BeliSouth Telecommunications, Inc. c/o Nancy H. Sims Suite 400 150 So. Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone: 305 347-5558

October 30, 1996

ا الا شد اليد.

Ms. Blanca S. Bayo Director, Division of Records and Reporting Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Betty Easley Conference Center, Rm. 110 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

## RE: Docket Nos. 960833-TP, 960846-TP and 960916-TP AT&T. MCImetro and ACSI's Arbitration with BellSouth

Dear Mrs. Bayo:

Enclosed please find an original and fifteen copies of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s Memorandum In Opposition To MCI's Motion to Strike BellSouth's Notice of Order, which we ask that you file in the captioned docket.

A copy of this letter is enclosed. Please mark it to indicate that the original was filed and return the copy to me. Copies have been served to the parties shown on the attached Certificate of Service.

Sincerely yours,

1. Phillip Carver

J. Phillip Carver

Enclosures

ACK \_\_\_\_\_

AFA \_\_\_\_\_

APP CAP

C CT:

> 6.0 1.00

SE

**O**TH \_\_\_

cc: All Parties of Record R. G. Beatty A. M. Lombardo <u>William J. Ellenberg II</u> 彩色 相上 VED & SUTD WAS \_\_\_

EPSC-BUREAU OF MEDGROS

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE 1 538 OCT 30 % FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NOS. 960833-TP, 960846-TP and 960916-TP

÷.

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served by Federal Express this 2000 day of October, 1996 to the following:

\* Hand-delivery

Donna Canzano \* Staff Counsel Florida Public Service Commission Division of Legal Services 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 (904) 413-6202

Tracy Hatch, Esq. Michael W. Tye, Esq. 101 N. Monroe Street Suite 700 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Attys. for AT&T Tel. (904) 425-6364

Robin D. Dunson, Esq. 1200 Peachtree Street, N.E. Promenade I, Room 4038 Atlanta, Georgia 30309 Atty. for AT&T Tel. (404) 810-8689

Mark A. Logan, Esq. Brian D. Ballard, Esq. Bryant, Miller & Olive, P.A. 201 S. Monroe Street Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Attys. for AT&T Tel. (904) 222-8611

Richard D. Melson, Esq. Hopping Green Sams & Smith 123 South Calhoun Street P.O. Box 6526 Tallahassee, Florida 32314 Tel. (904) 222-7500 Fax. (904) 224-8551 Atty. for MCImetro Floyd R. Self, Esq. Norman H. Horton, Jr., Esq. Messer, Caparello, Madsen, Goldman & Metz, P.A. 215 South Monroe Street Suite 701 Tallahassee, FL 32302-1876 (904) 222-0720 Attys. for ACSI

Brad Mutschelknaus Kelley Drye & Warren, L.L.P. Suite 500 1200 19th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 Atty. for ACSI



## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petitions by AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc., MCI Telecommunications Corporation, MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc., American Communications Services, Inc. and American Communications Services of Jacksonville, Inc. for arbitration of certain terms and conditions of a proposed agreement with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. concerning interconnection and resale under the Telecommunications Act of 1996

Docket No. 960833-TP

Docket No. 960846-TP

Docket No. 960916-TP

Filed: October 30, 1996

## BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.'S MEMORANDUM IN OPPOSITION TO MCI'S MOTION TO STRIKE BELLSOUTH'S NOTICE OF ORDER

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. ("BellSouth" or "Company") hereby files, pursuant to Rule 25-22.037(b), Florida Administrative Code, its Memorandum in Opposition to MCI's Motion to Strike BellSouth's Notice of Order of the Eighth Circuit court of Appeal's Order Granting Stay and Pending Judicial Review and Request for Relief, and states as grounds in support thereof, the following:

1. MCI Telecommunications Corporation and MCImetro Access Transmission Services, Inc. (collectively "MCI") states in its motion that it does not object to BellSouth's request that the Florida Public Service Commission ("Commission") take notice of the Order of the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeal. MCI, however, argues that the portions of BellSouth's notice in which BellSouth attempts to provide the Commission with its view as to the effect of the stay<sub>ER-DATE</sub>

11538 OCT 30 % FPSC-RECORDS/REPORTING 1164 should be stricken. MCI bases its argument on the contentions that (1) the substance of the notice could have been included in BellSouth's Post-hearing brief, and (2) the notice and the brief together total more than the 100 page limit for the brief. Based on nothing more than this, MCI leaps to the conclusion that BellSouth has filed its Notice in an attempt to circumvent the 100 page limit, and that the notice should, therefore, be stricken.

2 . A. A.

2. The fallacy of MCI's contention that the notice is really a supplement to the brief is obvious in light of the facts: (1) The notice was filed at a different time than the post-hearing brief and was occasioned by the entry of the Eighth Circuit's stay (as opposed to the brief, which would have been filed in keeping with Rule 25-22.056, F.A.C. in any event). (2) The notice does not argue the evidence of either of the consolidated cases, which is the typical function of a brief. (3) The notice was filed not only in the consolidated arbitration proceedings relating to MCI and AT&T of the Southern States ("AT&T"), but in every pending arbitration case that BellSouth has in the state of Florida.

3. Moreover, if BellSouth needed the page limit of the brief to be expanded to argue its legal position, it would have been simple enough to file a motion to request this. Alternatively, BellSouth could simply have agreed to the 125 page limit that was requested by AT&T at the prehearing conference.

4. For the reasons set forth above, MCI's argument that BellSouth is attempting to circumvent the page limit by the filing of a notice is, at best,

2

implausible. Further, MCI offers nothing more to support its motion. MCI does not argue that it is improper for the notice to contain a discussion of the matters set forth therein; neither does MCI argue that it, or any other party, has been prejudiced in the slightest by BellSouth's filing of the notice. Instead, MCI merely grasps at the slightest of technicalities in an attempt to prevent BellSouth from providing its interpretation of the Order to the Commission as a part of the Notice.

5. BellSouth submits that the better procedure would be for all parties to have an opportunity (if desired) to offer their comments regarding the Eighth Circuit Order to this Commission. To the extent MCI (or, for that matter, AT&T) believes that it has not been able to adequately address the effect of the Order in its brief, BellSouth would have no objection to its filing a document comparable to BellSouth's Notice to state its interpretation of the Federal Order.

6. MCI's position is tenuous at best, even from a technical standpoint. Moreover, MCI has alleged absolutely no prejudice as a result of BellSouth's filing, and even if there were any prejudice, it could easily be remedied by making its own filing.

**116**6

3

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth above, BellSouth respectfully

requests the entry of an Order denying MCI's Motion to Strike in its entirety.

Respectfully submitted this 30th day of October, 1996.

BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS, INC.

Beatly (m) ROBERT G. BEATTY

J. PHILLIP CARVER c/o Nancy H. Sims 150 South Monroe Street, Suite 400 Tallahassee, FL 32301 (305) 347-5555

m J. Ellenberg Day

WILLIAM J. ELLENBERG II NANCY B. WHITE 675 West Peachtree Street, Room 4300 Atlanta, GA 30375 (404) 335-0711