1		RESPONSIVE TESTIMONY OF DEBORAH D. SWAIN
2		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		REGARDING THE RULES FOR MARGIN RESERVE AND
4		IMPUTATION OF CIAC ON MARGIN RESERVE
5		ON BEHALF OF THE FLORIDA WATERWORKS ASSOCIATION
6		DOCKET NO. 960258-WS
7		
8	Q.	PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND ADDRESS FOR THE
9		RECORD.
10	A.	My name is Deborah Swain.
11		
12	Q.	DID YOU FILE DIRECT TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE ON
13		BEHALF OF THE FLORIDA WATERWORKS ASSOCIATION
14		(FWA)?
15	A.	Yes, I did.
16		
17	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR RESPONSIVE
18		TESTIMONY?
19	A.	I would like to respond to certain comments filed by Office of Public
20		Counsel (OPC).
21		
22	Q.	ON PAGE 2 OF ITS COMMENTS, OPC STATES THAT IN
23		ORDER TO PROPERLY MATCH CIAC AND INVESTMENT,
24		CIAC MUST BE IMPUTED. DO YOU AGREE WITH THIS
25		STATEMENT?
		DOCUMENT NUMBER-DA

ACK
AFA
APP
CAF
CMU
CTR
EAG
LEG
LIN
OPC
RCH
SEC

WAS ____

ОТН ____

DOCUMENT NUMBER-DATE
12175 NOV 15%

FOSC-RECORDS/REPORTING

1	A.	No, not at all. This statement highlights the most basic error in the
2		practice of imputing CIAC. An imputation causes a mismatch with
3		margin reserve, not a match. As I explained in my direct testimony, the
4		money for margin reserve plant has already been spent whereas the CIAC
5		funds have not been received. As a matter of fact, if CIAC is imputed, in
6		order to create a match, an imputation of plant costs to be incurred in the
7		future should be made.
8		
9	Q.	OPC STATES THAT IF CIAC IS NOT IMPUTED, THE UTILITY
10		MAY OVEREARN. DO YOU AGREE?
11	A.	No, I do not. This concern is nonsensical. The utility should be able to
12		earn a fair return on margin reserve if it is a used and useful cost. In order
13		to preserve the margin reserve, enabling the utility to earn a fair return on
14		it, you cannot impute CIAC. As a matter of fact, if CIAC is imputed, the
15		utility will underearn. OPC has completely failed to recognize that as new
16		customers connect, not only does the utility collect CIAC, but it must
17		make expenditures to provide for the then future customers. As I show on
18		Table 5-1 in Exhibit DDS-2, in an eleven year study of 174 utilities, plant
19		expenditures outpaced CIAC collected three to one.
20		
21	Q.	OPC ALSO STATES THAT NOT IMPUTING CIAC WOULD
22		ENCOURAGE UTILITIES TO OVERPROJECT CUSTOMER
23		GROWTH. DO YOU AGREE?
24	A.	No, I do not. And in any event, this assertion is not pertinent. The
25		utility's projection of customer growth must be adequately justified in its

1		rate application, and can easily be challenged and / or validated upon
2		review. Obviously, the utility must be able to prove the basis for its
3		projections. Such justification may include any combination of historical
4		growth statistics, developer agreements, comprehensive master plans,
5		construction plans, etc. To suggest that margin reserve should be
6		eliminated by imputing CIAC as a way to keep the utility honest is absurd.
7		
8	Q.	OPC SUGGESTS THAT MARGIN RESERVE BE ELIMINATED,
9		AND INSTEAD BE INCLUDED IN AFPI. WOULD THIS BE
10		ACCEPTABLE?
11	A.	No, it would not. The utility should not have to recover margin reserve
12		through such a speculative means, when, as we have demonstrated, margin
13		reserve should be recovered from existing customers. Furthermore, while
14		conducting our study, we found many utilities do not have an AFPI rate
15		approved. There is little incentive to utilities to request AFPI because it is
16		so speculative, and because its recovery period is so narrow.
17		The fact is, margin reserve benefits existing customers, and as such, it
18		should be, as it has been, recoverable from existing customers.
19		
20	Q.	DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?
21	A. Y	es.
22		
23		
24		
25		