FILE GUPY

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Fuel and Pu	DOCKET	NO. 960	001-	EI
Cost Recovery Claus Generating Performs Factor.	FILED:	August	12,	1996

STAFF'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-96-0816-PCO-EI the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission files its Prehearing Statement.

a. All Known Witnesses

None.

b. All Known Exhibits

None.

ACK ____

AFA

APP ____

CMU ____

OTH -

c. Staff's Statement of Basic Position

Staff takes no basic statement of position pending the evidence developed at hearing.

Staff's positions are preliminary and based on materials filed

by the parties and on discovery. The preliminary positions are offered to assist the parties in preparing for the hearing. Staff's final positions will be based upon all the evidence in the record and may differ from the preliminary positions stated herein.

. Staff's Position on the Issues

Generic Fuel Adjustment Issues

What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period October, 1995 through March, 1996?

Lacatator

ISSUE 1:

FPC: \$24,078,025 Underrecovery

FPL: \$17,157,052 Underrecovery

FPUC: Marianna: \$305,558 Underrecovery Fernandina Beach: \$155,552

Underrecovery

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08381 AUG 12日

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

GULF: \$7,291,590 Underrecovery

TECO: \$5,676,277 Underrecovery

ISSUE 2: What are the estimated fuel adjustment true-up

amounts for the period April, 1996 through

September, 1996?

POSITION:

FPC: \$22,768,661 Underrecovery

FPL: \$88,480,000 Underrecovery

FPUC: Marianna: \$145,351 Underrecovery

Fernandina Beach: \$95,956

Underrecovery

GULF: \$2,727,188 Underrecovery

TECO: \$1,157,170 Overrecovery

ISSUE 3: What are the total fuel adjustment true-up amounts

to be collected/refunded during the period October,

1996 through March, 1997?

POSITION:

FPC: \$46,846,686 Underrecovery

PPL: No position at this time pending

resolution of company-specific issue.

FPUC: Marianna: \$450,909 Underrecovery

Fernandina Beach: \$251,508

Underrecovery

GULF: \$10,018,778 Underrecovery

TECO: \$4,519,107 Underrecovery

What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period October, 1996 through March, 1997?

POSITION:

FPC: 2.054 ¢/kWh

FPL: No position at this time pending resolution of company-specific issue.

FPUC: Marianna: No position at this time.

Fernandina Beach: No position at this

time.

GULF: 2.317 ¢/kWh

TECO: 2.401 ¢/kWh

<u>ISSUE 5:</u> What should be the effective date of the new fuel adjustment charge and capacity cost recovery charge for billing purposes?

POSITION:

The factor should be effective beginning with the specified fuel cycle and thereafter for the period October, 1996 through March, 1997. Billing cycles may start before October 1, 1996, and the last cycle may be read after March 31, 1997, so that each customer is billed for six months regardless of when the adjustment factor became effective.

What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class?

POSITION:

FPC:	Group	Rate Schedules	Line Loss	Multiplier
	A	Transmission Delivery		0.98000
	В	Distribution Primary Delivery		0.99000
	C	Distribution Secondary Deliver	'y	1.00000
	D	OL-1, SL-1	-5	1.00000

FPL:	Group	Rate	Schedules	L	ine I	Joss	Multiplier
	A A-1 B C D	SL-1 GSD- GSLD GSLD OS-2 GSLD	, RST-1, GST-1, , OL-1 1, GSDT-1, CILC- -1, GSLDT-1, CS- -2, GSLDT-2, CS- , MET -3, GSLDT-3, CS- (-1(T), ISST-1(T)	1(G) 1, CST-1 2, CST-2, 3, CST-3,			1.00201 1.00201 1.00200 1.00173 0.99640
	F		-1(D), ISST-1(D)				0.99814
FPUC	:	Marianna: Fernandin	No position at a Beach:	this time.			
		Group	Rate Schedules	L	ine I	OSS	Multiplier
		A B C D E	RS GS GSD OL, OL-2, SL-2, GSLD	SL-3, CSL	1	L.000 L.000 L.000 L.000	000
GULF	:	Group	Rate Schedules	L	ine I	Loss	Multiplier
		A B C D	RS, GS, GSD, OS SBS(100 to 499k LP, SBS(Contrac 7499 kW) PX, PXT, RTP, S above 7499 kW) OS-1, OS-2	W) t Demand of	500		1.01228 0.98106 0.96230 1.01228
TECO	:	Group	Rate Schedules	L	ine I	oss	Multiplier
			RS, GS, TS SL-2, OL-1, 3 GSD, EV-X, GSLD IS-1, IS-3, SBI				1.00720 NA 1.00130 0.96870

ISSUE 7: What are the appropriate Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for each rate group adjusted for line losses?

