

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petitions by AT&T)
Communications of the Southern)
States, Inc., MCI)
Telecommunications Corporation)
and MCI Metro Access)
Transmission Services, Inc., for)
arbitration of certain terms and)
conditions of a proposed)
agreement with GTE Florida)
Incorporated concerning)
interconnection and resale under)
the Telecommunications Act of)
1996.

) DOCKET NO. 960847-TP) DOCKET NO. 960980-TP

) FILED: SEPTEMBER 24, 1996

STAFF'S PREHEARING STATEMENT

Pursuant to Orders Nos. PSC-96-1053-PCO-TP, issued August 16, 1996 and PSC-96-1152-PCO-TP, issued September 13, 1996, the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission files its Prehearing Statement.

- A. <u>All Known Witnesses</u>: Staff does not intend to sponsor a witness at this time.
- B. All Known Exhibits: Staff has not yet identified a tentative list of exhibits which it intends to utilize in this proceeding. Staff will supply a tentative list of such exhibits at or prior to the Prehearing Conference.
- C. Staff's Statement of Basic Position:

None pending discovery.

D.-G. Staff's Position on the Issues:

POSITIONS:

ISSUES COMMON TO AT&T, MCI AND GTEFL:

ISSUE 1: What services provided by GTEFL, if any, should be

excluded from resale?

STAFF: No position at this time.

RECEIVED & FILED

EPSC-BUREAU OF RECORDS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE

10198 SEP 24 8

FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

ACK

APP

CMU____

EAG __

LIN

OPC

RCH _

SEC

OTH

ISSUE 2: Should GTEFL be prohibited from imposing restrictions on the resale of GTEFL services?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 3: What are the appropriate wholesale rates for GTEFL to charge when AT&T or MCI purchase GTEFL's retail services for resale?

STAFF: No position at this time.

- ISSUE 4: a) Should GTEFL be required to implement a process and standards that will ensure that AT&T and MCI receive services for resale, interconnection, and unbundled network elements that are at least equal in quality to those that GTEFL provides itself and its affiliates?
 - b) Should GTEFL be required to provide AT&T and MCI loop testing information prior to the establishment of service to an AT&T or MCI customer?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 5: What are the appropriate contractual provisions for liability and indemnification for failure to provide service in accordance with the terms of the arbitrated agreement?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 6: a) Should GTEFL be required to provide real-time and interactive access via electronic interfaces to perform the following:

Pre-Service Ordering Maintenance/Repair Service Order Processing and Provisioning Customer Usage Data Transfer Local Account Maintenance

- b) If this process requires the development of additional capabilities, in what time frame should they be deployed?
- c) What are the costs incurred, and how should those costs be recovered?

STAFF: No position at this time.

- ISSUE 7: a) When AT&T or MCI resells GTEFL's local exchange service, or purchases unbundled local switching, is it technically feasible: 1) to route 0+ and 0-calls to an operator other than GTEFL's; 2) to route 411 and 555-1212 directory assistance calls to an operator other than GTEFL's; or 3) to route 611 repair calls to a repair center other than GTEFL's?
 - b) If this process requires the development of additional capabilities, in what time frame should they be deployed?
 - c) What are the costs incurred, and how should those costs be recovered?

STAFF: No position at this time.

- ISSUE 8: a) Should GTEFL be required to provide AT&T and MCI with the billing and usage recording services that AT&T and MCI requested?
 - b) If this process requires the development of additional capabilities, in what time frame should they be deployed?
 - c) What are the costs incurred, and how should those costs be recovered?

ISSUE 9: What type of customer authorization is required for access to customer account information and transfer of existing services?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 10: What are the appropriate rates, terms, and conditions, if any, for call guide pages, directory distribution, and inclusion of AT&T's and MCI's logos on the directory cover?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 11: a) Should GTEFL be required to provide AT&T and MCI
access to GTEFL's directory assistance database?

