STEEL HECTOR DAVIS

Steel Director & Gayne or 215 South Montoe, Suite 401 Tallahassee Florida 32301 1804 904.222.2300 904.222.8410 Far Un U. T. F.L

Matthew M. Childs, P.A.

August 12, 1996

Blanca S. Bayó, Director Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 4075 Esplanade Way, Room 110 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

DOCKET NO. 960001-EI RE:

Dear Ms. Bayó:

Enclosed for filing please find the original and fifteen (15) copies of Florida Power & Light Company's Prehearing ACK ____ Statement in the above referenced docket.

AFA _3 Also enclosed is a formatted double sided high density 3.5 inch diskette containing the Prehearing Statement for _ Florida Power & Light Company.

Very truly yours,

Matthew M. Childs, P.A.

MMC/ml

APP

Enclosures W2555

- cc: Ail Parties of Record

FPSC BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08430 AUG 12 # FPSC-AEDOFALDERGERGEFORTING

Maurie

West Paim Beach 561 650 7200 561-655-1509 Lax Key West:

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

IN RE: Investigation Of Fuel Cost Recovery Clauses Of Electric Companies DOCKET NO. 960001-EI

FILED: AUGUST 12, 1996

FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-96-0816-PCO-EI, issued June 24, 1996, establishing the prehearing procedure in this docket, Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") hereby submits its Prehearing Statement.

A. APPEARANCES

Matthew M. Childs, P.A. Steel Hector & Davis LLP 215 South Monroe Street Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301

B. WITNESSES

WITNESS		SUBJECT MATTER	ISSUES	
с.	SILVA VILLARD MORLEY	Fuel Adjustment, True-Up and Projections	1,2,3, 4,5,6, 7,8	
	VILLARD MORLEY	Turkey Point Thermal Uprate	9a	
	WADE SILVA	Recovery of Replacement Energy	9b	
R.	SILVA	GPIF, True-Up and Projections	10,11,12	
R.	MORLEY	Capacity Cost Recovery, True-Up and Projections	13,14,15, 16,17,18	

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

08430 AUG 12 8

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

1

C. EXHIBITS

EXHIBITS	WITNESS	DESCRIPTION
(RM-1)	R. MORLEY	Appendix I/Fuel Cost Recovery True-Up Calculation
(RM-2)	R. MORLEY	Appendix II/Capacity Cost Recovery True-Up Calculation
(CV-1)	C. VILLARD	Document No. 1/Thermal Uprate NPV Analysis
(RS-1)	R. SILVA	Appendix I/Fuel Cost Recovery Forecast Assumptions
(RM-3)	R. MORLEY	Appendix II/Fuel Cost Recovery E-Schedules
(RM-4)	R. MORLEY	Appendix III/Capacity Cost Recovery Calculation of Factors
(RS-2)	R. SILVA	Document No. 1/GPIF Results (including revised pages 2,4,7 and 13)
(RS-3)	R. SILVA	Document No. 1/GPIF Targets and Ranges (including revised pages 6 and 10)
(RS-4)	R. SILVA	Document No. 1/Interrogatory 19
(RLW-1)	R.L. WADE	Document No. 1/Interrogatories Nos. 15,16,17,18,20 and 21)
(RLW-2)	R.L. WADE	Document No. 1/Revised Interrogatory No. 21

D. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

None Necessary.

E. STATEMENT OF ISSUES AND POSITIONS

FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

1. What is the final fuel true-up amount for the period October 1, 1995 through March 31, 1996?

FPL: \$17,157,052 underrecovery. (MORLEY)

2. What is the estimated/actual fuel true-up amount for the period April 1, 1996 through September 30, 1996 based upon three months actual and three months revised estimates?

FPL: \$88,480,000 underrecovery. (MORLEY)

3. What is the total fuel true-up to be collected during the period October 1, 1996 through March 31, 1997?

FPL: \$105,637,052 underrecovery. (MORLEY)

4. What is the appropriate levelized fuel adjustment factor for the period October 1, 1996 through March 31, 1997?

FPL: 2.037 cents/kwh is the levelized recovery charge. (MORLEY)

5. What should be the effective date of the new factors for fuel adjustment and capacity cost recovery?

FPL: The new Fuel Cost Recovery Factors should become effective with customer billing on cycle day 3 of October 1996 and continue through customer billings on cycle day 2 of March 1997 and the new Capacity Cost Recovery Factors should become effective with customer billings on cycle day 3 of October 1996 and continue through cycle day 2 of September 1997. This will provide 6 months of billing on the Fuel Cost Recovery Factors and 12 months on the Capacity Cost Recovery Factors for all customers. (MORLEY)