POSITION:

FPC	*			Factors Time of Use
Gro	Rate Schedules	Standard	On/Peak	Off/Peak
A B	Transmission Delivery Distribution Primary	2.017	2.382	1.868
	Delivery	2.037	2.406	1.886
C	Distribution Secondary Delivery	2.058	2.430	1.906
D	OL-1, SL-1	2.004	NA	NA

FPL: No position at this time pending resolution of companyspecific issue.

FPUC: Marianna: No position at this time.

Fernandina Beach: No position at this time.

GUL	7:		20. 1 20 D	Time of Use
	RS, GS, GSD, OS-III, OS-IV,	Standard	On/Peak	Off/Peak
	SBS(100 to 499kW)	2.345	2.420	2.318
В	LP, SBS(Contract Demand of 500 to 7499 kW)	2.273	2.345	2.246
	PX, PXT, RTP, SBS(Contract Demand above 7499 kW)			
D	OS-1, OS-2	2.340	NA	NA
TECC):			Factors Time of Use
Grou	Rate Schedules	Standard	On/Peak	Off/Peak
A	RS, GS, TS	2.418	2.841	2.258
A-1	SL-2, OL-1, 3	2.345		NA
	GSD, EV-X, GSLD, SBF	2.404		2.245
C	IS-1, IS-3, SBI-1 & 3	2.326	2.733	2.172

ISSUE 8: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each company's levelized fuel factor for the projection period of October, 1996 through March, 1997?

POSITION:

FPC: 1.00083

FPL: 1.01609

FPUC: Marianna: 1.00083

Fernandina Beach: 1.01609

GULF: 1.01609

TECO: 1.00083

Should an electric utility be permitted to include, for retail fuel cost recovery purposes, fuel costs of generation at any of its units which exceed, on a cents-per-kilowatt-hour basis, the average fuel cost of total generation (wholesale plus retail) out of those same units?

POSITION: No position at this time.

Should the investor-owned electric utilities continue to file Fuel Cost Recovery Forms, PSC/EAG8(10/94) as required by Commission Directive issued April 24, 1980?

Yes. Pursuant to a Commission Directive issued POSITION: April 24, 1980, Fuel Cost Recovery Forms, PSC/EAG8(10/94) are part of the filings for the semi-annual proceedings in the Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause and Generating Performance Incentive Factor. These forms are included in Rule 25-22.004, Florida Administrative Code, which is being considered by the Commission According to Section for possible repeal. 120.535(10), Florida Statutes, "[a]gency statements that relate to cost-recovery clauses, factors, or mechanisms implemented pursuant to chapter 366 are exempt from [rulemaking] requirements." Therefore, these forms will be deleted from the rule without

being incorporated by reference in another Commission rule. However, the Commission Directive requiring the investor-owned electric utilities to file Fuel Cost Recovery Forms PSC/EAG8(10/94) should be formalized by the Commission in this proceeding.

COMPANY SPECIFIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

Florida Power and Light Company

ISSUE 11a: Should Florida Power and Light Company recover replacement energy costs incurred as a result of outages at Plant St. Lucie and Plant Turkey Point during the period September 1994 through September 1995?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 11b: Should Florida Power and Light Company recover costs associated with the thermal power uprate of Turkey Point Units 3 and 4?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 11c:

Has Florida Power and Light Company appropriately included 42% of the Cypress Energy Company settlement payment for recovery through the fuel costs recovery clause as directed in Order No. PSC-96-0889-FOF-EU?

Yes. Florida Power and Light Company has included 42%, or \$5,220,180 of the Cypress Energy Company settlement payment as directed in Order No. PSC-96-0889-FOF-EU for recovery during the period October 1996 through March 1997.

Florida Power Corporation

ISSUE 12a: Has Florida Power Corporation confirmed the validity of the methodology used to determine the equity component of Electric Fuels Corporation's capital structure for calendar year 1995?

Yes. The annual audit of EFC's revenue requirements under a full utility-type regulatory treatment confirms the appropriateness of the "short-cut" methodology used to determine the equity component of EFC's capital structure.

ISSUE 12b: Has Florida Power Corporation properly calculated the market price true-up for coal purchases from Powell Mountain?

POSITION: Yes. The calculation has been made in accordance with the market pricing methodology approved by the Commission in Docket No. 860001-EI-G.

included the Orlando Cogen, L.P. settlement payment for recovery through the fuel cost recovery clause as directed by Order No. PSC-96-0898-AS-EQ?

POSITION: Yes.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 13a: What is the appropriate 1995 benchmark price for coal Tampa Electric Company purchased from its affiliate, Gatliff Coal Company?

POSITION: \$41.12/ton

ISSUE 13b: Has Tampa Electric Company adequately justified any costs associated with the purchase of coal from Gatliff Coal Company that exceed the 1995 benchmark price?

