

AUSLEY & MCMULLEN

ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

227 SOUTH CALHOUN STREET
P.O. BOX 391 (ZIP 32302)
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301
(904) 224-9115 FAX (904) 222-7560

ORIGINAL
FILE COPY

August 20, 1996

BY HAND DELIVERY

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo, Director
Division of Records and Reporting
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 960838-TP

HIO Dear Ms. Bayo:

Enclosed herewith for filing is Sprint United/Centel's list of proposed issues in this proceeding. Sprint United/Centel has attempted to reach an agreement on the list of issues to be decided by the Commission with counsel for MFS, but to no avail.

Yours truly,



John P. Fons

Enclosure
cc: All parties of record

atd\838.byo

- ACK
- SAV
- AFA
- APP
- CAF
- CMU
- CTR
- EAG
- LEG
- CTR
- LIN
- OPC
- RCH
- SEC
- WAS
- ACK
- OTH

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
08730-AUG-08
FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ORIGINAL
FILE COPY

ISSUES FOR MFS-FL AND SPRINT UNITED/CENTEL
DOCKET NO. 960838-TP

UNRESOLVED

1. What are the appropriate arrangements for the network interconnection architecture between MFS-FL and Sprint United/Centel?
2. What is the appropriate reciprocal compensation rate and arrangement for local call termination between MFS-FL and Sprint United/Centel?
3. Is it appropriate for Sprint United/Centel to offer the following unbundled loops and, if so, at what rate:
 - a. 2-wire analog voice grade loop;
 - b. 4-wire analog voice grade loop; and
 - c. 2-wire ISDN digital grade loop.
4. Is it appropriate for Sprint United/Centel to provide MFS with 2-wire ADSL compatible, and 2-wire and 4-wire HDSL compatible loops? If so, what are the appropriate rates for these loops?
5. What are the appropriate rates, terms and conditions, if any, for billing, collection and rating of information services traffic between MFS-FL and Sprint United/Centel?
6. What is the appropriate rate for interim number portability via remote call forwarding provided by Sprint to MFS-FL pursuant to the order issued July 2, 1996, in FCC Docket 95-116?
7. Does the Commission have the authority and jurisdiction to require the inclusion of a clause for liquidated damages in an interconnection agreement between MFS and Sprint?

Should the interconnection agreement between MFS-FL and Sprint include provisions for liquidated damages for specified performance breaches? If so, what provisions should be included?
8. What arrangements, if any, are appropriate for the assignment of NXX codes to respective ALECs?
9. What are the appropriate arrangements for tandem subtending and Meet-Point Billing?
10. What are the appropriate arrangements for trunking and signaling between MFS-FL and Sprint?

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
08730 AUG-08
FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

11. Is it appropriate for Sprint customers to be allowed to convert their bundled service to an unbundled service and assign such service to MFS-FL, with no penalties, rollover, termination or conversion charges to MFS or the customer?

12. What are the appropriate arrangements for the following:
 - a. Interconnection between MFS and other collocated entities
 - b. 911-E-911
 - c. ~~Information services billing and collection~~
 - d. Directory listings and distribution
 - e. Directory assistance service
 - f. Yellow page maintenance
 - g. Transfer of service announcements
 - h. Coordinated repair calls
 - i. Busy line verify and interrupt
 - j. Information pages
 - k. Operator reference database

13. What are the appropriate physical collocation terms, conditions and rates?