6. What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers for each rate class?

FPL: The appropriate Fuel Cost Recovery Loss Multipliers are provided in response to Issue No. 7. (MORLEY)

7. What are the appropriate Fuel Cost Recovery Factors for each rate group?

FPL:

GROU	P RATE SCHEDULE	AVERAGE FACTOR	FUEL RECOVERY LOSS MULTIPLIER	FUEL RECOVERY FACTOR
А	RS-1,GS-1,SL-2	2.037	1.00201	2.041
A-1	SL-1,0L-1	2.014	1.00201	2.018
В	GSD-1	2.037	1.00200	2.041

GROU	IP RATE SCHEDULE	AVERAGE FACTOR	FUEL RECOVERY LOSS MULTIPLIER	FUEL RECOVERY FACTOR
С	GSLD-1 & CS-1	2.037	1.00173	2.041
D	GSLD-2,CS-2, OS-2 & MET	2.037	0.99640	2.030
E	GSLD-3 & CS-3	2.037	0.96159	1.959
A	RST-1,GST-1 ON-PEAK OFF-PEAK	2.174 1.984	1.00201 1.00201	2.178 1.988
в	GSDT-1 ON-PEAK	2.174	1.00200	2.178
	CILC-1(G) OFF-PEAK	1.984	1.00200	1.988
С	GSLDT-1 & ON-PEAK CST-1 OFF-PEAK	2.174 1.984	1.00173 1.00173	2.177 1.987
D	GSLDT-2 & ON-PEAK CST-2 OFF-PEAK		0.99640 0.99640	2.166 1.977
E	GSLDT-3,CST-3 ON-PEAK CILC-1(T)&ISST		0.96159	2.090
F	OFF-PEAK CILC-1(D)&	1,904		
С.	ON-PEAK ISST-1(D)	2.174	0.99814	2.170
	OFF-PEAK	1.984	0.99814	1.980 (MORLEY)

8. What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each company's levelized fuel factor for the projection period of October 1996 through March 1997?

FPL: 1.01609. (MORLEY)

COMPANY SPECIFIC FUEL ISSUES

9a. Should FPL be allowed to recover the cost associated with the thermal power uprate of Turkey Point Units 3 and 4 through the Fuel Cost Recovery Clause?

The uprate of each nuclear unit, from 2200 FPL: Yes. megawatts thermal to 2300 megawatts thermal, will increase the capacity of each nuclear unit by approximately 31 megawatts electric. The units are projected to increase power by January 1997. The cost of the thermal uprate for both units is estimated to be \$10 million. The Company has estimated that this uprating will yield fuel savings on a net present value basis in excess of \$88 million. From January 1997 through December 1998, the fuel savings are projected to exceed the cost of the project, therefore, FPL is requesting that it recover the depreciation and return on investment in this thermal power uprate project over this two year period. The Commission in Docket No. 850001-EI-B, Order No. 14546 issued on July 8, 1985 stated regarding the charges appropriately included in the calculation of fuel "Fossil fuel-related costs normally recovered through base rates but which were not recognized or anticipated in the cost levels used to determine current base rates and, which, if expended, will result in fuel savings to customers. Recovery of such costs should be made on a case by case basis after Commission approval". This expenditure will result in significant fuel savings for FPL's customers and appears to be the type of a cost which the Commission contemplated being recovered through the clause. (VILLARD/MORLEY)

9b. Should Florida Power and Light recover replacement energy costs incurred as a result of outages at Plant St. Lucie and Plant Turkey Point during the period September 1994 through September 1995? (deferred from February 1996)

FPL: Yes. FPL's actions regarding the outages were reasonable and prudent and, therefore, FPL should recover all replacement energy costs. (WADE/SILVA)

9c. Should an electric utility be permitted to include, for retail fuel cost recovery purposes, fuel costs of generation at any of its units which exceed, on a cents-per-kilowatt-hour basis, the average fuel cost of total generation (wholesale plus retail) out of those same units? (deferred from February 1996)

FPL: No position at this time.