POSITION: Yes. TECO's actual costs are below the benchmark as calculated by both Staff and the company, and therefore this issue is moot.

ISSUE 13c: What is the appropriate 1995 waterborne coal transportation benchmark price for transportation services provided by affiliates of Tampa Electric Company?

POSITION: The 1995 transportation benchmark for affiliated waterborne coal transportation services is \$27.08/ton.

ISSUE 13d:

Has Tampa Electric Company adequately justified any costs associated with transportation services provided by affiliates of Tampa Electric Company that exceed the 1995 waterborne transportation benchmark price?

POSITION: Yes. TECO's actual costs are at or below the benchmark as calculated by both Staff and the company, and therefore this issue is moot.

Has Tampa Electric Company appropriately calculated its proposed refund factors for refunding the \$25 million in excess earnings as required by Order No. PSC-96-0670-S-EI?

POSITION: Yes.

Generic Generating Performance Incentive Factor Issues

ISSUE 14: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period October, 1995 through March, 1996?

POSITION:

FPC: No position at this time.

FPL: No position at this time.

GULF: No position at this time.

TECO: No position at this time.

ISSUE 15: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the

period October, 1996 through March, 1997?

POSITION:

FPC: No position at this time.

FPL: No position at this time.

GULF: No position at this time.

TECO: No position at this time.

Company-Specific GPIF Issues

Florida Power and Light Company

ISSUE 16a: Should Florida Power and Light Company's request to exclude the outage hours due to excess cooling canal vegetation at Turkey Point Unit 3 be approved?

POSITION: No position at this time.

ISSUE 16b: Should Florida Power and Light Company's request to

file targets on an annual basis rather than on a

six-month basis be approved?

POSITION: No position at this time.

Gulf Power Company

ISSUE 17: Should Gulf Power Company be allowed to use

seasonal historical data to project heat rates for

the next period?

POSITION: No position at this time.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 18: Should the additional generation due to scrubbing

be removed from Tampa Electric Company's heat rate

calculation for Big Bend Unit 3?

POSITION: No position at this time.

Generic Capacity Cost Recovery Issues

ISSUE 19: What is the appropriate final capacity cost

recovery true-up amount for the period October,

1995 through March, 1996?

POSITION:

FPC: \$12,864,473 Overrecovery

FPL: \$28,927,083 Overrecovery

TECO: \$785,067 Overrecovery

ISSUE 19a: What is the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amount for the period April, 1995

through September, 1995?

POSITION:

GULF: \$410,705 Overrecovery

ISSUE 20: What is the estimated capacity cost recovery

true-up amount for the period April, 1996 through

September, 1996?

POSITION:

FPC: \$2,110,344 Underrecovery

FPL: \$13,378,068 Overrecovery

TECO: \$318,287 Overrecovery

ISSUE 20a: What is the estimated capacity cost recovery true-

up amount for the period October, 1995 through

September, 1996?

POSITION:

GULF: \$374,156 Overrecovery

ISSUE 21: What is the total capacity cost recovery true-up

amount to be collected during the period October,

1996 through March, 1997?

POSITION:

FPC: \$10,754,129 Overrecovery

TECO: \$1,103,354 Overrecovery

ISSUE 21a: What is the total capacity cost recovery true-up

amount to be collected during the period October,

1996 through September, 1997?

POSITION:

FPL: \$42,305,151 Overrecovery

GULF: \$784,861 Overrecovery

ISSUE 22: What is the appropriate projected net purchased

power capacity cost recovery amount to be included in the recovery factor for the period October. 1996

through March, 1997?

POSITION:

FPC: \$120,528,144

TECO: \$10,226,956

ISSUE 22a: What is the appropriate projected net purchased

power capacity cost recovery amount to be included in the recovery factor for the period October, 1996

through September, 1997?

POSITION:

FPL: \$430,838,159

GULF: \$12,118,326

ISSUE 23: What are the projected capacity cost recovery factors for the period October, 1996 through March, 1997?

POSITION:

FPC:	Rate Class	Cents/kWh
	RS	1.030
	GS-Trans.	0.801
	GS-Pri.	0.809
	GS-Sec.	0.817
	GS-100% L.F.	0.563
	GSD-Trans.	0.670
	GSD-Pri.	0.677
	GSD-Sec.	0.684
	CS-Trans.	0.561
	CS-Pri.	0.567
	CS-Sec.	0.573
	IS-Trans.	0.562
	IS-Pri.	0.568
	IS-Sec.	0.573
	Lighting	0.205
TECO:	Rate Schedules	Cents/kWh
	RS	0.198
	GS, TS	0.191
	GSD, EV-X	0.146
	GSLD/SBF	0.130
	IS-1 & 3, SBI-1 & 3	0.011
	SL, OL	0.024

ISSUE 23a: What are the projected capacity cost recovery factors for the period October, 1996 through September, 1997?

