is issued.

APPROVED

Issue 7: Recommendation that, upon expiration of the protest period, if there are no timely protests to the proposed agency action issues (Issues 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6), this docket should be closed.

APPROVED