GPIF ISSUES

10. What should the GPIF Rewards/Penalties be for the period of October 1, 1995 through March 31, 1996?

FPL: \$1,947,105 reward. (SILVA)

11. What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period of October 1, 1996 through September 30, 1997?

PLANT/UNIT		EAF T	ARGET	(%)	HE	AT	RATE	TARGET
CAPE CANAVERAL	1		93.5					9428
CAPE CANAVERAL			92.7					9479
LAUDERDALE 4	~		93.4					7277
LAUDERDALE 5			91.8					7270
FORT MYERS 2			76.1					9343
MARTIN 3			94.5					6922
MARTIN 4			86.6					6902
PORT EVERGLADES	: 3		94.9					9462
PORT EVERGLADES			78.1					9539
PUTNAM 1			87.3					8705
PUTNAM 2			88.0					8489
TURKEY POINT 3			82.1					11024
TURKEY POINT 4			89.4					11066
ST. LUCIE 1			75.0					10912
ST. LUCIE 2			81.5					10935
SCHERER 4			86.6					9994
	GPIF	SYSTE	M WEI	GHTED	AVERAG	EB	IR	9762

12. Should FPL be allowed to change the Generating Performance Incentive Factor from a semi-annual filing to an annual filing?

> FPL: Yes. Filing on an annual basis will greatly reduce the amount of paperwork produced, filed and processed by FPL, the Commission, and other parties. (SILVA)

(SILVA)

CAPACITY COST RECOVERY ISSUES

13. What is the final capacity true-up amount for the period October 1, 1995 through March 31, 1996?

FPL: \$28,927,083 overrecovery. (MORLEY)

14. What is the estimated/actual capacity true-up amount for the period April 1, 1996 through September 30, 1996, which are based upon two months actual and four months revised estimates?

FPL: \$13,378,068 overrecovery. (MORLEY)

15. What is the total capacity true-up amount to be collected during the period October 1, 1996 through September 30, 1997?

FPL: \$42,305,151 overrecovery. (MORLEY)

16. What is the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amount to be included in the recovery factor for the period October 1996 through September 1997?

FPL: \$430,838,159 (MORLEY)

17. What are the appropriate Capacity Cost Recovery Factors for each rate group?

FPL:

RATE CLASS	CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (\$/KW)	CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (\$/KWH)	
RS1 GS1 GSD1 OS2 GSLD1/CS1 GSLD2/CS2 GSLD3/CS3 CILCD/CILCG CILCT MET OL1/SL1 SL2	- 2.14 2.15 2.19 2.15 2.21 2.20 2.31	0.00621 0.00562 0.00407 - - - - 0.00102 0.00395	
RATE CLASS	CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (RESERVATION DEMAND CHARGE) (\$/KW)	CAPACITY RECOVERY FACTOR (SUM OF DAILY DEMAND CHARGE)(\$/KW)	
ISSTID	.28	.13	
SST1T	.27	.13	
SSTID	.28	.13	
		(MORLEY)	

18. Should FPL be allowed to change the Capacity Cost Recovery Clause from a semi-annual filing to an annual filing?

7

FPL: Yes. Experience has shown that the capacity costs now are sufficiently predictable and, therefore an annual filing is appropriate. In addition, filing on an annual basis will greatly reduce the amount of paperwork produced, filed and processed by FPL, the Commission, and other parties. (MORLEY)

F. STIPULATED ISSUES

None at this time.

G. MOTIONS

FPL is aware of no outstanding Motions at this time.

Respectfully submitted,

STEEL HECTOR & DAVIS LLP 215 South Monroe Street Suite 601 Tallahassee, FL 32301-1804 Attorneys for Florida Power & Light Company

BY Matthew M. Childs, P.A

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 960001-EI

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Florida Power & Light Company's Prehearing Statement has been furnished by Hand Delivery,** or U.S. Mail this 12th day of August, 1996, to the following:

Vicki D. Johnson, Esq.** Division of Legal Services FPSC 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Rm.370 Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Joseph A. McGlothlin, Esq. Vicki Gordon Kaufman, Esq. McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A. 117 South Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301

G. Edison Holland, Esq. Jeffrey A. Stone, Esq. Beggs and Lane P. O. Box 12950 Pensacola, FL 32576

Norman Horton, Jr., Esq. Messer, Caparello, Madsen, Goldman & Metz P. O. Box 1876 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876

Suzanne Brownless, P.A. 1311-B Paul Russell Road Suite 202 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 John Roger Howe, Esq. Office of Public Counsel 111 West Madison Street Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399

Lee L. Willis, Esq. James D. Beasley, Esq. Ausley & McMullen 227 S. Calhoun Street P. O. Box 391 Tallahassee, FL 32302

James A. McGee, Esq. Florida Power Corporation P. O. Box 14042 St. Petersburg, FL 33733

John W. McWhirter, Jr., Esq. McWhirter, Reeves, McGlothlin, Davidson, Rief & Bakas, P.A. Post Office Box 3350 Tampa, Florida 33601-3350

Matthew M. Childs, P.A.