POSITION:

FPL:	Rate Class	Capacity Recovery Factor (S/kW)	Capacity Recovery Factor (\$/kWh)
	RS1		.00621
	GS1		.00562
	GSD1	2.14	
	OS2		.00407
	GSLD1./CS1	2.15	==
	GSLD2/CS2	2.19	
	GSLD3/CS3	2.15	**
	CILCD/CILCG	2.21	
	CILCT	2.20	
	MET	2.31	
	OL1/SL1		.00102
	SL2		.00395

Rate Class	Capacity Recovery Factor (Reservation Factor Demand Charge) (S/kW)	Capacity Recovery (Sum of Daily Demand Charge) (\$/kW)
ISSTID	0.28	0.13
SSTIT	0.27	0.13
SSTID	0.28	0.13

GULF:	Rate Class	Factor
	RS, RST	0.167
	GS, GST	0.161
	GSD, GSDT	0.121
	LP, LPT	0.110
	PX, PXT, RTP	0.091
	OS-1, OS-II	0.040
	OS-III	0.096
	OS-IV	0.203
	SBS	0.114

Company Specific Capacity Cost Recovery

Florida Power and Light Company

Has Florida Power and Light Company appropriately included 58% of the Cypress Energy Company settlement payment for recovery through the capacity cost recovery clause as directed in Order No. PSC-96-0889-FOF-EU?

POSITION:
Yes. Florida Power and Light Company has included 58%, or \$ 8,768,730 of the Cypress Energy Company settlement payment as directed in Order No. PSC-96-0889-FOF-EU for recovery during the period October, 1996 through September, 1997.

ISSUE 24a: Should the Commission approve Florida Power and Light Company's request to implement its capacity cost recovery factor on an annual basis for the period October, 1996 through September, 1997?

POSITION:
Yes. Florida Power and Light Company's capacity costs do not vary widely from the current six-month recovery period to the next. By changing the recovery cycle to one set of twelve-month factors established on an annual basis, FPL's customers will benefit because the resulting factors will be levelized over the year.

e. Pending Motions

None.

f. Compliance with Order No. PSC-96-0816-PCO-EI.

Staff has complied with all requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure entered in this docket.

Respectfully submitted this 12th day of August, 1996.

VICKI D. SOHNSON Staff Counsel

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Gerald L. Gunter Building - Room 370 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0863 (904)413-6199

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Fuel and Purchased Power) DOCKET NO. 960001-EI Cost Recovery Clause and Generating Performance Incentive) FILED: August 12, 1996 Factor.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that one true and correct copy of Staff's Prehearing Statement has been furnished by U.S. Mail to this 12th day of August, 1996, to the following:

Air Products & Chemicals, Inc. Florida Power & Light Company Roger Yott 7201 Hamilton Blvd Allentown, PA 18195-1501

Bill Feaster 215 South Monroe Street, #810 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1888

Ausley & McMullen James Beasley P.O. Box 391

Florida Power & Light Company Bill Walker 215 South Monroe Street, #810 Tallahassee, FL 32302 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1888

Beggs & Lane Jeffery Stone P.O. Box 12950

Florida Power Corporation James McGee P.O. Box 14042 Pensacola, FL 32576-2950 St. Petersburg, FL 33733-4042

Peter Brickfield Washington, DC 20007

Brickfield Law Firm Florida Public Utilities Co. Frank C. Cressman 1025 Thomas Jefferson St., NW P.O. Box 3395 West Tower, 8th Floor West Palm Beach, FL 33402-3395

Suzanne Brownless 1311-B Paul Russell Rd., #202 Susan D. Cranmer Tallahassee, FL 32301-4860 P.O. Box 13470

Gulf Power Company Pensacola, FL 32591-3470

Destec Energy, Inc. Destec Energy, Inc.

Barry Huddleston

2500 CityWest Blvd., #150

Houston, TX 77210-4411

Messer Law Firm

Norman Horton, Jr.

P.O. Box 1876

Tallahassee, FL 32302 Houston, TX 77210-4411

DOCKET NO. 960001-EI CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

FL Industrial Power Users Group McWhirter Reeves McGlothlin Vicki Kaufman 117 S. Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Steel Hector and Davis Matthew Childs 215 South Monroe Street, #601 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Tampa Electric Company Angela Llewellyn Regulatory & Business Strategy P.O. Box 111 Tampa, FL 33601-0111 Office of Public Counsel John Roger Howe 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399

VICKI D. JOHNSON Staff Counsel

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Gerald L. Gunter Building, Room 370 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (904)487-2740