- b) If this process requires the development of additional capabilities, in what time frame should they be deployed?
- c) What are the costs incurred, and how should those costs be recovered?

STAFF: No position at this time.

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 13: a) Are the following items considered to be network elements, capabilities, or functions? If so, is it technically feasible for GTEFL to provide AT&T and MCI with these elements?

Network Interface Device Loop Distribution Local Switching Operator Systems Dedicated Transport Common Transport Tandem Switching

Signaling Link Transport
Signal Transfer Points
Service Control Points/Databases
Loop Concentrator/Multiplexer (AT&T only)
Loop Feeder (AT&T only)
Multiplexing/Digital Cross-connect (MCI only)
DA Service
911 Service
AIN Capabilities
Operations Support Systems

b) What should the price of each of the items considered to be network elements, capabilities, or functions?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 14: Should GTEFL be prohibited from placing any limitations on AT&T's and MCI's ability to combine unbundled network elements with one another, or with resold services, or with AT&T's, MCI's or a third parties facilities, to provide telecommunications services to consumers in any manner AT&T or MCI chooses?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 15: a) Should GTEFL be required to provide AT&T and MCI with access to GTEFL's unused transmission media?

b) What are the costs incurred, and how should those costs be recovered?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 16: At what points should AT&T and MCI be permitted to interconnect with GTEFL?

ISSUE 17: a) What access should be provided by GTEFL for its
poles, ducts, conduits, and rights-of-way?

b) What are the costs incurred, and how should those costs be recovered?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 18: Does the term "rights-of-way" in Section 224 of the Act
 include all possible pathways for communicating with
 the end user?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 19: Should GTEFL be required to provide interim number portability solutions including remote call forwarding, flex-direct inward calling, route index portability hub, and local exchange route guide reassignment?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 20 What should be the cost recovery mechanism to provide interim local number portability in light of the FCC's recent order?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 21: a) Should GTEFL be prohibited from placing any limitations on interconnection between two carriers collocated on GTEFL's premises, or on the types of equipment that can be collocated, or on the types of uses and availability of the collocated space?

b) What are the costs incurred, and how should those costs be recovered?

ISSUE 22: What should be the compensation mechanism for the exchange of local traffic between AT&T or MCI and GTEFL?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 30: What intrastate access charges, if any, should be collected on a transitional basis from carriers who purchase GTEFL's unbundled local switching element? How long should any transitional period last?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 24: What should be the term of the agreement?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 25: Can the agreement be modified by subsequent tariff

filings?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUES SPECIFIC TO MCI AND GTEFL:

ISSUE 27: a) When MCI resells GTEFL's services, is it technically feasible or otherwise appropriate for GTEFL to brand operator services and directory services calls that are initiated from those resold services?

b) When GTEFL's employees or agents interact with MCI's customers with respect to a service provided by GTEFL on behalf of MCI, what type of branding requirements are technically feasible or otherwise appropriate?

ISSUE 28: Should GTEFL be required to provide notice to its

wholesale customers of changes to GTEFL's services? If

so, in what manner and in what timeframe?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 29: In what time frame should GTEFL provide CABS-like

billing for services and elements purchased by MCI?

STAFF: No position at this time.

ISSUE 31: What are the appropriate rates, terms, and conditions

for access to code assignments and other numbering

resources?

STAFF: No position at this time.

H. Stipulation

Staff is not aware of any issues that have been stipulated at this time.

I. Pending Motions:

Staff has no pending motions at this time.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

donna i. Canzan

Staff Counsel

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (904) 413-6199

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petitions by AT&T)
Communications of the Southern)
States, Inc., MCI)
Telecommunications Corporation and MCI Metro Access)
Transmission Services, Inc., for)
arbitration of certain terms and)
conditions of a proposed)
agreement with GTE Florida)
Incorporated concerning)
interconnection and resale under)
the Telecommunications Act of)
1996.

) DOCKET NO. 960847-TP) DOCKET NO. 960980-TP

) FILED: SEPTEMBER 24, 1996

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Staff's Prehearing Statement in the above referenced dockets have been furnished VIA U.S. MAIL, this 24th day of September, 1996, to the following:

Charles J. Beck, Esquire
Deputy Public Counsel
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 West Madison St.
Room 812
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

Mr. Bill Tabor Utilities & Telecommunicat. Rm 410, House Office Bldg. Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Mr. Greg Krasovsky
Commerce & Economic
Opportunities
Room 426 Senate Office Bldg.
Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Exe. Office of the Governor Office of Planing & Budget Bruce Topp The Capitol, Room 1502 Tallahassee, Florida 32399

GTE Florida Incorporated
Anthony P. Gillman, Esquire
c/o Diane McLelland
106 East College Avenue
Suite 1440
Tallahassee, Florida 32301-7704

GTE Mobile Communications c/o Rutledge Law Firm Kenneth Hoffman, Esquire 215 South Monroe Street Suite 420 Tallahassee, FL 32301 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
DOCKETS NOS. 960847-TP AND 960980-TP

Hopping Law Firm Richard Melson, Esquire 123 South Calhoun Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Tracy Hatch, Esquire
AT&T Communications of the
Southern States, Inc.
101 North Monroe Street
Suite 700
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Robin Dunson, Esquire
AT&T Communications
of the Southern States, Inc.
1200 Peach Tree Street
Promenade 1, Room 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30309

MCI Metro Access Transmiss.
Services, Inc.
Martha P. McMillin, Esquire
780 Johnson Ferry Road
Suite 700
Atlanta, Georgia 30342

Kim Caswell, Esquire GTE Florida Incorporated Post Office Box 110 Mail Code FLTC 0007 Tampa, Florida 33601

DONNA L. CANZANO Staff Counsel

Florida Public Service Commission Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (904) 413-6199

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Petitions by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc., MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc., for) arbitration of certain terms and) conditions of a proposed agreement with GTE Florida Incorporated concerning interconnection and resale under) the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

) DOCKET NO. 960847-TP) DOCKET NO. 960980-TP

) FILED: SEPTEMBER 24, 1996

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of Staff's Prehearing Statement in the above referenced dockets have been furnished VIA U.S. MAIL, this 24th day of September, 1996, to the following:

Charles J. Beck, Esquire Deputy Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison St. Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

Mr. Bill Tabor Utilities & Telecommunicat. Rm 410, House Office Bldg. Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Mr. Greg Krasovsky Commerce & Economic Opportunities Room 426 Senate Office Bldq. Tallahassee, Florida 32399

Exe. Office of the Governor Office of Planing & Budget Bruce Topp The Capitol, Room 1502 Tallahassee, Florida 32399

GTE Florida Incorporated Anthony P. Gillman, Esquire c/o Diane McLelland 106 East College Avenue Suite 1440 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-7704 Tallahassee, FL 32301

GTE Mobile Communications c/o Rutledge Law Firm Kenneth Hoffman, Esquire 215 South Monroe Street Suite 420

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
DOCKETS NOS. 960847-TP AND 960980-TP

Hopping Law Firm Richard Melson, Esquire 123 South Calhoun Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Tracy Hatch, Esquire
AT&T Communications of the
Southern States, Inc.
101 North Monroe Street
Suite 700
Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Robin Dunson, Esquire
AT&T Communications
of the Southern States, Inc.
1200 Peach Tree Street
Promenade 1, Room 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30309

MCI Metro Access Transmiss. Services, Inc. Martha P. McMillin, Esquire 780 Johnson Ferry Road Suite 700 Atlanta, Georgia 30342

Kim Caswell, Esquire GTE Florida Incorporated Post Office Box 110 Mail Code FLTC 0007 Tampa, Florida 33601

DONNA L. CANZANO Staff Counsel

Florida Public Service Commission Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 (904) 413-6199